University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law

UMKC School of Law Institutional Repository

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke

Leon E. Bloch Library Sir Edward Coke Collection

1727

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., in English, Compleat in Thirteen Parts: The Seventh Part of the Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., Her Majesty's Attorney General

Sir Edward Coke

Follow this and additional works at: https://irlaw.umkc.edu/coke_reports



The Seventh PART of the

REPORTS

OF

Sir Edward Coke Kt.

Chief Justice of the COMMON PLEAS.

OF

Divers Resolutions and Judgments given upon solemn Arguments, and with great Deliberation and Conference of the Reverend Judges and Sages of the Law, of Cases in Law which were never resolved or adjudged before: And the Reasons and Causes of the said Resolutions and Judgments: Publish'd in the sixth Year of the most High and most Illustrious JAMES King of England, France and Ireland, and of Scotland the 42d. the Fountain of all PIETY and JUSTICE, and the LIFE of the LAW.

With REFERENCES to all the BOOKS of the COMMON LAW, as well Antient as Modern.

Frequentibus argumentis & collationibus latens veritas aperitur, cum fub eisdem verbis sape lateat multiplex intellectus.

Veritas sapius agitata magis splendescit in lucem.

In the SAVOT:

Printed by E. and R. Nutt, and R. Gosling, (Affigns of Edw. Sayer Esq.) for D. Browne, I. Walthoe, B. Uinfot, R. Gosling, W. Hears, A. Ward, W. Innys, I. Dsborn, A. Woodward, I. Hooke, F. Clay, A. Wootfon, R. Williamson and A. Ward.

M DCC XXVII.

Deo, Patriæ, Tibi.

▼ Extæ Commentariorum five Relationum mearum parti vix extremam manum addideram (Lector candide) cum, quæ fingulos exercuit Angliæ Tudices, oborta est controversia, cujus certe similis nunquam fuit ante hunc diem in aula West. agitata: Unde etiam, dum eorum quæ audicrecens admodum memoria fuit, ea præcipue (prout mos est semperqueapud me fuit) quæ fummarie ex omnibus disputationibus atque argumentis, plurimum ponderis ac momenti, five authoritates five rationes ad folvendam quæstionem annotaffem, in pro-

Had no sooner (good Reader) made an End of the fixth Part of my Commentaries or Reports, but the greatest Case that ever was argued in the Hall of Westminster began to come in Question, and afterwards was argued by all the Judges of England. This great Case (for that Memory is infida & labilis) while the Matter was recent and fresh in Mind, and almost yet sounding the Ear, I set down in Writing, out of my (hortObservationswhich I had taken of the Effett of every Argument, (as my Manner is, and

and ever bath been) a Jummary Memorial of the principal Authorities and Reasons of the Resolutions of that Case, for my own private Solace and Instruction. I never thought to have published the same, for that it was not like to give any Direction in like Cases that might bappen, (the chief st End of publishing Reports) it is of its own Nature so like the Phanix, and so singular and rare in Accident, as the Union of two famous and ancient Kingdoms in Ligeance and Obedience under one great and mighty Monarch. Now when I had ended it for my private Use, I was by Commandment to begin again (a Matter of no small Labour and Difficulty) for the Publick. For certainly, that fuccinet Method and Collection that will serve for the private Memorial or Repertory, especially of him that knew and

prium folamen meum & juvamen (infida enim est labilisque memoria) privatim literis mandavi. Nunquam autem quovismodo in publicum proditura putavi, quia (quod primum arbitror & præcipuum quod ex Relationibus edendis percipi potest emolumentum) non verisimile est hunc casum de aliis in judicando cognitionem informaturum: Nam duo nobilissima simul & antiquisfima regna, in unam conflari monarchiam, uno in utrifque florentissimo rege invictissimoque monarcha dominante, hoc usu infrequens, imo sicut ipse Pheenix unicum & individuum est in specie, cum quo comparari potest socium habens neminem. Tum demum cum tantum, quantum mei 10lius causa apud memet annotare volui, perfeciffem, mandatum mihi fuit, ut de novo (quod non minimi fudoris erat & difficultatis) in usum etiam publicum, recolligerem: Nam certe fuccincta ista & compendio-

Non metuo pulicis stimulos fucique susurros.

Nec pili quidem æstimo invidum istum & maledicum, qui, quo fusius venena sua evomeret, libellum quendam, nescio an rudem an inconcinnum magis sub titulo & nomine Pricket in lucem protulit, dicatum Optimo meo Domino & Socero Comiti Excestr. & inscriptum, Memoriale five mandatum furatorum in Assisis apud civitatem Nordovicam, 4 die Augusti, 1606. quem fane contestor non folum me omnino insciente fuisse divulgatum, sed (omissis etiam ipsis potissimis) ne unam quidem fententiolam eo fenfu & fignificatione, prout dicta erat, fuille enarratam. Tam vero li catastrophen expectes, ecce (dum perpetuum in me dedecus & infamiam inurere conatus est) quam falsum ejus eum habuit expectatio? Primo enim Lectores illi, juris peritos dico, qui inter legendum, non folum graves & turpes errores

And little do I esteem an uncharitable and malicious Practice in publishing of an errones ous and ill-spelled Pamphlet, under the Name Pricket, and dedicating it to my fingutar good Lord and Father-in-Law, the Earl of Exeter, as a Charge given at the Affizes bolden at the City of Norwich, 4 August, 1606. which I protest was not only published without my Privity, but (besides the Omisfrom of divers principal Matters) that there is no one Period therein expressed in that Sort and Sense that I delivered it: Wherein it is worthy of Observation how their Expettation (of scandalizing me) was wholly deceived, for behold the Catastrophy. Such of the Readers as were learned in the Laros, finding not only gross Errors and Absurdities

sa annotandi methodus, quæ fatis est in memoriam colligentis, qui omnia atque singula prout gesta fuerunt audierit & cognoverit, nequaquam fane fatis erit in eo seribendi genere, quod & in præiens & futurum feculum est duraturum, & quod Lectores etiam, qui per femetiplos nihil habent præter illud quod ex eo quod conscriptum est addiscant, est edocturum. Et sicut unda gignit undam, sic labor unus alium tanquam gemellum aliquem videtur esse confecutum: Nam cum hie quem dixi casus, novus esset & inauditus, animum idcirco induxi non inutilem fore, si, eum & temet (candide Lector) in quantum possum erudirem, aliis item in ambiguarum quarundam, de terris & tenementis fuis (in quibus adhue graves admodum & inter le pugnantes Juris peritorum opiniones extiterunt) quæ-Rionum solutione satisfacerem, alios nonnullos casus usu frequentiores, & dignitate inter cate-

beard all, will nothing become a publick Report for the present and all Posterity, or be sufficient to instruct those Readers, who of themselves know nothing, but must be instructed by the Report only in the right Rule and Reason of the Cafe in Question. And as unda gignit undam, so commonly one labour cometh not alone: This brought on another with it; for seeing this Cafe was of fo rare a Quality, thought good as well for Instruction and thine Use (good Reader) as for the Repose and Quiet of many, in resolving of. Questions and Doubts (wherein there bath been great Diversity of Opinions) concerning their Estates and Postselfions, to publish some other that are common in Accident, weighty in Consequent, and yet never resolved or adindged before: So as it is now verified in this, that which bath been faid if old, Labor labori

labori laborem addit.

With this seventh Work or part of my Reports (whereunto Almighty God of Goodness, bath in this short Time, amongst many other publick Imployments, enabled me) I have out of my Love unto all my dear Countrymen, of what Perfuation in Religion soever they be, thought good to give them all a Caveat or Forewarning in a Case of great Importance, that deeply and dangerously concerns them all in so bigh a Point, that in the first Degree it is a Præmunire, and in the fecond High Treason. And yet many Men. without all Fear (by know not the Law) run into the Danger thereof almost every Day. I must confess, that this

ros nequaquam minores, nunquam antehac dilucide satis judiciis explicatos, in medium proferrem: Ita ut jam ratum sit quod jamdudum apud antiquos in proverbium abiit, Labor labori laborem addit.

Putavi ego, ex mea in Concives meos charitate, cujuscunque demum conditionis religionisve sint, navandam esse operam, ut non folum hanc feptimam Relationum mearum partem (cui colligendæ ac in lucem edendæ Deus in hisce temporum angustiis, dum in gravioribus Reipublicæ negotiis versatus sum, vires dedit) omnibus ob oculos ponerem, sed ut eosdem etiam adhortarer & præmonerem in quodam non mediocris momenti casu. qui fingulos ita necessario eoque modo spectat, ut, si quid in eo peccatum fuerit, in primo gradu sit Pœna de Præmunire, in secundo læsæ Majestatis culpa, in quo Reason I think they tamen multi (dum Legem, ut mihi videtur, ignorant) temere & inconfulto pene quotidie delinquunt. Mihi certe confifitendum.

tendum est, eo usque nunc temporis redactum esse hoc feculum, ut quisque pro se sedulo in discribendis libellulis faciat, viz. Quotidie plures, quotidie pejus scribunt. Et certo certius est si quisquam hominum libros istos (quos ego vidi) nuperrime conscriptos a Roma vel a Romanistis ad nos usque attulerit, aut eos legendo fuffragiis patrocinatus fuerit, aut eos item aliis approbando (quod maxime apud authores in votis est) legendos dederit, in summas, & turbulentiffimas periculorum tempestates ineidat necessum est: Nam primo cum in hunc modum peccarit pœnas dabit per Pramunire (qua fic fe habent, adjudicari non esse in Regis protectione; corum terras & bona omnia in Regis potestatem redigi; & corpora carceri perpetuo damnari): & qui secundo deliquerit læsæ Maiestatis grave supplicium incurret. Hi funt illi libri qui splendidos & imprimis religiofos præ fe

is a writing or scrib? ling World, Quotidio plures, quotidie pejus feribunt. And sure I am that no Man can either bring over those Books of late written (which I have seen) from Rome or Romanists, or read them, and justify them, or deliver them over to any other with a Liking and Allowance of the same (as the Author's End and Desire is they should) but they run into desperate Dangers and Downfalls; for the first Offence is a Premunire. which is to be adjudged to be out of the King's Protection, to loofe all their Lands and Goods, and to suffer perpetual Imprisonment; and they that offend the second Time therein, incur the heavy Danger of High Trea-These Books have glorious and goodly Titles, which promise Directions for the Conscience, and Remedies for the Soul, but there is mors in olla: They are

are like to Apothecaries Boxes, quorum tituli pollicentur remedia, sed pixides ipfæ venena continent, whose Titles promise Remedies, but the Boxes themselves contain Poison. This Forewarning I give out of Conscience and Care of their Safety, that blindfold might fall into so great Danger by their Means whom they so much Reverence. I am not afraid of Gnats that can prick and cannot burt, nor of Drones that keep a Buzzing, and would but cannot Sting.

ferunt titulos; hi illi funt qui conscientiis hominum infirmitate laborántibus opem ferre se profitentur; hi funt illi deniq; qui miseras & miserandas peccatrices animas in optatum tranquillitatis & falutis portum adducero in se suscipiunt; at more in olla; quemadmodum plerumq; in Pharmacopolarum vafculis videre est, quorum tituli pollicentur remedia, sed pixides ipsæ venena continent. Hisce ego præmo-. nitionibus usus sum e folicita eorum cura, qui præstigias & imposturas istas (quibus hi, quos tanto profequuntur amore & reverentia, in fummum capitis periculum eos de improviso ducant) nondum cognorunt. Jam vero, neque culices, qui quasi titillando pungunt paulo, non penetrant, neq; fucos istos qui fusurris tantis bombulifq; quos edunt, maximis, aculeis autem, quibus carent ægrius, nusquam loci belligerare solent, tantillum pertimesco; imo inquam; ut est apud Poetam, Non

ties in Law, but palpable Mistakings in the very Words of Art, and the whole Context of that rude and ragged Stile, wholly diffonant (the Subject being legal) from a Lawyer's Dialett, conchided, that inimicus & iniquus homo superseminavit zizania in medio tritici: The other discreet and indifferent Readers, out of Sense and Reason, found out the same Conclusion, both in Respett of the Vanity of the Phrase, and for that, I publishing about the same Time one of my Commentaries, would, If I had intended the Publication of any such Matter, have done it my self, and not to have suffered any of my Works to pass under the Name of Pricket; and so una voce conclamaverunt omnes, that it was a shameful and shameless Practice, and the Author thereof, to

& devias opinionum abfurditates, sed ipsas etiam voces artis turpiter in alienum fensum usurpatas, & totum deniq; contextum longissime a Jurisconsultorum (de legibus enim agebatur) usu & consuetudine remotissimum esse, animadverterunt, continuo hoc in ore habuerunt, Inimicus & iniquus bomo superseminavit zizania in medio tritici. Deinde alii quoque cordati & æqui 1.ectores, dum generis dicendi & phrasis levitatem serio perpenderunt, fuapte sponte in eandem inciderunt opinionem: nam, cum codem fere tempore Commentarium quendam ipse divulgarem, pro cerro stutuerunt, li ea animus fuisset dimulgandi, memetipfum voluisse, meo proprio nomine, nequaquam nomine Pricket, mea propria opera omnibus inspicienda præbuisse: Idcirco. quanuna voce conclamaverunt omnes, illud ipsum opus tum natura sua maxime nequam esse & puden-

pudendum, cum ab opi- be a wicked and malifice scelerato & mendaci cious Falfary. proficifcatur:

Circumvertit enim vis & injuria quemque, Atque unde exorta est in eum plerunque revertit.

In hisce sicut in aliis meis Relationibus, hoc mihi præcipue curæ fuit, ut (quantum me penes erat) obscuritatem, Ambiguitatem, Periclitatio-Novitatem nem. Prolixitatem aversarer. 1. Obscuritatem, quæsane haud absimilis tenebrarum eft, in quibus mifere folis radiis viduos necesse est huc illuc, ultro citroque, usque quaque deviare. 2. Ambiguitatem, in qua non ut fupra lucis inopia laboramus, fed variis meatuum anfractibus, & irremeabilibus dubitationum mæandris ita distracti sumus, ut quid sequendum, quid fugiendum sit, prorsus ignoremus. 3. Periclitationem, ne quicquam omnino in medium proferrem, quod quæstiones magis novas & controversias ad turbandum, quam tranquillitatem &

In these and the Rest of my Reports, I have (as much as I could) avoided Obscurity, Ambiguity, pardy, Novelty, Prolixity: 1. Obscurity, for that is like unto Darkness, wherein a Man, for want of Light, can hardly with all his stry discern any Way. 2. Ambiguity, where there is Light enough, but there be so many winding and intricate Ways, as a Man for want of Direction, shall be much perplexed and intangled, to find out the right Way. 3. Feopardy, either in publishing of any thing, that might rather stir up Suits and Controversies in this troublesome World, than establish Quietness and Repose be-

4

for a Commentary: should not be like unto the winterly Sun, that raiseth up greater and thicker Mists and Foggs, than it is able to disperse) or in bringing the Reader, by any Means, the least Orestion of Peril or Danger at all. 4. Novelty, for I have ever bolden all new or private Interpretations, or Opinions, which have no Ground or Warrant out of the Reason or Rule of our Books; or former Precedents, to be dangerous, and not worthy of any Obfervation: For Periculosium existimo quod bonorum virorum non comprobatur exemplo. 5. Prolixity, for a Report ought to be no longer than the Matter requireth, and as Languor prolixus gravat medicum, ita relatio prolixa gravat lectorem.

ween Man and Man concordiam ad stabiliendum hunc fluctuantem hominum statum procreet, (non enim convenit, ut hujusmodi Commentarii illud agant qd' plerumque folent hybermi foles, qui densiores nebulas & fuliginoliores concitant, quam quas eifdem radiorum viribus dispergere valent) aut quod Lectorem meum vel in primaria erroris & dubitationis limina quoquo modo ducat. 4. Novitatem, eo quod id maxime laborandum arbitror, ut novas qualcunque interpretatiunculas & privatas opiniones, (qua, fi ad amullim nostrorum librorum & antiquorum exempla applicentur, nequaquam quadrant) periculolissimas, & studies nostris indignissmas evitem: Nam periculosum existimo quod bonorum virorum non comprobatur exemplo. 5. Prolixitatem, cum in Relationibus hoc imprimis sit optandum, ut sint adeo compendiario breves prout necessitas res-

que ipsa serre potest; sicut enim languor prolixus gravat medicum, ita Relatio prolixa gravat lectorem.

Quod casus ille de Postnatis reliquis est prolixior, confitendum est, at vero tres, quæ fufiorem me teceruntin eo renuntiando, caufæ graviores accesserunt. Quod in Camera Scaccarii cafus erat difcuflus, ad quem guidem discutiendum omnes Angliæ Tudices (quemadmodum leges & confuetudines postulant) sigillatim, aperte, & copiofe funt argumentati. 2. Quia non alius fuit uspiam casus in Camera Scaccarii quod quispiam nunc temporis virorum cogitatione potest assequi, quem tot insimul Judices tamque elaborate, pertractarunt: non enim Dominus Cancellarius folum, fed alii etiam quatuordecim Judices in eodem casu vires suas & ingenia limate exercuerunt. 3. Quia tanta fuit varietas atque copia tam materiæ rationum & argumentorum

The Case of Postnati, I confess, is longer. than any of the Rest. and that for three Causes: First, for that it was an Exchequer-Chamber Case, for deciding whereof all the Fudges of England (as the Law doth require) did argue openly, and at large. Secondly, for that never any Case within Man's Memory, was argued by so many Judges in the Exchequer-Chamber as this was, there having argued the Lord Chanand fourteen cellor Fudges. Thirdly, for the Variety as well of the important Matter, as of the several Kinds of excellent Learning and Knowledge, delivered in the Arguments of this Case.

Finally, with these Wishes and Desires I conclude, First, that the Studious Reader might indeed receive as great Profit and Delight in Reading this Work, as I did (unless mine own Judgment deceive me) in composing and framing thereof: Secondly, that quoad ejus fieri possit, quamplurima legibus iptis definiantur, quam pauciffima vero Judicis arbitrio relinquantur.

ponderib' libratæ, quam formæ multis excellentium ingeniorum, mirabiliumque artium ornamentis decoratæ, ut breviter & fuccincte magis referri non posse videbatur.

Nunc demum, hoculterius tantum votis amplector meis; Primum ut studiosus Lector quantam ego quidem (si non meum me deluferit judicum) in componendis & formandis, tantam ille itidem revera in legendis hisce Relationibus utilitatem fimul & voluptatem excerpat; Deinde ut quoad ejus fieri po[]it, quamplurima legibus ipsis definiantur, quam paucissima vero Judicis arbitrio relinguantur.

Casuum

dia Cale

Casuum istius libri series.

Calvin's Cafe	Trin. 6 Jacobi. Fol.	I
Bulwer's Cafe	**************************************	1
Sir Miles Corbet's Cafe	Hill. 27 Eliz.	5
Cases upon the Statute	of 13 Edw. 1. of Wirefter.	1-
	Trin. 27 Eliz.	6
	Military of the state of the st	6
	hrt ·	6
The Earl of Bedford's Cafe	Wich 0 0 - 711	7:
	Trin. 33 Eliz.	
	W #* 4	9 I
Sir Thomas Cecil's Cafe	Mich of Star Tile	5
The Tord Anderson's	Pict	8
The Lord Anderson's Case	1 rm. 41 Eliz. 2	2
But's Cafe	Trin. 42 Eliz. 2	3
Cases of Q	uare Impedit.	
Hall's Cafe	Pasch. 31 Eliz. 2	2
Sir Hugh Portman's Case	70 C 1 7011	5
Baskervil's Cafe.		7
Andrice Art P. Anyol		8
	Maund	ŝ
	and the second s	

a Casuum istii	is Libri series. P	ART VII.
Maund's Cafe	Hill. 43 Eliz.	28
Dicontinuance of Proc Ge. by the Death	ess. Trin. 1 Tac.	29
the Queen.	and the second section of the second section is a second s	and the
The Case of a Fine vied by the Kin	le-Mich. 2 Jac.	3.2
Tenant in Tail, 6	· C.	
Nevil's Cafe	Mich. 2 Jac.	22
Penal Statutes	Hill. 2 Jac.	33 35
Lillingston's Case.	Mich. 5 Jac.	38
Bedel's Cafe	Mich. 5 Jac.	40
Beresford's Cafe.	Mich. 5 Jac.	-
Kenn's Cafe	Mich. 4 Jac.	41 42

Postnati,

Postnati.

Trin. 6 Jacobi Regis.

CALVIN'S Cafe.

Acobus Dei gratia Angl', Scot', Franc', & Hibern' Rea, Ellesmere's fidei defensor', &c. Vic' Midd' Salut'. Questus est nobis Postnatii, 2,8cc. Robertus Calvin gener. quod Richardus Smith & Nicolaus Smith injuste & sine judicio disseis. eum de libero tenemento fuo in Haggard, alias Haggerston, alias Aggerston, in paroch' Sancti Leonardi in Shoreditch infra triginta annos jans ultim' slapsos. Et ideo tibi præcipimus quod si prædict' Robert. fecerit te securum de clameo suo pros. tunc fac tenementum ill' reseis. de catallis que in ipso capt. fuerint, & ipsum tenementum cum catallis esse in pace usque Liem Fovis proxim. post quindena Sancti Martini proxim. futur, Et interim fac' xii liber' & legal' homines de visn. illo videre tenement' ill' & nomina corum imbr. Et summ' cos per bonos summ' quod tunc sint coram nobis ubicunque tunc fuerimus in Angl' parat' inde facere recognit'. Et pone per vad & Jalvos pleg prædictos Richardum & Nicolaum vel ballivos fuos si ipsi invent' non fuerint, quod tunc sint ibi aud' ill recognit'. Et habeas ibi summ' nomina pleg', & hoc breve. T. meitso apud Westm. tertio die Novembris, anno regni nostri Angl', Franc', & Hibern quinto, & Scot quadragesimo primo.

Pro quadragint: solid` solut` in Hanaperio.

Kindesley

Assisa ven recogn si Ric Smith & Nic. Smith injuste & sine judicio disseis. Rob. Calvin gener de libero tenem suo

Midd, ff.

in Haggard, alias Haggerst' alias Aggerst' in paroch' S. Leonardi in Shoreditch infra triginta ann' jam ultimos elapsos: Et unde id' Rob. qui infra ætat' vigint' & unius annor' existit, per Johan Parkinson & Willihelm, Parkinson Guardianos suos ter cur' dom' regis hic ad hoc conjunctim & divisim specialiter admiss. queritur qd disseis eum de uno mess cum pertin', &c. Et prad' Richardus & Nic. per Willihelm. Edw. attornat' suum ven', & dicunt quod præd' Robertus ad breve suum prad' responderi non debet, quia dicunt qd' præd' Robertus est alienigen. natus quinto die Novemb' anno regni domini regis nunc Angl', Franc', & Hibern' tertio, & Scot' tricesimo nono apud Edenborough infra regnum suum Scot' præd' ac infra ligeane' dieti dom'Reg' dieti regni sui Scot', ac extra ligeanc' dicti domini regis regni sui Angl'. Quodque tempore nativitatis præd' Roberti Calvin ac diu antea & continue postea præd' regnum Scot' per jura, leges, & statuta ejuld regni propria, & non per jura, leges, vel statuta hujus regni Angl', regulat' & gubernat' fuit, & adhuc est. Et hoc parat' sunt verificare, unde pet. judicium si præd' Robert' ad breve suum præd' responderi debeat, &c. Et præd' Robert. Calvin dicit, quod pradictum placitum per prad' Richardum & Nicolaum superius placitat minus sufficiens in lege existit ad ipsum Robertum a respons, ad breve suum . pr.ed' habend' repellend' quodque ipse idem Robertus ad placit' ill' modo & forma præd' placitat' necesse non habet nec per legem terr' tenetur respondere. Et boc parat' est verisicare, unde petit judicium: Et quod præd' Richardus & Nic. ad præd' breve iffins Roberti respondeant. Et præd' Richardus & Nic. ex quo ifsi sufficien materiam in lege ad ipsum Rob' a respons, ad breve præd habend' repellend' futerius allegaver, quam ifst parat sunt verificare; quam quidem materiam præd' Robertus non dedic', nec ad eam aliqualiter respondet, sed verificat' ill' penitus admittere omnino recusat, ut prius pet' judicium si præd' Robertus ad breve sum trad responderi debeat, &c. Et quia cur domini regis hie de judicio suo de & super præmiss. reddendo nondum advitatur, dies inde dat est partibus præd coram domino rege apud Westmonaster. usque diem Lune prox' post octab' Sancti Hillarii de judicio suo inde audiendo, eo quod cur' domini regis hic inde nondum, &c. Et assisa prad' reman capiend coram ecdem domino rege usque eundem diem Lun' ibidem, &c. Et vicecom' distring' recegn' Assis, præd' Et interim fac. visum, &c. Ad quem diem coram domino Rege apud Westmonasterium ven' tam prædictus Robertus Calvin per Guardianos suos pradict quam prad Richardus Smith & Nicolaus Smith per attornatum suum præd. Et quia curia domini regis hic de judicio

cio suo inde & super pramissis reddendo nondum advisatura dies inde dat est partibus præd' coram domino rege apud Westm' usque diem Lun' prox post crastin' ascensionis domini de judició suo inde audiend' eo qd' cur' domini regis hic inde nondum, &c. Et affisa præd' reman' ulterius capiend' usque eundem diem Lun ibidem, &c. Et vicecom' sicut alias distring' recogn' affif. prad' & interim fac. visum, &c. Ad quem diem coram domino rege apud Westm' ven' tam præd' Rob. Calvin per Guardianos suos præd', quam præd' Ri-chardus Smith & Nic. Smith per attornat sium præd', &c.

Et quia curia, &c.

The Question of this Matter in Law was, whether Ro. The Question. bert Calvin the Plaintiff (being both in Scotland fince the Crown of England descended to his Majesty) be an Alien born, and confequently disabled to bring any real or personal (a) Action for any Lands within the Realm of Engl. After (a) 1Bult. 1344 this Case had been argued in the Court of the K's Bench, Yelv. 198. at the Bar, by the Counsel learned of either Parry, the Judges Co. Lit. 129, b. of that Court upon Conference and Confideration of the i And. 25. Weight and Importance thereof, adjourned the same (ac- Moor 451. Weight and Importance thereor, adjourned the lame (according to the ancient and ordinary Course and Order of the Cr.El. 142,683. Law) into the (b) Exchequer-chainber, to be argued openly C.o. Car 9. there; first by the Counsel learned of either Party, and then 4 Inst. 152 by all the Judges of England; where afterwards the Case hath proceedwas argued by Bacon Solicitor General, on the Part of the ed. Plaintiff, and by Laur. Hide for the Defendant; and after- (b) 2 Bullt. 146. ward by Hobart Attorney General for the Plaintiff, and by Serjeant Hutton for the Defendant; and in Easter Term last, the Case was argued by Heron puishe Baron of the Exchequer, and Foster puisne Judge of the Court of Common Pleas; and on the second Day appointed for this Case, by Crook puisne Judge of the King's Bench, and Altham Baron of the Exchequer; the third Day by Snigge Baron of the Exchequer, and Williams one of the Judges of the King's Bench; the fourth Day by Daniel one of the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, and by Yelverton one of the Judges of King's Bench: And in Trinity Term following, by Warburton one of the Judges of the Common Pleas, and Fenner one of the Judges of the King's Bench; and after by Walmesley one of the Judges of the Common Pleas, and Tanfield Chief Baron; and at two several Days in the same Term, Coke Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, Fleming Chief Justice of the King's Bench, and Sir Thomas Eggerton, Lord Ellesmere, Lord Chancellor of England, argued the Case (the like Plca in Disability

The Arguments and Objections on the Part of the Defendant.

of Robert Calvin's Person being pleaded mutatis mutandis in the Chancery in a Suit there for Evidence concerning Lands of Inheritance, and by the Lord Chancellor adjourned also into the Exchequer-chamber, to the End that one Rule might over-rule both the faid Cases.) And first (for that I intend to make as summary a Report as I can) I will at the first fet down such Arguments and Objections as were made and drawn out of this short Record against the Plaintiff, by those that argued for the Defendants. It was observed, that in this Plea there were four Nouns, quatuor nomina, which were called nomina operativa, because from them all the faid Arguments and Objections on the Part of the Defendants were drawn; that is to fay, 1. Ligeantia (which is twice repeated in the Plea, for it is faid, infra ligeantiam domini regis regni sui Scot', & extra ligeantiam domini regis regni sui Angl.) 2. Regnum (which also appeareth to be twice mentioned, viz. regnum Angl', and regnum Scot'.) 3. Leges (which are twice alledged, viz. Leges Angl', and Leges Scot', two several and distinct Laws.) 4. Alienigena (which is the Conclusion of all, viz. that Robert Calvin is Alienigena.) By the first it appeareth, that the Defendants do make two Ligeances, one of England, and another of Scotland, and from these several Ligeances two Arguments were framed, which briefly may be concluded thus. Whosoever is born infra ligeantiam, within the Ligeance of King James of his Kingdom of Scotland, is Alienigena, an Alien born, as to the Kingdom of England: But Rebert Calvin was born at Edenburgh, within the Ligeance of the King of his Kingdom of Scotland; therefore Robert Calvin is Alienigena, an Alien born, as to the Kingdom of England. 2. Whosoever is born extra ligeantiam, out of the Ligeance of King James of his Kingdom of England, is an Alien as to the Kingdom of England; but the Plaintiff was born out of the Ligeance of the King of his Kingdom of England; therefore the Plaintiff is an Alien, &c. Both these Arguments are drawn from the very Words of the Plea, viz. Quod pred' Robertus eft alienigena, natus 5 Novemb. anno regni domini regis nunc Angl' Ec. tertio apud Edenburgh infra regnum Scot', ac infra ligeantiam disti domini regis disti regni sui Scot', ac extra ligeantiam dicti domini regis regni sui Angl'. From the (a) Ellesmere's several Kingdoms, viz. regnum Angl' and regnum Scot', three Arguments were drawn. 1. Quando (a) duo jura (imo duo regna) concurrunt in una persona, e-quam est ac si essent in diversis: But in the King's Per-Moor 793, 804. fon there concur two diffinet and feveral Kingdoms; therefore it is all one as if they were in divers Persons,

Postnati 88. Postea 14 b. 4 Co. 118. a. Cawly 209.

and consequently the Plaintiff is as Alien, ac all the Antenati are, for that they were born under the Ligeance of another King. 2. Whatsoever is due to the King's several politick Capacities of the several Kingdoms is several and divided; but Ligeance of each Nation is due to the King's several politick Capacities of the several Kingdoms; Ergo, The Ligeance of each Nation is several and divided, and consequently the Plaintiff is an Alien, for that they that are born under several Ligeances are Aliens one to another, 3. Where the King hath several Kingdoms by several Titles and Descents; there also are the Ligeances several; but the King hath these two Kingdoms by several Titles and Descents, therefore the Ligeances are several. These three Arguments are collected also from the Words of the Plea before remembered.

From the feveral and distinct Laws of either Kingdom. they did reason thus; 1. Every Subject that is born out of the Extent and Reach of the Laws of England, cannot by Judgment of those Laws be a natural Subject to the King, in Respect of his Kingdom of England; but the Plaintiff was born at Edenburgh, out of the Extent and Reach of the Laws of England; therefore the Plaintiff by the Judgment of the Laws of England cannot be a natural Subject to the King, as of his Kingdom of England. 2. That Subject, that is not at the Time and in the Place of his Birth inheritable to the Laws of England, cannot be inheritable or Partaker of the Benefits and Privileges given by the Laws of England; but the Plaintiff at the Time, and in the Place of his Birth was not inheritable to the Laws of England, (but only to the Laws of Scotland;) therefore he is not inheritable or to be Partaker of the Benefits or Privileges of the Laws of England. 3. Whatfoever appeareth to be out of the Jurisdiction of the Laws of England, cannot be tried by the same Laws; but the Plaintiff's Birth at Edenburgh is out of the Jurisdiction of the Laws of England; therefore the same cannot be tried by the Laws of England. Which three Arguments were drawn from these Words of the Plea, viz. Quodque tempore nativitatis prad' Roberti Calvin, ac din antea, & continue postea, pried regrum Scot' per jura, leges & statuta ejusdem regni propria, & non per jura, leges, seu statuta hujus regni Angl' regulat' & gubernat' fuit. & adbuc est. From this Word Alienigena they argued thus: Every Subject that is alien' gentis (i.e.) alien' ligeant, est alienigena; but such a one is the Plaintiff; therefore, So. And to these nine Arguments, all that was spoken learns edly and at large by those that argued against the Plaintiff may be reduced.

But it was resolved by the Lord Chancellor and twelve Judges, viz. the two Chief Justices, the Chief Baron, Justice Fenner, Warberton, Telverton, Daniel, Williams, Baron Snig, Baron Altham, Justice Crooke, and Baron Heron, that the Plaintiff was no Alien, and consequently that he ought to be answered in this Assis by the Desendants.

How this Cafe was argued by the Ld. Chancellor and the Judges,

This Cafe was as elaborately, substantially, and judicially argued by the Lord Chancellor, and by my Brethren the Judges, as I ever read or heard of any; and so in mine Opinion the Weight and Consequence of the Cause, both in præsenti & perpetuis futuris temporibus juffly deserved; for though it was one of the shortest and least that ever we argued in this Court, yet was it the longest and weightiest that ever was argued in any Court, the shortest in Syllables, and the longest in Substance; the least for the Value (and yet not tending to the Right of that least) but the weightlest for the Consequent, both for the present, and for all Posterity. And therefore it was said, that those that had written de fossilibus did observe, that Gold hidden in the Bowels of the Earth, was in Respect of the Mass of the whole Earth, parvum in magno; but of this short Plea it might be truly faid (which is more strange) that here was magnum in parvo. And in the Arguments of those that argued for the Plaintiff I specially noted, That albeit they spake according to their own Heart, yet they spake not out of their own Head and Invention: Wherein they followed the Counsel given in God's Book, Interroga prislinam generationem (for out of the old Fields must come the new Corn) & diligenter investiga patrum memoriam, and diligently fearch out the Judgments of our Forefathers, and that for divers Reasons: First on our own Part, Hesterni enim sumus & ignoramus, & vita nestra sicut umbra super terram; for we are but of yesterday, (and therefore had need of the Wisdom of those that were before us) and had been ignorant (if we had not received Light and Knowledge from our Forefathers) and our Days upon the Earth are but as a Shadow, in Respect of the old ancient Days and Times past, wherein the Laws have been by the Wisdom of the most excellent Men, in many Successions of Ages, by long and continual Experience (the Trial of Right and Truth) fined and refined, which no one Man (being of so short a Time) albeit he had in his Head the Wisdom of all the Men in the World, in any one Age could ever have effected or attained unto. And therefore it is optima regula, qua nulla est verior aut sirmior in jure, neminem oportet esse sapientiorem legibus; no Man ought to

Co Lit. 97. b.

Job. 8. 8.

tako

take upon him to be wifer than the Laws. Secondly, in Respect of our Forefathers: Ifti (faith the Text) docebunt te, & loquentur tibi, & ex corde suo proferunt eloquia, they shall teach thee, and tell thee, and utter the Words of their Heart, without all Equivocation or mental Reservation, they (I say) that cannot be daunted with Fear of any Power above them, nor be dazzled with the Applause of the popular about them, nor fretted with any Discontentment (the Matter of Opposition and Contradiction) within them, but shall speak the Words of their Heart, without all Affection or Infection whatfoever.

Also in their Arguments of this Cause concerning an Alien, they told no strange Histories, cited no foreign Laws, produced no alien Precedents, and that for two Caufes; the one, for that the Laws of England are fo copious in this Point, as, God willing, by the Report of this Cafe shall appear; the other, left their Arguments concerning an Alien born, should become foreign, strange, and an Alien to the State of the Question, which being quastio juris concerning Freehold and Inheritance in England, is only to be decided by the Laws of this Realm. And albeit I concurred with those that adjudged the Plaintiff to be no Alien, yet do I find a mere Stranger in this Case, such a one as the Eye of the Law (our Books and Book-cases) never saw (as the Ears of the Law (our Reporters never heard of, nor the Mouth of the Law (for Judex oft lex loquens) the Judges our Forefathers of the Law never tasted: I say, such a one, as the Stomach of the Law, our exquisite and perfect Records of Plead. Entries and Judgm. (that make equal and true Distribution of all Cases in Question) never digested. In a Word, this little Plea is a great Stranger to the Laws of England, as The Method shall manifestly appear by the Resolution of this Case. And the Method now that I have taken upon me to make a Report of their porter doth Arguments, I ought to do the same as truly, fully, and fin- use. cerely as possibly I can; howbest, seeing that almost every Judge had in the Course of his Argument a peculiar Method, and I must only hold my felf to one, I shall give no just Offence to any, if I challenge that which of Right is due to every Reporter, that is, to reduce the Sum and Effeet of all to such a Method, as upon Consideration had of all the Arguments, the Reporter himself thinketh to be fittest and clearest for the right Understanding of the true Reasons and Causes of the Judgment and Resolution of the Case in Question.

In this Case five Things did fall into Confideration. 1. Li- What Things geantia. 2. Leges. 3. Regna. 4. Alienigena. legal Inconveniences would enfue on either Side.

did fall into 5. What Consideration in this Cale.

1. Concerning Ligeance: 1. It was refolved what Ligeance was: 2. How many Kinds of Ligeances there were: 3., Where Ligeance was due: 4. To whom it was due: And

lastly, How it was due.

2. For the Laws: 1. That Ligeance or Obedience of the Subject to the Sovereign is due by the Law of Nature: 2.. That this Law of Nature is Part of the Laws of England: 3.. That the Law of Nature was before any judicial or municipal Law in the World: 4. That the Law of Nature is immutable, and cannot be changed.

3. As touching the King loms: How far forth by the Act of Law the Union is already made, and wherein the King-

doms do yet remain separate and divided.

4. Of Alienigena, an Alien born: 1. What an Alien born is in Law: 2. The Division and Diversity of Aliens: 3. Incidents to every Alien. 4. Authorities in Law. 5. Demonstrative Conclusions upon the Premisses, that the Plaintiff can be no Alien.

5. Upon due Consideration had of the Consequent of this Case: What Inconveniences legal should follow on either

Party.

And these several Parts I will in this Report pursue in such Order as they have been propounded; and 1st de Ligeantia.

1. (a) Ligeance is a true and faithful Obedience of the Subral Part; What ject due to his Sovereign. This Ligeance and Obedience is (a) Bacon's Dif. an Incident inseparable to every Subject; for as soon as he course of Laws is born he oweth by Birth-right Ligeance and Obedience to his Sovereign. Ligeantia est vinculum sidei: and Ligeantia est quasi legis essentia. Ligeantia est Ligamentum, quasi ligatio mentium; quia sicut ligamentum est connexio articulorum & juncturar, &c. As the Ligatures or Strings do knit together the Joints of all the Parts of the Body, so doth Ligeance join together the Sovereign and all his Subjects, quasi uno ligamine. Glanvil, who wrote in the Reign of H. z. lib. 9. cap. 4. speaking of the Connexion which ought to be between the Lord and Tenant that holderh by Homage faith, That mutua debet esse domini & fidelitatis connexio, ita quod quantum debet domino ex homagio, tantum illi debet dominus ex dominio, præter solam reverentiam, and the Lord (faith he) ought to defend his Tenant. But between the Sovereign and the Subject there is wirhout Comparison a higher and greater Connexion; for as the Sub-

ject oweth to the K. his true and faithful Ligeance and Obedience, so the Sovereign is to govern and protect his Subjects,

The ift geneand Governm. 2d Part fo. 46, 47, &c. Co.; Lit. 129. a.

regere & protegere subditos suos; so as between the Sovereign and Subject there is duplex & reciprocum ligamen; quia sicut subditus regi tenetur ad obedientiam, ita rex subdito tenetur ad protectionem: merito igitur ligeantia dicitur a ligando, quia continet in se duplex ligamen. And therefore it is holden in 20 H.7, 8.a. that there is a Liege or Ligeance between the King and the Subject. And Fortesoue, cap.13. Rex (a) ad tutelam legis corporum & bonorum ere- (a) Cro. Arg. Etus est. And in the Acts of Parliament of 10 R. 2. c. 5. 5 64. 11 R. 2. cap. 1. 14 H. 8. cap. 2, &c. Subjects are called Liege People; and in the Acts of Parliament in 34 H.8. c.r. & 35 H. 8. cap. 3, &c. the King is called the Liege Lord of his Subjects. And with this agreeth M. Skeene in his Book de expositione verborum, (which Book was cited by one of the Judges which argued against the Plaintiff) Ligeance is the mutual Bond and Obligation between the King and his Subjects, whereby Subjects are called his Liege Subjects, because they are bound to obey and serve him, and he is called their Liege Lord, because he should maintain and defend them. Whereby it appeareth, that in this Point the Law of England and of Scotland is all one. Therefore it is truly said that protectio trabit subjectionem, & subjectio protectionem. And hereby it plainly appeareth, that Ligeance doth not begin by the Oath in the Leet; for many Men owe true Ligeance that never were fworn in a Leer, and the Swearing in a Leet maketh no b) Denization, as the (6)Br.Deniz.t. Book is adjudged in 14 H. 4. fol. 19.b. This Word Ligeance Polica 15.b. is well expressed by divers several Names or Synonyma which we find in our Books. Sometime it is called the Obedience or Obeylance of the Subject to the King, obedientia regi, 9E.4. 7.b. 9 E. 4. 6. (c) 2 R.3.2.a. in the Book of Entries, Ejectione firm 7, 14 H. 8. cap. 2. 22 H. 8. cap. (c) Br. Deniz. & 8, &c. Sometime he is called a natural Liege Man that is born under the Power of the King, fub potestate Regis, 4 H. 3. (d) Tit. Dower. Vide the Statute of ri E. 3. c. 2. Some- (d) 4 Hen. 3. times Ligeance is called Faith, Fides, ad fidem regis, &c. itz.Dow.179. Bracton, who wrote in the Reign of H. 3. lib. 5. tractat' de Ellesmere's exception c. 24. fol. 427. Est etiam alia exceptio quæ com- Postnari 13, 14. petit ex persona quærentis, propter desectum nationis, ut st quis alienigena qui fuit ad fidem Regis Franc, &c. And Fleta (which Book was made in the Reign of E. 1.) agreeth therewith; for 1.6.c.47. de except' ex omissione participis, it is faid, vel dicere posuit, qu'nibil juris clamare poterit tanquam (e) Lic Sed. 85. particeps eo qu'est ad fidem Regis Franciæ, quia alienigenæ repelli debent in Angl' ab agendo, dones fuerunt ad fidem Reg' Angl. Vide 25 E.3. de natis ultra mare, Faith and Ligeance of the King of England; & Litt. 1. 2. c. Homage, faving the Faith that I owe to our Sov. Ld. the K. and Glanv, Lo.z. 1. Salva. fide debita dom' Regits barrailus fuis. Sometimes Ligeance is

ealled Ligealty, 22 Aff. Pl. 25. By all which it evidently appeareth, that they that are born under the Obedience, Power, Faith, Ligealty, or Ligeance of the King, are natural Subjects, and no Aliens. So, as feeing now it doth appear what Ligeance is, it followeth in Order, that we speak of the feveral Kinds of Ligeance. But herein we need to be very wary, for this Caveat the Law giveth, ubi lex non distinguit nec nos distinguere debemus.; and certainly lex non disting. but where omnia membra dividentia are to be found out and proved by the Law itself.

2. There is found in the Law 4 Kinds of Ligeances; the

first is, ligeantia naturalis, absoluta, pura, & indefinita, and

this originally is due by Nature and Birthright, and is called alta Ligeantia, and he that oweth this is called subditus natus. The second is called Ligeantia acquisita, not by Nature but by Acquifition or Denization, being called a Denizen, or rather Donaizon, because he is subditus datus. The

third is Ligeantia localis, wrought by the Law, and that is when an Alien that is in Amity cometh into England, because as long as he is within Engl. he is within the Kings Protection; therefore so long as he is there, he oweth unto the King a local Obedience or Ligeance, for that the one (as it hath been faid) draweth the other. The fourth is a

How many Kinds of Ligeances there

Co. Lit. 129.a.

legal Obedience, or Ligeance which is called legal, because the municipal Laws of this Realm have prescribed the Order and Form of it; and this to be done upon Oath at the Ligeanria natu- Torn or Leet. The first, that is, Ligeance natural, &c. ap-Co. Lit. 129. a. peareth by the faid Acts of Parliament, wherein the King is called natural Liege Lord, and his People natural Liege Subjects; this also doth appear in the Indictments of Treason which of all other Things are the most curiously and certainly indited and penned) for in the Indicament of the Lord Dacre, in 26 H.8. it is said, præd' Dominus Dacre debitum fidei & ligeant' sua qd' prasato domino regi naturaliter & de jure impendere debuit, minime curans, &c. And Reginald Pool was indicted in 30 H. 8. for committing Treason contra dom' regem supremum & naturalem dominum suum. And to this End were cited the Indicament of Edw. Duke of Somerset in 5 E. 6. and many others both of ancient and later Times. But in the Indictment of Treason of John Dethick in 2 & 3 Phil. & Mar. it is said 9d' præd' Johannes machinans, &c. prædict' dominum Philippum & dominam Mariam supremos dominos suos, and omitted (naturales) because K. Philip was not his natural Liege Lord. And of this Point more shall be said when we speak of local Obedience. The 2d is Ligeant' acquisita, or Denization; and this in the Books and Records of the Law appeareth to be three-Co. Lit. 129. 2. fold: 1. Absolute, as the com. Denizations be, to them and their

Ligeantia ac-

Heirs,

Heirs, without any Limitation or Restraint: 2. Limited, as when the King doth grant Letters of Denization to an Alien, and to the Heirs (a) Males of his Body, as it appear- (a) 9 E.4. 8. eth in 9E.4. fel.7. in Baggot's Cafe; or to an Alien for I'erm of his Life, as was granted to J. Reynel, 11 H. 6. 3. It may be granted upon (b) Condition; for (c) cujus est darc, ejus (b) Co. Lit. est disponere, whereof I have seen divers Precedents. And (c) 2 Co. 7. b. this Denization of an Alien may be effected three Manner + Inft. 192. of Ways; by Parliament, as it was in 3 H. 6. 55. in Dozeer: 2 Siderf. 73. By Letters Patents, as the usual Manner is; and by Con-Lit. Rep. 128. quest, as if the King and his Subjects should conquer another Kingdom or Dominion, as well Antenati as Postnati, as well they which fought in the Field, as they which remained at Home, for Defence of their Country, or employed elsewhere, are all Denizens of the Kingdom or Dominion conquered. Of which Point more shall be faid hereafter.

3. Concerning the local Obedience it is observable, that Ligeantia loas there is a local Protection on the King's Part, fo calis. there is a d) local Ligeance of the Subjects Part. And 129. a. this appeareth in 4 Mar. Br. 32. (c) & 3 & 4 Phil. & Mar. (e) B N.C.487. Dyer 144. Skerley a French Man, being in Amity with the King, came into England, and joined with divers Subjects of this Realm in Treason against the King and Queen, and (f) Hob. 271. the Indictment concluded (f) contra ligeant' sue debitum; Co. Lit. 129.2. for he owed to the King a local Obedience, that is, fo long as Dyer 145 pl 62, he was within the King's Protection; which local Obedience Canly 184. being but momentary and incertain, is strong enough to make a natural Subject, for if he hath Issue here, that Issue is g) a (g) Co. Lit. 8.2. natural born Subject; a fertiori he that is born under the natural and absolute Ligeance of the King (which as it hath been said, is alta Ligeantia) as the Pl. in the Case in Question was, ought to be a natural born Subject; for localis ligeantia est ligeantia infima, & minima, & maxima incerta. And it is to be observed, that it is nec calum, nec folum, neither the Climate nor the Soil, but ligeantia and chedientia that make the Subject born; for if Enemies should come into the Realm, and possess a Town or Fort, and have Issue there, that Islue is no Subject to the King of England, tho' he be born upon his Soil, and under his Meridian, for that he was not born under the Ligeance of a Subject, nor under the Protection of the King. And concerning this local Obedience, a Precedent was cited in Hillar. 36 Eliz. when Stephano Ferrara de Gama, and Emanuel: Lewes Tincco, two Portugals born, coming into England under Queen Elizabeth's fate Conduct, and living here under her Protection joined with Doctor Lopes in Treason within

(4) 3 Inft. 12. Cawly 185. Hob. 271. Co. Lit. 129.a. c) Bacon's Hift.H.7.fo. 11.

this Realm against her Majesty; and in this Case 2 Points were resolved by the Judges. First, that their Indicament ought to begin, that they intended Treason contra dominam * reginam, &c. omitting these Words (naturalem domin' suam) and ought to conclude contra (a) ligeant' sue debitum. But Dy. 145. pl.62. if an (b) alien Enemy come to invade this Realm, and be taken in War, he cannot be indicted of Treason; for the Indictment cannot conclude contra ligeant' fue debitum, for (b) 3 Inst. 5.11. he never was in the Protection of the King, nor ever owed any Manner of Ligeance unto him, but Malice and Enmity, and therefore he shall be put to Death by martial Law. And so it was in anno 15 H. 7. in Perkin Warbeck's Case, who being an Alien born in Flanders, feigned himself to be one of the Sons of Edward the fourth, and invaded this Realm with great Power, with an Intent to take upon him the Dignity Royal: But being taken in the War, it was refolved by the Justices, that he could not be punished by the Common Law, but before the Constable and Marshal (who had special Commission under the great Seal to hear and determine the same according to martial Law) he had Sentence to be drawn, hanged, and quartered, which was executed accordingly. And this appeareth in the Book of Griffeth Attorney General, by an Extract out of the Book of Hobart, Attorney General to King H. 7. 4. Now are we to speak of legal Ligeance, which in our

Ligeantia legalis.

