

University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law

UMKC School of Law Institutional Repository

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke

Leon E. Bloch Library Sir Edward Coke
Collection

1727

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., in English, Compleat in Thirteen Parts: The Ninth Part of the Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., Her Majesty's Attorney General

Sir Edward Coke

Follow this and additional works at: https://irlaw.umkc.edu/coke_reports



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

The Ninth PART of the
R E P O R T S
O F
Sir Edward Coke Kt.

Chief Justice of the COMMON PLEAS.
O F

Divers Resolutions and Judgments given upon solemn Arguments, and with great Deliberation and Conference of the Reverend Judges and Sages of the Law, of Cases in Law which were never resolved or adjudged before: And the Reasons and Causes of the said Resolutions and Judgments: Publish'd in the tenth Year of the most High and most Illustrious *JAMES* King of *England, France and Ireland*, and of *Scotland* the 46. the Fountain of all *PIETY* and *JUSTICE*, and the *LIFE* of the *LAW*.

With REFERENCES to all the BOOKS of the *COMMON LAW*, as well Antient as Modern.

Pr. visum est, concordatum & concessum, quod tam majores, quam minores justitiam habeant & recipiant in Curia Domini Regis. Marl. Anno 52 H. III. Cap. 1.

Summa charitas est unicuique facere justitiam omni tempore cum opus fuerit. Westm. 1. Anno 3 Ed. I. Cap. 50.

In the *SAVOY*:

Printed by E. and R. NUTT, and R. GOSLING, (Assigns of *Faw. Sayer* Esq;) for D. BROWNE, J. WALTHOE, B. LINTOT, R. GOSLING, W. HEARS, T. WARD, W. JENNY, J. OSBOYN, T. WOODWARD, J. HOOKE, F. CLAY, T. WATTON, R. WILLIAMSON and A. WARD.

M DCC XXVII.

Deo, Patriæ, Tibi.

CUM tantillum hoc meum, in præfatione octavi mei operis, ex historicarum consensu, apud scientes Lectores (dum monumentis Judicialibus haud dubio quadrat) optatos a deo produxit effectus, adjicere nonnulla visum est, quibus suadeor & satisfactioni & solamini addatur eorum, qui soli natalis Leges municipales (id quod omnes oportet) colunt pariter ac amant.

Multum antiquus & non minus elaboratus penes me est tractatus de Legibus & Consuetudinibus hujus regni, quibus res hujus nostræ gentis publicæ 1100. ab hinc retroactis annis agebantur. Titulum simul & hujus libri materiam dicat ipse author, his verbis: Quel summe ieo appelloi Mirror aux Justices, so-

Seeing the light Touch I gave in my Preface to my eighth Work out of Consent of History, hath with the judicious Reader (finding it consonant to judicial Record) wrought so good Effect, I will add somewhat thereunto, which I am persuaded will add to their Satisfaction and Solace therein, who do reverence and love (as all Men ought) the national Laws of their native Country.

I have a very ancient and learned Treatise of the Laws and Usages of this Kingdom, whereby this Realm was governed about 1100. Years past, of the Title and Subject of which Book the Author shall tell you himself in these Words. Which Summary I have intituled, the Mirror of Justices, according to the

In Proemio.
The Book called the Mirror of Justices.

To the R E A D E R.

Virtues and Substances *imbellies* which I have observed, and which have been used by holy Customs since the Time of King *Arthur*, &c.

lonque ceo que jeo trova les vertues & les substances imbellies, & puis le temps le Roy *Arthur* uses per saint Usages, &c.

Cap. 1. Sect. 1.
The Laws warranted by holy Scripture.
Why they be called the Common Law
Councils general or Parliaments.

And soon after. The Law whereof this Summary is made, is, of ancient Usages warranted by holy Scripture; and because it is generally given to all, it is therefore called Common. And for that there is no other Law but this, this alone of Antiquities, is by general Councils or Parliaments permitted to be used by holy Usages, &c.

Et paulo post. *La Ley dont cest summe est fait, est escrie des auncient usages garrant de saint Escripture; Et pur ceo que est generalment done a tous, est appelle Commune. Et pur ceo que nul autre Ley est forsque cela, ele un dantiquties in Councells generals ou Parliaments est suffer destre use per saints Usages, &c.*

In this Book in Effect appeareth the whole Frame of the antient Common Laws of this Realm, as by these few Particulars shall appear: As the Diversity and Distinction of the Courts of Justice (which are officinæ legis.) And first of the High Court of Parliament, which Court is mentioned before by the Name of Council general or Parliament, and cap. 1. sect 3. King Alfred ordaineth for a Usage perpetual, that twice in the Year, or oftner if Need be, they shall assemble themselves at London to treat in Parliament of the Government of the

Totum fere antiquiorum hujus regni Legum Communium contextum habet hic liber, (ut hisce particularibus satis liquebit): cujusmodi sunt Diversitas & Distinctio Curiarum justitiæ (quæ ipsius sane Legis sunt officinæ) Primum itaque de suprema Curia parliamentaria, quæ cum ante memorata sit appellatione Concilii generalis sive parliamenti, tum cap. 1. sect. 3. Le Roy Alfred ordeigna pur usage perpetuell, que a deux foits per lan, ou plus sovent pur mistier, in temps de peace se assembler a Londres pur parlementer sur le guidement

The High Court of Parliament.
Cro. Arg. 54.

To the R E A D E R.

appells Views de Frankpledges.

9. De Curia Comitatus. *Un court teignent les visconts de mois en mois, ou de cinq semaines en cinq selon leur greindure & largesse de pais: & celles courts sont appellees Counties, ou les Judgments se font per les sutors si breve ne y soit: Et ceo est garant de Jurisdiction ordinary.*

10. De Curiiis Dominicalibus, & Hundredorum. *Lautres mean courts sont les courts de chescun Seigneur del fief, &c.*

11. De Curia pedis pulverizati. *Et que de jour en jour soi bastast droit de estrangiers en faires & markets, come de poudrons selonque le ley Merchand.*

12. Court de Admiraltie. *Le Roy eit souveraigne jurisdiction sur la mer.*

13. De Curiiis Forestæ. *Les ministers le Roy de ses forests ont power per authority de leur office, a mitter gents al serem't sans breve le Roy pur le salvac' de la pees & pur le droit le Roy, & pur le common prou, &c.*

Sureties: And therefore are such Views called Views of Frankpledge.

9. The County Court. The Sheriffs hold a Court from Month to Month, or from five Weeks to five Weeks, according to the Greatness and Largeness of the Country: And these Courts are called Counties, where the Judgments are given by the Suitors, if there be no Writ: And this is warranted by ordinary Jurisdiction.

10. Court-Barons and Hundred-Courts. The other mean Courts, are the Courts of every Lord of the Fee, &c.

11. Courts of Pipowders. And that from Day to Day speedy Justice be done to Strangers in Fairs and Markets, as of Pipowders, according to the Law of Merchants.

12. Court of Admiralty. The King hath sovereign Jurisdiction upon the Sea.

13. Courts of the Forest. The King's Ministers of his Forest have Power by Authority of their Office, to swear Men without the King's Writ, for the Safeguard of the Peace, and for the King's Right and the common Good, &c.

Cap. 1. Sect. 15.
The County Court.

Cap. 1. Sect. 15.
Court-Baron and Hundred-Court.

Court of Pipowders.
Cap. 1. Sect. 3. and Sect. 15.
Of mean Courts.

Cap. 1. Sect. 3.
Court of Admiralty.

Cap. 1. Sect. 13.
Courts of the Forest.

He

To the R E A D E R.

ment del people de Dieu, coment gents soy garderent de pecher, viverent en quiet, receiverent droit per certain usages & saints Judgements, &c.

2. De Curia Cancellariæ. Ordeign fuit que chescun eyt del Chaucery le Roy briefe remedial a sa plaint sans nul difficultie, &c.

In temps le Roy Alfred n'estoit nul briefe de Grace, eins fueront tous briefs remedials, grantables come de Det per vertue de serement, &c.

3. De Banco Regis, Chiefe Justices teignants les plees le Roy. Et deinde, Al office de chiefe Justices appent les tortionious Judgements, & les torts & les erreurs d'autres Justices redresser & punier per briefe, nequidant de faire venir devaunt le Roy les parties & le Record ovesque le briefe original; Et per devant tiels Justices sont tous briefes pleadables, retournables & terminables, ou mention est fait devant le Roy mesme, &c. Et cy appent a lour office d'oyer & terminer tous plaints faits de personal torts faits a 12 lieus dentour le Roy: Et les Goales delivrer des

People of God, how they should keep themselves from Sin, should live in Quiet, and should receive Right, by certain Laws and holy Judgments, &c.

2. In the Court of Chancery. It was ordained, that every one, upon Complaint, should have out of the King's Chancery, a Writ remedial, without any Difficulty, &c.

In the Time of King Alfred there was no Writ of Grace, but all Writs were remedial, grantable (as of Duty,) by virtue of an Oath, &c.

3. The King's Bench. Chief Justices holding Pleas of the King. And soon after. To the Office of the Chief Justices belongeth to redress and punish by Writ the wrongful Judgments, Wrongs, and Errors of other Justices, And to cause to come before the King the Parties and the Record with the original Writ. And before these Justices are all Writs pleadable, returnable, and determinable where it is mentioned, before the King himself, &c. It belongeth also to their Office, to hear and determine all Plaints of personal Wrongs,

Cap. 1. Sect. 3.
The Court of Chancery.
Cap. 5. Sect. 1.

Cap. 4. De Jurisdictione.
The King's Bench.

To the R E A D E R.

Wrongs, done within 12 Miles of the King: And to deliver the Gaol of Prisoners deliverable: And to determine all that is determinable by Justices in Eire, and more or less according to the Nature of their Commission.

Cap. 4. Sect. eodem.
The Court of Common Pleas.

4. The Court of Common Pleas. To the Justices of the Bench Power is given to take Fines, to hear and determine grand Assises, Common Pleas, &c.

Cap. eodem. Sect. eodem.
The Court of Exchequer.

5. The Court of Exchequer. Moreover the Barons of the Exchequer have Jurisdiction over the King's Receivers and Bailiffs, and of the Alienation of the Fiefs (or Fees) and Rights belonging to the King, and to the Rights of his Crown, &c.

Cap. 1. Sect. 3.
The Office of Justices in Eire.

6. *Justiciarii itinerantes*, or Justices in Eyre. The Kings do Right to all Men by their Justices, Commissioners itinerant, assigned to have Continuance of all Pleas. In Aid of such Eires, the Sheriff's Turns, and Views of Frank-pledges are necessary. And all those whom the good Men of such Enquests did indict of a capital Offence, the Kings were wont to destroy

prisoners deliverable: et terminer quant que est terminable per Justices errants, & plus ou moins selonque le nature de leur Commission.

4. De Curia placitorum Communium. *Et aux Justices del Banke a queux poyer est done de prendre fines, de oyer and terminer les grands assises, Common Plees, &c.*

5. De Curia Scaccarii. *Ouster ceo ount les Barons del Eschequer jurisdiction sur les Receivors & les Baylifes le Roy, & sur Alienation des Fiests & droits appendants al Roy & al droyt de sa Corone, &c.*

6. De Justiciariis itinerantibus. *Les Royes font droit a tous per leur Justices Commissaires errants, assignes a tous Plees. En ayd de tiels Eires sont Tornes del Viscounts necessaires, & Views de Frankpledges. Et quant que bones gents a tiels Enquests enditerent de peche mortel, soloyent les Royes destruer sans respos, les queux usages durant uncore en Alermaigne; mes per garrant*

To the R E A D E R.

garrant de pitie & de mercie (& pur ceo que la frailtie de home ne se poit tener de pecher si abstinence ne soit de la grace de Dieu) Accord est quel nul Appellee ne Inditee soit destroy sans respons.

without any Answer; which Usages are yet in Practise in *Almaigne*: But by Warrant of Pity and Mercy (because the Frailty of Man cannot refrain from Sin, unless God of his Grace give him Abstinence) It is accorded, that no Appellee or Indictee shall be destroyed without Answer.

7. De Curia Vicecomitis (quam Turnum vocamus) de qua supra dicitur. *Les Viscounts dauncient ordinance tenont assemblies generalls deux foits per lan en chescun Hundred, ou tous les fief tenants deins le Hundred sont obliges de vener per le servage de leur fiefs, cestascavoir, un foits apres le S. Michael, & autrefoits apres la Pasche. Et pur ceo que les Viscounts a ceo faire font leur Tornes de Hundred, sont tiels venues appels Tornes des Viscounts: Ou aux Viscounts appent denquirer de tous peches personels, & de tous circonstances de peches faits en ceux Hundreds, & de torts des Ministers le Roy & la Roigne, & de torts faits au Roy & al Commonalty del peuple solonq; les points avantdits en les divisions de peches.*

7. The Sheriffs Turn, whereof Mention is made before. The Sheriffs of antient Ordinance, do hold general Assemblies twice a Year in every Hundred, whether all the Freeholders within the Hundred are bound to come by the Service of their Fiefs (or Fees) that is to say, once after *Michaelmas*, and another Time after *Easter*. And because the Sheriffs for the doing hereof make their Turns (or Courses) thro' the Hundred, such Assemblies are called, the Sheriffs Turns. Where, it belongeth to the Sheriffs, to enquire of all Offences personal, and of all the Circumstances of Offences, done in those Hundreds; and of Wrongs done by the Kings and Queens Ministers; and of Wrongs done to the King and to the Commonalty,

Cap. 1. Sect. 16,
De Turnis.

To the R E A D E R.

according to the Articles
aforesaid in the Divisions
of Offences

Cap. 1. Sect. 17.
De Vita Fran-
ciplegii.

8. Leets ou Courts des
Views de Frankpledge.
Concerning these Affem-
blies, first, it is thus or-
dained, That every Hun-
dredor shall assemble once
a Year, and not only Free-
holders, but all of the
Hundred, as well Stran-
gers as Denizens, from
12 Years upwards (except
Archbishops, Bishops, Ab-
bots, Priors, and all reli-
gious People and Clerks,
Earls, Barons, and Kts.
married Women, Persons
dumb and deaf, Diseas'd,
Bastards, and Lepers, and
those that are Deciners
elsewhere) to inquire of
the Points aforesaid, and
of the Articles following;
and that, not by Bond-
men or Women, but by
the Oaths of 12 Freemen
at the least, for a Bond-
man cannot indict a Free-
man, nor no other that is
not receivable to do Suit
in the same Courts. And,
because it was anciently
ordained, That none
should abide in the Realm,
if he were not in some
Dezeine (or Tithing) and
undertaken for by Free-
men, the Hundredors are
once a Year to View the
Frankpledges and the

8. Leets ou Courts des
Views de Frankpledge.
*De celles assemblees pri-
miers estoit ainsi ordeigne,
que chescun Hundredor fait
common assemblee un foits
per an, & nemy solement
de fief tenants, mes de tous
del Hundred estrangers &
denizens de 12. ans ensu-
is, forsprise Archieves-
ques, Evesques, Abbes, Pri-
ors, & tous gents de re-
ligion & tous Clerks,
Counties, Barons, & Che-
valiers, femes esposes,
surds & Mutes, Malades,
fols-naistres, & meseaux,
& ceux que sont ailors en
dezein, pur enquir' des
points avantdits & des
articles suivants, & nemy
per serfs ne per femmes,
mes per les seremens de
12 frankhommes al meins,
car serf ne poit nul frank-
homme inditer, ne nul
auter que nest receivable a
sute faire en mesmes les
Courts. Et pur ceo que
ordeigne fuit anciëment,
que nul ne demurraft en
le Roialme sil ne fuit
en dezeine & plevy de
frankhommes, appent aux
Hundredors de Viewe un
foits per lan les frankpledges
& les plevies; & pur
ceo sont tiels Views
appells*

To the READER.

Cap. 2. Sect 5.
of Countors.

He also treateth of the Professors of the Law, as of the Countors, that is of the Serjeants and other Pleaders. There are many that cannot prosecute nor defend their own Causes in Judgment, and many which may not: And therefore are Countors necessary, that that which the Plaintiffs and Actors may not or cannot do by themselves, they may do by their Serjeants, Proctors, or Friends. Countors are Serjeants skilful in the Law of the Realm, which serve the Common People to prosecute and defend their Actions in Judgment (when need is) for their Fee.

And also of Attornies, where amongst other Things it is said, None may be an Attorney, which may not be a Countor, &c.

Cap. 1. Sect 3.

Of the Ministers of Justice, as Viscounts, Coroners, Escheators, Bailiffs of Hundreds, &c. Also by the ancient Kings, Coroners were ordained in every County; and Sheriffs to keep the Peace when the Earls were absent from their Charges, and Bailiffs in lieu of Hundredors, &c.

Cap. 1. Sect. 3.

Of the Prerogatives of

Addit etiam, de legis Professoribus, nempe de hiis quos Countors dicimus, id est, Servientibus, & de aliis causarum actoribus. Plusors sont que ne scavent leur causes pronou- ce ne defendre en judgment, & plusors que ne poyent; & pur ceo sont Countors necessaires, cy que ceo que plain- tifes & actors ne poyent ou ne scavent per eux mes- mes, facent per leur Ser- jeants, ou procurators, ou amies. Countors sont Ser- jeants sachants la Ley del Royalme, que servent al common del people a pro- nouncier & defendre les actions en jugement, pur ceux que mistier ount pur leur loier.

Item de Attornatis, ubi inter alia dicitur. Nul poet estre Attorney que ne purr' estre Countor, &c.

De Ministris Justitiæ, sicuti de Vicecomitibus, Coronatoribus, Eschae- toribus, Ballivis Hundre- dorum, &c. Auxy ordeignes fueront per viels Royes Coroners en chescun Coun- tie, & Viscounts a garder la peace quant les Countees soy demistrent des gards, & Balifes en lieu de Cen- teiners, &c.

De Regis Prærogati- vis:

To the R E A D E R.

vis: Sicome Deodands, Alienation as Aliens, Tre-four trove, Wrecke, Estray, Chattels des Felons & Fugitifs, Counties, Honours, Hundreds, Soknes, Gaoles, Forests, chiefe Cities, chiefe Ports de la Mer, graounds Manors: Ceux droits retiendront les primer Royes, & de remnant de la Terre feofferont, les Countees, Barons, Chivalers, Serjeants, & auters, a tener de les Royes pur les services purwievus & ordeignes al defence del Realme. Ordeigne fuit que fee de Chivaler deviendroit al eigne fits per succession de heritage, & que socage fee fuit partible parenter males enfants. Et que les mariages fuissent al liege Seignours.

the King: As of Deodands, Alienation to Aliens, Treasure found, Wreck, Waif, Estray, Chattels of Felons and Fugitives, Counties, Honours, Hundreds, Sokes, Gaols, Forests, chief Cities, chief Ports of the Sea, great Manors. These held the first Kings as their Right, and of the Residue of the Land did enfeoff the Earls, Barons, Knights, Serjeants, and others, to hold of the Kings, by Services provided and ordained for Defence of the Realm. It was ordained, that the Knight's Fee should come to the eldest by Succession of Heritage; and that Socage-Fee should be partable between the Male Children; and that the Liege Lords should have the Marriage.

Capite primo agitur de Criminibus, eorumque divisionibus; De crimine læsæ Majestatis, de Falsificationibus, de Proditione, de incendiis, de homicidio, de feloniam, de burglaria, de raptu, &c. Secundo, de Actionibus, de Judicibus, de Actoribus, &c. Tertio, de Exceptionibus dilatoriis & peremptoriis, hoc est, placitis ad breve & (ut lo-

He treateth in the first Chapter of Crimes and their Divisions; of the Crime of Majesty, of Fausonnery, of Treason, of Burning, of Homicide, of Felony, of Burglary, of Rape, &c. In the second of Actions, of Judges, of Actors, &c. In the third of Exceptions dilatory and peremptory, that is Pleas to the Writ and in Bar, &c. Of Trials by Juries and by Battail,
of

To the R E A D E R.

of Attaints, of Challenges, of Fines, &c. In the fourth of Judgments, and therein of Jurisdiction, of Process in criminal Causes and in Actions real, personal, and mixt. So as in this Mirror you may perfectly and truly discern the whole Body of the Common Laws of England. In Mr. Plowden's Commentaries fol. 8. in Fogasse's Case, Bradshaw Attorney General citeth this Book by the Name of Mirror des Justices, le quel (saith he) fuit fait devant le conquest. The Meaning of Bradshaw was, not that the Book was made before the Conquest, but that the Text of Law which he citeth out of that Book was the Law of this Realm before the Conquest.

But here though summa sequar fastigia rerum, yet I will stay my Foot and fix my Staff a while, for this grave and learned Author will shew us in this Mirror the great Antiquity of the said Courts of the Common Law and particularly of the High Court of Par-

quimur) in barram, &c. De explorationibus causarum juramento 12 virorum, & Duello: De attincturis, de Calumniis, de Finibus, &c. Quarto, de Sententiis judicialiter latis; & has dum tractat agit de jurisdictione, de Processu in causis criminalibus, & in actionibus realibus, personalibus, & mixtis. Adeo ut in hoc speculo totum Legum Angliæ municipalium corpus perspicue imo verissime videre est. Apud Magistri Plowden Commentaria, in casu Fogassei fol. 8. Bradshaw Attornatus generalis hunc librum citando, ei nomen dedit Speculum Justiciariorum, le quel (inquit) fuit fait devant le Conquest: Non interim intendens conditum fuisse gente hac nondum subacta, textum vero Legis quem ex illo excerpterat, Legem fuisse hujus regni ante devictam hanc Nationem.

At (licet summa sequor fastigia rerum) componam gressus, & baculum hic paulisper figam, interea dum gravis noster multumque literatus author, in hoc suo speculo, immensam illam Curiarum Legis Communiis nos edoceat antiquitatem, e-

amque

To the READER.

amque sigillatim de suprema parliamenti Curia, usque a temporibus Regis *Arthuri*, qui an' a Christo nato 516. plus minus regnavit: Non quod forum istud cæterave eo temporis instituebantur, sed quod tractatu ille suo nullas sibi proposuit superiorum ætatum Leges ac Consuetudines hujus regni descripsisse, sed has solummodo quæ regno ejusdem regis & exinde infenerant. In medium (ut audivistis) profert statutum a Rege *Alfredo* sancitum, tam de Curia hac parliamentaria bis in anno convocanda Londini, quam ut ternum hujus magni honorandique Magnatum Conventus indicaret institutum, 1. ad subditos a delinquendo detinendos, hoc est, ut delicta, tum bonis cautisque legibus tum debita earundem executione anticiparentur; 2. Ut tuta tranquillaque sit vita hominum; 3. Ut fixis quibusdam Sanctionibus, sancitisque Judiciis jus unicuique fieret, eatenus nimirum ut rectius justitia ministraretur, ut quæstiones & in Lege ambiguitates altissima hac Curia parliamenti enodarentur, in certitudinem redigerentur, & adjudicarentur.

liament ever since the Time of King Arthur, who reigned about the Year of our Lord 516. not that this Court and the Rest were instituted then, but that the Reach of his Treatise extendeth no higher than to write of the Laws and Usages of this Realm continued since the Reign of that King. He citeth as you have heard) a Statute of King Alfred, as well concerning the holding of this Court of Parliament twice every Year at the City of London, as to manifest the threesfold End of this great and honourable Assembly of Estates: First, that the Subject might be kept from offending, that is, that Offences might be prevented both by good and provident Laws and the due Execution thereof: Secondly, That Men might live safely in Quiet: And Thirdly, That all Men might receive Justice by certain Laws and holy Judgments, that is, to the End that Justice might be the better administered, that Questions and Defects in Laws might be by this High Court of Parliament explained, reduced to Certainty, and adjudged.

This

To the R E A D E R.

This Court, being the most supreme Court of this Realm, is a Part of the Frame of the Common-Laws, and in some Cases doth proceed legally according to the ordinary Course of the Common Law, as it appeareth in 39 Ed. 3. fol. To be short, of this Court it is truly said, Si vetustatem spectes est antiquissima, si dignitatem est honoratissima, si jurisdictionem est capacissima.

Hoc, cum sit forum in hoc regno plane supremum, pars est structuræ jurium municipalium, & nonnunq; secund. frequentem illum & usitatum in Lege Communi ordinem, legali modo habet processus, ut in 39 Ed. 3. fol. liquet manifeste. Et, ut verbo dicam, merito de hac Curia, *Si vetustatem spectes est antiquissima, si dignitatem est honoratissima, si jurisdictionem est capacissima.*

anno Dom. 712.

And where Question hath been made whether this Court of Parliament continued during the Heptarchy, let the Records themselves make answer. King Ina began his Parliament thus as hath been anciently translated into Latin (which Translation I have): Ego Ina Dei gratia West-Saxonum Rex, exhortatione & doctrina Cenredes patris mei, & Heddes Episcopi mei, & Erkenwaldes Episcopi mei, & omnium Aldremannorum meorum & seniorum Sapientum regni mei, multaque congregatione fervorum Dei sollicitus de salute animarum nostrarum & statu regni mei, Constitui rectum Conjugium, & justa judicia, pro stabilitate & confir-

Questionem quod attinget, utrum Curia hæc parlamenti in usu fuerat durante illa Heptarchia, respondeant sacra ipsa scrimia. Inchoatio sui parlamenti, a Rege Ina, hujusmodi fuit, uti antiquitus in Linguam Latinam convertitur (quæ apud me est traductio): *Ego Ina Dei gratia West-Saxonum Rex, exhortatione & doctrina Cenredes patris mei, & Heddes Episcopi mei, & Erkenwaldes Episcopi mei, & omnium Aldremannorum meorum & seniorum Sapientum regni mei, multaque congregatione fervorum Dei sollicitus de salute animarum nostrarum & statu regni mei, Constitui rectum Conjugium, & justa judicia, pro stabilitate & confirmatione*

To the READER.

persistentibus personaliter in eodem Wulfstano & Adelnodo Archiepiscopis, & Ailwino Episcopo Elmhamenſe, & aliis Episcopis ipſorum ſuffraganeis, ſeptem ducibus cum totidem Comitibus, necnon diverſorum Monasteriorum nonnullis Abbatibus, cum quamplurimis gregariis militibus, ac cum populi multitudine copioſa, ac omnibus adtunc in eodem Parlamento personaliter exiſtentibus, votis Regiis unanimiter conſentientibus, præceptum & decretum fuit, Quod Monasterium Sancti Edmundi, &c. ſit ab omni iuriſdictione Episcoporum comitatus illius extunc imperpetuum funditus liberum & exemptum, &c. Illuſtris Rex Hardicanutus prædicti Regis Canuti filius hæres & ſucceſſor, ac ſui patris veſtigiorum devotus imitator, &c. cum laude & favore Ægelnod' Dorobornenſis, nunc Cantuarienſis, & Alfrici Eborac' Episcoporum, aliorumque Episcoporum, ſuffraganum, necnon cunctorum regni ſui mundanorum principum, deſcriptum conſtituit roboravitque præceptum. Qua immunitate dictum Monasterium uſum fuiſſe non me latet, uſque ad diſſolutionem inde, an.

ſiſtentibus personaliter in eodem Wulfſtano & Adelnodo Archiepiscopis & Ailwino Episcopo Elmhamenſe, & aliis Episcopis ipſorum ſuffraganum, ſeptem ducibus cum totidem comitibus necnon diverſorum monasteriorum nonnullis Abbatibus, cum quamplurimis gregariis militibus, ac cum populi multitudine copioſa, ac omnibus adtunc in eodem parlamento personalit' exiſtentibus votis regiis unanimiter conſentientibus, præceptum & decretum fuit, quod monasterium Sancti Edmundi, &c. ſit ab omni iuriſdictione Episcoporum comit' illius ex tunc imperpetuum funditus liberum & exemptum, &c. Illuſtris Rex Hardicanutus præd' Regis Canuti filius, hæres, & ſucceſſor, ac ſui patris veſtigiorum devotus imitator, &c. cum laude & favore Ægelnod' Dorobornenſis nunc Cantuarienſis & Alfrici Eborac' Episcoporum, aliorumque Episcoporum ſuffraganum, necnon cunctorum regni ſui mundanorum principum deſcriptum conſtituit roboravitque præceptum. Which Immunity I know that the ſaid Monastery held until the Diſſolution thereof

To the READER.

have been adjudged and resolved, together with the Reasons and Causes thereof, to the End the Learned that know the Law may be confirmed, such as know it not may be instructed, the Possessions and Interests of all in general according to Right strengthened and quieted, Love and Charity between Man and Man continued, unnecessary Suits, the Causes of Contention and Expence, prevented, and the Reign of our dread Sovereign, for his Zeal of Justice, renowned and honoured.