Co. Lit. 68. b.

Books, viz. 7 E. 2. Tit. Avowry 211. 4 E. 3. fol. 42. 13 E. 3. Tit. Avowry 120, &c. is called Suit Royal, because that the Ligeance of the Subject is only due unto the King. This Oath of Ligeance appeareth in Britton, who wrote in anno 5 E. 1. cap. 29. 'and is yet commonly in Use to this Day in every Leet) and in our Books; the Effect whereof is: You shall swear, that from this Day forward, you shall be true and faithful to our Sovereign Lord King James, and his Heirs, and Truth and Faith shall bear of Life and Member, and terrene Honour, and you shall neither know nor hear of any Ill or Damage intended unto him, that you shall not defend. So help you Almighty God. The Substance and Effect hereof is as hath been faid) due by the Law of Nature, ex institutione natur', as hereafter shall appear: The Form and Addition of the Oath is, ex provisione hominis. In this Oath of Ligeance five Things were observed. 1. That for the Time it is indefinite, and without Limit, from this Day forward; Secondly, Two excellent Qualities are required, that is to be true and faithful; 3. To whom, to our Sovereign Lord the King and his Heirs: (And albeit Britton doth fay, to the King of England, that is spoken propter excellentiam, to defign the Person, and not

Co. Lit. 68. b.

to confine the Ligeance; for a Subject doth not swear his Ligeance to the King, only as King of England, and not to him as King of Scotland, or of Ireland, &c. but generally to the King;) 4. In what Manner, and Faith and Troth shall bear, &c. of Life and Member, that is, until the Letting out of the last Drop of our dearest Heart Blood. 5. Where and in what Places ought these Things to be done, in all Places what soever; for, you shall neither know nor hear of amy Ill or Damage, &c. that you shall not defend, &c. so as natural Ligeance is not circumfcribed within any Place. It is holden 12 H. 7. 18. b. That he that is fworn in the Leet, is sworn to the King for his Ligeance, that is, to be true and faithful to the King; and if he be once fworn for his Ligeance, he shall not be sworn again during his Life. And all Letters Patents of Denization be, that the Patentee shall behave himself tanquam verus & fidelis ligeus demini Regis. And this Oath of Ligeance at the Tourn and Leet was first instituted by King Arthur; for so I read, Inter leges Sancti Edwardi Regis ante conquestum 3. cap. 35. Et quod omnes principes & comites, proceres, milites, & liberi homines debent jurare, &c. in Folkemote, & similiter omnes proceres regni, & milites & liberi homines universi totius regni Britann' facere debent in pleno Folkemote fideli-tatem domino Regi, &c. Hanc legem invenit Arthurus qui quondam fuit inclytissimus Rex Britonum, &c. bujus legis authoritate expulit Arthurus Rex Saracenos & inimicos a Co. Lit. 68. b. regno, &c. & bujus legis authoritate Etheldredus Rex uno 172. b. & eodem die per universum regnum Danos occidit. Vide Lambert inter Regis Edwardi, &c. fol. 135 & 136. By this it appeareth, when and from whom this legal Ligeance had his first Institution within this Realm. Ligeantia in the Case in Question is meant and intended of the first Kind of Ligeance, that is, of Ligeance natural, absolute, &c. due by Nature and Birthright. But if the Plaintiff's Father be made a Denizen, and purchase Lands in England Co. Lit. 8. a. to him and his Heirs, and die seised, this Land shall never descend to the Plaintiff, for that the King by his Letters Parents may make a Denizen, but cannot naturalize him to all Purposes, as an Act of Parliament may do; neither can Letters Patents make any inheritable in this Case, that by the Common Law cannot inherit. And herewith agreeth 36 H. 8. Tit. Denizen Br. 9.

Homage in our Books is twofold, that is to fay, Homagium Homage is Ligeum, and that is as much as Ligeance, of which Bracton twofold. speaketh, 1.2.6.35. f. 79. Soli Regi debet' fine domin', feu servit', Vaugh. 279.

PART VII.

the

Vaugh. 279.

and there is Homagium feodale, which hath his Original by Tenure. In Fit. Nat. Brev. 269. there is a Writ for Respiting of this later Homage (which is due ratione feodi live tenur':) Sciatis quod respectuamus homagium nobis de terr' Es tenementis que tenentur de nobis in capite debit': But Homavium ligeum, i. Ligeantia, is inherent and inseparable. and cannot be respited.

Where natural Ligeance is due.

3. Now are we come to (and almost past) the Confideration of this Circumstance, where natural Ligeance should be due: For by that which hath been faid it appeareth. that Ligeance, and Faith and Truth, which are her Members and Parts, are Qualities of the Mind and Soul of Man. and cannot be circumscribed within the Predicament of ubi. for that were to confound Predicaments, and to go about to drive (an absurd and impossible Thing) the Predicament of Quality into the Predicament of ubi. Non respondetur ad hanc questionem, ubi est? to say, Verus & fidelis subditus est; sed ad banc questionem, qualis est? Recte & apte respondetur, verus & fidelis ligeus. Ec. est. But yet for the greater Illustration of the Matter. this Point was handled by it felf, and that Ligeance of the Subject was of as great an Extent and Latitude, as the Royal Power and Protection of the King, & e converso. It appeareth by the Stat. of 11 H. 7. cap. 1. and 2 E. 6. cap. 2. that the Subjects of England are bound by their Ligeance to go with the King, &c. in his Wars, as well within the Realm, &c. as without. And therefore we daily fee, that when either Ireland, or any other of his Majesty's Dominions. be infested with Invasion or Insurrection, the King of England tenderh his Subjects out of England, and his Subjects out of Scotland also into Ireland, for the Withstanding or Suppressing of the same, to the End his Rebels may feel the Swords of either Nation. And so may his Subjects of Gernsey, Fersey, Isle of Man, &c. be commanded to make their Swords good against either Rebel or Enemy, as Occasion shall be offered; whereas if natural Ligeance of the Subjects of England should be local, that is, confined within the Realm of England or Scotland, &c. then were nor they bound to go out of the Continent of the Realm of England or Scotland, &c. And the Opinion of Thirninge in 7 H. 4. Tit. Protect' 100. is thus to be understood, that an English Subject is not compellable to go out of the Realm without Wages, according to the Statutes of 1 E.3.c.7.18 E.

2 Inst. 47, 48, £28.

2 Inft. 528.

3. c. 8. 18 H.6. c. 19, &c. 7 H. 7. c. 1. 3 H. 8. c. 5, &c. In ann. 25 E. 1. Bigot Earl of Norfolk and Suffolk, and Earl Marshal of England, and Bohun Earl of Hereford and high Constable of England, did exhibit a Petition to the King in French (which I have seen antiently recorded) on

the Behalf of the Commons of England, concerning how and in what Sort they were to be employed in his Majesty's Wars out of the Realm of England; and the Record faith, that, post multa: & varias altercationes, it was refolved, 2 Inst. 528. they ought to go but in such Manner and Form as after was declared by the faid Statutes, which feem to be but declarative of the Common Law. And this doth plentifully and manifestly appear in our Books, being truly and rightly understood. In 3 H. 6. Tit. Protection 2. one had the Benefit Co. Lit. 130. b. of a Protection, for that he was fent into the King's Wars in comitiva of the Protector; and it appeareth by the Record, and by the Chronicles also, that this Employm. was into France; the greatest Part thereof then being under the Co. Lit. 130.b. King's actual Obedience, so as the Subjects of England were employed into France for the Defence and Safety thereof: In which Case it was observed, that seeing the Protector, who was Prorex, went, the same was adjudged a Voyage Fitz. Protect. 5. Royal, 8 H.6. fol'16.b. the Lord Talbot went with a Com- Br. Protect. 48. pany of Englishmen into France, then also being for the greatest Part under the actual Obedience of the King, who had the Benefit of their Protections allowed unto them. And here were observed the Words of the Writ in the Regifter, fol. 88. where it appeareth that Men were employed in the King's Wars out of the Realm per praceptum nostrum, and the usual Words of the Writ of Protection be in obsequio nostro. *32 H. 6. fel. 1.a. it appeareth, that English . * Firz. Protect. men were pressed into Guyan, †44E.3.12.c. into Gascoyne with † Firz. Protect. the Duke of Lancaster, 17 H.6. Tit. Protection, into || Gas-35. Br. Protect. coyn with the Earl of Huntington, Steward of Guyan, 11 24.

H. 4. 7.a. into (a) Ireland, and out of this Realm with the Firz Procest. Duke of Gloucester and the Lord Knolles: Vide (b) 19 H.6. (a) Firz. Pro-35.b. And it appeareth in 19 Ed.2. Tit. Averery 224. 26 Aff. tect. 24. Co. 66. 7 H. 4. 19, &c. that there was for insecum servitium, fo- Br. Protect. 34. reign Service, which Bracton, fol. 36. calleth regale service (b) Fizz. Protium; and in Fitz. N. B. 28. (c) that the King may fend teet. 8. Men to serve him in his Wars beyond the Sea. But thus Br. Protect. 49. much (if it be not in so plain a Case too much) shall suffice (*) F.N.B.28. for this Point for the King's Power, to command the Service of his Subjects in his Wars out of the Realm, whereupon it was concluded, that the Ligeance of a natural-born Subject was not local, and confined only to England. Now let us fee what the Law faith in Time of Peace, concerning the King's Protection and Power of Command, as well without the Realm, as within, that his Subjects in all Places may be protected from Violence, and that Justice may equally be administered to all his Subjects.

In the Register fol. 25. b. Rex universis & singulis admirall', castellan', custodibus castrorum, villar', & aliorum fortalitiorum fræpesitis, vicceom. majoribus; eustumariis. custodil' portuum, & alior' locor' maritimor' ballivis, ministr', & aliis fidel' suis, tam intransmarinis qm' in cismarinis partib' ad quos, &c. salutem. Sciatis, qd' suscepimus in protectionem & defension' nostram, necnon ad salvam & securam gardiam nostram W. veniendo in regnum nostrum Angl', & potestatem nostram, tam per terram quam per mare cum uno valetto suo, ac res ac bona sua quecunque ad tractand' cum dilecto nostro & fideli L. pro redemptione prisonarii ipsius L. infra regnum & potestatem nostram præd' per sex menses morando Es exinde ad propria redeundo. Et ideo, &c. quod iffum W. cum valetto, rebus & bonis suis præd' veniendo in regn' Es potestat' nostram pred' tam per terr' quam per mare ibid' ut prædict' est ex causa antedicta morando, & exinde ad propria redeundo, manuteneatis, protegatis, & defendatis; non inferentes eis, &c. seugravamen. Et si quid eis forisfactum; Esc. reformari faciatis. In cujus, &c. per sex menses dura-TET.T. Etc. In which Writ 3 Things are to be observed. 1. That the K. hath fidem & fideles in partib' transmarinis. 2. That he hath protection in partib' transmurinis. 3. That he hath potestatem in partibus transmarinis. In the Register fo: 26. Rex universis & singulis admirallis, castellanis, custodibus castrorum, villarum, & aliorum fortaliciorum præpositis. vicecom majoribus, custumariis, custodib portuum, & alior locor marilimorum ballivis; ministris, & aliis sidelibus suis, ram intransmarinis quam incismarinis partibus ad quos. &c. falutem. Sciatis qued suscepimus in protectionem & defensonem nestram, necnon in salvum & securum conductum nostr' 1. valettum P. & L. Burgensum de Lyons obsidum nostrorum, qui de licentia nostra ad partes transmarinas profecturus est, pro finantia mazistrorum svorum prædict obtinenda vel deferenda, eundo ad partes prædictas ibidem morando, & exinde in Angl redesundo. Et ideo vobis mandamus. quod eidem I. eundo ad partes pred'ibidem morando, & exinde in Angl' redoundo, at fred est, an persona, bonis, aus rebus suis, non inferatis, seu quantum in volis est ab aliis inferri permittatis injuriam molestiam. &c. aut gravamen. Sed eum potius saleum & securum conductum, cum per loca passus, seu districtus vestros transierit, & super hoc requisiti fueritis, suis sumptibus babere faciatis. Et si quid eis forisfactum fuerit, &c. reformari faciatis. In cujus, &c. per tres ann durat T. Sc. And cerminly this was, when Lyons in France (bordering upon Burgundy, an antient Friend to Engl.) was under the actual Obedience of K. Hen. 6. For the King commanded fidelibus suis, his faithful Magistrates there. that

that if any injury were there done, it should be by them reformed and redreffed, and that they should protect the Party in his Person and Goods in Peace. In the Register, fol. 26. two other Writs: Rexomnibus seneschallis, majoribus, juratis, paribus, præpositis, ballivis & fidelibus suis in ducatu Aquiraniæ ad quos, &c. salutem. Quia delicti nobis T. & A. cives civitat' Burdegal' coram nobis in Cancellar' nost' Angl' 😂 Aquitan' jura sua prosequentes, & metuentes ex verisimilibus conjecturis per quosdam sibi comminantes tam in corpore quam in rebus suis, sibi posse grave damnum inferri, supplicaverunt nobis sibi de protectione regia providere: nos volentes di-Etos T. & A. ab oppressionibus indebitis praservare, suscepimus ipsos T. & A. res ac justas possessiones & bona sua quacunque in protectionem & salvamgardiam nostram specialem. Et vobis & cuilibet vestrum injungimus & mandamus, quod ipsos T.& A. familias, res ac bona sua quacunque a violentiis 🗗 gravaminibus indebitis defendatis, 🖰 ipsos in justis possessionibus suis manuteneatis. Et si quid in prajudicium bujus protectionis [al' gard' nostr' attentatum inveneritis, ad statum debitum reducatis. Et ne quis se possit per ignorantiam excusare prasentem protectionem & salvam gardiam nostram faciatis in locis de quibus requisiti fueritis infra district' vestrum publice intimari, inhibentes omnibus & singulis sub pænis gravibus, ne dictis A. & T. seu famulis suis in personis seu rebus suis, injuriam, molestiam, damnum aliquod inferant feu gravamen: Et penocellas nostras in locis & bonis ipsorum T. & A. in signum protectionis & sal' gard' memorat, cum super hoc requisiti fueritis, apponatis. In cujus, &c. Dat'in Palatio nostro Westm' sub magni sigilli testimonio, sexto die August' anno 44 E. 3. Rex universis & singulis seneschallis, constabular', castellanis praposit', minist', & omnib' ballivis & fidelibus suis in dominio nostro Aquiean constitutis ad quos, &c. salut'. Volentes G.&R. uwor' ejus favore prosequi gratiose, ipscs G.&R. homines & familias suas, ac justas possessiones, & bona sua quacunque, suscepimus in protectionem & defensionem nostram, necnon in salvam gardiam nostram specialem. Et ideo vobis & cuilibet vestrum injungimus & mandamus, quod ipsos G.&R. eorum homines, familias suas, ac justas possessiones & bona sua quacunq; manuteneatis, protegatis, & defendatis: non inferentes eis seu quantum in vobis est ab aliis inferri permittentes, injuriam, molestiam, damnum, violentiam, impedimentum aliquod seu gravamen. Et si quid eis forisfact, injuriatum vel contra cos indebite attentatum fuerit, id eis sine dilatione corrigi, & ad statum debitum reduci faciatis, prout ad vos & quemlibet vestrum noveritis pertinere: penocellas super domibus suis in signum prasentis salva gardia nostra (prout moris erit facien-tes. In cujus &c. per unum annum duratur's T. &c.

By all which it is manifest, that the Protection and Go-

Which

vernment of the King is general over all his Dominions and Kingdoms, as well in Time of Peace by Justice, as in Time of War by the Sword, and that all be at his Command, and under his Obedience. Now feeing Power and Protection draweth Ligeance, it followeth, that feeing the King's Power, Command and Protection extendeth out of England, that Ligeance cannot be local, or confined within the Bounds thereof. He that is abjured the Realm, Qui abjurat regnum amittit regnum, sed non regem, amittit patriam, sed non patrem patriæ: for notwithstanding the Abjuration, he oweth the King his Ligeance, and he remaineth within the King's Protection; for the King may Pardon and Restore him to his Country again. So seeing that Ligeance is a Quality of the Mind, and not confined within any Place; it followeth, that the Plea that doth confine the Ligeance of the Plaintiff to the Kingdom of Scotland, Infra ligeantiam regis regni sui Scotiæ, & extra ligeantiam regis regni sui Angliæ, whereby the Defendants do make one local Ligeance for the natural Subjects of England, and another local Ligeance for the natural Subjects of Scotland, is utterly unsufficient, and against the Nature and Quality of natural Ligeance, as often it hath been faid. And Coke, Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas, cited a ruled Case out of Hingham's Reports, Tempore E. 1. which in his Argument he shewed in Court written in Parchment, in an ancient Hand of that Time. Constance de N. brought a Writ of Ayel against Roger de Cobledike, and others, named in the Writ, and counted that from the Scifin of Roger her Grandfather it descended to Gilbert his Son, and from Gilbert to Constance, as Daughter and Heir. Sutton dit, Sir, el ne doit este responde, pur ceo que el est Francois & nient de la ligeance ne a la foy Dengliterre, & demand judgement si el doit action aver: that is, she is not to be answered, for that she is a French Woman, and not of the Ligeance, nor of the Faith of England, and demand Judgment, if she this Action ought to have. Bereford (then Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas) by the Rule of the Court disalloweth the Plea, for that it was too fhort, in that it referred Ligeance and Fifth to England, and not to the King: And thereupon

Sutten saith as followeth; Sir, nous voilomus averre que el ne est my de la ligeance Dengliterre, ne a la soy le Roy, E demand judgement, & si vous agardes que el doit este responde, nous dirromus assets: that is, Sir, we will aver, that she is not of the Ligeance of England, nor of the Faith of the King, and demand Judgment, &c.

Cawly 139.

Cobledike's Cafe temp. E. 1. reported by Hingham.

Ellesmere's Postnatigs, 92.

Which later Words of the Plea (nor of the Faith of the King) referred Faith to the King indefinitly and generally, and restrained not the same to England, and thereupon the Plea was allowed for good, according to the Rule of the Court: For the Book saith, that afterward the Plaintiff desired leave to depart from her Writ. The Rule of that Case of Cobledike, did (as Coke Chief Justice said) over-rule this Case of Calvin, in the very Point now in Question; for that the Plea in this Case doth not refer Faith or Ligeance to the King indefinitely and generally, but limiteth and restraineth Faith and Ligeance to the Kingdom: Extra ligeantiam regis regni sui Angliæ, out of the Ligeance of the King of his Kingdom of England: which afterwards the Lord Chancellor and the Chief Justice of the King's Bench, having Copies of the faid ancient Report, affirmed in their Arguments. So as this Point was thus concluded, Quod ligeantia naturalis nullis claustris coercetur, nullis metis refrænatur, nullis finibus premitur.

4 & 5. By that which hath been faid it appeareth, That To whom and this Ligeance is due only to the King; fo as therein the how Ligeance Question is not now, cui, sed quemodo debetur. It is true, that is due. the King hath two Capacities in him: one a natural Body, being descended of the Blood Royal of the Realm; and this Body is of the Creation of Almighty God, and is subject to Death, Infirmity, and fuch like; the other is a Politick Body or Capacity, so called, because it is framed by the Policy of Man (and in 21 E. 4. 39. b. is called a mystical Body;) and in this Capacity the King is esteemed to be immortal, invisible, not subject to Death, Infirmity, (a) Postez 12. Infancy, (a) Nonage, &c. Pl. Com. in the case of the Lord 2. Co. Lit. 43. Barkley 238. & in the case of the Dutchy 213. 6 E.3. 291. 25. Co.27. 2. & 26 Aff. pl. 54. Now seeing the King hath but one Per-Plowd 213. 2. fon, and several Capacities, and one Politick Capacity for 364 sb. 26 Aff. the Realm of England, and another for the Realm of 54 Firz. En-Scotland, it is necessary to be confidered, to which Capacity fant 15. Br. Ligeance is due. And it was refolved, that it was due to Age 34the natural Person of the King (which is ever accompanied with the Politick Capacity, and the Politick Capacity as it were appropriated to the natural Capacity) and it is not due to the Politick Capacity only, that is, to his Crown of Kingdom distinct from his natural Capacity, and that for divers Persons. First, every Subject (as it hath been affirmed by those that argued against the Plaintiff) is prefumed by Law to be fworn to the King, which is to his natural Person, and likewise the King is sworn to his Subjects (as it appeareth in Bracton lib. 3. de actionibus, cap. 9. fol. 107.) which Oath he taketh in his natural

C a

Strength

Person: for the Politick Capacity is invisible and immortal; nay, the Politick Body hath no Soul, for it is framed by the Policy of Man. 2. In all Indictments of Treason, when any do intend or compass mortem & destructionem Domini Regis (which must needs be understood of his natural Body, for his Politick Body is Immortal, and not subject to Death) the Indictment concludeth, contra (a) ligeantiæ sua debi-

(a) Antea 6. 143. pl. 62. Cawly 185.

(b) This Case: is not in the Book at large, brigdment of Dy. fo. 32. p. 1127. col. 2. num. 100. Co. Lit. 66. b. 4 Co. 11. a.

a.b. 3 Inst. 11. tum; ergo, the Ligeance is due to the natural Body. Vid. Hob. 271. Dy. Fit. Justice of Peace 53. & Pl. Com. 384. in the Earl of Leicester's Case. 3. It is true, that the King in genere di-Co. Lit. 129 a. eth not, but, no Question, in individuo he dieth: as for Example, H. 8. E. 6. &c. and Queen Elizabeth died, otherwise you should have many Kings at once. In 2 & 3 Ph. & Mar. Dyer 128. one (b) Constable dispersed divers Bills in the Streets in the Night, in which was written, that but is in the A- K. E. 6. was alive and in France, &c. and in Coleman Street in London, he pointed to a young Man, and faid Stow's Apridg. that he was King Edward the Sixth. And this being spop. 1062, 1064. ken de individuo (and accompanied with other Circumstan-Speed's Chron, ccs) was resolved to be High Treason; for the which Constable was attainted and executed. 4. A (c) Body Politick (c) 10 Co.31 b. (being invisible) can as a Body Politick neither make or take Homage: Vide 33 H. 8. Tir. Fealty, Brook 15. 5. In fide, in Faith or Ligeance nothing ought to be feigned, but ought to be ex fide non fieta. 6. The King holdeth the Kingdom of England by Birth-Right Inherent, by descent from the Blood Royal, whereupon Succession doth attend; and therefore it is usually said, to the King, his Heirs and Successors, wherein Heirs is first named, and Successors is attendant upon Heirs. And yet in our ancient Books Succession and Successor are taken for Hereditance and Heirs. Bract. l. 2. de acquirendo rerum Dominio, c. 29. Et sciend' est, quod bæreditas est successio in universum jus quod defun-Etus anteceffor habuit, ex causa quacunque acquisitionis vel successionis, & alibi affinitatis jure nulla successio permittitur. But the Title is by Descent; by Queen Elizabeth's Death the Crown and Kingdom of England descended to his Majesty, and he was fully and absolutely thereby King, without any effential Ceremony or Act to be done ex post facto: for Coronation is but a Royal Ornament and Solemnization of the Royal Descent, but no Part of the Title. In the first Year of his Majesty's Reign, before his Majesty's Coronation, Watson (d) and Clerke, Semimary Priests, and others, were of Opinion, that his Majesty was no complete and absolute King before his Coronation, but that Coronation did add a Confirmation

and Perfection to the Descent; and therefore (obferve their damnable and damned Consequent) that they by

(d) 3 Infi: 2.

Strength and Power might before his Coronation take him: and his Royal Issue into their Possession, keep him Prisoner in the Tower, remove such Counsellors and great Officers as pleased them, and constitute others in their Places, &c. And that these and others of like Nature could not be Treafon against his Majesty, before he were a crowned King. But it was clearly resolved by all the Judges of England, that presently by the Descent his Majesty was completely and absolutely King, without any effential Ceremony or Act to be done ex post facto, and that (a) Coronation was (a) 3 Inst. 7. but a Royal Ornament, and outward Solemnization of the Descent. And this appeareth evidently by infinite Precedents and Book-Cases, as taking one Example in a Case so clear for all) King Henry VI. was not crowned until the eighth Year of his Reign, and yet divers Men before his Coronation were attainted of Treason, of Felony, &c. and he was as absolute and compleat a King, both for Matters of Judicature, as for Grants, &c. before his Coronation, as he was after, as it appeareth in the Reports of the 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and seven Years of the same King. And the like might be produced for many other Kings of this Realm, which for Brevity in a Case so clear I omit. By which it manifestly appeareth, that by the Laws of England there can be no interregnum within the same. If the King be seised of Land by a defeafible Title, and dieth seised, this Descent shall Toll the Entry of him that Right hath, as it appeareth by 9 (b) E. 4. 51. But if the next King had it by (b) 4 Co. 58.b. Succession, that should take away no Entry, as it appeareth by Littleton fol. 97. If a Diffeifor of an Infant convey the Land to the King, who dieth feifed, this Defcent taketh away the Entry of the Infant, as it is said in 34 H. 6. fol. 34. (c) 45 lib. Aff. pl. 6. Plow. Com. 234. where the (c) 10Co.96 b. Case was, the King H. 3. gave a Manor to his Brother the Co. Lir. 19. b. Earl of Cornwal in Tail (at what Time the same was a 370, b. Plowd. Fee-simple conditional) King H. 3. died, the Earl before the Fitz. Garranty Statute of Donis conditional (having no Issue) by Deed ex- 68. Br. Assets changed the Manor with warranty for other Lands in Fee, Br. Tail 34. and dyed without Issue, and the Warranty and Assets de Br. Prærog 52. scended upon his Nephew King Edward I. and it was ad-Br. serch pur judged, that this Warranty and Affets, which descended le Roy s. Br. Garranty 52. upon the natural Person of the King, barred him of the 9. Co. 132. b. Possibility of Reverter. In the Reign of Ed. 2. the Spencers, the Father and the Son, to cover the Treason hatched in their Hearts, invented this damnable and damned Opinion, That Homage and Oath of Ligeance was more by Reason of the King's Crown (that is, of his Politick Capacity) than by reason of the Person of the

Prvn's Sovereign Power of Parliament. z Part. pa. 43. Cro. Arg. 64.

King, upon which Opinion they inferred execrable and detestable Consequences: 1. If the King do not demean himself by reason in the Right of his Crown, his Lieges be bound by Oath to remove the King, 2. Seeing that the King could not be reformed by Suit of Law, that ought to be done by the Sword; 3. That his Lieges be bound to govern in Aid of him, and in Default of him. All which were condemned by two Parliaments, one in the Reign of Ed. 2. called Exilium Hugonis le Spencer, and the other in Ann. 1 Ed. 3.c. 1. Bracton lib. 2. de acquirendo rerum Dominio, c. 24. f. 55, faith thus, Est enim corona Reg` facere justitiam & judic', & tenere pacem, & sine quibus corona consistere non potest neo tenere ; hujusmodi autem jura sive jurisdictiones ad personas vel tenementa transferri non poterunt, nec a privata persona possideri, nec usus nec executio juris, nisi hoc datum fuit ei desuper, sicut jurisdictio delegata delegari non poterit quin ordinaria remaneat cum ipso Rege. Et lib. 3. de actionibus, cap. 9, fol. 107. Separare autem debet Rex, cum sit Dei vicarius in terra, jus ab injuria, equum ab iniquo, ut omnes abi subjecti honeste vivant, & quod nullus alium lædat, & quod unicuique quod suum fuerit recta contributione reddatur. In respect whereof one saith, That Corona est quasi cor ornans, cujus ornamenta sunt misericordia & justicia. And therefore a King's Crown is an Hieroglyphick of the Laws, where Justice, &c. is administred; for so saith P. Val. 1. 41. pag. 400. Coronam dicimus legis judicium esse, propterea quod certis est vinculis complicata, quibus vita nostra veluti religata cocreetur. Therefore if you take that which is fignified by the Crown, that is, to do Justice and Judgment, to maintain the Peace of the Land, &c. to separate right from wrong, and the Good from the Ill; that is to be understood of that Capacity of the King, that in rei veritate hath Capacity, and is adorned and indued with Indowments as well of the Soul, as of the Body, and thereby able to do Tuffice and Judgment according to Right and Equity, and to maintain the Peace, &c. and to find out and discern the Truth, and not of the invisible and immortal Capacity that hath no such Indowments; for of it felf it hath neither Soul nor Body. And where divers Books and Acts of Parliament speak of the Ligeance of England, as 31 E. 3. tit. Cosinage 5. 42 Ed. 3.2. 13 E. 3. tit. Brief 677. 25 Ed. 3. Stat. de naiis ultra mare. All these and other speaking briefly in a vulgar Manner (for (a) loquendum ut vulgus) Cart. 120 2Rol. and not pleading (for sentiendum ut docti) are to be understood of the Ligeance due by the People of England to the King; for no Man will affirm, that England itself, taking it for the Continent thereof, doth owe

(a) 3 Keb, 20. Rep. 239. Her. 101. 4 Co. 46. b.

any Ligeance or Faith, or that any Faith or Ligeance should be due to it: But it manifestly appeareth, that the Ligeance or Faith of the Subject is proprium quarto modo to the King, omni, foli, & semper. And oftentimes in the Reports of our Book-Cases, and in Acts of Parliament also, the Crown or Kingdom is taken for the King himfelf, as in Fitzh. Natur. Brev. fol. 5. Tenure in capite is a Tenure of the Crown, and is a Seigniory in gross, that is of the Perfon of the King: and so is 30 H. 8. Dyer fol. 44, 45. a Tenure in chief, as of the Crown, is meerly a Tenure of the Person of the King, and therewith agreeth 28 H. 8. tit. Tenure, Br. 65. The Statute of 4 H. 5. cap. ultimo gave Priors aliens, which were conventual to the King and his Heirs, by which Gift faith 34 H. 6. 34. the fame were annexed to the Crown. And in the faid Act of 25 Ed. 3. whereas it is faid in the Beginning, within the Ligeance of England, it is twice afterward faid in the same Act within the Ligeance of the King, and yet all one Ligeance due to the King. So in 42 Ed. 3. fol. 2. where it is first said, the Ligeance of England, it is afterwards in the same Case called, the Ligeance of the King; wherein though they used several Manner and Phrases of Speech, yet they intended one and the same Ligeance. So in our usual Commission of Affise, of Gaol-delivery, of Oyer and Terminer, of the Peace, &c. Power is given to execute Justice, Secundum legem & confuetudinem regni nostri Anglia; and yet Littleton lib. 2. in his Chapter of Villenage fol. 43. in disabling of a Man that is attainted in a Premunire faith, That the same is the King's Law; and so doth the Register in the Writ of ad jura regia Stile the fame.

The Reasons and Causes wherefore by the Policy of the The Reasons Law the King is a Body Politick, are three, viz. 1. canfa king by Judgmajestatis, 2. causa necessitatis, and 3. causa utilitatis. First, ment of Law causa majestatis, the King cannot give or take but by hath a Politick Matter of Record for the Dignity of his Person Sc. Matter of Record for the Dignity of his Person. Se- (a) Co. Lit. condly, causa necessitatis, as to avoid the (a) Attainder of 16 a Bacon's him that hath Right to the Crown, as it appeareth in 1 H. H. 7. fo. 8, 9. Fitz. Parl. 2. 7.4. lest in the interim there should be an (1) interregium, Br. Parl 37.105. which the Law will not suffer. Also by force of this Poli- Plowd. 238. b. tick Capacity, though the (c) King be within Age, yet may (b) 1 W. & M. he make Leafes and other Grants, and the fame shall bind Co. Lit. 43. 2.1 him; otherwise his Revenue should decay, and the King (c) 5 Co. 27. 2. should not be able to reward Service, &c. Lastly, causa 1 Roll. 728. Plowd. 213. 2. utilitatis, as when Lands and Possessions descend from 221. 2. 364. b. his collateral Ancestors, being Subjects as from the Earl 26 Ast. 54.

of Fitz. Enfant 15 Br. Age 14.

of March, &c. to the King, now is the King seised of the fame in jure corona, in his Politick Capacity; for which Co. Lit, 15, b. Cause the same shall go with the Crown; and therefore, albeit Queen Elizabeth was of the half Blood to Queen Mary, yet she in her Body Politick enjoyed all those Fee-simple Lands, as by the Law she ought, and no collateral Coufin of the whole Blood to Queen Mary ought to have the same. And these are the Causes wherefore by the Policy of the Law the King is made a Body Politick: So as for these special Purposes the Law makes him a Body politick, Immortal, and Invisible, whereunto our Ligeance cannot appertain. But to conclude this Point, our Ligeance is to our natural Liege Soveraign, descended of the Blood Royal of the King's of this Realm. And thus much of the first general Part de Ligeantia.

De Legibus The fecond general Part.

Now followeth the second Part, de Legibus, wherein these Parts were considered: First, That the Ligeance or Faith of the Subject is due unto the King by the Law of Nature: Secondly, That the Law of Nature is Part of the Law of England: Thirdly, That the Law of Nature was before any Judicial or Municipal Law: Fourthly, That the Law of Nature is immutable.

The Law of Nature. Wing's Max.1.

Co. Lir. 11. b.

The Law of Nature is that which God at the Time of Creation of the Nature of Man infused into his Heart, for his Preservation and Direction; and this is Lex æterna, the Moral Law, called also the Law of Nature. And by this Law, written with the Finger of God in the Heart of Man, were the People of God a long Time governed, before the Law was written by Moses, who was the first Reporter or Writer of Law in the World. The Apostle in the second Chapter to the Romans saith, Cum enim gentes quæ legem non habent naturaliter ea quæ legis sunt faciunt. And this is within that Command of the Moral Law. Honora patrem, which doubtless doth extend to him that is pater patriæ. And the Apostle saith, Omnis anima potestatibus sublimioribus subdita sit. And these be the Words of the great Divine, Hoc Deus in Sacris Scripturis jubet, boc lex Natura dictat, ut quilibet subditus obediat superiori. And Aristotle, Natures Secretary Lib. 5. Æthic. faith, That jus naturale est, quod apud omnes bomines eandem babet potentiam. And herewith doth agree Braston lib. 1. cap. 5. and Fortescue cap. 8, 12, 13, & 16. Doctor & Student cap. 2, & 4. And the Reason hereof is, for that God and Nature is one

to all, and therefore the Law of God and Nature is one to all. By this Law of Nature is the Faith, Ligeance, and Obedience of the Subject due to his Sovereign or Superior. And Aristotle 1 Politicorum proveth, that to command and to obey is of Nature, and that Magistracy is of Nature: For whatfoever is necessary and profitable for the Preservation of the Society of Man is due by the Law of Nature: But Magistracy and Government are necessary and profitable for the Preservation of the Society of Man; therefore Magistracy and Government are of Nature. And herewith accordeth Tully lib. 3 de legibus, Sine imperio nec domus ulla, nec civitas, nec gens, nec hominum universum genus stare, nec ipse denique mundus potest. This Law of Nature, which indeed is the eternal Law of the Creator, infused into the Postea 25. a. Heart of the Creature at the Time of his Creation, was two thousand Years before any Laws written, and before any Judicial or Municipal Laws. And certain it is, That before Tudicial or Municipal Laws were made, Kings did decide Causes according to natural Equity, and were not tied to any Rule or Formality of Law, but did dare jura. And this appeareth by Fortescue cap. 12 & 13. and by Virgil that Philosophical Poet, 7 Ænead.

Hoc Priami gestamen erat, cum jura vocatis More daret populis.

And 5 Ænead.

Gaudet regno Trojanus Acestes, Indicitque forum & patribus dat jura vocatis.

And Pomponius lib. 2. cap. de origine juris, affirmeth, that in Tarquinius superbus's Time there was no Civil Law written, and that Papirius reduced certain Observations into Writing, which was called Jus Civile Papirianum. Now the Reason wherefore Laws were made and published, appeareth in Fortescue cap. 13. and in Tully lib. 2. officiorum: At cum jus equabile ab uno viro homines non consequerentur, inventi funt leges. Now it appeareth by demonstrative Reason, that Ligeance, Faith, and Obedience of the Subject to the Sovereign, was before any Municipal or Judicial Laws, 1. For that Government and Subjection were long before any Municipal or Judic. Laws. 2. For that it had been in vain to have prescribed Laws to any, but to such as ought Obedience, Faith, and Ligeance before, in Respect whereof they were bound to obey and observe them: Frustra enim feruntur

forumtur leges nisi subditis & obedientibus. Seeing then that Faith, Obedience, and Ligeance, are due by the Law of Nature, it followeth that the same cannot be changed or taken away; for albeit Judicial or Municipal Laws have inflicted and imposed in feveral Places, or at several Times, divers and feveral Punishments and Penalties, for Breach or not Observance of the Law of Nature, (for that Law only confished in Commanding or Prohibiting, without any certain Punishment or Penalty) yet the very Law of Nature (a) Dr. & Stud. it self, never was nor could be (a) altered or changed. And

4. 4. (b) Cart. 130.

therefore it is certainly true, that (b) fura naturalia funt immutabilia. And herewith agreeth Bracton, lib. 1. cap. 5. and Doctor and Student cap. 5 & 6. And this appeareth plainly and plentifully in our Books.

outlawed, he forfeiteth the Wardship to the King: But if

If a Man hath a Ward by Reason of a Seigniory, and is

a Man hath the Wardship of his own Sonor Daughter, which is his Heir apparent, and is outlawed, he doth not (c) forfeit (c)3 Co. 39. 2. 7 Co. 12. b. Co. Lit. 84. b. this Wardship; for Nature hath annexed it to the Person of the Father, as it appeareth in 33 H.6.55.b. Et bonus Rex ni-Br. Gard 6. Br. Forfeit. 70.] hil a bono patre differt, & patria dicitur a patre, quia ha-Plowd. 291. a. bet communem patrem, qui est pater patriæ. In the same Englesield's Manner marie 85 forming conjunction of decimal Cafe. 2 Init. 234

(d) Stamf. Cor. peal 5, 131. ... Fitz. Cor. 21. 2 Init. 215.

Manner, maris & famina conjunctio oft de jure natur', as Bracton in the same Book and Chapter, and St. Germin in his Book of the Doctor and Student, cap. 5. do hold. Now if he that is attainted of Treason or Felony, be slain by one that hath no Authority, or executed by him that hath Authority, but pursueth not his Warrant, in this Case his eldest Son can have no Appeal, for he must bring his Appeal as Heir, which being ex provisione bominis, he loseth it by the Attaind. of his Father; but his (d) Wife (if any he have) 59 c. 35 H 6. Shall have an Appeal, because she is to have her Appeal as 58, a. Br. Ap- Wife, which she remainest notwithstanding the Attainder Wife, which she remaineth notwithstanding the Attainder, hecause mari, & fæmin' conjunctio is de jure naturæ, and therefore (it being to be intended of true and right Matrimony) is indiffoluble; and this is proved by the Book in 33 H. 6. 57. So if there be Mother and Daughter, and the Daughter is attainted of Felony, now cannot she be Heir to her Mother for the Cause aforesaid; yet after her Attainder if she kill her Mother, this is Paricide and Petit-treason; for yet she remaineth her Daughter, for that is of Nature, and herewith agreeth 21 E. 3. 17. b. If a Man be attainted of Felony or Treason, he hath lost the King's legal Protection, for he is thereby utterly disabled to sue any Action real or personal (which is a greater Disability than an Alien in League hath) and yet such a Person so attainted hath not lost that Protection

Protection which by the Law of Nature is given to the K. for that is indelebilis & immutabilis, and therefore the K. may protect and pardon him, and if any Man kill him without Warrant, he shall be punished by the Law as a Manflayer, and thereunto accordeth 4 Ed. 4. and 35 H. 6. 57. Cawly 47. 2 Aff. pl. 3. By the Statute of 25 Ed. 3. cap. 22. a Man attainted in a Premunire, is by express Words out of the King's Protection generally; and yet this extendeth only to legal Protection, as it appeareth by Littleton, fol. 43. for the Parliament could not take away that Protection which the Law of Nature giveth unto him; and therefore notwithstanding that Statute, the King may protect and pardon him. And though by that Statute it was further enacted, Co. Lit. 130. 2. That it should be done with him as with an Enemy, by which Words any Man might have flain fuch a Person (as B. N. C. 53. it is holden in 24 H. 8. Tit. Coron. Br. 197.) until the Stat. Co. Lit. 130.2. made anno 5 Eliz. cap. 1. yet the King might protect and 2 Bulitr. 299.

Cawly 46,47. pardon him. A Man outlawed is out of the Benefit of the Municipal Law; for so saith Fitz. N. B. 161.a. Utlagatus est quasi extra legem positus: And Bract. 1.3. tract. 2. c. II. saith, that caput gerit lupinum; yet is he not out either of his Co. Lit. 128.b. natural Ligeance, or of the King's natural Protection; for neither of them is ty'd to Municipal Laws, but is due by the Law of Nature, which (as hath been faid) was long before any Judicial or Municipal Laws. And therefore if a Man were outlawed for Felony, yet was he within the K's natural Protection, for no Man but the Sheriff could execute him, as it is adjudged in 2 lib. Aff. pl. 3. Every Sub- Br. Corone 67ject is by his natural Ligeance bound to obey and ferve his Sovereign, &c. It is enacted by the Parliament of 23 H. 6. 23 H. 6. c. 8. that no Man should serve the King as Sheriff of any County, above one Year, and that, notwithstanding any Clause of non obstante to the contrary, that is to say, notwithstanding that the King should expressly dispense with the said Statute: Howbeit it is agreed in 2 H. 7. that against the Plowd. 502. b. express Purview of that Act, the King may by a special Non 2 H. 7. 6. b. obstante dispense with that Act, for that the Act could not Br. Patents 109. bar the King of the Service of his Subject, which the Law 12 Co. 18. of Nature did give unto him. By these and many other Cases that might be cited out of our Books, it appeareth, how plentiful the Authorities of our Laws be in this Matter. Wherefore to conclude this Point (and to exclude all that hath been or could be objected against it) if the Obedience and Ligeance of the Subject to his Sovereign, be due by the Law of Nature, if that Law be Parcel of the Laws as well of England, as of all other Nations, and is immutable, and that Postnati and we of England are united by Birthright,

in Obedience and Ligeance (which is the true Caufe of natural Subjection) by the Law of Nature; it followeth, that Calvin the Plaintiff being born under one Ligeance to one King, cannot be an Alien born; and there is great Reafon, that the Law of Nature should direct this Case, wherein 5 natural Operations are remarkable: First, The King hath the Crown of England by Birthright, being naturally procreated of the Blood Royal of this Realm : Secondly, Calvin the Plaintiff naturalized by Procreation and Birthright. fince the Descent of the Crown of England: Thirdly, Ligeance and Obedience of the Subject to the Sovereign, due by the Law of Nature: Fourthly, Protection and Government due by the Law of Nature: Fifthly, this Case, in the Opinion of divers, was more doubtful in the Beginning, but the further it proceeded, the clearer and stronger it grew; and therefore the Doubt grew from some violent Passion, and not from any Reason grounded upon the Law of Nature, quia quanto magis violentus motus (qui fit contra nanuram) appropinquat ad suum finem, tanto debiliores & tardiores sunt ejus motus; sed naturalis motus, quanto magis appropinquat ad suum finem, tanto fortiores & velociores funt ejus motus. Hereby it appeareth how weak (a) Ellesmere's the Objection grounded upon the Rule of (a) Quando duo jura concurrunt in una persona, &c. is: For that Rule holdeth not in personal Things, that is, when two Persons are necessarily and inevitably required by Law (as in the Moor 793, 804. Cafe of an Alien born there is; and therefore no Man will fay, that now the King of England can make War or League with the King of Scotland, & sic de cæteris: And fo in Case of an Alien born, you must of Necessity have 2 feveral Ligeances to two feveral Persons. And to conclude this Point concerning Laws, Non adversat' diversitas regnor' sed regnant', non patriarum, sed patrum patriar' non coronarum, sed coronatorum, non legum municipalium, sed regum majestatum. And therefore thus were directly and clearly anfwered as well the Objections drawn from the Severalty of the Kingdoms, seeing there is but one Head of both, and the Postnati and us joined in Ligeance to that one Head, which is copula & tanquam oculus of this Case; as also the Distinction of the Laws, seeing that Ligeance of the Subjects of both Kingdoms, is due to their Sovereign by one Law, and that is the Law of Nature.

Postnat.c. 88. 4 Co. 118. a. Cawly 209. Antea 2. b.

The 3d general Part concerning both Kingdoms.

For the Third, it is first to be understood, that as the Law hath wrought four Unions, so the Law doth still make four Separations. The 1st Union is of both Kingdoms under one natural Liege Sover. K. and so acknowledg, by the Act of Parli-

Parliament of Recognition. The 2d is an Union of Ligeance and Obedience of the Subjects of both Kingdoms, due by the Law of Nature to their Sovereign: And this Union doth suffice to rule and over-rule the Case in Question; and this in Substance is but a Uniting of the Hearts of the Subjects of both Kingdoms one to another, under one Head and Sovereign. The 3d Union is an Union of Protection of both Kingdoms, equally belonging to the Subjects of either of them: And therefore the two first Arguments or Objections drawn from two supposed several Ligeances were fallacious, for they did disjungere conjungenda. The fourth Union and Conjunction is of the three Lions of Engl. and that one of Scotland, united and quartered in one Escutcheon.

Concerning the Separations yet remaining: 1st, England and Scotland remain feveral and diffinct Kingdoms. 2. They are governed by feveral judicial or municipal Laws: 3. They have several distinct and separate Parliaments: 4. Each Kingdom hath several Nobilities; for albeit a Postnatus in Scotland, or any of his Posterity, be the Heir of a Nobleman of Scotland, and by his Birth is legitimated in England, yet he is none of the (a) Peers or Nobility of England; for his na- (a) Dyer 360. tural Ligeance and Obedience, due by the Law of Nature, pl.6. 9 Co. 117. maketh him a Subject and no Alien within England: But a.b. 2 Inst. 48. that Subjection maketh him not noble within England, for that Nobility had his Original by the K's Creation, and not of Nature. And this is manifested by express Authorities. grounded upon excellent Reasons in our Books. If a Baron, Viscount, Earl, Marquess, or Duke of England, bring any Action real or personal, and the Defendant pleadeth in Abatement of the Writ, that he is no Baron, Viscount, Earl, (b) Co.Lit.16.b. &c. and thereupon the Demandant or Plaintiff taketh If 6 Co. 53. a. fue; this Issue shall not be try'd by Jury, but by the 9 Co.31.2.49.2. (b) Record of Parliament, whether he or his Ancestor, 12 Co.70,94,95. whose Heir he is, were called to serve there as a Peer, and 2 Rol. 50. one of the Nobility of the Realm. And so are our Books Moor 767 adjudged in 22 Ass. 24. 48 Edw. 3. 30. 35 H. 6, 40. 20 Eliz. Dyer 360. Vide in the 6th Part of my Reports, in the Countess of Rutland's Case. So as the Man, that is not de jure a Peer, or one of the Nobility, to serve in the upper House of the Parliament of England, is not in the legal Proceedings of Law accounted noble within England. And therefore if a Countee of France or Spain, or any other foreign Kingdom, should come into England, he should not here fue, or be fued by the Name of Countee, &c. for that he is none of the Nobles that are Members of the

upper

Br. noime de dignity 49.

upper House of the Parliament of England; and herewith (2) 9Co. 117.b. agree the Book-cases of (a) 20 Ed.4.6.a.b. and 11 Ed. 3. Tit. Bre. 473. Like Law it is, and for the same Reason, of an Earl or Baron of Ireland, he is not any Peer, or of the Nobility of this Realm: And herewith agreeth the Book in 8 R. 2. Tit. (b) Process pl. ultim. where in an Action of

(b) 9Co. 117.b. Fitz. Proc. 224.

Debt Process of Outlawry was awarded against the Earl of (c) Dy. 360.pl.6. Ormand in Ireland; which ought not to have been, if he

had been noble here. Vide Dyer (c) 20 Eliz. 360. Co.Lit.261.b.

But yet there is a Diversity in our Books worthy of Obfervation, for the highest and lowest Dignities are universal, for if a King of a foreign Nation come into England, by the Leave of the King of this Realm (as it ought to be) in this

(d) Moor 803. 9 Co. 117. b. Poltea 16. a.

Case he shall sue and be sued by the Name of a King; and herewith agreeth 11 E. 3. Tit. Br. (d) 473. where the Case was, that Alice which was the Wife of R. de O. brought a Writ of Dower against John Earl of Richmond, and the Writ was Pracip. Johann' Comiti Richmondia custodi terr' & hæredis of William the Son of R. de O. the Tenant pleaded That he is Duke of Britain, not named Duke, Judgment of the Writ? But it is ruled, that the Writ was good, for that the Dukedom of Britain was not within the Realm of England. But there it is faid, that if a Man bring a Writ against Edward (e) Baliol, and name him not K. of Scotland,

(e) Moos 203,

the Writ shall abate for the Cause aforesaid. And hereof there is a notable Precedent in Fleta lib. 2. cap.3. 6.9. where treating of the Jurisdiction of the King's Court of Marshalsea it is said, Et hæc omnia ex officio suo licite facere poterit, (s. Seneschal' aul' hospitii Regis) non obstante alicujus libertate, etiam in alieno regno dum tamen reus in hospitio Regis poterit inveniri secundum quod contigit Paris. anno 14 Ed. 1. de Engelramo de Nogent capto in hospitio Regis Angl (ipso Rege tunc apud Parisiam existente) cum discis argenti furatis recenter super facto, Rege Franc' tunc præsente, & unde licet curia Regis Franc' de præd' latrone per castellanum Paris. petita fuerit, habitis hinc & inde tractatibus in consilio Regis Franc', tandem consideratum fuit; quod Rex Angl' illa regia prærogativa, & hospitii sui privilegio uteretur, & gauderet, qui, coram Roberto Fitz-John milite tunc hospitii Regis Angl' Seneschallo de latrocinio convictus, (f) Moor 798, per considerationem ejus Cur' fuit (f) suspensus in patibulo

799-

Sancti Germani de Pratis. Which proveth, that though the King be in a foreign Kingdom, yet he is judged in Law a K. there. The other Part of the faid Diversity, is proved by (g) 9Co. 117.b. the Book-case in 20 (g) E.4, fol. 6.a.b. where in a Writ of Debt brought by Sir J. Douglas Knight, against Elizabeth Mol-

ford, the Defend. demanded Judgment of the Writ, for that

Br. noime de dignity 49.

the Plaintiff was an Earl of Scotland, but not of England; and that our Sovereign Lord the King had granted unto him fafe Conduct, not named by his Name of Dignity, Judgment of the Writ, &c. And there Justice Litt. giveth the Rule; the Plaintiff (saith he) is an Earl in Scotland, but not in England; and if our Sovereign Lord the King grant to a Duke of France a fafe Conduct to merchandize, and enter into his Realm, if the Duke cometh and bringeth Merchandize into this Land, and is to sue an Action here, he ought not to name himself Duke, for he is not a Duke in this Land, but only in France. And these be the very Words of that Book-case; out of which I collect 3 Things. First, that the Plaintiff was named by the Name of a Knight, wheresoever he received that Degree of Dignity. Vide (a) 7H.6. 14 b. accord. 2. That an Earl of another King (a) Br. brief159. dom or Nation is no Earl (to be fo named in legal Proceedings) Fitz, brief 35. within this Realm: And herewith agreeth the Book of (b) 11 E. 3. II Ed. 3. the Earl of Richmond's Case before recited. 3. That Antea 15. b. albeit the King by his Letters Patents of fafe Conduct do Moor 803. name him Duke, yet that Appellation maketh him no 9 Co. 117. b. Duke, to sue or to be sued by that Name within England: So as the Law in these Points (apparent in our Books being observed, and rightly understood it appeareth how causeless their Fear was, that the Adjudging of the Plaintiff to be no Alien, should make a Confusion of the Nobilities of either Kingdom.

Now are we in Order come to the fourth Noun (which is the 4th general Part) Alienigena; wherein 6 Things did ral Part, De Afall into Confideration. 1. Who was Alienigena, an Ali-Lenigena. en born by the Laws of England. 2. How many Kinds of Aliens born there were. 3. What Incidents belonged to an Alien born. 4. The Reason why an Alien is not capable of Inheritance or Freehold within England. 5. Examples, Refolutions, and Judgments, reported in our Books in all Successions of Ages, proving the Plaintiff to be no Alien. 6. Demonstrative Conclusions upon the Premisses, ap-

proving the fame.

1. An Alien is a Subject that is born out of the Ligeance Who is an Aof the King, and under the Ligeance of another, and can lienhave no real or personal Action for or concerning Land; but in every fuch Action the Tenant or Defendant may plead, that he was born in fuch a Country which is not within the Ligeance of the King, and demand Judgm. if he shall be an- Co. Lit. 128.b. swered. And this is in Effect the Description which Lit. 129.4.4Init.152; himself maketh, lib. 2. cap. 14. Villen. fol. 43. Alienigena est aliena gentis seu alien ligeant, qui etiam dici-

tur peregrinus, alienus, exoticus, extraneus, &c. Extraneus est subditus, qui extra terram, i. potestatem Regis natus est. And the usual and right Pleading of an Alien born, doth lively and truly describe and express what he is. And therein two Things are to be observed; 1. That the most usual and best Pleading in this Case, is, both exclusive and inclufive, viz. extra ligeantiam domini Regis, &c. & infra ligeantiam alterius Regis, as it appeareth in (a) 9 Ed. 4. 7. b. Book of Entries fol. 244, &c. which cannot possibly be pleaded in this Case, for two Causes; First, for that one K. is Sovereign of both Kingdoms; 2. one Ligeance is due by both to one Sovereign, and in Case of an Alien there must of Necessity be several Kings and several Ligeances. condly, no Pleading was ever extra regnum, or extra legem. which are circumscribed to Place, but extra ligeantiam, which (as it hath been faid) is not local or tied to any

It appeareth by Bracton lib. 3. tract. 2. c. 15. fol. 134.

(a) Antea 5.ja.

(b) Stanf. Cor. that (b) Canutus the Danish King, having settled himself 17. f.

1. 1. 12.

in this Kingdom in Peace, kept notwithstanding (for the better Continuance thereof) great Armies within this Realm. The Peers and Nobles of England distasting this Government, by Arms and Armies, (Odimus accipitrem quia semper vivit in armis, wisely and politickly persuaded the King, that they would provide for the Safety of him and his People, and yet his Armies carrying with them many Inconveniences, should be withdrawn; and therefore offered, that they would confent to a Law, that who foever should kill an Alien, and be apprehended, and could not acquit himself, he should be subject to Justice: But if the Manslayer fled, and could not be taken, then the Town where the Man was flain should forfeit 66 Marks unto the King; and if the Town were not able to pay it, then the Hundred should forfeit and pay the same unto the King's Full. Ch Hist. Treasure; whereunto the King assented. This Law was penned, Quicunque occiderit Francigenam, &c. not excluding other Aliens, but putting Francigena a Frenchman for Example, that others must be like unto him, in owing. feveral Ligeance to a feveral Sovereign, that is, to be extra ligeantiam regis Angl', and infra ligeantiam alterius regis. And it appears before out of Bracton and Fleta, that both of them use the same Example (in describing of an Alien ad fidem regis Franc'. And it was holden, that except it could be proved, that the Party slain was an Englishman, that he should be taken for an Alien; and this was called Engleshere, Englesheria, that is, a Proof that the Party flain was an Englishman. (Hereupon Canutus

Canutus presently withdrew his Armies, and within a while after lost his Crown, and the same was restored to his right Owner.) The faid Law of Englishery continued until 14 Ed. 3. cap. 4. and then the same was by Act of Parliament oufted and abolished. So amongst the Laws of William the First, (published by Master Lambert, fol. 125. Omnis Francigena) there put for Example as before is faid, to express what manner of Person alienigena should be) qui tempore Edvardi propinqui nostri fuit particeps legum & consuetudinum Anglorum (that is, made Denizen) quod dicunt ad scot & lot persolvat secundum legem Anglorum.

Every Man is either Alienigena, an Alien born, or fub-kind of Aliens ditus, a Subject born. Every Alien is either a Friend that there be. is in League, &c. or an Enemy that is in open War, &c. Every Alien Enemy is either pro tempore, temporary for a Time, or perpetuus, perpetual, or specialiter permissus, permitted especially. Every Subject is either natus, born, or datus, given or made: And of these briefly in their Order. And Alien Friend, as at this Time, a German, a Frenchman, a Spaniard, &c. (all the Kings and Princes in Christendom being now in League with our Soveraign, but a Scot being a Subject, cannot be faid to be a Friend, nor Scotland to be folum amici) may by the Common Law have, acquire, and get within this Realm, by Gift, Trade, or other lawful Means, any Treasure, or (a) Goods personal (a) Co.Lit.2 b. whatfoever, as well as an Englishman, and may maintain any (b) Action for the same: But (c) Lands within this (b) 1 Bull. 134.

Realm, or Houses (but for their necessary Habitation only) Yel. 138. Owen
Alien Friends cannot acquire, or get, nor maintain any 129. b. 1 And. Action real or personal, for any Land or House, unless the 25. Moor 431. House be for their necessary Habitation. For if they should 1 Keb. 266. be disabled to acquire and maintain these Things, it were 683. C. Car. in Effect to deny unto them Trade and Traffick, which is 9 4 Inst. 1522 the Life of every Island. But if this Alien become an E-Dy 2. pl. 8. nemy (as all Alien Friends may) then is he utterly disabled B. N. C. 375. to maintain any Action, or get any Thing within this Br. Non-abili-Realm. And this is to be understood of a temporary Alien, ty 62. that being an Enemy, may be a Friend, or being a Friend, Co Lit. 2. b. may be an Enemy. But a perpetual Enemy (though there Dy. 2. pl. 8. be no Wars by Fire and Sword between them,) cannot maintain any Action, or get any Thing within this Realm. All Infidels are in Law perpetui (d) inimici, perpetual Enemies (for the Law prefumes not that they will be con- (d) Wing Max. verted, that being remota potentia, a Remote Possi-10-bility) for between them, as with the Devils, whose Subjects they be, and the Christian, there is perpetual Hostility,

(a) 4 Inft. 155. Hostility, and can be no (a) Peace; for as the Apostle saith. 2 Cor. 5. 15. Que autem conventio Christi ad Belial, aut que pars fideli cum infideli, and the Law faith, Judeo Christianum nullum serviat mancipium, nefas enim est quem Christus redemit blasphemum Christi in servitutis vinculis detinere. Register 282. Infideles sunt Christi & Christianorum inimici. And herewith agreeth the Book in 12 H. 8. fol. 4. where it is holden that a Pagan cannot have or maintain any Action at all.

By what Laws Kingdoms gotten by Con-quest, &c. shall Le governed. 1 av. 30. b. 5 Keb. 4.02.

And upon this Ground there is a Diversity between a Conquest of a Kingdom of a Christian King, and the Conquest of a Kingdom of an Infidel; for if a King come to a Christian Kingdom by Conquest, seeing that he hath vitæ & necis potestatem, he may at his Pleasure alter and change the Laws of that Kingdom, but until he doth make an Alteration of those Laws, the ancient Laws of that Kingdom remain. But if a Christian King should conquer a Kingdom of an Infidel, and bring them under his Subjection, there ipso facto the Laws of the Infidel are abrogated, for that they be not only against Christianity, but against the Law of God and of Nature, contained in the Decalogue, and in that Case, until certain Laws be established amongst them, the King by himself, and such Judges as he shall appoint, shall judge them and their Causes according to natural Equity, in such fort as Kings in ancient Time did with their Kingdoms, before any certain Municipal Laws were given, as before hath been faid. But if a King hath a Kingdom by Title of Descent, there seeing by the Laws of that Kingdom he doth inherit the Kingdom, he cannot change those Laws of himself, without consent of Parliament. Also if a King hath a Christian Kingdom by Conquest, as King Henry the Second had Ireland, after King Folin had given unto them, being under his Obedience and Subjection, the Laws of England for the Government of that Country, no fucceeding King could alter the fame without Parliament. And in that Cafe while the Realm of England, and that of Ireland were governed by feveral Laws, any that was born in Ireland was no Alien to the Realm of England. In which Precedent of Ireland three Things are to be observed: 1. That then there had been two Descents, one from Henry the Second to King Richard the First, and from Richard to King John, before the Alteration of the Laws. 2. That albeit Ireland was a distinct Dominion, yet the Title thereof being by Conquest, the same by Judgment of Law might by express Words be bound by Act of the Parliament of Engl. 3. That albeit no Refervation

Ireland.

vation were in King John's Charter, yet by Judgment of Kelw. 202. Law a Writ of Error did lie in the King's Bench in Eng- pl. 19. 4 Inft. land of an erroneous Judgment in the King's Bench of Ire- 71. F. N. B.22. land. Furthermore, in the Case of a Conquest of a Chriflian Kingdom, as well those that served in Wars at the Vaugh. 290, Conquest, as those that remained at home for the Safety 291. and Peace of their Country, and other the King's Subjects, as well Antenati as Postnati, are capable of Lands in the Kingdom or Country conquered, and may maintain any real Action, and have the like Privileges and Benefits there, as they may have in England.

The third kind of Enemy is, inimicus permissus, an Enemy that cometh into the Realm by the King's fafe Conduct, of which you may read in the Register fol. 25. Book of Entries Ejectione firm & 7, 32 H.o. 23, b. &c. Now what a Subject born is, appeareth at large by that which hath been said de ligeantia; and so likewise de subdito dato, of a Co.Lit. 120.a. donaison; for that is the right Name, so called, because his Legitimation is given unto him; for if you derive Denizen from deins nee, one born within the Obedience or Ligeance of the King, then such a one should be all one with a natural born Subject. And it appeareth before out of the Laws of King W. 1. of what Antiquity the making of Denizens

by the King of England hath been.

3. There be regularly (unless it be in special Cases) three Of the Inci-Incidents to a Subject born. 1. That the Parents be un-dents to der the actual Obedience of the King. 2. That the place an Alien. of his Birth be within the King's Dominion. And 3. the Time of his Birth is chiefly to be confidered; for he cannot be a Subject born of one Kingdom that was born under the Ligeance of a King of another Kingdom, albeit afterwards one Kingdom descend to the King of the other. For the first, it is termed actual Obedience, because though the King of England hath absolute Right to other Kingdoms or Dominions, as France, Aquitain, Normandy, &c. yet feeing the King is not in actual Possession thereof, none born there fince the Crown of England was out of actual Possession thereof, are Subjects to the King of England. 2. The Place is observable, but so as many Times Ligeance or Obedience, without any Place within the King's Dominions may make a Subject born, but any Place within the King's Dominions without Obedience can never produce a natural Subject. And therefore if any of the Kings Ambassadors in Foreign Nations, have Children there of their Wives, being Cr. Car. English Women, by the Com. Laws of England they are 601, 602, natural born Subjects, and yet they are born out of the March. 91. King's Dominions. But if Enemies should come into any Jenk. Cent. 3. of the King's Dominions, and furprife any Castle or Fort, and

(a) 2 Vent. 6. Vaugh. 286.

possess the same by Hostility, and have Issue there, that Isfue is no Subject to the King, though he be born within his Dominions, for that he was not born under the K's Ligeance or Obedience. But the Time of his (a) Birth is of the Effence of a Subject born; for he cannot be a Subject to the King of England, unless at the Time of his (a) Birth he was under the Ligeance and Obedience of the King. And that is the Reason that Antenanti in Scotland (for that at the Time of their Birth they were under the Ligeance and Obedience of another King) are Aliens born, in respect of the Time of their Birth.

Wherefore an Alien born is ret capable of l ands.

4. It followeth next in course to set down the Reasons. wherefore an Alien born is not capable of Inheritance within England, and that he is not for three Reasons. 1. The Secrets of the Realm might thereby be discovered. 2. The Revenues of the Realm (the Sinews of War, and Ornament of Peace) should be taken and enjoyed by Strangers born. 3. It should tend to the Destruction of the Realm. Which three Reasons do appear in the Statutes of 2 H. 5. cap. and 4H. cap.5. ultimo. But it may be demanded, Wherein doth that Destruction consist? Whereunto it is answered: First, it tends to Destruction tempore belli; for then Strangers might fortify themselves in the Heart of the Realm, and be ready to fet Fire on the Commonwealth, as was excellently shadowed by the Trojan Horse in Virgil's second Book of his Aneads, where a very few Men in the Heart of the City, did more Mischief in few Hours, than ten thousand Men without the Walls in ten Years. Secondly, tempore Pacis, for fo might many Aliens born get a great Part of the Inheritance and Freehold of the Realm, whereof there should follow a Failure of Justice (the Supporter of the Commonwealth) for that Aliens born cannot be returned of Juries (b) for the Trial of Islues between the King and the Subject, or between Subject and Subject. And for this purpose, and many other, see a Charter (worthy of Observation) of King Ed. 3. written to Pope Clement, Datum apud Westm. 26 die Sept. ann. regni nostri Franciæ 4. regni vero Angliæ 17.

(b) roCo. 104 a. Co. Lit. 156.b. Peph. 36.

Examples and Authorities in Law.

191. a. 232. a.

5. Now are we come to the Examples, Resolutions, and Judgments of former Times; wherein two Things are to be observed: First, How many Cases in our Books do over-rule this Case in Question (for ubi (c) eadem ratio ibi (c)CoLit.102. idem jus, & de similibus idem est judicium. 2. That for want of an express Text of Law in terminis terminantibus and of Examples and Precedents in like Cafes (as was objected by some) we are driven to determine the Question by natural Reason: For it was said, Si cesset lex scriptaid custodiri oportet

oportet quod moribus & consuetudine inductum cst, & si qua in re hoc defecerit, recurrendum est ad rationem. But that receiveth a threefold Answer: First, That there is no fuch Rule in the Common or Civil Law, but the true Rule of the Civil Law is, Lex scripta si cesset, id custodiri oportet quod moribus & consuetudine inductum est, & si qua in re hoc defecerit, tunc id quod proximum & consequens ei est, & si id non appareat, tunc jus quo urbs Romana utitur, Secondly, If the faid imaginative Rule be rightly and legally understood, it may stand for Truth: For if you intend ratio for the legal and profound Reason of fuch as by diligent Study and long Experience and Observation are fo learned in the Laws of this Realm, as out of the Reason of the same they can Rule the Case in Question, in that Sense the said Rule is true: But if it be intended of the Reason of the wifest Man that professeth not the Laws of England, then (I fay) the Rule is absurd and dangerous; for (a) cuilibet in sua arte perito est credendum, & (a)4 Co.29.a. quod quisque (b) norit in hoc se exerceat. Et omnes pru- 5 Co. 7. a. dentes illa admittere solent que probantur iis qui in sua Caudry's Case. Cawly 31. Co. arte bene versati sunt, Arist. 1. Topicorum, cap. 6. Third-Lit. 125. a. ly, There be Multitudes of Examples, Precedents, Judg- (6) 11 Co. 10-ls. ments, and Resolutions in the Laws of England, the true 12 Co. 65. and unstrained Reason whereof doth decide this Question 5 Co. Lit. 125. 3. for Fxample: The Dukedom of Acquitain, whereof Gaf- 8 Co. 130. a coin was Parcel, and the Earldom of Poytiers, came to Gascoin. Vac-King Henry the Second by the Marriage of Eleanor, Daughter and Heir of Wm. Duke of Acquitain, and Earl of Poytiers, which descended to Rich. 1. Hen. 3. Ed. 1. Ed. 2. Ed. 3. &c. In 27 lib. (c) Aff. pl. 48. in one Cafe (c) Moor 796, there appear two Judgments and one Resolution to be gi- 801. ven by the Judges of both Benches in this Case sollowing. Post. 20. b. The Possessions of the Prior of Chelsey in Time of War were seised into the King's Hands, for that the Prior was an Alien born: The Prior by Petition of Right fued to the King, and the Effect of his Petition was, That before he came Prior of Chelsey, he was Prior of Andover, and whilst he was Prior there, his Possessions of that Priory were likewife feised for the same Cause, supposing that he was an Alien born; whereupon he fued a former Petition, and alledged that he was born in Gascoin within the Ligeance of the King: Which Point being put in Issue, and found by Jury to be true, it was adjudged that he should have Restitution of his Possessions generally without menioning of Advowfons. After which Restitution, one of the

faid Advowfons became void, the Prior prefented, against whom the King brought a Qua. Imp. wherein the King was barred, and all this was contained in the later Petiti-And the Book faith, that the Earl of Arundel, and Sir Guy of B. came into the Court of Common Pleas, and demanded the Opinion of the Judges of that Court concerning the faid Cafe, who resolved, that upon the Matter aforesaid the King had no Right to seize. In which Case. amongst many notable Points, this one appeareth to be adjudged and resolved, that a Man born in Gascoin under the King's Ligeance, was no Alien born, as to Lands and Poffessions within the Realm of England, and yet England and Gascoin were several and distinct Countries. 2. Inherited by several and distinct Titles. 3. Governed by several and distinct Municipal Laws, as it appeareth amongst the Records in the Tower, Rot. Vasc. 10 Ed. 1. Num. 7. 4. Out of the Extent of the Great Seal of England, and the Turisdiction of the Chancery of England. 5. The like Objection might be made for default of Trial, as hath been made against the Plaintiff. And where it was said that Gascoin was no Kingdom, and therefore it was not to be matched to the Case in Hand, it was answered, that this difference was without a Diversity as to the Case in Oucstion; for if the Plea in the Case at the Bar be good, then without Question the Prior had been an Alien; for it might have been faid (as it is in the Case at the Bar) that he was born extra ligeantiam Regis Regni sui Anglia, & infra ligeantiam Dominii sui Vasconiæ, and that they were feveral Dominions, and governed by feveral Laws: But then such a Conceit was not hatched, that a King having feveral Dominions should have several Ligeances of his Subjects. Secondly, it was answered, That Gascoin was fometime a Kingdom, and likewife Millain, Burgundy Bavier, Britain, and others were, and now are become Dukedoms. Castile, Arragen, Portugal, Barcelona, &c. were fometime Earldoms, afterwards Dukedoms, and now Kingdoms. Bohemia and Polonia were fometimes Dukedoms, and now Kingdoms, and (omitting many other, and coming nearer home) Ircland was before 32 H. 8. a Lordship, and now is a Kingdom, and yet the King of England was as absolute a Prince and Soversign when he was Lord of Ireland, as now, when he is stiled King of the same. 10 Ed. 3. 41. an exchange was made between an Englishman and a Gascoin, of Lands in England and in Gascoin; irgo the Gascoin was no Alien, for then had he not been capable of Lands in England, 1 H. 4. 1. the King broughta Writ of right of Ward against one Sybil, whose Husband was exiled into Gescein;

Vasconia appellara fuit rempore Caroli magni regioned Vasconia. Mo. 800. Vaugh 300.

Co. Lit. 7. b.

Erro Gascoin is no Parcel or Member of England, for exilium oft patriæ privatio, natalis soli mutatio, legum nativarum amissio. A E.4. 10.b. the King directed his Writ out of the Chancery under the Great Seal of England, to the Mayor of (a) Burdeaux (a City in Gascoin) then being un- (a) Vaugh 200. der the King's Obedience, to certify, whether one that was 9 Co. 31. b. outlawed here in *England*, was at that Time in the King's ² Roll. 583. Service under him in obsequio Regis: whereby it appear- Br. Trial 126. eth, that the King's Writ did run into Gascoin, for it is the Trial that the Common Law hath appointed in that Cafe. But as to other Cases, it is to be understood, that there be a kind of Writs, viz. Brevia mandatoria & remedialia, & Vaugh. 401. brevia mandatoria & non remedialia: brevia mandatoria 2 Init. 486. & remedialia, as Writs of Right, of Formedon, &c. of Moor 804. Doot, Trespass, &c. and shorrly, all Writs real and personal, whereby the Party wronged is to recover somewhat, and to be remedied for that Wrong that was offered unto him, are returnable or determinable in some Court of Juflice within England, and to be ferved and executed by the Sheriffs, or other Ministers of Justice within England, and these cannot by any Means extend into any other Kingdom. Country or Nation, though that it be under the K's actual Ligeance and Obedience. But the other kind of Writs that are Mandatory, and not Remedial, are not tied to any Place, but do follow Subjection and Ligeance, in what 3 Inft. 179. Country or Nation soever the Subject is, as the King's Writ to command any of his Subjects refiding in any Foreign Country to return into any of the King's own Dominions. Sub fide & ligeantia quibus nobis tenemini. And fo are the aforesaid mandatory Writs cited out of the Register of Pro- Antea fol. 18 b. tection for Safety of Body and Goods, and requiring, that if any Injury be offered, that the same be redressed according to the Laws and Customs of that Place. Vide le Reg. fo. 26. Stamford Prarog. cap. 12. fol. 39. faith, That Men born in Gascoin are inheritable to Lands in England. This doth also appear by divers Acts of Parliament: for by the whole Parliament, 39 E. 3. cap. 16. it is agreed, that the Gascoins are of the Ligeance and Subjection of the King, Vide 42 Ed. 3. cap. 2. & 28 H. 6. cap. 5. Sc.

Guyen was another Part of Aquitain, and came by the Guyan. fame Title: And those of Guyen were by Act of Parliament Guienna, 13 H. 4. nu.22. in 13 H. 4. not imprinted, ex Rott. Parliament. eodem Cotton's Abr. anno, adjudged and declared to be no Aliens, but able 480. to Possess and Purchase, &c. Lands within this Realm. And so doth Stamford take the Law. Prarog. c. 12. f. 39.

D 4

And thus much of the Dukedom of Aquitain, which (together with the Earldom of Poytiers) came to King Henry the Second (as hath been faid) by Marriage, and continued in the actual Possession of the Kings of England by ten Descents, viz. from the first Year of King Henry the Second, unto the two and thirtieth Year of King Henry the Sixth, which was upon the very Point of three hundred Years, within which Dutchy there were (as some write) 4 Archbish. 24 Bishop. 15 Earldoms, 202 Baronies, and above a thousand Captainships and Bailiwicks: And in all this long Time neither Book-Case nor Record can be found wherein any Plea was offered to disable any of them that were born there, by Foreign Birth, but the contrary hereof directly appeareth by the said Book-Case of (a) 27 lib.

The Kings of England had sometime Normandy under

(a) Dev. 19. a. Moor 796, 801.

Normandy, Normannia Normandia.

Stamf. prærog.

38, 39, occ.

actual Ligeance and Obedience. The Question is then, whether Men born in Normandy, after one King had them both, were inheritable to Lands in England; and it is Evident by our Books that they were: For so it appeareth by the declaratory Act of 17 E. 2. de Prærog. Reg. c. 12. that they were inheritable to, and capable of Lands in England: For the Purview of that Statute is, Quod Rex habebit escaetas de terris Normannorum, &c. Ergo Normans might have Lands in England: & boc similiter intelligendum est, si aliqua hærcditas descendat alicui nato in partibus transmarinis, &c. Whereby it appeareth, that they were capable of Lands within England by Descent. that this Act of 17 E. 2. was but a Declaration of the Common Law, it appeareth both by Bracton who (as it hath been faid) wrote in the Reign of Henry the Third, lib. 3. tract. 2. c. 1. f. 116. and by Britton who wrote in 5 E. 1. c. 18. that all fuch Lands as any Norman had either by Defcent or Purchase, escheated to the King for their Treason, in revolting from their natural Liege Lord and Soveraign. And therefore Stamf rd Prarcg. cap. 12. fol. 39. expounding the said Statute of 17 E. 2. cap. 12. concludeth, that by that Chapter it should appear (as if he had said, it is apparent without Question) that all Men born in Normandy, Gascoin, Guyen, Anjou, and Britain, whilst they were under actual Obedience) were inheritable within this Realm as well as Englishmen. And the Reason thereof was, for that they were under one Ligeance due to one Soveraign. And fo much (omitting many other Authorities) for Normandy: faving I cannot let pass the Isles of fernsey and Gersey, Parts and Parcels of the Dukedom of Normandy, yet remaining under the actual Ligeance and Obedience of the King, I think no Man will doubt, but those that are

Kel.202.pl.19. 44ntt. 286. Co Lat. 11. b. Seid. mare clau. lib. 2. cap. 19. Lemfey and Gerf.y.

born in Fernsev and Gersey (though those Isles are no Parcel of the Realm of England, but several Dominions enjoyed Co. Lit. 11. b. by feveral Titles, governed by feveral Laws) are inheritable and capable of any Lands within the Realm of England. 1 E. 2. fel. 7. Commission to determine the Title of Lands within the faid Isles, according to the Laws of the Isles; 4 Inst. 286. and Mich. 41 E. 3. in the Treasury, Quia negotium prad nec aliqua alia negotia de insula præd' emergentia non debent terminari nisi secundum legem insulæ præd', &c. And the Register fol, 22. Rex fidelibus suis de Fernsey & Gersey. K. William the First brought this Dukedom of Normandy with him, which by five Descents continued under the actual Obedience of the Kings of England, and in or about the 6th Year of King John, the Crown of England lost the actual Possession thereof, until King Henry the 5th recovered it again, and left it to King Henry the 6th, who lost it in the 28th of his Reign; wherein were (as some write) one Archbishoprick and fix Bishopricks, and an hundred strong Towns and Fortresses, besides those that were wasted in War. Maud the Empress, the only Daughter and Heir to Henry the First, took to her second Husband Jeffrey Plantowinct. Earl of Anjon, Tourain, and Mayne, who had Iffue King H. 2. to whom the faid Earldom by just Title defcended, who, and the Kings that succeeded him, stiled themselves by the Name of Comes Andegav', &c. until K. E. 3. became King of all France; and such as were born within that Earldom, fo long as it was under the actual O- Co. Lit. 7. 2. bedience of the King of England, were no Aliens, but natural born Subjects, and never any Offer made, that we can find, to disable them for foreign Birth. But leave we Normandy and Anjou, and speak we of the little, but yet an- Man, Mannia. cient and absolute Kingdom of the Isle of Man, as it ap- 4 Init. 283, 284. peareth by divers ancient and authentick Records; as ta- Co. Lit. 11. b. Kelw. 2021. pl. 19 king one for many. Artold King of Man fued to King 2And. 155,156. H. 3. to come into England to confer with him, and to perform certain Things which were due to King H. 3. thereupon King H. 3. 21 Decemb. ann. regn. sui 34. at Winchefler by his Letters Patents gave Licence to Artold King of Man as followeth; Rex omnibus salutem. Sciatis, quod licentiam dedimus, &c. Artoldo Regi de Man veniendo ad nos in Angl' ad loquend' nobisc', & ad faciend' nobis quod facere debet; & ideo vobis mandamus quod ei Regi in veniendo ad nos in Angi, vel ibi morando, vel inde redeundo nullum faciat' aut fieri permittatis damnum, injur', molestiam, aut gravamen, vel etiam hominib' suis quos secum ducet E si aliquid cis forisfact' fuerit, id eis sine dilat' faciat' emendari. In cujus, &c. duratur' usque ad fest' S. Mich. Wherein

King of Man sued for a Licence in this Case to the King, it proveth him an absolute King, for that a Monarch or an absolute Prince cannot come into England without Licence of the King, but any Subject being in League, may come into this Realm without Licence; 2. That the King in his Licence doth stile him by the Name of a King. It was refolved in 11 H. 8. that where an Office was found after the Decease of Thomas Earl of Darby, and that he died seifed, &c. of the Isle of Man, that the said Office was utterly void, for that the Isle of Man, Normandy, Gascoin, &c. were out of the Power of the Chancery, and governed by feveral Laws; and yet none will doubt, but those that are born within that Isle, are capable and inheritable of Lands within the Realm of England. Wales was sometime a Kingdom, as it appeareth by 19 H. 6. fol. 6. and by the Act of Parliament of 2 H. 5. c. 6. but whilst it was a Kingdom, the same was holden, and within the Fee, of the King of 129. b. Vaugh. England; and this appeareth by our Books, Fleta, lib. 1. cap. 16. 1 E. 3. 14. 8 E. 3. 59. 13 E. 3. Tit. Jurisdict' 10 H. 4. 6. Plow. Com. 368. And in this Respect, in divers ancient Charters, Kings of old Time stiled themselves in feveral Manners, as King Edgar, Britannia Basines, Etheldredus, totius Álbion' Dei providentia Imperator, Edredus magn' Britann' Monarcha, which among many other of like Nature I have seen. But by the Statute of 12 E. 1. Wales was united and incorporated into England, and made Parcel of England in Possession; and therefore it is ruled in 7 H. 4. f. 13. a. that no Protection doth lie quia moratur in Wallia, because Wales is within the Realm of England. And where it is recited in the Act of 27 H. 8. that Wales was ever Parcel of the Realm of England, it is true in this Sense,

Co Lit 130.b. Fitz. Protect.23. Br. Protect. 33. 3 Kcb. 405. Vaugh. 414.

Wales, Cambria, Wallia.

3 Keb. 402. 4 Inst. 239,240, &c. Plow. 126.b

231.

Wales before 12 E.r. whilst it was only holden of England, were capable and inheritable of Lands in England. France, Gallia, Francia.

Now come we to France and the Members thereof, as Callice, Guynes, Tournay, &c. which descended to King Ed. the Third as Son and Heir to Ifabel, Daughter and Heir to Philip le Beau, K. of France. Certain it is, whilft King

viz. that before 12 E. 1. it was Parcel in Tenure, and fince it is Parcel of the Body of the Realm. And whosoever is born within the Fee of the King of England, though it be in another Kingdom, is a natural-born Subject, and capable and inheritable of Lands in England, as it appeareth in Plow. Com. 126. And therefore those that were born in

King Henry the Sixth had both England, and the Heart and greatest Part of France under his actual Ligeance and Obedience (for he was crown'd King of France in Paris) that they that were then born in those Parts of France. that were under actual Ligeance and Obedience, were no Aliens, but capable of, and inheritable to Lands in England. And that it is proved by the Writs in the Register, fel. 26, cited before. But in the Inrolment of Letters Patents of Denization in the Exchequer int' originalia ann. 11 H. 6. with the Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer, was strongly urged and objected; for (it was faid) thereby it appeareth. that King H.6. in anno 11 of his Reign, did make Denizen one Reynel born in France; whereunto it was answered, that it is proved by the faid Letters Patents, that he was born in France, before King Henry the Sixth had the a-Etual Possession of the Crown of France, so as he was Antenatus; and this appeareth by the faid Letters Patents, whereby the King granteth, that Magister Johannes Reynel serviens noster, &c. infra regnum nostrum Franc' oriundus pro termino vitæ suæ sit ligeus noster, & eodem modo tencatur sicut verus & fidelis nester infra regnum Angl' oriundus, ac quod ipse terras infra regnum nostrum Angl' seu alia dominia nostra perquirere possit & valeat. Now if that Reynel had been born fince Henry the Sixth had the quiet Poffession of France (the King being crowned King of France about one Year before) of Necessity he must be an Infant of very tender Age, and then the King would never have called him his Servant, nor made the Patent (asthereby may be colelcted) for his Service, nor have called him by the Name of Magister Johannes Reynel: But without Question he was Antenatus, born before the King had the actual and real Possession of that Crown.

Callice is a Part of the Kingdom of France, and never Callice. Calewas Parcel of the Kingdom of England, and the Kings of Cia, Calcrum. England enjoyed Callice in and from the Reign of K. Edw. pl.19.2 And. 116 the Third, until the Loss thereof in Queen Mary's Time, Br. Trial 58,133 by the same Title that they had to France. And it is evi- Br. Enror to dent by our Books, that those that were born in Callice, Ports to were capable and inheritable to Lands in England, 42 E. 3. Vaugh. 401. c. 10. Vide 21 H.7. 33.b. 19 H.6. 2E 4. 1.a.b. 39 H.6. 39. a. 4 Inft. 282. 21 E. 4.18.a. 28 H. 6.3.b. By all which it is manifest, that Callice being Parcel of France was under the a-Etual Obedience and Commandment of the King, and by Consequent those that were born there, were paturalborn Subjects, and no Aliens. Callice from the Reign of King Ed. 3. until the fifth Year of Queen Mary, remained under the actual Obedience of the King of Engl.

B". Trial 58,133

Fitz. Protect. 13 Guines also, another Part of France, was under the like Guynes. Tournay. Vaugh. 282.

Obedience to King Henry the Sixth, as appeareth by 31 H.6. Dyera24. pl.29. fol. 4. And Tournay was under the Obedience of Henry the Eighth, as it appeareth by 5 El. Dier fol. 224. for there it is resolved, that a Bastard born at Tournay, whilst it was under the Obedience of Henry the Eighth, was a natural Subject, as an Issue born within this Realm by Aliens. then those that were born at Tournay, Callice, &c. whilft they were under the Obedience of the King, were natural Subjects, and no Aliens, it followeth, that when the Kingdom of France (whereof those were Parcels) was under the King's Obedience, that those that were then born there, were natural Subjects, and no Aliens.

Ireland, Hiber-Dav.60. Præf.4. Rep. 32, 33.

Next followeth Ireland, which originally came to the Kings nia. 12 Co. 108, of England by Conquest, but who was the first Conqueror 109, &c. 4 lnft. thereof, hath been a Question. I have seen a Charter made 349, 350, &c. by King Edgar, in these Words. Egg. Edgary, Archives by King Edgar in these Words: Ego Edgarus Anglorum Baσιλεύε, omniumque insularum Oceani, que Britanniam circumjacent, Imperator & Dominus, gratias ago ipsi Deo omnipotenti Regi meo, qui meum Imperium sic ampliavit & exaltavit super Regnum patrum meorum, &c. mihi concessit propitia divinitas, cum Anglorum Imperio omnia Regna infularum Oceani, &c. cum suis ferocissimis Regibus usque Norvegiam, maximamque partem Hibern', cum sua nobilissima civitate de Dublina, Anglorum Regno subjugare, quapropter & ego Christi gloriam & laudem in Regno meo exaltare, & ejus servitium amplificare devotus disposui, &c. Yet for that it was wholly conquered in the Reign of Henry the Second, the Honour of the Conquest of Ireland is attributed to him, and his Stile was, Rex Angl' Dominus Hibern', Dux Normann', Dux Aquitan', & Comes Andegav', King of England, Lord of Ireland, Duke of Normandy, Duke of Aquitain, and Earl of Anjou. That Ireland is a Dominion separate and divided from England, it is evident by our Books, 20 H. 6. 8. Sir John Pilkington's Case. 32 H. 6. 25. 20 Eliz. Dier 360. Plow. Com. 360. And 2 R. 3.12.a. Hibernia habet Parliamentum, & faciunt leges, & nostra statuta non ligant eos, quia non mittunt milites ad Parliamentum (which is to be understood, unless they be especially named) sed person' eorum sunt subjecti Regis, sicut Inhabitantes in Calesta, Gasconia & Guyan. Wherein it is to be observed, that the Irishman (as to his Subjection) is compared to Men born in Calice, Gascoin, and Guyan. Concerning their Laws, Ex rotulis Patentium de anno 11 Regis H. 3. there is a Charter which that King made, begirning in these Words: Rex, &c. Baronibus, militibus, & omnibus libere tenentibus L. salutem, satis ut credimus

12 Co. 111. 4 Init. 351. 1 And. 263. 2 And. 116.

Co. Lit. 7. a.

Dav. 37. a. Jenk. Cent. 164 Br. Parliam. 98

Co.Lit.141.a.

vestra audivit discretio, quod quando bonæ memor' (a) Fo- (a) Co. Lit. hannes quondam Rex Angl' pater noster venit in Hiberniam 141.b. 2Vent.4: ipse duxit secum viros discretos & legis peritos, quorum communi consilio & ad instantiam, Hibernensium statuit & pracepit leges Anglicanas in Hibern' ita quod leges easdem in Scripturas redactas reliquit sub sigillo suo ad scaccarium Dublin.' So as now the Laws of England became the proper Laws of Ireland; and therefore, because they have Parliaments holden there, whereat they have made divers particular Laws concerning that Dominion, as it appeareth in 20 H. 6. 8. & 20 El. (b) Dyer 360. and for that they re- (b) 9 Co.117.b. tain unto this Day divers of their ancient Customs, the Book Cart. 186. in 20 H. 6.8. holdeth, that Ireland is governed by Laws and Customs, separate and diverse from the Laws of Engl. A Voyage Royal may be made into *Ireland. Vide* (c) 11 H. (c) Fitz. Pro-4.7.a. & 7 (d) E.4.27.a. which proveth it a diffinct Domitect. 24. Br. nion. And in *Anno* 33 Reg. El. it was refolved by all the (d) Fitz. Pro-Judges of England in the Case of (e) Orurke an Irishman, tect. 16. Br. who had committed High Treason in *Ireland*, that he by Protect. 72. the Statute of 33 H.8. c. 23. might be indicted, arraigned, Co. Lit. 261. b. and tried for the same in *England*, according to the Pur- 1 And. 262, 263 view of that Statute; the Words of which Statute be, That 2 Vent 4. view of that Statute; the Words of which Statute be, I was all Treasons, &c. committed by any (f) Person out of the Realm Cart. 190. Cawly 93. of England Shall be from henceforth enquired of, &c. and (f) 35 H. 8.c.2. they all resolved (as afterward they did also in Sir John Per- (g) Cawly 93. rot's Case) that Ireland was out of the Realm of Engl. 3 Inst. 11. and that Treasons committed there, were to be tried within England by that Statute. In the Statute of 4 H. 7. cap. 24. of (g) Fines, Provision is made for them that be out of this (b) 3 Inst. 18. Land, and it is holden in Plow. Com. in Stowel's Case, 375, Flowd 368.b. that he that is in Ireland is out of this Land, and confetia. quently within that Proviso. Might not then the like Plea be devised as well against any Person born in Ireland, as (this is against Calvin that is a Postnatus) in Scotland? For the Irishman is born extra ligeantiam regis regni sui Angl', &c. which be verba operativa in the Plea: But all Men know, that they are natural-born Subjects, and capable of and inheritable to Lands in England. Lastly, to conclude this Part with (b) Scotland itself; in ancient Time Part of (i) Scotland (besides Berwick) was within the Power and (i) Heylin's Ligeance of the King of England as appeareth by our Cosmog lib. 4. Books (k) 42. F. 3.2.b. the Lord Beaumont's Cafe, 11 E. 3. (k) Firz. Brief c. 2, &c. and by Precedents hereafter mentioned; and that 551. Part (though it were under the King of England's Ligeance and Obedience) yet was it governed by the Laws of Scotland. Fx rotulis Scotie, Anno 11 Ed. 3. amongst the Records in the Tower of London. Rex. &c. Constituimus Rich. Ta'ebot Justiciarium nostrum villa Berwici

Berwici super Twedam, ac omnium aliarum terrarum 110strarum in partibus Scot', ad faciend' omnia & singula que ad officium Justiciarii pertinent, secundum legem & consuetudinem regni Scot'. And after anno 26 E. 3. ex eodem rot. Rex Henrito de Perney & Ricardo de Nevil, &c. volumus & vobis & alteri vestrum tenore præsentium committimus & mandamus, quod homines nestri de Scot' ad pacem & oledientiam nostrum existentes, legibus, libertatibus, & liberis consuetudinibus, quibus ifsi & antecessores sui tempore celebris memor' Alexandri quondam Regis Scot' rationabiliter usi fuerunt, uti & gandere deberent, prout in quibusdam indenturis, &c. plenius dicitur contineri. And there is a Writin the Register 295. a. Dedimus potestatem recipiendi ad fidem & pacem nestram homines de Galloway. Now the Case in (a) 42 Ed 3. 2.b. (which was within fixteen Years

(a) Fitz. Brief

Berwick. (b) 1 Sid. 381,

(c) Fitz. Protest. 8 Br. Protect. 49.

551. Ant. 23.2 of the faid Grant, concerning the Laws in 26 E. 3.) ruleth it, That so many as were born in that Part of Scotland, that was under the Ligeance of the King, were no Aliens, but inheritable to Lands in England; yet was that Part of Scotland in another Kingdom governed by feveral Laws, Esc. And if they were natural Subjects in that Case, when the King of England had but Part of Scotland, what Reason should there be, why those that are born there, when the King hath all Scotland, should not be natural Subjects, and no Aliens? So likewife (b. Berwick is no Part of England, nor governed by the Laws of Engl. and yet they that have been born there, fince they were under the Obedience of one King, are natural-born Subjects, and no Aliens, as it appeareth in 15 R. 2. cap. 7, &c. Vide (c) 19 H. 6.35.D. & 39H.6. 39.a. And yet in all these Cases and Examples, if this new devised Plea had been suffic. they should have been all Aliens against fo many Judgments, Resolutions, Authorities, and judicial Precedents in all Successions of Ages. There were sometimes in England, whilst the Heptarchy lasted, seven several crowned Kings of 7 several and distinct Kingdoms, but in the End the West Saxons got the Monarchy, and all the other Kings melted (as it were) their Crowns to make one imperial Diadem, for the King of the West Saxons over all. Now when the Whole was under the actual and real Ligeance and Obedience of one King, were any that were born in any of those several and distinct Kingdoms, Aliens one to another? Certainly they being born under the Obedience of one King and Sovereign, were all natural-born Subjects, and capable of and inheritable unto any Lands in any of the faid Kingdoms.

In the holv History reported by St. Luke. ex distamine Spiritus Sancti, cap. 21 & 22 Act. Apostolorum, it is certain. that St. Paul was a Fere, born in Tarsus, a famous City of Cilicia; for it appeareth in the faid 21st Chapter, Ver. 39. by his own Words, Igo homo fum quidem Fudæus a Tarfo Ciliciæ non ignot' civitatis municeps. And in the 22d Chapter, Verse 3. Ego sum Vir Judous natus Tarso Cilicia, &c. and then made that excellent Sermon there recorded, which when the Fews heard, the Text faith, Verse 22, Levaverunt vocem suam dicentes, tolle de terra hujusmodi, non enim fas est eum vivere: vociferantibus autem eis & projicientibus vestimenta sua, & pulverem jactantibus in aerem. Claudius Lysias the popular Tribune, to please this turbulent and prophane Multitude (though it were utterly against Justice and common Reason) the Text saith, Justit Tribunus induci eum in castra, 2. flagellis cædi, and 3. torqueri eum (quid ita?) ut sciret propter quam causam sic acclamarent; and when they had bound Paul with Cords. ready to execute the Tribune's unjust Commandment, the bleffed Apostle (to avoid unlawful and sharp Punishment) took hold of the Law of a Heathen Emperor, and faid to the Centurion standing by him, Si hominem Romanum & indemnatum licet volis flagellare? Which when the Centurion heard, he went to the Tribune and faid, Quid acturus es? Hic enim homo civis Romanus est. Then came the Tribune to Paul, and said unto him; Dic mihi si tu Romanus es? At ille dixit, etiam. And the Tribune answered, Fgo multa summa civitatem hanc consequutus sum. But Paul not meaning to conceal the Dignity of his Birthright faid, Ego autem & natus sum: As if he should have said to the Tribune, you have your Freedom by Purchase of Money, and I (by a more noble Means) by Birthright and Inheritance. Protinus ergo (faith the Text) decesserunt ab illo qui illum torturi erant, Tribunus quoque timuit postquam rescivit, quia civis Romanus effet, & quia alligaffet eum. So as hereby it is manifest that Paul was a Jew, born at Tarsus in Cilicia, in Asia Minor, and yet being born under the Obedience of the Roman Emperor, he was by Birth a Citizen of Rome in Italy in Europe, that is, capable of and inheritable to all Privileges and Immunities of that City. Bur fuch a Plea as is now imagined against Calvin might have made St. Paul an Alien to Rome. For if the Emperor of Rome had several Ligeances for every several Kingdom and Country under his Obedience, then might ir have been faid against St. Paul, that he was extra

ligeantiam Imperatoris regni sui Italiæ, & infra ligeantiam Imperatoris regni sui Ciliciæ, &c. But as St. Paul was Judæus patria & Romanus privilegio, Judæus natione & Romanus jure nationum; so may Calvin say, that he is Scotus patria, & Anglus privilegio, Scotus natione & Anglus

jure nationum.

Samaria in Syria was the chief City of the ten Tribes; but it being usurped by the King of Syria, and the Fews taken Prisoners, and carried away in Captivity, was after inhabited by the Panyms. Now albeit Samaria of Right belonged to Jury, yet because the People of Samaria were not under actual Obedience, by the Judgment of the chief Justice of the whole World they were adjudged Alienigene, Aliens: For in the Evangelist St. Luke, c. 17. when Christ had cleansed the ten Lepers, Unus autem ex illis (saith the Text) ut vidit quia mandatus effet, regressus est, cum magna voce magnificans Deum & cecidit in faciem ante pedes ejus gratias agens, & hic erat Samaritanus. Et Jesus respondens dixit, nonne decem mundati sunt, & novem ubi funt? Non est inventus qui rediret & daret gloriam Deo nisi bic Alienigena. So as by his Judgment this Samaritan was Alienigena, a Stranger born, because he had the Place. but wanted Obedience. Et si desit ebedientia non adjuvat locus. And this agreeth with the Divine, who faith, Si locus salvare potuisset, Satan de calo pro sua inobedientia non cecidisset. Adam in Paradiso non cecidisset, Lot in monte non cecidisset, sed potius in Sedem.