And it is very observable out of what Root the Doubts and Questions herein adjudged and resolved did grow: The most difficult whereof do spring out of these two Roots, either out of Statutes enacted in that supreme Court of Parliament (whereof I have spoken) or out of supposed Variety of Opinions and Rules in our Books. Out of Acts of Parliament principally in two Sorts, either when an ancient Pillar of the Common Law is taken out of it, or when new Remedies are added to it: By the

casus nonnullos, una cum rationibus causisque eorundem Judiciorum, judicatos & definitos in publicum promit, ad doctos, Legem intelligentes, confirmandos, nescientes instituendos, ad possessiones & jura uniuscujusque (prout decet) in pace stabilienda, ad amorem & charitatem fovendum, ad querimonias minus utiles præcipientes, litis ac dispendii fontes occludendos, ad supremæ denique Majestatis regimen, a suo in justitia rite administranda fervore, & splendidius & honore auctius reddendum.

Res imprimis observatione digna est, e qua stirpe quæstiones & controversiæ modo decretæ ac discussæ germinaverint; quippe quarum perplexiores e binis his radicibus pullularint, vel ex statutis in amplissima illa parlamenti Curia (de qua supra dixi) editis & sancitis, vel ex imaginaria illa potius quam vera opinionum, regularumque in libris nostris discrepantia: Ex actis Comitialibus duobus præcipue modis; antiquo aliquo nimirum legis sublato fundamento, aut recentioribus

To the READER.

rioribus appositis remediis: E primo cum periculum difficultates exoriuntur; a secundo, lex recte apprehensa, nequam fit commodior, sed multifariam impedita, vis ejus plus nimis enervatur: Habeas hoc unum exemplar loco utriusque, In 5 E. 3. 14. Dominus *Willielmus Herle*, supremus in Curia placitorum communium Judex, ait, statutum de Donis conditionalibus stabilitum fuisse regnante *Edwardo* primo, (qui (inquit) regum omnium antecedentium fuit sagacissimus) idque hæreditatis sanguini Donatorum stabiliendæ causa: Hoc tamen ipsum statutum, dum unum e legis firmamentorum præcipuis labefactaret simul ac rescinderet (videlicet, quod hæreditates universæ essent feudum simplex) prospicere nullius potuit prudentia restrictis hisce hæreditatibus qualia vel quanta simul irruerant incommoda: Sed ad hoc digitum quasi intendi, in præfationibus 3. & 4. mei operis: Hujus itaque generis innovationis destituendæ voto, nihil amplius inde dicam hoc tempore. Quod ad imaginariam illam opinio-

First arise Dangers and Difficulties; and by the Second the Common Law rightly understood is not bettered, but in many Causes so fettered, that it is thereby very much weakned. Take one Example for both: In 5 Edward 3. 14. Sir William Herle Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas, saith, That the Statute De Donis conditionalibus was made in the Reign of King Edward the First, (who (saith he) was the most sage King that ever was) and the Cause of the Statute was to save the Heritage in the Blood of them to whom the Gift was made; and yet that Statute shaking a main Pillar of the Law, that made all Estates of Inheritance Fee-simple, no Wisdom could foresee such and so many Miscchiefs as upon those fettered Inheritances followed: But hereof have I given a Touch in the Prefaces to my third and fourth Work: And therefore desiring that this Kind of Innovation might be left, I will for this Time leave it. Concerning the supposed Variety of Opinions and Rules in our Books, I trust in many Cases herein the studious Reader

Co. Lit. 19. &
322. b.
10 Co. 38. b.

To the R E A D E R.

Cawley 132.

Reader shall observe (as in my former Works he hath done) that the Law truly distinguishing (for ubi lex non distinguit nec nos distinguere debemus) they be in these Cases well and justly accorded. And I affirm it constantly, that the Law is not uncertain in abstracto but in concreto, and that the Uncertainty thereof is hominis vitium and not professionis: And to speak plainly there be two Causes of the Uncertainty thereof in concreto, viz. præpostera lectio and præpropera praxis, præposteros Reading and overseen Practise.

A substantial and a compendious Report of a Case rightly adjudged doth produce three notable Effects, first it openeth the Understanding of the Reader and Hearer, secondly, it breaketh through Difficulties, and thirdly, it bringeth home to the Hand of the Studious, Variety of Pleasure and Profit; I say it doth set open the Windows of the Laws, to let in that gladsome Light, whereby the right Reason of the Rule, (the Beauty

num & regularum librorum nostrorum discordiam attinet, observabit (ut spero) studiosus Lector ex multis in lucem jam editis casibus (quod & prioribus meis observavit operibus) eam, si quæ forte se obtulerit, difficultatem ac discrepantiam scite pariter & (ut dicam) adamussim reconciliari. Quin & hoc audacter pronuncio, Legem non esse incertam in abstracto sed in concreto, ejusque incertitudinem esse hominis vitium non professionis; & hoc palam profitear, ejus quæ habetur incertitudinis in concreto duas solummodo esse causas, viz. præposteram Lectionem & praxin præproperam.

Vera & succincta casus Relatio recte judicati tres habet effectus notandos; 1. Tum Legentis tum Audientis aperit intelligentiam; 2. Scrupulos perrumpit; 3. Studentis manum & deliciarum & emolumenti varietate implet: Pandit dico Legis fenestras, ut lætifica illa lumina, quæ rectam regulæ rationem (Legis splendorem) perspicere faciant evidenter, admittantur; nucem duram frangit ut facile jucunda

To the READER.

cunda degustetur nuclea; ornat denique fructuum jucundorum & utilium varietate repositoria illorum qui nec plantaverunt nec irrigaverunt. Quæ (casibus tortuosis & difficillimis, sive deliberatione (nempe *sur demurrer*, ut loquimur) decisis, sive palam in Curia determinatis) nemo solus ultimis suis conatibus, nec omnes actores ipsi per se extra justitiæ Curiam, nec in Curia, solenni argumentatione prius non adhibita (ubi Deus opt. max. sitientis jus & justitiam (ut credere cogor) intelligentiam aperit simul & extendit) attigisse unquam potuissent. E præcipuis enim ex aliis legum nostrarum municipalium honoribus est, spinosiores nunquam definiri aut discerni quæstiones *in tenebris*, vel *sub silentio suppressis rationibus*, sed in facie (ut dicam) Curiae, idque argumentis prius habitis solennibus & elaboratis, primo per Jurisconsultos utriusque partis pro tribunali (& si lis agatur in Curia placitorum communium, per Servientes ad Legem tantum); & iterum de Tribunali per Judices, ubi argumentatio habetur (a

of the Law) may be clearly discerned; it breaketh the thick and hard Shell, whereby with Pleasure and Ease the Sweetness of the Kernel may be sensibly tasted, and adorneth with Variety of Fruits both pleasant and profitable, the Storehouses of those by whom they were never planted nor watered. Wherunto (in those Cases that be tortuosi and of great Difficulty, adjudged upon Demurrer or resolved in open Court) no one Man alone with all his true and uttermost Labours, nor all the Actors in them themselves by themselves out of a Court of Justice, nor in Court without solemn Argument, (where I am persuaded) Almighty God openeth and enlargeth the Understanding of the desirous of Justice and Right) could ever have attained unto. For it is one amongst others of the great Honours of the Common Laws, that Cases of great Difficulty are never adjudged or resolved in tenebris or sub silentio suppressis rationibus; but in open Court, and there upon solemn and elaborate Arguments, first at the Bar by the Council learned of either Party (and if
the

To the R E A D E R.

the Case depend in the Court of Common Pleas then by Serjeants at Law only); and after at the Bench by the Judges, where they argue (the puisne Judge beginning and so ascending) seriatim upon certain Days openly and purposely prefixed, declaring at large the Authorities, Reasons and Causes of their Judgments and Resolutions in every such particular Case (habet enim nescio quid energiae viva vox): a Reverend and honourable Proceeding in Law, a grateful Satisfaction to the Parties, and a great Instruction and Direction to the attentive and studious Hearers.

In this, as in the Rest of my Works, my chief Care and Labour hath been (for Advancement of Truth) that the Matter might be justly and faithfully related, and (for avoiding of Obscurity and Novelty) that it might be in a legal Method and in the Lawyers Dialect plainly delivered, that herein no Authority cited might be wittingly omitted or coldly applied; no Reason or Argument made, on either Side willingly impaired; no Man's Re-

Judicibus incipiens junioribus, & sic cursu ascendente) *seriatim* diebus quibusdam publice & consulto statis, qui auctoritates, rationes & causas sententiarum & determinationum suarum fusius reddunt & explicant (*habet enim nescio quid energiae viva vox.*) venerabilis in lege & honorandus processus, grata partibus satisfactio, attentis denique & studiosis auditoribus plena institutio.

Hoc, sicut & cæteris meis operibus, præcipua mihi fuit & cura & studium (ad veritatem erigendam) ut res recte fideque referretur, & (ad evitandam obscuritatem & novitatem) ut in methodo Legali Juridicorumque idiomate plane emitteretur, ut nullum productum testimonium scienter omitteretur, vel jejune applicaretur; nulla ex utrinque ratio vel argumentum imminueretur; nullius sine expresse sine tacite læderetur existimatio; nullus auctor seu autoritas prolata irreverenter dehonestaretur; iique denique qui (ut opinor) casus futuri sint dirigentes,

To the R E A D E R.

tes, ad publicam tranquillitatem firmandam, prelo committerentur & promulgarentur.

Omnipotens Deus (qui summo suo beneficio hoc ut perficerem vires dedit) mihi testis est, me, non ex ostentatione aliqua, aut ex audacia suasionis alicujus de propria mea scientia, hisce me immiscuisse laboribus: Verum tamen interim est, me ab incunabulis in perspiciendis cognoscendisque multis fuisse cupidissimum: Et professioni meæ pluris me agnosco debitorem quam quod omnes mei exantlati pariter ac fideles retribuere queant labores: Et ut profiteor, mihi non esse (scio enim quæ mihi defunt) quo solvam; ita fidem meam obligo, me nunquam futurum vel ingratum, vel pigrum in præstando quantum maximis meis vigiliis eniti possim aut valeam. A docto Lectore hoc mihi in desiderio est, quod & grandævo Bractono (venerando olim Curia de Banco Judici (ut in Archivis constat) & de Legibus Scriptori) fuit, *Ut si quid superfluum vel perperam positum in hoc opere invenerit, illud*

putation directly or indirectly impeached; no Author or Authority cited, unreverently disgraced; and that such only as (in my Opinion) should hereafter be leading Cases for the publick Quiet might be imprinted and published.

Almighty God (who hath of his great Goodness enabled me hereunto) knoweth that I have not taken these Labours either for Vain-glory, or upon Presumption of any Persuasion of Knowledge: But true it is, that I have been ever desirous to know much: And do acknowledge myself to owe much more to my Profession than all my true and faithful Labours can satisfy: And as I truly confess, that I have no Means (for I know my own Wants) to quit that Debt, so I faithfully Promise never to be found unthankful or unwilling to perform what by my uttermost Endeavour shall lie in my Power. My Desire of the learned Reader, with old Bracton (sometime a famous Judge of the Court of Common Pleas (as I find in Record) and a Writer of the Laws) is, Ut si quid superfluum vel perperam positum
in

To the READER:

in hoc opere invenerit, illud corrigat & emendet, vel conniventibus oculis pertranseat, cum omnia habere in memoria & nulla peccare, divinum sit potius quam humanum.

corrigat & emendet vel conniventibus oculis pertranseat; cum omnia habere in memoria & nulla peccare, divinum sit potius quam humanum.

Vale.

Mich.

To the R E A D E R.

firmatione populi mei, benigna sedulitate celebrari: Et nullo Aldremanno vel alicui de toto regimine nostro conscripta liceat abolere judicia.

Ejusmodi fuit & Offæ Regis Merciorum parliamentum, ejusmodi *Etherberti* Regis Kancix, & ejusmodi reliquorum e septem regibus. Exacta jam tum Heptarchia (ut instar multorum sint pauca) Rex *Edwardus*, filius Regis *Alfredi*, (de quo supra fit mentio) ante expugnationem illam hujus Nationis primus, convenire fecit ad parliamentum Exoniæ omnes Sapientes suos: *Edwardus Rex admonuit omnes Sapientes suos qui fuerint Exoniæ, ut investigarent simul & quærerent quomodo pax eorum melior esse possit quam ante fuit, &c. Quin & facile constabit hunc Sapientum Coventum, Optimates atque Communitatem ad parliamentum, simul inclusisse.*

Rex *Ethelstanus* apud Grateleiam, ubi omnes regni Nobiles pariter ac Sapientes conveniebant: Erat hic *Conventus omnium Nobilium & Sapientum*. Imperante rege eodem alia ejusdem edita parliamentaria inscribuntur, &

matione populi mei, benigna sedulitate celebrari: Et nullo Aldremanno vel alicui de toto regimine nostro conscripta liceat abolere judicia.

The like Parliament was holden by Offa King of the Mercians, and by Etherbert King of Kent, and the Rest of the seven Kings. After the Heptarchy, taking some few Precedents for many, King Edward, Son of the aforementioned King Alfred, before the Conquest the First, held a Parliament at Exeter, and called thither all his Wisemen: Edwardus Rex admonuit omnes sapientes suos qui fuerint Exoniæ ut investigarent simul & quærerent quomodo pax eorum melior esse possit quam ante fuit, &c. And it shall evidently appear hereafter, that this conventus Sapientum included the Lords and Commons of the Parliament.

King Ethelstan apud Grateleiam where all the Noblemen and Wisemen of the Realm were gathered together, here was Conventus omnium nobilium & sapientum. In the Reign of the same King other of his Acts of Parliament

To the R E A D E R.

Judgments are filed and anciently translated thus: Hæc sunt judicia Exoniæ quæ sapientes consilio Ethelstani Regis instituerunt, & iterum apud Fresfresham, & tertia vice apud ubi hæc definita simul & confirmata sunt.

King Edgar, Surnamed Pacificus, at several Places enacted many Laws by the Counsel of his Wisemen: Here was Concilium Sapientum, whose Acts of Parliament, being anciently translated into Latin, were intitled thus, Hæc sunt instituta quæ Edgarus Rex consilio sapientum suorum instituit, &c.

King Etheldred at Woodstock; and their Laws ordained by him and his Wisemen: Hoc est concilium quod Etheldredus Rex & omnes sapientes sui condixerunt ad emendationem pacis omnis populi apud Woodstock. And another Parliament by him and his Wisemen, both Spiritual and Lay: Here was Concilium spirituum & laicorum: And filed another thus: Hæc sunt verba pacis & prolocutionis quæ Etheldredus Rex & omnes sapientes ejus cum exercitu firmaverunt qui cum Anulano,

a temporibus antiquis traduntur, Hæc sunt judicia Exoniæ quæ Sapientes consilio Ethelstani Regis instituerunt, & iterum apud Fresfresham, & tertia vice apud ubi hæc definita simul & confirmata sunt.

Rex Edgarus cognomento Pacificus locis prorsus disjunctis plurimas sancivit Leges consilio Sapientum: Erat hic Concilium Sapientum; quorum Parliamenti actorum Latine priscius redditorum titulus est, Hæc sunt instituta quæ Edgarus Rex consilio Sapientum suorum instituit, &c.

Rex Etheldredus apud Woodstock, ibique ab illo & suis sapientibus leges stabiliuntur: Hoc est Concilium quod Etheldredus Rex & omnes Sapientes sui condixerunt, ad emendationem pacis omnis populi apud Woodstock: Alioque parlamento, ab eo & sapientibus suis tum spiritualibus tum Laicis: Hic erat Concilium spirituum & laicorum. Et alterius titulum fecit, Hæc sunt verba pacis & prolocutionis quæ Etheldredus Rex & omnes Sapientes ejus cum exercitu firmaverunt, qui cum Anulano,

To the R E A D E R.

Iano, Justino, & Guemundo, Stigrani filio venit. Item & aliud habuit parliamentum apud Habam, Hæc instituerunt Etheldredus Rex & Sapientes ejus apud Habam.

Rex Edmundus Londini, quo summonuit & Spirituales & Temporales sub nomine uno generali Sapientum: Hic observet Conventum Sapientum Spiritualium & Temporalium. Interpretem vero ipsum antiquum audire operæ pretium est, Edmundus Rex congregavit magnam Synodum divini ordinis & seculi apud Londoniæ civitatem in Sancto Paschæ solenni, &c. Initium alterius parliamentorum ejusdem ita se habet, Hæ sunt institutiones quas Edmundus Rex & Episcopi sui cum Sapientibus suis instituerunt apud Culinconam, &c. Et paulo post, Ego Edmundus Rex mando & præcipio omni populo seniorum & juniorum qui in regione mea sunt, qui investigans investigavi cum Sapientibus clericis & laicis.

Rex Canutus Wintoniæ: Per regem & venerandum Sapientum concilium: Ibi erat venerandum Concilium Sapientum:

a

Justino & Guemundo Stigrani filio venit. And held another Parliament at Habam: Hæc instituerunt Etheldredus Rex & sapientes ejus apud Habam.

King Edmund at London, where he summoned both the Spirituality and Temporality, and called them by one general Name of Wisemen: Here was Conventus sapientum Spiritualium & temporalium. But it is best to bear the ancient Translator himself, Edmundus Rex congregavit magnam Synodum Divini ordinis & seculi apud London' civitatem in sancto Pasch. solenni, &c. And another of his Parliaments beginneth thus, Hæ sunt institutiones quas Edw. Rex & Episcopi sui cum sapientibus suis instituerunt apud Culinconam, &c. & paulo post, Ego Edmundus Rex mando & præcipio omni populo seniorum & juniorum qui in regione mea sunt, qui investigans investigavi cum sapientibus clericis & laicis.

King Canutus at Winchester; by the King and the reverend Council of his Wisemen: There was venerandum Concilium Sapientum:

To the READER.

piendum: *For so was that Parliament being of ancient Time translated into Latin, called, but bear the Title it self: Hæc sunt statuta Canuti Regis Anglorum, Danorum, Norvegar' venerando sapientum ejus Concilio ad laudem & gloriam Dei & sui regalitatem & commune commodum habita in Sancto Natali Domini apud Wintoniam, &c.*

All which and many more are extant and publickly known, but I will add that which I read in the Legier Book of the late Monastery of Saint Edmondsbury, now in my Hands, of an ancient Hand-writing, wherein is cited a Parliament holden in the fifth Year of this K. Canutus's Reign; but I will keep Silence, and let the Book it self speak. Rex Canutus an' regni sui 5. viz.

per 130. Annos ante compilationem decretorum quæ an' Dom. 1150. fuer' compilat', an. 7. pontificatus Papæ Eugenii tertii, & ante compilationem aliorum canonum quorumcunque cunctos regni sui prælatos proceresque ac magnates ad suum convocans parliamentum in suo publico parliament' per-

Sic enim apud Majores parliamentum illud Latine redditum nuncupatur: Sed inscriptionem ipsam proferam, Hæc sunt statuta Canuti, Regis Anglorum, Danorum, Norvegarum, venerando Sapientum ejus concilio, ad laudem & gloriam Dei, & sui regalitatem, & commune commodum, habita in Sancto Natali Domini apud Wintoniam, &c.

Quæ omnia & multa plura extant & satis superque dignoscuntur: at texam tamen quod legi in Libro quodam nuper Monasterii Burgi Sancti Edmundi & penes me existente, caractere multum antiquo scripto, ubi citatur parliamentum de anno Regis Canuti quinto: At silebo, & liber ipse de se faciet testimonium. Rex Canutus, anno regni sui quinto, videlicet, Per centum & triginta annos ante compilationem Decretorum, quæ anno Domin' 1150. fuerunt compilat', anno septimo Pontificatus Papæ Eugenii tertii, & ante compilationem aliorum Canonum quorumcunque, cunctos regni sui Prælatos, proceresque, ac magnates ad suum convocans Parliamentum, in suo publico Parlamento, persi-

To the R E A D E R.

in the 31 Year of the Reign of King H. the 8.

But let us proceed, and yet omit many, and touch only that which hath been controverted. It is said that Silent leges inter arma, and that during all the Time of the Conqueror, no Parliament was lawfully assembled, &c. for Silent leges inter arma, and during all his Reign, either the Sword was not put up into the Scabbard, or if it were, the Hand was always upon the Hilt ready to draw it again. But that a Parliament was assembled and holden according to the Common Laws of England in William the Conqueror's Time, it is evident, for that an Act established at a Parliament holden in the Reign of William the Conqueror was pleaded and adjudged to be firm and good, and accordingly put in Execution by the Judges of the Realm, which they neither would nor could have done if it had been commanded by the powerful Will of the Conqueror, and not established by a Parliament duly assembled according to the Form and Frame of the Common Law. And therefore as well for Manifestation hereof, as for

tricesimo primo Regis Henrici octavi.

Sed, omiſſis quamplurimis, progrediamur, id tantum percurrentes, quod controverſum fuerit. Siluisse aiunt Leges inter arma, nullumque per omne tempus victoris legitime convocari Parliamentum, &c. ſilent enim Leges inter arma, totoque ejus regiminis tempore, aut diſtrictus nuſquam interquievit gladius, aut perpetuo manus inſtitit capulo, iterato evaginatura. Convocari tamen Parliamentum, & juxta Leges municipales Angliæ teneri, regnante Willielm' illo ſubactore, perſpicuum eſt, eo quod Actum ad Parliamentum ſub Willielm' Victore ſancitum, placitando producebatur, & fixum ratumque fuiſſe adjudicabatur, executionique pariter a Judicibus hujus regni demandabatur; quod eorum fuiſſet nec velle nec poſſe, ſi ex arbitrio dominantis Subjugatoris ſolummodo imperatum fuiſſet, & Parlamento ad normam Legis communis modo debito convocato non ſtabilitum fuiſſet. Quamobrem, tam ad hoc enucleandum, quam ad id quod aſſerui plene demonſtrandum, 21 E. 3.

f. 60.

To the R E A D E R.

f. 60. a. b. iste legitur casus, Rex profecutus fuit breve de Attachiamiento (ut loquimur) super Prohibitionem vers Levesque de Norwich, de ceo que per lou Labbey de Seint Edmond de Berrie fuit foundue per les progenitores le Roy, & exempt de chescun jurisdiction dordinar' que nul ordinar' visit' illonques, & que nul alast countre lordinance & le foundation avantdit, &c. Sur altercat' que fuit enter un Arfast jadis Evesque de Norwich, & un B. jadis Abbe de Berrie, de les exemptions avantdits, en temps de W. le Conqueror, a son Parliament a certain jour tenu, fuit ordeigne per le Roy & per Larchevesque de Canturburie & per tous les auters Evesques de la terre, Countees, & Barons, Que a quel heure de cel temps en avant, que Levesque ou ascun de ses successeurs si alassent countre les points de la foundation & exemption avantdit, que celuy que serra Evesque pur la temps payera al Roy ou a ses heires 30. talents: Et auxy counta que le Roy manda sa prohibition al Evesque que il nentr' my les fraunch' ne attemperoit les privilegedes de Lesglise de Seint Edmond avantdit,

Proof of that which hath been said, you shall read in the Book Case of 21 Ed. 3. f. 60. a. b. that the King sued a Writ of Attachment upon a Prohibition against the Bishop of Norwich for that where the Abbey of St. Edmondsbury in the County of Suffolk was founded by the Progenitors of the King, and exempt from all Jurisdiction of the Ordinary, and that no Ordinary should visit there, and that none should go against the said Ordinance and the Foundation aforesaid: That upon Controversy between Arfastus late Bishop of Norwich and B. late Abbot of Bury, of the Exemptions aforesaid, in the Time of William the Conqueror, at his Parliament on a certain Day holden, it was ordained by the King, the Archbishop of Canterbury, and all the other Bishops of the Land, the Earls, Barons, &c. That at what Time the Bishop of Norwich, or any of his Successors, should go against the Points of the Foundation, and Exemption aforesaid, that the Bishop for the Time being should pay to the King or to his Heirs 30. Talents of Gold, and declared further, how the King sent a Prohibition

To the READER.

to the Bishop, that he should not enter into the said Franchise, nor Attempt any Thing against the Priviledge of the said Church of St. Edmond, and that notwithstanding the said Prohibition the then Bishop of Norwich had visited the Abbey aforesaid, and had summoned the Abbot to shew the Charters of their Foundation, wrongfully and in Despight of our sovereign Lord the King; whereunto the then Bishop pleaded Not guilty, and he was found Guilty by the Verdict of the Enquest. Whereupon it was adjudged, that the Temporalties of the Bishop should be seised into the King's Hands. But it was advised and resolved by all the Judges, that in Right of the Talents they could not give Judgment, for two Causes, 1. For that the Prohibition was the original Suit, and that was determined by the Judgment in the Prohibition, that the Temporalties of the Bishop should be seised into the King's Hands, which then was the proper Judgment in that Suit. 2. Concerning the Talents, they were a Penalty ordained by Parliament in that Case, so that the Penalty had no Dependance upon the Prohibition, which

il (scilicet Episcopus Norwicensis) nien contristeant la prohibition, si ad visit en Labbey avantdit, & les fist summondre de monstrier les charters de leur foundation, a tort & en despite de nostre Seignior le Roy: A que Levesque dit, que il fuit de rien culpable, & trove fuit per enquest quil fuit culpable, per que agard fuit que les temporalties de Levesque fuissent seises en le maine le Roy: Et fuit advise a toute le Councell en droit de les besantes, que ils ne purr' nul Judgement doner; Et hoc duabus de causis, 1. Eo quod Prohibitio, quæ lis fuit originalis, determinabatur sententia de Prohibitione lata, que les temporalties fueront seises en la maine le Roy, quod aptum tunc temporis in ejusmodi lite fuit iudicium: 2. De les besants, cest un especiall peine que est ordeigne en la Parliament de ceo, issint que ceo nest pas rien dependaunt sur le primer original: Consulebatur vero, simul & a Judicibus adjudicabatur, Episcopum Norwicensem dictæ pœnæ talentorum jacturam fecisse Regi, formulamque juris (Scire facias) Episcopo

To the R E A D E R.

copo eade reconcedendam fuisse: Qua concessa comparuit Episcopus & fecit responsum, & deinde, iudicium ferebatur, quod Rex recuperaret talenta, prout ex eo casu judicialiter deciso clare eluceat.

is the original Suit; but it was advised and resolved by the Judges, that the Bishop of Norwich had forfeited the said Penalty of the Talents to the King, and that they ought to grant a Scire fac. to the then Bishop for that Purpose, which was granted accordingly, upon which Writ the Bishop appeared and pleaded, and thereupon Judgment was given, that the King should recover the said Talents, as by the said Book Case judicially adjudged appeareth.

Qui si forte casus Opponentes non latuisset, abunde eis satisfactum esset. Et insigne hoc iudicium fidem facit de antiquo illo tractatu cuius est titulus, *Modus tenendi Parliamentum*: Hic describitur modus quomodo Parliamentum Regis Angliæ & Anglicorum suorum tenebatur tempore Regis Edwardi filii Regis Etheldredi; qui quidem modus fuit per discretiores regni, coram Will. Duce Normanniæ, & Conquestore & Rege Angliæ, ipso Conquestore hoc præcipiente & per ipsum approbat' & suis temporibus & Successoribus suorum Regum Angliæ usitatus: Quo

Which Case if the Opponents had seen or known, they would have therewith rested satisfied. And this notable Judgment giveth Credit to that antient Treatise intituled thus, (a) Modus tenendi Parliamentum. Hic describitur modus quomodo Parliamentum Regis Angliæ & Anglicorum suorum tenebatur tempore Regis Ed. filii regis Etheldredi, qui quidem modus fuit per discretiores regni, coram Williel' Duce Normanniæ, & Conquestore & rege Angliæ, ipso Conquestore hoc præcipiente, & per ipsum approbat' & suis temporibus & successor' suorum regum Angliæ

(a) Pryn on
4 Init. 1. 2. 3. & c.
78. & c. 4 Init.
12.

To the READER.

læ usitat: Wherein the Assembly of the Kings, the Lords and Commons, according to the Manner continued to this Day, is set down, which I have in a fair and very ancient written Hand, whereby it is manifest that Conventus Nobilium & Sapientum, &c. included both the Lords and the Commons of the Parliament.

F. N. B. 14. D.

It is evident that there were Tenants in ancient Demesne before the Conquest, and for a Certainty therein, and to know of what Manors such Tenants did hold, it appears by the Book of Domesday, that all the Tenants that did hold of any of those Manors that were in the Hands of King Edw. the Son of King Etheldred, or of King William the Conqueror, were Tenants in ancient Demesne. And these Tenants then had, and yet have these Privileges amongst others, for that they were bound by their Tenure to plow and husband, &c. the King's Demesnes before and in the Conqueror's Time, therefore they were not to be returned Burgeses to serve in Parliament, to the End they might attend the King's Husbandry the bet-

Regum, procerum, & Communitatis Conventus, juxta modum in hodiernum usque diem approbatum, exprimitur: Cujus quidem vetustissimis consignatum literis mihi est exemplar. Et hoc evincit manifeste *Coventum Nobilium & Sapientum, &c.* tum Proceres tum Communitatem Parliamenti inclusisse.