6. Now resteth the fixth Part of this Division, that is to say, fix demonstrative Illations or Conclusions, drawn plain-

ly and exprelly from the Premises.

1. Every one that is an Alien by Birth, may be, or might have been an Enemy by Accident; but Calvin could never at any Time be an Enemy by any Accident; Ergo, he cannot be an Alien by Birth. Vide 33 H. 6. f.r.a.b. the Difference between an Alien Enemy, and a Subject Traitor. Hostes sunt qui nobis, vel quibus nos bellum decernimus, cæteri proditores, prædones, &c. The major is apparent, and is proved by that which hath been said Et vide Magna Charta, cap. 30. 19 E. 4. 6. 9 E. 3. c. 1. 27 E. 3. c. 2. 4 H. 5. c.7. 14 E. 3. stat. 2. c. 2, &c.

2. Who foever are born under one natural Ligeance and Obedience due by the Law of Nature to one Sovereign, are natural-born Subjects: But Calvin was born under one natural Ligeance and Obedience, due by the Law of Nature to one Sovereign; 1 rgo he is a natural-born Subject.

3. Who-

3. Who soever is born within the King's Power or Protection, is no Alien: But Calvin was born under the King s

Power and Protection; Ergo he is no Alien.

4. Every Stranger born must at his Birth be either amicus, or inimicus: But Calvin at his Birth could neither be amicus nor inimicus; Ergo he is no Stranger born. Inimicus he cannot be, because he is subditus, for that Cause also he cannot be amicus; neither now can Scotia be said to be solum amici, as hath been faid.

5. What soever is due by the Law or Constitution of Man, Sawyer's Ari may be altered: But natural Ligeance or Obedience of the gument in Ogo Subject to the Soveraign cannot be altered; Ergo natural Ligeance or Obedience to the Soveraign is not due by the Law or Constitution of Man. Again, whatsoever is due by the Law of Nature, cannot be altered: But Ligeance and Obedience of the Subject to the Soveraign is due by the Law of Nature; Ergo it cannot be altered. It hath been proved before, that Ligeance or Obedience of the Inferior to the Superior, of the Subject to the Soveraign, was due by Antea 13.4. the Law of Nature many thousand Years before any Law of Man was made: Which Ligeance or Obedience (being the only Mark to distinguish a Subject from an Alien) could not be altered; therefore it remaineth still due by the Law of Nature. For Leges natura persectissima sunt & immutabiles, humani vero juris conditio semper in infinitum decurrit, & nibil est in eo quod perpetuo stare possit.

Leges humanæ nascuntur, vivunt, & moriuntur.

Lastly, whosoever at his Birth cannot be an Alien to the King of Fngland, cannot be an Alien to any of his Subjects of England: But the Plaintiff at his Birth could be no Alien to the King of England; Ergo the Plaintiff cannot be an Alien to any of the Subjects of England. The Major and Minor both be propositiones perspicue vera. For as to the Major it is to be observed, that whosever is an Alien born, is so accounted in Law in respect of the King: And that appeareth first by the Pleading so often before remembred, that he must be extra ligeantiam Regis, without any mention making of the Subject. 2. When an Alien born purchaseth any Lands, the King only shall have them, though they Co. Lit. 1, 1, be holden of a Subject, in which Case the Subject loseth his Seigniory. And as it is faid in our Books, an Alien may purchase ad proficuum Regis; but the Act of Law giveth the Alien nothing: And therefore if a Woman Alien marrieth a Subject, she shall not be endowed, neither shall Br. Denian Alien be Tenant by the Curte fy. Vide 3H. 6.55.a-4 H. zen 1. 3. 179. 3. The Subject shall plead, that the Defendant is an Fitz. Dower Alien 179.

Warranto 25.

found may feize, and 2. that the Tenant may yield to the

King the Land, and not to the Alien, because the King hath best Right thereunto. 4. Leagues between our Soveraign and others are the only Means to make Aliens Friends, & fædera percutere, to make Leagues, only and wholly pertaineth to the King. 5. Wars do make Aliens Enemies, and bellum indicere belongeth only and wholly to the King, and not to the Subject, as appeareth in 19 Ed. 4. fol. 6. b. 6. The King only without the Subject may make not only Letters of fafe Conduct, but Letters Patents of Denization, to whom, and how many he will, and enable them at his Pleasure to sue any of his Subjects in any Action whatfoever, real or personal, which the King could not do without the Subject, if the Subject had any Interest given unto him by the Law in any Thing concerning an Alien born. Nay, the Law is more precise herein than in a Number of other Cases, of higher Nature: For the King cannot grant to any other to make of Strangers born Denizens, it is by the Law it felf to inseparably and individually annexed to his Royal Person (as the Book is in 20 H. 7. fol. 8.) For the Law effeemeth it a Point of high Prerogative, Jus Majestatis, & inter insignia summe potestatis, to make Aliens born Subjects of the Realm, and capable of the Lands and Inheritances of Engl. in fuch fort as any natural-born Subject is. And therefore by the Statute of 27 H.S. c. 24. many of the most ancient Prerogatives and royal Flowers of the Crown, as Authority to pardon Treason, Murther, Manflaughter, and Felony, Power to make Justices in Eyre, Justices of Assise, Justices of Peace and Gaol-Delivery, and fuch like, having been severed and divided from the Crown, were again reunited to the same: But Authority to make Letters of Denization, was never mentioned therein to be refumed, for that never any claimed the same by any pretext whatfoever, being a Matter of fo high a Point of Prerogative. So as the Pleading against an Alien, the Purchafe by any Alien, Leagues and Wars between Aliens, Denizations, and fafe Conducts of Aliens, have Afpect only and wholly unto the King. It followeth therefore, that no Man can be Alien to the Subject that is not Alien to the King. Non potest esse alienigena corpori, qui non est capiti, non gregi qui non est Regi.

The Authorities of Law cited in this Case for Maintenance of the Judgment, 4 H. 3. tit. Dower. Bracton, lib. 5. fol. 427. Fleta, lib. 6. cap. 47. In temps E. 1. Hingham's Report. 1- Edw. 2. cap. 12. 11 Edw. 3.

Br.Patents111.

cap. 2. 14 Ed. 2. Statut. de Francia. 42 Ed. 3. fcl. 2. 42 Ed. 3. cap. 10. 22 Lib. Ass. 25. 13 Rich. 2. cap. 2. 15 Rich. cap. 7. 11 Hen. 4. fcl. 26. 14 Hen. 4. fol. 19. 13 H. 4. Statutum de Guyan. 29 Hen. 6. tit. Estoppel 48. 28 H. 6. cap. 5. 32 Hen. 6. fol. 23. 32 Hen. 6. f. 26. Littl. temps Ed. 4 lib. 2. cap. Villenage. 15 Ed. 4 fol. 15. 19 Ed. 4. 6. 22 Ed. 4. cap. 8. 2 Rich. 3. 2. & 12. 6 Hen. 8. fol. 2. Dyer. 14 H. 8. cap. 2. No manner of Stranger born out of the King's Obeyfance, 22 H. S. c. S. Every Person born out of the Realm of England, out of the King's Obeyfance, 32 H. 8. c. 16. 25 H. 8. c. 15, &c. 4 Ed. 6. Plowd. Comment. fol. 2. Fogassa's Case. 2 & 3 Ph. & Mar. Dyer 145. Shirley's Case. 5 El. Dyer 224. 13 El. c. 7. de Bankrupts. All Commissions ancient and late, for the finding of Offices, to intitle the King to the Lands of Aliens born: Also all Letters Patents of Denization of ancient and later Times do prove, That he is no Alien that is born under the King's Obedience.

Now we are come to confider of legal Inconveniences: The 5. general And first of such as have been objected against the Plaintiff, pat concerning from the property of such as share been objected against the Plaintiff, ing Inconveniand fecondly of fuch as should follow, if it had been adjudge ences.

ed against the Plaintiff.

Of fuch Inconveniences as were objected against the Plaintiff, there remain only four to be answered; for all the rest are clearly and fully satisfied before: r. That if Postnati should be inheritable to our Laws and Inheritances, it were reason they should be bound by our Laws; but Postnati are not bound by our Statute or Common Laws; for they having (as it was objected) never fo much Freehold or Inheritance, cannot be returned of Juries, nor subject to Scot or Lot, nor chargeable to Subfidies or Quinzimes, nor bound by any Act of Parliament made in Engl. 2. Whether one be born within the Kingdom of Scotland, or no, is not triable in England, for that it is a Thing done out of this Realm, and no Jury can be returned for the Trial of any fuch Iffue: And what Inconvenience should thereof follow, if fuch Pleas that wanted Trial should be allowed (for then all Aliens might imagine the like Plea) they that objected it, left it to the Confideration of others. 3. It was objected, that this Innovation was so dangerous, that the certain Event thereof no Man could foresee, and therefore, some thought it fit, that Things should stand and continue as they had been in former Time, for fear of the worst. 4. If Postnati were by Law legitimated in England, it was objected what Inconvenience and Confusion should follow,

follow, if (for the l'unishment of us all) the King's Royal Issue should fail, &c. whereby those Kingdoms might again be divided. All the other Arguments and Objections that have been made, have been all answered before, and need

not to be repeated again.

r. To the first it was resolved. That the Cause of this doubt was the Mistaking of the Law: For if a Postnatus do purchase any Lands in England, he shall be subject in refrect thereof, not only to the Laws of this Realm, but also to all Services and Contributions, and to the Payment of Subfidies, Taxes, and Publick Charges, as any Denizen or Englishman shall be; nay, if he dwell in England, the King may command him by a Writ of Ne exeat Regnum. that he depart not out of Fugland. But if a Poltnatus dwell in Scotland, and have Lands in England, he shall be chargeable for the fame to all Intents and Purpofes, as if an Englishman were Owner thereof, and dwelt in Scotland. Ircland, in the Isles of Man, Jernsey, or Guernsey, or elsewhere. The same Law is of an Irishman that dwells in Ireland, and hath Land in England. But if Postnati, or Irishmen, Men of the Isles of Man, Fernsey, Guernsey, &c. have Lands within England, and dwell here, they shall be subject to all Services and Publick Charges within this Realm, as any Englishman shall be. So as to Services and Charges, the Postnati and Englishmen born are all in one Predicament. 2. Concerning the Trial, a threefold Answer was there-

unto made and resolved: 1. That the like Objection might be made against Irishmen, Gascoins, Normans, Men of the Isles of Man, Jernsey, and Gersey, of Berwick, Esc. all which appear by the Rule of our Books to be natural-born Subjects; and yet no Jury can come out of any of those Countries and Places, for Trial of their Births there. 2. If the Demandant or Plaintiff in any Action concerning Lands be born in Ireland, Fernsey, Gersey, &c. out of the Realm of England, if the Tenant or Defendant plead, that he was born out of the Ligeance of the King, &c. the Defendant or Plaintiff may reply, that he was born under the Ligeance of the King at fuch Place within England; and upon the Evidence the Place shall not be material, but only the Issue shall be, whether the Demandant or Plaintiff were born under the Ligeance of the King in any of his Kingdoms or Dominions whatfoever: And in that Cafe the Jury (if they will may find the special Matter, viz. the Place where he was born, and leave it to the Judgment of the Court: and that Jurors may take Knowledge of Things done

Ca. Lit. 261.a.b 6 Co. 47. 2.

out of the Realm in this and like Cases. Vide 7 H. 7. 8.1. 20 Ed. 3. Averment 34. 5 Ric. 2. tit. Trial 54. 15 Ed. 4. 15. 32 H. 6. 25. Fitz. Nat. Br. 196. Vide Dowdale s Case, in the fixth Part of my Reports, fol. 47. and there divers others Judgments be vouched. 3 Brown in anno 32 H. 6. reporteth a Judgment then lately given, that where the Defendant pleaded, that the Plaintiff was a Scot, born at St. Folms Town in Scotland, out of the Ligeance of the King; whereupon they were at lifue, and that Iffue was tried where the Writ was brought, and that appeareth also by 27 Ass. pl. 24. that the Tury did find the Prior to be born in Galcoin: for so much is necessarily proved by the Words trove fuit.) And 20 Ed. 3. tit. Averment 3.1. in juris utrum, the Death of one of the Vouchees was alledged at fuch a Castle in Britain, and this was inquired of by the Jury: And it is holden in 5 Ric. 2. tit. Trial 54. That if a Man be adhering to the Enemies of the King in France, his Land is forfeitable, and his Adherency shall be tried where the Land is, as oftentimes hath been done, as there it is said by Belknap: And Fitz. Nat. Br. 196. in a Mortdane', if the Ancestor died in itinere percerinationis fue vers. terram sanctam, the Jury shall inquire of it. But in the Case at Bar, seeing the Defendant hath pleaded the Truth of the Case, and the Plaintiff hath not denied it, but demurred upon the fame, and thereby confessed all Matters of Fact, the Court now ought to judge upon the special Matter, even as if a Jury upon an Issue joined in England, as is aforefaid, had found the special Matter, and left it to the Court.

3. To the Third it was answered and resolved, That this Judgment was rather a Renovation of the Judgments and Censures of the Reverend Judges and Sages of the Law in fo many Ages past, than any Innovation, as appeareth by the Books and Book-cases before cited: neither have Judges Power to judge according to that which they think to be fit, but that which out of the Laws they know to be right and confonant to Law. Judex bonus mikil ex arbitrio fuo faciat, nec propesito domesticæ veluntatis, sed juxta leges & jura pronuntiet. And as for timores, Fears grounded upon no just Cause, Qui non cadunt in constantem virum, vani timores æstimandi sunt.

4. And as to the Fourth, it is less than a Dream of a 2 Ventris 6. Shadow, or a Shadow of a Dream: for as it hath been often faid, natural Legitimation respecteth actual Obedience to the Soveraign at the Time of the Birth : for as the Antenati remain Aliens as to the Crown of Engl. because they were born when there were feveralKings of the feveralKingdoms, and the E 3

make him a Subject to that Crown to which he was an Alien at the Time of his Birth: So albeit the Kingdoms (which Almighty God of his infinite Goodness and Mercy divert) should by descent be divided, and governed by several Kings; yet was it refolved, That all those that were born under one natural Obedience, while the Realms were united under one Soveraign, should remain natural-born Subjects, and no Aliens; for that Naturalization due and vested by Birth-right, cannot by any Separation of the Crowns afterward be taken away: nor he that was by Judgment of Law a natural Subject at the Time of his Birth, become an Alien by fuch a Matter ex post facto. And in that Case, upon such an Accident, our Postnatus may be ad fidem utriusque Regis, as Bratton faith in the afore-remembred Place, fol. 427. Sicut Anglicus non auditur in placitando aliquem de terris & tenement' in Francia, ita nec debet Francigena & alienigena qui fuerit ad fid' Reg' Fran' audiri placitando in Angl': sed tamen sunt aliqui Francigenæ in Franc' qui sunt ad fidem utriusq; & semper fuerunt ante Normanniam deperditam & post, & qui placitant bic & ibi, ea ratione qua sunt ad fidem utriusque, sicut fuit Willielmus comes mareschallus & manens in Anglia, & M. de Gynes manens in Francia, & alii plures. Concerning the Reason drawn (4) Co. Lit. 62.b from the (a) Etymologies, it made against them, for that by their own Derivation, alienæ gentis, and alienæ ligeantiæ is all one: But Arguments drawn from Etymologies, are too weak and too light for Judges to build their Judgments (b) 3 Course b. upon: for Sepenumero ubi proprietas (b) verborum attendi tur, sensus veritatis amittitur: and yet when they agree with the Judgment of Law, Judges may use them for Ornaments. But on the other fide, some Inconveniences should follow, if the Plea against the Plaintiff should be allowed: for first it maketh Ligeance local; videlicet, Ligeantia Regis Regni sui Scotia, and Ligeantia Regis Regni sui Angliæ: whereupon should follow, First, That Faith or Ligeance, which is universal, should be confined within local Limits and Bounds: Secondly, That the Subjects should not be bound to serve the King in Peace or in War out of those Limits; Thirdly, It should Illegitimate many, and some of noble Blood, which were born in Gascoign, Guyan, Normandy, Callice, Tournay, France, and divers other of his Majesty's Dominions, whilst the same were in actual Obedience

Obedience, and in Berwick, Ireland, Jernsey and Gerfey, if this Plea should have been admitted for good. And thirdly, this strange and new devised Plea inclineth too much to countenance that dangerous and desperate Error of the Spencers, touched before, to receive any Allowance within Westminster-Hall.

In the proceeding of this Case, these Things were observed, and so did the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas publickly deliver in the End of his Argument in the Exchequer-Chamber. First, that no Commandment or Mesfage by Word or Writing was fent or delivered from any whatfoever to any of the Judges, to cause them to incline to any Opinion in this Case; which I remember, for that it is honourable for the State, and confonant to the Laws and Statutes of this Realm. Secondly, There was observed, what a Concurrence of Judgments, Resolutions, and Rules there be in our Books in all Ages concerning this Case, as if they had been prepared for the deciding of the Question of this Point: and that (which never fell out in any doubtful Case) no one Opinion in all our Books is against this Judgment. Thirdly, That the five Judges of the King's Bench, who adjourned this Case into the Exchequer-Chamber, rather adjourned it for weight than difficulty, for all they in their Arguments una voce concurred with the Judgment. Fourthly, That never any Case was adjudged in the Exchequer-Chamber with greater Concordance and less Variety of Opinions, the Lord Chancellor and twelve of the Judges concurring in one Opinion. Fifthly, That there was not in any Remembrance so honourable, great, and intelligent an auditory at the Hearing of the Arguments of any Exchequer-Chamber Case, as was at this Case now adjudged. Sixthly it appeareth, That Jurisprudentia legis communis Angliæ est scientia socialis & copiosa: sociable, in that it agreeth with the Principles and Rules of other excellent Sciences, Divine and Humane: copious, for that quamvis ad * ea quæ frequentius accidunt jura adaptantur, yet in a *5 Co. 127. b. Case so rare, and of such a Quality, that Loss is the assured 2 Inst. 137. End of the Practice of it (for no Alien can purchase Lands, Cart. 13.) but he loseth them, and ipso facto the King is intitled 6 Co. 87. a. thereunto, in respect whereof a Man would think few Men would attempt it) there should be such a Multitude and Farrago of Authorities in all Successions of Ages, in our Books and Book-Cases, for the deciding of a Point of so rare an Accident. Et sie determinata & terminata est ista questio.

Super quovisis & per cur' Domini Regishic plenius intellect' omnibus & singulis præmissis, diligenterque inspect & examinat', maturaque deliberatione inde habit', pro eo quod videtur cur' Domini Regis nunc hic quod placitum prædictum per prædictos Richardum Smith & Nic. Smith superius placit' minus sufficiens in lege exist ad prædict' Robertum Calvin a respons ad breve suum prædictum habend', repellend'. Ideo considerat' est per cur' Domini Regis nunc hic, quod prædict' Richardus Smith & Nicholaus Smith ad breve insus Roberti ulterius respond'.

Mich. 26 & 27 Eliz.

Bulwer's Cafe.

BUlwer of Dalling in Norfolk brought an Action on his 4 Leon. 52,53. Case against George Smith, and declared that one Hen- Noy 22. ry Heydon Esq; did recover 20 l. &c. in the Common Pleas against the Plaintiff, and after Judgment, and before Execution, the faid Henry Heydon died, and afterwards the faid Defendant knowing thereof, at W. in the County of Norfolk to outlaw the Plaintiff upon the faid Judgm. in the Name of Henry Heydon malitiose & deceptive machinatus est, in Performance of which the Defendant, Trin. 23 El. at Westminster in Midd. took forth a Writ of Capias ad Sa- Cr. Jac. 667. tisfaciend' in the Name of the said Henry upon the said Cr. El. 629. Judgment directed to the Sheriffs of London, who by the Procurement of the Defendant returned Non est inventus; whereupon the Defendant purchased a Writ of Exigent in the Name of the faid Henry, which Writ the faid Sheriffs by the Procurement of the faid Defendant returned, that at ieveral Hustings the said now Plaintiff had been demanded. Et ad Hustingum de communibus placitis tent' in Guildhalda civitat' præd' die Lun' prox' post festum Apostol' Simonis & Jud', anno supradict' pred' the now Plaintiff quint' exa-Clus fuit, &c. & ideo ipse the Plaintiff utlagatus fuit: And afterwards Pasch. 24. El. the Defendant purchased out of the faid Common Pleas a Writ of Capias utlagatum, in the Name of the faid Henry, directed to the Sheriff of Norf. to arrest his Body, &c. which Writ did mention that the said now Plaintiff was outlawed die Lun' prox' ante festum Apostolorum Simonis & Jud', &c. And the said Writ the Defendant at W. aforesaid in the said County of Norfolk, did deliver to one Robert Godfrey then Deputy to the Sheriff of the faid County, to the Intent that he should execute the faid Writ, the which Robert by Force of the faid Writ took, and arrested the said now Plaintiff, and did imprison him by the Space of two Months, until the now Plaintiff purchased his Charter of Pardon, by Reason of which Outlawry he forfeited all his Goods and Chattels: And upon this Declaration the Defendant did demur in Law; and the principal Cause of the Demurrer was, because this Action by the Pretence of the Defendant, ought

(a) Cr.El.574, 844. Dyer 38. pl. 51. Cr Car. pl. 66.

for there (as it was faid) the Def. took out as well the Cap' ad Satisfac' as the Exigent and the Cap' Utlagat' also. And altho' the Cap' Utlagat' was executed in Norf. yet the Action ought to be brought where the Wrong began; as in the Cafe of Conspiracy in 42 E.3.14.a. and divers other Cases were put; also, by the Outlawry which was in Lond. all his Goods and Chat. were forfeit. where it is more Reason to bring the A&'n than in Norf. But it was answer. and resolved, That the (a) A-A'n was well brought in N. for it is a Maxim in Law, Qd'ibi 20,21. Dyer 40. Semper debet sieri triatio, ubi jurator' meliorem possunt habere notitiam. And in N. was the visible Wrong, for there the Pl. was imprisoned for the Space of 2 Months, and therefore it is great Reason that the Pl. may have his Action there. and it doth not appear by the Record what Goods or Chatt. the Pl. had at the Time of the Outlawry, but for the Aggravating of the Damages, the Pl. may give in Evidence what Goods and Chat. he hath forfeited by the Outlawry. And this Act'n doth confift upon 2 princip. Parts, the one, Matter of Record, and the other Matter in Fact; and none of the Matters of Record, but is mixed with Matter of Fact; and no Matter of Fact, but it is mix'd with Matter of Record: For the Writs and the Outlawry are Matters of Record, but mixed with Matters in Fact, sc. taking forth, and Prosecut. of them by the Def. in the Name of H. Heydon, which are Matters in Fact; also the Imprisonm. is a Matter in Fact, but it is mixed with the Writ of Cap' utlagat', which is of Record, sc. if the Pl. was arrested by Virtue thereof. And Matters in Fact are triable only by the Country, and not Matters of Rec. and when one Matter in one County is depend. upon the Matt. in the other County, there the Pl. may (b) chuse in which County he will bring his Act'n, (unless the Def. upon the general Issue pleaded, should be prejudic. in his Trial, as he would not be in this case,) as if 2 (c) conspire to indict a Man in one County, and they by their malicious Profecut. make the Execut. of their Conspiracy in anoth. County, and there cause the Party to be indicted, the Pl. may have his A&n of Conspiracy in which County he will, for they put their Conspir. in one County in Execut. in the oth. and the Matter of Record of the Indictm. is mixed with Matter of Fact. But if they conspire in one County, by Force of which Conspir' without any other A& by them, he is indicted in ano-Stanf.cor.166.d ther County, there the Writought to be brought in the County where the Conspir. was. for the Def. have done nothing in the County where the Indicam. was, nor were Parties nor Privies to the finding of the Indictm. but only by the Conspir. in the other County. And that appears in 14 E.4.3. b. and so the Books in (d)42 E.3.14.4.20(e)H6.10.4.b.F.N.B.(f) 116.b.and oth.Books are well reconciled. If a (g) Manasse be made in Ess. by which my Ten'ts departin Lond. I shall have my Action in Eff. and not

219,401. 1 Mod. Rep. 198,199. Winch 100. Latch 262. , 1Brown1.12.69. Cr. Jac. 533. Noy 22. Hob.196,209. Dyer 38. pl. 54. Cr.El.574,844. Plow. 530. (c) 4 Leon. 53. 2 Rol Rep. 259. (d) Firz. Confpiracy 10. Br. Conspir. 6. (e) Br. Lieu 3. (f) F. N. B.

116. m.

(g) Br.Lieugi. Br. Wait 9.

(b) 1 Sid. 218,

in

in Lond. for in such case I have done nothing in Lond. 9 H.6.42.b.

In all cases where the Act'n is founded upon 2 (a) things done (a) Dyer 39. in several Counties, and both are material or traversable, and 1 Vent. 364. the one without the oth. doth not maintain the Act'n, there the Pl. may chuse to bring his Act'n in which of the Count. he will, as it is if a Servant be (b retained in one County and (b) Fitz. labor. departs in another, and therewith agree 41 E.3.1.b. 34 H.6.18.a laborers 5. 38H.6.15.b. 14E.4.6. 20H.6.11. 29H.8 Dyer 38. 20E. 3. 25, Br. lieu 11. &c. So if a man be arrested in Execut. in one County, and he Dyer 38. pl. 53. (c) escapes into anoth. County, the Pl. may chuse to bring his 40, pl. 70 Act'n in which of the Counties he will, and therewith agree 14 E. 4. 3. b. 15E.4.3.a.b. 30H.6.6.a.b. 11El. Dyer 278. So in a writ of (d) Br. lieu 72. Annuity founded on a prescript, against a man of religion, or Gr.El. 271,625. body corporate, where the Church or House is in one County, 2 Rol. 602. and the Seisin is alledged in anoth. County, the Pl. may chuse Dy. 278. pl. 5. in which County he will bring his Act n. 48E. 3.26. a.b. 4H. 38. pl. 53. Br. lieu 33, 43, 4.1. 4H.6.5.b. 10H.6.19.a.b. 39H.6.15.b. 2E.4.28.b. 4E.4.26.a 72, 82. Ec. F.N.B.152.e. Otherwise if the Annuity be granted in one (d) Plow. 530. County to be paid in anoth. the Act'n lies where the grant was, and so is *8H.6.23.b. So if a man cites one in one County to appear before (e) the Admiral in another County, for a thing (e) Hob. 196. done in the body of the County, by force of which the party appears, he may have his Act'n in the one County or the oth. at his pleasure, 5 Ma. Dyer 159.b.42 E.3.14.a. 44 E.3.31.b.32.a. 46E.3.8.b. 3H.4.3.a. 38H.6.14 b. 14E.4.3.a.b. The same Law of the Spiritual Court. So if the Def. casts a Protection in one County, and remains in anoth. County, he may bring his A-Ation in which of the Count. he pleases. 20 H.6.10.a.b. So if a man strikes a Person in one County (f), and he dies in anoth. (f) Dyer 40. County, the appeal of murder may be brought in the one or pl. 71. the oth. County, and yet the Def. did nothing in the County where the party died, but the (g) Death which enfued on the (g) 4Co.42.b. ftroke makes the Felony. 18E.3.32. 9H.6.63. 45 Aff. pl.9. 43 Ed.3.3(b)H.7.12.a.4H.7.18.6H.7.10.11H.4.93, If a man (b)Br.appeal83 commits (i) a robbery in one county, and carries the goods in- Br. vifn 78. to divers Counties, the Party robbed may have an appeal of (1) Dyer 39.pl. to divers Counties, the Party robbed may have an appeal of $\frac{1}{66}$, 40, pl. 66. felony in which of the Count, he will, but not an (k) appeal of Kelw. 160, b. robbery, but only in the County where the robbery was done. (k) Dyer 39. for it is felony in all the Counties where the Goods are car-stanf. 63. g. ry'd (for felony doth not devest property) but it is not robbe- Winch 65.
ry (which ought to be done to the person of a man) but only Latch 197,271.
in the County where the robbery was done. 4H. 7.5.b. 29H.8.
Dyer 40, pl.70. 39.40. Dyer, 11 H.4.93. 3E.3. Tit. Aff. 446. In debt if a mande- Cr. Jac. 142. clares on a lease (1) for years in one county of land in anoth.coun- Cr. El. 116, 259, ty, he ought to bring his Act'n where the lease was made, and Br. lieu 43. not where the Land lies; for the Action is grounded upon the Contract made by the Lease. 38 H. 6. 15. acc. per Cur. 8H.6. 23. acc. vide 4H.6.18. 14 E. 4.3. 29H.8. 40Dy. So the Law well explained in a Case in which are Varieties

4 Leon. 53. 12 E. 4. 3. a.

* Br. lieu 27.

(4) Dy.40.pl.70

rieties of Opin. in our Books. But if a leafe be made in one Count. and the land lies in anoth the Act'n of a) Wast shall be brought where the land lies, and not where the lease was made, altho' the term be passed for the land and damages, or damages only for the wait which is local, shall be recovered. 14 E.4.3.a. acc. If a man promises to (b) cure one in one county, and mis-

(b) Dyer 38. pl. 53, 54.

doth in anoth. County, the Pl. hath his election to bring his Act'n in which of the Count. he will, and therewith agrees 11 R.2. Action sur le Case 37. If a man doth not repair a wall in Effex which he ought to repair, whereby my land in Midd. is drowned, I may bring my Action in Est. for there is the Def. fault, as it is adjudged in 7 H.4.8. or I may bring it in Midd. for there I have the damage, as it is proved by 11 R.2. Action fur (c) Dy. 38 pl 54. le case 36. So if one forge a (c) deed in one county, and proclaims it in anoth. the Pl. may chuse in which County he will

39. pl 57.

bring his Act'n. 29 H.8.38. 22 H.6.5.a.b. But when the Def. upon pleading not guilty shall be prejudiced in his trial there the Pl. hath not election to bring his Act'n in which county he will (d) Dyer 38.pl. (d) 29 H.8. Dyer 38. where Gawyn fued an appeal of robbery

54.39. pl.57

in the county of Wilts where the robbery was done, against Huffey and Gibbs as accessaries, and declared that the principals named in the writ, and who were attainted, did the robbery in the County of Wilts, and that the Def. feloniously at

(e) 4C0.47.b. Jenk.Cent.29.

Lond. before the robbery done, did abet them to do it, and it was adjudged, that altho' the Pl. can have but (e) one appeal against the principals and accessories, and against the principal of necessity it ought to be brought in the county of Wilts, yet because those of the county of Wilts upon not guilty pleaded, and Lond. cannot join, and those in Wilts cannot inquire of a thing in Lond. altho' it be transitory (for in case

(f) Dyer 38. pl. 52. Hob. 37. Winch 69. 1 arch 197.

of felony which concerns the life of a man every act shall be tried in the proper county where the act was in truth done) the appeal against the said accessories did abate. 43 E.3.17,18, 10. And it is to be observ. that in all real Act'ns, if any issue riles on the land, or in any Act'n in which the Possess. of the land or (f) local thing, or which rifes on the land by reason thereof, is to be recovered, all these shall be brought in the County where the land lies; as in a writ of right of Ward of land, or Writ of Intrusion of Ward, these shall be brought in Cr. Car. 143,184 the County where the land lies; altho' therefusal were, or the Seigniory be in anoth. county.29 E.3.3. 38 H.6.14.b. 22 R.2. bre. 937.acc. So in a writ of right of ward for the body only, it shall be brought in the county where the land is, for that is in the Right and favours of the land. 21 E.3.42. 30 E.3.25. 9 E.3.12, 13. 10 E.3.7. acc. and the reason of 40 E.3.6. agrees with it, although the Judgment there is mentioned to be given contrary. But a Writ of Ravishment of Ward shall be brought where the Ravishment was, and not where the Land is, or where the Body is carried; for lit

is founded on the ravishm. 38 H.6. 14.b. 22 R.2. Brev. 937. & 12 E. L'y. 289. And a writ forfeiture of marriage shall be brought where the land is, for the writ doth suppose an intrusion into the land; and therewith agrees the faid Book in 22 R.2. 838 H:6.15.a. And a writ de valore (a) maritagii shall be brought (a) Br. lieu 43. where the land is; for the Ld. need not make any (b) tender, (b) 5Co.127.a.b but if he makes a tender and the other refuses, and he alledges it in the county, then the writ de valore maritagii lies in the county where the refusal was, 22 R.2. Brev. 937. 38 H.6. 15.a. Writs of Qu. Imp. and Qu. Incumbravit (c) shall be al- (c) F.N.B. 4810 ways brought where the Church is; for by the one the Pl. shall recover his presentment, and by the oth, the Bp's Clerk shall be removed, and the Pl's Clerk admitted, 38 H.6.14 &15. accord. Vide 4 Ed. 3.9. Otherwise it is in the K'scase. But a Qu. (d) non admist shall be brought in the county where the re- (d) Dy. F.N.B. fusal was, and not in the county where the church is, because damages are only to be recovered, and the refusal is the beginning of the wrong, and the ground of the Action; and fo is the Book adjudged in 38 H.6.14, & 15. F.N.B.47.f. And a Qu. Imp. of a Prebend shall be brought in the county where the Cathedral Church is, and not in the county where the body of the Prebend is; for the Pl's Clerk is to be induct. and installed in the Cathedral Church, and therewith agrees 21 E. 3.5. & 2El. Dy. 194. (e) but 43 E.3.34. & 15 Ed.3. Br. 325. feem (e) Dyer 194. contrary. 24 E.3.37. And so the Law is well explain. in a case pl. 33. in which there were different opinions in our Books. And if a Man at the Com. Law had a Rent issuing out of two counties, he could not have had an (f) Affife in one County, because (f) Co. Lit: every part of the land in the two counties is charged with the 147. 2. rent, and all should be put in view, as it is agreed in 18 E. 2. Post. 3. b.24.2.b Aff.380. 18E.3.32. 10E.3.21. 10Aff.p.4. & 18Aff.p.1. But if a man makes a lease pur auter vie of land in two counties, rendering rent, and the rent is behind, and cest' que vie dies, the leffor shall have an action of debt in which of the count. he will, for now it is changed into debt; and in that case no land shall be put in view, but the person of the debtor shall be only charged by the com. Law. So if a rent be iffuing out of the land of B. in two count. and the rent is behind, and he who hath the rent dies, his Ex'ors may have an Action of debt against B. in which of the count. they will, on the Stat. of 32 H. .c.37. for altho' he ought to bring his Action in one of the counties, yet at the com. Law the person of the Def. is chargeable in the Action of debt, and not the land. And before the Stat. of (g)6R.2.c.2. a Writ of debt and accompt against a Receiver, and such Actions might be brought in such County (g) 2 Inst. 231. where the Party might be best brought in to answ. and the Pl. might have declared on a contract or receipt, &c. in any oth. County, quia debitum & contractus, &c. funt (h) nullius (h) 2 Inst. 231. loçi. See for that 2 Ed. 3. 44. 6 Ed. 3. 266. & 275. 8 E.3.380.

Fitz. affise 10. Br. affise 75.

10E.3.7. 19E.3. Jurisd.29. 29E.3.26. 33E.3. Tit. Jurisd. 57. 40 E.3.7. 3H.6.30. 15E.4.19. 21E.4.88. Asin 22 H.6.9.b. & 10.a.b. where the K. granted the office of Surveyor of pack. of all manner of clothes within Lond. and the libert. thereof, which are in two counties and the affife was brought in Midd. and there Newton and Paston said, That there is a great difference betw. an affife of rent and that affife; for where a rent-charge is iffuing out of lands in divers Count. every parcel is chargeable with the whole, and all the Ter-tenants ought to be named: but here the person is charged and not the land, and yet the office for which the affife was brought did extend in 2 Count. And if a Fine or Feoffm. be made of lands in 2 Count. with Warranty, the Warrant' chartæ may be brought in any of the Count. 29 E.3.3.a.b. It is purviewed by the Stat. of 7 R.2.c.10. That an affise of Nov. disself. shall for the future be granted and made of a rent behind, due for Tenements in divers Count.

to be held in confin' comitat' and thereupon the affife shall be taken and tried by the people of the sameCount. in the same

Co. Lit. 154.2. 4 Co. 4. b.

manner and form as it is done of a Common of pasture in one County append. to Tenements in another County: For at the Com. Law if a man had had Com. in land in one County append. or appurtenant to land in anoth County, he should have 2 several Writs to the Sheriffs of the several Count. Or if the land to which, &c. lay in one County, and the land in which lay in several Count. there he should have aWrit of assise to the Sher. of the County where the land to which, &c. lay, and feveralWrits to the Sher. of the Count. where the land in which &c. lay; and all that appears in the Regist. and F.N.B. 180.a. And the same Law is when a nusance is done in one County, and the land to which, &c. is in anoth. County, as it appears Co. Lit. 154.2. also in the Regist. and F.N.B. 183.k. So that if a Man hath a Rent in 3 or 4 Counties, it seemeth that he who is disseised may have several affises to be brought in confin' comitatuum; for the Letter of the Stat. of 7R.2. is general of rent due for Tenements in several Counties. And altho' it hath a reference to the case of Com. of Pasture, &c. yet for a smuch as in the case of Com. of Pasture, if the land in which, &c. lay in several Counties, and the land to which, &c. lay in another County, there should be as many Writs as there are several Counties; thence it follows, that fuch remedy he shall have who hath a rent iffuing out of lands in many Count. Also the Case of Com. is put exempli gratia & similitudinarie,& null' simile quatuor pedib' currit; and it is not necess. that a Simile should agree in all Points. And the Stat. of 7 R.2. was made to fatisfy a doubt which was conceived before; for thereby it is enacted, That Writs in such Case shall be made in the Chancery without any manner of contradiction, as well of Diffeifins before made, as after to be made. And the Doubt was on the Statute of Magna Charta, cap. 2. Recognitiones de nova disseisina,

Es de morte antece sfor' non capiantur nist in suis comitat'. And fome held that the same was not observ. when the Just. of affile did fit in confin' comitat', and namely when there are 20 Count. mesne between the 2 Counties, as it is in the Book in 5 E.4.2.1. But that doubt also might be conceiv on the said assise of Nov. Co.Lit. 154. a. disseisin of Com. when the land in which, &c. isin one County, Fitz. assic 26. and the land to which, &c. in another County (which case without Quest. is not restrained by the said Stat. For assise of Nov. diffeisin of Com. of pasture lay at the com. Law, as by the Stat. of Westm.2.c.29 appears) 10E.3.21. & 10 Ass. p.4. And if need were the Stat. of West.2.c.28. doth extend to the said case of rent, by which it is provided, 2d' quotiescung; de cæteroevener' in cancellar', qd' in uno casu reperit' breve, & in consimili casu, cadente sub eod' jure, & simili indigente remedio. non reperit', concordent clerici in cancellar' in brevi faciendo, &c. vel ad proxim' Parliament' de consensu Jurisperitor' fiat breve. And the Stat. concludes with the effect of a maxim of 9 Co. 88. b. the com. Law, 2d' cur' dom' regis non debet deficere conquerentib' injustit' perquirenda. 38 E.3.33.a. where the case was, that theK. brought a writ of right of the 4th part of the tithes and offerings of the Church of S. Dunst. in the West, in Fleetst. in the suburbs of Lond. against the Prior of S. John's of Jerufalem in Engl. there Candish took Except. to the writ, because altho' this writ was given by the Stat. of West. 2.c.5. toward the end, and Artic.cler.c.2. which Stat. gave, that he shall have a F.N.B.30. e. writ Ad petendum advocation' decimar' petitar', &c. And this writ is brought of the 4th part of tithes and offerings, which is not warranted by the Stat. Judgm. of the writ, foraim, as the Stat. do not give any writ of the 4th part of tithes. Thorpe the Ch. Just. who gave the rule said, altho' the Stat. do not limit by express words, but of tithes, yet those in the Chanc. may make a writ in consim' casu, and the writ is good enough; wherefore answer. And in 18E.2. br. 827.a writ of Entry was F.N.B.107. p. brought in the County of Suff. the Ten't pleaded a release of the Ancest. of the Pl. withWarranty, which was denied, and found for the Pl. in Lond. by a Jury of Fridaystreet, &c. for which the Demand. did recover; and the Ten't brought an Attaint, and there Except. was taken, because in the writ is not comprised to attach the Party, Judgm. &c. And for the Pl. it was faid, that the writ was granted to the Sher. of Lond. to summon the 24, and attach the 12, and another writ to the Sher. of Suff. to attach the Party where the land was, and both the writs were read in Court. To which it was faid, that there was no special Law, that did maintain that writ which is out of the com.courfe. Beresford the Ch. Just. who gave the rule, said, in a new Case, a new Remedy, &c. wherefore answer. And therefore if there be Ld. and Tenant, and the Tenancy doth extend into 2 Counties, in this Case if the Rents and Services be behind, the Lord may have several Writs of Customs and Services, for every County one Writ, and shall have

(a) 9Co. 88.b. Antea 4. a.

them returnable at one Day in the Common Pleas, and them to count upon them as his Case is, quia aliter curia (a) regis deficeret conquerentibus in justitia perquirenda, and therewith agree Fitz. Nat. Brev. 151.b. & 30 Ed. 1. Droit pl. ultimo. And that is a good Example, pro quolibet consimili casu, &c. simili indigente remedio. Vide 12 Ed. 1. Tit. Attaint 71. a very good Case; and the Reason and Rule of the Book in 21 Ed. 3. 18. is to be observed, where the Case was. That a Fine was levied of a Manor in one County, and the Tenancy lay in another County, now where the per que servitia should be brought was the Question; and it was adjudged, that it was well brought in the County where the Manor was. And there Stone gave the Rule of the Court in these Words: He can have no other Writ, for his Writ must be according to the Fine, and brought in the County where the Note is levied. Vide 11 Rich. 2. Tit. A-Etion sur le Case 36. 7 H. 4. 8. Vide 26 Hen. 6. Tit. Covenant 9. 41 Aff. pl. 12. 9 Hen. 5. 6. 22 Hen. 6. 5. And in the principal Case where it was objected, that the said Ca-5 Co. 39. a. b. pias utlagatum was erroneous; for it was proximum ante festum, &c. where it should be, post festum, &c. The Court took no Regard to it; for the Error in the Writ which the Defend. himself hath wrongfully brought, shall not (b) advantage himself; but in Regard he was imprisoned and troubled thereby, that gave the Plaintiff Cause of Action. Also the Court did not regard the Clause that the Defendant at W. in the County of Norf. &c. malitiose & deceptive machinatus fuit, &c. for that is so secret and so uncertain, that it cannot be tried.

(b) 4 Leon. 54. 8 Co. 59 a. F.N.B. 21. f. Palm. 39, 40. 2 Sand. 46. 1Rol.757,759, 760. Fitz. Error 92.

Hill. 27 Eliz.

In the Exchequer.

Sir MILES CORBET'S Case.

B Etween Sir Edw. Clere and Miles Corbet then Efg; now a Knight, it was resolved in a Case concerning the Parsonage of Marham in the County of Norf. That where in the County of Norf. there is a special Manner of Common called Shack, which is to be taken in arable land, after Harvest until the Land be sowed again, &c. and it began in ancient Time in this Manner; The Fields of arable Land in this Country confift of the Lands of many and divers feveral Persons lying intermixt in many and several small Parcels, fo that it is not possible that any of them without Trefpass to the others, can feed their Cattle in their own Land; and therefore every one doth put in their Cattle to feed promiscue in the open Field. These Words, To go Shack, is as much as to fay to go at Liberty, or to go at large: In which the Policy of old Times is to be observed, That the Severance of Fields in fuch fmall Parcels to fo many feveral Perfons, was to avoid Inclosure, and to maintain Tillage. But it is to be observed, That the said Common called Shack, which in the Beginning was but in the Nature of a Feeding because of Neighbourhood for avoiding of Suit, within some Places of that Country, is by Custom altered into the Nat ture of a Common appendant or appurtenant, and in some Places it retains its original Nature; and the Rule to know it is the Custom and Usage of every several Town or Place. for * consuetudo loci est observanda. And therefore if in the * 4 Co 28.8. Town of D. (exempli gratia) one who hath purchased di- 6 Co. 67. 2. versParcels together, in which the Inhabitants have used to have 10 Co, 140. a. Shack, and long Time fince has inclosed it; and notwithstanding always after Harvest the Inhabitants have had Shack there by passing into it by Bars or Gates with their Cattle, there it shall be taken as Common appendant or appurtenant, and the Owner cannot exclude them of Common there, notwithstanding he will not common with them, but hold his own Lands fo inclosed in Severalty: and that is proved by the Usage, for notwithstanding the ancient Inclosure, the Inhabitants have had Common there. But if in the Town of S. the Custom and Usage hath been. That every Owner in the same Town hath inclosed

Sir MILES CORBET'S Cafe. PART. their own Lands from Time to Time, and fo hath held it in Severalty, there this Usage proves, That it was but in the Nature of Shack originally, for the Cause of Neighbourhood, and fo it continues, and therefore there he may inclose and hold in Severalty, and exclude himself to have Shack with the others. And although in the faid Case of the Town of D. the Usage hath been, That notwithstanding the Inclosure by divers Inhabitants of late Times, the other Inhabitants have had Shack there; yet if a Man hath an ancient Close of ancient Time taken out of the Field, and he and all those whose Estate he hath, have held it always in Severalty, he may well keep it inclosed: For as to fuch Parcel so antiently inclosed, the Shack there doth retain its ancient and original Nature. And he who claims Sback there cannot prescribe to have Common in it. Nota, a good Resolution, which stands with Reason, and no Inconvenience, Innovation, or Caufe of Suits or Trouble can thereupon arise, but Quiet and Repose will be thereby in many Cases established, which I thought fit to be reported, because it is a general Case in the said Country. And at first the Court was altogether ignorant of the Nature of this Common called Shack. It was also resolved at the same Time, That if the Commons of the Town of A, and of the Town of B. are adjoining, and that one ought to have Common with the other by Reason of Neighbourhood, and in the Town of A. there are fifty Acres of Common, and in the Town of B_* there are an hundred Acres of Common; in that Case the Inhabitants of the Town of A. cannot put more Cattle into their Common of fifty Acres than it will feed, without any Respect to the Common within the Town of B. nec e converso; for the original Cause of this Common for Cause of Neighbourhood, was not for Profit, but for Preventing of Suits in a Champain Country; for the reciprocal Escapes of the one Town in the other: And therefore if the Common of the Town of A. will feed fifty Beafts, and of the Town of B. an hundred Beasts, it is no Prejudice to the one or the other, if the Cattle of one Town escape and feed in the

Common of the other Town reciprocally; for if all the Cattle feed promiscue together through the whole, it will be

no Prejudice to one or the other.

4 Co. 38. b. 1Co.Lit. 122.a.

Cases upon the Stat. of 13 Edw. 1. of Winchester.

THE Purview of the faid Act is, That from henceforth every County be so well kept. That immediately after Robberies and Felonies committed, fresh Suit be made from Town to Town, and from County to County, &c. And after the Felony or Robbery is committed, the County shall have no longer Space than 40 Days, within which 40 (a) Days it (a) 2 Inft. 477 shall behove them to agree for the Robbery or Trespass, 1 Sid. 11. or else that they answer for the Bodies of the Offenders, &c. Upon which Words divers Resolutions have been made.

Westm.r. c.o. 2 Inft. 172. 3 Inft. 117,118.

Trin. 27 Eliz.

TRinit. 27 El. it was held by the whole Court of Com- Sendil's Cafe. mon Pleas, in a Case which happened in Harleston in the County of Suffolk, That if a Man be robbed in his (b) House, be it in the Day or in the Night, the Hundred (b) Cio El,763. in which the House is shall not be charged with it: For altho' the Words of the faid Act are general, without speaking of any Place in special, yet such Robbery is not within the faid Act, for three Reasons: 1. Because every Man's House is his (c) Castle, and he ought to keep and defend it at his (d) Peril; and if any one be robbed in his House, it shall be efteemed his Default and Negligence. 2. It is not lawful for any other to enter into the House of another for the Safeguard of it. 3. Such Robbery for which the Hundred shall answer by Force of the said Act, ought to be committed openly, so that the Country may take Notice of it them. felves; for it was adjudged in Ashpole's Case next following, that it is not necessary to have Hue and Cry, or Notice given to the Country, neither by the Words of the faid Act of 13 Ed. 1. nor by the Meaning thereof; for it may be that the Party robbed was bound, or maihemed, &c. so that he cannot make Hue and Cry, or give Notice to the Country, but when a Robbery is secretly done in a House they cannot take Notice of it.

3 Leon.262. 2 Inft. 569. Moor 620. (c) Gro.El.753. 2 Co. 32. á. 5 Co. 91. b. 8 Co. 126. a. 11 Co. 82. a. 1 Bulftr. 146. (d) 3 Leon 262.

Trin. 28 El. in the Com. Pleas, Rot. 725.

BEtween Appole and the Inhabitants of Evenger, it 1 Leon. 57.
was refolved by the whole Court, That although 4 Leon. 218.
the Statute is general, and doth not make Mention of Goldsb 55, 56, any Time, That the Robbery ought to be committed in 60, 61,

(a) 2Inft.569. Moor 620. 1 Lcon, 57. 4Leon.59.191, 218, 219. Sav. 83. Goldsb. 70. 1 And. 159. Cr. El. 270. Cr. Jac. 106. (b) 4Leon,219. Stamf. Cor. 23. b.

the Day-time, and out of the (a) Night; and there the Cafe was, that a Robbery was committed in fanuary presently after Sun-fet, during Day-light; and it was adjudged that the Hundred should answer, because it was convenient Time for Men to travel, or be about their Bufiness or Work: and therewith agreeth the Book in a Ed. 3. Coron. (b) 293. That if one kills another at the Hour of Evening, and escapes, by the Common Law the Town shall be amerced; for it is in Law accounted Part of the Day, and not of the Night.

Trin. 29 Eliz. in C. B. Rot. 1027.

Milborn's Cafe. (a) Goldsb. 70.

(b) 2 Inft. 569. Moor 620. I And. 159. Cr. El. 270. Cr. Jac. 106.

B Etween (a) Milborn and the Inhabitants of the Hundred of Dunmow in Essex it was adjudged, That for a Rob-4 Leon. 19,60, bery committed in the Morning, ante lucem, the Hundred shall not be charged, because the Robbery was committed in the (b) Night; and although no Time be specified in the Statute, yet by good Exposition it doth not extend to a Robbery committed in the Night; for no Laches or Negligence can be imputed to the Hundred for Default of well guarding the Country in the Night; also in the Night they cannot make Pursuit after the Offenders or enquire for them, and then to charge them when they are deprived of their convenient Means would be very hard. And as it hath elfewhere been often faid, it is a good Exposition of a Statute to expound it according to the Reason of the Com. Law. And at the Com. Law, if a Man be killed in a Town by Day, that is, so long as there is full Day-light, and he who killed him escaped, the Town where this Felony was committed should be amerced for it; and so it is held in 21 E. 3. coron. 238. Cum quis felonice occifus fuit per diem, nisi felo captus fuit, tota villa illa oneretur. And therewith agreeth also the said Book in 3 Ed. 3. But if such Murder or Manflaughter be committed in the Night, the Town should not be amerced by the Common Law, because, (as it hath been faid) no Laches or Negligence can be imputed to the Inhabitants of the Town; and God hath appointed the Day for Men to labour, travel, and do their Bufiness, and the Night to take their Repose and Rest, and therefore the Prophet saith, Posuisti tenebras, & facta est nox, in qua pertranseunt bestiæ sylvæ,&c. sol oritur, & congregati sunt, exit homo ad opus & operationem, & redit vespere: So savage Beasts pass and repass in the Night, and then men are at rest, and in the Day Men apply themselves to their Labours and Affairs, and then the Beasts retire to their Dens. Poet Lith, Ut jugulent homines surgunt de nocte latrones. And

Pfalm. 104.

~2

And the Common Law is, Men cannot (a) distrain for Rent (a) Co. Lit. or Service in the Night, as it is adjudged in 12 E. 3. Di- 142.a. Dr. & stress 17. & 11 H. 7. 5. a. acc. But for Damage-feazance, a Stud. fo. 75. a. Man may distrain in the Night for the Necessity of the Goldsb. 66. Case, for otherwise perhaps he shall not distrain at all, for 9 Co. 66. a. before Day they may be taken or stray out of his Land, 1 Rol. 672. and therewith agreeth 10 E. 3. 21. And further it is provided by the faid Stat. of Winchester, That in Cities or great Towns which are inclosed, the Gates ought to be shut from Sun-set till Sun-rising; after which Statute, if in such City or Town inclosed any Murder or Manslaughter be committed in the Day or in the Night, and the Offender escapes, Styles 14. fuch City or Town shall be amerced. For now the Acthath changed the Reason of the Law, and therefore the Law it felf is changed; for ratio legis est anima legis, & mutata legis ratione, mutatur & lex. For at the Common Law, if Co. Car. 252. a Man was killed in the Night, as it hath been faid, there was not any Fault in the City or Town; but now if they do not keep their Gates shut according to the Statute, by which the Offender escapes, then there is Fault and Negligence in them; and therewith agreeth the Book in 3 E. 3. Coron. 299. where the Case is, it was presented, that one killed another in the Night; and it was asked, Where the Felon was? And they faid, that he is fled; and because it Cro. Car. 299. was in the Night, they ought not to be charged. Lowther Justice who gave the Rule, said, That the Town should be shut, by the Statute, from such an Hour; and because the Townsmen of the Town took him not, all the Town was a- 1 Sid. 11. merced: Also it was held on the said Act of 13 E. 1. That if divers commit a Robbery, those of the Hundred ought to apprehend all the Felons; for altho' they apprehend fome of them, that shall not be sufficient to excuse them. For the Words of the Act of 13 E. 1. are, That they answer for the Bodies of the Offenders; which in Construction was taken, all the Offenders. But now by the Statute of 27 El. cap. 13. a new Law is made, amongst others, in these Points 2Inst. 172.173 following, viz. 1. That none shall have an Action on the faid Stat. unless the Party robbed doth, so soon as he can, give Notice of the faid Felony to some of the Inhabitants of some Town, Village, or Hamlet next the Place where the Robbery was committed. 2. If they in their Pursuit do apprehend any of the Offenders, the same shall excuse them, altho' they do not apprehend them all. See the Act of 27 El. cap. 13. which hath added to the faid Statute of Winchester and altered the same in divers Points.

Mich. 28 & 29 Eliz.

The Earl of Bedford's Case.

IN the Court of Wards, the Case was, That Francis Earl 1 of Bedford being seised of certain Houses in the Strand in the County of Midd. in Tail, scil. to him and the Heirs of his Body, and seised of other Lands in Fee held in Capite, by Deed indented, made Leases of the said Houses. whereof he was feiled in Tail, for 21 Years, rendering Rent (which Leafes were not warranted by the Statute of 32 H. S. but were voidable by the Issues in Tail) and died; the Reversion descended to the Heirs general of the Earl, that is to fay, to two Daughters and Heirs of Henry Lord Ruffel, eldest Son of the said Earl, (which Henry died in the Life of his Father) and it appeared that the faid Leafes were to have Continuance after the faid Daughters should be out of Ward: And by Office after the Death of the faid Earl it was found, that he died feised of the faid Estate-tail of the said Houses, and that they descended to the faid Heirs general, by Force whereof the faid Houses were feised into the Queen's Hands. And in this Case two Points were refolved: 1. That the (a) King in Privity and Right of the Heirs in Tail, should avoid the said Leases during the Time that they should be in Ward; as if a Bishop makes a Lease for Years not warranted by the Statute, so that the Lease is voidable by the Successor, and dies, the King shall avoid the Lease (b) during the Vacation of the Bishoprick, in Privity and Right of the Bishoprick, for the King in none of the faid Cases is as a Stranger. And the fame Law is when a Subject is Guardian in Knight's Service he in the Right of the Heir, within Age, and in his Ward, shall avoid voidable Leases as to his own Interest, but it shall not prejudice the Heir of his Election at full Age; for custos statum hæredis in custedia sua existent' me-(d) 4Co. 124.a. liorem, non deteriorem facere potest. So if the Heir within Age before the Entry of the Guardian, or the Ancestor being within Age, makes a Lease for Years rendering Rent, 442. Br. entry the (c) Guardian may enter in the Right of the Heir, and sliall avoid the Lease. But the Ld. by (d) Escheat shall not avoid voidable Estates made by his Ten't who was an Infant; for regularly, none shall avoid voidable Estates for Infancy, but the

(s) Palm. 437.

(b) Lit. Rep. 306.

(c) 3 Bulftr 273. Co.Lit.215.b. I Rol. Rep. 8 Co. 44. a. Palm. 234,254. 1Rol.Rep.401, cong. 129. 3 Bulft. 272. 2 Init. 483. . ç.E.3.13.a.

the infant himself or his heirs, but the Guard. shall avoid the faid voidable leases in the right of the infant himself, and so a difference; and the (a)K. in the case of a Bish. shall avoid the (a)Lit.Rep.306 leafe in the right of the bishoprick, which continues altho' the Bish, be dead. And that was one of the points adjudged in the Excheq. in the great case between Austine and Sir J. Baker, 2 Mar. which I have feen, and which shall be related more at (b) Palm. 137. large in the Reso. of the 2d point of this case. Vid. 16 El. Dyer i Co. 51 a 137.b. Patentee of Queen El. of lands given to a parson and his Dyer 337.p. 38. fuccessors to superstitious uses, shall avoid after his death a 3 Leon. 158. lease for life (which is voidable by the successor) made by the 14. Benl. 225. parson, by the intent of the Act of 1 E.6. of Chantries. Vide pl.258. O.Benl. 7 El. Dy. 239. Hoskins's case. 2. It was resolved, that altho' the K. 29 Palm. 437. in the right of the Heir had avoided it for his Time, yet it doth not avoid the leafes so absolutely, that the heirs in tail after the K's interest determined, cannot make them good by the acceptance of the rent. For the K's Act cannot determine the power and election of the Issues in tail, or of the successor of the Bish, in the case before put, to make the leases good by acceptance of the rent. And when voidable leafes being void for a Time shall be always avoided, and when not, this difference was taken and refolved by the Court; sc. When the interest of him who makes the avoidance is but for part of the Term, so that it appears that a residue remains; and when he who makes the avoidance avoids the whole interest, fo that it appears that no refidue can remain; and therefore in the cafe at bar it appears, that after the K's interest determined, there remains a refidue of the term. But if the patron of the church of D. doth grant the next avoidance to anoth, and afterwards 1 Jones 454. and before the Stat. of 13 El. the parlon, patron, and Ordin. Co.Lit, 46.a. make a lease for years, rendering rent, and the parson dies, thob. 7. Cro. the grantce presents, who is admitted, instituted, and indust1 Rol. 480. ed, and dies, this leafe was avoided in the whole absolutely, Moor 481. and therefore such lease cannot stand against the 2d successor, 2 E.3.8. If an advowson of a Church by licence be granted to a Prior and his fucceffors, and afterwards the same Church is appropriated to him and his fuccessors, so as they be perpe- Co Lit. 46.b. tual parsons imparsonees, in that case if the wife of the grantor be endowed of the Advows, and presents a clerk, who is admitted, instituted, and inducted, the appropriation is descated for ever, for the whole estate of the parson imparsonce is avoided, and fo it was adjudged as Sir Jeff. Screope reports in Co.Lit.46.b 2 E.3.8. and in such sense is the book to be understood. For altho'the wife was endowed of the Advows. yet if she had died before any was admitted and instituted to the same Church at her Presentat. the Church had remained appropriated, and so Hob. 225. the Quere in 6 E.6. 72. Dyer, is well refolved. So if a Feme 10 Co. 43. 2. Co. Lir. 46.a. covert (as a Feme-sole) levies a fine by her self of land, where- 2 Rol. 20. of she is seised in fee to another and his heirs; in that

2 Rol. 20. 10 Co. 43. a. Co Lit. 46. a. 1 Jones 457.

Case if the Husband doth not enter, that Fine shall bind the Wife and her Heirs for ever; and in the same Case if the Husb. enters and dies, the Conusee shall not have the land; for by the Entry of the Husb. the whole Estate of the Conusee was defeated, and the old Estate of the Wife revested in her, and the Husband seised of the whole Estate as in the right of his Wife, and therewith agree 17 E. 3,52. b. 17 Aff. pl. 17. 7 H. 4. 23. 2R.3.20.9H.6.33. But when only Part of the Estate or Term is defeated, there it is otherwise, as in the said Case between Aufline and Sir J. Baker was adjudged, which Case, as I my self have seen, in effect was, Sir T. Wyatt was Tenant in Tail of the Manor of East-forleigh in the County of Kent. viz. to him and to the Heirs Males of his Body of the Gift of H.8. to hold of him in capite, the Rev'n to the K. his Heirs and Successors. Sir T. Wyatt by Indenture demised the faid Manor to Austine for 26 Years, rendering 131. Rent to the faid Sir Tho. and his Heirs, and afterwards Sir Tho. died. and all this was found by Office, and that Sir T. Wyatt was his Son and Heir Male of full Age, by which the K. had primer Seisin of the Land it self, and for his Interest did avoid the lease, and afterwards Sir Tho. the Son fued Vivery,

and accepted of the rent of Austine, and afterward committed High Treason, for which he was attainted. In that case

Co. Lit. 46. a. Plowd 560.b. Aridem, 279

it was adjudged, that forasmuch as the King had avoided the Leafe, but as to his primer Seifin, that after livery made it is in the Election and Power of the Issue in Tail, by Acceptance of the Rent to affirm the Lease; because the Lease was avoided by the King, but for Part of the Term. So if Co. Lit. 46. 20. Tenant in Tail takes a Wife, and makes a Lease for 30, or 40, &c. Years, rendering Rent, which is avoidable by the Issue in Tail, and dies, and afterwards the Wife recovers her Dower, in that case the Wife shall avoid the Lease, and yet, if she dies within the Term, the Issue in Tail at his Ele-Etion may either affirm or disaffirm the Lease. And it was faid, if Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for 30 or 40 Years, rendering Rent, which is avoidable by the Issue in Tail, and aftewards Tenant in Tail dies without Issue, his Wife with Child with a Son, by which the Donor enters, and as to him avoids the Leafe, and afterwards the Son is born, the Lessee re-enters, the Son at his full Age may by Acceptance of the Rent affirm the Lease; for the Lease was never avoided absolutely, nor simpliciter, but secundum quid, and upon the Marter ex post facto was defeated but for a Time. And altho' filius in utero matris, est pars viscerum matris, (vide 3 Ass. pl. 2. 22 Ass. pl. 94. 22 Edwardi tertii, Corone

180. Stamford 21.) yet the Law in many Cases hath Confi-

deration of him in Respect of the apparent Expectar. of his

Birth

Godb. 325.

1 Leon. 74. Carr. 27.

Birth. See the Opinion of Saunders and Browne in Stowel's Case, Plow. Com. for avoiding of a Fine. Vide temp. E. r. Gard. 153. & 31 E.1. bre. 873. for the Wardship of him. Vide 38 E. 3. 7. & 41 E.3. & 11 E. 3. Voucher, that he shall be (a) vouched in his Mother's Womb. 11 H. 6. 13. a Devise (a) 8 E. 2. of (b) Land (devisable by Custom) to one in his Mother's 1 Rol, Rep. 254. Womb. 41 E.3. Deteinment of (c) Charters for the Heir in his 31 E.1. br. 873v. Mother's Womb. 3El. Dy. 186. An Adulterer doth counsel the Cart. 87. Woman to kill the Child when he is born, who doth accord- 10 Co. 32. b. ingly: the Adulterer is acceffary yet at the Time of the ingly; the Adulterer is accessary, yet at the Time of the 9 H. 6. 24. 2. Counsel the Child was in his Mother's Womb. But it was 11E.3. Vouch. faid, if (d) Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for 30 or 40 Hob.222,338. Years, rendering Rent, and afterwards takes a Wife, and (b) Dyer 303. dies without Issue, his Wife with Child with a Son, and af- plist. 1Sid.153. terwards the Wife recovers Dower of the same Land, she Moor 637. before the Son's Birth shall not avoid the Lease, for her Raym. 83, 84. Estate is quodammodo a Continuance of Part of the Estatetail, and the same is proved by 10 E. 3. 26. 34 Aff. pl. 15. (c) 1 Rol. Rep. 25 23 E. 3. Dower 130. that she shall be (c) attendant for 254 (d) Co. Lit. a third Part of the Services that Tenant in Tail did, which 46.a. Bridg. 28. she should not be, if to all Intents the Estate-tail were ut- (e) Co. Lit. terly extinct, and Tenant in Dower is in in the Per by her 241. a. Husband, and in of his Estate. Vide Litt. 93. b. in Descents, 38 Aff. 26. 7 H. 5. 3. 8 E. 2. Entre 75, &c. Vide 33 H. 8. (f) Dyer 51. Dyer 51.b. (f) Tenant in Tail before the Statute of 27 H. Rep. 216, 403.

8. of Uses, made a Feoffment in Fee to the Use of him 3 Leon. 154. and his Heirs; and also before the said Act, he and his Bridgm. 27, 103 Feoffees made a Lease for Years, rendering Rent, and died Moo3 15. after the Statute, the Land descended to his Issue, who be- 2Bulltr. 44,45. fore Entry upon the Termor levied a Fine to another, and by the better Opinion of the Justices of both the Benches, except Saunders, the Alienee shall not avoid it; for althothe Son was remitted, yet the Leafe was not merely void, without actual Entry by the Issue. Vide Plow. Com. 437.

Trin. 33 Eliz.

In the Common Pleas.

UGHTRED'S Cafe.

Doct. pl. 91. 1 Bulttr. 168. Palm. 397.

Jenk Cent. 26c. HEnry Ughtred Esq; brought a Writ of Annuity against Hard. 9, 79.
2 Brownl. 98. Marques of Winchester, Son and Heir of John
Marques of Winchester, and Joseph Annuity against Marquess of Winchester, and declared that the said John Marquess of Winton, 20 Dec. 17 El. tam pro bona & favorabili affectione & benevolentia quas gessit erga eundem Heuricum, quam pro confidentia & fidelitate reposit, in eodem Henrico, by his Writing did constitute and authorise the faid Henry to be Captain of the Fort or Bulwark, and Cafile of Netley, alias Letley, in the County of Southampton, To have and exercise the said Office of Captain, &c. during the Life of the faid Henry, and gave him Authority during his Life to nominate and appoint from Time to Time a Mafter Gunner, one Porter, and fix Soldiers, &c. And further by the faid Writing the faid John Marquels did grant, fro consideratione prædicta, & pro meliore manutentione ipsius Henrici & magistri tormentor. & sex militum in defensione & tuitione castri prædicti, for him and his Heirs, to the faid Henry during his Life, an Annuity of 32 l. at the Feafts of St. Michael, and the Annunciation of our Lady by equal Portions, by Force of which he was feifed of the faid Office, and of the faid Annuity for his Life; and afterwards 10 Nov. 18 El. the faid John Marquess died; and the Defendant his Son and Heir for 11 Years before the Writ brought did with-hold the Annuity, which in all amounted to 368 l. &c. on which Declaration the Defendant did demur in Law; and according to the Statute did shew divers Causes: r. Because it doth not appear by the Declaration, That the faid John Lord Marquels had Power or Interest to grant the said Office; and also because the Plaintiff hath not averred, that he hath exercised the said Office, nor that he did appoint a Master Gunner, Porter, or the Soldiers, and divers other Causes were shewed; but notwithstanding these, Judgment was given by the Justices of the Common Pleas for the Pl. whereupon the Marquess brought

27 El cap. 5.

a writ of Error, and divers Errors were affigned, but all were over-ruled by the Court but one. And that was, that the Pl. in the writ of annuity had not averr'd in his Declarat. that he had exercifed the faid office, &c. But after many Arguments and Confiderat. of all the books, in which (as it feems prima facie there is a diversity of Opinions, It was resolved, that the Declarat. was good without fuch (a) averment; and their (a) Hard.9.79. reason was, that in all cases where an interest or estate doth Hob. 41.

commence upon a Condit. precedent, be the Condit. or Act Dock pl. 91. to be performed by the Pl. or Def. or by any other; and be the Condit. in the (b) affirmative or negative, there the Pl. (b) Doct.pl.ot. ought to shew it in his Declarat. and to aver the performance thereof; for there the interest or estate doth begin in him by the performance of the Condition, and is not in him till the Condit. be performed. But otherwise it is when the interest and estate passeth presently and vests in the grantee, and is to be defeated by matter ex post facto, or Condit. subfequent, be the Condit. or Act to be performed by the Pl. or Def. or by any other, and be the Condit. in the affirmative or negative, there the Pl. may declare generally, without shewing the performance thereof, and it shall be pleaded by him who will take advantage (c) of the Condition or matter (c) Dod.pl.or. ex post facto, for every one ought to alledge that which (d) (d) 5 Co.78.b. makes for him, and which is for his avail, and none shall be Plowd. 16.b. forced to alledge that which is against himself. And it may well be, that the Condit. Subsequent or matter ex post facto, stands upon many Parts; (as in the case at bar it happens) to rehearse all which would be tedious, when Issue shall be taken but upon one of them, and the Def. may plead any one of them which he pleafeth in bar of the Action, and so the pleading will be more short and compendious, which is the most commendable, if it be sufficient. Here in the case at bar the Condit. was to be performed by the Pl. himself, and therefore the case is the stronger, but because the Pl. by the said grant was prefently feifed of the faid office and annuity for the term of his life, which ought to be defeated by the not using the faid office or other subsequent matter; the subseq. matter makes against him, and therefore shall be pleaded by the Def. makes against him, and therefore snall be pleaded by the Det, and therewith agreeth 15 H.7.(e) 1. a. b. In a writ of annuity (e) Cro. Arg. against the successor of a Prior on a grant made by his produced for until he was advanced to a benefice of holy Church, Dott. pl. 91. and the Pl. declar'd generally, without saying that he is not yet Br. count 43. Plowd. 25. b. advanced; and for that cause exception was taken to it, and 32. b. 272. b. notwithstanding the Declarat. was adjudged good, because 273.a.Palm.192 the Condition went in Defeafance of the Annuity, which ought to be shewed on the Defendant's Part. Also this is an Annuity, which beginneth before the Condition shall be performed, which Performance shall come on the Part of the Grantor, and not like where the Condition (f) Dod.pl.91. was (f), That if the Grantee doth such a Thing, that then he shall have such an Annuity; now, if he will demand

it. he ought to alledge in facto, that the Condit. is perform'd. for by the perform, thereof the annuity doth begin. And fo is the differ. by all the Tuft, and the leare the words of the book. So it is faid in Colthirst's case, Pl.Com. 25. b. If I grant to one that when he shall be promoted to a benefice, that he shall have an annuity; if he demands the annuity, he ought first to shew that he is promoted to a benefice. But if an annuity be granted to one until he be promoted to a benefice, there he shall have a writ of annuity, and shall not shew that he is not vet promoted to a benefice, because the annuity doth precede. and the promotion is subsequent, and goes in defeafance of the annuity; and therefore it ought to be shewed on the con-(a) Dog.pl.si, trary part. But when (a) a man is only intitled to an Action. and the Act'n lies not, if the Condit. or Confiderat, be not perform'd, there the Pl. in his Declarat, ought to shew the performance, for it amounts to a Condit. precedent, because the Act'n arises on the Condit. or Considerat, performed, as the (6) Br. count 7, book in (b) 3 H.6.33.b. Suppose I retain (c) a man to go with me to Rome for 40 s. here by the going the cause of the duty first arises, in which case if he brings an Act'n of debt for it, in his Declarat. he ought to declare, that he was there other-(c) Doct. pl. 91. wife the Declarat. shall abate. So it is if I (d) retain one to ferve me for 40 s. by the year; for here by the Confiderat. (d) Hob. 11, 105 performed the duty arises, so that it is in the nature of an Act precedent, and so was the Opin. of the whole Court in the faid book. But the case in 'e) 48 E.3.3. & 4. was affirmed for good Law where it appears, that Indent, were made betw. Sir R. Pool Kt. of the one part, and Sir R. Tolcelfer of the other part, by

1 Bulitr. 168. Poph. 161. Doct. pl.91. Popham isi. Jenk Cent.200.

Lutw. 250,

Palm. 397.

251.

(e) Doct. pl.92. i Bulltr. 168, Popham 161. Palm 397, 398. IKol. 414, 415. 1 Sand. 320.

(f) Doct.pl.92.

ther for the money, and therefore in debt for the 42 Marks he may chuse either to declare in general, or specially at his pleasure, by the rule of the Court. Also when an Interest doth pass presently, and is to be deseated by matter ex post facto, yet if it appears to the Court by matter in Law, that the Act'n shall not be maintainable without shewing the performance of the Condit. or Confiderat. there the Pl. ought to aver it for the maintainance of his ASt'n, as in 39 H.6.21,22. the Case was, R. Abbot of Chester granted to John Brewin Esq; by his Deed (without the Consent of the Covent) a yearly Rent of 40s. out of his Monastery, pro consil' suo cid' R. Abbati & (f) conventui ejusdem loci impenso, & imposterum impendendo; the said R. Abbot died, and John Brewin brought a Writ of Annuity against the Successor, and averr'd, that he had given to the said R. nuper Abbati & conventui consil' suum apud W. in negot' domus præd' agendis, ad proficuum ejusa domus: And Prisot and the whole

which Sir Ralph did covenant with Sir Rich. to ferve him with 3 Esquires of Arms in the Wars of France, and SirRich. did covenant therefore to pay him 42 Marks: In that cafe each party had equal remedy, one for the fervice, and the o-

Court held that the Action was not maintainable against the Succeffor without fuch (a) Averment. For the Act'n is not (a) Jenk. Cent. maintainable against the Successor for any Contract or Grant 260, 261. made by the Abbot only without the Covent, unless the Effe& or Confideration thereof comes to the Profit of the House. And that such general Averment was good, for it would be too long to shew all the Causes specially, and therefore against the Successor he ought to take such Averment. But in an Action against the Abbot himself, who (6) Cr. El. 546. made the Grant, it is not necessary to take such Averment, Doct. pl. 92. as it is agreed there by the whole Court. And so by these Differences: 1. Between an Interest, or Estate vested, and which is to be devested by Condition or Matter subsequent, and a Condition or Matter, which precedes the Estate or Interest. 2. Between a Thing in Action which in Judgm. of Law is to commence on a Condition or Confideration precedent, and Interests or Estates which begin presently. 3. When equal Remedy is given to both by reciprocal Covenants. 4. When by Matter apparent the Plaintiff's Action shall not be maintained without Averment, altho' it be in the Case of an Estate or Interest vested, you will the better understand your books, which seem prima facie to disagree, (c) 21 E.4.49 b. 22 (d) E.4.43.a. 9 E.4.20.b. 37 H.6.8.b. 36 H.6. (c) Br.count?2. 2.b. Dyer 10 El. 270. in Avowry, and 15 El. Dyer 329. in Debt. Br. annuity 38. Doct. pl. 90. And note, the faid Ughtred had Judgment in the Common (d) Hard 10. Pleas, Quod prædictus Henricus recuperet versus præfatum Doct.pl.90.91. nunc Marchionem, annuum redditum prædictum & arreragia ejusdem, tamdiu ante diem impetrationis brevis original' ipsius Henrici, quam postea incursa, & damna sua occasione subtractionis annui redditus prædict ad decem libras, eidem Henrico ex assensu suo per Curiam hic adjudicar. Que quidem arreragia & damna in toto se attingunt ad 402 libr. Et prædictus nunc Marchio in misericordia.

Mich. 33 & 34 Eliz.

In the Exchequer.

Englefield's Case.

1 And.293,294. Poph. 18, 19. Moor 303, 304, 305. 4 Leon. 135, 136, 137. 169, 170, 171, 2Rol.Rep.142. 323,324,420. Lane 44. 3 Keb. 316. 3 Inst. 19, 180. Palm.437,438, 439.

B Etween the Queen and Margaret Englefield, Fran. Englefield, and others, inan information upon intrusion in the Exchequer, which began Trin. 32 El. the case in effect was fuch, Sir Fr. Englefield seised of the Manor of Englefield in the County of Berks in Fee, by Indent. dated 2 Jan. 18 El. between him and the faid Fran. his nephew, covenanted for the advancem of his blood, &c, to stand seised to the use of himself for life, and afterwards to the use of his said nephew. and the heirs males of his body, and afterwards to the use of the right heirs of the nephew. And it was further contained in the same Indenture, that because hisnephew was an infant. fo that his proof was not then feen, and because the uncle did not think convenient to fettle the faid inheritance in the nephew absolutely, so long as the uncle should live, without a bridle to restrain him, if after he should be prodigal, or should be given to intolerable vices; for this cause it was provided, That if the uncle by himfelf, or by any other during his natural life, deliver or offer to the nephew a gold ring, 2 Rol.Rep.391. to the intent to make void the uses, that then all the uses I Vent. 129. Should be void. Hill 2. 6 Fl. Sir Franz, was indicted in the K's fhould be void. Hill. 2. 6 El. Sir Fran. was indicted in the K's Bench by a Jury of Midd. for Treason committed at Nemures in Hanonia in partibus transmarinis, 20 Octob. 18 El. upon which Indictment he was outlawed, and afterwards 8 Aug. 28 El. the Queen by patent under the great feal did leafe the land to Foster and Fitton, two of the Def. for 40 years, and also demised to them for 40 years, omnes & singulos boscos, fubboscos, arbores, & terras boscales: And afterwards at the Parliament 29 Oct. 28 El. the attainder was confirmed. And further it was enacted, that he should be attainted of High Treason, and should forfeit to the Queen all his Manors, Lands, Tenements, &c. the Day of the Treason committed. or at any Time after, and that the same should be in the a-Etual Possession of the Queen without Office. But further it was provided, by the faid Act, That nothing therein should extend to make void any Lease of Land, or Gift of Goods made by the Queen under the Great Seal, Exchequer-Seal, after the Treason committed, &c. And at the same Parliament another Act was made, by which it was enacted, That every person and persons which hath, or claimeth to have any estate or interest, of, in, or out of any land of any of the persons attainted since 18 Eliz. not inrolled

Latch 28. Palm. 438. 1 Jones 136.

29 El. cap. 3.

PART VII. Englefield's Cafe.

inrolled of Record, not certify'd into the Exchequer, made fince 1 El. by any of the persons attainted since 18El. of Treason, for conspiring of the Queen's death (as the faid Treason of Sir Fr. Englefield was) within 2 years after the last day of this session of Parliament, Shall openly Show, and bring forth, into the Queen's Majesty's Court of Exchequer, the same, his, or their grant, conveyance and assurance, and there in the Term-time, in open Court, the same shall offer and exhibit, or upon his, or their Oath, affirming that they have not the same nor can come by it, or that it was never put in writing, then the effect thereof to be entered and inrolled of Record. Or else every such conveyance and affurance should be void and of none Effect to all intents and purposes: Saving to every person and persons (other than parties and privies to such conveyance, and such as shall not exhibit the said conveyance according to the true meaning of this AEt) all such right, &c. And afterwards 17 Mar. 31 El. the Queen by her letters patents under the great seal, reciting the uses and the proviso of tendering of a ring, and that the benefit and advantage of the said Condit. is given to her by the Stat. of this realm, did depute, authorise, and in her place and person put, R. Broughton and H. Bouchier, jointly and feverally to deliver or offer the ring of gold to Englefield the nephew, to the intent to make void the uses in the indentures; and that they should certify into the Exchequer, what they should do in the premisses. Broughton and Bouch. 8 Mar. 31 El. offer the ring (and read to him the patent) to the faid Fran. the nephew, which he refused: All which fact, with the patent they certified into the Fxchequer 19 Mar. 31 El. and the life of Sir Fran. was averr'd, &c. And the Def. were charged for intrusion 20 Mar. 31 El. and with the cutting of certain Trees of Elm and Ash, and certain Under-woods. And in this case, after many arguments at bar, and upon open argument at bench these points were resolved: 1. The Queen was Ten't pur auter vie, and made a lease for 40 years, altho' the Queen (having only an estate pur auter vie) could not abfolutely contract for a lease for 40 years, yet without any recital or mention of the estate for life, the lease is good; for the lease for years is in judgment of law less than the lease pur auter vie, and the Queen doth not any wrong or prejudice to any by the demise, and is not deceived in her grant; for in Judgment of the Law it is a Lease for 40 Years, if cestui Mood 321. que vie shall so long live, but if the Queen had granted a greater Estate than she lawfully might, as an Estate in Tail, or in Fee, there because she could not lawfully do it, she was deceived, and by consequence her grant void. See now the case of Alton Woods, in the 1st part of my Reports. And it was faid, that if the Queen grants totum statum fuum (having a Term, or Estate for Life, Extent, or other particular Estate) it is good enough; for the Queen

I Co. 50.b.8cc.

(a) 5Co.55.b. 1Co.44.b.52.b. Dav. 75. 2. b. 11 Co. 72. a. 2 Inft. 681. Co. Lit. 19. b. 13 E. 4. 8. a. Plow.246.b. 487. b. Cr. Argument 60. iRol.Rep.167. Noy 182. Moor 416. Godb. 317,

(b) Vaugh. 180. 33 H.6.55. b. 7 Co. 13. b. Calvin's Cafe. 3 Co. 39. a. Plow. 294 a. 2 Inst. 234. Br. gard. 6. Br. forfeit. 70. Co. Lit. 84 b.

(c) 9 Co. 78. a. 79.a. 1Rol.455, 456. 2Brownl. 131. 1 Rol. Rep 296, 297. Cr .El.46,193, 304, 458. 3Bulft.148,149. Co.Lit. 212.b. Perk. 145. b. Palm. 550.

(d) Latch 71. Palm. 435.

Queen doth not grant more than she may do by the law, nor doth any (a) wrong, or make Alterat. of any estate by her grant. And it was objected, that this Condit. Should not be given to the Queen by the Stat. of 32 H.8.c.20. for 3 Reasons: 1. This Condit. is annexed to Sir Francis with fuch inseparable privity, that it cannot be given to anoth. for in this case the substance of the Condit. is the intent and mind of Sir Fran. but because his intent and mind cannot appear without an overt act. for this cause the ring shall be tendered as a Declarat. of his intent, which was inward and fecret to himself, so that the tender of the ring is only the outward ceremony, but the subflance of the Condit. is the mind and will of Sir Fran. which cannot be transferr'd to anoth. Also in this case nature is made judge, for the uncle is to judge of the quality and disposition of the nephew, and whether he gives his uncle cause to revoke and difannul his estate; and therefore as natural love and affect. cannot be transferred to anoth. so this conveyance, of which natural love and affect, is the cause of the creation of it, and the judgeof the Determinat. of it, cannot be revoked or determined by any other; and all this agrees with the reason of the com. Law; for the (b) wardship of the eldest Son, which the law of nature gives the Father, is inseparable, and cannot be forfeited or transferred to another, as it is agreed in 33 H.6. And Homage Ancestrel is inseparable, because it is annexed to the blood of the Ld. and the Tenant. 2. By the general words of the A& of 33 H. 8. Conditions separable, and which may be performed by others, and not inseparable, are given to the K. as appears by divers cases founded on general Acts of Parliam. here put, and after agreed. 3. It was objected, that this being a collateral Condit. altho' the Condit. be given to the K. C. the benefit of it, if it be performed, yet the performance of it is not given to the K. by the faid Act. And therefore Sir Francis ought to tender the ring, and not the Queen. And therefore suppose that the Condit. had been, if the Ch.Just. of England for the Time being, shall tender a ring after the attainder of Sir Francis, the Queen cannot tender it; and the reason of the Books in 12 H. 4. 2. & 9 H. 7. 17 & 20. 4 H.S. Dyer 1. &c. was urged, where collateral (c) Conditions cannot be altered, and other things taken in Satisfaction of them between the same Parties, a fortiori here, for here the person who by express words ought to persorm the Condit. shall be changed. As to the 1st and 2d Objections, Manwood Chief Baron, and the whole Court held, That the whole 146 a. Hob 178. Force and Effect of the Condition in the Case at Bar did confift on the Tender of the Ring; and the other Matter of the Reason and Cause, which moved and induced him to leave the faid Power and bridle in himself, was not any Parcel of the Proviso, but a (d) flourish (as he termed it) and Preamble, and nothing is Parrel of the Condition but that which comes after the

proviso, and that is the tender of the Ring. And as to that, the faid difference was taken and agreed by the whole Court, fcil. Between conditions which are personal and individual, and cannot be performed by any other; and conditions which are not so inseparably annexed to the Person but that they may be performed by any other; as it was resolved in the (a) Latch. 107. Cafe of Tho. D. of (a) Norfolk, who in Anno II El. convey18. 40 Hob. ed his lands to the use of himself for life, and afterwards to 342, 1 Vent. 12. the use of *Philip E*. of *Arundel* his eldest Son in tail, with 2 Roll's Rep. divers remainders over, with proviso, that if he should be Latch. 27, 29, minded to alter and revoke the faid uses, and should fignify 69, 70,71, 103. his mind in writing under his proper hand and feal, and fub- Palm. 435. feribed by three credible witnesses, that then, &c. And af O. Benl. 139. terwards the faid Duke was attainted of High Treason, that 235, 739. this proviso or condition was not given to the Queen by the Styles 195. faid Act of 33 H. 8. because the performance of it was (b) (b) Palm. 119. personal and inseparably annexed to his Person, that is to say, to fignify his mind by writing unto his own proper Hand, which none could do but the Duke himfelf: upon which point all the Possessions of the Dukedom so conveyed ut supra were faved, and not forfeited by the Attainder. 53 H. 6. 56. The Templers held divers of their Possessions in (c) Frankalmoign (which Tenure as Littleton faith, is annexed in privi- (c) Latch 59,71.

ty to the Blood of the Donor) and afterwards they were dif- Co. Lit. 99 a. folved, and by Parliament, anno 17 Ed. 2. their Poffessions 3 Co. 3. b. were given to the Hospitalers, to hold them in the same man- Moor 312, 322. ner as the Templers held, yet they by those general Words 35 H. 6. 56. b. flould not hold in Frankalmoign, because the Privity of the '163. Tenure on the Part of the Tenant doth not continue, and this privity being personal and inseparable, by the general Words (d) 3E.3.11.b. of the Act was not transferred to the Hospitalers. The same Moor 530. 531, Law of an Impropriation of a Church, which also is an inci-Firz Grants 701 dent inseparable to the religi. house to which the Chu. is im- Plo Leon. 17 propriate. And therefore it is adjudged in P. 3 Ed. 3. (d) that (e) 4 Leon. 17. the Hospitalers by the faid A 2 of r. Ed. 3 (d) that 173, 174. the Hospitalers by the said Act of 17 Ed. 2. should not have Moor 323. the Impropriation, for it was inseparably annexed to the Cor- Latch. 30. 69. poration of Templers, which thing confishing in infeparable 2 Roll's Rep. Privity by general words of an Act of Parl. should not be trans- 319, 324, 374. ferr'd to others. So was it adjudged Trin. 25. El. in the Marq. 1 Leon. Rep. of Winchester's Case, (which see in the 3d part of my Reports,) 371, 372. That by the general words of all Hereditaments, &c. a Writ of Lit. Rep. 100. (e) Error which a Person attainted had was not given to the Cro. El. 389. King; for a Writ of Error is a Writ which lieth in Privity. And 300. 2. a. 3.b. the Ch. Baron said, That he never saw in any Act of Attain-i Jones 77.

der (f) Actions which belonged to the Person attainted given (f) Hob. 341.

to the King. In the time of H. 8. Br. Corodie 3. it is held, ^{2Roll's Rep. p.}

That a (g) Foundership, which also is a Thing annexed (g) Co. Lit. inseparably to the Blood of the Founder, should not be 99.2.b. forseited by Attainder, vide L. 5. Ed. 4. But in the Moor 322. Case at Bar, the Condition, scil. the Tender of the 11 Co. 17.

King

Ring is not annexed to the Person of Sir Francis, but any other may do it as well as himself. The same Law of Paym. of Money, delivery of Gold Spurs, or other like. As to the third Objection it was refolved. That when the Statute gives the Condition to the Queen, that the Performance thereof (which is not personal, and inseparable) is also given to the Queen, as incident to it: For the Performance is the Substance and the Effect of the Condition; and the Statute puts the King in the Place of the Person attainted, to do that for the Personmance of the Condition, which is feafible, and which is not inseparably annexed to the Person of him who is attainted. 4. It was obiected, That altho' the Condition should be given to the Queen, and the Performance of it also, yet the Lease should not be void, for the Estate for Life of Sir Francis was not subjectto the Condition. For an (a) use at the Com. Law was a Trust and Considence reposed in one Person, that another Perfon should have the Profits, so that there ought to be a Separation of the Possession and of theuse, either by Covenant, or Feoffment, Fine, or other Conveyance, by which there is a Transmutation of the Possession: But in our Case he himselffood feifed to the use of himself for Life, which could not be

as an use, for he himself is Ter-tenant and there is not any Separation of the Possessi, and it is not any Trust or Considence, and he could not have a Subpana against himself before the

Statute; and the Stat. of \$ 27 H.S. doth execute the Poffession

to him only who hath an use, and who hath not a Posses but Sir Francis in our case had the Posses, before, and there-

(a) Co. Li. 272, b 1 Co. 121, a. 1 Mod. Rep. 39. 1 Ande f. 318. Plow. 352, b. Poph. 71.

* 27 H. 8. cap.

(6) 13 Co. 56.

fore the Stat. cannot give Poffessi. to him, but his Estate for Life was Parcel of his old Estate. And note, The Stat. of 27 H. 8. faith, To the use of (b) another Person, &c. so that another Person ought to have the use, than he who hath the Possession. And vide 30 H. 8. Ferffments alufes Br. 47. Ceftuy que ufe in Tail before the Stat was enfeoffed by the Feoffees; and afterwards the Stat. of 27 H.S. was made, the Stat. shall not give him a Posses in Tail according to the use, because he had the Posses, because there was not any Separation of the Use and Posses, at the time of the making of the Stat. And then if the Estate for Life be Parcel of his old Estate, it is not subject to the faid Proviso or Condit. and by Conseq. by the Perform. of the Condit. the Estate for Life is not descated, and then the Lease for 4c Years, which is derived out of it, remains good. But it was resolved by the Court, That Sir Francis had the Eflate for Life by the Limitation of the use, and the Operation of the Stat. of 27 H. 8. And they much relied upon the Reason and Rule of Bainton's Case in Plow. Com. That a Man for the Acvan. (c) of his Heirs Males, may Covenant to fland seised to the use of himself and the Heirs of his Body, in that case there is no Separation of the Possess and Use, and yet

(c) 2 Rol. 785. Plow, 309. b. the Statute doth create an Estate in Tail in Possession in him, in which Case the whole Estate-Tail is in himself: but that is for the Benefit of the Heir Male, altho' he is in futuro, and not in prasenti, for none can know who shall be his Heir Male, for (a) Solus Deus facit hæredes, non homo. But in this case it is for the Benefit of the Neph. in prasenti to have theu- (a) Rolls 785. fes raised according to the said Indentures. 5. It was objected, that altho' the estate for life be defeated by the condit. yet the O. should not avoid her own lease; For when the Q. hath an Estate for the Life of another, and also a Condition in her by the Statute of 33 H. 8. and she makes a Lease for Years, altho' the Queen doth perform the Condition, she shall not avoid the Lease. Asif in such Case a common Perfon had had the Estate for Life, and the Queen the Condition, and the common Person had made a Lease of the Land, Co. Lit. 301.a and the Queen had confirm'd it, and afterwards the Condition is performed, yet the (b) Lease is good. And if the (b) Moor 325. Mortgagee makes a Lease for Years, and the Mortgagor con- 2 Rol. Rep. firms it, and afterwards the Condition is performed, the 320, 340. Leafe shall not be avoided. And Arden's Case was cited. 314, 323. Tenant in (c) Tail makes a Lease for Life, now he hath (c) Moor 325. gained a new Fee by wrong, and afterwards he grants a Poph. 50. Rent-charge, or makes a Lease for Years, and afterwards Te- 1 Co. 147 b. nant for Life dies, he shall not avoid his Charge or Lease, Co.Lit 343. a. altho' he be in of another Estate, because he had a defeasi- 249. ble Possession, and ancient Right, the which if they be in se- 2 Rol. Rep. 320. veral Hands, should be good; as the Lease of one, and the Confirmation of the other, and being in one Hand shall be as much in Judgment of Law. Note the Lease is the stronger Case than the Charge, 11 H. 7.21.a. Edrich Ten't in Tail made a Feoffm. to his use upon Condition, and afterwards upon his Recognizance the Land is extended by the Statute of 1 R. (d) 3. and afterwards he performed the (d) 1 R. 3. Condition, yet the Interest of the Conusee shall not be a- cap. 1. voided, and yet the Estate is changed causa qua supra. And 1 Co. 147. 2. all that was affirmed by the Court. But as to that it was resolved. That the demise of the Queen should not enure (to her special Prejudice) to (e) two Intents, scil. to a demise of the Land, and also to a Suspension of her Condition, by which she might defeat the Estate for Life, and the other Estates as it should in the Case of a common Person, or to a Demise in respect of her present Estate for the Life of another, and also to a Confirmation in respect of her Condition; by which otherwise she might defeat the whole, as it should be also in the Case of a common Person. For the Grant of the Queen shall be taken according to her express Intention comprehended in her Grant, and shall not extend to any other Thing by Constru-

(e) Lane 121.

Poph. 150. b.

ction or Implication which doth not appear by her grant that her intent did extend to. And therefore in fuch Cafes the Queen ought to be truly informed, and she ought to make a special and particular Grant, which by express Words may enure to all fuch feveral Intents as are defired; as 17 E. 3. 39. An Advowson held of the King is aliened to an Abbot, now the King hath Title to the Advowson for the Mortmain, and afterwards the King by his Letters Patents grants to the Abbot that he may hold the Advowson to his own Use, yet he shall not lose the Advantage of the Mortmain, for when the King hath two Rights in him, he cannot exclude himself of both without special Words, vide 9 H. 7. 14. Plow. Com. 397, &c. And if in this Case the Demise of the Queen should amount to a Consirmation by force of her Condition, or if the Demise should amount to a Suspension of the Condition, then upon that it would follow, that she during the Term could not perform the Condition, which would be very prejudicial to the Q. 6. And as to the faid Tender by force of the faid Letters Patents, and the Certificate thereof into the Exchequer, it was objected, That the same would not be sufficient, but the Tender ought to be found by Office, for altho' the Letters Patents are of Record, yet the Tender it self is Matter in Fact, which ought to be found by Office; For the Certificate of the Bishop by force of the King's Writ, or of the Marshal of the King's Hoft, as in 2 Ed. 4. 1. which and fuch like are not traverfable, but are trials in law, and shall bind the parties. But in our Case, if this Certificate, which is not made upon any ordinary Course of proceeding, should be good in Law, it would be a great Prejudice to the Party; for no Certificate which is allowed and warranted by the Common Law, is traversable; and then the Matter might be false, and the Party disinherited, and yet he should not have any Remedy, which would be very inconvenient. But it was resolved by the whole Court, That the Tender and Certificate in this Case was good enough, and that the Party grieved, if it be falle, might traverse the same, and should not be concluded by it, for it was not in the Nature of a Trial, but of a Record to inform and fatisfy the Queen of her Title. Also they resolved, That presently by the Tender of the Ringaccording to the faid Proviso, or Condition, the Uses were determined, and void in Law, and by Consequence the Land vested in the Queen by force of the Attainder, and of the Act

of 33 H. 8. 7. It was objected, That the faid Conveyance was void by the Act of 28 Eliz. and then was Sir Francis

at the Time of his Treafon committed, and Attainder thereupon, feifed of the faid Lands in Fee, which were

ter-

Larch. 71. Moor 335. Doct. pl. 352, 370.

Doct. pl. 352,

370.

5 Co. 56. a.

\$3 H. S. c 20.

forfeited to the Oueen, and vested in her by the said Act of 33 H. 8. and by consequence, the said Lease made by the Queen (being at the Time of the making thereof seised in Fee) is good. And to prove that the Conveyance was void by the Statute before the faid Tender of the Ring, fo that the Estate was not defeated by the Condition, but the Convevance in which the Condition was contained made void by the Act of 28 El. before the faid Tender of the Ring, and before the Leafe made; The Words of the Statute are, Moor 446. That every Person, &c. within two Years after the last Day of that Session, shall openly show and bring forth into the Exchequer his Conveyance, and there in the Term-Time in open Court shall exhibit, &c. the same to be entred and inrolled of Record, &c. And the Tender of the Ring was 18. Mart. Anno 31. before which Day all the Terms in the two Years were past; so that after Hillary Term past Ann. 31. it was not possible that the Conveyance should be enrolled within the two Years in open Court in Term-Time. For the two Years passed by Effluction of Time before Easter Term; And therefore presently after Hillary-Term passed. the Conveyance was void; and by Consequence the Condithe Conveyance was void; and by Confequence the Condi- 5 Co 21. a. tion also. And thereupon the Case in Temp. E. 1. Covenant F. N. B. 145. k. 29. & F. N. B. was put, That altho' the Covenant be that Moor. 313, 323. he leave the Wood in as good plight, &c. at the End of the 2 Rol. Rep. Term, if the Leffee cuts down the Trees, he shall present- Godb, 335. ly have an Action of Covenant, for it is not possible that he leave Trees, &c. at the End of the Term, fo that the Impossibility of the Act shall give a present Action upon a future Covenant. But it was refolved by the Court, That at the Time of the Tender of the Ring the faid Conveyance. and by Confequence the Condition, was in force. And their Reason was, because the Statute doth not require that the Enrollment of the Conveyance, which is the Act of the Court, should be within the two Years; but the shewing and exhibiting thereof, which is the Act of the Party, ought to be within the 2 Years: For as to the shewing and exhibiting of the Conveyance, the Words are (within 2 Years, &c. (hall, Ec. there in the Term-time in open court exhibit the same) then the next words follow. are, (to be entered and enrolled of Rec'd) so that no time is limited when it shall be enrolled; but if the Words had been, and then and there shall be entred and inrolled of Record,) then the Conveyance ought to have been enroll'd within the 2 Years: but as the Words are, it may be enrolled after the 2 Years past. And this was the first Case (that I know that was argued and adjudged on the faid Act of 33 H.S. which gives Conditions of Persons attainted of Treason to the King. And in the Argument of this case, the Case of T. Markenfield Esq; an. 19 El, in the Excheq. and divers other Cases of Perfons attainted of Treason, who had Power of Revo. were cited: G 3

and on Confideration of them by the Barons, they refolved at fupra, and gave Judgment for the Queen. But the Counsel of Fran. Englefield were not satisfied with the Judgment, and chiefly as to this principal Point: For they conceived, that as this Case is, the Condition was so inseparably annexed to the Person of Sir Francis, that it was not given to the Queen by the said Act of 33 H. 8. And their Advice was to bring a Writ of Error. But at the next Parliament, soil. 35 Eliz. a special Act of Parliament was made to establish the Forseiture to the Queen.