Perfpicuum est tenentes fundi de antiquo dominico (ut loquimur) extitisse, nondum subjugata hac insula. Sed ut certam rem habeamus, & de quibus maneriis hujusmodi occupantes terras suas tenuerunt intelligamus, apparet ex libro qui inscribitur *Domus Dei*, quod omnes possessores terrarum maneriorum quæ erant Regis Edw. filii Regis Etheldredi, vel Regis *Wilhelmi Subactoris* fuerunt tenentes fundorum de antiquo dominico: Et hii tunc ut etiam hodie, his inter alia gaudebant privilegiis, eo quod ratione tenuræ suæ astricti essent ad colendas, &c. Regis terras dominicales tam ante quam sub victore; hac nimirum de causa, ad deservendum in Parlamento ut Burgenfes non cogebantur, ut eo melius

To the READER:

suam in concilio suo in Parliamentis suis, præsentibus Prælatibus, Comitibus, Baronibus, Proceribus, & aliis viris peritis. 8 Rich. 2. Avowry 260. aliisque multis codicibus dicitur *Rex & Concilium*: Registro originali fol. 280. nominatur *Magnum Concilium*: In dorso claus. 16 Edw. 2. M. 5. *Henricus de bello monte Baro de magno & secreto concilio Regis*: & rot' Parl' anno 3 Edw. 4. parte 1. M. 2. nuncupatur *magnum concilium*: a Bracton. lib. 2. cap. 2. vocatur *Magna Curia*: Anno 17 Edw. 2. de Templariis, *Super quo convocatis majoribus de Concilio Domini Regis, tam Justiciariis quam laicis personis in Parliamentum, Concordatum est in Parlamento, &c.* Et in Statutis quamplurimis sub Hen. 3. Edw. 1. & regibus succedentibus dicitur *Commune concilium, Commune concilium Regis, & Commune concilium regni*: Quin & sic se habet rescriptum de Vastatione, multaque alia tum originalia tum judicialia. Sed de hoc plura qui vult, octavam Commentariorum meorum consulat partem in casu Principis. Hanc mihi sumam con-

in concilio suo in parliamentis suis, præsentibus Prælatibus, Comitibus, Baronibus, Proceribus, & aliis viris peritis. 8 R. 2. Avowry 260. *and in many other Books it is called Rex & concilium.* In the original Register fol. 280. it is called *Magnum concilium.* In Dorso claus. 16 E. 2. M. 5. *Henricus de bello monte Baro de magno & secreto concilio Regis: And Rot' Parliament' an. 3 Ed. 4. parte prima M. 2. it is called Magnum concilium.* Bracton lib. i. cap. 2. *termeth it Magna Curia.* Anno 17 E. 2. de Templariis, *Super quo convocatis majoribus de concilio Domini Regis tam Justiciariis quam laicis personis in Parliamentum, concordatum est in Parliament', &c.* *And in many Statutes in the Reigns of H. 3. Ed. 1. and succeeding Kings, it is called Commune concilium, and Commune concilium Regis, and Commune concilium regni, and so runneth the Writ of Waste, and many other original and judicial Writs.* But if any be desirous to see more of this Kind, let him look into the eighth Part of my Reports in the Prince's

To the READER.

melius agriculturæ affer-
 virent: 2. Sumptibus
 Militum Comitatum
 Parlamento infervientium
 nihil conferebant:
 Quæ immunitates (cesset
 licet causa) hucusque
 manent. Erant idcirco
 Parliamenta, quo & Milites
 & Burgenfes evocabantur
 tum Subjugatoris temporibus
 tum antea: & ut habeas quo
 quiescas, vide Fitz. Nat. Bre. 14. e.
 49 E. 3. 22, b. 23. a. 40 E. 3.
 25. 11 Hen. 4. 2. &c. Sunt
 etiam pervetusta illa oppida
 quæ vocamus Burga longe
 quæ habet Anglia antiquissima;
 illa enim, quæ nunc Urbes &
 Comitatus, erant olim Bur-
 ga, & sic appellata, ex
 his enim ad Parliamentum,
 convenerunt Burgenfes,
 quæ verba sunt ipsissima
 Littletoni Lib. 2. cap. 10.
 vide 40 Aff. pla. 27. 11
 Hen. 4. 2. 22 Ed. 4. 11.
 &c. Liqueat itaque Burga
 antiquissima esse Angliæ
 oppida, & consequenter
 multis sæculis ante hujus
 regni expugnationem
 extitisse: Eorundemque
 quamplurima a subjugationis
 tempore in Civitates
 incorporata & in Comitatus
 distincta animadverti,
 fuisse tamen Burga (e
 quibus electi fuissent
 Burgenfes Parli-

ter. 2. They were not to
 be contributory to the Fees
 to the Knights of Shires
 that served in Parliament:
 Which Privileges (though
 the Cause ceaseth) continueth
 to this Day: Therefore
 there were Parliaments
 unto which the Knights
 and Burgessees were
 summoned both before and
 in the Reign of the Conqueror:
 For your Satisfaction herein,
 see F. N. B. 14. e. 49 E. 3. 22,
 b. 23. a. 40 E. 3. 25. 11 H. 4. 2.
 &c. Also the ancient
 Towns called Boroughs are
 the most ancient Towns
 within England, for those
 Towns which now are
 Cities and Counties, in
 ancient Time were Burghs,
 and called Burghs, for out
 of those ancient Towns
 called Burghs came the
 Burgessees to Parliament,
 which are the very Words
 of Littleton Lib. 2. cap.
 10. Vide 40 Aff. p. 27.
 11 H. 4. 2. 22 E. 4. 11.
 &c. So as it appeareth
 that the ancient Burghs
 are the most ancient Towns
 of England, and consequently
 long Time before the
 Conquest: And I have
 found many of them since
 the Conquest incorporated
 into Cities, and distinguished
 into Counties since the
 Conquest, but had been
 ancient

To the READER.

ancient Burghs (from whence came the Burgesſes to the Parliament) Time out of Mind before the Conqueſt: Nay divers of the moſt ancient Burghs, that yet ſend Burgeſſes to the Parliament, flouriſhed before the Conqueſt, and have been of little or no Account to have any ſuch Privileges newly granted to them at any Time ſince. And I could yet never find when any of them, or any other the ancienteſt Burghs, were of ancient Time ſince the Conqueſt endowed with that Privilege.

amenti) ultra recordationem hominum, nondum devicta hac gente: Immo perplura vetuſtiſſimorum Burgorum, quæ hodie ſuos ad Parliamentum mittunt Burgeſes, ante ſubactionem illam florebant; adeoque parvi exinde fuerunt momenti, aut ita potius deſpicata, ut hujusmodi privilegia eis recenter donari veriſimile non fit: Tempus enim quo horum aliquod aliave vetuſtiſſima Burga, antiquitus a victoria Normanna, iſto privilegio extiterunt dotata, ab ullo obſervatum haud reperio.

Richardus Ha-
guſtadenſis &
Math. Pariſ. in
brevis Hiſtoria.

King H. I. An. Dom. 1100. Cum ſuorum conſilio decrevit ut monetagium commune quod capiebatur per civitates vel comitatus quod non fuerit tempore Edw. Reg. hoc ne a modo fiet. Item. quod Eccleſias non venderet nec ad firmam daret, mortuo Epifcopo vel Abbate. *And this King aſſembled another Parliament on Candlemas-Day at London Anno Domini 1123.*

Ex chronico de
Peterborough.

King H. the ſecond, in the Tear of our Lord God 1185. (as teſtifieth Matthew Paris) Convocavit clerum regni & po-

Rex Henricus primus anno Domini 1100. cum ſuorum concilio decrevit ut monetagium commune quod capiebatur per civitates vel comitatus, quod non fuerit tempore Edw. Regis, hoc ne a modo fiet. Item quod Eccleſia non venderet nec ad firmam daret, mortuo Epifcopo vel Abbate. Et Rex idem aliud convocavit Parliamentum Londini, die Purificationis beatæ Mariæ Virginis Anno Domini 1123.

Rex Henricus ſecundus an. Dom. 1185. (ut teſtatur Mathæus Pariſ.) Convocavit Clerum regni & Populum cum omni Nobilitate

To the READER.

bilitate ad Fontem Clericorum.

Habuit Rex Johannes Parliamentum Anno a fuscepto ejus regimine sexto, ut ex ejusdem re-scriptis e Cancellaria constat, in hæc verba: *Rex Vicecomiti, &c. Sciatis quod consensum est cum assensu archiepiscoporum, comitum, baronum, & omnium fidelium nostrorum Angliæ, quod novem Milites per totam Angliam invenient decimum Militem bene paratum equis & armis ad defensionem regni nostri, &c.*

Sed longius in istis procedere, nihil aliud est quam si deaurarem aurum, vel ipso Oceano unam minutissimam sup-peditarem guttam. De nomine *Parliamenti* duo consideremus: 1. Verbi significationem: 2. Tempus quo suprema hæc curia nomen sibi indidit *Parliamenti*. Primum qd' attinet, duabus de causis ita dicitur. 1. Eo quod singulum ejusdem fori altissimi membrum vicem agit Judicis, & unusquisque eo loci sine spiritu vel contradictionis vel obsequii ex corde loqueretur, nempe a dictione Gallicana *Parlar la ment,*

pulum cum omni nobilitate ad fontem clericorum.

King John held a Parliament in the sixth Year of his Reign, as it appeareth by his Writs of the Chancery in these Words: Rex vicecomiti, &c. Sciatis quod consensum est cum assensu Archiepiscoporum, comitum, baronum, & omnium fidelium nostrorum Angliæ, quod novem milites per totam Angl. invenient decimum militem bene paratum equis & armis ad defensionem regni nostri, &c.

But to proceed any farther were but to gild Gold, or to add a little Drop to the great Ocean. Concerning the Name of the Parliament two Things fall into Consideration, first what the Word signifieth, 2. When this supreme Court was christened by the Name of Parliament: Touching the first, it is so called for 2. Causes, first, because that every Member of that high Court hath judicial Place, and for that every Man there should without any Spirit, either of Contradiction or Smoothing, parler la ment, speak judicially his Mind, it is called Parliament. 2. The Laws there made are called Acts of Parliament, because they are to be expounded,

To the R E A D E R.

pounded, being Part of the Laws of the Realm, by the Judges of the Law, according to the Mind and true Meaning of the Speakers that were the Makers of these Acts, as testamentum is to be expounded secundum mentem testatoris, and arbitramentum secundum mentem arbitratoris. As to the 2. the Saxons called this Court micel gemott, the great Assembly, wittena gemott, the Assembly of the Wise Men, the Latin Authors of those Times called it Commune concilium, magna curia, generalis conventus, &c. And let it be granted, that William the Conqueror changed the Name of this Court, and first called it by the Name of a Parliament, yet manifest it is by that which hath been said, that he changed not the Frame or Jurisdiction of this Court in any Point. And the very Names in Substance that were attributed to this Court before the Conquest, are continued after the Conquest to this Day: For in the Mirror of Justices, as appeareth before, it is called Concilium generale. Fleta lib. 2. cap. 2. Habet etiam Rex Curiam suam in

appellatur Parliamentum: 2. Leges ibidem sancitæ vocantur Acta Parliamenti, quia (cum sint Legum regni pars) a Legis Judicibus sunt explicandæ, juxta mentem & veram intentionem loquentium, qui & horum fuerunt conditores, non aliter quam & Testamentum secundum mentem Testatoris, & arbitramentum secundum mentem arbitratoris. Quoad secundum, hanc Curiam nominaverunt Saxones Micel gemott magnum conventum, Wittena gemott Sapientum conventum; Latini Authores eorundem temporum Commune concilium, magnam Curiam, generalem Conventum, &c. Et dato hoc, quod Willielmus ille Victor nomen hujus Curie immutavit, ac primo ei dedit nomen Parliamenti, ex antedictis tamen patet formam eam sine jurisdictionem in nullo innovasse. Et eadem ipsa nomina quæ huic Curie ante subactionem nostram tribuebantur, exinde deducuntur, hodieque inveteraverunt: In speculo enim Justiciariorum (uti supra videre est) dicitur Concilium generale: Fleta lib. 2. cap. 2. Habet etiam Rex Curiam suam

To the R E A D E R.

Prince's Case. So as I conclude, that the Nature and Name of the Court, in Use before the Conquest, continueth to this Day.

Pryn on 4 Inst. 2, &c.

And where some do suppose, that in the Parliament holden at Westminster in the third Tear of the Reign of King Edw.

1. called Westm. the 1. this Word Parliament first crept in, where it is called

The first general Parliament by the Assent of the Archbishops, Bishops, Abbots, Priors, Earls, Barons, and all the Commonalty of the Land summoned to the same, &c. It is manifest that the Name was long before that Time, as well by that which hath already been said, as for that in the ninth Tear of

E. 2. Son and immediate Successor to King Edw. 1. at a Parliament then holden, it is said thus, Sciat

is quod cum dudum temporibus progenitorum nostrorum quondam regum Angliæ in diversis Parliamentis suis, &c. which could not have truly been said if the Name had first begun in the

Co. Lit. 110. a.

Reign of his Father. This is not that Court that in France bears the Name of Parliaments, for they are but ordinary Courts of Ju-

clufionem, naturam simul & nomen hujus curiæ, ante victoriam Normannam affueta, in hodiernum usque permanere diem. Et, quoniam crediderunt nonnulli, ad Comitata Anno 3. regnantis Edwardi primi, vulgo Westm. 1. primum irrepsisse vocabulum hoc Parliamentum, (ubi dicitur, Primum Parliamentum generale ex assensu Archiepiscoporum, Episcoporum, Abbatum, Priorum, Comitum, Baronum, totiusque communitatis terræ illuc summonitorum, &c.) nomen multum ante tunc in usu fuisse tum hoc quod superius memoravi evincit manifeste, tum quod anno 9 Regis Ed. 2. filii, proximi que successoris Regis Ed. 1. ad Parliamentum eodem anno convocatum, dicitur, Sciat is quod cum dudum temporibus progenitorum nostrorum quondam Regum Angliæ in diversis parliamentis suis, &c. Quod asseri nequaquam vere potuit si a patre suo adeo nuperrime nomen esset constitutum. Nemini in dubium veniat, quod forum istud ejusmodi sit, cujusmodi sunt in Gallia illa, quæ nomen Parli-
amentorum

To the READER.

mentorū sortita sunt; inferiores enim sunt quædam justitiæ Curia, quæ (siqua fides apud Paulum Jovium) prius illic a nobis instituebantur: Est autem hoc, illud forum de quo nominando *Parliamentum* idem sentiunt Anglia & Scotia, quodq; Galli vocarunt *Assemblée des estats*, vel *les Estats*, Germani vero *Diet*.

Fleta ubi supra de hac Curia ait, *Ubi terminatæ sunt dubitationes judiciorum, & novis injuriis emerfis nova constituuntur remedia, & unicuique justitia prout meruerit retribuetur ibidem.*

Magister Plowden in suis Commentariis 388. *Le Parliament est Court de tresgrand honour & justice, de que nul doit imaginer chose dishonourable.* Missum faciam Fortescue (qui e summo tribunali Angliæ quondam jus dixit) in suo *de Laudibus Legum Angliæ* libello, & alios quamplures; & mihi de hac re faciet orationis exitum, ille omnium sui temporis Antiquariorum facile princeps, qui apte, distincte, immo ornate summam totius concludit, fol. 128. b. *Quod ad Angliæ tribunalia, Curias, sive Juris fora*

stice, which (if you believe Paulus Jovius) were by us first settled there: But this is that which both England and Scotland agree in naming of it a Parliament, which the French doth term Assemblée des Estats, or les Estats, and the German a Diet.

Fleta ubi supra *sait* of *this Court*, *Ubi terminatæ sunt dubitationes judiciorum, & novis injuriis emerfis nova constituuntur remedia, & unicuique justitia prout meruerit retribuetur ibidem.*

In Mr. Plowden's Com. Plowd. 398. b.
388. *Le Parliament est Court de tresgrand honour & justice de que nul doit imaginer chose dishonourable. I will pretermit Fortescue, sometime Chief Justice of England, in his Treatise De Laudibus Legum Angliæ, and many others, and will conclude this Point with him that is the chief Antiquary of his Time, because he concludeth the Sum of all aptly, distinctly, and eloquently,* fol 128. b. *Cambden.*
11 Co. 14. 2.
Quod ad Angliæ tribunalia, curias, sive Juris fora attinet, in triplici sunt apud nos differentia, alia

To the READER.

alia enim sunt Ecclesiastica, alia temporalia, & unum mixtum, quod maximum, & longe amplissimum, non ita vetusto nomine e Gallia mutuato, *Parliamentum* dicitur. Majores nostri Anglo-Saxones *Wittena gemott*, i. Prudentum conventus, & *Ge-rædniss*, i. Concilium, & *Micil synod* (a Græca dictione, Synodus) i. Magnus conventus; Latini ejus & subsequenter ævi scriptores, *Commune Concilium*, *Curiam altissimam*, *generale Placitum*, *Curiam magnam*, *Magnatum conventum*, *Præsentiam Regis*, *Prælatorum*, *Procerumque collectorum*, *Commune totius regni Concilium*, &c. vocarunt. Utque univèrsam Ætolix Concilium *Panetolium Livio* nominatur, ita *Pananglium*, recte dici possit. Ex Rege enim, Clero, nobilibus, majoribus, equitibus & Burgensibus electis; sive ut significantius dicam stylo forensi, ex Rege, Dominis spiritualibus, & temporalibus, atque ex communitate constat, qui univèrsæ Angliæ corpus repræsentant. Statis autem temporibus non habetur, sed a Rege pro arbitrio indicitur, quoties de rebus

attinet, in triplici sunt apud nos differentia; alia enim sunt Ecclesiastica, alia Temporalia, & unum mixtum, quod maximum & longe amplissimum, non ita vetusto nomine e Gallia mutuato, Parliamentum dicitur. Majores nostri Anglo-Saxones Wittena gemott, id est, Prudentum conventus, & Ge-rædniss, id est, Concilium, & Micil Synod (a Græca dictione Synodus) id est, Magnus conventus; Latini ejus & subsequenter ævi scriptores, Commune concilium, Curiam altissimam, generale placitum, Curiam magnam, Magnatum conventum, præsentiam Regis, Prælatorum, procerumque collectorum, commune totius regni concilium, &c. vocarunt; Utque univèrsam Ætolix Concilium Panetolium Livio nominatur, ita Pananglium recte dici possit: Ex rege enim, Clero, Nobilibus, majoribus, equitibus & Burgensibus electis, sive ut significantius dicam stylo forensi, ex Rege, Dominis spiritualibus & temporalibus, atque ex communitate constat, qui univèrsæ Angliæ corpus repræsentant. Statis autem temporibus non habetur, sed a Rege pro arbitrio indicitur, quoties

To the READER.

quoties de rebus arduis & urgentibus, ne quid detrimenti respublica capiat, consultandum, ejusdemque solius arbitrio dissolvitur. Summam autem & sacrosanctam auctoritatem habet in legibus ferendis, confirmandis, antiquandis, interpretandis, proscriptis in integrum restituendis, litibus inter privatos difficilioribus decidendis; & ut semel dicam, in omnibus quæ ad Reipublicæ salutem, vel etiam privatum quemcunque spectare possint.

arduis & urgentibus, ne quid detrimenti respublica capiat, consultandum, ejusdemque solius arbitrio dissolvitur. Summam autem & sacrosanctam auctoritatem habet in legibus ferendis, confirmandis, antiquandis, interpretandis, proscriptis in integrum restituendis, litibus inter privatos difficilioribus decidendis, & ut semel dicam, in omnibus quæ ad Reipublicæ salutem, vel etiam privatum quemcunque spectare possint.

Hoc speculo clarissime item discerni potest usque a temporibus sæpius nominati Regis Arthuri, immensa Ministrorum legis municipalis, eorundemque curiarum inferiorum antiquitas: Exempli gratia, de custodibus sive (si dicam) Senatoribus comitatum, ita legitur, *custodes seu præpositi comitatus*, seculis subsequenteribus dicti Vicecomites, qui (inquit Author noster) *fueront ordeignes per viels Roys quant les Countees se demiserent des gards*, pariter de Turnis & Curii Comitatus. Manebant hujusmodi Ministri, & Comitatum divisio (prout

In this ancient Mirror you may also clearly discern as far as the Reign of the often named King Arthur, the great Antiquity of the Officers and Ministers of the Common Law, and of their inferior Courts, as for Example, of the Offices of the Keepers or Senators of the Shires or Counties, Custodes seu Præpositi Comitatus, of later Times called Sheriffs, who (saith this Author) fueront ordeignes per viels Roys quant les Countees se demister' des gards, and of his Turns and County-Courts: Which Officers and Division of Shires continued (as you may read

To the R E A D E R.

amongst the Laws of those seven Kings) though with much Encroachment, during the Heptarchy, as taking one or two Examples for many: Amongst the Laws of King Ina it is provided in these Words, Gif hwa hun righter bidde beforan Scirman oth the othrun deman, the ancient translation thus, Si quis rectum sibi roget coram aliquo Scirman (i. Præposito comitatus) vel alio iudice & habere non possit, & accusatus vadium recti dare nolit, emendet 30 s. & infra septem noctes faciat ei recti dignum.

And in another Place, Gif he Eldorman hy tholige his scire, Qui furem ceperit vel captum reddiderit vel ipsum dimiserit vel furtum celaverit, reddat ipsum furem secundum weram suam, si Eorlðermannus, i. Præpositus Comitatus, sit, perdat Comitatum suum nisi Rex parcere velit ei. If the Sheriff do it he shall lose the Custody of his Shire or County: And afterwards, Si quis discedat a Domino suo sine licentia vel in alium Comitatum se furetur, & deinceps inveniatur, redeat

inter leges septem illorum regum legitur) auctis licet undequaque pro posse suo finibus sub Heptarchia: Quod exemplo uno & altero tibi instar multorum innotescat, inter Regis Inæ Leges in hæc verba cautum est, Gif hwa hun righter bidde beforan Scirman oth the othrun deman, quod antiquitus ita redditur, Si quis rectum sibi roget coram aliquo Skirman (i. Præposito Comitatus) vel alio iudice, & habere non possit: & accusatus vadium recti dare nolit, emendet 30. & infra septem noctes faciat ei recti dignum.

Et rursus, Gif he Eldorman hy tholige his scire, Qui furem ceperit vel captum reddiderit, vel ipsum demiserit, vel furtum celaverit, reddat ipsum furem secundum weram suam si Eorlðermannus, i. Præpositus comitatus, sit, perdat comitatum, nisi Rex parcere velit ei: Si Vicecomes delinquat, custodiam sui comitatus amittet. Et deinceps, Si quis discedat a Domino suo sine licentia, vel in alium Comitatum se furetur, & deinceps inveniatur, redeat illuc ubi antea fuit, & emendet Domino suo 40 s. &c.

Et

To the READER.

illis diebus in comitatu illo quisquam non erat, qui tantæ fortitudinis viro resistere posset propter magnam quam habuit potestatem, terras quamplures de Archiepiscopatu Cantuariensi, & consuetudines nonnullas sibi arripuit atque usurpans suæ dominationi

Postea vero non multo tempore contigit præfatum Lanfrancum Cadomensis Ecclesiæ Abbatem jussu Regis in Angliam quoque venire, atque in Episcopatum Cant', Deo disponente, totius Angliæ Primatum sublimatum esse; ubi dum aliquandiu resideret, & antiquas Ecclesiæ suæ terras multas sibi deesse inveniret, & suorum negligentia antecessorum illas distributas & distractas fuisse reperisset, diligenter inquisita & bene cognita veritate, Regem quam citius potuit, & non pigre inde requisivit, ut Justitia secundum Legem sibi fieret, &c. Et hoc loco supplementi Præfationi meæ superiori annexum sat sit.

magna potentia residere, ibique potestatem non modicam exercere. Ac quia illis diebus in Comitatu illo quisquam non erat qui tantæ fortitudinis viro resistere posset propter magnam quam habuit potestatem, terras complures de Archiepiscopatu Cantuar', & consuetudines nonnullas sibi arripuit, atque usurpans suæ dominationi

Postea vero non multo tempore contigit præfatum Lanfrancum Cadomensis Ecclesiæ Abbatem jussu Regis in Angliam quoque venire, atque in Episcopatum Cantuar', Deo disponente, totius Angliæ primatum sublimatum esse, ubi dum aliquandiu resideret, & antiquas Ecclesiæ suæ terras multas sibi deesse inveniret, & suorum negligentia antecessorum illas distributas atque distractas fuisse reperisset, diligenter inquisita & bene cognita veritate, regem quam citius potuit, & non pigre inde requisivit, ut Justitia secundum legem sibi fieret, &c. *And thus much by way of Addition to my former Preface shall suffice.*

Nonus iste liber Commentariorum meorum

b 4

I have in this ninth Work reported certain Cases which have

To the R E A D E R.

illuc ubi antea fuit &
emendet domino suo 40s.
&c.

Et quanquam Saxones huic ministro fecerunt nomen quod & vulgo hodie in usu est, diebus tamen multo ante elapsis ministerium istud exitisse, vel pede Saxonum in Anglia nondum posito, extra controversiam plane est. DICTIO, *Shireve*, Vicecomes e binis vocabulis Saxonice mutuatur, videlicet, *Scyre*, id est, comitatus, & *Reve*, id est, Custos sive Præpositus Comitatus, & nonnunquam (uti supra) vocabatur *Scirman* sive *Eldorman*: Hodie etiam litera ejus patentes sunt, *Commisimus vobis custodiam Comitatus*. Regem *Alfredum* Angliam in Comitatus distinxisse affirmantibus, libenter concedo, (ob id nimirum quod longe eorundem certissimam fecerit divisionem; cum enim sub Heptarchia unus in alterius fines sæpenu-mero irrepserit, plurimæque vetustæ prorsus interierint metæ, totum hoc sua partitione in ordinem reduxit): Mihi modo non aversentur affirmanti, multum ante natum regem *Alfredum*, regnum

And albeit the Saxons gave this Officer the vulgar Name used to this Day, yet it is manifest that the Office was of ancient Time before they set any Foot in England. This Word Sheriff is derived of two Saxon Words, viz. of Scyre, that is, the Shire or County, and Reve, that is, Custos, or Præpositus Comitatus, the Keeper or Guardian of the Shire: And sometime (as you see) they were called Shireman, or Elderman of the Shire. And to this Day his Patent is, Commisimus vobis custodiam Comitatus. So I agree well with them which affirm that King Alfred divided England into Shires or Counties, in that he made the most certain Division of them; for where, during the Time of the Heptarchy, there were many Incroachments one upon another, and many ancient Bounds obscured, all that he reformed by his exact Partition: But they must also agree with me, that long before the Birth of King Alfred this Kingdom had been divided into Shires or Counties.

Co. Lit. 109. b.
168. a.

Co. Lit. 168. a.

Alfred divided
England into
Shires or
Counties.

To the R E A D E R.

ties. But hereof, at this Time, this little shall suffice.

hoc in comitat' distributum fuisse. Sed de hoc paucula hæc plus quam satis.