Trin. 34 Eliz.

The Cafe of SWANS.

2 Rol.Rep. 30.

BEtween the Queen, and the Lady Joan Young, late the Wife of Sir John Young Knight, deceased, and Thomas Saunger Defendants, the Case was such; An Office was found at W. in the County of Dorset, 18 September Ann. 22 El. before Sir Matthew Arundel and other Commissioners of the Queen under the Great Seal, Quod a villa de Abbotsbury, in præd' Com' Dorset. usque ad mare per insulam de Portland in eodem Com' est quedam estuaria, Anglice a Meere or Fleet, in quam mare fluit & refluit, in qua quidem estuaria sunt 500 cigni, quorum 410. sunt albi, & 90 sunt cignetti, & quod omnes prædicti cigni & cignetti funt in possessione J. Young & Tho. Saunger, & quod quilibet eorum est valoris 2 s. 6 d. quodque major pars tempore captionis dieta inquisitionis minime fuer. signat : which Office being certified into the Exchequer, a Writ was directed to the Sheriff of the same County to seise all the faid white Swans not marked, by force whereof the Sheriff returned that he had seised 400 white Swans. To which afterwards, scilt. Hill. 34 Eliz. the faid Foan.

Foan Toung and Tho. Saunger pleaded; Quod pred eftuaria sive aqua, jacet in Paroch' de Abbotsbury in Com. Dorset (and abutted it) and that before the Inquisition taken, The Abbot of Abbotsbury was seised de præd'estuaria; & de ripis E solo ejusdem in Fee, and that at the Time of the Inquisition, and Time out of Mind, fuit & adhuc est, quidem volatus cignorum& cignettor' feror, vocat' a Game of wild Swans, in estuaria sive aqua illa,& ripis,& sõlo ejusa' nidisicant' gignen' & frequentant' Anglice haunting, de quo quidem volatu cignor' & cignettor, prad' Abbas & omnes prædecessores sui Abbates Monasterii præd', per totum tempus prædict' habuere 🥰 gavisi fuerunt, & habere & gaudere consueverunt, tot. prefic E increment' omnium & singulor' cignor' & cignettor' feror' in estuaria præd'nidisicant' gignen' & frequent' qui quidem cigni & cignetti per totum tempus præd fuerunt feræ natura, & infra idem tempus iidem cigni & cignetti seu eorum aliqui aliquo signo non usi fuissent, nec consuevissent signari, nisi qd' præd' nuper Abbas & prædecessores sui præd per totum tempus præd ad eorum libitum quosd' seu aliquos de minorib' cignettis annuatim pullulant' quos ad usum & culine & hospitalitatis sue statuerunt expendend', in hunc modum annuatim signare consueverunt, & usi fuerunt, viz. amputare mediam juncturam unius ale, Angl' to cut off the Pinion of one Wing, cujustib' taliscignetti, ca intentione, qd' cignetti sic amputati minime valerent avolare. And afterwards the faid Abbot furrendred the Premisses to K. H. 8. who Ann. 35th of his Reign granted to Giles (a) Strangways Efq; by his Let- (a) Dav. 57. ters Patents inter alia, totam illam liberam Piscariam nestr' in aqua, vocat' the Fleet in Abbotsbury præd ac omnia mefuag' aquas, piscat' & cætera hæreditam nostr' quæcung; in Abbotsbury, in diet' Com' Dorset diet' nuper Monasterio, Ec. adeo plene & integre, &c. & in tam amplis medo & forma, &c. and that the said Giles died, and it descended to Giles Strangways his Cousin and Heir, who demised to the Defendants the said Game of Swans for one Year, &c. and prayed quod manus dicta Domina Regina amoveantur. Upon which the Queen's Attorney did demur in Law.

1. It was resolved, That all white Swans not marked, which have gained their natural Liberty, and are swimming in an open and common River might be seised to the King's Use by his Prerogative, because Volatilia, (que funt fer e natura) alia funt regalia, alia communia : and so Aquatilium, alia sunt regalia, alia communia: as a Swan is a Royal Fowl; and all those, the Property whereof is not known, do belong to the King by his Prerogative: and fo (b) Dav. 16. a. Whales and Sturgeons are Royal Fish and belong to the Stansf. Fravog. King by his Prerogative. And there hath been an ancient 37. b. 38. a. Officer of the King's salled Magister deductus eignorum, pand, 315 b. which.

which continues to this Day. But it was refolv'd also, that the Subject might have Property in white Swans not marked, as some may have Swans not marked in his private Waters, the Property of which belongs to him, and not to the King; and if they escape out of his private Waters into an open and common River, he may bring them back and take them again. And therewith agreeth Bracton, lib. 2. c. 1. fol. 9. Si autem animalia fera facta fuerint mansueta, & ex consuetudine eunt & redeunt, volant & revolant, (ut sunt Cervi, Cigni, Pavones, & Columba, & hujusmodi) cousq; nostra intelligantur quamdiu kabuerint animum revertendi. But if they have gained their natural Liberty, and are swimming in open and common Rivers, the King's Officer may seise them in the open and common River for the King: For one white Swan without fuch pursuit as aforesaid cannot be known from another, and when the Property of a Swan cannot be known, the fame being of its Nature a Fowl Royal, doth belong to the King; and in this Case the Book of 7 H. 6. 27. b. was vouched, where Sir Folin Tiptoft brought an Action of Trespass for wrongful taking of his Swans; the Defendant pleaded that he was seised of the Lordship of S. within which Lordship, all those whose Estate he hath in the said Lordship, had had Time out of Mind, all Estraies being within the said Manor; and we say that the faid Swans were estraying at the Time in the Place where, &c. and we as L'ds did feise and make Proclamations in Fairs and Markets, and so soon as we had Notice that they were your Swans, we delivered 'em to you at fuch a Place. Plaintiff replied that he was feifed of the Manor of B. joyning to the Lordship of S. and we say, that we and our Ancestors, and all those, &c. have used Time out of Mind, to have Swans fwimming thro' all the Lordship of S. and we say, that long time before the taking we put 'em in there, and gave Notice of 'em to the Defend. that they were our Swans; and prayed his Damages. And the Opinion of Strange there was well approved by the Court, That the Replication was good: For when the Plaintiff may lawfully put his Swans there, they cannot be Effraies, no more than the Cattle of any can be Eftraies in such Place where they ought to have Common; because they are there where the Owner hath an Interest to put 'em, and in which Place they may be without Negligence or Latches of the Owner. Out of which Cafe, these Points were observed concerning Swans: 1. That every one who hath Swans within his Manor, that is to fay, within his private Waters, hath a Property in 'em, for the Writ of Trespass was of wrongful taking his Swans: scil. Quare cignos fuos, &c. 2. That one may prescribe to have a Game of Swans within his Manor, as well as a Warren, or Park. 3. That he who hath fuch a Game of Swans may prescribe, that his Swans may swim within the

Firz Barr 6. Br. Eifray 3.

Manor of (a) another. 4. That a Swan may be an Estray, and (a) 3Keb.275. fo cannot any other Fowl, as I have read in any Book. In
(b) 2 R. 3. 15. b. & 16. a. The Ld. Strange and Sir John Charl- (b) Cr. El. 275. ton brought an Action of Trespass against three, because the Defendants had taken and carried away 40 Cignets of the Plaintiffs in the County of Bucks, to his Damages of 10 l. One of the Defendants pleaded, That the Water of the Thames ran through the whole Realm, and that the County of Buckingham is adjoining to the Thames, and that the Custom of the said County of Buckingham is, and hath been Time out of Mind, That every Swan (for Cignet in the Book is taken for a Swan) which hath its Course in any Water, which Water runs to the Thames within the same County, That if any Swan comes on the Land of any Man, and there builds, and hath Cignets on the same Land, that then he who hath the Property of the Swan shall have two of the Cignets, and he who hath the Land shall have the third Cignet, which shall be of less Value than the other two; and that was adjudged a good Cro. El. 725. Cuitom, because the Possessor of the Land suffers them to build there, where he may drive them off. And by this Judgment it also appears, That a Man may alledge a Custom or prescribe in Swans or Cignets. And in the same Case it is faid, That the Truth of the Matter was, that the Lord Strange had certain Swans which were Cocks, and Sir Fohn Charleton certain Swans which were Hens, and they had Cignets between them; and for these Cignets the Owners did join in one Action. for in such Case by the general Custom of the Realm, which is the Common Law in fuch Case, the Cignets do belong to both the Owners in common equally, so to the Owner of the Cock, and the Owner of the Hen; and the Cignets shall be divided betwixt them. And the Law thereof is founded on a Reafon in Nature; for the Cock-Swan is an Emblem or Representation of an affectionate and true Husband to his Wife above all other Fowls; for the Cock-Swan holdeth himself to one Female only, and for this Cause Nature hath conferred on him a Gift beyond all others; that is, to die so joyfully, that he fings sweetly when he dies; upon which the Poet faith; Dulcia defecta modulatur carmina lingua,

And therefore this Case of the Swan doth differ from the Case of Kine, or other Brute Beasts. Vide 7 Hen. 4. 9. And it was agreed, that none can have a Swan-mark, which in Latin is called (cigninota) unless it be by the Grant of the King, or of his Officers authorized thereto, or by Prescription. And if he hath a lawful Swan-mark, and hath Swans fwimming in open and common Rivers, lawfully marked therewith, they belong to him ratione privilegii. But none shall have a Swan-mark, or Game of Swans, unless he hath Lands or Tenements of an Estate of Freehold of the yearly Value of 5 Marks, above all Chargeson Pain of Forfeiture of his Swans, whereof the K. shall have one Moiety, and he who feifes shall have the other Moiety; and that

Cantator, cygnus, funeris ipse sui, &c.

is by the Stat. of 22 Ed. 4. cap. 6. And he who hath fuch Swanmark may grant it over. And thereof I have feen a notable Precedent in the Time of Hen. 6. which is fuch, Notum sit omnibus hominibus prasentibus & futuris, quod ego J. Steward Miles, dedi &

concessi Tho fil meo primogenito & haredib suis, cigninoi meam armor' meor' prout in margine laterali pingitur, que mihi jure hæreditar' descendeb post mort'J. Steward mil' patris mei : Habend' sibi & hæredib suis, una cum omnib' cignis & cignicul' cum dicta nota baculi nodati signat', sub condit' qd'quilib' feria solis durante vita, a gula Augusti usq; ad Carnisprivium apud dom' meam de Darford, unum cignicul' bene signat' mihi aut meis deliberet, qd'si defecerit, tune volo, qd' hoc præsens chirographum cassetur penitus, & pro nihilo habeatur. In cui rei testimon' ad instant Matilda uxor' mea, meum sigil' (ecret' 3 Inst. 98, 109, Christi crucifixi presentib' feci apponi. Hiis testib' R. Clerico, J.de Convers, Alano Fabro, & al'. Dat. apud dom' meam man-Cro. Car. 388. sional' de Darf. in vigilia S. Dunst' Ep' an' Regni Regis Hen' post conquest' Angliæ sexti 14. And in the Margent was painted 545, 554. Co. Lit. 47. a. a little ragged Staff. And in this case it was resolv'd, That in some of 'em which are feræ naturæ, a Man hath jus proprietatis, a right of property, and in some of 'em a Man hath jus privilegii, a right of privilege. And there are 3 manner of rights of Propert. scil. Propert. absolute, Propert. qualified, and Pro-A Man hath not absolute property in any perty poffeffory. Doct. pl. 314. thing which is fere nature, but in those which are domite naturæ. Property qualified and possessory a Man may have in those which are fer a natura, and to such property a Man may attain by two ways, by industry, or ratione impotentiæ & loci, by Industry as by taking them, or by making them mansueta, i. e. manui assueta, or domestica, i. e. domui assueta: But in those which are fer a natura, and by Industry are made tame, a Man hath but a qualified property in 'em, scil. so long as they remain tame, for if they do attain to their natural Liberty, and have not animum revertendi, the property is lost, ratione impotentia & leci: As if aMan has young Shovelers or Goshawks, or the like, which are fere nature, and they build in my land, I have possessory property in 'em, for if one takes'em when they cannot fly, the owner of the foil shall have an action of Trespass, Quare boscum suum fregit, & tres pullos est ervor' fuor' or ardear' fuar' pretii tantum, nuper in cod' besco nidificant', cepit & asportav'; and therewith agreeth the Re-F. N. B. 86. M. gift and F. N. B. 86. L. & 89. K. 10 Ed. 4. 14. 18 Ed. 4. 8 87. a. Cr. Car. 14 H. 8. 1 b. Stamf. 25 b. Ec.vid. 12 H.8.4. & 18 H. 8. 12. But when a Man hath savage Beasts ratione privilegii, as by reason of a Park, Warren, &c. he hath not any property in the Deer, or Conies, or Pheasants, or Partridges; and therefore in an A-Stion, Quare Parcum, Warrennam, &c. fregit & intrav' & 3. damas, lepores, cuniculos, phasianos, perdices, cepit & afportavit, he shall not say (suos) for he hath no property in em, but they do belong to him ratione privil' for his game and pleasure, so long as they remain in the privileged Place: for if the owner of the Park dies, his heir shall have 'em, and not his Ex'ors or Administrat' because without'em the park which is an

Inheri-

388,554. Co. Lit. 47. a. F. N. B. 87. 2. Co. Lit. 8. a. Cro. El. 372. Kelw, 118. 2. Owen 20, Goldf 129, I Rol, 916.

Wentw. 81.

IIC.

Cro. El. 125.

Inheritance is not compleat; nor can Felony be committed 3 Inft. 98, 99, of them, but of those which are made Tame, in which a 109, 110. Man by his Industry hath any Property, Felony may be committed. And therewith agrees the Rule of the Book in 3 H. 6. 55. b. 8 E. 4, 5. b. 22 H. 6. 59. which is ill reported, and 43 E. 4. 24. vid. 22 Aff. 12 H. 8. 3. 13 El. Dyer 306. 38 E. z. 10. Vide 2 E. 2. tit. Distress, 2 E. 2. Avowry 182. But a Man may have Property in some Things which are 3 Inst. 109. of so base Nature, that no Felony can be committed of 'em, and no Man shall lose Life or Member for 'em. as of a Blood-hound, or Mastiff, molossus, 12 H. 8. 2 Vide 18 H. 8. 2. But he who steals the Eggs of Swans out of the Nest, Thall be imprisoned for a Year and a Day, and fined at the Will of the King; one Moiety to the King, the other to the Owner of the Land where the Eggs were fo taken, and that is by the Stat. of 11 H. 7. cap. 17. And it hath been faid of old Time, That he who fleals a Swan in an open and common River, lawfully marked, the same Swan (if it may be) or another Swan shall be hung in a House by the Beak, and he who stole it, shall in Recompence thereof be obliged to give the Owner so much Wheat that may cover all the Swan, by putting and turning the Wheat on the Head of the Swan, until the Head of the Swan be covered with the Wheat. And it was resolved, That in the principal Case the Prescription was insufficient; for the Effect of the Prescription is to have all wild Swans, which are feræ naturæ. and not marked, nidificant', gignent', & frequentant', within the faid Creek. And fuch Prescription for a Warren Wing Max. 17. would be infufficient, scil. to have all Pheafants and Partridges, nidificantes, gignentes, and frequenting within his Manor. But he ought to fay, to have free Warren of them within his Manor: For altho' they are nidificantes, gignentes. and frequenting within the Manor, he cannot have 'em jure privilegii, but so long as they are within the Place. But it was resolv'd, That if the Desendants had alledged, that within the faid Creek there had been Time out of Mind, a Gameof wild Swans not marked, building and breeding; and then had prescribed, that such Abbot and all his Predecessors, &c. had used at all Times to have and take to their use some of the faid Game of wild Swans and their Cignets within the faid Creek, it had been good; for altho' Swans are Royal Fowls, yet in fuch a Manner a Man may prescribe in them; for that may have a lawful beginning by the King's Grant: For in Rot. Parliam. 30 Ed. 3. part. 2. num. 20. the King granted to C. W. all wild Swans unmarked between Oxford and London for 7 Years. In eodem Rot' an' 16. R. 2. p. 1. num' 39. the like Grant of wild Swans unmarked in the County of Camb' to B. Bereford Kt. In evd' Rot' an' 1 H 4. p. 6. num' 14. A Grant made to J. Fenn, to survey and keep all wild Swans unmarked, ita quod de proficuo respondeat ad Scaccarium.

Sir Thomas Cecil's Cafe. Part VII.

By which it appears that the King may grant wild Swans unmarked; and by Confequence a Man may prescribe in them within a certain Place, because it may have a lawful Beginning. And a Man may prescribe to have Royal Fish within his Manor, as it is held in 39 Ed. 3. 35. for the Reason aforesaid. And yet without Prescription they do belong to the King by his Prerogative.

Mich. 39 & 40 Eliz.

In the Exchequer.

Sir Thomas Cecil's Cafe.

SIR Thomas Cecil being seised of the Manor of Strickston in the County of North. did enter into Communication with one Foster to exchange divers Parcels thereof with him for certain Lands which the faid Foster had in the same Town; and before any Exchange perfected, Sir Thomas did convey the faid Manor, and the faid Land of the faid Foster, by the special Name of the said Foster, by Deed indented and inrolled to Queen Elizabeth, her Heirs and Successors, and covenanted with the Queen that he was feifed as well of the faid Manor as of the faid Land late Foster's, of a good Estate in Fee-simple, &c. and the said Sir Thomas was bound to the Queen in an Obligation of ten thousand Marks to perform the said Covenant amongst others. And the faid Sir Thomas had before that Time exhibited an English Bill in the Exchequer-Chamber, containing the Matter aforesaid; and that the said Lands, Parsel of the faid Manor intended to be given in Exchange to Foster, were of greater Value than the said Land of the faid Foster, so that the Queen was not deceived in the Value; which Lands Parcel of the Manor passed to the King by the Conveyance of the Manor, and nevertheless the Covenant and Obligation of the faid Sir Thomas as to that was broken and forfeited by the Rigour of the Law.

But the faid Sir T. in his bill did rely on the Stat. of 33(a) H. (a) Hard. 27. 8.c.39. by which it is enacted, That if any person of whom a- 304,368,442. ny such debt or duty is, or at any time hereafter shall be de- 4Inst. 118, 119. manded, alledge, plead, declare, or shew in any of the said Postea 21. a.b. Courts, good, perfect and sufficient cause, and matter in law, Lane 51. reason, or good conscience, in bar or discharge of the said debt O.Bendl.65, 66, or dutal on solds such therefore an transfer and the said debt 67. Lit. Rep. or duty, or why such person or persons ought not to be char- 87, 88. ged or chargeable to, or with the same, and the same cause or matter sufficiently prove in such one of the said Courts as he or they shall be impleaded, sued, vexed, or troubled for the same. that then the said Courts, and every of them, Shall have full power and authority to accept, judge, and allow the same proof, and wholly and clearly to acquit and discharge all and every person and persons that shall be so pleaded, vexed, sued, or troubled for the same, any thing in this present AEt before mentioned to the contrary notwith standing, &c. And that process was fued against him on the said bond out of the court of Exch. Upon which Act of Parliam, and the matter aforefaid (being as he supposed good, perfect, and suffic. cause and matter in reason, and good conscience within the said Act to discharge him of the said bond) the said Sir T. by his said bill prayed to be relieved, and thereupon he had a Commif- 4Inft. 118.119. sion to examine witnesses, to prove the matter of his bill to be true, which was returned and published. And upon the hearing of the cause in court in the Exch. Chamber, it appeared by the testimony of divers witnesses, that the Pl. had made direct proof of all the parts of his bill. And now in this Term, 39 & 40El. divers Questions were moved touching this matter. 1. And the principal was, It the branch of the Act extended to any debt mentioned in the faid Act, for which the K.had remedy by the com. law, or in fuch debts and fuch cafes only for which the faid Act gave a remedy to the K. which he had not before. 2. If the Court could make a discharge by decree on this English bill within the intent. of the Act. And as to the 1st, it is to be known, that divers branches of the Act are to be confidered: 1. The Act makes all Obligat. to the K. in nature of a Statute Staple. 2. Another branch gives the Suit to the K. altho' the Obligat. had been made to another to the use of the King. 3. That the King upon Suit upon every Obligat. made or to be made, shall recover damages and costs. 4. The Stat. gives remedy to the K. for recovery of his debt or duty, &c. by Capias, Extend' fac. Subprena, Attachment, and Proclamation of Allegiance (if need be) or otherwise as to any of the Courts it shall by their discretions be thought expedient for the speedy recovery of the K's debts. 5. There is a clause, that the K. shall be preferred in his Execution before common Persons. 6. The Heir who claims by the Gift of his Ancestor shall be bound to pay the King's Debt (due before or after the Gift)

Sir Thomas Cecil's Cafe. PART VII.

by Judgment, Recognizance, Obligation, or other Specialty. and shall bind the Land also to the K's Debt against the Isfue in Tail; then comes the faid proviso whereof the Question doth arise. Provided always, and be it enacted, That if any Person, &c. ut supra. And it was objected, That it should extend only in such cases in which remedy is given to the K. by this Stat. as where a Bond was made to the Use of the K. or in charging the Issue in Tail or in charging the Heir who claims by Gift before the Debt accrued. &c. there because the Stat. gives the King a Remedy which he had not before, this branch gives the Party fo charged by this Act, a Plea to discharge him, either by Matter of Bar in Law, or in good Confcience. And that was strongly enforced by the Conclusion of this Proviso; for the Conclufion is, (as it appeareth before) any thing in this Act before mentioned to the contrary notwithstanding; So that the Sense was, that the Party might take any matter in Law or good Conscience, notwithstanding any Thing contained in this Act; which is as much as to fay, that this Act shall be no Impediment to it. But in our Case the K. had a remedy by the Com. Law, and therefore this Proviso should not help him. As to that it was answered and resolved by the Court. That the faid Sir T. Cecil on the Matter aforesaid was to be relieved by the Aid of this Proviso. For the said Act of 33 H. 8. hath given a Benefit and Advantage to the King: 1. In making every Bond made to the King in Nature of a Statute Staple. 2. In giving Remedy to the King himself for Obligations made to others to his Use. 3. To recover Costs and Damages. 4. In fuing of Execution for all his Debts. 5. In charging the Issue in Tail, and the Heir who hath the Land of the Gift of his Ancestor; and therefore it was the Intent of the Act to gratify the Subject, that where a new Provision was made for the levying of the K's Debt in a more speedy and beneficial Manner than the K. had before, the Subject also should have some new Benefit which he had not before, and that was to discharge himself by Matter alledged to be a discharge in good Conscience: Also this Proviso doth not only give Benefit to him who hath Matter in good Conscience, but also to him who hath good, perfect, and sufficient Cause and Matter in Law. Reason, (and then comes) good Conscience: And without Question the first Words, (Cause and matter in Law) shall extend to all the King's Debts and Process thereupon, as well at the Com. Law as upon this Act, And the Conclusion of the faid branch makes not against it, for the sense of it was, that he might plead matter in law or good conscience, and that nothing contained in the said Act should be an Impedim. to it. And fo was it held in the like case on the like words, in the 2d saying of 27 H. 8, cap. 10. of Uses in Cheinie's Case, where

3 Co. 12. b. 8 Co. 171.a.

Postea 21. b.

Hard. 301.

where the proviso saves the Right, &c. of, &c. As if the Act had not been made; and there the case was, that the Lessor did enfeoff the Lessee to the use of others, in which case, if the Stat. had never been made, the Term had been merged at the Com Law. But Trin. 27 El. it was refolved. that the Term was faved, and the same exposition made of the Words as before. Also these notable Precedents were cited, which were refolved in the Exchequer by the Barons of the Exch. upon conference had with the two Ch. Justices. one in the case of Sir Will. Herbert in Trin. 37 El, who was relieved on the faid Branch of the faid Act for matter in E- 3 Co. 15.b. quity. because in a Scire facias against him as Heir to Matthew Herbert his Father on a Recognizance acknowledged to King E. 6. by the faid Matt. the Sheriff returned Scire feci. and upon his default Judgment was given, as you may fee in Matt. Herbert's Case, in the 3d Part of my Reports. And because in Truth he was never summoned, and had good matter, if he had notice thereof, to plead in discharge of the faid Recognizance, because he had no Land by Descent from his Father, nor any Land from him after the Recognizance acknowledged, all which he shewed in certain in an English bill in the Exch. Chamber; upon which, upon conference had by Sir Rog. Manwood and the other Barons 3 Co. 15. b. with the 2 Ch. Just. by decree he was discharged of the said Recognizance, &c. Another case cited was, Tho. D. of Norf. was attainted by Parliam. Anno 38 H. S. And King E. 6. fold to Sir Edw. Rous divers Timber-trees growing upon the Poffessions of the said Duke in Suff. and Sir Edw. was bound in an Obligation to King Ed. 6. for Payment of certain Money. at a certain day for the faid trees and before the day of payment, and before the faid Sir Edw. cut down any of the faid trees, Edw. VI. died. And at a Parliament held anno r Reg. Mar. it was declared by Parliam, that the faid attainder of the faid Duke was void; for which cause the said Sir Edw. could never enjoy the faid trees according to his bargain: And in a Scire facias in the Exchequer on the faid Obligation against the Heir and Tertenant of Sir Ed. anno 28 El. they appeared, and pleaded all the faid matter in Equity in Bar and Discharge of the said Obligation in a Latin Plea in the Exch. And upon good Confideration of the Stat. of 33 H. 8. by the Barons and of the said Plea, at last (after it had depended long) it was resolved by the Barons, that the Def. were to be relieved within the faid Act; and that the Def. might well plead it in Bar. And thereupon Poph. the Attorney General feeing the Opin, of the Court, ulterius profequi non vult. Both which precedents I shewed to the Just. and accordingly it was resolved by all the Just of Engl. (who met together to give their Opinions in the faid Case) That Sir Thomas Cecil was to be relieved upon the faid Matter in Equity

Sir Thomas Cecil's Cafe. Part VII.

Equity within the Purview of the faid Branch of 33 H.S. And adly, That the Court of Exchequer-Chamber might well upon the faid English Bill (altho) the Suit was by Process at the Common Law in the Court of Exchequer before the Barons) make a Decree in the Case; for to this Purpose they are but one Court. Then it was moved, if the next Proviso next following after the Branch concerning the equal charging of Land liable to the King's Debt in the Hands of every Owner and Possessor, that some of them should not be charged only, but all entirely, if that extends to all the K's Debts; and to all Executions for the levying of them as well at the Common Law, as on the faid Act. And it was resolved by them, that the said Branch did extend to all Executions for the King's Debts, as well at the Common Law as on the faid Act; and that all should be equally extended by Force of that Branch according to the Purview of that Act. It was also resolved, That altho' the Obligation was made for Performance of Covenants, yet after that it was broken, (as it was in the Case at Bar at the Time of Sealing and Delivery thereof) That it was a Debt to the King by Obligation within the Act.

Hard.368,442.

Trin.

Trin. 41 Eliz.

In the Exchequer.

The Lord Anderson's Cafe.

Etween the Lord Anderson Chief Justice of the Court of Lane 51. Common Pleas, and Sibthorpe of the Middle Temple, a Question was moved upon the Branch of the said Act of 33 H. 8. cap. 39. That is to fay, That all Manors, Lands, &c. which now be, or that hereafter shall come, or be in, or to the P. Session or Seisin of any Person to whom the same Manors, Lands, &c. have heretofore or hereafter shall descend, &c. in Fee-simple, or Fee-tail, &c. by, or after the decease of any of his or their Ancestor or Ancestors as Heir, or by gift of his Ancestor, whose Heir he is, which said Ancestor or Ancestors, was, is, or shall be indebted to the King, or to any other Person to his Use, by Judgment, Recignizance, Obligation, or other Specialty; That then, in every fuch Case, the same Manors, Lands, &c. shall be and stand by Authority of this AEt from henceforth charged and chargeable, to and for the Payment of the same Debt. If Tcnant in Tail of the Manor of D. be bound in a Recognizance to J. S. which Recognizance afterwards comes to the King, by the Attainder of J.S. of High Treason, and afterwards Tenant in Tail dies, and the Issue in Tail Aliens the Land bona fide, If the Queen may extend the Manor of D. in the Hands of the Alience. And in this Case four Points were refolved by the Barons on Conference had with Popham Chief Justice, and divers other Justices. 1. It was refolved, that before the faid Statute of 33 H. S. If Tenant in Tail of Land became endebted to the King by Judgment, Recognizance, Obligation, or otherwise, and died, the King (a) F. N. B. Thould not (a) extend the Land in the Scifin of the Islue in Tail; (b): Contains. For the King is (b) bound by the Statute de Donis Conditio- 48. a. nalibus, as it is adjudged in Plowden's Commentaries in the 5 Co. 14. b. Lord Berkley's Case 22. in the principal Case; and there-Postea 32. a. with agree, as to the Point in Question, the Resolution of Plowd. 423. b. the Court in the Exchequer, and of the Court of Sur- 244 a. b reyors in the Case of Brown, Father of Justice Brown, as 248. 0.2511.b. H

it i Rol. R. 153.

it is reported in Plow. Com. 249. b. So one Point well resolved, in which there was variety of opinions in our Books. Vid. 39 Ass. p. 18. 47 E.3. 8. Regist. 143, 144. F. N. B. 217. a. & b. 2. It was resolv'd, that if Ten't in tail becomes indebted to the K. by the receipt of the K's Money, or otherwise, unless it be by Judgm. Recogniz. Obligat. or other Specialty, and dies, the landin the feifin of the iffue in tail by force of the faid Act of 33 H. 8. shall not be extended for such Debt of the K's, for the Stat. of 33 H. 8. extends only to the faid 4 Cases; and all other debts remain at Com. Law. 3. It was resolv'd, That if Ten't in tail becomes indebted to the K. by one of the four ways mention'd in the faid Act, and dies, and before any process or extent the issue in tail bona fide aliens the land in Tail. that now this land shall not be extended by force of the faid Act of 33 H. 8. for as it appears by the Words of the faid branch, it makes the land in the possession or seisin of the heir in tail only liable against the iffue in tail, and not the Alienee. For the effect of the Purview as to that purpose is, That all lands which shall be in the possess or seisin of any person to whom the same shall descend in fee-tail as heir, whose ancestor was indebted to the King, &c. that then, in every such case, the same land shall be charged with the K's debt: So that by the express purview of the Act, the Land shall be only extended so long as it is in the possession or seisin of the heir in tail; for the A Et faith, That in every fuch case the land shall be charged, and for as much as the land against the iffue in tail was not extendable before the faid A&, theK. hath the benefit to extend it in the possession of the heir intail, which he could not before, but the K. cannot extend in the hands of the alience, for the Stat. doth not extend to it: and the makers of the Act had reason to favour the Purchaser, Farmer, &c. of the heir in tail, more than the heir himself, for they are strangers to the debts of the Ten't in tail, and they come to the land bona fide, and on good confideration. There is likewise another clause next following the faid branch, the effect of which is, And that our Soveraign Lord, his heirs or successors, shall not be barred, delayed. &c. to demand and receive their just, &c. debts against any of his subjects, as heir or heirs, &c. if any such person or perfons shall say or alledge, that they have no lands, &c. but only intailed or given to'em by any of their ancestors to whom they be beirs: So that by this clause also the intent of the makers of the Act appears, that the heir in tail shall be only charg'd with the King's debt. But lands in Fee-simple were extendable at the Common Law in whose Hands soever they came, Plowd. 440. 12 and therefore as to them the Statute was but declarativum antiqui juris; but as to Estates in Tail, it was introductivum novi juris against the Issue in Tail, and

that in the case at Bar makes the difference of the said Cases

3 Co. 14. b.

O. Bendl. 66.

Devant. 19 b.

3 (O. 12. D.

Hard.z.

altho' both be joyned together in one and the same Sentence. And Popham Ch. Just. said, That so it hath been resolved in the Excheq. before that time, in the case of Serj. Nicholls, Father of the Seri, that now is, that the Lands in the hands of the Purchaser of the issue in tail should not be extended by the faid Act of 33 H. 8. for the debt that the Father of the iffue in tail owed the K. (by one of the 4 ways mention'd in the Act) but was discharged by the opinion of the Court of Excheq. 4. It was refolv'd, that for as much as the faid debt in the cafe at Bar was originally due to a subject, that such debt is not within the faid Act of 33 H. 8. to charge the land in the Possession or Seifin of the heir in tail: For the faid A&, as to charge lands intailed against the issue, extends only to debts originally and immediately due to the K. by Judgm. Regcogniz. Obligat. or other specialty, for the words are, (indebted to the + 1 And. 129. K. † or any other to his Use by Judgm. &c.) which is in- 130. tended to be an *immediate debt; and not to debts which were 88. due to subjects, and did belong or accrue to the K. by reason of attainder, utlawry, forfeiture, gift of the party, or by any other collateral way or means, for which the Stat. of 33 H. 8. hath a clause a little before the said branch, for the short and general manner, and form of pleading in fuch cases (for therecovery of 'em in the Courts mention'd in the said A&) on the K's part, scil. That the Party such a year and day did give the same to the K. or was attainted, outlawed or other Offence, for feiture, deed, all or thing committed or done, by reafon whereof the faid debts did accrue and ought to remain, come, and be to the King. So that the several manners of penning of these 2 Branches manifest the Intention of the makers of the Act, to prefer immediate debts due to the K. by Judgm. &c. before debts of the subjects, which accrued to the King by Affignm. Attainder, Utlawry, &c. and the reason was, because debts due immediately to the K. by Judgm. Recogniz. Obligat. or other Specialty, are in their nature higher, and may be better known, and found upon fearch, than debts due to subjects. Also when J.N. is indebt. to J.S. by Judgm. Recogniz. Obligat. or other Special. and afterwards J.S. is outlawed, &c. by which the debt comes to the K. by the Outlawry, &c. in that Cafe it cannot be properly faid, that J. N. is indebted to the King by Judgm. Recogniz. Obligat., or other Specialty; for by them he was indebted to J. S. and J. S. by his Outlawry (which is the K's Title) hath forfeited them to the King. So that by force of the Judgm. &c. and Outlaw. the debt doth belong to the K. And the words of the Act are (indebted to the King, or any other to his use by Judgm. Sc., so that the debt either ought to be immediately to the K. himself; or if it be to any other than the K. it ought to be originally to the use of the K.

The Lord ANDERSON'S Case. PART VII. and that it is not when the Debt is originally due to a Subject to his own Use, and afterwards forseited to the King by a subsequent Act. And so it was resolved, That for such Debt the Queen should not extend either against the Alience of the Heir in Tail, or against the Heir in Tail himself; for such Debts are not within the said Act of 33 H. 8. as to charge the Heir in Tail; and so remain at the Common Law as Debts immediately due to the King, which are not due by Judgment, Recognizance, Obligation, or other Specialty, as hath been said before.

Trin.

Trin. 42 Eliz.

In the Common Pleas.

Butt's Cafe.

IN a Replevin between Fish and Butt, the Case in Effect was, one seised of Black Acre in Fee, and also possessed of White Acre for Years, by his Deed granted a Rent our of both to A. to have and perceive to him for the Term of his Life, with Clause of distress in both: And for Rent behind A. doth differin and avow in White Acre, and if the Diffress was well taken or not, was the Question. And it was agreed by the whole Court, That the Diffress was well taken. And in this Case these Points were resolved: 1. That if Lessee for Years of a Carve of Land, grants to C1, El. 183. another a Rent out of the faid Carve for the Life of the Grantee, that it is a good Charge during the Term, if the Grantee so long live; for the Grant shall be taken more frong against the Grantor, and shall not be void, when by any Construction it may be made good (Vide Plow. Com- V. Posteazs.1. ment. in Welchden's Case) and in such Case the Grantee hath but a Chattel. So if the Lessee for Years Grants the Carve of Land to another, for the Term of his Life, he hath the whole Term if he live so long, as well as in the Case of a Devise. 2. It was resolved, That when a Rent is Co. Lit. 147.6. granted out of Land in Fee, and out of a Term for Years, Cr. Jac. 390. to have and perceive to the Grantee for the Term of his 1Rol.Rep.330. Life, that that, as an Estate of Freehold, according to the Cr.El 607,622. Purport of the Deed, cannot Issue out of the Term for Years, but out of the Land which the Grantor hath in Feefimple only, because the Freehold of the Rent can Isfue out of that, and not out of the Chattel. And one entire Rent cannot be a Freehold out of Black Acre, and a Chattel out of White Acre. And to make two Rents when one only is granted by one to another, would be in this Case injurious, and the Bargain and mutual Agree-H 3

(a) Co. Li. 47.a. 5 Co. 3. a. 4.a. Palm. 105. Co. Lit. 44. b. 142. a. 2 Rol. 446. Cr. Jac. 111, 112. Moor 163,168, 25 and 303.304. Raymond 194. Cr. Fl. 690. Br. Rents 11. Br. Tenure 26. Br. Affife 309.

ment of the Parties cannot charge fuch thing with Rent, which is not (a) chargeable by the Law, as out of an Hundred, or Advowson 30 lie. Assisarum pl. 5. out of a Fair, 14 É. 3. Sci' fac' 122 the E. of Kent's Case; neither can a Rent be granted or reserved of any Estate of Freehold out of any other Hereditament which is not manurable, either in Posfession, Reversion, or by Possibility, but is bæreditamentum incorporeum; for Pacta privata non derogant jure communi: And in an Affise they cannot be put in view, nor can any distress be taken in them. But in the Case at Bar, White Acre is bæreditamentum corporeum, and manurable; but for the Smallness and Incapacity of the Interest that the Grantor hath in it, this Rent of Freehold cannot issue thereout, but shall issue only out of the Land in Fee-simple. And in the Case at Bar, in an Affise brought of this Rent, the Land in Fee shall only be put in view, and if the Grantee accept a Lease, or Grant of White Acre, it will not suspend his Rent, 3. It was resolved, that White Acre should during the Term be subject to the Distress of the Grantee for the Rent during the Years, altho' the Rent doth not issue thereout, as in 41 Ed. 3. 14. when Land is charged with a Rent in Fee, Goods (b) and Chattels may be bound to the Distress. And it was said, for a smuch as White Acre is only charged with distress, if the Grantee takes a Lease of any Part thereof, it is no Suspension of the Distress, but that he may distrain in the Residue; for it is not issuing out of the Land, but to be taken on the Land: as if I have a Warren in another's Land, and take a Lease of Parcel of the Land, altho' the Land be charged with Warren, yet forasmuch as it doth iffue out of the Land it is not any Suspension. Vide (c) 35 H. 6. 56. a. 14 H. 4. 6, &c. for a Man may have a Warren in his own Lands: So he may in many Cases distrain in his own Possession, as in 31 E. 1. Distress 64. 87 H. 6. 3. fer Curiam, one Tenant in common may diffrein the Cattle of the other in the Land which they have in common: and 26 H. 8. 5. he may prescribe to distrein in his own Land, but not to have a Rent out of his own Land. (d) If a Man by Deed grants a Rent of 40 s. to another out of his Manor of D. to have and perceive to him and his Heirs; and Grants further by the same Deed, that if the Rent be behind, the Grantee shall distrein in the Manor of S. (be the Manor of S. in the fame County or in another, and be it granted by one or divers Deeds) the Rent is only issuing out of the Manor of D. and it is but a (e) Penalty that he may diffrein in the Manor of S. but both the Manors are charged, one with the Rent,

the other with the Distress for the Rent, one issuing

our of the Land, the other to be taken on the Land.

(b) Co. Lit. 147- a.

(c)11Co.13.b. Dy.30.pl.209. Dav. 5. b. Poph. 169.

(d) Co. Lit. 147 2. 2 Rol. 426.

(e) Co.Lit. 147. a. 2 AULUS 425.

And if I grant unto you, that you and your Heirs shall (a) distrain for a Rent of forty Shillings within my Manor (a) Co. Lir. of S. this by Construction in Law shall amount to a Grant Rol. 424. of a Rent out of my Manor of S. for if it should not amount 9 H. 6. 9. a. to a Grant of a Rent, the Grantee should be of little Dy. 22 pl. 141. force or Effect, if the Grantee should have only a bare Moor 592. Distress, and no Rent in him; for then he should never Plow. 139. a. have an Affise thereof, &c. and that is the Reason, That it is often ruled and refolved, that it shall amount to a (b) Grant of (b) Lit. sed .221. a rent by construction of law, ut res magis valeat, 5 Ed. 3.12. 3 Ass. p. 7. 14. Ass. p. 14. 16 E. 3. tit. Grants 64. 18 Ed. 3. 32. 26 Aff. pl. 38. 30 Aff. pl. 12. 46 Ed. 3. 18, 32. 8 H. 4: 19. 9 H. 6. 9. a. 22. H. 6. 11. Littl. 48. b. And in such Case the Grantee should not have a Writ of Annuity. But when one grants a Rent out of the Manor of D. and further grants, that if the Rent be behind, the Grantee shall distrain for the same Rent in the Manor of S. it is but a Penalty (c) in the Manor of S. for three Causes: 1. The Law (c) 2 Rol. 425. (d) need not make Construction that it shall amount to a Co. Lit. 147.20 Grant of a Rent; for here a Rent is expresly granted to be 147.4. issuing out of the Manor of D. and the Parties have expresly limited out of what Land the Rent shall issue, and in what Land the Diffress shall be taken, and the Law will not make an Exposition against the express Words and Intent of the Parties, when it may fland with the Rule of (e) Wing, Max. Law, (e) Quoties in verbis nulla est ambigutias, ibi nulla 24 expositio contra verba expressa fionda est. 2. (f) If in that Co. Lit. 147.a. Case it should amount to a Grant a Rent out of the Manor (f) Co. Lit. of S. then the Grantor would be twice charged; for if the 147. Grantee brings a Writ of Annuity, that will extend only to the Manor of D. for on the Grant of a Distress in the Manor of S. no Writ of Annuity lies, because the Manor of S. is only charged, and not the Person of the Grantor, as to that; and therefore the bringing of the Writ of Annuity cannot discharge the Manor of S. of any Rent; and so the Law, by Construction against the Words and Intention of the Parties, would do an Injury to the Grantor to charge him twice. 3. (g) If in such Case the Manor of S. in which (g) Co. Lit. the Distress is only appointed, should be in another County, then it hath been often adjudged, that the Rent should not issue out of it, but the Distress should be as a Means and Remedy to compel the Tenant of the Land to pay the Rent. And it was faid, That there was no difference in reason, that the Law in Construction should make the Rent to be issuing out of it, when it lies in the same County, and not when it lies in feveral Counties, for the Words in both Cases are all one, and it is not any reason to say, that he shall fail of

Butt's Cafe. PART VII.

Recovery by Affife. Vide supra in Bulwer's Case, and the Antea 7. a. b. Books in I Aff. pl. 10. 1 Ed. 3. 21. and other Books do not fav that the Rent Issues in such Case out of both, but that the Land in which the Diffress shall be taken is charged; and that is true; for it is charged with diffress: and for asmuch as it was charged with diffress, their Opinion was, That the Co. Lit. 147. a. Ten'ts of both should be named in the Affise. See the Books in 9 Ed. 3. 13. 31 Aff. fl. 27. 17 Ed. 4. 6. 10 Aff. 4. 10 Ed 3. 18. 2 Ed. 2. Ass. 360. 1 Ass. 10. 3 Ass. 7. 32 H. 6. 27. 22 Ass. 66. 31 Ass. 27. 29 Ed. 3. Ass. 366. And the Opinion of (a) (a) Co. Lit. 147. a. Finchden in 41 Ed. 2. 15. was affirmed for good Law, that if the Manor of D. out of which the Rent is granted, be recovered by eigne Title, that all the Rent is extinct | Fut if the Manor of S. in which the Diffress is limited, be evicted, (b) Co. Lit. yet the whole Rent remains. So if the Grantee (b) purcha-117. a. fes Parcel of the Manor of S. the Rent is not extinct, because the Rent Issues only out of the Manor of D. Vide 17 Fd. 4. 6. (c) Co. Lita 147. b. the like Case. And it was said, That if a Man (c) grants a Rent out of 3 Acres, and further grants, that if the Rent be behind, that he shall distrain for the Rent in one of the Acres, the Rent is entire, and cannot be a * Rent-feck out *Kelw. 104. 2. of two Acres, and a Rent-charge out of the 3d Acre, and Co. Lit 153. a. Perk fect 323. therefore it is a Rent-seck for the whole; and yet he shall distrain for it in the 3d Acre. So if a (d) Rent be granted to (d) Co. Lit. 147.b. two and their Heirs out of one Acre of Land, and that it shall be lawful for one of em and his Heirs to distrain in the same Acre for it, it is a Rent seck, for in Regard they stand jointly seised of one entire Rent, it cannot be as to one (e) Co. Lit. a Rent-feck, and as to the other a Rent-charge, and this di-147. b. stress is as appurtenant to the Rent; and therefore if he who hath the Rent dies, the Survivor shall distrain; and if both Grant over the Rent to one, he shall distrain for it. (f) (f) Co. Lit. 1+7. b. But if a Man grants a Rent out of Bl. Acre to one and his Heirs, and grantsto him that he may distrain for it in the same Acre for the Term of his Life, it is a Rent-charge for his Life, and a Rent-feck afterwards diversis temporibus. therwise (g) is it if the Distress be limited for Years in the fame Land, there it wholly remains a Rent-feck, because the Fee and Freehold are feck in fuch Cafe. But it was adjudged in the Case at Bar, that the Avowry was insufficient for divers Causes: 1. Because in the Avowry he did not (g) Co. Lit. make mention of any Land but of the Land in which he had 147.b. Bridg. 109. but a Lease for Years, sc. quod concessit extra terr m illam inter alia quendam reddit', &c. Whereas in his Avowry he ought to have derived the Rent out of the Land in Fee-simple only, for out of that in Judgment of Law, the Rent for Life was iffuirg: And although the Plaintiff in Bar to the Avowry hath disclosed the whole Truth of the Matter in special, which in Judgment of the

Law makes for the Avowant, and hath made his Case

bet-

better for him than the Avowant hath made it for himself, yet that doth not make the Avowry, which wants Substance, good; for the Avowry which is in the Nature of a Count, ought to contain sufficient Matter upon which he may have Judgment to have a Return. But if the Avowry, or any Count or Replication, &c. wants Form or omits Circumstance of Time, Place, &c. there the Plea of the other Party may mend such Imperfections (a) but cannot (a) 18E.4.16.b. supply the Desect of Matter of Substance. Vide 6 Ed.4.2.b. Co Lit.303.b. 6 H. 7. 10. 18 Ed. 4. 16. b, 18 Ed. 3. 34. Plow. Com. Barkley's Case 230. 38 H. 6. 17, 18, & 19. 22 E. 4. 2. 5 H. 7. 13. b. 7 H. 7. 6. b. &c. 2. The Avowant pleaded the Grant out of the (b Term for Years only, and concluded, virtute cujus he was seised in Dominico su at de libero tenemen-(b) Doet pl.326. to pro termino vitæ suæ, which is repugnant to have a Freehold out of a Term for Years. And so Judgment was given against the Avowant for insufficient Pleading.

Cafes

Cases of Quare Impedit. Pasch. 31 Eliz.