I have in my Custody an ancient Record intituled Kanc' de placito apud Pinendenam inter Lanfrancum Archiepiscopum Cant', & Odonem Bajocensem Episcopum tempore magni Regis Williel' qui Anglicum regnum armis conquifivit: The Effect whereof is, That Lanfrank Archbishop of Canterbury brought a Writ of Right Patent against the said Odo, of the Manors of Raculfe, Sandwic', Rateburg', Widetun, Saltwode, cum Burgo Heth ad Saltwode pertinente, Langport, Huoenden, Roking, Broche, Detling, Prestitune, Sunderhurft, Earheth, Orpintune, Einsford, &c. una cum libertatibus & pertinentiis de Soca, Saca, Toll, Team, Flymena, Firmith, Grithbreach, Storsteale, Haunfare, Infangtheof, cum omnibus aliis consuetudinibus paribus istis, vel minoribus istis, in terris & in aquis, in sylvis, in viis, & in pratis, & in omnibus aliis rebus infra Civi-

Penes me est antiquum monumentum, cujus est titulus, Kanc' de placito apud Pinendenam inter Lanfrancum Archiepiscopum Cant', & Odonem Bajocensem Episcopum tempore magni Regis Will' qui Anglicum regnum armis conquifivit: Quod sic intelligendum Lanfrancus Archiepiscopus Cantuariensis rescriptum profectus fuit de jure suo recuperando (quod apud nos est breve de Recto patente) contra dictum Odonem de maneriis de Raculfe, Sandwic', Rateburg', Widetun, Saltwode, cum Burgo Heth ad Saltwode pertinente, Langport, Huoenden, Roking, Broche, Detling, Prestitune, Sunderburft, Earheth, Orpintune, Einsford, &c. una cum libertatibus & pertinentiis de Soca, Saca, Toll, Team, Flymena, Firmith, Grithbreach, Storsteale, Haunfare, Infangtheof, cum omnibus aliis consuetudinibus paribus istis, vel minoribus istis, in terris & in aquis, in sylvis, in viis, & in pratis, & in omnibus aliis

To the R E A D E R.

aliis rebus infra Civitatem & extra, & in omnibus aliis locis: Inde vero hoc breve vi præcepti de Tolt, ut loquimur, ad Curiam comitatus allatum fuit: Et actum illud publicum ait, Quod præcipit Rex Comitatum totum absque mora considerare, & omnes Francigenas, & præcipue Anglos, in antiquis legibus & consuetudinibus peritos in unum convenire: Qui cum convenerint apud Pinendenam pariter considerunt, &c. Huic placito interfuerunt Ernestus Episcopus de Rovec', Angelricus Episcopus de Cicestr', vir antiquissimus & Legum terræ sapientissimus, qui ex præcepto Regis advectus fuit ad ipsas antiquas Legum consuetudines discutiendas & edocendas in una quadriga, Richard' de Tunebreg, Hugo de Monteforti, Willielmus de Acres, Haymo Vicecomes, & alii multi, &c. Barones Regis & ipsius Archiepiscopi, atque illorum Episcoporum homines multi, &c. cum toto isto Comitatu multæ & magnæ authoritatis viri, &c. Et ab omnibus illis probis & sapientibus hominibus qui affuerunt fuit ita diratiocinatum, & etiam a toto Comitatu recordatum atque iudicatum,

tatem, & extra, & in omnibus aliis locis: *Which Writ was removed into the County Court by a Writ called a Tolt: And the Record saith, Quod præcepit Rex comitatum totum absque mora considerare, & omnes Francigenas, & præcipue Anglos in antiquis legibus & consuetudinibus peritos in unum convenire: Qui cum convenerint apud Pinendenam pariter considerunt, &c. Huic placito interfuerunt Ernestus Episcopus de Rovec', Angelricus Episcopus de Cicestr', vir antiquissimus & legum terræ sapientissimus, qui ex præcepto Regis advectus fuit, ad ipsas antiquas legum consuetudines discutiendas & edocendas, in una quadriga, Richardus de Tunebreg, Hugo de Monteforti, Willielmus de Acres, Haymo Vicecomes, & alii multi, &c. Barones Regis & ipsius Archiepiscopi, atque illorum Episcoporum homines multi, &c. cum toto isto Comitatu multæ & magnæ authoritatis viri, &c. Et ab omnibus illis probis & sapientibus hominibus qui affuerunt fuit ita diratiocinatum & etiam a toto Comitatu recorda-*

To the READER.

recordatum atque judicatum, quod sicut ipse Rex tenet suas terras liberas & quietas in suo dominico, ita Archiepiscopus tenet suas terras prædictas omnino liberas & quietas in dominico, suo &c. *And let not this ancient Judgment in a Writ of Right seem strange; for since that Time, and to this Day, the Judgment for the Tenant in a Writ of Right is, Quod teneat terram illam, &c. quietam (or) in pace, &c. And under this Record it is thus testified.* Hujus placiti, multis testibus multisque rationibus determinatum, finem postquam Rex audivit, laudavit, laudansque cum consensu omnium principum suorum confirmavit & ut incorruptus perseveraret firmiter præcepit. *And the Cause of this Controversy is there also expressed in these Words.* Tempore magni Regis Willielmi qui Anglicum regnum armis conquirit, & suis ditionibus subjugavit, contigit Odonem Bajocensem Episcopum & ejusdem Regis fratrem multo citius quam Lanfrancum Archiepiscopum in Angliam venire atque in Comitatu de Chent cum

quod sicut ipse Rex tenet suas terras liberas & quietas in suo dominico, ita Archiepiscopus teneat suas terras prædictas omnino liberas & quietas in dominico suo, &c. Nemini autem mirum videatur, judicium istiusmodi in brevi de Recto; eodem enim tempore, sicut & hodie, Judicium pro Tenente in brevi de Recto est, Quod teneat terram illam, &c. quietam, vel, in pace, &c. Fides vero huic monumento adhibetur his verbis. Hujus placiti, multis testibus multisque rationibus determinatum, finem postquam Rex audivit, laudavit, laudansque cum consensu omnium Principum suorum confirmavit, & ut incorruptus perseveraret firmiter præcepit. Simul & Litis hujus origo adjicitur, Tempore magni Regis Willielmi, qui Anglicum regnum armis conquirit, & suis ditionibus subjugavit, contigit Odonem Bajocensem Episcopum & ejusdem Regis fratrem, multo citius quam Lanfrancum Archiepiscopum in Angliam venire, atque in Comitatu de Chent cum magna potentia residere, ibique potestatem non modicam exercere. *Ac quia*
illis

Mich. 25 & 26 Eliz. Reginae, Filmer.
Rot. 144.

Dowman's Case.

Ebor'. **A**ffisa ven' recogn' si Edward' Vavafor armiger, Georgius Vavafor gener', Richardus Coates, Johannes Lawfon, Willielmus Musgrave, Robertus Thiffylwood, & Robertus Ward injuste, &c. disseif. Thomam Dowman armigerum, & Elizabethum uxorem ejus de libero ten'to suo in Spaldington, Willitof, & Southcave infra triginta ann' jam ultimos elaps. &c. Et unde iidem Thomas & Elizabeth. per Henricum Cressy Attorn' suum queruntur, quod disseif. eos de sex mesuagiis, trescentis acris terræ, centum acris prati, & ducentis acris pasturæ cum pertin', &c. Et prædicti Edwardus, Georgius, Richardus, Johannes, Willielmus, Robertus Thiffylwood, & Robertus Ward per Edwardum Latimer Attornatum suum ven': Et super hoc certis de causis Justic' hic specialit' moven' dies dat' est coram eisdem Justic' præfat' Edwardo, Georgio, Richardo, Johanni, Willielmo, Roberto, & Roberto, ad placitandum hic usque diem Jovis, proxim' futur', &c. idem dies dat' est præfat' Thomæ & Elizabeth. hic, &c. Ad quem diem ven' tam prædicti Thomas & Elizabeth. quam prædicti Edwardus, Georgius, Richardus, Johannes, Willielmus, Robertus, & Robertus, per Attornatos suos prædict'. Et sup' hoc, &c. certis de causis Justic' hic specialit' moven' Affisa prædicta ulterius adjornat' coram eisdem Justic' usque hospitium Justic' in Chancery Lane London' usque crastin' Sti. Martini proxim' futur'. Ad quem diem apud prædict' hospitium Justic' coram præfat' Justic' ven' tam præd' Thomas & Elizabeth. quam prædict' Edwardus, Georgius, Richardus, Johannes, Willielmus, Robertus, & Robertus per Attornatos suos prædictos, & super hoc prædicti Georgius, Richardus, Johannes, Willielmus, Robertus, & Robertus dic', quod ipsi nihil habent in prædictis tenementis cum pertin' in visu recogn' Affisa prædictæ posit' & in querela præ-

dicta spec', nec habuer' die impetrationis brevis originalis Affisæ prædictæ seu unquam postea, nec aliquam injuriam sive disseisnam præfat' Thomæ & Elizabeth. inde fecer': Et de hoc pon' se super Affisam & prædicti Thom' & Eliz. similiter: Ideo capiatur inde inter eos Affisa, &c. Et prædictus Edwardus respond' ut tenens liberi ten' prædictorum ten'torum cum pertin' in visu recogn' affisæ prædict' posit' & in querela prædict' specificat'; et dicit quod affisa inde inter ipsum Edwardum & præfat' Thomam & Elizabeth. fieri non debet, quia dicit quod quidam Petrus Vavasor Armiger fuit seifitus de prædict' tenem'tis cum pertin' in visu recogn' affisæ prædictæ posit' & in querela prædicta spec', inter alia, in dominico suo ut de feodo, ipsoq; sic inde seifit' existit' quid' Andr. Windfor Armig', Will'us Vavasor, Petrus Vavasor Jun', & Johan' Laundere generos. alias sc'ilt sc'do die Januarii anno regni d'næ Reginae nunc quinto decimo ext' Cur' Cancellar' ejusdem d'næ Reginae, eadem Cur' Cancellar' apud Westm' in comit' Middlesex tunc existit', prosecut' fuerunt quoddam br'e dict' d'næ Reginae de ingru' super disseisin' **en le post**, versus præfat' Petrum Vavasor armigerum de prædictis ten'tis cum pertin' in visu recogn' affisæ prædictæ posit' & in querela prædict' spec' cum pertin' inter alia, ipso Petro Vavasor armigero ad tunc tenente liberi ten'ti eorundem ten'torum cum pertin' inter alia existit', tunc Vic' prædict' com' Ebor' direct'. (**And so pleads a Common Recovery.**) Quæ quidam recuperatio in forma prædicta habita, habebatur & fuit ad usum prædicti Petri Vavasor pro termino vitæ suæ naturalis absque impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessu' tunc ad usum senioris filii legitime procreat' de corpore ipsius Petri Vavasor armigeri & hæredum masculorum de corpore ejusdem senioris filii legitime procreat': Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii senioris tunc ad usum sc'di filii de corpore prædicti Petri Vavasor armigeri legitime procreat', & hæredum masculorum de corpore ejusdem sc'di filii legitime procreat': (Et sic usque ad nonum filium.) Et pro defectu talis exit' masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii noni, tunc ad usum ipsius Edwardi Vavasor modo defend' fratris prædicti Petri Vavasor armigeri pro termino vitæ suæ naturalis absque impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessum tunc ad usum senioris filii legitime procreat' de corpore ejusdem Edwardi & hæredum masculorum de corpore prædicti filii senioris legitime procreat': Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii senioris tunc ad usum secundi filii de corpore ipsius Edwardi legitime procreat' & hæredum masculorum de corpore prædicti sc'di filii legitime procreat'; (& sic usque ad nonum filium ipsius Edwardi.) Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii noni, tunc ad

ad usum cujusdam Georgii Vavafor alterius fratris præd' Petri Vavafor armiger' pro termino vitæ suæ naturalis absque impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessum tunc ad usum senioris filii legitime procreat de corpore præd' Georgii & hæredum masculorum de corpore illius senioris filii legitime procreat'; & pro defectu talis exit' masculi de corpore hujusmodi fil' senioris, tunc ad usum sc'di filii de corpore præd' Georgii legitime procreat' & hæredum masculorum de corpore illius sc'di filii legitime procreat'; (& sic ad nonum filium ipsius Georgii:) Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii noni tunc ad usum cujusdam Ra'di Vavafor alterius fratris præd' Petri Vavafor pro termin' vitæ suæ naturalis absq; impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessum tunc ad usum senioris filii legitime procreat' de corpore præd' Radulphi & hæredum masculorum de corpore illius senioris filii legitime procreat': Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii senioris tunc ad usum sc'di filii de corpore præd' Radulphi legitime procreat' & hæred' masculorum de corpore illius secundi filii legitime procreat', (& sic usq; ad nonum filium ipsius Radulphi:) Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii noni tunc ad usum cujusdam Marmaduci Vavafor alterius fratris præd' Petri Vavafor armigeri pro termino vitæ suæ naturalis absque impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessum tunc ad usum senioris filii legitime procreat' de corpore præd' Marmaduci & hæredum masculorum de corpore illius senioris filii legitime procreat': Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii senioris tunc ad usum secundi filii de corpore præd' Marmaduci legitime procreat' & hæred' masculorum de corpore illius secundi filii legitime procreat'; (& sic usq; ad nonum filium ipsius Marmaduci:) Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii noni tunc ad usum cujusdam Roberti Vavafor alterius fratris præd' Petri Vavafor pro termino vitæ suæ naturalis absque impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessum tunc ad usum senioris filii legitime procreat' de corpore præd' Roberti Vavafor & hæred' masculorum de corpore illius senioris filii legitime procreat': Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii senioris tunc ad usum secundi filii de corpore præd' Roberti Vavafor & hæred' masculorum de corpore illius secundi filii legitime procreat'; (& sic usque and nonum filium ipsius Roberti:) Et pro defectu talis exitus masculi de corpore hujusmodi filii noni tunc ad usum Thomæ Vavafor alterius fratris prædicti Petri Vavafor Armiger' pro termino vitæ suæ naturalis absque impetitione alicujus vasti, & post ejus decessum tunc ad usum senioris filii de corpore præd' Thom' Va-

vafor legitime procreat' & hæredum mafculorum de corpore illius filii fenioris legitime procreat' : Et pro defectu talis exitus mafculi de corpore hujufmodi filii fenioris, tunc ad ufum fecundi filii de corpore prædicti Thomæ Vavafor legitime procreat' & hæred' mafculorum de corpore illius fecundi filii legitime procreat' ; (& fic ufque ad nonum filium præd' Thomæ :) Et pro defectu talis exitus mafculi de corpore hujufmodi filii noni, tunc ad ufum Richardi Vavafor alterius fratris præd' Petri Vavafor Armigeri pro termino vitæ fuæ naturalis abfque impetitione alicujus vafii, & poft ejus deceffum tunc ad ufum fenioris filii de corpore præd' Richardi Vavafor legitime procreat', & hæred' mafculorum de corpore illius filii fenioris legitime procreat' : Et pro defectu talis exitus mafculi de corpore hujufmodi filii fenioris, tunc ad ufum fecundi filii de corpore præd' Richardi Vavafor legitime procreat' : (Et fic ufque ad nonum filium præd' Richardi :) Et pro defectu talis exitus mafculi de corpore hujufmodi filii noni, tunc ad ufum hæredum mafculorum de corpore Petri Vavafor de Spaldington militis legitime procreat' : Et pro defectu talis exitus mafculi tunc ad ufum rectorum hæred' præd' Richardi Vavafor imperpetuum. Virtute cujus quidem recuperationis & feifinæ modo & forma præd' habit', ac vigore cujusdam actus in Parliament' domini Henrici nuper Regis Angliæ octavi, quarto die Februarii, anno regni fui viceffimo feptimo, de ufibus in poffeffionem transferend', apud Westmonafterium in comitat' Middlefex tent' edit', præd' Petrus Vavafor armiger fuit feifitus de præd' tenementis cum pertinentiis in vifum recogn' Affifæ prædictæ pofit' & in querela prædicta spec' inter alia, in dominico fuo ut de libero tenemento pro termino vitæ fuæ abfque impetitione alicujus vafii, remaner' inde poft ejus deceffum ulterius prout fuperius fpectant', ipfoque Petro fic inde feifit' exiften', idem Petrus apud Spaldington prædictam obiit fine aliquo exit' mafculo de corpore fuo legitime procreat' ; poft cujus mortem idem Edwardus in prædicta tenementa, cum pertinentiis, in vifum recognitorum Affifæ prædictæ pofit' & in querela prædicta spec', inter alia, ut in remanere fuo inde intravit, & fuit & adhuc eft feifitus in dominico fuo ut de libero tenemento pro termino vitæ fuæ abfque impetitione alicujus vafii. Et prædicti Thomas Downan & Elizabeth. clamand', &c. (And gives colour to the Plaintiff.)

Et prædicti Thomas Dowman & Eliz. quoad prædictum placit' præd' Edwardi fuperius in barra Affifæ prædictæ placit' dicunt, qd' ipfi per aliqua in eodem placito præallegat' ab Affifæ prædictæ de tenementis prædictis, cum pertinentiis, habenda præ-

præ-

præcludi non debent; quia dic', quod bene & verum est, quod prædict' Petrus Vavafor Armiger fuit seifitus de tenementis prædictis, cum pertinentiis, in dominico suo ut de feodo, ipsoque Petro sic inde seifit' existen', prædicta recuperatio tenementorum præd' cum pertinentiis, habit' fuit per præfat' And' Windsor, Willielmum Vavafor, Petrum Vavafor juniorem, & Johanne' Laundere versus præfat' Petrum Vavafor armiger', modo & forma prout prædict' Edwardus superius allegavit: Sed iidem Thomas Dowman & Elizabeth. ulterius dic', qd' recuperatio prædicta per præfat' Andream, Willielmum Vavafor, Petrum Vavafor juniorem, & Johannem Laundere versus præfat' Petrum Vavafor Armigerum de tenementis prædictis cum pertinentiis in forma prædicta habit' ac seifina tenementorum prædictorum cum pertinentiis superinde in forma prædicta habit'; fuerunt ad solum opus & usum præd' Petri Vavafor armigeri & hæredum suorum imperpetuum: Quorum prætextu ac vigore præd' actus de usibus in possessionem transferend', &c. præd' Petrus Vavafor Armiger fuit seifit' de tenementis prædictis cum pertinentiis in dominico suo ut de feodo, et sic inde seifit' existen' idem Petrus Vavafor armiger apud Spaldington prædict' de tali statu suo obiit inde seifit' sine exitu de corpore suo legitime procreat'; post cujus mortem eadem tenement' cum pertinentiis descend' eidem Eliz. adtunc uxori ipsius Tho. Dowman existen', ut sorori & hæredi prædict' Petri Vavafor armiger': Per qd' iidem Tho. Dowman & Eliz. in eadem tenementa cum pertin' intraverunt & fuerunt inde seifiti in dominico suo ut de feodo in jure ipsius Eliz. quousque prædict' Edwardus Vavafor ac prædict' Georgius, Richardus, Johan' Lawson, Willielmus Musgrave, Rob. Thiffylwood, & Rob. Ward, ipsos Thomam Dowman & Eliz. inde injuste & sine judicio disseif. prout ipsi superius vers. eos queruntur: Absq; hoc qd' prædict' recuperatio ten' torum prædictorum cum pertin' per præfat' Andream Windsor, Will' Vavafor, Petrum Vavafor junior', & Johann' Laundere versus præfat' Petrum Vavafor Armiger' in forma prædict' habit', fuit ad usus in barra prædict' Edward' superius spec', prout, &c. (Et superinde partes sunt ad exit') Et Jur' dicunt super sacrament' suum, qd' prædict' Petrus Vavafor armiger fuit seifit' de tenem'tis præd' in eorum visu posit' & in querela prædicta spec' cum pertin' in dominico suo ut de feodo, ipsoq; Petro sic inde seifit' existen', præd' recuperatio habit' fuit per præfat' And' Windsor, Will' Vavafor, Pet' Vavafor jun', & Johan' Laundere. versus præfat' Pet. Vavafor armig' de eisd' ten'tis cum pertin' modo & forma prout prædict' Edwardus superius placitand' allegavit. Et ulterius recognitor' Assise prædictæ dic' super sacramentum suum, quod quædam indentura facta fuit

inter præfat' Petrum Vavafor armigerum ex una parte, & præd' Andream Windfor, Williel' Vavafor, Petrum Vavafor junior', & Johan' Launderè ex altera parte, gerens dat' primo die Februarii anno quinto decimo supradicto: Cujus quidem Indenturæ tenor sequitur in hæc verba. This Indenture made the first Day of February in the 15 Year of the Reign of our Sovereign Lady Elizabeth by the Grace of God Queen of England, France, and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, &c. Between Peter Vavafor of the Middle-Temple in London Esquire of the one Party, and Andrew Windfor of the same House Esquire, William Vavafor of Linton in the County of York Gent. Peter Vavafor the Younger of Spaldington in the County of York Gentleman, and John Launderè of Staple Inn in or near London Gentleman on the other Party, Witnesseth, that it is covenanted, concluded, condescended, declared, and fully agreed betwixt the said Parties, and either of the said Parties for him and his and their Heirs, Executors, and Administrators doth conclude, condescend, declare, and agree by these Presents to and with the other, his and their Heirs, Executors, and Administrators in Manner and Form following: That is to say, whereas the said Andr. Will. Pet. the Younger and John have this present Term of S. Hill. recovered to them and to their Heirs for ever by Writ of Entre sur diff. in le post had and prosecuted against the said Peter Vavafor Esquire, before Sir James Dyer Just. Richard Harper, Roger Manwood, and Robert Mounson Justices to our said Sovereign Lady the Queens Majesty of her Court of Common Pleas at Westminster, according to the usual Order and Form of Common Recoveries heretofore used, the Manor of Spaldington with the Appurtenances and divers other Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments situate, lying, and being in the Towns, Parishes, Hamlets, and Fields of Spaldington, Willytoft, Griphorpe, Bubwith, Brighton, Southcave, and Replingham in the said County of York, at the Time of the said Recovery had being the Inheritance of the said Peter Vavafor Esq; other than such Messuages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments as the said Peter Vavafor Esq; lately purchased of one Henry Johnson Esq; by the Names of the Manor of Spaldington, forty Messuages, 30 Lotts, 30 Gardens, 3 Dovehouses, one Windmill, 2000 Acres of Land, five Hundred Acres of Meadow, two Thousand Acres of Pasture, five Hundred Acres of Wood,

Wood, two Thousand Acres of Moor with the Appurtenances in Spaldington, Bubwith, Brighton, Willitost, Griphorpe, Southcave, and Replingham, That the Intent and true Meaning of all the said Partics now is, and at the Time of the said Recovery so had and suffered was, That the said Andrew, William, Peter the Younger, and John, and their Heirs, and the Heirs of every of them, immediately from and after the said Recovery so had and executed, should and shall stand and be seized of the said Manor and of all other the Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments, in the said Recovery meant and intended to be comprized, that is to say, of and in the said Manor of Spaldington, with the Appurtenances, and also of and in the Messuages, Totts, Gardens, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments, with the Appurtenances in Spaldington, Willitost, Griphorpe, Bubwith, Brighton, Southcave; and Replingham, at the Time of the said Recovery had, being the Inheritance of the said Peter Vavasor Esquire, the Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments lately purchased by the said Peter Vavasor of Henry Johnson Esquire only excepted as is aforesaid, to the only Uses and Intents hereafter by these Presents set forth and declared, and to none other Uses, Intents, nor Purposes: That is to say, to the Use of the said Peter Vavasor Esquire for Term of his natural Life, without Impeachment of any Manner of Waste, and after the Decease of the said Peter Vavasor Esquire then to the Use and Schoole of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the said Peter Vavasor Esquire and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten: And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such eldest Son, to the Use of the second Son of the Body of the said Peter Vavasor lawfully begotten, and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said second Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said Peter.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of Edw. Vavasor Brother of the said Peter Vavasor Esq; for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said Edward and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten: And for Default of such Issue Male of such eldest

Son, to the Use of the second Son of the Body of the said Edward Vavafor lawfully begotten, and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said second Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said Edward.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of George Vavafor Brother to the said Peter Vavafor Esq; for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said George Vavafor and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten: And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of the said eldest Son, to the Use of the second Son of the Body of the said George Vavafor lawfully begotten, and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said second Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said George.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of Ralph Vavafor Brother to the said Peter Vavafor Esquire for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said Ralph Vavafor, and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten: And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such eldest Son, to the Use of the second Son of the Body of the said Ralph Vavafor lawfully begotten and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said second Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said Ralph.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of Marmaduke Vavafor Brother to the said Peter Vavafor Esq; for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said Marmaduke Vavafor, and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said Marmaduke.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of Robert Vavafor Brother to the said Peter Vavafor Esq; for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said Ro. Vavas. and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten, &c.

(And

(And so to the ninth Son of the said Robert.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of Thomas Vavafor Brother of the said Peter Vavafor Esq; for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease, to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said Thomas Vavafor and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said Thomas.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of Richard Vavafor Brother to the said Peter Vavafor Esq; for Term of his natural Life without Impeachment of any Waste, and after his Decease, to the Use of the eldest Son lawfully begotten of the Body of the said Richard Vavafor and of the Heirs Males of the Body of the said eldest Son lawfully begotten, &c. (And so to the ninth Son of the said Richard.) And for Default of such Issue Male of the Body of such ninth Son, to the Use of the Heirs Males of the Body of Sir Peter Vavafor of Spaldington Knt. lawfully begotten: And for Default of such Issue Male, to the Use of the right Heirs of the said Richard Vavafor for ever. Provided, &c.

Et ulterius recognitores prædict' dicunt super sacramentum suum prædict', qd' prædict' ten' cum pertin' in eorum visu posit' & in querela prædict' spec', & in recuperatione prædict' comprisat', sunt parcella man' iorum r'rarum & ten' torum in indentur' prædict' spec' & non alia neq; diversa; sed utrum indentura prædict' post recuperationem prædict' per præfat' Pet. Vavafor armiger' in forma prædict' fact' & habit', gerens datum prædict' primo die Februarii, ac primo deliberat' prædicto quinto decimo die Februarii anno quinto decimo supradict', post recuperationem prædict', existen' ad usus in eadem spec', sit bona & sufficiens in lege ad ducend' & declarand', Anglice, to lead and declare usus prædict' recuperationis prædictorum tenementorum in visu recognit' prædictorum posit' & in querela prædicta spec', cum pertinen', necne, iidem recogn' penitus ignorant, & inde petunt adversam tum Justic' prædictorum, & Cur hic, &c. Et si eisdem Justic' & cur' hic videbitur, quod indentura prædicta per præfatum Petrum Vavafor armigerum post prædictam recuperationem in forma prædicta facta & habita, gerens datum prædicto primo die Februarii, ac primo deliberat' prædict' quintodecimo die Februarii ann' quinto decimo supradict' post recup'aco'em prædict' existen' ad usus in eadem spec', sit bona & sufficiens in lege ad ducend' & declarand', Anglice,
to

to lead and declare usus recuperationis prædictæ de tenementis prædictis in visu recognitor' prædictorum posit' cum pertinentiis & in querela prædicta spec', tunc iidem recognitor' dic' super sacramentum suum prædictum, qd' eadem recuperatio de tenementis prædictis in visu recognitor' prædictorum posit', cum pertinentiis, & in querela prædict' spec', fuit ad eisdem usus in eadem barra ipsius Edwardi spec' modo & forma prout idem Edwardus in barra sua prædicta superius allegavit; et quod prædicti Georg. Richard. Coats, Johan', Willielmus, Robert. Thiffylwood, and Robert. Ward non disseis. præfat' Thom. Dowman & Eliz. de tenementis prædictis in eorum visu posit' in querel' prædicta spec', cum pertinentiis, prout iidem Georgius, Richardus Coats, Johannes, Willielmus, Robertus, & Robert. superius allegaverunt. Et si videtur eisdem Justic' & Cur' hic, quod indentura prædicta per prædictum Petrum Vavafor armig' post recuperationem prædictam in forma prædicta fact' & habit', gerens dat' prædicto primo die Februarii ac primo deliberat' prædicto quintodecimo die Februarii, anno quintodecimo supra dicto, post recuperationem prædictam, minus sufficiens in lege existit ad ducend' & declarand', Anglice, *to lead and declare* usus recuperationis prædictæ tenementorum prædictorum in visu recogn' posit' & in querela prædicta spec', tunc iidem recogn' dic' super sacramentum suum prædictum, quod eadem recuperatio tenementorum prædict' non fuit ad eisd' usus in eadem barra ipsius Edwardi spec' modo & forma prout prædicti Thomas Dowman & Elizab. superius allegaverunt; & quod prædicti Tho. Dowman & Eliz. fuer' seisit' de tenementis prædictis in visu eorundem recognitor' posit' & in querela prædicta spec', cum pertinentiis, in dominico suo ut de feodo in jure prædictæ Eliz. quousq; prædicti Edwardus Vavafor, Georgius Vavafor, Richardus Coats, Johan. Lawson, Willielmus Musgrave, Robertus Thiffylwood, & Robertus Ward ipsos Thomam Dowman & Eliz. inde injuste & sine judicio, sed non vi neque armis, disseis. Et tunc assid' dampna ipsorum Thomæ Dowman & Eliz. occasione disseisinæ prædict' ultra mis. & custag' sua per ipsos circa sextam suam in hac parte apposit' ad vigint' solidos, & pro mis. & custag' illor' ad decem solidos. Et quia Justiciar' hic se advifare volunt de & super præmissis priusquam judicium inde reddant, dies datus est partibus prædictis coram Justiciar' hic prædict' apud hospitium. Justic' in Chancery Lane London' usq; diem Sabbathi proxim' post mens. sancti Michaelis proxim' futur', &c. de judicio suo inde audiendo, eo quod iidem Justic' hic inde nondum, &c. Et diversæ aliæ Continuationes usq; ad diem Sabbathi proxim' Post Crastinum Animarum, &c. usque

usque diem Sabbati proxim' post Crastinum Martini, &c. & usque diem Mercurii proxim' post Octab' Sancti Trinit', &c. Ad quem diem coram præfato *Roberto Shute & Johanne Clench* tunc Justic', &c. apud prædictum hospic' Justic' ven' tam prædict' Thomas Dowman & Eliz. quam prædict' Edward', Georgius, Richardus Coats, Johannes, Willielmus, Robertus, & Robertus per attornatos suos prædictos: & quia Justic' prædict' hic, &c. dies ulterius dat' partibus prædictis coram Justic' dictæ d'næ Regnæ ad assisas in prædicto Com' Ebor' capiend' assignat' apud prædict' Castrum Ebor' usque diem Lunæ sextum diem Augusti proxim' futur', &c. ante quem diem dicta domina Regina nunc per alias literas suas patent' quarum dat' est apud Westm' anno regni sui vicefimo quarto, quarum tenor sequitur in hæc verba, &c. Elizabeth. &c. dilectis & fidelibus suis *Johann. Clench* tertio Baroni & *Francisco Gawdy* uni servienti suorum ad legem, Salutem: Sciatis, &c. (*Et tunc sequuntur literæ patentis, &c.*) Et quia iidem Justic' hic ulterius se advisare volunt de & sup' præmissis priusquam iudicium inde reddant, dies, &c. coram iisdem *Johann. Clench & Francisc' Gawdy* tunc Justic', &c. Ad prædict', hospitium usque diem Sabbati proxim' post crastinum animarum, &c. ad quem diem prædicti *Johan. Clench & Francisc. Gawdy* tunc Justic' dictæ d'næ Regnæ ad assisas in prædicto Com' Ebor' apud hospitium prædict' non venerunt, sed a dicto hospicio se retraxer', eo quod ante diem illum propter infectionem aeris & pestilentia mortalis hominum in civitate London' & suburbiis ejusdem ac in civitat' Westm' tunc existent, termin' Sancti Mich' qui tunc apud Westm' in Com' Middlesex teneretur a Westm' prædict' usque castrum d'næ Regnæ, &c. adjornat', & ibidem tent' &c. Postea, dicta d'næ Regina per alias literas suas patentis constituit *Johan. Clench & Franciscum Rodes* unum Servient' suorum ad legem Justic' ad assisas in prædict' com' Ebor', &c. Et dicti Justic' virtute dictarum literarum patent' postea scilicet die Lunæ in quarta septim' Quadragesimæ an' regni dictæ d'næ Regnæ nunc vicefimo quinto, apud Castrum Ebor', vener', coram quibus tunc & ibidem ven' prædicti Thomas Dowman & Elizab. per attornatum suum prædictum, & pet' breve de Reattachiment' præfat' Edward', Georg', Richard. Coats, &c. quod sint coram Justic' dominæ Regnæ ad proxim' assisas in prædicto comitatu Ebor' capiend' assign' apud prædict' castrum Ebor' tenend' auditur' recordum & iudicium suum de assis. prædict' quæ fuit in cur' dictæ dominæ Regnæ nunc apud castr' prædict', ita quod assisa illa tunc sit ibi in eod' statu quo fuit in Cur' dictæ d'næ Regnæ nunc cora' præfat' *Johan. Clench & Francisco Gawdy* Justic' ad assisas, &c. apud prædictum castrum Ebor' prædict' die Lunæ sexto die Augusti Anno

regni dictæ d'næ Reginæ nunc vicesimo quarto, quo die Assisa prædict' adjornat' fuit coram iisdem *Johan. Clench & Francisco Garwdy* tunc Justic', &c. a prædict' castro Ebor' usque prædict' hospitium Justic' in Chancery Lane London' usque prædict' diem Sabbati proxim' post prædict' Crastinum Animarum tunc proxim' sequen', &c. Ad quas quidem proxim' Assisas tent' apud castrum Ebor' prædict' die Lunæ vicesimo nono die Julii anno regni dictæ d'næ Reginæ nunc vicesimo quinto coram præfat' *Johann. Clench & Francisco Rodes* tunc Justic' ad assisas, &c. ven' tam prædict' Thomas Dowman & Eliz. per attornatum suum prædict' quam prædict' Edw. Georgius, Ric'us Coats, Johann', Will'us, Robertus & Robertus per prædict' Thomam Hall attornat' suum, & Vic' viz. Thomas Wentworth armiger modo mand', quod prædict' Edward' Vavasor, Geor' Ric'us Coats, Johan', Willielm', Robertus & Robertus, &c. Et super hoc dies dat' est eis essend' cora' Justic' dictæ d'næ Reginæ nunc de banco in banc' apud Westm' in Crastin' Animarum proxim' futur' de audiend' & recipiend' quod eisdem Justic' d'næ Reginæ de p'dict' banco ad tunc ibidem considerand' videbitur in hac parte, eo quod iidem *Johan. Clench & Franciscus Rodes* Justic' ad Assisas, &c. inde nondum, &c. Ac assisa prædict' cum omnibus eam tangent' eisdem Justic' de Banco mittitur, &c. *Sequitur warrant' attornat', & breve de Resummons in Rotulo, & tenor brevis de Reattach. sequitur, & retorn' ejusdem brevis. Eliz. &c. Vic' Ebor' salutem. Reattach. Edw. Vavasor Armig' Georgium, Richard' Coats, Johann', Will'um, Robert', & Robertum, vel ballivos suos si ipsi inventi non fuerint, coram Justic' nostris ad assisas in com' tuo capiend' assignat' apud castr' Ebor' in com' tuo die Lunæ xxii. die Julii proxim' futur' auditur' record' & judicium suum de assisa novæ disseis. quæ fuit in cur' nostra apud castrum prædictum, quam quidem assisam Thomas Dowman Armig' & Eliz. uxor ejus ibidem arrain' vers' eos de sex mesuagiis CCC. acr' terr', C. acr' prat' & ducentis acr' pastur', cum pertin' in Spaldington, Willitost, & Southcave, ita quod assisa illa tunc sit ibi in eodem statu quo fuit in Cur' nostra coram *Johann. Clench* tertio Barone de Scacc' nostro & *Francisco Garwdy* uno servien' nostrorum ad legem, Justic' nostris ad assisas in com' tuo capiendas assignatis, apud prædictum Castrum Ebor', die Lunæ sexto die Augusti proxim' præterit', quo die assisa prædicta certis de causis abinde adjornat' fuit, coram iisdem Justic' n'ris, usq; hospitium Justic' in Chancery Lane London' usque diem Sabbati proxim' post crastinum animarum tunc proxim' sequen': Et habeas ibi nomina pleg. & hoc breve. T. *Johann. Clench* apud castrum Ebor' xi. die Marcii anno regni nostri vicesimo quinto. Frankland, Cressy. Infranominat' Edw. Vavasor, Geo. Richardus Coats, Johan', Will'us, Robert-*

bertus, & Robert' nihil habent nec aliquis eoru' aliquid habet in balliva mea per quod possunt attach', vel aliquis eoru' attach' potest, nec habent, nec aliquis eorum habet ballivum vel ballivos, nec sunt invent' nec aliquis eorum est invent' in eadem. Thomas Wentworth armiger vic'. Et modo hic scil' apud Westm' prædictam ad hunc diem, scil' ad prædict' Craftinum Animarum, ven' tam prædicti Tho. Dowman & Eliz. per prædict' Henricum Cressy attornat' suum, quam prædicti Edwardus Vavafor, Georgius, Rich' Coats, Johan', Will', Robert' & Robert' per Thomam Algar attorn' suum: Et quia Justic' de banco hic se advisare volunt de & super præmissis priusquam iudicium inde reddant, dies dat' est partibus prædictis hic usque in octabis sc'i Hillarii (*& sic continuatur in octabis sc'i Hillarii anno sequente*) Ad quem diem hic ven' tam prædict' Thomas Dowman & Eliz. quam prædicti Edward Vavafor, Georgius, Richardus Coats, Johann', Will'us, Robert' & Robert' per attornatos suos p'dictos: Et super hoc visis præmissis, & per Justic' hic plene intellect', videtur eisdem Justic' hic, quod prædicta indentura per præfatum Petrum Vavafor ar' post p'dictam recuperationem in forma prædicta fact' & habit, fuit bona & sufficiens in lege ad ducend' usus recuperationis prædictæ de tenementis prædictis cum pertin', sicq; eadem recuperatio de ten'tis p'dict' cum pertin' in visu recogn' assisæ prædictæ posit' & in querela prædicta spec', per præfat' Andream Windsor, Will'um Vavafor, Petrum Vavafor junior', & Johannem Laudere ver' prædict' Petrum Vavafor armigerum in forma p'dicta habit, fuit ad eosdem usus in prædicta barra prædict' Edwardi Vavafor superius spec', modo & forma prout idem Edwardus in barra sua prædicta superius allegavit: Ideo considerat' est, quod prædicti Thomas Dowman & Eliz. nihil capiant per bre' suu' prædict', sed sint in misericordia pro falso clamore suo: Et prædicti Edwardus Vavafor, Georgius, Ric'us Coats, Johannes, Will'us, Robert' & Robert' eant inde sine die, &c.

Pasch.

*Pasch. 28 Eliz. which is entred
in Communi Banco inter
plac' terræ, Mich. 25 & 26
Eliz. Rot. 144.*

Dowman's Case.

¹ Anderf. 125.
Moor 191.

*Thomas Dowman Esq; and Eliz. his Wife brought an Af-
fise of Novel Disseisin before John Clench and Francis
Rodes Justices of Assise in the County of York, against Ed.
Vavasor, George Vavasor, and others; and complained they
were disseised of their Freehold in Spaldington, Willitof, and
Southcave in the same County, &c. and made their Plaint of
6 Houses, 300 Acres of Land, 100 Acres of Meadow, and
200 Acres of Pasture; And all but the said Ed. Vavasor
pleaded, Nul tort nul disseisin, and the said Edward plead-
ed, That one Peter Vavasor Esq; was seised of the Tene-
ments aforesaid put in View, and now in Plaint in Fee, a-
gainst whom Andrew Windsor Esq; William Vavasor and o-
thers ² Jan. an' regni d'næ El. 15. brought a Writ of Entry in
the Post of the Tenements aforesaid, against the said Peter
Vavasor, returnable Octob. Hill. at which Day a Common
Recovery was had against him with single Voucher, and
executed by Habere facias seisinam 4 Feb. &c. qua quidem
recuperatio in forma prad' habebat, and was to the Use of
the said Peter for his Life without Impeachment of Waste,
and afterwards to the Use of his eldest Son in Tail, and so to
9 Son in Seniority in Tail, and for want of such Issue to the
Use of the said E. Vavasor Brother of the said Peter for
his Life without Impeachment of Waste, and afterwards to
the Use of his eldest Son, and to the Heirs Males of his Body
and so to 9 Son in their Seniority of the like Estate; and
for want of such Issue, to the Use of the said G. Vavasor,
Ra. Vavasor, Mar. Vavasor, Rob. Vavasor, Tho. Vavasor,
and Rich. Vavasor, Brothers of the said Peter, to every of
them the like Estate, with like Remainders to their 9 Issue
Male, in their Seniority in Tail, and afterwards to the
Use of the Heirs Males of P. Vavasor Knight, lawfully
begor-*

Cr. Jac. 512.

begotten, and afterwards to the Use of the right Heirs of the said *Rich. Vavasor*, and alledged the Execution of the Uses by Force of the Stat. of 27 *H. 8.* and the Death of the said *Peter Vavasor* without Issue, after whose Death he entered as in his Remainder, and gave Colour to the Pl's. To which the Pl. replied and confest the Recovery, as the said *E.* had alledged, but further said, That the said Recovery was to the Use of the said *Peter* and his Heirs, and that after the Death of *Peter* the Tenements descended to the said *Eliz.* Wife of the said *Tho. Dowman*, as Sister and Heir of the said *Peter*, &c. *Absq; hoc quod recuperatio prædicta Tenementorum præd', &c. in forma prædicta habita, fuit ad usum in barra prædict' Edwardi superius specificat', prout, &c.* And thereupon Issue was joined, and it was found by the Recognitors of the Assise, That the said *Peter* being seised in Fee, suffer'd the said Recovery of the Tenements aforesaid, as the said *Ed.* had alledged; and further the Recognitors of the Assise said, *Quod quædam indentura facta fuit inter præfat' Petrum Vavasor' & præd' Andream Winsor' and others, the Recoverors, of the other Part, cujus tenor sequitur in hæc verba, which Indenture bears Date primo die Februarii anno 15 El. Reginae, and witnesseth, That it is covenanted, concluded, condescended, declared and fully agreed between the said Parties, and either of the said Parties for himself and his and their Heirs doth conclude, condescend, declare and agree by these Presents to and with the other, That is to say, Whereas the said Andrew, &c. have this present Term of St. Hill. recovered to them and their Heirs by Writ of Entry sur disseisin in le post, against the said Peter Vavasor, according to the usual Order and Form of Common Recoveries heretofore used, The Manor of Spaldington, &c. That the Intent and true Meaning of all the said Parties now is, and at the Time of the said Recovery had and suffer'd, was, That the said Recoverors and their Heirs immediately from and after the Recovery so had and executed should and shall stand and be seised of the said Manor, &c. to the only Uses and Intents hereafter by these Presents set forth and declared, and to no other Uses, Intents and Purposes, That is to say, and declares and expresses the same Uses mentioned and alledged in the Bar of the said *E. Vavasor*, without any Variance. And further the said Recognitors of Assise found, That the Tenements now put in View were, &c. Parcel of the said Manor of Spaldington, *Sed utrum Indentura præd' post recuperationem præd' per præfat' Pet. Vavasor' armig' in forma præd' fact' & habit' ger' dat' præd' primo die Februarii ac prim' deliberat' 15 die Februarii anno 15 prædict'**

*supradict' post recuperationem præd' existen' ad usus in eandem specific' sit bona & sufficiens in lege ad ducendos & declarandos usus præd' recuperationis præd' tenementorum in visu recognitorum posit', & in querela præd' specific' necne, iidem recognitores penitus ignorant, & inde petunt advisamentum Justic' & Cur' hic, & si videbitur Curie, That the said Indenture is good and sufficient, &c. Then they found that the said Recovery of the Tenements aforesaid was to the same Uses in the Bar of the said E. Vavasor, as the said E. had alledged; and that the other Defendants had done no Wrong nor Disseisin, and if the said Indenture is not good and sufficient, &c. then they found against all the Defendants. And for Difficulty the said Justices of Assize did adjourn the Parties and the Record before the Justices of the Common Pleas, *De audiendo & recipiendo quod eisdem Justiciar' Domine Regina de præd' Banco adtunc & ibid' considerand' videbitur in hac parte.* And in this Case 2*

Questions were moved and argued by the Serjeants, at the Bar. ¶ 1. If the said Indenture made after the said Recovery, was sufficient in Law to direct and declare the Uses of the said precedent Recovery? ¶ 2. If upon a special Point in Issue upon an *absque hoc*, the Recognitors of Assize could give a special Verdict. ¶ And as to the first it was argued, That the said Indenture was not sufficient to declare and direct the Uses of the said precedent Recovery, for 5 Reasons and Causes. 1. When a Recovery is suffered (it being without Consideration) immediately after the Recovery the Law adjudges it to be to the Use of him who suffers the Recovery and his Heirs: Then when the Use in the Case at Bar was vested in *Peter Vavasor* immediately after the Recovery executed, before the said Indentures made, this Use so vested can't be devested by any Declaration or Agreement subsequent, and the Deed indented shall not conclude the Heir in this Case, because it being subsequent, can't by the Law devest that which was vested immediately after the Recovery had. And to this Purpose they cited the Books in

(a) Postea 10. b.
Fitz. Assise 334.

(a) 39 *Ass. p. 3.* & 46 *E. 3. Assise 357.* Where an Infant brought an Assise against *T.* of certain Land, the Defendant said that *J.* Uncle of the Infant, whose Heir he is, held the said Land of him by Homage, Escuage, and four Marks Rent, and died seised; and because the Plaintiff was within Age, he seised the Tenements by Reason of Wardship: To which the Plaintiff said that the said *J.* held in Socage, &c. To which *T.* the Defendant said, to say that you shall not be admitted, for the said *J.* your Uncle upon a Debate betwixt us acknowledged to hold the same Land of us by such Services by Deed indented; and demanded Judgment, if he shall be received to say the Contrary, and

and shewed the Deed, &c. and that Case for Difficulty was adjourned into this Court, and there it was adjudged that the said Acknowledgm. or Declaration by Deed indented should not conclude the Heir of *J.* and the Reason of *Thorpe* Chief Justice, who gave the Judgment, was, because by the Deed indented, other Services could not be granted, which were not due before, wherefore take the Assise. So in the Case at Bar the Deed indented subsequent shall not conclude the Heir of *Peter Vavasor*, because it can't devest the Use, which was by Operation of Law vested immediately after the Recovery: And they also cited 35 *H. 6. 33. b. John (a) Crook's* Case, where the like Acknowledgm. by Deed indented was made; &c. and Estoppel pleaded, and it was adjudged, that the Declaration by Deed indented, for the Certainty of the Services should not bind the Heir of the Tenant, who was Party to the said Deed indented. Secondly, It was objected, That every Declaration of Uses upon Recoveries, Fines, &c. of Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments ought to be * certain (otherwise there will be no Certainty of Inheritances) and this Certainty ought to be chiefly in three, *sc.* in Persons to whom; in Lands, &c. of which, and in Estates by which Uses shall be limited and declared; and if Certainty fails in any of them, the Declaration is not sufficient. But here in the Case at the Bar, there was not any of these Certainties, when the Recovery was suffered, and therefore the Declaration subsequent insufficient, *Oportet quod certæ personæ, certæ terræ, &c. & certi status comprehendantur in declaratione usuum.* The 3^d Objection was, That the Limitation and Declaration of the Uses ought to be compleat of it self, without any Reference to Indentures or other Writings to be made afterwards, for then it is but an imperfect Communication, and no compleat Declaration: And that it was but a Communication they alledged three Reasons; 1. That the Uses were many, and of great Variety of Estates. 2. That it concerned the Establishment of his Inheritance of a great yearly Value in his Name and Family, and therefore the Intention of the Parties never was to leave it to the sliding and slippery Memory of Men, which would be lost in a short Time, and especially when the said *Elizabeth* (one of the Plaintiffs) was his Sister and Heir, before whom he preferred others of his Name and Blood. 3. Several of the Uses and Estates could not be limited with such Qualities and Privileges by word without Deed, as the Use limited to the said *Peter Vavasor*; (and to diverse others) for Life, without (b) Impeachment of Waste, which Privilege to be dispensable, of Waste none can have by Word without Deed, and therefore all the Words which passed betwixt the Parties before, or at the Time of the Recovery, were referred to In-

(a) Br. Estop-
pel 23.
Fitz. estop 57.
8 Co. 54. a.
Plowd. 136. a. b.
Hob. 31.
Co. Lit. 12. a.
Postea 10. b.

* Postea 10. a.

(b) 11 Co. 83. a. b.

82. b.

Co. Lit. 220. a.

Postea 10. b.

Moor 317, 327.

2 Inst. 146.

2 Co. 23. a. b.

82. a.

4 Co. 63. a.

Dy. 10. pl. 37.

47. pl. 11.

Bridgm. 102.

Hob. 132.

Helt. 77.

1 Rol. Rep.

182, 183.

2 Rol. Rep. 325.

2 Leon. 128.

3 Leon. 225.

4 Leon. 71.

Co. El. 40, 41.

Plowd. 135. b.

141. a.

9 H. 6. 35. a.

Fitz. Waste 39.

1 H. 7. 15. a.

21 H. 6. 47. a.

20 H. 7. 4. a.

22 H. 7. 24. a.

31. a.

1 Bullstr. 136.

Perk. sect. 72. r.

19 H. 6. 63. b.

10 H. 7. 3. a.

16 H. 7. 4. b.

Poph. 193, 194.

195.

8 Co. 76. b.

Br. Watte 71.

Larc. 269.

Roftea 11. a. b.

dentures to be made thereof, and fo but a Communication, and no compleat Agreement: *Quia id perfectum est quod ex omnibus suis partibus constat, & nihil perfectum est dum aliquid restat agendum.* The 4 Objection was, That the said Indenture was but Directory, and Declaratory of the Uses of the Recovery, and was not of any Force to raise or create any Use: Then when the Issue is, whether the said Recovery was suffered to the said Uses mention'd in the Bar, the said Indenture subsequent might peradventure be good Evidence to persuade the Recognitors of the Assise, that the said Recovery was suffered to the said Uses, but of it self being subsequent to the Recovery it is not sufficient in Law to direct the Uses of the precedent Recovery, unless by the Agreement of the Parties the Uses were so declared before, or at the Time of the Recovery, and then the Declarat. precedent, and not that which was subsequent, is the Declaration which binds in Law, and the subsequent is but Evidence to prove the Precedent: And therefore if the said *Edw. Vavasor* had pleaded the said Recovery, and pleaded also the Indenture subsequent to the Effect as the Recognitors have found it, that would be altogether insufficient, for the Indenture subsequent is but the Report and Evidence of a former Thing, *sc. that the true Meaning of all the said Parties, &c. at the Time of the said Recovery, &c. was, that the said Recoverers, &c.* and Evidence shall never be pleaded, because it tends to prove Matter in Fact; and therefore the Matter in Fact shall be pleaded, and if that is denied, the Evidence is to be given to the Jury, and not to the Court. And therefore in *9 E. 3. 5. b.* and *6. a.* *John Darcy* brought a *Qua' Imp'* against the Bishop of *Durham*, of a Disturbance to present to the Church of *Simonsbury*, and declared that *K. E. 2.* was seised of the Manor of *Wrekes* in *Tindale* to which the Advowson is appendant, and presented, &c. and made the Descent of the Manor to the King that now is, who gave the Manor, with the Fees and Advowsons to the Plaintiff and his Heirs, &c. to which the Def. said, that the Advowson is not appendant to the Manor, &c. to which the Pl. replied, that to this Averment the Def. should not come, for we say, That one *Ed. late K. of Scotland* was seised of the Manor of *Wrekes*, and of the Advowson, and presented to the Church as appendant, and shewed how afterwards the Manor came to the Hands of King *Edward* the Grandfather by Forfeiture of *John Baliol*, and shewed how afterwards the Kings presented as appendant to the Manor, wherefore the Plaintiff did not conceive that against so many Presentments as appendant that the Defendant should be received to say that the Advowson is not appendant. And Sir *William Herle* who gave

gave the Rule, said, the Presentments of which you speak are but Evidence to the Jury that the Advowson is appendant, and Evidence shall not oust the Defend. of his Plea. The 5 and last Objection was, That if these Declarations subsequent should be sufficient in Law to declare the Uses of a precedent Recovery, for as much as they will be restrained to no certain Time, and therefore may be made many Years after, by that Means, Estates, Leases and Interests in and out of the Lands vested in the mean Time would be thereby defeated, which would be full of Mischief and Inconvenience. And the Case of *Arthur (a) Bassett*, which you may see reported by the Lord *Dyer*, 3 & 4 Ph. & Ma. 136. that Indentures made four Years after a Recovery were held sufficient to declare the Uses of a precedent Recovery, was agreed to be good Law; for in the said Case of *Bassett* the Recovery was suffered in 16 H. 7. and the Indentures made anno 20 H. 7. (which was long before the Statute of transferring of Uses into Possession) at which Time an use being but a Thing in Confidence might be directed and altered, according to the Intention of the Parties. And after the Case had been often argued by the Serjeants at the Bar, the Case was argued by the Justices at the Bench. And it was unanimously resolved by all the Justices of the Bench, that the said Indenture (b) subsequent was sufficient to direct and declare the Uses of the precedent Recovery against the said *Peter Vavasor* and his Heirs, for so it is concluded and declar'd by Deed indented, that the Intent and true Meaning of all the Parties now is, and at the Time of the said Recovery was, That the said Recoverers, &c. should stand seised, &c. to the only Uses and Intents by these presents set forth and declared, and to no other Use, Intent or purpose. Against which express Affirmation and Declaration by Deed indented, the said *Peter* or his Heirs shall never be admitted or received to say, that no such Uses were declared at the Time of the said Recovery, but that the said Recovery, notwithstanding the said subsequent Declaration shall be construed and adjudged by Force of an Use implied by Operation of Law, to be to the Use of the said *Peter* and his Heirs: But this Declaration by the said Deed indented has this Operation in Law against the said *Peter* and his Heirs, that there was a Present, certain and compleat Agreement and Declaration of the said Uses at the Time of the said Recovery, for so the Indenture expressly purports; and therefore all that has been objected, That the Declaration ought to be precedent, or present and (c) certain and compleat, and not as a Communication with Reference to Matter to be put in Writing afterwards was well agreed; but now this Deed indented in Judgment

(a) 2 Rol. 782
Jenk. Cent. 212;
Dy. 136. pl. 17;
&c.

(b) Hist. Art. 48.
Moor 192.
2 Rol. Rep. 561.
1 Ven. 368.
Postea 11. b.
Cr. Jac 512.

(c) Ant. 9. 42

ment of Law; doth import and witness against the said *Pet. Vavasor* and his Heirs, forasmuch as nothing appears to the Contrary, that there was a certain and compleat Declaration of Uses at the Time of the said Recovery, and this stands upon pregnant and apparent Reason; for in as much as *Peter* and his Heirs are only to take Advantage for want of Declaration of Uses, Reason requires, that this Declaration of the said *Peter* by his Deed indented should stand against him and his Heirs: And this Case is not like the said Cases in (a) 39 *Aff.* & 46 *E.* 3. cited before; for in such Case, if the Lands were held before in Socage, the Tenant could not create or grant Knights Service, which was not due before; and in the Record the Infant was not made Heir to *J.* But here without Question *Pet. Vavasor* the Tenant of the Land might at the Time of the Recovery limit what Uses he would, and *Eliz.* is Heir to *Peter*: And the Reasons of the Book in (b) 35 *H.* 6. are, 1. The Heir in such Case was not bound, because the Words of the Charter were but by way of Recital: 2. That the Words of the Deed indented were all the Words of the Lord, and not of the Tenant, the Heir of whom should be bound, and that the Brother of the half Blood was not Heir to the Ten't, who was Party to the Deed. But in our Case, 1. It is not by way of Recital, but an exprefs Affirmation and Declaration: 2. It is the Acknowledgment and Declaration of the Ten't of the Land it self, and the said *Elizabeth* one of the Plaintiffs is Heir to *Pet. Vavasor.* Vide 10 *E.* 3. 22. *Rob. de Vale's* Case. And as to the Objection which was made, That the said Privilege to be without (c) Impeachment of Waste can't be without Deed, &c. To that it was answered and resolved, that if it was admitted that a Deed in such Case should be requisite, yet without Question all the Estates limited would be good; altho' it is admitted, that the Clause concerning the said Privilege would be void. And therefore if a Man infeoffs one by Parol to the Use of *A.* for Life, without Impeachment of Waste, with divers Remainders over, admitting that the Clause of without Impeachment of Waste in such Case should be void; yet the Estate for Life, with the Remainders over is well executed. And a (d) Difference was taken between Indentures precedent, which shall direct the Uses of a subsequent Recovery, and Indentures subsequent: For when precedent Indentures are made, and afterwards a Recovery follows accordingly, there no Averment can be taken by Parol, that the Recovery was to other Uses than are declared in the Indenture; for nothing vests in any till the Recovery is had, and in such Case a Declaration by Parol will not control the Declaration by Deed: But against an Indenture subsequent, declaring the Uses of a Recovery precedent, there Averment may be taken that other Uses, than in such

(a) 39 *Aff.* 3.
Pl. 3.
46 *E.* 3. *Affise*
357.
Antea 8. b.

(b) 35 *H.* 6. 33. b.
Br. Estop. 23.
Firz Estop. 57.
8 *Co.* 54. a.
Plowd. 136 a. b.
Heb. 31.
Co. Lit. 12. a.
Ant. 9. a.

(c) *Ant.* 9. a.

(d) 5 *Co.* 26.
Cr. Jac. 29.
1 *Brown.* 191.
1 *Rol. Rep.* 42.
Palm. 507.
Cr. El. 218.
Bridgm. 113.
2 *Co.* 76. a.