2 Leon. 58. Sav. 107, 108.

MOHN Hall brought a Quare Impedit against the Bishop of Bath and Wells, and Thomas Maunton Clerk, Defendants, for disturbing him to present to the Vicarage of Wollavington appendant to the Manor of Wollavington, whereof the Dean of Windsor was seised in his Demesne as of Fee in Right of his Deanery, and presented Robert Pitman his Clerk, who was instituted and inducted, and conveyed that Manor to the Earl of Leicester by Lease for Years, who affigned all his interest to George Sidenham Kt. who granted it to Christopher Roll; and during his Possesfion the Vicarage became void by the Death of Robert Pitman; and the faid Christopher Roll presented one John Davis his Clerk to the said Vicarage, who was admitted, &c. And afterwards the faid Christopher Roll did grant his Interest in the said Lease to the Plaintiff. And afterwards the Vicarage became void by the Deprivation of the faid John Davis, whereby it did belong to the Plaintiff to present, and the Defendants did disturb him to his Damages, &c. To which the Bishop pleaded, that he claimed nothing but as Ordinary, and demanded Judgment, if without special Disturbance. And Thomas Maunton said. That he claimed nothing in the Advowson of the Vicarage, but that he is Vicar of the faid Church of the Presentation of the faid George Sidenham, who is yet alive, not named in the Writ, and demanded Judgment of the Writ. The Plaintiff to the Bishop's Plea prayed Judgment, forasmuch as he claimed nothing in the Vicarage, and it was granted, but cesset executio until, &c. And as to the Plea of Tho. Maunton he did demur in Law. And the fole Question of the Case is, If the Quare Impedit lies against the Bishop and the Incumbent without naming the Patron. And it was refolved, That the Writ (a) should abate, and that the Patron ought to be named in the Writ, and that for 2 Reasons. 1. Because the Patronage would in this Case be recovered against him

(h) Ca Jac. 651. Fitz. brief 582. Br. Qaare Imredit 24.

him who hath nothing in the Patronage. And it is not reasonable that he who is Patron should be dispossessed and oustedof his Patronage, when he is a Stranger, and not Party to the Writ, and especially in this Case, when he may be made Party to the Writ. 2. At the Common (a) Law the Incumbent could not (a) Hob. 162. plead any Plea which concern'd the Right of Patronage, and 1Anders.238. therefore it would be against Reason that he should be only na- 46 E. 3. 14. a. med in the Writ, who at the Common Law cannot defend the Doct. pl. 230. Patronage, and he who hath the Patronage, and can plead to the Right of it, omitted in the Writ: For at the Com. Law every one shall plead a Plea which is fit for him, and pertinent to his Case; as in Assise against Disseisor (b) and Tenant, (b) 13H.8.14.b. &c. the Tenant shall plead a Plea which concerns the Tenan- Co.Lit.285.b. cv, and not the Diffeisin. And the Incumbent at the Common 303.b. 2 lnit. Law shall not plead to the Right of Patronage in which he 414. hath nothing, but the Patron shall plead to that. And the Mischief was, that (c) by the faint Pleading or Confession of (c)47E.3.11.a.b the Patron in a Quare Impedit the Incumbent was without Remedy, as the Book is adjudged in 18 Ed. 3. 23. b. which was before the Statute of 25 Ed. 3. Vide 22 Hen. 6. 28. a. 9 Hen. 6. 30. a. b. 31. a. &c. But the Stat. of 25 Ed. 3. cap. 7. enables the (d) Possessor, &c. (which is as much as to say, the Incumbent, (d) Hob. 319. after Induction, as it is held in 4 Hen. 8. Dyer 1.) to counter- Doct pl.230, plead the Title taken for the King, and to have his Answer, 231. Hob. 161. plead the Title taken for the King, and to have his Aniwer, and to shew and defend his Right upon the Matter, altho' he lead to shew and defend his Right upon the Matter, altho' he Dyer i. pl. 8. claim nothing in the Patronage, and by Equity he shall plead i Jones 161. against all common Persons, as the Books are in 9 Hen. 6. 30. a. b. 31. a. &c. 22 Hen. 6. 28. a. 13 Hen. 8. 13. 14 Hen. 8. 29. Vide 39 Ed. 3. 30. 27 Ed. 3. 81. 46 Ed. 3. 13. 19. 47 Ed. 3. 11. a.b. 2 Rich. 2. Incumbent 4. 8 Hen. 5. 9. 7 Hen. 4. 34. 13 Hen. 4. 7. 22 Hen. 6. 26. 16 Ed. 4. 11. 2 Hen. 7. 14. 10 Hen. 4. Statham. And it is to be observed, that always when the Incumbent pleads in Bar; he first saith, that he is, persona (e) impersonata (e) Doct.pl.231; Ecclesia prad, &c. by which it is implied, that he is admitted, 232. instituted, and able to plead in Bar, soil. against the King, that he is admitted, instituted, and inducted; and against a complete that he is admitted and instituted. For then Collins he mon (f) Person, that he is admitted and instituted, for then Co.Lit.133.b. est Ecclesia plena & consulta, against a common Person, as it is 344. a. b. held in 9 H. 6. 31. 22 H. 6. 27. 21 E. 4. 34. b. 24 E. 3. 30. Cr. Jac. 463. 25 E. 3. 47. 38 E. 3. 9. a. 44 E. 3. 3. &c. But this Difference (f) 6 Co.49. a. in the Case at Bar was taken and agreed; That when by the 2 lnst. 356. Judgment in the Quare Impedit, the Inheritance Estate on In 2 Rol. 349. Judgment in the Quare Impedit, the Inheritance, Estate, or In- Co.Lir. 119.b. terest of the Patron in the Patronage is to be devested by the 344. a. Judgment in the Quare Impedit brought by F. S. there F. N. Cr. Jac. 463.

(g) who presented, (and his Clerk received) ought to be na. (g) Noy 151 med in the Writ; but when the Inheritance, Estate or Interest 2 Rol. Rep. 239.

2 Show. 167. of the Patron shall not be devested by the Judgment, then, if 3 Lev. 26, 206. another Disturber be named in the Writ, it is not needful to name the rightful Patron in the Writ, and with this Differ. agree our Books. For in (b) 42E.3.7.a. One brought a Quare Imped. a- (b) Car Jac, 651 gainst another; the Def. said, that he claimed nothing in the Fitz brief 552. Patronage, but said, that the Bishop did present him by Lapse, Br. Quare Im-Judgment if, &c. and there Belknap prayed a Writ to the Bi- pedit 24. shop, because he had disclaimed in the Patronage; and the Court would not grant it, because inasmuch as neither the Patron, nor the Bishop (who in the same Case was

in the place of the patron) were not named in the writ, it was adjudged that the writ should abate; and if such writ should be

maintainable, every patron by covin between a stranger and the Incumb. might be ousted of his Advows. and therewith agree 9H.6.30.a.b.31.a.&c. 3H.4.2.b.& 3.a. 13H.8.13. Butin 7H.4. 25,37. there in a Quare Imped. because the Presentat. was only recovered, and not the Advows. nor the patron put out of Pos-Leff. the writ was adjudged good without naming of the patron. If a Quare Imp. be brought against the patron and the Incumb. and the Patron dies pending the writ, the death of (a) 2 Leon. 58. the patron shall not abate (a) the writ, as it is adjudged in 9 H. 6.31. For there are 2 mischiefs, one if the writ should abate the disturbance would be unpunished; and altho'the writ was well brought, yet the Pl. would be without remedy, because there wants a disturber: And of the oth. part the other mischief is. that if the writ should not abate, but the Pl. proceed to Judgm. and Execution, the very Patron would be out of Possess. And for a fmuch as in the one case, if the rightful patron should be out of Possess. he hath remedy by a writ of right to recontinue the Advows. and in the oth. case if the writ should abate. the Pl. would be without remedy, which would be the greater mischief, and for this cause the writ shall stand, and shall not abate; and therewith agree 7H.4. 26.b. 13H.8. 13. 9 H.6. 57. And a Quare Imped. well brought by divers, as Coparceners, (b) Cr. Jac. 19. Joint-tenants &c. shall not abate by the (b) death of one of

Dyer 279. pl.8 Dall. 7. F.N.B. 35. l. Cr. Ca., 529. 10 Co. 134. b. Meor 9 Cr. El. 324. Co.Lit. 198.a.

Sav. 108 109

Cr. El. 324.

Cr. Car. 592. 2 Siderf. 94.

Goldsb. 46.

(c) Sav. 109. 47E.3.10.b. 11. a.b. Firz. Qu. Imped. 141.

them; Nor a Quare Impedit brought by the Husband and Wife shall not abate by the Death of the Wife, because otherwise the Pl. (if the fix Months be past) would be without remedy, as the books are in F.N.B. 35. b. 38 E. 2. 43. 37 H.6.11. 7 H. 4. 19. 14 H.4.12. 9 H.6.30. 57. 1 H. 5. 13. 17 E.5.11. 17 E.3.304. But if the K. presents, and his Clerk is admitted and instituted, &c. there the Quare Imped. may be brought for Necessity against the Bishop or Incumbent, for it doth not lie against the King: So of the Pope, if he had usurp'd, 12 H.8.12. 14 H.7.15, &c. Vide 47 E.3. (c) 11. a.b. The Br. Qu. Imp. 40. King brought a Quare Imped. against W. Dawtree of the Br. Mortmain 6. Church of Retfield, and made his Title, forafmuch as Hamond Bp of Rochest. had presented to the said Church by U. furpat. being void (the Advows. of which of right did appertain to 2 Daughters and Heirs) his Clerk, who was admitted, instituted and inducted, and afterwards the Incumb. refign'd, and the Successor presented another, who was admitted, instituted, and inducted, and he died, by which it belonged to the K. to present, forasmuch as the Bp. had gained that Advowf. to him and his Successors without Licence, by which the Advows. was become in Mortmain. The Def. pleaded, that he is parson of the Presentm. of W. Wyclesey, Predecessor of the By. that now is, Tho. by Name, fo that the patronage is in Thomas; who we conceive ought to be named in the writ, by which we conceive that our Lord the King to this writ, in which the patron is not named, ought not to be answered, for

PART VII.

we conceive, That a Quare Impedit doth not lie against the Incumbent alone, without naming the Patron, where it is expressly shewed that there is a Patron, who may plead in Bar of the Plaintiff or other Thing to oust the King of the Action, which lieth not in the Knowledge of the Incumbent to plead. And it was adjudged, That the King's Writ was good against the Incumbent alone, because he might give by the Statute what answer he would alone in Maintenance of his Possession of his Church; and the now Bishop who is Successor, is no Disturber, for the Defendant came in by the Predecessor, and therefore shall not be charged with Damages or Costs. And afterwards the Plaintiff John Hall perceiving, in the principal Case, the Resolution of the Court, discontinued his Suit, and lost his Presentation, illa vice.

Pasch. 40 Eliz.

Sir Hugh Portman's Cafe.

(a) Co. Ent. 481. pl. 4.

(b) Br. Quare Imped. 136. Br. perempr. 74. Br. brief al Evelque 21. Br. Nonfuit 62. 2 Rol. 388. Hob. 138. Co.Lit. 139.a. Dall. 81, 82.

(c) Hob. 138. Br. brief al Evefque 26.

(d) 2Rol 387, 383.

(f) 1E. 6.c.7. Seile 187.

IN a (a) Quare Impedit by Sir Hugh Portman against the Bishop of Canterbury, and Mountgomery Clerk, ad Eclesiam de Chelsey in the County of Somerset, and made his Title by Reason of a Grant of the next Avoidance unica vice, divers Points were resolved: 1. If the Pl. in a Quare Impedit be Nonsuit (b) after Appearance, it is peremptory and a good Bar in another Quare Impedit, although it be brought within the fix Months; and the Reason thereof is, because the Defendant upon Title made (by which he is become Actor) shall have a Writ to the Bishop to admit, &c. his Clerk into the fame Church, &c. which is a good Bar in another Quare Impedit, and therewith agree 19 E. 4. 9. a. 22 H. 6. 44. b. 45. a. 33 H. 6. 1. 55. 20 E. 4. 14. 21 E. 4. 2. b. &c. F.N. B. 38. k. The same Law if the Plaintiff in the Quare Impedit discontinues (c) his Suit, the Defendant upon Title made shall have a Writ to the Bishop, and by Consequence it is peremptory, and therewith agreeth 31 H. 6. 15. a. But if the Writ of Quare Impedit within the fix Months abates for false (d) Latin, or Insufficiency of Form, that is the Fault of the Clerk, and shall not be peremptory to the Plaintiff, nor shall the Defendant thereupon have a Writ to the Bishop, but the Plaintiff may have a new Writ of Quare Impedit; and therewith agree 3 H. 6. 3. a. 31 H. 6. 15. a. F. N. B. 38 H. Vide 34 Aff. (e) F.N.B.38 m Pl. 9. the like. So if the Writ abate for Misnosmer (c) of the Plaintiff or Defendant, if the Plaintiff confesseth it, the Defendant shall not have a Writ to the Bishop, for it may be the Fault of the Clerk in the Writing of it. And therewith agreeth F.N.B. 38. M. Vide 31 H. 6. 15. a. But if the Pl. be made a Knight (f) hanging the Writ, the Writ shall abate, and the Defendant shall have a Writ to the Bishop, and by Consequence that is peremptory; for (as we see by common Experience in these Times) the same is the Pl's Act, and none is forced or compelled to it.

Trin. 27 Eliz. Rot. 320.

(a) BASKERVILE'S Cafe.

IN a Quare Impedit in the Common Pleas, the Case was, (a) Co. Ent. That I Mar. Title to present by Lapse was devolv'd to 489. pl. 7. Cro. El. 356. the Queen to the Church of Cusep in the County of Here- ileon. 280, 281. ford. Sir Nicholas Arnold the Patron presented one Evans, 2 Leon. 50. who was thereto admitted, instituted and inducted, and 357. 1 Rol. died, and if the Queen had lost her Title to present by Rep. 459.

Lapse, or not, was the Question; and it was adjudged, that ²Rol.Rep. 422. the Queen had (b) lost it. For the Queen had but unam & 2 Rol. 368. Noy 25. unicam præsentationem hac vice. which cannot be ex- Winch 96. tended to the second Avoidance; for Negligence to present Hob.152,166. fhall lose the Subject one Presentment only by Lapse, and Croel. 119, 120, not divers; and if the Queen has (c) primam & proximam 44,54,216,691.

presentationem granted to her, she cannot take the second. Cro. Car. 356. And otherwise great Inconvenience would ensue to the Pa- Owen 5, 89,90. Goldsb. 86,78, tron; for the Queen might forbear to present, and suffer 83. pl. 4. divers to present by Usurpation one after the other, and Mo.260,000. take her Turn when she would, and the Patron might be Start of J. Dy. 277. pl.55. Start. Pirer, 33. in a Manner thereby difinherited. And the Stat. of (d) Pre- a.b. Dr. & Stud. rogativa Regis, quod (e) nullum tempus occurrit Regi, is lib. 2. c. 36. to be intended when the King hath an Estate or (f; Interest certain and permanent, and not when his Interest is (4) 1 And. 149. fpecially limited, when and how he shall take it, and not Plowd.243.a. otherwise; for there Time is the Substance of his Title, (2) Stans. Præand in such Case Tempus occurrit Regi. And so was it at (c) 6 Co.49.b. another Time adjudged, Pasch. 28 El. Rot. 412. in the Com-Hob. 347. mon Pleas, between Beverley (3) Plaintiff, and the Arch-Lit.Rep.99,340 bishop of Canterbury and Gabriel Cornwal, Defendants for b. 118.a.294.b. the Church of Somerby in the County of Lincoln.

2 Inft. 273, 360. Godb. 297, 305, 312, 317. Plowd. 243. a 221. a. 1 Rol. Rep. 165. 2Ra. Rep. 122, 1 Anderf. 149. Pal. 354, 357. Cr. El. 44. (f) Godb. 305. (g) Moor 224, 269, 270. C10. El. 44. Cro. Jac. 216. Owen 2. 1 And. 148, 149. Goldsb. 44.

Lit. Rep. 99, Hard .24,25.

Hill. 43 Eliz. Rot. 1108.

In the Common Pleas.

MAUND'S Cafe.

IN a Replevin between Maund and Gregory, the Defendant shewed, That a Rent-charge out of a House and certain Lands was granted by Deed to one and his Affigns. for his Life, pro consilio impendendo, payable yearly at four Feasts, and for Default of Payment, if it be demanded, that it should be lawful for the Grantee and his Affigns to distrain, the Grantee affigned the Rent to the Avowant, the Tenant attorned, the Affignee on the Land demanded the Rent after the Day, and for Default of Payment did distrain and avow, on which the Plaintiff did demur in Law. In this Case it was resolved, 1. That a Rent granted to one and his Affigns, pro confilio impendendo, may (a) be affigned over by the express Words of the Grantor, who granted it to him and his Affigns; for modus (b) & conventio vincunt legem. 2. That in this Cafe the Affignee need not demand the Rent at the (c) Day, as he ought in Case of (d) Re-entry, (for there the whole Interest or Estate shall be defeated) or when any Sum (e) nomine panæ shall be forfeited, in both these Cases 235. 2Rol. 426. the Demand ought to be made precifely at the Day a convenient Time before Sun-set, in the one Case in Respect of the Condition, and in the other in Respect of the Penalty. But in the Case of Distress he who hath the Rent may demand it at what Time he will, for no Lofs or Penalty will thereon ensue, but only a Remedy to come at his Rent, 208 1Rol.459 which is Arrear, and which is due to him; and fo was it adjudged in the Com non Pleas, Mich. 40 & 41 Eliz. between Stanley and Read, where the Case was, That a Rent-charge was granted payable at a certain Day, and if it be behind and (f) demanded, that it should be lawful for the Grantee to distrain. The Avowant shewed, how that he made a Demand at the Day; the Plaintiff traversed that he did not make a Demand at the same Day (intending to make the Day Part of the Issae) upon which the Def. demurr'd in Law, and it was adjudged against the Plaintiff; For if the Demand were at any Time after the Day, before the Distress it is sufficient. 3. It was refolved. That if a Man who hath a (g) Rent-feck, payable yearly

(a) Moor 56. 2.Rol. Rep. 473. Co.Lir. 144.a. Dy. 65. pl. 1. (b) 12 Co. 71. Co.Lit 19 a. 166 a. 18c.a. Godb. 254. 3Rol.Rep.262. 2Rol.Rep.332. 2. Sand. 167. Winch 48, 96. Hub. 40. Lit. Rep 208. Godo, 284. (c) 1 Rol Rep Co. Lit. 144.a. 202 a N y 22 23. Hob. 207. (d Co. Lit. 144 a. (e)Mo 357,358. Hob 82,133, C o.El.383. Goldsb. 129, 130, 185. Kutt 42,114. Brownl. 179. Palm.206,490. Mod.Rep.89. (f) i Said. 253, &c. Cr.El. 548,721. 1 Leon. 190, 191. (g) Hetl. 16. Lit. Rep. 34. Hob. 207-Cro Car.508. 2 Rol. 427.

at the Feast of Easter, and hath once Seisin of the Rent, Co.Lit. 153.b. and the Feast of Easter passes, and no Tender or Demand made of the Rent, he may, although it be after the Day of Payment, come to the Land and there demand the Rent, and although the Tenant of the Land be not there, yet on such Demand, if none be ready to pay the Rent, it is Cro. Car. 508. a denial in Law, upon which he who hath the Rent shall I Rol. Rep. 60. have an Affile, for as much as no Penalty will enfue there- 2Rol. 427. Hob. 207. on, but only to have Remedy to recover his Rent and the Arrearages, with Costs and Damages, and therewith agree Litt. fo. 51. and the Book of Entries, fo. 79. b. 29 Aff. p. 52. But in the same Case, if the Tenant at the last Instant of the Feast of Easter be ready on the Land to pay the Rent, and he who hath the Rent, nor any for him comes to demand or receive it, there he who hath the Rent cannot come in the Absence of him who is Tenant of the Co Lit. sect. Land, and demand it, and so make him a Diffeisor, and 233. render Damages and Costs, without any Default in him. But 2 Rol. 427. render Damages and Cotts, without any Delault in him. Dat in fuch Case, he who hath the Rent, because the Default 2 Rol. 427, was in him, ought to make a Demand of it on the Land of 428. the Person of the Tenant, and if he cannot find him on any Part of the Land out of which the Rent is issuing, then in such Case he ought to demand the Rent at the next Feast of Easter, with all the Arrearages, and altho' it be in the Ab- 2 Rol. 428, sence of the Tenant, yet it will amount to a Denial in Law, and thereupon he who hath the Rent shall recover all the Arrearages, Damages and Costs.

Discontinuance of Process, \mathcal{E}_c . by the Death of the Queen.

Trin. I Jacobi.

Moor 748. Cro. Jac. 14.

THE Resolution of the Justices after the Death of Q. Elizabeth, concerning Discontinuance of Process, &c. There are two Manners of Re-fummons and Re-attachments, the one general, the other special. The Effect of the general is, That the King doth direct a Writ (exempli The Effect of gratia) to the King's Bench in this form; Mandamus vobis, quod ad sectam nostram omniumque ligeorum populi nostri, qui prosequi voluerint extra & super omnia sive aliqua recorda. placita, brevia, præcepta, processus, billas loquelas, appella, fines, & alia memoranda quecunque in curia nostra coram nobis existen' vel in posterum coram nobis proventur' omnimoda brevia resummonit' reattachiament' & omnium alior' process' pro nobis & dictis ligeis populi nostri in hac parte habend' secund' bonas intentiones & proposita subscript' mutat' mutandis prout casus requirit, secund' discretiones vestras adjudicetis. Special Resummons is in such form, Rex vic' Salut. Resummoneas per bonos summonitores A. B. quod sit coram nobis in crastino, &c. ubicung; tunc fuer' in Anglia, audit' record' & judic' suum de loquela que fuit in Cur' Dom' H. nuper Regis, &c. ita quod loquela illa tunc sit in eod' statu quo fuit in pristina curia præd' nuper Regis in Octabis, &c. ultim' praterit', de quo die loquela prad' adjornat' fuit usq; &c. tunc proxim' sequent' ante quem diem loquela præd' remansit sine die, eo gd' præd' nuper Rex diem fuum clausit extremum. And note, on the general Resummons the Original and the Issue (if any be joyned) is revived for it is a full Record, and ought to be entire, but the Process before the Issue joyned, nor the voucher, nor the Garnishment, &c. shall not be revived without a special Writ reciting all the special Proceeding, 5 H. 7.40. a. 9 H. 6. 41. a. 13 E. 4. 1. a. b. 1 E. 5. 2. a.

And it appears by the Book of Entr. tit. Reattachm. 499, that if iffue be joyn'd, and the Jury return'd, and day given for trial. before which day the K. dies, yet by special Resummons all shall be revived, for the Jury was returned of Record, and the record thereof was made full and perfect; and therewith a grees 1 Ma. (a) 118. Dyer. Vide 21 E. 3. 44. contrary in the (a) Dyer 118. case of aid prayer, for there the Tury is not revived as it is there pl. 78. held: but a Venire facias de novo shall be awarded. And it is to be known, that the (b) Def. shall never have a resummons or (b) Co. Lit. attachm. because he had not nor can have summons or attach- 130. b. ment; and therefore at the Com. Law, if a verdict had pass'd for the Def. and before the day in bank the King died, in that case the plea is discontinued, and the Def. might by Certiorari remove the record, and altho' the parties shall never plead any plea, yet the Def. ought to fue forth a sci' fac' and thereupon have Judgm. but without a sci' fa. he shall not have Judgm. because the parties have no day in court, and the sci' fac' shall revive the record, and giveday to the parties, against the opinion of Litt. 10 E. 4.13. b. altho' he faith that it was so adjudged, that the Defen. in fuch case should presently have Judgm. But at the Com. Law by the demise of the K. the plea was discontinued, and the process which was awarded and not returned before the K. death, was lost: For by the writ of the predeces. nothing can be executed in the time of the new K. unless it be in special cases: For by the demise of the K. not only the (c) Moor 176. Tustices of the one bench, and the other, and the Barons of the B. N. C. 203. Excheq. but the Sheriffs also, and Escheators, and all Commist And: 44. 45. fions of Oy. and Term. Gaol-delivery, and Justices of Peace are Dyer 165. pl.2. determined by the death of the Predecess. who made em. And I E. 4. 3. for the remedy thereof was the Stat. of 1 Ed. 6. (d) c. 7. made, 1 E. 5. 1. which provides, that by the demise of the K. any action, suit, 4 E. 4. 44. bill, or plaint, that shall depend between party and party, in (d) 1 And. 44. any of the K's Courts, and other Courts of record, shall not 45.

any wise be discontinued, or put without day, but that the Cro. Jac. 14.

process, pleas, demurrers, and continuances shall standgood and (Rol.Rep. 165. effectual, and be profecuted and fued forth in fuch manner and Co. Lit. 325. 2. form, and in the same estate, condition, and order, as if the same Yelv. 52.

Hutt. 82. K. had lived. So that now, if any judicial writ or process in any court of record were awarded in the time of the Predecessor, it may now be executed in the time of the Success. I El Dy. 165. accord. But yet that act hath not provided remedy for all the mischiefs. For 1. If the original be not (e) returned before the (e)Dy. 65. pl.2. K's death, it is lost; for the words are depending in any court: And. 44. 45. But in an appeal of death, if the writ be delivered to the Sheriff; Co. 47. b. within the year, and before the return thereof; or that the 2 Sid. 94. Sheriff hath done any thing, the K. dies, and the year ex- Cro. El. 677. pires before the Day of the return, in that Case the Common Law gives remedy to the Plaintiff, scil. a Certiorari to the Sheriff, returnable in the King's Bench; and thereupon

Discontinuance of Process, &c. PART VII.

the Plaintiff shall have a Re-attachm. altho' it comes not in by the return of the Sheriff, but by Certior' and the reason is, for the necessity of the matter, for otherwise the Pl. who lawfully brought his Writ within the Year, without any fault in him, would lose his appeal, the year being now past. And therefore for a much as by the act of law the writ is discontinued, the law will give a means to revive it, to the end the party shall not be without remedy. So if a man brings a Formedon against a Pernor of the profits within the year after the title accrued, if before the return of the Writ, &c. the K. dies, the writ shall be remov'd into the Com. Pleas by Certior' and thereupon he shall have resummons for the mischief, as it is held in 10 E. 4. 13. b. & 14. a. 2. By the demise of the K. all Offices of (a) Sheriffs are determin'd; and therefore till new Patents of their offices nothing can be done. But in (b) Lond. and other places, where there are Sheriffs of inheritance by Charter, there they may execute any process,or judicial writ awarded in the time of the Predecess. 3. In the county court, and the like Courts which are not Courts of (c) Record it remains as it was at the Com. Law; for the words of the act are (in any of the K's Courts, or other Courts of record.) Also the Stat. extends only to Astions, Suits, &c. between Party and (d) Cro Car. 10. Party; and therefore it shall not extend to cases where the (d) K. is party; and therewith agrees Stamf. 98. b. And therefore it is necess. to know what the Com. Law is in such cases. If (e) an Inform. of Intrusion, or other Inform. be preferred, either meerly for the K. or tam pro Dom' Rege quam pro seipso, and the Def. pleads to iffue, or a demurrer be joyned, and afterwards the K. dies, all is abated and lost, but only the Inform. and that shall stand: for the entry in the Excheq. is (shewing the continuance and death of the K. H. 8.) per qd' loquela remansit sine die, & Dom' Edw. ipsum nuper regem in regimine hujus regni successit, ac regimen ejusa' regni super se assumissit, super quo concordat' qu' præd' Desend' attachietur de novo ad respond' di clo Dom' Regi nunc, and thereupon an Attachm. is awarded, on the return of which the Def. shall appear and plead de novo; for altho' it is true, that the K. in Calvin's Case, genere doth not die (for there is no * interregnum) yet inhoo individuo, H. the K. and Ed. the K. &c. dies. And that appears by record, Hill. an' 6 Ed. 6. Rot. 50. an Inform. of Intrusion was preferred against J. Schrymfal Esq; for intruding into the Manor of Offeley, in the County of Staff. The like Record Mich' anno 6. Ed. 6. Rot. 15. an Information preferred by the King's Attorney, for the King only, against Michael Harecourt on the Statute of Maintenance, Hill. 5 Ed. 6. Rot. 23 In the Exchequer in an Information on the Stat. 32 H. 8. for buying of Ti-

tles, tam pro Domino Rege quam proseipso, and after Isfue joyned the King died, the Defendant appeared on

(a)Dy.165.pl.?. i And. 44. (b) Co. 72. b.

(c) 6. Co. 11.b. Co. Lit. 260.: .

(e) Moor 748. Cro. Jac. 14. Cro. Car. 10,11. Doct. pl. 3. Hatt 82. Cawly 80.

the Attachment, and pleaded de novo. Simile per idem Record' Rot. 24. Simile per idem Record' Rot. 54. Simile Mic. 1 & 2. Phil. & Mar. in the Excheq. Rot. 131. in an Information of Intrusion against Rich. Alford, who appeared and pleaded a special Plea; on which there was a demurrer in Law, and the demurrer entred; and afterwards Q. Mary died, and upon that a Subpana issued to appear de novo, returnable Hill' I El. which is in ligula brevium ibidem; and thereupon the Def. pleaded another special Plea, on which Issue was taken. And note, to such effect as the Precedents are in the Excheq. so they are also in the K's Bench as to all manner of Informations. The like Paf. 5 Ed. 6. Rot. 38. where in a popular Action the K. died after demurrer on the Evidence, and before Judgm. and the Def. pleaded de novo. Upon which Records the Law appears to be, that in all the faid Cases the K. being meerly Party, or when the Inform. is tam pro Dom' Rege quam pro seipso, when the K. dies before Judgm. all the Proceeding on the Inform. is utterly abated and lost, quia Rex Henric. &c. fuit pars qui mortuus est. But the Inform, or Indict, which is recorded for the K. Shall Cro. Jac. 14. stand, and the said Def. shall be driven to answer it de novo, and nothing shall stand but the Inform. for that is a Record, and cannot abate. And the Law hath great reason in it; for on many penal Statutes the Suit is to be commenced within a certain Time, and therefore if the Inform. or Indictment should not continue in force after the K's Death, by the K's Death, which is the act of God, the Offence would be un- Cro. Jac. 14. punished. But if the K. brings an original Writ, as Quar' Imp' Dod. pl. 3. &c. there by the K's Death the Writ shall abate, because the K. for whom Judgm. should be given, is dead, and after the Death of the K. who is Party, no Process can be awarded on the Original, as it may be on an Inform. or Indist. Vid. Mic. 3 & 4 El. 206. vid. 4 Ed. 4. 43. & 44 Br. Offices 25. If one Dy. 206. pl. 3. be indicted in the Time of one K. and pleads to Issue, and afterwards the K. dies, he shall plead de novo, as you may fee in the Case of Edw. Smith, who pleaded to Issue on an Indictment of Felony in Midd. in 3 & 4 Phil. & Mar. in the King's Bench, and after the Death of Q. Mary repleaded in 3 & 4 El. and was acquitted. So Clement Palmer being arraigned in the King's Bench on a Nonsuit in Cro. Jac. 14. an Appeal, at the Suit of the Queen, Trin. 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. and pleaded to Issue, Queen Mary died, and Mich. 1 & 2 El. he repleaded. Vide Pasch. 1 Ed. 5. Traverse of an Office in Chancery, and the Record fent into the K's Bench, and afterwards the King died. And by the faid Stat. of 1 Ed. 6. it is enacted, That in all Cases, where any Person or Persons heretofore have been, or hereafter Shall be found guilty of any manner of Treason, Murder, Man-Raughter,

Discontinuance of Process, &c. PART VII. flaughter, Rape, or other Felony whatsoever, for the which Judgment of Death Shall, or may ensue, and shall be repried to Prison without Judgment, &c. That the Justices of Gaol-delivery hall have full Power and Authority to give Judgment of Death against such Person so found guilty, and repried, &c. Before that Act at the Common Law, if a Man had been indicted and convicted by Verdict or Confefsion before any Commissioners, and before Judgment the King died, in that Case no Judgment could have been given; for the King, for whom the Judgment should be given, is dead; and the Authority of the Judges who should give Judgment is determined: And this Act doth remedy those special Cases. But all the King's Suits by original, Bill, Information, or Indictment, for any other Offence, do remain at the Common Law.

Mich.

Mich. 2 Jacobi.

The Case of a Fine levied by the King Tenant in Tail, &c.

THE King was informed, That divers Manors and Lands were intailed to Gilbert de Clare Earl of Gloucester, and the King who now is, is Heir of the Body of the faid Gilbert inheritable to the said Land; some of which Manors, the King and others his Progenitors, for good Confideration, had granted to divers Subjects; all which Grants (as was pretended) were in respect of the said ancient Estate-Tail utterly void. The King that now is, of Grace and good Will to his Subjects, and for their quiet and repose, required Popham Chief Justice, and Coke Attorney General, to consider how by Law he might establish the Estate of the faid Patentees and others claiming under them, against the Whereupon, they feverally in the Vacafaid Estate-Tail. tion-Time did confider on that Point; and afterwards on Conference they agreed in one, That the King being Tenant in Tail, by a Gift made to some of his Ancestors being Subjects (as the Question is moved) might by Fine levied on a Grant and Render bar the Estate-Tail, and that for divers Reasons. 1. For as much as the King is bound (a) (a) Ant. 21.2. by the Stat. de donis conditionalibus, as it is adjudged in i Co. 44. b. Lord Barkley's Cafe, Plow. Com. 240. By which Act the 48.2. King is restrained from Alienation; for it is enacted by the 11 Co. 72. a. said Act, quod finis ipso jure sit nullus; Reason requires, 1 Rol Rep. 153. that the King shall take Benefit of the Acts of 4 (b) H. 7. Plowd 243. b. 251. b. fues: For it is agreed in all our Books, that the King shall 252. 2. take Benefit of any Act, altho' he be not named, 12 (d) H. (b) 4 H. 7. 7. 21. a. 35 (e) H. 6. 60. the Lord Barkley's Cafe, Plow. (c) 32 H 8. Com. 240. And it would be hard, that the King being If- cap. 36. fue in Tail of a Gift made to a Subject, should be in worse *11 Co. 68.b. Condition than if he had not been King. 2. There is a great (d)11 Co. 68.b. Difference when the K. claims in respect of his natural Ca-1Rol.Rep.171. pacity, as Heir of the Body, per formam doni, as Heir of the (e) 1 Rol. Rep. Body of a Subject; for there he shall be bound by an 157. Act of Parliament (and that was the principal Reason of the Judgment in the Lord Barkley's Case, where the Gift

The Case of a Fine levied by the K. &c. PART.VII. was to King H. 7. and to the Heirs of his Body) and when the King claims a Thing in respect of his Royal and Politick Capacity, there a general Act shall not bind him, unless he be expressly named, unless it be in special Cases. 3. In the Case at Bar, the Bar which the Stat. de donis conditionalibus doth work, is against the Issues in Tail; for the Tenant in Tail himself, without any help of the Act might bar himself (and so might the King also by special Grant,) Then the Issues in Tail at the Time of the Fine Levied by the King are but Subjects, who are bound by the faid Acts, and the Estate-Tail barred by the Fine and Proclamations. And note, That the Act of 32 H. 8. doth recite, that (for avoiding of all Strifes and Controversies) which general word (all) includes also the Case of the King. And it was observed that the Stat. de Donis, &c. which (a)Plow.248.b. binds the K. faith, quod Dominus Rex (a) perpendend' qd' necessarium est in casibus prædictis ponere remedium, sta-*4 H. 7. c. 24. tuit, quod voluntas donatoris, &c. And the Stat. of 4 * H. 7. which gives Power to dock the Estate-Tail, faith, The K. considereth that Fines ought to be of greatest Strength to avoid Strifes and Debates, and to be a final End and Conclusion: It is enacted that after the Fine engrossed and proclaimed, &c. the same Fine shall conclude Privies, &c. Within which Words the King's Issues are included. Also the Stat. of 32 (b) H. 8. Enacts, That all Fines levied of a-32 H. S. c. 36. ny Lands intailed to the Person so levying the same Fine, er to any of his Ancostors, &c. And Gilbert de Clare was in Propriety of Speech the King's Ancestor, and so within the express Letter of the Act. But it seemed to them, that after the Render made it was necessary to have Letters Patents. to grant to the Conusee by express Words, that he may enter into the Land; for otherwise the Fine being Executory on a Grant and Render, it may be doubted if the Conusee, without any fuch grant can enter on the King. And afterwards, Mich. 5 Jacobi, after the Death of Popham, this Opinion on Confideration and Conference had with Fleming and Coke, Chief Justices, and Tanfield, Chief Baron, was affirmed for good Law for the Reasons and Causes be-

fore given. And divers Fines have been levied by the

King according to that Refolution.

(b) to Co.50.a.

Mich. 2 Jacobi.

NEVIL'S Cale.

IN this Term, this Case by the Command of the K. was propounded to all the Judges. Anno 21 R. 2. Ralph Newil. Lord of Raby, was by Letters Patents under the Great Seal created Earl of Westmorland, to him, and the heirs males of his body; which Ralph, by Margaret Stafford his first Wife had Issue, Ralph Earl of Westmorland, to whom Charles late Earl of Westmorland was lineal Heir Male of the Body of the said Ralph the first Donee; and the said Ralph the first Donee, by Joan, Daughter of John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster, had Issue, George Lord Latimer (for all his elder Brothers were dead without Issue Male) from whom is lineally descended Edward Nevil, who now is the nearest Issue Male to the said Donee; and afterwards Charles Earl of Westmorland was attainted by Outlawry and by Parliament of High Treason, and died without Iffue Male; and now the faid Edward Nevil claimed to be Earl of Westmorland. And in this Case three Questions were moved to all the Judges of England. 1. If the faid Limitation of the said Dignity to the said Ralph and the Westm. cap. r. Heirs Males of his Body be within the Stat. de donis conditionalibus, or a Fee-simple conditional at the Com. Law. 2. Admitting that it was an Estate-tail within the said Stat. if by the Attainder of Treason the Estate-tail was forfeited by a Condition in Law tacite annexed to the State of the Dignity. 3. If the Estate of the Dignity was forfeited by the Act of 26 H. 8. cap. 13. Or that the faid Ed. ward Nevil as Heir Male of the Body of the first Donce ought to be Earl of Westmorland. And these Points were argued and debated at Serjeants Inn in Fleetstreet by the King's Attorney, and by the Counsel of the said Edward Nevil. And as to the first it was objected, That the faid Dignity was not within the Statute de donis, &c. for divers Causes. 1. Because it was a great Dignity derived from the King, as the Fountain of all

dignity, and therefore it is not within the faid Act, which speaks only de tenement', que multotiens dantur sub conditione, viz. cum aliquis terr' suam dat alicui viro, &c. Sothis dignity can't be included within this word Tenements or Land. 2. The Stat. faith in omnibus prædiet casibus post prolem suscitat. bujusm' feoffati habuer. potestat. alienandi, &c. But this dignity was adherent to the blood of the donee, and could not be aliened or granted, neither after nor before iffue; and therefore fuch cases of dignities were out of the mischief, the words and the intent of the makers of the Act de donis, &c. And the Opinion in Manxel's case in Pl. Com. the Grant of a Thing (a) Co.Lit.20.2. which doth not concern land or tenements, nor (a) exercifable (b) Co.Lit. 19.2 in lands or tenements, as an (b) annuity which is personal, is not within the Stat. de donis, &c. And it was faid this dignity was personal, and annexed to the blood of the donee, and by consequence could not be intailed within the faid Act. But it was refolved by all the Judges of Engl. That a Name of (c) Dignity might be intailed within the said Act: For in the case at bar it doth concern land, for he was made by the faid letters patents Earl of Westmork who by the com. law is the great confervator of the peace, and sheriffs are called Vicecom' because in ancient times they were as deputies to Earls tho' now it is changed. And therefore such office of dignity of any place doth concern land, and therefore may be intailed within the faid Stat. as it is faid in Pl. Com. in Manxel's case. The office of stew-(e) Co.Lir.20.2. ard, (d) receiver, or bailiff of fuch a manor may be intailed within the faid Stat. because it is exercifable within lands, 5 E.4. The office of (e) Marsh. of Engl. was intailed, 1 H.7.28. b. An estatetail may be of a forestership, 18E.3.27. The office of Serjeancy or custody of the (g) church of Nichol' was intailed. 32 H.6.28. The Earldom of Shrewsh.was intailed to J. Talbot Kt. and the heirs males of his body. And I have seen a Parliam. Writ in ann. 27 H.6. by which Bromstet was summoned to Parliam. by the name of Ld.(b)Vesey, with Limitat. in the writ to him and the heirs males of his body. And it is to be known, that as in ancient time the senators of Rome were elected a censu of their revenues so here in ancient times in conferring of nobility, respect was had to their revenues, by which their dignity and nobility might be supported and maintained. And therefore aKt.ought to have (i) 201. land per ann. a Baron, 13 Kts fees and a quarter, an Earl 20 Knights fees (for there was not any Duke in Engl. from the time of the conquest until 11 E.3. and the D. of Cornw. was the first D. after the conquest in Engl.) And that appears by the Stat. of Mag. Charta, c. 2. For always the 4th part of such revenue, which is requisite by the law to the digni-Co. Lit. 69. b. ty shall be paid to the K. for (k) a relief; as the relief of a Kt. is 5 l. which is the 4th part of 20 l. which is a Kt's revenue; and the relief of a Baron is 100 Marks, which is the 4th part

of his revenue, viz. 400 Marks, and includes thirteen

Knights

20.a. 1Rol.837.

(c) 1 Rol. 837, 838. 12Co.81. Co.Lir.20.a.

(d) 1Rol.838.

5 E. 4. 3. a. (f)Co.Lit.20.a (g) Rol. 838. Co.Lit.20.a.

(b)Co.Lit.20.a.

(i) 9Co.124.b. Cc.Lit.69.a. F.N.B.82.c.

(k) 9Co.124.b. =1801.515,516. 83. b.

Kts. fees and a quarter; and the relief of an Earl is 1001. which is the 4th part of 400 l. which is the revenue of an Earl. And it appears by the records of the Excheq. that the relief of a Duke shall amount to (a) 200 l. and by consequence (a) 9C0.124.b. his revenue ought to be 800 l. per ann. and that is the reafon in our books, that every one of the Nobility is presumed in law to have (b) sufficient freehold ad sustinend' nomen & (b) 6 Co. 52.b. onus. Vide 3H.6.48.11H.4.15.14H.6.2. See the Countels of Hob. 61. Rutl. case in the 6th part of my Rep. Vide Cambd. f. 107. Moor 767. Necdum hæreditaria fuit hæc dignitas (sc. Comitis) verum cum Gulielm' Normanus, jam victor, summam rerum in boc regno administraret, comites creati sunt feudales, hæreditarii & patrimoniales, ut in antiquis cartis videre est, de tertio denario comitat', i. qui de placitis proven' in eod' comitatu. And every Baron and other of Nobility is always created of some place; and now to what Value the faid old rents in the time of H. 2. & E. 1. at this day do amount to, every one knows. And so it was clearly resolved, that the dignity in the case in Quest. was within the Stat. de donis conditional; and with this Resolut. agree divers precedents, and the experience and pra-Elice always used; for the Earldom of Northumb. was intailed by O. Mary to T. Percy, and the heirs males of his body; and for default of fuch iffue that H. his Br. should be Earl, to him and the heirs males of his body: And in fuch case by the attainder of T. of treason, H. was after his death Earl of Northumb. by force of his Rem'r, and his iffue enjoy it at this day. So A. Dudley was by Q. El. created Earl of Warw. to him and the heirs males of his body, and for default of such iffue, that R. his brother should be Earl, to him and the heirs males of his body: And R. was created Earl of L. in tail, with fuch Limitat. to his brother A. and many other precedents are to the same effect. As to the 2d point it was resolved, that altho' this dignity be within the Stat. de donis conditional', yet by the attainder of (c) treason if the Stat. of 26 H.8. had not been made, this dignity had been forfeited by force of a Condit. in law tacite annexed to the estate of the dignity: For Lane 46. those who are Earls have an office of great trust and consi- Godb. 325. dence, and are created to 2 purposes; (d) 1. Adconsulend regi ment in Quo temp' pacis. 2. Ad defendend' regem & patr' temp' belli : And Warranto 34. therefore Antiquity hath given them 2 enfigns to refemble (d) 12 Co. 95. these 2 duties; for 1st, their head is adorned with a cap of honour and coronet, and their body with a robe in refemblance of counsel. 2. They are girt with a fword, in resemblance that they should be faithful and loyal to defend their Prince and Country. And of both these Bracton speaks, lib. 1. c.8. (e) Comites, viz. sive a comitat' sive a societat' nomen sump- (e) 9Co.49.2. ser', qui etiam dici possunt consules a consulendo; reges enim tales sibi associant, ad consulendum & regend' populum Dei, ordinantes eos in magno bonore & potestate, & nomine,

quando accingunt gladiis, i. ringis gladior'. By which appear these 2 ends, counsel and defence. Then when such person against the duty and end of his dignity, takes not only counsel. but arms also against the K. to destroy him, and therefore is attainted by due course of law by that he hath forfeit. his dignity by a Condit. tacite annexed to the estate of the dignity: (a) 2 Rol. 155. in the same manner, as if Ten't in tail of an (a) office of trust misuse it, or use it not, these are forfeitures of such offices for ever by force of a Condit. in law tacite annexed to their eflates, as it is held in 11 E.4.1. 20 E.4.5,6. 39 H.6.32. 22 AM.34. 8H.4.18. 2H.7.11.14H.7.1. Pl.Com.370. Nevil's Cafe. As to the 3d point it was resolved by all the Just. if that it had not been forfeited by the com. law, that by the Stat. of 26 H.8.c.12. the faid Charles had forfeited the dignity. For the words of the A& are, shall lose and forfeit to the King's Highness, his heirs and successors, all such lands, tenements and hereditaments. which any such offender shall have of any estate of Inherit. in use or possession, by any right, title or means: And this dignity was an hereditament, and therein the faid Charles had an efate of Inherit. And where the Stat. faith (in use or possess.) that was to express, that all manner of inheritances should be forfeited for treason, and in their judgments all inheritances were either in use or in possession, (for that Act doth not extend to rights or titles) and it was necessary to add this word Use, for by the com. law an use, which was but a trust and confidence, was not forfeited by attainder of treason. And when an use was expressed, then the Addit. of Possess. was necessary for otherwise nothing but uses would be given to the K. And therefore it was resolved, that an annuity of inheritance shall beforfeited by force of this Act by Attaind. of treason, for that is an hereditament; and that was the 1st general Act by which an estate-tail was forseited to the K. for treason. At the com. law Co. Lit. 19. 2. before the Stat. de donis conditionalib', if lands had been given to one and the heirs males of his body, in that case as well the donor as the donee had a possibility, the donor of a reverter, if the donee died without iffue male, and the donee to have power to alien, if he had iffue male. For if the donee had iffue a son, now to some intent the Condit. was perform'd, for post prolem suscitatam, he had potestatem alienandi; and the reafon thereof was, because he having a fee-simple, and having iffue, his iffue could not avoid the alienation, because he claimed fee-fimple, whereof his Father might bar him. And altho' the Donee and his Issue also after such Alienation died without Issue, yet the Donor, who had but a Possibility or Condition in Law, and no Reversion or Estate in him, could not recover the Land against the Alienee; for by the having of Issue the Condition was performed as to this Intent, scil. to make an Alienation. But

in the same Case at the Common Law, if the Donee had

Iffue

2Rol.Rep.341. Lane 46. Godb. 303. 4 Inst. 356.

issue a son and died, yet the son had not an absolute fee-simple C. Lit. 19.4. in him, but only the same power which his sather had, sc. to alien; and if such issue died without issue, and without any Alienat. made, the land should revert to the donor, as Brian held, 12 E.4.3. & 18 E.2.46. by Huse. For a collater. heir who is not heir of the body of the donee is not within the form of the gift, 1 Rol. 841. the Limitat. being to the heirs males of the body of the donee, which Limitat. of heirs males of the body, doth exclude all colateral heirs to inherit: But the policy of the law was, to give power after iffue to alien for 2 causes; one that the estate of a Purchas. should not be avoided by a remote possibility, sc. if the donee and his iffue also should die without iffue: 2. If he having a fee-simple should not have power after issue to alien, it would be in a manner a perpetuity, and a restraint of Alienat, for ever, which the com. law for many causes will not suffer, and in 4H.3. Formedon 64. it is adjudged, that where lands were given in frank-marriage, and the donees had iffue and died, and afterwards the iffue died without iffue; that his collateral heir should not inherit; for the donor recovered the land in a Formed. in the Reverter; and in the said case if the donee had iffue 2 fons, and died, and the elder fon had iffue a Daught. and died without iffue male, the young. fon should inherit a fee-simple, per form' doni at the com. law: So if lands were given to one, and to his heirs females of his Body, and he had iffue a fon and a daughter, and died, the daughter should inheritan estate in fee-simple per form' doni. And mark well the Stat. de donis, &c. doth not create an estate-tail, but of such estate as was fee-simple conditional, and descendable in such form at the com. law, as now by the Stat. the land shall de. scend; and the only mischief was, that the donee after iffue had power to alien in difinherifon of his issues, and bar of the Rev'n: But it doth not appear by the faid Act, that altho' the donee had iffue, yet he had not an absolute fee, so that the collateral heir of the iffue should inherit; for the words of the A& are, Et præterea cum deficiente exitu de hujusm' feoffatis, tenement' sic datum ad donator' vel ad ejus hæred' reverti debuit per form' in carta de dono hujusm' expressam, licet exitus, si quis fuerit, obiisset, per factum tamen & feoffamentum, &c. exclusi fuerunt hucusq; de reversione, &c. by which it appears, that if the heir in Tail dies without issue, and without any Alienat. made, that the land shall revert, and by confequence Shall not descend to the collater. heir 30 E.I. Formed. 65. If the donee in tail aliened before the Stat. and afterwards had iffue, Co. Lit. 19.2. and then the iffue died without iffue, the land should revert: For he had not power to alien at the time of the Alienat, but fuch Alienat. Should bar the iffue, as it is adjudged in 19 E. 2. Formed. 61. because he claimed fee-fimple. N. B. These Rules yet hold place in case of a grant of an annuity to one and Co. Lit. 19. a. the heirs males of his body, and all other Inherir. which are not within the Stat. de donis conditional'.