Indenture are declared, were expressed and limited before and at the Time of the Recovery, because by such Limitation, the Use and Estate was vested according to such Limitation, which can not be devested by any Declaration by Indenture subsequent. It was also resolved (as appears before) that the said Declaration subsequent by Deed indented should stand good against the said *Pet. Vavasor* and his Heirs, for as much as appeareth, there was no other Declaration of any other Use: But if after the Recovery had, *Peter Vavasor* had sold, or given, or charged the Lands to others, which would be defeated and annulled by the Declaration subsequent, there such subsequent Declaration of it self should not subvert the mean Estates, Charges, or Interests, unless it could otherwise be proved, that by the certain and compleat Agreement of the Parties, the Recovery was had to such Uses, for by Judgment of Law such Declaration subsequent shall be sufficient, when no other certain and compleat Declaration or Limitation of any other Use, either at the Time, or before the Recovery be made, or any Estate or Interest mean be vested: And as when a Common Recovery is suffered without Consideration, it is in Judgment of Law, without any Proof to the Use of him who suffers the Recovery, if nothing is proved to the Contrary; so when such subsequent Declaration (as in the Case at Bar) is made, it shall be sufficient of it self, without any other Proof of the Declaration of the same Uses, either before, or at the Time of the Recovery, if no other Limitation of Use was made, nor any mean Estate or Interest of any other thereby defeated. And because the Intention of the Parties is the Direction of Uses, in the Argument of this case many Cases were put, where an Act subsequent shall declare the Intention of a general Act precedent: As if (a) Tenant in Tail has Issue two Daughters, and dies, and the Elder enters into the Whole, and afterwards makes a Feoffment thereof with Warranty, this is a lineal Warranty for one Moiety, and a collateral Warranty for the other, for the Feoffment subsequent shall declare the Intention of the general Entry, that it was only for her self, or otherwise it would be a Warranty which commenced by Disseisin for one Moiety, and therewith agreeth *Lit. cap. Gar. f. 160.* So if the Lord comes upon the Tenancy, and takes and drives away an Ox, if he impounds it, the Taking shall be adjudged for a Distress; but if he kills the Ox, this Act subsequent shall declare his Intention *ab initio*, and shall make him a (b) Trespasser, and therewith agree 12 R. 4. 8. b. 28 H. 6. 5, &c. And as to the (c) 4 Reason or Objection which was made, that it was but Matter of Evidence tending to prove to what Uses the Recovery

(a) Lit. Sect.
212.
Co. Lit. 273. b.
Lit. 2. 101. 2.

(b) Pow. Sect.
195. 191.
Cr. Jac. 148.
8 Co. 146. b.
Fitz. Tresp. 67.
Pott. 22. b.
Br. Distress 82.
(c) Ant. 9. 5.

ty was had, that has been answered before, that in Judgment of Law it is sufficient to declare the Use when nothing appears to the Contrary, as in the Case of Indentures precedent, or when a Recovery is suffered without any Consideration, and without Limitation of any Use: But as to the Point of pleading, it was resolved, that as well in the Case at Bar, as in the Case of an Indenture precedent, and Recovery suffered without Consideration, the usual Form of pleading ought not to be altered, *sc.* to aver that the Recovery was suffered to such Uses, and upon the Evidence the Court ought to direct the Jury according to Law, or that they should find the Truth of the Case, as in the Case at Bar they do. And the Justices in this Case cited a former Resolution in the Point in the Court of Wards, between the same Parties *Hill. 21 El.* the whole special Matter as before being found by Office, and transcribed into the same Court, where by Sir *Christ. Wray*; and Sir *James Dyer* Assistants to the said Court, and by the Advice also of other Justices, it was resolved, That the said Indentures subsequent were sufficient to declare the Uses of the Recovery precedent, because nothing appeared to the Contrary. And as to the 5 and last Reason or Objection which was made, it was answered and resolved, That no Mischief or Inconveniency could ensue upon this Construction, as was pretended at the Bar, but great Inconveniency would ensue on the other Side, for the Inheritances of many Subjects in *England* depend upon such Declarations subsequent, or at least upon Indentures which in Truth were delivered after the Recoveries suffered, or Fines levied. And these Resolutions stand with the common Opinion of Men learned in the Law, and common Experience; and the Alteration of such Opinions which concern Assurances of Inheritances would be too dangerous. As to 2 Point, it was objected, That the Jurors could not give their Verdict at large, but in a Writ of Assise, Trespass, or the like, where the general Issue is pleaded, and not when Issue is joined upon a Matter collateral to the Point of the general Issue; for there the Jury ought to find the Issue precisely, without giving their Verdict at large. And they endeavoured to prove it by Reason and by Authorities in Law: For they said that at the Common Law before the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 30.* the Jurors in every Action ought to have given their Verdict directly and precisely, either in the Affirmative, or Negative, according to the Issue joined, and not at large; and this is well proved by the said Stat. *Item, ordinatum est, quod Justic' ad Assisas capiendas assign' non compellant Juratores dicere precise si sit disseisin' vel non dummodo*

Hurt. Argum.
48.
Moor 192.
2 Rol. Rep. 362.
1 Ventr. 368.
Cro. Jac. 512.
Antea 10. a.

2 Inst. 425.
Co. Lit. 227. b.

modo dicere voluerint veritatem facti & petere auxilium Justiciariorum. Which Act as to Actions is taken by Equity, but only to such Actions which are general, and have general Issues, as Affise, Trespafs, and the like, and not to Actions which comprehend Certainty, altho' the general Issue be pleaded. It extends also to general Actions, where the general Issue is pleaded, and not when Issue is joined upon a sole and certain Point out of the general Issue; and therefore the Stat. says, *non compellant Juratores dicere precise si sit disseisina vel non*: And that is, when *Nul tort nul disseisin* is pleaded, which is the general Issue in an Affise. And the Reason thereof was, because upon the general Issues in Writs, which comprehend no Certainty many and doubtful Matters may be given in Evidence; so that as the Pl. and Def. in such Cases are at Liberty upon the general Issue; to give what Evidence they will, so are the Jurors at Liberty when the Matter is intricate and doubtful in Law, to find the special Matter, & *petere auxilium Justiciariorum.* But when either the Writ is certain, or when the Issue is joined only upon a Point in certain, there they can't be so inveigled and perplexed, as upon a general Writ and general Issue: And this is the Reason that the Stat. shall be taken by Equity, as to Actions which are in equal Mischief, but not as to Issues which differ in Cause and Reason; and therefore in 7 H. 4. 11. a. *J. B.* brought an Action of Trespafs against *T. de R.* for breaking his Close, digging his Land, *sc.* three Acres of Meadow, and spoiling and carrying away his Grass: The Def. pleaded it was his Freehold, upon which Issue was joined, and the Jury found a special Verdict, *f.* That the Plaintiff's Ancestors was seised of five Acres of Lands in another County in Fee, and the Defendant's Ancestor of the said three Acres of Meadow in Fee; and an Exchange was made between them by Parol without Deed, *f.* That the Plaintiff's Ancestor should have the three Acres of Meadow, and the Defendant's Ancestor the said five Acres of Land, by Force whereof each of them entered and continued it all their Life-times, and died seised, after whose Death the Plaintiff entred into both, whereupon the Defendant entred into the Meadow, and was seised four Weeks before the Trespafs, and digged, &c. and prayed the Opinion of the Justices by the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 30. Hankford*, you are not now in an Affise, for your Charge is but to say, who was Tenant of the Freehold the Time of the Trespafs supposed, so you have nothing to do whether the Entry be congeable or not, wherefore the Jury found for the Defendant, and upon that Judgment was given. By which it appears, that upon the said collateral Issue of his Freehold a special Verdict could not be

Br. Trespafs
81.
Br. Verdict 10.
Postea 14. a.

(a) 7 E. 4. 29. a.
 Poitea 14. b.
 Br. Arraint 87.
 Br. Verd. 58.
 Firz. Verdict
 10.
 (b) 9 H. 7. 4. b.
 Br. Verd 56.
 Plow. 92. a.

(c) Plow. 92. a.
 Br. Verd. 83.
 Post. 14. b.
 1 Anderf. 37.

(d) Dy. 283,
 284. pl. 33.
 Post. 14. b.

(e) Dy. 118.
 pl. 77.
 1 Anderf. 37.
 Moor 858.
 2 Inst. 425.
 Hob. 227.
 Co. Lit. 226. b.
 227. b.
 Plow. 92. a. 1.
 93. a. 101. b.
 Goldsb. 24.
 3 Leon. 136.
 Stamf. Pleas.
 Cor. 164. b.
 165. a.

given, and that this Case was out of the said Act of *W. 2. c. 30.* which Act was cited in the said Book : And in (a) *At- taint* in 8 *E. 4. 29.* The Jurors asked if they might give their Verdict at large, as in *Affise*, and the Justices said that they could not, (b) 9 *H. 7. 5.* *Brian Ch. Just.* held, That in *Rescous*, which is a Writ conceived upon a special Matter, *s. the Tenure, Distress and Rescous*, the Verdict shall not be given at large, altho' the general Issue is pleaded : So in *Debt*, which always comprehends Certainty, altho' *nil debet* is pleaded, the Verdict shall not be given at large, because these and the like Writs, which comprehend Certainty, are out of the Mischief of the said Statute. But the Stat. extends to *Trespass*, because the Writ is as general as the *Affise*, because the *Plaint and Count* in 'em are general, for which Reason there the Verdict shall be given at large, and that is by the Stat. but in no special Case where the Matter is specially counted, no Verdict at large. And (c) 9 *H. 7. 13. b.* *Fairfax* held, That in no Case where the Issue is joined upon a certain Point, the Verdict shall be at large, but in *Trespass*, which is a general Writ, if the Def. pleads, *Not guilty*, the Jurors may give their Verdict at large ; and so in an *Affise* upon *Nul tort nul disseisin*, the Jury may give their Verdict at large. So in 23 *H. 8. Br. Verdict* 85. the Court of Com. Pleas cannot suffer Verdicts at large in a Writ of Entry in the Nature of an *Affise*, because it is *Præcipe*, and comprehends Certainty. And in the *Reports* of the *L. Dyer*, now newly printed, *Paf. 11. El. (d)* 283, 284. in *Affise* betwixt *Butler* and *Crouch* for Land in the County of *Somerset*, upon an *absq; hoc* Issue was taken upon a Prescription, upon which the Jury gave a special Verdict, and it was resolved by all the Justices of the Com. Pleas in *Cubiculo meo*, (as the Lord *Dyer* reports) that upon this special Issue by an *absque hoc*, and not a general Issue, a precise Verdict ought to be given of the one Part or the other ; which was a Resolution in the Point, as it was strongly urged, and over-rules the Point now in *Que- sition*. But it was resolved by *Sir Ed. Anderson Ch. Justice*, and all the Justices of the Bench, That the special Verdict in the Case at Bar was well found ; they held, That in all Pleas, as well of the Crown as in Common Pleas, *s. Actions* real, personal and mixt, and upon all Issues joined, either between the *K.* and the Party, or between Party and Party, The Jury may find the (e) special Matter, which is pertinent, and tends only to the Issue joined, upon which, being doubtful to 'em in Law, they may pray the Opinion of the Court : And this they may do by the Com. Law, which has ordained, that

Mar-

Matters in Fact shall be tried by Jurors, and Matters in Law by the Judges: And as *ad (a) questionem facti non respondent Judices, ita ad questionem Juris non respondent Jurat*; but their Duty is to find *veritatem facti*, and to refer the Discussion of the Law to the Justices, and therefore their finding is called *(b) Veredict, quasi dict' veritatis*, the Saying of the Truth, and the Determination of the Judges is called *Judicium, quasi Juris dictum, i. e. Ipsa viva vox Juris*, the Saying of the Law, and the Wisdom of the Law was to refer Things to Persons in which they had Knowledge, and were expert, according to the ancient Rule, *Quod (c) quisque norit in hoc se exerceat*; and therefore the Law will not compel neither the Jurors, who have not Knowledge in the Law, to take upon them the Knowledge of Points in Law, either in Cases which concern Life or Member, or Inheritances, Freeholds, Goods, or Chattels, but leave them to the Consideration of the Judges; nor the Justices of Assise, nor any other Judges, be it in Pleas of the Crown, or Com. Pleas, to give their Opinion of Questions and Doubts in Law upon the sudden; but in such Cases have the Truth of the Case found, and upon Conference and Consideration to adjudge according to the Law in such Cases. And therefore it was resolved, That the said Act of *W. z. c. (d) 30.* was but an Affirmance of the Com. Law, and this appears by the Stat. it self, and by Authorities in Law in all Successions of Ages. And as to the Statute, the precedent and subsequent Clauses were considered. The Precedent is, *(e) Habeant omnes Justiciarii de Bancis in itineribus Clericos irrotulantes omnia plac' coram eis placitata, sicut antiquitus habere consueverunt*, which Clause appears to be in Affirmance of the Com. Law. The subsequent is, *Et (f) de cætero non ponant Justiciarii in assisas aut Furatis aliquos Furatores nisi eos qui ad hoc prius sint summoniti*, for at the Com. Law they ought to come in by the Return of the Sheriff. And so the middle Clause touching the Point in Question, that *Justic', &c. non compellant Furatores dicere præcisè, &c.* was but a Declaration of the Com. Law, as well for the Relief of the Jurors, who upon their Oath shall not be compelled to find at their Peril Things doubtful to them in Law, but also for a good Caveat to Justices of Assise and other Judges, that they do not upon the sudden over-rule Questions in Law, for every Judge ought in giving his Judgment in doubtful Cases to avoid 2 Things, *sc. Præcipationem, quia ad penitentiam properat cito qui judicat: Et morosam cunctationem, s.* either when the Law is determined, or to make a Question in Law where none is, to delay the Party, which is in Effect a Denying of Justice.

And

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 132.
2 Bullf. 204, 251, 305, 314.
2 Siderf. 127.
Plowd. 114. b.
Postea 25 a.
8 Co. 155. a.
11 Co. 10. b.
Co. Lit. 125. a.
155. b. 226. a.
(b) Co. Lit. 226. a.
(c) 8 Co. 130. a.
11 Co. 10. b.
12 Co 66.
Co. Lit. 125. a.
13 Co. 11.

(d) 2 Inst. 427, 422, 423, &c.

(e) 2 Inst. 405.

(f) 2 Inst. 425.

And for the better Direction of Judges in such Cases, and for the Advancement of Common Right it is enacted by the next Chapter following, *f. (a) c. 31. Cum aliquis placitatur coram aliquibus Justic, proponat exceptionem* (*f. a* Matter which he supposes will serve him in Law) & *petat qd' Justiciarii eam allocent quam si allocare noluerint, & ille exceptionem proposuerit scribat illam exceptionem & petat quod Justiciarii sigillum suum apponant in Testimonium, Justiciarii apponant sigilla sua, &c.* and this was to prevent Precipitation of Judges in over-ruling, *ex improvise*, Questions in Law: For it is a good Rule in the *(b) 9* Chapter of Judges, Consider, consult, and then give Judgment. *Vide* for the Bill of Exception, *(c) 9 Aff. p. 8. (d) 11 H. 4. 52. b. 65. b. 92. a. b. 21 E. 4. 11. b. Regist. 182. a. b. Book of Entries, Tit. Error in the Division of Exception.*

By Authorities in Law touching the 2 Point of the Case now in Question, and 1 of special Verdicts given in Criminal Causes, either in Case of Indictment at the King's Suit, or in Appeal at the Suit of the Party, *3 E. 3. Itinere North. (f) Coron. 284. S.* was indicted of the Death of *N.* and arraigned upon it, and pleaded Not guilty, and the Jury gave a special Verdict to this Effect, That a Contention was moved betwixt them, whereupon the said *N.* now dead struck *S.* *cum quodam baculo fraxineo in capite, ita quod cecidit, & præd' S. statim cum surrexit fugit in quantum potuit, & præd' N. ipsum secutus fuisset cum præd' baculo ad ipsum interficiend' si potuisset, & ipsum fugavit usq; quendam murum inter duas domus situatum, ultra quem transire non potuit ullo modo, & cum percepisset præd' N. ipsum velle interfecisse cum præd' baculo, & quod mortem suam propriam evadere non potuisset nisi se defendisset, cepit quendam Polbach & ipsum *N.* cum eod' percussit in capite ita qd' statim inde obiit, &c. unde dic' qd' præd' S. se defendend' præd' N. interfecit, & non per feloniam aut malitiam præcogitatum, &c.* and this Verdict finding the Matter at large was received, and he had his Pardon of course, and therewith agree *3 E. 3. Coron. (g) 286. 43 (b) Aff. p. 31. (i) 26 H. 8. 5. a. 44 (k) E. 3. 44. a.* In an Appeal of Death against *Will. Halbener*, he pleaded Not guilty; and the Jury found a Verdict at large, *f. That the Deceased struck the Defendant on the Neck, so that he fell to the Ground, and when the Defendant was upon the Ground, the Deceased drew his Knife to have killed the Defendant and the Defendant lying upon the Ground drew his Knife, and the Deceased was so hasty to have killed the Defendant, that he fell upon the Defendant's Knife, and so killed himself: And it was adjudged, that forasmuch as the Deceased killed himself in the Manner, it was adjudged upon this special Verdict, that the Def. was Not guilty, and his Goods not forfeited. *Vid. Fitz. (l) Coron. 94. and therewith agree (m) 44 Aff. p. 17.**

(a) Westm. 2. c. 31.
2 Inst. 426,
427. 428.

(b) Judg. c. 19.
v. 30. in fine.

(c) Br. Challenge 97.

Br. Error 110.
Fitz. Challenge 8.

(d) Br. Error 50.

Fitz. Error 66.

(e) Br. Challenge 180.

Fitz. Challenge 60.

(f) Stamf. Pl. Cor. 15. a.
165. a.

(g) Stamf. Pl. Cor. 15. a.

16. a. 165. a.

(h) Stamf. Pl. Cor. 15. a.

Br. Cor. 125.

Fitz. Cor. 226.

(i) Br. Corone 1.

(k) Br. Corone 12, 14, 44 Aff. 17.

Fitz. Corone 94.

Stamf. Pl. Cor. 16.

(l) Stamf. Pl. Cor. 15. b. 16. a.

165. a.

42 E. 3. 44. a.

(m) Supra k. & L.

(a) 45 E. 3. 20. a. In a *Formedon* the Demandant counted of a Gift made to *J. de C.* in Frankmarriage with *Johan* the Donor's Sister, the Tenant pleaded, That the Tenements were given in Fee-simple, and traversed, that he did not give them *modo & forma* as the Writ supposes: And afterwards by *Nisi prius* before *Whitchingham* and *Ch're* a Deed was shewed in Evidence that the Donor gave to *J. de C.* in liberum maritagium tenementa prad' cum *Johan's* sorore sua, habend' & tenend' tenementa prad' prad' *Johanni & Johanna*, & heredibus suis imperpetuum; & quia aliqua verba in dicto facto contenta, sunt in liberum maritagium, & aliqua in feodo simplici, Juratores nesciunt indicare veritatem, & petunt discretionem Justiciariorum superinde; And upon this Verdict found at length, Judgment was given against the Demandant, because a Fee-simple, and not an Estate in Frankmarriage past by the Deed. By which Judgment it appears, That in a Writ which comprehends Certainty (as in a *Formedon*) a special Verdict may be given. *Vide* 16 E. 3. Verdict 21. *Vide* 42 E. 3. in *Dower*, 47 E. 3. 19. in *Præcipe quod reddat*, upon an Issue collateral to the Point of the Writ. 30 E. 3. & 9 H. 7. 3. in *Rescous*, 41 E. 3. 10. in *Accompt.* 40 E. 3. 2. in *Debt.* 28 H. 8. *Dyer* 32. b. in *Debt. Pasch.* 1 & 2 *Phil. & Mar. Dy.* 115. b. in *Covenant.* Mich. 1 & 2 *Eliz.* *Dyer* 173. in *Attaint.* 2 *El.* *Dyer* 192. b. in *Debt.* 9 *El.* *Dyer* 260. in *Debt.* Mich. 10 & 11 *Eliz.* *Dyer* 279. b. in *Debt.* 13 *El.* *Dy.* 300. b. in *Ejectione firmæ.* 32 H. 1. *Dy.* 47. in *Trespas.* *Pasch.* 1 & 2 *Phil. & Mar. Dy.* 114. in *Trespas.* *Plow. Com.* 92. in *Assise of fresh Force brought by the Parson of Honey-Lane.*

(a) 45 E. 3. 19. b.
20. a.
Br. Frankmarriage 1.
Br. Estates 8.
Fitz. Tail 14.
10 Co. 117. b.

And *Nota*, Reader, In all Cases when Jurors find the (b) special Matter doubtful in Law pertinent and tending to the Issue which they are to try, there the Court ought to accept it, but when they find Matter at large which is not pertinent, and tending to the Point in Issue, upon which they are to give their Verdict, there the Court out to disallow it, as impertinent to the Issue, and to their Charge. And upon this Difference the Books which have some shew of Contrariety are well reconciled. For Example, in the Case of 7 H. 4. 11. a. the Issue in (c) *Trespas*, being joined upon the Freehold at the Time of the *Trespas*, forasmuch as it is found that the Pl. enter'd into the said three Acres of Meadow, upon whom the Def. entred, and was seised by four Weeks before the *Tresp.* altho' they found an Exchange by Parol of Lands in several Counties which was (d) void in Law, so as the Entry of the Pl. was lawful; yet the Issue being joined upon the Freehold at the Time of the *Trespas*, *Hankford* said to the Jury, in such Case, according to Law, *f.* your Charge was but to say, who was Tenant of the Freehold the Day of the *Trespas*, so whether the Entry of the Plaintiff be lawful

(b) 11 Co. 13. a.
Hob. 53.
2 Rol. 701, 702.
Hard. 347.
Dy. 362. pl. 15.
Hutt. 121.
Cro. El. 481.
Cro. Car. 75, 76, 212.
1 Sid. 96.
Plow. 112. b.
114. b.
(c) Br. *Trespas* 81.
Br. Verdict 10.
Antea 12. a.

(d) 1 Rol. 814.
Co. Lit. 50. a.
Perk. Sec. 244.

or not, you have nothing do, wherefore the Jury found for the Def. Which Book proves, That the Jurors can't find Matter at large which is not within their Charge, and with which, having regard to the Issue joined, they have nothing to do: By which it is strongly implied, That if the special Matter had been within their Charge, and tending to the Issue, with which they had to do, that it should be allowed; and in the said Book of (a) 7 E. 4. 29. a. it doth not appear what was the Issue, nor what special Matter they would have found, and therefore tis to be intended according to the said Difference. And as to the Opinions in (b) 9 H. 7. in the same Cases there is Difference of Opinions, and therefore they are to be reconciled as aforesaid. And as to the said Opinion of (c) 11 El. and in the same Case there was other clear Matter to arrest the Judgment, and the Opinion which was conceived in that Point was *in cubiculo*, without open Argument, and therefore if it shall not be intended according to the said Difference, it has not any Warrant of any Book ruled in the Point, but against all the said Judgments and Authorities in Law in all Successions of Ages; and afterwards Judgment was given in the principal Case as follows,

Ad quem diem venerunt tam præd' Tho. Dowman & Eliz. quam præd' Ed. Vavasor & Geo. Vavasor, &c. per attorn' suos præd', & super hoc visis præmissis, & per fustic' hic plene intellectis, videtur eisdem Justiciariis, quod præd' indentura per præfat' Pet. Vavasor armigerum post præd' recuperationem in forma præd' factam & habitam, fuit bona & sufficiens in lege ad ducendos & declarandos usus recuperationis præd' de tenementis præd' cum pertinentiis in visu positus, &c. superius specificatis, & quod recuperatio præd' per præfat' Andream de Windsor, &c. versus præfat' Pet. Vavasor armigerum in forma præd' habita, fuit ad eisdem usus in præd' barra præd' Edw. Vavasor superius specificat' modo & forma prout idem Edwardus in barra sua præd' superius allegavit. Ideo consideratum est, quod præd' Thomas Dowman & Elizabetha nihil capiant per breve suum præd', sed sint in misericordia pro falso clamore suo, & quod prædicti Defendentes eant inde sine die. After the said Resolution in the Court of Wards, Dowman, not satisfied with it, brought the said Writ of Assise after the Death of Sir James Dyer, Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, who was a Judge of profound Knowledge and Judgment in the Laws of the Land, and especially in the Form of good Pleading, and the true Entry of Judgments, &c. and of a great Piety and Sincerity, who from his Heart abhorred all Corruption and Deceit, of a bountiful and generous Disposition, and a

Patron

(a) Br. Attainr
37.
Br. Verdict 58.
Fitz. Verdict 10.
(b) 9 H. 7. 13. b.
Plowd. 92. a.
Br. Verdict 83.
Antea 12. b.
(c) Dyer 283,
284. pl. 33.
Antea 12. b.

Patron and Encourager of Men learned in the Laws and expert Clerks; of singular Diligence and Observation, as appears by his Book of *Reports*, all wrote with his own Hand, and of a handsome, reverend, and venerable Countenance and Personage. And according to the said Differences it was resolved *Mich. 44 & 45 Eliz.* by the two Chief Justices *Popham* and *Anderson*, and by *Periam* Chief Baron, and *Garwy* Justice, in the Case of *John Littleton* Esq; which was referred to them by the Command of Queen *Elizabeth*. And so was it resolved by all the Justices of the Common Pleas, *Termo Mich. anno 9 Jacobi Regis*, upon Evidence to a Jury at Bar in the Case of Sir *Richard Champernon*, who claimed the whole Inheritance of *Charles* late Earl of *Devon*, That Indentures subsequent were sufficient to direct the Uses of a Fine precedent against the Earl and his Heirs, for the Reasons and Causes, and with the Cautions aforesaid.

Hill,

Hill. 28 Eliz.

Ann Bedingfield's Case.

1 Leon. 284.
4 Leon. 87.

(a) 1 Rol. 822.
Br. Effoin 110.
2 E. 4. 21.b.
Noy 144.

(b) Br. Effoin 86.
Fitz. Effoin 63.
(c) Br. Effoin
106.

ANN Bedingfield, late Wife of Edmond Bedingfield Esq; (Son and Heir of Henry Bedingfield, of Oxborough in the County of Norfolk Kt.) brought a Writ of Dower against Tho. Bedingfield Esq; Son and Heir of the said Edmond, to be endowed of the Manors of Oxborough, Necton, and many other Manors, Lands, and Tenements in the County of Norfolk of a great yearly Value, &c. And in this Case divers Points were resolved by the Court of Common Pleas. 1. That where in the said Writ the said Tho. cast an Effoin, it was challenged, because by the Statute *de effoniis calumniandis* made 12 E. 2. it is enacted, *Quod non jacet effoinum in breve de Dote*: But, because the Common (a) Effoin has been always allowed in a Writ *de Dote*, therefore the Justices construed the Statute to extend to an Effoin of the King's Service, and not to a Common Effoin, *Et eo potius*, because the said Act adds a Reason of the Purview *s. quia videtur deceptio Et prorogatio Furis*, and that is properly to be intended of an Effoin of the King's Service, which is a Delay and Prorogation of Right by a Year. *Vide* 4 E. 3. 36. b. (b) 4 Ass. pl. 2. Long (c) 5 E. 4. 70. a. Then the said Tho. purchased a Writ out of the Chancery

ry called a Writ of *(a) Circumspecte agatis*, setting forth, that whereas the said *Edm.* was seised of the Manor of *Neston* in the County of *Norfolk* in Fee, and held it of the *Q.* in Chief by Knights Service, and died thereof seised, the said *Tho.* of full Age, prout per *quandam Inquisition' compert' est, &c.* by reason whereof the Queen has seised as well the said Manor, as the Manors of *Oxborough, Afsbil, &c.* and because the *Q.* was bound to restore the Tenements, *tam integre, &c.* as they came to her Hands, therefore the Judges were commanded to surcease *Domina (b) Regina inconsulta*: It was resolved, That this Writ, which is in Nature of Aid Prayer of the *K.* can't extend to any Manors not found in the Office, because the *Q.* by the Law can't seise more Manors than are comprised within the Office. And as to the Manor of *Neston*, which appears by the Writ to be only found in the Office, the Case was well debated at the Bar and Bench. And the Tenant's Counsel cited the Books of 8 *E. 3.* 15. 18 *E. 3.* 38. 19 *E. 3.* *Aid de Roy* 64. 39 *E. 3.* 8. 46 *E. 3.* 19. 11 *H. 4.* 39. *b.* 5 *H. 5.* 13. *a.* § 13 *R. 2.* *Brief* 646. 9 *H. 6.* 40. *F. N. B.* 153. *f. 154. d.* by which it appears that where the Heir is within Age, and in Ward of the *K.* and committed over, and is impleaded, or comes in as Vouchee in a Writ of *Dower*, that Aid of the *K.* shall be granted; And altho' in the Case at Bar, it appears by the Office mentioned in the Writ, that the Heir was of full Age at the Time of his Ancestor's Death, yet that will not make any Difference; for the *K.* when he has primer Seisin, may as well endow the Wife in Chancery, as where the Heir is within Age, and in his Ward; And that appears by the Stat. *de Prærogativa Regis, c. (c) 4. Rex assignabit viduis post mortem virorum suorum qui de eo tenuerunt in capite, dotem suam quæ eis contingit, &c. licet heredes fuerint plenæ etatis, &c.* And upon these Authorities and Reasons the Court gave Day over in the same Term to the Demandant, to shew Cause why the Writ should not be allowed. At which Day the Serjeants of the Demandant's Counsel (a Pleader of the *Inner-Temple* being present and also of Counsel in the Cause) shewed Cause to the Court why the said Writ should not be allowed. They agreed, that in all the Books Aid was granted of the *K.* in a Writ of *Dower* brought against the Heir, or when the Heir was vouched within Age, and in Ward of the King; and it ought also to be confessed, that the granting of it, if it was not grantable by Law, was not Error. But it is enacted by the Statute *de Bigamis, (d) c. 3. de dotibus mulierum ubi aliqui custodes hereditat' maritorum suorum custodias habent ex dono vel concessione Regis, sive custodes rem petitam teneant,*

(a) 1 Leon. 284, 285.

4 Leon. 87. Hard. 428.

Vide for this

Writ 21 E. 3. 44.

22 E. 3. 13, 14.

31 E. 3. tit. Saver

Default 37.

2 R. 3. 13.

F. N. B. 153.

f. 154. d. &c.

Register, &c.

(b) 1 Leon. 285.

4 Leon. 87.

2 Rol. 398.

1 Rol. Rep. 207.

(c) 1 Leon. 285.

4 Leon. 87.

Stamf. Prærog.

15. b. 16, 17, &c.

Poltea 17. 2.

(d) 1 Leon. 285.

4 Leon. 87.

2 Init. 271.

Stamf. Prærog.

16. b.

ant, sive heredes dictorum tenementorum vocentur ad warrantum, si excipiant, qd' sine Rege respond' non possunt, non ideo supersedeatur, quin in loquela præd', prout justum fuerit, procedatur; which Stat. is not vouched or remembered in any of the Books, and is express in the Point, that in such Cases be the Heir Tenant or Vouchee, *non supersedeatur quin in loquela, &c. procedatur,* which is so well penned, that it extends as well to the said Writ of *Circumspecte agatis*, as to Aid Prayer. And in (a) 4 H. 7. 1. a. b. Tit. *Aid le Roy*, 33. in *Dower* against the Committee of the K. during the Nonage of the Heir, the Def. shewed, how it was found by Office, that the Father of the Husband of the Demandant was seised in Fee of certain Land, and held of the K. and had Issue the Husband, and died; and the Husband entred, and died, his Heir within Age, without any Livery, and all this Matter found by Office, wherefore the K. seised and committed to the Defendant. Judgment if Action? and thereupon was a Demurrer: And it was adjudged that she should be endowed: And there Sir *Tbo. (b) Brian* Chief Justice of *C. B.* who gave the Rule in the Case, said, it appears that Right is in the King, wherefore we will proceed no farther without Aid of the King, wherefore sue to the King: But when (c) *Townsend* Justice cited the said Statute *de Bigamis*, which ousts the Party of Aid in that Case, *Brian*, having Consideration of the said Act, alter'd his Opinion, and discharg'd 'em from suing to the King, and awarded, that the Demandant should recover her Dower, *Omnia habere in memoria & in nullo penitus errare, potius est deitatis, quam humanitatis.* And if the said Stat. had not been remembered, the Aid also had been granted in (d) 4 H. 7. as it had been in the said Books. But to make a full Answer to the Case in Question, *Distinguenda sunt tempora, & concordabunt leges.* The said Stat. *de Bigamis* was enacted at a Parliament held 4 E. 1. And the Stat. *de Prærogativa Regis* was made 17 E. 2. And before the Statute *de Prærogativa Regis*, the K. when the Heir was of full Age, had but *primam seisinam capiend' exitus, &c.* as it is said in the Chapter next before; and in such Case the King is not Guardian, and therefore can't endow the Wife at the Com. Law. For as a Writ of *Dower* lay against Guardian in Chivalry, during the Minority of the Heir, or the Guardian might endow her without any Suit, during the Minority of the Heir, if he would; but after full Age, although he held over the Land for the Value of the Marriage, no Writ of *Dower* lay against him, nor could he endow the Wife, because after full Age of the Heir he was not Guardian, and none who has but a Chattel (except the (e) Guardian only) can endow the Wife of a Freehold

(a) Stamf. Prærog. 16. b. 2 Inft. 271. Hardr. 428.

(b) 2 Inft. 271.

(c) 2 Inft. 271.

(d) 4 H. 7. 1. a. Supra. a.

(e) 6 Co. 57. b. Co. Lit. 35. a. 38. b. Br. Dower 63. 1 Rol. 681. 9 H. 6. 6. b. 24. b. 47 Aff. 15. per Finchden. F. N. B. 148. a. 150. b.

Freehold; neither did a Writ of *Dower*, which is a real Action, lie against him, as appears in 6 E. 3. 16. b. he ought to be (a) Guardian, and named Guardian, and a Writ of *Dower* brought in such Case against the Heir within Age shall abate, for it ought to be brought against the Guardian, and therewith agree 17 E. 3. 79. 9 H. 6. 6. b. *Vid. Temp. E. 1. Dower* (b) 863. *Vide* 8 E. 3. 63. a. b: *Dower* lies against Guardian within Age, and against the Heir at full Age, (c) 46 E. 3. 19. 7 E. 3. 10. b. 9 (d) E. 4. 31. b. and in 8 E. 3. 15. b. a Woman brought a Writ of *Dower* against Hen. Bolton as Guardian in Chivalry, who pleaded, that he had nothing but a Lease for 6 Years, of the Lease of John Morebray Guardian of the Lands; Judgment of the Writ. And there it is held, that the Writ of *Dower* doth not lie against (e) him in respect of the Possession, if he be not Guardian, wherefore the Demandant maintained that he was Guardian. 2 E. 3. 15. b. A Writ of *Dower* brought against Tenant by *Elegit* shall abate. 8 E. 2. Brief 809. *Dower* was brought against Ten't for * Years, and abated by award, but there *Berisford* saith, it is good against a Guardian, because he answers in the Name of the Heir. So the King when the Heir is of full Age, could not by the Com. Law have endowed the Wife, because he is not Guardian, but has in Effect the Profits of the Land but for a Year, and therefore the Makers of the Stat. de (f) *Bigamis* anno 4 E. 1. if the K. could have endowed the Wife when the Heir was of full Age, they would have ousted delays in such Case as they did, and *a fortiori* than when the Heir was within Age: But at that Time, f. 4 E. 1. the K. when the Heir was of full Age, could not endow the Wife, but such Power as he has was given him by the Statute de *Prærogativa Regis*, made in 17 E. 2. long Time after; which Act de (g) *Prærogativa Regis*, altho' it gave Power to the K. to endow the Wives, *Et licet hæredes fuer' plenæ ætatis*, yet the Stat. adds, *si viduæ ille voluerint*; so as the Stat. leaves it to the Election of the Wife, either to be endowed in the Chancery, or at the Com. Law, and by Consequence the Writ of *Dower* (which is favoured in Law, and to be likened to no other *Præcipe*) is not to be stayed by Aid Prayer in that Case. Upon which the Court took Advice and Consideration: And afterwards the Court, for the Reasons and Causes aforesaid, discharged the Tenant from suing to the Queen, and gave Day, in the beginning of *Easter*-Term next following, to plead an issuable Plea peremptorily. In which Term the Tenant's Counsel offered to plead Detainment of Charters by the Demandant, &c. which was in *Easter*-Term, and *Trinity*-Term following well argued at the Bar and Bench: And upon good Advice and Consideration, these Points were resolved by the Court.

(a) Co. Lit. 38. b.
Br. Brief 431.
9 H. 5. 4. b.

(b) Brief 863.
(c) 46 E. 3. 19. b.
20. a.
Pr. Dower 20.
(d) Br. Dow. 94.
Br. Brief 486.

(e) 6 Co. 57. b.
8 E. 3. 15. b.

* 6 Co. 57. b.
8 E. 3. 15. b.

f. 1 Leon. 285.
4 Leon. 87.
2 Inst. 271.
Stanf. Prærog.
16. b.
Antea 16. a.

(g) Ant. 16. a.
Stanf. Prærog.
15. b. 16, 17,
&c.
1 Leon. 285.
4 Leon. 87.

1 Show. 271.
Salk. 252.
Comb. 123.

(a) Doct. pl.
150.
1 Rol. 679.
Br. Dower 1.
18 H. 8. 1. a.
Dy. 37. pl. 42.
Plow. 85. a. b.
4 H. 7. 10. a.
9 E. 4. 47. a.
22 H. 6. 16. a.
(b) Fitz. Dower
9.
Br. Dower 4.
(c) Fitz. Dower
6.
(d) 1 Co. 1. b.
Co. Lit. 6. a.
2 Rol. 31.
11 Co. 50. b.

1. The (a) Charters ought to concern the Land whereof Dower is demanded, and not other Lands descended to the Heir. *Vide (b)* 33 H. 6. 51. a. b. resolved in the Point (c) 22 H. 6. 42. b. And the Law in this Case well allows that this Rebutter of the Action is a good Plea in a Writ of *Dower* for two Reasons: 1. The Charters are the (d) Sinews of the Inheritance of the Husband's Heir, and she is not worthy to demand Dower of her Husband's Inheritance who will wrongfully detain from his Heir (by whom she is to be endowed) the Muniments which might defend the said Inheritance; for Charters are called Muniments, *a muniendo, quia mununt & defendunt Hereditatem, &c.* 2. There is a greater Privy when a Wife is endowed of the immediate Estate, which her Husband's Heir has by descent, than when she is endowed by a Stranger, or of another Estate; for if the Wife be endowed of the immediate Estate descended to her Husband's Heir, if she be after impleaded, she shall vouch the Heir, and shall be newly endowed of other Lands which the Heir has; but if the Wife be endowed by the Husband's or Heir's Alienee, if she be impleaded, she shall not vouch the Alienee to be newly endowed: And that is the Reason that when a Woman brings a Writ of *Dower* against the Alienee of the Husband, &c. and he vouches the Heir, the Demandant may Witness that the Heir has Lands descended to him in the same County (for the (e) Original doth not extend to another County) and pray that she may be endowed of his Estate, and that is for the Benefit of her Voucher to be newly endowed, *vide* in 4 E. 3. 36. b. and 6 E. 3. 11. a. b. the Tenant in a Writ of *Dower* vouched the Heir of the Husband; and the Demandant testified that he by Descent, &c. in the same County; and (f) Judgm. was given against the Heir if he had, and if not against the Tenant. In 6 E. 3. 20. b. the Wife of a Stranger brought a Writ of *Dower*, and the Tenant vouched the Heir, &c. the Demandant shall not recover against the Heir, because there wants Privy. In 18 E. 3. 36. b. in *Dower* the Ten't vouched, and the Vouchee vouched the Heir of the Husband of the Demandant; the Demandant testified that the Heir had Assets by descent in the same County, the Demandant shall not recover against the Heir, but against the Tenant only, for there is not immediate Privy betwixt the Demandant and the Heir, for the Demandant shall recover against the Heir only, when the Ten't in Demesn vouches him. *Vide Regist. Judic.* 15. 16 E. 3. *Dower* 56. 3 *El. Dy.* (g) 202. And that is the Reason that the Heir only shall plead Detainment of Charters, and not a Stranger, as shall be after said. *Vide F. N. B.* 8 E. 50 E. 3. 7. b. And the Reason which *Newton* gives in (b) 22 H. 6. 42. b. that the Heir shall have this Plea

(e) Winch. 88.

(f) 2 Rol. 751.
Cr. Jac. 688.
Dy. 202. pl. 71.
Hurt. 71, 72.
Winch. 81, 88.

(g) Dyer 202.
pl. 71.
(b) Br. Dower
26.
Fitz Dow. 53.
Dy. 230. pl. 53.
Perk. sect. 357.

Plea

Plea of Detainment of Charters, &c. *sc.* that if the Heir had the Charters of his Land, he might peradventure plead in Bar of her Dower, can't be the Reason thereof; for when the Heir has pleaded that he has been always ready, and yet is to render Dower, &c. if the Demandant would render to him his Charters, it is a full Confession of the Action, if the Demandant will deliver the Charters, and therefore after the Charters delivered, the Heir shall not plead over, but the Demandant shall have her Judgment immediately, as shall hereafter more fully appear.

2. He who pleads this Plea ought to shew the (a) Certainty of the Charters; whereupon a certain Issue may be joined, or that they are in a Chest or Box locked or sealed; which imports sufficient Certainty, upon which a certain Issue may be taken: And in both Cases an Action of *Detinue* may be grounded and brought by the Heir, 22 H. 6. 16. a. 2 H. 7. 6. a. 14 H. 6. 4. a. 21 E. 3. 8. b. 18 H. 8. 1. a.

3. No (b) Stranger, altho' he be Tenant of the Land, and has the Evidences conveyed to him, can in a Writ of *Dower* plead Detainment of Charters, but this Plea lies only in Privity, *scil.* for the Heir of the Husband, as hath been said. Also the Heir in divers Cases is in Degree of a Stranger, and therefore shall not plead Detainment of Evidences, and that he shall not do in five Cases. 1. If the (c) Heir has the Land by Purchase. 2. If the Heir has (d) delivered the Charters to the Wife, he shall not plead Detainment of them, for the Wife has them by his own Act, as it is resolved in 7 E. 3. *Dower* 101. 3. If the Heir be not (e) immediately vouched, *sc.* by the Tenant in the Writ of *Dower*, but by his Vouchee, as has been said 18 E. 3. 36. b. 4. If the Heir comes in as (f) Vouchee, having no Land in the County where the Dower is demanded. 5. If he comes in as Tenant by (g) Receipt, he shall not plead Detainment of Charters, as appears in 16 E. 3. *Dower* 75. and many other Books; and the Reason thereof is manifest; for he who pleads Detainment of Charters in Bar of Dower, ought to plead, that he has been always ready, and yet is to render Dower, if the Demandant would deliver to him his Charters; and Tenant by Receipt, or such Vouchee as is aforesaid, can't plead it, because he can't plead that he has been always ready to render Dower; when the Demandant can't recover against the Heir in such Cases either being Vouchee or received, nor can he render to the

(a) Co. Lit. 285. b.
Doct. pl. 150.
Dy. 230 pl. 53.
Br. Dower 67.
Plowd. 85. a. b.
Postea 110. a.
Fitz. Dower 17.
(b) Cr. El. 367.
Co. Lit. 39. a.
Postea 19. a.
Doct. pl. 150.
10 Co. 94. b.
Dy. 230. pl. 52.
Perk. sect. 361.
10 E. 3. 49.
Fitz. Dow. 112.
Ver. N. B. 9. b.
(c) Dy. 230.
pl. 52.
Doct. pl. 150.
(d) Doct. pl. 150.
(e) Doct. pl. 150.
Dy. 230. pl. 52.
(f) Doct. pl. 150.
(g) Doct. pl. 22. 151.
Dy. 230. pl. 52.

Ann Bedingfield's *Case*. PART IX.

Demandant the Dower which to her by Law belongs. But if a Man is seised of three Acres in three Towns, *s. A. B. and C.* in one County, and enfeoffs a Stranger of one Acre with Warranty, and dies, now the Heir may assign the Wife one Acre in Satisfaction of her Dower, as well in the Acre whereof the Stranger is enfeoffed, as of the other Acre descended to the Heir, for by Course of Law she shall have Dower against the Heir, in Discharge of the whole Tenancy, as well that which he ought to warrant, as that which is descended to him in the same County, in which Case the Heir may agree with the Wife, as well out of Court as in Court, for that which by the Law he is bound to do, and being vouched by the Feoffee in a Writ of *Dower*, he shall plead it in Bar, as it is adjudged in 33 *E. 3. Judgment* 254. & 8 *E. 3. 69. a. b. Michael Treweny's Case*; and by the same Reason in such Case, the Heir being Vouchee shall plead Detainment of Charters, &c. for he may well say, that he has been always ready, and yet is to render Dower to the Demandant, in discharge of the whole Tenancy in the same County; for by the Law, the Demandant ought to be entirely endowed against the Heir. And therewith also agrees 17 *E. 3. 58. b.* where in *Dower* the Tenant vouched the Heir in Ward, the Guardian by the Warranty said, That the Demandant detained from him the Heir, where the Land is held in Knight Service, and if she would render the Heir, he has been always ready, (*nota hoc*) and yet is to render Dower: And there Exception is taken to it, because this Plea lies for none but him' who always after we were dowable, could have rendred Dower, and you could not before now render: To which it was answered, That we are he against whom she shall recover, and the Tenants shall hold in Peace, and we might always by Law have made Agreement for that which we held, because by the Law she shall be served of Dower of that which we hold, so that to us in lieu of *Wirberman* the Answer is given. *Et videtur Curia*, That the Guardian Tenant by the Warranty should have such Answer, whereupon the Demandant traversed the Eloiment of the Infant: In 8 *E. 3. 55. a* In a Writ of *Dower* the Tenant made Default after Default; wherefore the Demandant prayed Scisin of the Land, whereupon came one *John*, and saith, That the Tenant held for the Term of his Lease, the Reversion to him, and prayed to be received, and was received, and said that he was Heir to the Husband of the Demandant, of whom she demanded Dower, and said that she

Co. Lit. 35. a.
Cr. Jac. 688.

Cr. Jac. 688.

Co. Lit. 39. a.

she detained two Charters touching his Inheritance, and shewed what, &c. and said that if she would render him his Charters, he should be ready to render her Dower, &c. and because Tenant by receipt can't Render her Demand, and he is a Stranger, this Plea doth not lie in his Mouth: And thereupon Seisin of the Land was awarded to the Wife.

And so note two good Differences, 1. (a) Between the Heir being immediate Vouchee, having Affets in the same County, and when he is vouched by a Vouchee, or when the Heir has nothing but in a foreign County; for in the first Case he may plead always ready, &c. to render Dower, and in the other two not. (b) 2. Between the Heir having Land in the same County, when he is immediately vouched, and when he is Tenant by Receipt, for in Case of Receipt the Judgment shall be given against the Tenant, and not against the Heir, so that the Plea lies not in his Mouth, *s.* that he is yet ready to render Dower, for he can't render to the Demandant her Demand. *Vide* 7 E. 3. *Dower* 101. 6 El. Dy. 230. *Vide* 7 E. 2. *Dower* 150. 11 H. 3. *ibid.* 187. 45 H. 5. *ib.* 174. 14 H. 4. 30. 36 H. 6. 7. 41 E. 3. 11. 6 E. 3. 45.

4. In a Writ of *Dower* against (c) Guardian in Chivalry, he shall not plead Detainment of Charters, for he can't conclude his Plea, and if the Demandant will deliver him the Charters, &c. for the Charters which concern the Inheritance of the Heir shall not be delivered to the Guardian, as it is adjudged in 10 E. 3. 49. *a.* But the (d) Guardian in a Writ of *Dower* may plead Detainment of the Heir by the Demandant, and that he has been always ready, &c. *ut supra*, for the Ward belongs to him; and if the Demandant detains the Ward, and doth not render him to the Guardian unmarried; or if she renders to him being married, she shall lose her Dower, and therewith agree 8 E. 3. 70. 7 E. 3. 57. *a.* 22 H. 6. 16. *a.* 2 H. 7. 6. *a.* 17 E. 3. 58. *b.* 16 E. 2. *Dower* 144. *Vide* what manner of Charters or Evidences the Demandant in *Dower* ought to detain, that the Heir may plead, &c. 41 E. 3. 11. *a. b.* 6 E. 3. 45. *b.* &c. And so all the Books in all these Points are well agreed. And when (in the Case at Bar) the Tenant perceived that if he should plead such Plea, that the Demandant might deliver the Charters in (e) Court, and pray Judgment upon his Confession immediately, as appears in 10 E. 3. 49. *a.* 21 E. 3. 8. *b.* 9 E. 4. 47. *a.* &c. He waived his Plea touching these Matters. And in *Trinity-Term*,

Ann Bedingfield's Case. PART IX.

Term, when the Demandant expected that he would have confessed the Action; he pleaded, *Ne unques accouple in loyal matrimony*: Whereupon it was written to the Bishop of Norwich, who certified, *Quod infranominati Edmund & Anna legitimo matrimonio copulati fuerunt*. To which Certificate, being short and direct to the Point, no Exception was ever taken: Whereupon the Demandant had Judgment, and divers Manors Parcel of the Demand assigned for Dower, which the Demandant leased to divers Persons named by the Tenant, in Consideration of 1000 Marks Fine, and 500 *li*, Rent *per Annum*.

CASE

C A S E

O F

A V O W R Y.

IN the Case of---in the King's Bench, this Point was principally moved and debated, that is to say; if there is Lord and Tenant by Fealty and Rent, and the Ten't makes a Lease for Years, and the Lessor has done Fealty, and paid the Rent continually, and yet the Lord distrains the Cattle of the Lessee for the Rent, when in Truth none is in arrear, and avows upon a meer Stranger who never had any Thing, as upon his very Tenant for the Arrearages of the Rent, if the Lessee shall be without Remedy in this Case. And the Opinion of *Prisor*, (a) 34 H. 6. 46. was objected, where he holds, That if there is Lord, Mesne and Tenant, and the Lord avows upon a Stranger, and not upon the Mesne, the Tenant is without Remedy: And so if a Termor brings a Replevin, and he avows upon other than the Lessor, the Termor is without Remedy: And that the common Opinion of all our Books is, That a (b) Stranger to the Avow-ry shall not plead nothing in arrear, or a Tenure by lesser Services, or any other Plea, but only (c) out of his Fee, or a Thing which tantamounts, 17 E. 3. 14. b. 15. a. 34 E. 3. Avowry 247. 38 E. 3. Avowry 61. 39 E. 3. 34. a. b. 43 E. 3. 13. a. 2 H. 6. 1. a. b. & 54. 34 H. 6. 21. a. b. 35 H. 6. 51. a. b. 37 H. 6. 23. 38 H. 6. 23. b. 7 E. 4. 10. 13 E. 4. 6. b. 14 H. 8. 4. 26 H. 8. 6. a. b. & 22 H. 6. 2. b. it is said, That it is a Position in Law, That a Stranger to the Avowry shall not plead, but out of his Fee, &c. It was also objected, That Lessee for Years could not pray in Aid of his Lessor, and so make him Party to plead, because the Lessor is a Stranger to the Avowry, and the Lessee might plead as much as the Lessor himself might, and that is, out of his Fee. And so are the Books in

(a) Postea 22.
a. b.
Fitz. Avow. 25.
Br. Avow. 15.
Br. Aid 16.

(b) Doct. pl.
320.

(c) Co. Lit. 1. b.

Co. Lit. 312.

* 1 Rol. 165.
 (a) 3 E. 2.
 Aid 161.
 1 Rol. 165.

* 18 E. 3. 7. a. 17 E. 3. 9. b. 34 E. 3. Avowry 258. 3 E. 2.
 (a) Aid 151. 6 E. 4. 3. a. 12 E. 4. 5. a. 5 H. 5. 5. a. b. 2 H.
 7. 10. b. 8 H. 7. 8. b. &c. unless the Lessee makes his Les-
 for privy in Estate to him, upon whom the Avowry was
 made. Vide 3 E. 2. Avowry 186.

(b) Doct. pla.
 165, 217.

(c) 1 Rol. 165.

(d) 1 Rol. 165.

Yet it was resolved, That the Lessee for Years shall be by Law relieved in this Case; and for the better Understanding of the Law in this Case, two Differences in Law were observed. First, (as hath been said) a (b) Stranger to the Avowry shall plead nothing, but out of his Fee, or a Thing which tantamounts, and that is true as to the Pleading of any Matter in Bar of the Avowry; but the right Tenant, altho' he is a Stranger to the Avowry, yet being made Party he shall plead Matter in Abatement of the Avowry, as shall appear. Another Difference is, when the Lessee for Years, or for Life, shall have Aid of one who is a Stranger to the Avowry, and when not; for upon a (c) general Allegation, that such a Stranger was seised in Fee and leased to him for Life or Years, he shall not have Aid, as the Books before cited prove, because it would be in vain in such Case to grant Aid, when the Lessee may plead out of his Fee as well as his Lessor; but upon special Matter disclosed, he shall have Aid of his Lessor, who is very Tenant. And therefore if the Lessee in such case alleges, that his Lessor was, and (d) yet is seised of the Tenancy in his Demesne, as of Fee, and held it of the Lord by the Services, &c. of which Services the Lord has been, and yet is seised by the Hands of the Lessor, as by the Hands of his very Tenant, and that the Tenant has leased the Land to him, and that the Lord, to charge the Plaintiff unjustly, has avowed upon one, who has nothing in the Tenancy; and thereupon he prays in Aid of his Lessor; in this Case, upon this special Matter, he shall have Aid, because without his Lessor he can't plead this Matter in Abatement of the Avowry, nor shall the Lord be compelled to avow upon the Lessor: And by this special Matter there appears true Privy in Estate and Seigniorship betwixt the true Tenant and the Lord, so that there wants no Privy in this Case, nor will the Law esteem him who is true Tenant in Law to be a Stranger to the Lord; and the false Avowry of the Lord upon the Stranger who is not Tenant shall not hurt the Lessee against the Truth of the Case, *quia veritas nihil veretur nisi abscondi*. And the Law will never suffer a Falsity to suppress Truth. And this is well proved by the Books cited before; as, taking one for Example, in the said Book of 18 E.

(a) 3. 7. a. the Cafe was fuch, *A.* brought a *Replevin* againft *William Weyland*, who avowed for Rent-service upon the Issue in Tail, the Pl. fhewed, That a Stranger to the Avowry leas'd to him for Life, and pray'd in Aid of him, and was oust'd of the Aid becaufe the Lessor could not plead more than the Lessee, becaufe they are both Strangers; but there upon special Matter pleaded he shall have Aid of him, to the End that both may join in a Plea of Abatement of the Avowry, which the Lessee himself alone shall not plead: For the Lessee to have Aid may say, That the Donor before the Stat. infeoff'd the Donee in Fee to hold of him, and that the Lessor is Assignee of the Feeoffee and has tender'd the Services, and compelled the Lord to avow upon him. To which Sir *Rich. Wilby* Chief Just. who gave the Rule, said, Plead then this Matter if you will have Aid. Which Cafe proves both the Differences, *f.* That a Stranger to the Avowry shall compel the Avowant to avow upon him; Which is as much as to say, That he shall abate the Avowry made upon him who has nothing, and compel the Lord to avow upon him who is his right and true Tenant. 2. That upon such special Matter which tends to drive the Lord to avow upon his very Tenant, he shall have Aid of a Stranger to the Avowry: And the Law requires, That the Lord shall always avow upon him who is his Tenant in Right and in Law, and to do it the Lord shall be compelled by special Pleading, and therewith agrees *Littleton cap. Releases f. 106.* if the Tenant is disseis'd, and the Lord takes the Cattle of the Disseissee, and he sues a *Replevin*, and the Lord avows upon the Disseisor who is Tenant in Possession, the Disseissee by Pleading of the special Matter shall abate the Lord's Avowry upon the Disseisor, and (b) compel him to avow upon him, becaufe the Disseissee is Tenant to him in Right and in Law. Vide (c) 20 H. 6. 9. b. by *Newton*, (d) 48 E. 3. 8. by *Fitz. a fortiori*, in the principal Cafe, when the Lord avows upon one who has nothing, upon the special Matter shewed the Lessor shall join in Aid to the Lessee, and shall abate the Avowry made upon him who has nothing, and compel the Lord to avow upon his Tenant in Right and in Law; and therewith agrees 4 E. 3. 50 b. 51. a. *Hugh de Luche* brought a *Replevin* of his Cattle against *W. de Strigland*, who avowed upon three Sisters, as Daughters and Heirs of *Alice Sager*, by Reason that they held of him certain Tenements by Homage, Fealty, and Escuage, and by the Services of 10s. per Annum, &c. and for Homage Arrear he avowed: To which the Plaintiff said, True it is, that *Alice Sager* held of you the said Tenements by Fealty, and 6d. per Annum for all Services, which *Alice* did enfeoff us of the same Tenements, and we have oftentimes tender'd

(a) 1 Rol. 165.
Fitz. Aid. 139.(b) Lit. 107. b;
Lit. Sect. 454.
Co. Lit. 268. a.
3 Co. 23. b.35. a. Post. 2. 1. b;
(c) Co. Lit.
268. a.(d) 48 E. 3. 8. b.
per Finchden,
Br. Avowry 31,
Fitz. Avowry
83. Postea 2. 1. b

our Fealty, Judgment, if you can avow upon other than upon us, or for more Services than, &c. To which Plea in Abatement of the Avowry Exception was taken, because the Pl. was a Stranger to the Avowry: To which it was answer'd and resolv'd, That the Pl. was privy enough, because he was Tenant of the Land and had rendred the Services: And there it is expressly said, That the Issue of this Avowry could not be taken on the Right of the Services, but abate the Avowry, and compel the Lord to avow upon the Pl. and then might they plead to the Right of the Services. (a) 48

(a) Antea 21. a.
Br. Avowry 31.
Fitz. AVOWRY
83.
(b) Br. Avowry
117.

E. 3. 8. b. by Finchden, § 16 E. 3. Avowry 90. acc. Vide 39 E. 3. 34. a. b. § (b) 10 H. 6. 26. b. 31 E. 3. Avowry III. a fortiori when the Lord shall avow upon one who has nothing in the Land, he who is the very Tenant, and by whole Hands the Lord has received the Services, shall compel him

(c) Antea 21. a.