20.a. IRol.837.

Hill. 2 Jacobi.

Penal Statutes.

Dav. 69. b. Hard. 448. 1 Siderf. 6. 3 Inft. 186, 187.

HIS Term upon Letters directed to the Judges to have their Resolution concerning the Validity of a Grant made by Queen Elizabeth, under the Great Seal, of the Penalty and Benefit of a penal Statute, with Power to difpense with the said Statute, and to make a Warrant to the Lord Chancellor, or Keeper of the Great Seal, to make as many Dispensations, and to whom he pleased; and on great Confideration and Deliberation by all the Judges of England, it was resolved, that the said Grant was utterly against Law. And in this Case these Points were refolved, That when a Stat. is made by Parliament for the Good of the Commonwealth, the King cannot give the Penalty, Benefit and Dispensation of such Act to any Subject; or give Power to any Subject to dispense with it, and to make a Warrant to the Great Seal for Licences in fuch Case to be made: For when a Stat. is made pro bono publico, and the King (as the Head of the Commonwealth, and the Fountain of Justice and Mercy) is by the whole Realm trufted with it, this Confidence and Trust is so infeparably joined and annexed to the Royal Person of the King in so high a Point of Sovereignty, that he cannot transfer it to the Disposition or Power of any private Perfon, or to any private Use; for it was committed to the King by all his Subjects for the Good of the Commonwealth. And if he may grant the Penalty of one Act, he may grant the Penalty of two, and so in infinitum. And fuch Grant of any penal law was never seen in our Books, nor before this Age was any fuch Grant ever made; but it is true, that theK. may (upon some Cause moving him in Respect of Time, Place, or Person, &c.) make a Non obstante to

to dispense with any particular person, that he shall not in- 3 Inst. 154. cur the Penalty of the Star. and therewith agree our Books. But the King cannot commit the Sword of his Justice, or the Oil of his Mercy concerning any penal Stat. to any Subject, as is aforesaid. It was also resolved, That the Penalty of an Cro. Arg. 109. Act of Parliament cannot be levied by any Grant of the K. but only according to the purport and purview of the Act; for the Act which gives the penalty ought to be followed only in the profecution and levying thereof; and great inconveniencies would thereon follow, if penal Laws should be transferred to Subjects, 1. Justice thereby would be scan- 2 Inst. 48. dalized; for when such forfeitures are granted, or promised to be granted before they are recovered, it is the cause of a more violent and undue Proceeding. 2. When it is publickly known that the forfeiture and penalty of the Act is granted, 2 Inst. 229. it is a great Cause that the Act it self is not executed; for the Judge and Jurors, and every other is thereby discouraged. 3. It will thereupon follow, That no Penalty will by any Act of Parliament be given to the K. but imited to such Uses with which the K. cannot dispense. And hereupon divers who had fued to have the Benefit of certain penal laws, were upon this Resolution denied. And the Certificate of all the Judges of England concerning such Grants of penal laws, and Statutes was in these words. May it please your Lordships, we have (as we are required by your honourab. letters of the 21 of Oct.last) conferred and considered among st ourselves (calling to us his Majesty's Counsel learned) of such matters as were thereby referred unto us, and have thereupon with one consent resolved for law and conveniency as followeth. 1st, That the Prosecut. and Execut. of any penal Stat. cannot be granted to any, for that the Act being made by the policy and wisdom of the Parliam, for the general good of the whole realm, and of trust committed to the K. as to the head of Justice and of the weal publick, the same cannot by law be transferred over to any Subject; neither can any penal Stat. be profecuted or executed by his Majesty's Grant, in other manner or order of proceeding, than by the Act it self is provided and prescribed: Neither do we find any such Grants to any in former ages: And of late years, upon doubt conceived, that penal laws might be fought to be granted over, some Parliaments have forborn to give forfeitures to the Crown, and have disposed thereof to the Relief of the Poor, and other charitable Uses, which cannot be granted or employed otherwise. We are al- Cr. Arg. 109. so of Opinion, That it is inconvenient, That the Forfeitures upon penal Laws, or others of like Nature, should be granted to any, before the same be recovered or vested in bis Majesty by due and lawful proceeding; for that in our experience

perience it maketh the more violent and undue Proceeding against the Subject, to the Scandal of Justice, and the Offence of many. But if by the Industry or Diligence of any, there accrueth any Benefit to his Majesty, after the Recovery, such have been rewarded out of the same at the King's good Pleasure, &c. Dated 8 Novembr. 1604. And to this Letter all the Judges of England set their Hands.

Mich.

Mich. 5 Jacobi.

LILLINGSTON'S Cafe.

TOhn Duncomb brought an Action of Debt against Tho. 4 Leon. 236. Lilling ston, (which began in the Common Pleas, Pasc. 239. 4. Jacobi, rot. 704.) for 195 l. and declared, That one Faustin Dixwell, and Mary his Wife, and the faid Tho. Lilling fton, and Mary his Wife, were feifed of the Rectory of Lilling ston in the County of Bedford in Fee, and Mi. 31 El. thereof levied a Fine to Papworth and Chambers, and the Heirs of Papworth, who granted and rendred a Rent-charge of 30 1. out of the faid Rectory to the faid Faustin for his Life, to begin after the Death of Mary his Wife, the Rent yearly to be paid at the Feasts of St. Michael the Archangel, and the Annunciation: Proviso semper, quod prædict' Concessio prædict' annualis redditus 301. non aliqualit se extendat ad onerand personas diet Papworth & Chambers sed tantummodo ad onerand' diet' Rectoriam tota vita ipsius Faustini; and rendred the said Rectory to Faustin and Mary during the Life of Mary, the Remainder to Thomas Lilling ston and Mary his Wife in Tail, the Remainder to the Right Heirs of Lilling ston. 2 Octob. 33 Eliz. the said Faustin before Sir Christ. Wray, Chief Justice, acknowledged a Recognisance of 500 l. in the Nature of a Statute Staple, according to the Statute of 23 H. 8. to Duncomb now 32 H. 8. cap. 6. Plantiff: 20 Aug. 39 Eliz. Mary the Wife of Faustin died. after whose Death Lilling ston and Mary his Wife entred into the said Rectory, and were thereof seised in Tail, the Remainder in Fee to Lilling ston. The said Faustin 15 Aprilis 40 El. by his Deed released to the said Lilling ston and his Heirs the faid Rent of 30 l. per ann. The Plaintiff 21 Apr. 40 Eliz. fued out of the Chancery a Certiorari to the Clerk of the Statutes, &c. whereupon the faid Recognisance in the Nature of a Stat. was certified; and sued forth an Extent, by which the said Rent was extended. and upon Liberate delivered to the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff. for fix Years and a half ending at the Feast of St. Michael the Archang' an. 2 Jacobi, brought an Action of Debt against Lilling ston, who all that time was Ten't of the Land, and avered the Life of Faustin. And upon all this Case two Questions were moved; 1. Whether this Rent of 30 l. per an. being

extinct by the faid Release, had such Essence as to the Plaintiff the Conusee, that it might be extended and delivered to the Pl. 2. Admitting that it might be extended and delivered to the Pl. whether the Pl. as this Case is, could maintain an Action of Debt. As to the first it was objected, that this Recognizance is in the Nature of a Statute-Staple, and the Stat. of 27 E. 3. c. 9. to which the Stat. of 23 H. 8.c. 6. refers, gives Power to the Mayors of the Staple to take Recogniz. of Debts, &c. and that, on Certificate of fuch Recognizance into the Chancery, a Writ be sent to arrest the Bodies of the Debtors, without letting 'em to Mainprize, and to seise their Lands and Tenements, Goods and Chattels, and that the Writ be return'd into the Chancery with the Certificate of the Value of the said Lands and Tenements, Goods and Chattels, and that thereon Execution be made from Time to Time in the fame Manner as is contained in the Stat. Merchant: Upon which words it was argued, that Rent extinct before Execution fued was not within the faid Words, sc. to seize the Lands and Tenements of the faid Debtors. For at the Time of the Execut. fued the Debtor had not the Rent, but it was utterly extinct, and gone by the said Release. 2. The Writ of Extent is to extendomnia terras & catalla ipsius Faustini, & in manus dict. nuper Reginæ seisiri faceret, ut ea præfat. Johanni liberari faciat; and for as much as the Rent was extinct before the faid Writ of Extent, it is not in esse, or to be extended, or taken into the K's Hands, or to be delivered to the Pl. 3. It would be against Reason, that the Freehold of the Rent being extinct, the Plaint should have Execut. of it, and thereby to have but a Chattel: and the Opinion of ; & 4 Phil. & Ma. (a) Dyer fo. was cited, where the Opinion is, that a Rent extinct cannot be extended, Esc. To which it was answered and resolved, that to some Purpofes by the Com. Law a Rent extinct shall be faid in (b) Leon. 235, effe (b) as to a Stranger; and therefore, if the Husband feifed of a Rent in Fee, releases the Rent to the Tenant of the Land, and afterwards the Husband dies, now the Wife shall be (c) endow'd of this Rent fo extinct, and shall have a Writ of (c) 6 Co. 79. 3. Dower. The Words of which Writ are, Pracipe A. 9d juste reddat. B. tertiam partem 30 libr' reddit : and the Writ of Dower, unde nihilhabet is, Precipe A.qd' juste reddat B. Ec. rationabilem dotem suam quæ ei contingit de libero tenemento qd' fuit; &c. and she shall have Grand Cape, or a Petit Cape, as her Case requires, to seife the Rent into the King's Hands; for quoad petentem, it is in effe, altho'in Truth the Rent is extinct: and fee in the Lord Aburgaveny's Cafe, (d) 6 Co.79. a. fo. 78. (d) in the fixth Part of my Reports, many Cases where (e) 1 Jones 62. a Thing extinct shall be said in esse (e) for the Benefit of a Stranger. 2. It was observed, That the said Act of fs 2Co. 12. a. (f) 23 H. 8. cap. 6. (by Force of which the said Recognizance in the Cafe at Bar was taken) for the Execution refers to the Statute Staple and Statute Merchant,

feil, the faid Act of 27 F. 3. refers to Statute Mer-

(a) Dy 205 p.7. Postea 38. D.

239. I Jones 62. 2 Rol. 471. Hob. 165. Co. Lit. 32. a.

chant, de an. 13 (a) E. 1. The words of which Stat. are, (And (a) 3 Co. 12. a. when the Lands of the Debtor be delivered to the Merchants he hallhave seisin of all the lands that were in the hands of the Debtor, the day of the recognizance made, in whose hands soever that they come afterwards, by Feoff. or otherwise.) Then prefently by the recognizance acknowledged the rent was bound, and shall be extended by the express purview of the Stat. in whose hand soever it shall come, and no more than the release of Faustin shall hurt after execution had by the conusee, no more shall it before execution, for the rent was liable to execution presently, by the Recognizance acknowledged. So if a man hath judgm. to recover debt or damages, the rent which he hath of any estate of freehold is thereby lyable to it; and therefore altho' after Judgm. he releases it, the Pl. shall have execution of a moiety by Elegit, which is given by the Stat. of West. 1. c. 18. the words of which Stat. are; Liberent ei medietatem terræ debitoris; which by construction of law, is of all which he had at the time of the judgm. given, or at any time after. And in Cheny's Case, 27 El. in the court of wards it was resolved. That where he in the reversion did enfeoff lessee for years to the use of others, that altho' the leafe would be furrendred and extinct by the Com. Law, yet by the (b) faving of the Stat. of 27 H. 8. of (b) Moorigo a. uses, the term of the seoffee was saved. Also in the same 2And.192,193. Winch 106, court 28 El. in one Ised's case it was resolved, that where the 109, 117 Lord did enfeoff the copyholder to the use of others, that O. Bendl. 5%. the copyhold estate by the saving of the said act was pre- Antea 19. b. ferved. So in case at bar, by the act de mercatoribus, Raym. 143. all the lands (which includes all hereditaments extendable) which the debtor had at the day of the recognizance acknowledged, shall be delivered; which act doth preserve the rent to be in effe as to the execution of the conusee. And the case in 21 (c) E. 3. 18. b. and (d) F.N.B. 223. I. is, if L. and Ten't (c) Fitz. Mortm. Abbot be, and the L. releases to the abbot his Seigniory, it is (d) 3 Co. 31. 2. Mortmain by the Stat. de Religiosis, and the Ld. paramount shall have it by force of the said act, and yet the words of the act are Dominus feoditaliter alienati shall have it, and by the release it is extinct, and yet as to the L. paramount it is by construction of the act in effe, and he shall have it. 3. It would be hard, That the conusor by his own act should bar the Conusee, who is a stranger to the Release, of his Execution of the Rent, which perhaps was a chief cause of taking of the said recogniz. to have execut. of it; and it is more reason to relieve the conufee in whom no fault or lachefs was, than the terretenant, who ought not to be misconusant of such charges of record. 4. Every execut. hath injudgm. of law relation and retrospect to the (e) (e) Co. 94. b. judgm. as appears in Shelly's case in the first part of my 106. b. Reports. And the said case of Dower, and the grand 10 Co. 18. 2. Cape, and Petit Cape thereupon, and the Statute de Mercatoribus, which binds all the Land of the Conusor

(a) Ant. 37. b. Dy. 205 pl. 7. (b) 1 Rol. 596.

that he had the Day of the Recognizance acknowledged in whose Hands soever it shall come, give a full and sufficient answer to all the said Objections. And the Opinion of a Serjeant Obiter in 3 (a) & 4 Ph. & Mar. was utterly denied. As to the fecond Point, it was refolved by the whole Court, That the Action of Debt (b) lies not so long as the Extent endures, for so long hath the Rent Continuance, altho' the Freehold thereof be determined. And all that, as to this Point, which was resolved in Ognel's Case in the 4th Part of my Reports, fol. 49. was affirmed to be good Law: and 9 H. 7. 17. a. That if the Lord grants his Seigniory for Years; the Grantee during the Years shall not have an Action of Debt. And it was also resolved, That altho' there (c) 6 Co 41. b. is an express (c) Proviso, that the Person shall not be charged in a Writ of Annuity, yet in such Case after the Annuity or Rent determined, the Person of the Terretenant shall be charged in Debt for the Arrearages, because the Annuity is determined, and he hath no other Remedy, as it is held in Ognel's Case, and 6 El. Dy. (d) 227. there cited. It was also resolved, That if a Man grants a Rentcharge for Life out of his Land, and the Rent is behind; and the Grantor enfeoffs A. and the Rent is behind in his (f) 1 Co. 99. 2. Time; and afterwards A. enfeoffs B. and the Rent is behind in his Time, and afterwards the Grantee dies, his Executors shall have an Action of Debt against each of them, for the Rent (e) behind in his Time. For (f) Qui sentit commodum sentire debet & onus as it is also held in Ognel's Cafe.

(d) Co. Lit. 146. b. 6 Co. 41. b. (e) 4 Co. 49.b. Co.Lit. 162.b. 5 Co. 24. b. 100. a. Co.Lit:231.a. 2 Inft. 489. Cart. 142. 3 Keb. 592. Plowd. 249.

Mich. 5 Jacobi.

BEDELL'S Cafe.

HIll. 1 Jacobi Rot. 375. in the King's Bench. Between Eliz. Bedell Plaintiff in Debt, and Michael (a) Bedell (a) 2 Rol. 780. Defendant, the Case was such. Rob. Bedell seised of a 785.11Co.24.b. Messuage, &c. in Iver and Langley in the County of Bucks 289.1 Jones in Fee, by the said El. his Wife had Issue 3 Sons; James was the 419 Jon. 108. 2d Son, and Michael the Defend, the 3d. The said Robert by March 50, 51 Indenture Tripartite, between him and his Wife of the first Part; the faid James his fecond Son of the fecond Part; and the faid Michael his ad Son of the 3d Part, in Confideration of the natural Affection and paternal Love which he had to the faid James and Michael, and for their berter Preferment and Advancement, and to the Intent that the fame Tenements should continue in his Name and Blood, covenanted by the faid Indenture, that he and his Heirs (b) 2 Rol. 790. would fland feifed of the faid Tenements to the Use of 1 Co. 176.2. himself for Life; and after his decease to the Use of the 5 Co. 26. a.b. faid Elizabeth his Wife for Life, and after their Deceases, 68.b. 5Co..o. of one Moiety to the Use of the said James in Tail, and of Cr. Jac. 29. the other Moiety to the Use of the said Michael in Tail, 1Rol. Rep. 42! &c. and afterwards Robert died, and all this Matterwas 2 Rol Rep 362, found by special Verdict. And the sole Question was, whe- 1 And 313. ther (as this Case is) any use arose to Elizabeth his Wife or 1 Brown 191. not. And it was objected, that the Wife was not within Moor 192, the Confiderations which were expressed in the Indenture, 73. 1 Vent. and no other Confideration can be averred than is contained 363. in the Deed, for the whole Substance of the Agreement of (c) & Co. 5. h. the Parties was referred to the Deed, and the whole ought 2 And 47 to appear therein, and nothing is left to the Word or Aver- N. Bordi. 39. ment of the Parties. To which it was answered and resol- Benl. in Kelw ved, That a Confideration which stands with the Deed, and 208.2 Rol. Rep. 63. is not repugnant to it, might be well (b) averred, as it is 21nft.672.Ow. adjudged in 3 & 4 Phil. & Mar. Dyer 146. in (c) Vil- 33. Raym. 47. ler's Case; which see in the first Part of my Reports in So. Cart. 140. Mildway's Case, 176. a. 2. Admitting that other Conside- 506, 507. ration than what is expressed in the Deed could not be a Moor 93, 505. verred, yet in this Case there is an express Consideration: 25 a, 2Rol, 782, For when he limits it to the Use of his Wife for Term of 785. Jenk. her Life, that imports a sufficient Consideration in it Cent. 289 Cro. felf; and there needs not any Averment; for (e) manifesta [ac. 168, 624,

proba- (e) 11Co.25.a.

(a)8Co.126.b. 9 Co. 54. b. Doct. pl. 86. Br.Mortdan.8.

(b) Plow.49.b.

c) 1Rol. Re. 68. Plowd. 304. a. 1 And. 79. Cro. Jac. 624. Cro. Car. 530. 8 Co. 94. a. I lones 419. * 8 Co. 94. a. 11Co.24.b.25.a 1 Vent. 138. Palm.214.215. Winch. 59, 60. (d)27H.8.c.16. (e) Co. Lit. 183. b. 5 Čo. 97. a. 11 Co. 24. b. Godb . 449. Latch. 265. Raymond 46. Cart. 146.

trobatione non indigent, as in 13 H. 4. 17. a. where the Stat. Westm. 1. cap. 38. ordains, That the Writ of Affise of Mortdauncester have the Term of Limitations from the Coronation of King H. 3. there it is held, That if an Infant brings an Affife of Mortdauncester of the Poffession of his Father or Mother, he (a) need not alledge, that it was after the Coronation of King H. 3. for it appears. So if the Father, Tenant by Knight's Service enfeoffs his Son and Heir apparent within Age, it need not be averred to be by (b) Collusion, for it is apparent. Wimbish's Case, Pl. Com. and 27 H. 8. Dacre's Cafe. 33 H. 6. 14. 33 H. 6. 32. & quædam tacita habentur pro expressis. So if I covenant that in Confideration of paternal Love and Affection to my eldest Son, to stand seised to the Use of my eldest Son for Life or in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of my second Son in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of such one my Coufin in Fee, altho' the Confideration expressed in Words respects only the eldest Son, yet the Consideration apparent in the Deed, in limiting the Use to my second Son, or my Coufin is sufficient in Law to raise the Use. So if I covenant to stand seifed to the Use of my Wife, Son, (c) or Cousin, it shall well raise an use without any express Words of Cosideration: For sufficient Consideration appears, and Paternal Love Cart. 138, 146, and Affection appear. But if the Father by Deed indented in Confiderat. of an * Hundred Poundspaid by the Son, covenants to stand seised to the Use of his Son, there no Use shall be raised to the Son, unless the Deed be enrolled, by the Stat. of 27 (d) H. 8. cap. 10. For it is in the Nature of a Bargain and Sale, and there (e) expressum facit cessare tacitum. And afterwards on this Judgment a Writ of Erfor was brought the same Term on the (f) new Stat. and by all the Justices of the Common Pleas, and Barons of the Ex-(f)27 El.c. 8. chequer, the Judgment was affirmed. Quod nota bene.

Mich. 5 Jacobi.

Beresford's Cafe.

IN the Court of Wards between James Beresford Relator, Cr. Juc. 448. and Thomas Beresford, and others Defendants, the Case 191.
was briefly such; Aden Beresford being seised of the Ma-2 Rol. Rep.
nors of Fennibently, Bircham, and other Lands in Fee, by Lit. Rep. 320,
his Deed, 14 Junii, 40 El. did enseoss William Fleetweed, 347.
and others in Fee to the Uses of certain Indentures bearing Hutt. 85. and others in Fee to the Uses of certain Indentures bearing Date the 20th of Novemb. 34 Eliz. scil. to the Use of the Aden the Father, for Term of his Life, and after his decease to the Use of George Beresford, Son and Heir apparent of the said Aden, and the Heirs Males of his Body lawfully begotten; and for default of such Issue to the Use of Aden Beresford, Son of James Beresford, and of the Heirs Males of the Said Aden, Son of the Said James, lawfully begotten, and for default of fuch Issue to the Use of the Heirs Males of the Body of the faid James Beresford lawfully begotten; and for default of such Issue, to the Use of Thomas Beresford, third Son of the said Aden, and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the faid Thomas, lawfully begotten; and for default of fuch Issue, to the Use of Humph. Beresford, fourth Son of the faid Aden, and of the Heirs Males of his Body, lawfully begotten, with divers Remainders over, and with Remainder to the Heirs Females of the Body of George, and so of Aden, the Son of James, lawfully begotten, &c. with the Remainder to the right Heirs of Aden the Father for ever. And the only Question of the Case was, What Estate Aden the Son of James had? and this Case was twice argued before the two Ch. Justices, and Ch. Baron, at Serj. Inn. And it was objected, That Aden the Son of James had not Fee-tail but Fee-simple; for the Limitation to him is, To the Uje of Aden, and of the Heirs Males of the said Aden lawfully begotten; and here want these Words (of the Body) of the said Aden, so that now the Limitation is in effect, only to the Use of Aden, and the Heirs Males of the Said Aden,

one.

(a) Moor 424. Lit. Rep. 287, 347. El.478.Hut.86.

Aden, for the subsequent words (lawfully begotten) are implied; for every Heir ought to be lawfully begotten. And a limitation to one, and to his heirs males, is without question a feesimple. And a Judgm. in the K's bench between (a) Abraham and Trigg, Hill. 38 Fl. rot. 739. was strongly urged, where a feoffm, was made to the uses of certain indentures (as this case is) where one limitat. was, ad opus & usum Gabrielis Dormer & heredum masculor suor' legitime procreator' & pro desectu talis exitus, to the use of divers others in tail in remainder: and upon argum. at the bar and bench, it was adjudged, That Gabriel had a fee-simple; for it is not limited of what body the heirs males should be begotten, but his intent was, qd' finguli beredes fui majouli should inherit, which intent did not stand with the rule of Law; and altho' a remainder be limited over, which could not be upon a fee-fimple, yet that could not against the rule of law make words of fee-fimple to be converted to an estate-tail. And they concluded with Litt. fo. 6.b. that the reafon wherefore when lands are given to one and his heirs males, or females, it is a fee-simple, is, because it is not limited by the gift of (b) what body the issue male or female shall be begotten and so cannot in any manner be taken by the equity of the Stat. de donis conditionalibus, and therefore it is a fee-fimple. So in the case at bar; and therewith agrees 8 E. 3. 49. where lands were given to one, & beredibus Juis legitimis, the same is fee-fimple, which is all one with a limitat. to one, & heredibus fuis legitime procreatis. But it was answered and resolved by the faid Ch. Justices and Ch. Baron, (c) that the faid Aden fon of the faid 7. had an estate-tail, by which all the remainders over were lawfully vested: For it was agreed that to an estate in tail is requisite in all gifts and limitations of uses, that the heirs be limited to be procreated or begotten on some Body in certain, either by express words, or by words which tantamount (d) for these precise words (de corpore) are not necess. to the creation of an Estate-tail, so long as there are words which are equivalent; as in (c) 5 H. 5. 6. a. b. where the gift was **Dedi** unum mefuag. R. & K. uxor' ejus & heredib' eor' & aliis heredib. dist'R.si dist. hared' de R. & K. executes obierint sine haredib' de se. In that case these words (de corpore) are omitted, and yet it was adjudged a good estate-tail, for there are words which are equivalent; for the gift in effect is, to the Husb. and Wife, and to the heirs of the husb and wife begot' or of the faid R. & K. excunt. or heredib' de se, and all this is by force of this preposition (de) and (issuing.) And in (f) 12H.4. brev. (2) Co.Lit.20.b. land was given to one, & hæredib quos sibi contigerit habere de uxor' sua, here wanted words (de corpore) yet because it

> doth amount to as much, it is adjudged an estate-tail. Edw. 3. Brev. 743. (g) Land is given to & hæredibus suis de prima uxore sua, it is a good Estate-tail. And it was resolved, if Land be given to

(b) Lit. Se St. 31. Co. Lit. 27. a.

(e) Hutt, 87. .. Cr.Jac.44.8,591. 2 Siderf. 42.

(d) Lit. Rep.6. 2 Sidert. 73. Cr. El. 40. Cro. Lir. 27. b. (e) Pork. Sect. 169. 2 Rol, Rep. 196. Lit. Rep. 260, 320. Bridgm.1. Br. Tail 12. Br, Eftares 62. Fitz, Tail 11. Co. Lit. 20. b. 1 Bulftr. 222. (f)Cr Jac.591. Co. Lit. 20. b.

one. & harcdibus de se exeuntibus, that it is a good estatetail. And in these Cases, the principal Cause is by Force of this Preposition (de). And divers other Cases were put, for which Cause it was concluded, That either Words (de corpore) or which are equivalent, are requisite to the Creation of an Estate-tail. And it was resolved in the Case at Bar. there were Words which were equivalent; for by the Star. de donis conditionalibus, voluntas donatoris in charta doni sui manifeste expressa de cetero observetur; and therefore in this Case such Construction shall be made as will produce 3 Effects, 1. To fland with the Rule of Law, 2. With the Intent of the Donor. 3. That all the Parts of the Indenture may stand together. And therefore translate the faid Limitation to Aden into Latin, and then the Limitation is. ad usum Adeni & hæredum masculorum de dicto Ation is, ad ujum Adeni & pæredum majouwi um ac accio A-deno legitime procreatorum, or & hæred' masculorum legi- (a)Cr. Jac. 591. Perk. Sect. 169. time procreatorum de dicto Adeno, which is as much as if he Antea 40. b. had said, per diet' Adenum legitime procreat'; for it is all 2Rol Rep. 196. one in Effect: For this Word (de) or (ex) coupled with the Lir. Rep. 260, 320. Bridgm. 2. Word subsequent procreat doth appropriate the Heirs Males Br. estates 62. to be of the Body of Aden; for de Adeno, or ex Adeno, Fitz. tail, 11 and de corpore Adeni, are all one. And that is directly Bulltr.222. proved by the Judgment in the faid Case of (a) 5 H.5.6.a.b. (b) Moor 424. For there was (de, and (execunt.) and here is (de) and (pro-Antez 40.b. creat.) which are all one in Effect. And in the faid Case of Lit. Rep. 287, Abraham (b) and Trigge there wanted (de). And as to that Hux. 86. it was objected, That the Limitation in Latin might well (e) Wing. be, ad usum dicti Adeni, & hæredum masculorum dicti A- Max. 233. deni (in the Genitive Case) legitime procreat. and not (de Br.estates 36. dicto Adeno) or if the Limitation be not certain to make B. rail 20. an Estate-tail, there are Words certain enough to make an Perk Sect, 171. Estate in Fee. To which it was answered and resolved: Cr. Jac. 591. I. It ought to be, de dicto Adeno; for otherwise it would be 37Ass. pl. 15. against the Meaning of the Donor, and all the Remainders Br. estates 61. over would be void; and always such (c) Construction ought (f) 39 sst.pl.20 to be made, that all the Parts of the Deed may stand to-Br. estares 38. gether, if it may fland with the Rule of the Law. 2. The Br. tail 23. subsequent Clause is, (and for Default of such Issue) Co.Lit. 20.D. and Iffue cannot be of Aden, unless the Words be (de dicto A- 22. a. ... El. deno) and therefore one Clause is well explained by the other. 153-313.

And according to this Resolution the Case was decreed ac- 1 Ventr, 228. cordingly. Vide 35 (d) Assign pla. 14. (e) 37 Ass. pla. 14. (f) 39 Palm. 33. Aff. pla. 20. 24 Edw. 3. 28. 18 Edw. 2. Brief 836.

Mich. 4 Jac.

KENN'S Cafe.

Cr. Jac. 186. Jenk.Cent.289.

IN the Court of Wards between Thomas Robertson, and Elizabeth his Wife, Plaintiff, and Florence Lady StaliRol.360. H.3. lenge, Defendant, the Case was such. Christopher Kenn Esq; was seised of the Manor of Kenn in the County of Somerset, held by Knights Service in capite, and 37 Hen. 8. de facto took to Wife Elizabeth Stowel, and afterward the faid Elizabeth Stowel had Iffue Martha, Mother of Elizabeth, one of the now Plaintiffs; and afterwards 1 & 2 P. & Mar. in the Court of Audience, between the faid Christopher Kenn Plaintiff, and Elizabeth Stowel Defendant, the Judge there gave Sentence in these Words; Prætens. tractat. contract. sponsalia, & matrimonium, quin verius effigiem matrimonii inter Chr. Kenn, & Eliz. Stowel in minore & sua impubertatis ætate eorundem aut eorum alterius de fact', habit. contract. & celebrat. fuisse & esse eosdemque Christophorum & Eliz. tam tempore contractus, & solemnizationis diet prætens. matrimonii, quam etiam continuo postea eidem matrimonio pratens. & solemnizationi ejusdem, dissensisse, contravenisse, reclamasse & reluctasse, ac eo prætextu hujusmodi prætens. tractat. contract. sponsalia. & matrimonium de jure nullum & nulla, irritum & irrita, cassum & cassa, invalidum & invalida, & minus effican & inefficacia, fuisse & este, viribusque juris caruisse, carere, & carere debere; necnon antedictos Christ. Kenn & Eliz. Stowel, quatenus de facto fuer. ad invicem matrimon. ut pradicitur copulat, ab invicem separand. & divorciand. fore debere pronunciamus, decernimus, & declaramus, eosg; feparamus, & divorciamus, eisdemque Christophoro & Eliz. licentiam & libertatem ad alia vota convolanda concedimus. tribuimus, & impertimur per hanc sententiam nostram definitivam, sive hoc finale nostrum decretum, quam sive quod serimus & promulgamus in hiis scriptis, &c. And after the faid Divorce the faid Christopher Kenn married and took to Wife Elizabeth Beckwith. And afterwards, anno 5 Eliz. before the Commissioners Ecclesialtical,

fiaffical, the faid E. Beckw. did libel against the faid Ch. Kenn, that he (before the marriage contracted betwixt'em) had married with the faid E. Stowel, whereupon process was awarded against the said E. pro interesse, and on due Examinat. of the cause there was a sentence, that the marriage betwixt the said Ch. Kenn and E. Beckw. was lawful, and fentenced'em ad exequenda conjugal' obsequia, &c. And that the said Ch. Kenn was never lawfully married to the faid E. Stowel; and afterwards the faid E. Beckw. died, after whose death the faid Ch. Kenn married the faid Florence, by whom he had iffue one daughter E. and died; and ann. 36 El. it was found by office in the county of Som. by force of a Mandamus, after the death of the faid Ch. Kenn, that the faid E. Kenn was his daughter and heir, and that she was within age, sc. of the age of ten months. The wardship and custody of whom the Q. granted to Sir. N. Stallenge, and the faid Flor. then his wife: Whereupon the faid Martha pretending her felf to be daughter and heir to the faid Ch. Kenn, with her Husb. Silv. Williams exhibited their bill in the court of Wards against the said Sir N. and Florence, surmifing that the said Martha was daughter and heir of the said Ch. Kenn on the body of E. Stowel his lawful wife (as she pretended) alledging that they at the time of their marriage, in an.37 H.8. were both of em above the age of confent, and that they did cohabittogether 9 or 10 years before the faid suppofed divorce, during which Cohabitat. the faid Martha was gotten betwixt 'em, and prayed leave that the faid Sil. and M. might traverse the said office. To which the said Sir N. and Flor. answered, and the Pl. examined divers witnesses, and before Publicat. Sir N. died; and thereupon the faid Sil. and M. exhibited a bill of Revivor against the said Flor. and afterwards M. having iffue El. wife of the now Pl. died, after whose death the faid T. Robertson and El. his wife brought a new bill of Revivor to revive the first Suit, in which the Witnesfes were examined. And this Case was referred to Fleming and Coke Ch. Justices, and to Tansfield Ch. Baron, and to Yelverton and Williams Justices, and Snigg and Altham Barons of the Exchequer. And in this Case 3 Questions were moved. r. If against the first Divorce the Plaintiffs should be received, fo long as it remain'd in force, to aver, that they did agree and confent to the marriage; or that they should be concluded by the faid Divorce. 2. The second Question was, if they should have a Traverse to the said Office before an Office found for them. 3. If they should have a Bill of Revivor upon a Bill of Revivor, as this Case is, and the Juflices heard many Arguments before them at feveral Days and in several Terms at Serjeants Inn. And as to the 1st it was objected, that it appears by Litt. lib. 2. fol. 22. and by the Statute of Merton, c. 6. and 35 H. 6. 40, b. &c. That the (a) Lir. Sect. 104 Common Law and Parliaments have taken Notice of the Co.Lit. 9a.b. Age of Consent of the Male to be (a, fourteen, and of the Fe-2 Inst. 90.

male to be 12; and therefore it is triable at the com. law. And if in truth the Husb. and Wife are of age of confent at the time of the marriage, no divorce after, pretending that they were within age of confent shall conclude the parties or their heirs, but they may prove the contrary at the com. law and chiefly in the case at bar, because it concerns inheritance and the true descent thereof; & sententia contra matrimon' nunquam transit in rem judicat'. 2. Admitting that they were within age of confent, and after age of confent they affent or cohabit, and have iffue, altho' a divorce for impuberty and minority of years be had, yet it is but evidence, and shall not conclude the parties in any Act'n at the com. law to prove the affent, for inafmuch as the divorce is had for that case, and the cause is triable by the common law, the divorce shall not conclude. And 11H.7.27.a. was cited, that he who pleads a divorce ought to shew the cause of the divorce; and if the cause be triable at the com. law (as it is in the case at bar) there it shall not conclude; but if it be athing not triable at the com. law, as a precontract, profession, &c. there it is otherwife. But as to that it was resolved by all the said Just, and Barons, that the faid fentence should conclude as long as it remained in force, and that for divers causes. 1. The Ecclesiastic. (a) Judge hath fentenced the contract and marriage to be void and of no effect, and altho' they were of the age of confent, yet if the original contract was void, and of no effect, then there was just cause of divorce. 2. There were words of divorce and separation, eofd' divorciamus & separamus; and gives each of them liberty ad alia vota convolanda. 3. If the marriage had been infra ann. nubiles, the Ecclefiast. Judge is Judge as well of the affent as of the first contract, and what shall be a fufficient affent or not; and altho' the Ecclefiaffic. Judge shews the (b) Jenk Cem. (b) cause of his sentence, yer for smuch as he is Judge of the original matter, fc. of the lawfulness of the marriage, we will never exantine the cause whether it be true or not; for of things, (the cognizance whereof belongs to the Ecclefiaftical (c) Jenk. Cent. Court) we ought to give (c) credit to their fentences, as they give to the Judgm. in our courts. Also the rule of their law is, qd' masculi quidem puberes, famina viri potentes matrimon' con-5 Co. 7 a. majoun quidem puveres, jæminæviri potentes matrimon con-Caudry's Case. sentiri possunt; and the Determinat. thereof doth belong to their cognizance. And as to the cafe of 11 H.7.27.d. it is true, That the cause of the divorce ought to be shewed, because some divorces dissolve the marriage, fc. a vinculo matrimonii, bastardise the issue, and barthe wife of her dower, and some a mensa & thoro, which do not diffolve the marriage, nor bar the wife of dower, nor bastardize the issue; but in the case of Deprivar. the (d) cause need not be shewed, for be it right or wrong, upon cause or without cause, it stands, and every deprivation

disables and removes the Party deprived, as well for one Cause as for another, as long as the Deprivation remains

(a) Cr.Jac. 186.

289.

289. 4Co.49 a. 2 Rol. 7. 8 Co. 135 b. 2 Ventr. 43. Cawly 31.

(d)1Sid.71,251.

inforce. Vide 8 Aff. 9 (a) E.4.24.a. 7 E.4. (b) 32.a. 3 H.6. 12 H.8.5. (a) Br. plead-And Coke C. Just cited the case in 2 E.4. Tit. Consultat. 5. Corbet's ing 37. case, where the case was, that SirR. Corb. had by Eliz. his wife 2 fons, Rob. the elder, and Roger the younger, and died; Rob. the Bi. Abbe & elder being within the age of 14 years, took one Maud to wife, Prior 14. and at full age they cohabited together, & habuerunt carnal' Br. pleadings copulam, & cogniti & reputati pro viro & uxore palam; and afterwards the faid Rob. put away the faid Mand his wife. having no issue by her, and married one Lettice, &c. living the faid Mand, and had iffue Rob. by her, and afterwards Rob. who fo married Lettice, died, and Lettice declared publickly. that she was the lawful wife of Rob. and her son a Mulier, for which the faid Roger the fon of the faid SirRob. Corbett fued in the spirit' court to reverse the marriage betw. Rob. his brother and Lett. and that Lett. be put to filence; for which cause Lett. sued a Prohibit. And in that case 3 points were resolved. 1. That if Rob. and Mand had had iffue and had been unjustly divorced, and afterwards Rob. had married Lett. and had iffue and died, the iffue of Rob. and Maud might fue in the ecclefiaffical court to avoid the divorce, for so long as the divorce flood in force (the com.law gives fo great credit (c) to it) the (c) Jenk. Cent: iffue could not have remedy by the com. law. And note in 289. 4Co.49.a. the case no cause of the divorce doth appear, but because the 2 Rol.7. marriage was had when the parties were infra annos nubiles; Co. 7. 2. Caudry's Cale. and in the said case of divorce, the issue shall have his suit to 8 Co. 135. b. reverse it originally in the spiritual Court, for the divorce is a 2 Ventr. 43. foiritual Ludom, and ought to be reversed in the spiritual court. Cawly 31. spiritual Judgm. and ought to be reversed in the spiritual court. But in the case of Corbett there is no divorce, nor other spiritual Judgm. that should disable the said Roger; and therefore he ought originally to begin at the com. law as heir, and he shall have all Act ns in the temporal Court as heir, and all benefits as heir against the issue of Let. notwithstand. the 2d marriage; for that is void in all laws temporal and spirit', and the action and original to ballardize any, shall not be moved originally in the spiritual court, when no spiritual fentence doth disable him, but he shall begin in the temp. court. and then if need be, they shall write to the spiritual Judge, if general baftardy be alledged; and the reason thereof is, because a man may be a bastard in the temporal law, and Mulier in the spirit law, and e converso. As a man who is begotten in adultery during the coverture is Mulier by the temp' law, and bastard by the spiritual law. And if a man (d) beats a (d) F.N.B. st. clerk if he sues in the spiritual court to excommunicate him K.52.f. 12H.7. clerk, if he sues in the spiritual court to excommunicate him 23.a. 4Co.20.b. for the offence, he doth well; but if he sues there to have a- 5 Co. 51. a. mends, he shall have a Prohibit. 2. It was resolved in the said case of Corbett, That when the spiritual court shall have Jurisdiction, the whole cause ought to be spiritual; as if a parfon libels against a lay-man for taking away his Tithes, the temporal Court shall have Jurisdiction, for it is mixt with the Temporalty: And in the same Case the Matter

is heir, and that the other is a baftard; but if the faid cafe of tithes be between parson and parson, or between a parson

(a) 2Inft.488.

and a lay-man, if the right of tithes comes in question, the spirit' court shall have the Jurisdict. See the Star. de circumspecte agatis, where it is said, That (a) mere spiritualia belong to the Ecclefiast Cognizance. And Linwood, cap. de fo-

(b) 2 Inst.488.

ro competent. fo. 7. faith, mere spiritualia sic dicta, quia non babent mixturam temporali': And where the faid Act faith, de mortali peccato, Linwood expounds it, and faith, Non(b) intelligas de omni peccato mortali, sed de tali cujus punitio de sua natura spectat ad forum ecclesiast': nam si de ratione cu-

justib' peccati mortal' cognosceret ecclesia, sic periret temporal' gladii jurisdictio, cum vix esset dare caus. quin ratione peccati possit deferri ad Eccles. 3. It was resolved in the said case of Corb. That when the whole cause is originally spiritual, yet if after in the spiritual court they are to try a temporal conusance, a Prohibit. shall issue; as if one parson saith, that

the place is within his parish, and the other contrary, after such matter shewed, a Prohibit. shall issue, and therewith agree 30

(c) Fitz. jurisdiction 39. Br.Jurisdict.30.

(d) 1 Rol. 360. i Brownl. 42. Moor 228. Co. Lit.244.2. Noy 29. 4 Mod. 182. Comb. 200. Salk.151,548.

E.3.23. & (c) 5H.5.10.b. Note Reader, a good difference between a repeal of a fentence of divorce given in the life of the parties, and to give fentence of divorce after the death of the parties. For it appears by the faid case of 22 E.4. that a sentence of divorce may be repealed in the spirit' court by a suit there after the death of the parties, but if any of the parties be (d) dead before any divorce sentenced in the ecclesiast? court, there they cannot fue in the spirit' court, to declare the marriage void, and to baffardize the iffue. For the trial doth originally belong to the K's court, where no disability is by fentence in the spirit' court, and therewith agree 39 Aff.p. 10. 39 E.3.31. and 24 H.8. Tit. Baftardy 44. b. That a divorce after the death of any of the parties, or a fentence declaratory that the marriage was void after the death of any of the parties, shall not bind'em; for it is but in effect to bastardize the iffue of which they have not originally cogniz as hath been faid. Vide 19 Aff.p.2. that if 2 be married infra an. nubiles, and after the full age a divorce be betwixt them, it disfolves the marriage, for there the Wife brought an affife against her Husb. See Bury's cafe in the 5th Part of, my Rep. f. 98. and fee Cawdry's case in the same book; and see Bunting's case in the 4th Part of my Rep. f. 29. & 11 H.7.9. 34 H.6.14. 12 H.8.5. As to the 2d point it was objected, that the Plaintiff should have a traverse without any office found for him; for when a direct and suffic. office is found in one county by force of a Diem claufit extremum, or Mandamus, after the Death of the Ancest, there shall never be an office found again for the same land, as long as that stands in its force; for otherwise the law

would never have an End; and therewith agree 4 H. 4.

15. 14 E. 4. 5. 15 E. 4. 11. 2 H. 7. 12, 18. and therefore it would be hard to compel him to find an Office for him,

Cr. Jac. 186.

before he can traverse; where by the Law he cannot find in such Case any Office. 2. It was objected, That the Statue of 2 2 Inst. 688, E. 6. c. 8. hath remedied it if any Office were requisite by the 689, &c. Common Law, the Words of which Act are; And whereas one Person or more is or shall be found Heir to the King's Tenant by Office where any other Person is or shall be Heir, or if one Person or more be or shall be found Heir by Office in one County, and another Person or Persons is or shall be found Heir to the same Party in another County, &c. Be it enacted, that every Person grieved by any such Office shall and may have his or their Traverse to the same immediately, or after, at his or their Pleasure, and proceed and have like Trial and Advantage, as in other Cases of Traverse. By which it appears, that the Party grieved shall have a Traverse (without speaking of any Office) and proceed and have fuch Advantage as in other Cases of Traverse; and in other Cases of Traverse there needs not any Jenk. Cent. 289: Office. But it was resolved, That as this Case at Bar is, the Pl. ought to have an Office found before he can traverse. And as to Co.Lit.77.b. the first Objection it was answered and resolved, that in such 2 Inst. 690. special Case of finding of an Heir, he who is right Heir and grieved by the Office, shall have a new Writ of Diem clauset extremum, or Mandamus. For he is a Stranger to the faid Office, and therefore the Office shall not conclude him. And the said Rule, and the Books are to be intended, That the same Person shall not have a new Diem clauset extremum, or Mandamus, after an Office once duly found, but another Person shall have one in that Case to prove himself Heir, and therewith agree 30 Aff. p. 28. F.N.B. 261, 262. 4H. 7. 15.b. 12 R. 2. Livery 28. Stamf. prarog. 52.b. And that there ought to be an Office before he can traverse, the Common Law therein hath great Reason; for when the King is sure of Wardship, or Primer Seisin by the Office, it is not Reason that any one who pretends himself Heir should traverse the Office that the other is not Heir, until the King be fure to have Profit by him, either by Wardship or primer Seisin; for then after the first Office avoided by Traverse, he might shew Matter to bar the King of Wardship and Primer Seisin, which would not be reasonable: Also at the Common Law Interpleader lies, where by two feveral Offices in one and the same County several Perfons are severally found Heirs to one and the same Person, to one and the same Land; Ergo the Party grieved may have a Writ to find an Office for him; for otherwise no Interpleader can be; for the Heir who was first found Heir shall have a Scire facias in the Chancery, against him who is found Heir by the second Office, (because the King is in Doubt to whom to make Livery) upon which if he appear, and justify the second Office, for the Trial of the Privity of the Blood, then he ought to traverse the first Office, (for all the Interpleading shall be thereupon) and upon the Trial thereof, he who is found Heir shall have Livery. So that it clearly appears, that he who traverses the Office in fuch Case ought to have an Office found for him by the Common Law; and therewith agree 36 E. 3. Travers. 44. 16 E. 4. 4. Fitz. Nat. Br. 262. For he who ought to fue Livery,

Cro.Jac. 186.

Livery, ought to have an office before he traverses. Otherwife of a Stranger who destroys the King's Title. Vide 26 E.3. Travers. 44. 12 E. 4. 18.b. 16 E. 4.4.a. 43 Ass. 20. 9 H. 7.24. 5E. 4, 5. 12 H.6. 46 E.3. bre. 618. As to the 2d Objection, it was answered and resolved, That the said Act of 2 E.6. gives not a traverse to him who pretends himself to be Heir against an Office finding for another Heir, without an Office found for him; for that is incident to it, which is not taken away by the general Words of the Act, for then all Interpleaders would be thereby also taken away, which never was the Intention of the Act; but the Intent of the makers of the Act was, to take away a great Doubt that was at the Common Law, if one be found Heir within Age by one Office, and afterwards another is found Heir in the same County of full Age, if any Traverse and Interpleader should be immediately, or if the Traverse and Interpleading should stay until the full Age of the Infant, fuit vexata quastio, as appears in our Books, scil. 36 E. 3 Traverse 44. 5 E.4.4. 1 H. 7.14.a. F.N.B.162. And therefore to oust that doubt was the Stat. of (a) 2 E. 6. made, by which it is enacted, That the Party grieved shall have a traverse immediately, which Word (immediately) proves the Intention of the said Act to provide for the faid Doubt, and to give him who was grieved in such Case a Traverse presently; but not to alter the Foundation of the Traverse, sc. Office, which ought to be found for the Party grieved before he could traverse: And where the Stat. faith, That he shall have a Traverse prefently, it is intended that he ought to observe all (b) Incidents to a Traverse: For the Office is the Ground and Foundation of his Traverle. As to the 3d Point it was re-(c) Cro. Jac. 186. folved by the greater Part, That a Bill of (c) Revivor on a Bill of Revivor should not be admitted for the Infiniteness: For (d) Infinitum in jure reprobatur; and no Writ of Journeys Accompts on Journeys Accompts shall be brought. But it was resolved by all, that as this Case is, the last Bill of Revivor was absurd, for it prays that the first Bill might be revived; and the first Bill prays, That Martha might traverse, and Martha is dead; and therefore the Bill of Revivor ought to have prayed that her Heir might traverse. And so first the Divorce so long as it doth remain in Force doth bind the Right; 2. The not finding of an Office doth disable the Plaintiff to traverse the Office: And lastly, the Bill of Revivor on the Bill of Revivor as this Case is, is not maintainable.

(a) 2E.6.c.8. à İnst. 688, 689, Oc.

(b) 2 Inst. 690.

(d) 6 Co. 45.2 9 Co. 168.b. 12 Co. 24. 2 Init. 340. 2 Bulftr. 99. Hob. 159.