(as *Littl. (c)* saith) to avow upon him. *Vide 9 H. 5. 15. a. and in 34 E. 3. Avowry 258.* the Pl. was oust'd of Aid, because he did not shew the special Matter to give him cause of Aid. And in this Point the Law is curious; for altho' the Lord avows upon the right Person, yet if he doth not convey to him his true Title to the Land, his Avowry shall abate; and therefore if a Man avows upon one as Heir to his Mother, where he is Heir to his Father, this Avowry shall abate. *13 E. 3. Avowry 102. § 11 H. 4. 54. 10 H. 4. Avowry 193. 3 E. 3. 69. Vide 27 E. 3. 88. a.* So if in *Replevin* the Def. avows upon the Pl. as upon his very Tenant, the Pl. in Abatement of the Avowry may say, That he has nothing but for Term of Life of the Lease of *W.* the Reversion now to his Son and Heir, and pray in Aid of him, to the Intent to compel the Lord to avow upon him who is his Tenant in Law; and therewith agrees *3 H. 6. 12, 13. § Fitz.* in abridging the Case, *Tit. Aid 57.* saith, that this Plea goes in Abatement of the Avowry; and for this Cause by the Rule of the Book he had Aid of a Stranger to the Avowry. *Vide 15 E. 3. Aid 33.* Tenant in Dower had Aid of the Heir in Reversion, who was a Stranger to the Avowry. And the great Wisdom and Policy of the Law was well observed, which has fully provided for the Remedy of this Case; For in Avowry for Rent-service, &c. the Pl. being Tenant for Years, or for Life, shall have Aid of his Lessor before Issue joined, because without him the Lessee can't plead, as appears in *(d) 2 H. 5. 1. 7 E. 4. 23. a. 6 E. 4. 3. a. 21 E. 3. 12. b. 13 El. Dy. (e) 229.* to the End the rightful and very Tenant joining with him, may abate the feigned Avowry made upon him who has nothing, or upon one who is not rightful Ten't, and compel the Lord to avow upon him who is Tenant in Law: And it would be a great Absurdity, and Defect in the Common Law, if the false and feigned Avowry

(d) Fitz. joiner
in Aid 7.
(e) Dyer 289.
pl. 59.

Avowry upon him who has nothing should charge the Termor with Arrearages of Rent where none were due, and *Lex Angliæ non patitur absurdum*: And if any such Defect had been in the Law, as was objected, the Makers of the Act of (a) 21 H 8. c. 19. would have provided a Remedy as well for the Tenant, and all Lessees and other Strangers to the Avowry against the Lords, as they did for the Lords against the Tenants and their Lessees, as appears by the Preamble, and not to have bound the Tenants, Lessees, &c. and left the Lords at Liberty. And it was said at the Bar, that in some Case the Lord was left to the Com. Law, and could not avow within the said Act of 21 H. 8. and that is, when the Lord comes to distrain, and (b) sees the Cattle upon the Tenancy, and the Tenant drives them off into other Land not held, and the Lord freshly follows them and distrains them there, as he well may, as it is held in 44 E. 4. 20. b. 6 R. 2. Rescous 11. 11 H. 7. 4. a. 21 H. 7. 40. a. 34 H. 6. 18. b. 16 E. 4. 10. a. b. That this Case is out of the said Stat. because the Purview is, *If the Lord distrein upon the same Mannors, Lands or Tenements, &c. that the Lord of whom the same Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments have been so holden, may avow as within his Fee and Seigniorie*, and the Distress is taken in Lands not held of him, nor in his Fee and Seigniorie, and therefore this Case is out of the said Act. But it was resolv'd, that this Case is within the Purview of the said Act; for, 1. it is clearly within the Mischiefe within the Preamble, and the Act is made to suppress Fraud: 2. upon the Matter the Distress is taken upon the Land held, for the Lord can't distrain out of his Fee, but the View of the Lord and his fresh Suit makes the Distress to be in Judgment of Law taken within his Fee, or a Thing which tantamounts; and as *Thorpe* saith in 44 E. 3. 20. b. the Taking shall always refer to the first Place, and it would be inconvenient that the Act of the Tenant himself, (against whom the Act was made) should make the good Act of little or no Effect. But *Nota* Reader, If one comes to distrain for (c) Damage-feasant, and sees the Cattle, and the Owner drives them off, he can't distrain them Damage-feasant, but is put to his Action of Trespass. 16 E. 4. 10. b. & 2 E. 2. Avowry 182. For there the Cattle ought to be Damage-feasant at the Time of the Distress, and so a Difference.

And as to the other Case which *Prifot* puts, *f.* If there is Lord, Mesne, and Tenant, and the Lord avows upon a Stranger, and not upon the Mesne, the Tenant is without Remedy; and it was urged, that it was good Law, for the Tent upon any special Pleading, as in the Case of the Lessee, can't

(a) Co. Lit. 268. b.

(b) Co. Lit. 161. a. 268. b. 2 Inst. 131. 33 H. 6. 53. a. Br. Distress 13, 83, 91, 100. Plowd. 38. a. Fitz. Distress 2. Fitz. Rescous 13. Br. Avowry 13. in Fine.

(c) Plowd. 38. a. Co. Lit. 161. a.

can't have Aid of the Mesne, because he is Tenant in Fee-simple, and the Mesne can't join with him, because he is a Stranger to the Avowry, for the Mesne shall never join with the Tenant, but when the Avowry is made upon the Mesne: And both these Points are resolved in (a) 13 E. 4. 6. a. b. and in other Books, 31 E. 3. *Joinder in Aid* 14. 17 E. 3. 15. a. b. the Abbot of *Furney's Case*, and there is a Defect observed in the Com. Law in such Case, which is prayed to be amended and reformed by the Justices, as in other Cases to avoid Mischiefe they have done. *Vide* 39 E. 3. 34. a. b. And it was resolved and well agreed, That the Opinion of (b) *Prifot* in this *Case* is good Law to the End that *Prifot* intended, for it is true, that *Prifot* intended that the Tenant is without Remedy either to plead any Plea, or to plead nothing in Arrear, &c. because he is a Stranger to the Avowry; or by special Pleading to pray in Aid of the Mesne, for as hath been said, he is Tenant in Fee-simple, and can't pray in Aid, and the Mesne can't join with him, because the Lord has not avowed upon the Mesne; and therefore as to these three Ways, the Tenant, as *Prifot* intended is without Remedy, and his Opinion as to these is well warranted by the Authority of the said Books, but that the Common Law has left the Tenant without any Remedy in such Case, it appears fully and commonly to the Contrary in our Books. And therefore, when there is Lord, Mesne, and Tenant, and the Mesne pays the Rent and doth the Services due to the Lord, and yet the Lord (c) distrains the Tenant peravail for them, and impounds his Cattle, in that Case the Tenant may immediately resort to his Mesne, and tell him the Case, and pray him to acquit him: Now the Law has given Power to the (d) Mesne to go to the Pound, and take the Cattle of the Tenant peravail out of the Pound and deliver them to him, and put his own Cattle in the Pound in lieu of them, and sue a (e) Replevin and so make himself Party, and then if the Lord will avow upon the Stranger, he may shew the Truth of the Matter, and abate any feigned Avowry made upon the Stranger, and compel the Lord to avow upon him, who is his true Tenant in Law; and altho' not distreined in his Default, is a good Plea in a Writ of *Mesne*, yet if the Mesne will not do it upon (f) Request, the Tenant upon the Matter is distreined in his Default, and therefore he shall have a Writ of *Mesne* and recover his Damage, as it is held in (g) 7 H. 4. 18. a. b. 4 E. 3. 35. 15 E. 3. *Joinder in Aid* 15. 17 E. 3. 44. b. (h) 34 H. 6. 47. a. b. 13 E. 4. 6. a. b. & F. N. B. 136. b. And if the Lord will not suffer the Mesne in such Case to take the Cattle of the Tenant out of the Pound, he is a (i) Trespassor *ab in-ivio*; for he doth not use them according to the Nature

(a) Br. Mesne
24.
Post. 23. a. 111. a.
Br. Replevin 42.

(b) Postea 20. a.

(c) 2 Rol. 125.
F. N. B. 136. H.
Co. Lit. 100. a.

(d) Postea 10. b.
111. a.
Co. Lit. 100. a.

(e) Co. Lit.
145. b.

(f) Post. 111. a.

(g) Co. Lit.
100. a.

Br. Mesne 4.

2 Rol. 125.

Br. Replevin 14.

(h) 2 Rol. 430.

Br. Replevin 54.

Co. Lit. 100. a.

(i) Perk. Sect. 2.

190, 191.

Cr. Jac. 148.

Fitz. Trespass

67.

Anrea 11. a.

3 Co. 146. b.

ture of a Distress, and therewith agrees (a) 13 E. 4. 6. a. b. But let the Tenant look to it, that in such Case he sues not a *Replevin* of his Goods, and has Deliverance of them, for that shall be accounted his own folly that he doth not make request to the Mesne *ut supra*, for then if the Lord shall avow upon a Stranger, the Tenant is without Remedy by his own Default; but in such Case after the Tenant has deliverance of his Cattle by *Replevin*, if the Lord avows upon the Mesne, there the Tenant may request the Mesne to join with him to plead in his discharge, and if the Mesne will not, the Tenant may have a Writ of (b) *Mesne* against him, and recover his Damages: For now by Matter *ex post facto*, he is distrained in his Default, as it is held in 39 E. 3. 34. a. b. where the Case was, That *Henry Percy* was Lord Paramount, *Gilbert Umfrevill* Earl of *Angus*, Mesne, and a Tenant peravail, of divers Manors, s. 10 Towns, &c. the said Lord paramount distrained the Tenant peravail, the Tenant pleaded a Release by Deed of the said Lord Paramount to the Mesne, to hold by lesser Services; & *non potuit*, because he was a Stranger to the Avowry: And there it was held, That the Tenant in such Case is at no Mischiefe, for he might have required the Mesne upon whom the Avowry was made to have joined with him in Answer, and if he had come, they two might have joined in the Plea which the Tenant now pleads, and if the Mesne would not have joined with the Tenant, he might have against him a Writ of *Mesne* and therein recovered his Damages against him; and if the Tenant doth not request the Mesne, it shall be accounted his own Folly, which are Word for Word the Words of the Book: And therewith agree 17 E. 3. 15. a. b. and 12 E. 4. 2. a. 7 E. 4. 19 b. And it is to be observed, that in such Case, the Mesne ought to join (d) *gratis*, for there is no Process of Law to compel him to appear, as in the Case of Aid Prayer, but only upon the Tenant's Request he ought to appear *gratis*, and therewith agrees 7 E. 4. 19. b. *Vide* 34 H. 6. 46. a. And so may the Lessor upon whom an Avowry is made, join *gratis* with the Lessee, the Plaintiff in the *Replevin*, and therewith agree 45 E. 3. 7. a. b. & 39 H. 6. 7. b.

Lastly, in the principal Case, if the Lessee (or if the Tenant peravail in the Case of the Mesnalty) is present when the Lord or his Bailiff comes to distrain, if (e) nothing is in Arrear, he may well make Rescous, and so relieve himself, as it was resolved in *Bevil's Case*, in the fourth Part of my Reports, f. 8. *Vide* 2 H. 4. 22. b. 8 H. 4. 1. a. 4 E. 6. Br. Distress 75. By the Justices, 31 E. 3. Rescous 17. 39 E. 3. 45. 39 H. 6. 7. a. F. N. B. 102. 27 Aff. 51. and 28 Aff. p. 50. So that the Lessee, or Tenant peravail, has a certain Provision by the Law to relieve himself in the Cases aforesaid, unless by his Laches or misdoing he

(a) Antea 22. b.
Br. Mesne 24.
Br. Replevin
42. Post. 111. 22

(b) Co Lit.
100. a.

(c) Doctrin.
placit. 165.

(d) Doctrin.
placit. 320.

(e) Co. Lit.
47. b. 160. b.
1 Rol. 673.
4 Co. 11. b.
Br. Distress 75.
F. N. B. 102. E.

prejudices himself. And forasmuch as notwithstanding the Statute of 21 *H. 8. cap. 19.* the Lord may at this Day avow upon one Person certain, as upon his very Tenant, according to the Com. Law, (for the said Stat. enacts *That the Lord, &c. (a) may avow, &c. as in Lands within his Fee and Seigniorie*, which doth not toll the Common Law, but gives a Liberty to the Lord to pursue the one or the other) I have thought necessary to report this Case, whereby all the Books are well reconciled, the Doubts well resolved, and no Absurdity of Mischief permitted, or not remedied, by the Common Law.

(a) Co. Lit.
268. b.
Postea 36. a.

Mich. 33 & 34 Eliz.

The Case of the Abbot of Strata Mercella.

IN a *Quo warranto* against *Owen Vaughan* for using these Liberties and Franchises amongst others, without Warrant, that is to say, To have Waifs, Strays, Goods of Felons, &c. in *Llanibangel* in the County of *Montgomery*; as to Waifs and Strays, the Defendant claimed them by Prescription, and as to Goods of Felons he pleaded, *Quod Johān' nuper Abbas de Strata Mercella licite (a) habuit & gavisus fuit infra Llanibangel præd' bona & catalla felonum, & ad usum suum proprium disposuit, usque 4 diem Febr. Anno 27 H. 8.* And pleaded the Statute of 27 H. 8. by which all Monasteries under the yearly Value of 200 *l.* were given to the King, in as large and ample Manner as the Abbots, &c. then had, or ought to have them; and that the said Abbey of *Strata Mercella*, and the Possessions thereof, were under the yearly Value of 200 *l.* and pleaded also the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 20. by which it is enacted, *That all Liberties, Privileges, and Franchises, and temporal Jurisdictions which the late Owners of the said Abbies, &c. have used and exercised lawfully, &c. within three Months before the said Act of 27 H. 8. shall by the said Act of 32. be revived, and shall be really and actually in the King, his Heirs and Successors; by Force whereof K. H. 8. was seised of the said Franchises, s. to have Felons Goods within Llanibangel aforesaid in his Demesne as of Fee in the Right of his Crown, and so seised, and being also seised of the*

Moor 297.
Co. Ent. 540.
nu. 7.
2 Rol. 61, 62.

(a) Palm. 83.

27 H. 8. c. 27.

Manor

Manor of *Tallerbege* in *Llanibangel*, &c. in the said County, (late Parcel of the Possessions of the said Abbey of *Strata Mercella*) granted by his Let. Patent *anno 37 H. 8.* the said Manor to Sir *Arthur Darcy* Kt. in Fee, with general Words, (a) 10 Co. 65. a. that is to say, (a) *tot, talia, tanta, hujusmodi, eadem & consimilia, libertates, franchesias, privilegia, jurisdictiones, &c. quot, qualia, quanta, & quae dictus nuper Abbas, &c. habuit, tenuit, sive gavisus fuit infra, &c.* By Force whereof the said *Arthur Darcy* was seised as well of the Manor aforesaid, as of the Liberties, &c. aforesaid in Fee; and so seised of the said Manor enfeoffed the Def. in Fee (for altho' there were divers mean Conveyances, this was the Case in Substance) and then he made a Conclusion for all his Plea, viz. *Et eo warranto clamat libertates, franchesias, & privilegia praed' tanquam ad Manerium praed' spectant' & pertinent'.* And upon this Plea, as to the Goods and Chattels of Felons, the Queen's Counsel demurred in Law. And it was argued on the Part of the Queen, That the Defendant's Claim to have the Goods and Chattels of Felons was insufficient for 2 Reasons; 1. Because he doth not shew, That the Abbot had the Goods of (b) Felons by Charter within *Llanibangel*; for by Prescription, or any Usage, he could not have them, for altho' he shall not be compelled to shew the Charter in Court, or to plead an Exemption of it, because the Charter was made to a Stranger, yet he ought to have pleaded That the Abbot had the said Franchise by Charter. 2. That the Substance of the Plea wants Trial, for the Effect of his Plea is, *Quod praed' nuper Abbas licite habuit & gavisus fuit infra, &c. bona & catalla felonum, &c.* And these two Points were often argued at the Bar in divers several Terms; and the Effect of the Arguments on the Queen's Part, as to the first, was, That the Abbot could not have Felons Goods by Prescription or any Usage, but by Charter, *quod fuit concessura per totam Curiam.* Vide the Authorities, and the Reasons and Causes thereof in *Foxley's Case*, in the 5 Part of my Reports f. 109. b. & 110. a. whence it was inferred, that the Defendant ought to have pleaded in certain, That such King granted to some of the Predecessors of the said Abbot, &c. or to the said Abbot himself, to have Felons Goods within the Town of *Llanibangel*, &c. and not *quod praed' Abbas habuit & gavisus fuit, &c.* and especially in a *Quo warranto*, in which the Defendant ought to shew a full and perfect Title to himself. As to the Second, it was objected, That the Plea was insufficient, because every Plea ought to be (c) triable, either by the Country, if it contains Matter of Fact, or by the Justices, if it contains Matter in Law, or by the Record it self, if it consists

(b) 2 Inst. 281.
Co. Lit. 114. a.
Stamf. Praerog.
50. a.
46 E. 3. 16. b.
1 H. 7. 23. b.
2. Roll. 270.
Br. Coron. 129.
9 H. 7. 11. b. 20. a.
21 H. 7. 33. b.
Fitz. Prescrip-
tion 27.
3 H. 4. 2. a.
3 Inst. 55, 227.
Kelw. 150. b.
Poitea 27.
Br. Estray 13.

(c) Postea 30. b.
31. a.

sists of Matter of Record, *Pl. Com.* 231. *a. b.* But this Plea is not triable by the Country for two Reasons. 1. Because the Substance of the Issue consists of Matter of Record; for without Matter of Record the Abbot could not have them, which can't be tried by the Country, but the Law attributes so much Honour and Credit to them, that they shall be tried only by (a) themselves, and not by the Country. *Vide (a)* 37 *H. 6. 21. Pl. Com.* 7. § 23. 8 *El.* 242. § *Hind's Case in the 4 Part of my Reports* f. 71. 2. Matters in Law are not triable by the Country, no more than Matters in Fact by the Justices, *quia sicut (b) ad questionem Facti non respondent Jūdices, ita ad questionem Juris non respondent Furatores.* But in this Case the Def. has comprehended in his Plea, *qd' præd' nuper Abbas licite habuit, &c.* which tends to Matter in Law which is not enquirable by the Country; and yet the Def. has not shewed his Case in so certain and special a Manner, that the Court can judge whether the Abbot by the Law had Felons Goods or not; and therefore it is agreed in (c) 22 *E. 4. 40. b.* the Lord *Liste's Case*, a Man was bound in a Bond, and the Condit. was That if he came to *B.* such a Day, and there shewed the Oblige or his Counsel a sufficient Discharge of an Annuity of 40 s. which he claimed out of two Houses, &c. that then, &c. And in Debt on this Bond the Def. pleaded, That he came to *B.* at the Day aforesaid, and there offered to shew the Plaintiff's Counsel a sufficient Discharge thereof, and they refused, upon which the Pl. demur'd in Law. And, after long Argument it was adjudged, That the Plea was insufficient, for his Plea ought to have alledged what manner of (d) Discharge he offered to shew, *viz.* a Release, or Unity of Possession, or other Matter of Discharge, upon which the Court might judge if it was sufficient or not, for the Country shall not enquire of it, but it ought to be adjudged by the Court, which the Judges can't do, if the special Matter be not shewed to them; but if the Issue be taken, that the Obligor came not there, that shall be tried by the Country, for that is matter in Fact, of which the Court has not Conuance, and all this appears in the said Book. *Vide Pl. Com. (e)* 112. *Amy Townsend's Case*, § (f) 159. *b. in the Lady Hale's Case, &c.*

And as to the Objection which may be made, That it will be mischievous to the Subject to compel him to shew, or to plead the Charters made to Abbots, Priors, &c. as well for the (g) infinite Search for them, as for the Impossibility to get them, many of them being lost, or defaced, or possessed by one only; to that it was answered, That there is not any such Mischief, either for the Uncertainty, or for the Impossibility, for although the Charters are lost, yet they

(a) 4 Co. 71.
 a. b.
 (a) Co. Lit. 117. b. 260. 2.
 Postea 31. a.
 (b) Co. Lit. 125. a. 155. b. 226. a.
 303. b.
 2 Bulst. 204.
 251. 305. 314.
 1 Sid. 127.
 Plow. 114. b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 132.
 Ant. 13. a.
 8 Co. 155. a.
 10 Co. 10. b.
 (c) Plowd. 7. b.
 Br. Condit. 183.
 Ho. 107.
 1 Leon. 72.

(d) Cr. El. 914

(e) Plow. 114. b.
 (f) Plow. 259. b.

(g) 2 Co. 48. a.
 11 Co. 14. b.
 Hob. 298.
 Brigdm. 31.
 Postea 26. b.

are enrolled of Record, of which every one may have an Exemplification; or if such Inrolment can't be found, yet Allowances in Eyre (as by the Law ought to be) are of Record of all such Franchises, by which it appears by Force of these Charters such Franchises were allowed. Against which it was argued on the Defendant's Part, That the Plea was sufficient, upon which Judgment ought to be given for the Defendant: And that the whole Consideration of this Case chiefly consists upon the true Construction of the said Stat. of (a) 32 H. 8. and therefore the final Intention of the Act, and the Purview thereof are to be considered: The Intention of the Act was to advance these Possessions as well in Valuation as Estimation, to revive actually and really such Privileges, Liberties, Franchises, and Temporal Jurisdictions which the late Owners of the Abbies had, &c. then it is to be considered, what Privileges, Liberties, Franchises and Jurisdictions were extinct in the Crown by the Accession of the said Possessions to it. And as to that, it is to be known, that when the King grants any Privileges, Liberties, Franchises, &c. which were Privileges, Liberties or Franchises in his own Hands, as Parcel of the Flowers of his Crown, as (b) *bona & catalla Felonum, Fugitivorum, Uilagatorum, &c. bona & catalla wariata, extrahur, deodanda, wreccum Maris, &c.* within such Possessions, there if they come again to the K. they are merged in the Crown, and he has 'em again *in Jure Coronæ*: And if the Wreck, or Goods waived, Estrays, &c. were appendant before to Possessions, now the Appendancy is extinct, and the King is seized of them *in Jure Coronæ*. But (c) when a Privilege, Liberty, Franchise or Jurisdiction was at the Beginning erected and created by the King, and was not any such Flower before in the Garland of the Crown, there, by the Accession of them again to the Crown they are not extinct, nor the Appendancy of them severed from the Possessions; as if a (d) Fair, Market, Hundred, Leet, Park, Warren, & *similia*, are Appendants to Manors, or in Gros, and afterwards they come back to the King, they remain as they were before *in esse* not merged in the Crown, for they were at first created and newly erected by the King, and were not *in esse* before, and Time and Usage has made them appendant. Which Difference was agreed *per totam Curiam*, and this appears in our Books, as for the first Part of the Difference (e) 6 E. 3. 32. a. *John Darcy's Case*, the Case of Forfeiture of War, & 30 H. 8. *Dyer* (f) 44. 43. E. 3. 32. 43 *Ass. p. 10. 1 & 2 Pb. & Mar. Dyer* (g) 108. and for the second Part of the Difference, 11 H. 4. 5. a. & 15 E. 4. 7. b. the Case of the Market, 4 E. 3. 42. the Case of the Hundred,

(a) 32 H. 8. c. 20.

(b) 1 Mod. Rep. 232.
Cr. El. 592.
Moor 474.
1 Anderf. 87.
Palm. 78
Argument in quo Warranto 123.

(c) Argument in quo Warranto 123.

(d) 1 Anderf. 87.
Moor 474.
Palm. 78.

(e) 10 Co. 64. b.

(f) Dy. 44.
Pl. 32.

(g) Dy. 108.
Pl. 30.

dred, 10 H. 7. 21. the Case of the Earldom: All which Privileges, Liberties, Franchises, and Spiritual Jurisdictions of the first Quality (which were the ancient Revenues and Flowers of the Crown) being merged in the Crown, are now by this Act (a) revived again actually and really in the King, his Heirs and Successors: For as to those of the later Part of the Difference, there needs no Act of Parliament to revive them, for they were not extinct. So that the Patentee in such Case of Felons Goods, shall have them as the same Franchise which is *in esse* in the Crown: And therefore it was observed, that the said general Words usual in Patents, *tot, talia, &c. eadem & consimilia, quot, qualia, quanta, & que, &c. dictus nuper Abbas habuit, &c.* have several and distinct Significations; for by Force of this Word *eadem*, the Franchises themselves as Hereditaments *in esse* in the Crown shall pass, and by Force of (b) *talia & consimilia, &c.* the Patentee shall have the like to them the Abbot had, for those themselves the King can't grant, because they were merged in the Crown. And it was well agreed, That if the King by his Letters Patent grants to *J. S.* and his Heirs, *Catalla felonum* within his Manor of *D.* and afterwards *J. S.* grants to the King his Heirs and Successors, the Manor with the said Franchise, and afterwards the King by his Letters Patent grants to *J. N.* and his Heirs, the said Manor, and further grants to him and his Heirs within the said Manor, *tot, talia, tanta, eadem & consimilia privilegia, libertates, & franchises, quot, qualia, quanta, & que* the said *J. S.* had; in that Case in a *Quo Warranto* *J. N.* ought not to plead in such general manner as the Defendant now has done; but ought to plead in (c) special the first Charter made of the said Felons Goods, and the Regrant, *&c. quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam.* But it was strongly urged by the Defendant's Counsel, that by (d) Force of the said Act of 32 H. 8. the Defendant might aver, *quod Johannes nuper Abbas de Strata Mercella licite habuit & gavisus fuit infra Llanihangel bona & catalla Felonum,* for therein the Defendant in his Plea has pursued the Words of the said Act, which are, *That all Privileges, &c. which the said Owners of the said Abbies, &c. have used and exercised lawfully within three Months before the said Act of (e) 27 H. 8. shall be by Force of the said Act of 32 H. 8. (f) revived, &c.* For the Defendant has pleaded, That the said late Abbot, *&c.* lawfully has used and exercised to have the Goods and Chattels of Felons, till the said 4th Day of February Anno 27 H. 8. and this was compared to divers other Statutes, as to *Vernon's Case* in the 4 Part of my Reports f. 3. a. where the Statute of 27 H. 8. c. 10. which speaks for the Jointure of the Wife, gives Averment, that

(z) Cr. Jac. 242.

(b) 1 Jones 342.

(c) Godb. 398.

(d) 2 Co. 48. b. Cr. Car. 543. Hob. 300. Bridgm. 142.

(e) 27 H. 8. c. 27. (f) Cr. Jac. 242.

(a) 3 Co. 80. a.
 13 El. c. 8.
 (b) 23 H. 6.
 c. 10.
 (c) Lit. sect. 731.
 Co. Lit. 381. b.
 (d) Co. Lit.
 381. b.
 (e) Plow. 246. b.
 (f) Co. Lit.
 381. b.
 Polsea 140. a.
 Plow. 246. b.
 (g) 2 Inst. 190.
 191.
 Stanf. Cor.
 85. b.
 86. a. b.
 5 Co. 112. b.
 (h) Co. Lit.
 381. b.
 Raft. Sheriff
 27.
 Br. Presentm.
 in Court 16.
 Br. Parliam. 53.
 Firz. Tourn de
 Viscount 3.
 5 Co. 112. b.
 Stanf. Cor.
 87. a. b.
 (i) F. N. B.
 114. d.
 1 Bulstr. 151.
 (k) 1 Mod. Rep.
 190.
 Hob. 170.
 10 Co. 39. a.
 Lit. Rep. 111.
 Hard. 92.
 1 Rol. Rep. 310.
 2 Rol. Rep. 393.
 Palm. 433, 437.
 4 Co. 73. b.
 5 Co. 11. a.
 8 Co. 56. b.
 145. a.
 11 Co. 60. a.
 Co. Lit. 191. a.
 205. a.
 2 Inst. 365.
 2 Saun. 351.
 2 Bulstr. 131.
 Latch. 25.
 (l) Ant. 15. a.
 2 Co. 48. a.
 11 Co. 14. b.
 Hob. 298.
 Bridgm. 34.

an Estate upon another expreis Condition, may be averr'd for
 the Jointure of the Wife: And so upon the Stat. of Usury, (a)
 13 El. and upon the Stat. of (b) 23 H. 6. upon Bonds taken
 by Sheriffs and the like. And where it is objected, that this
 Issue is not triable, it was answered, That it shall be tried
 by the Country, for (*licite*) is concluded within the other
 Words, *s. habuit & gavissus fuit*, for (if *licite* had been omit-
 ted) in the Sense of the Stat. it had been implied) as the
 Stat. of Gloucester, c. 3. which saith, whereof no Fine is (c)
 levied in the K.'s Court, is as much as to say, whereof no
 Fine is lawfully levied in the King's Court. So 11 H. 4. 80.
 upon the Stat. of W. 2. c. 5. *Si Episcopus Ecclesiam conse-*
rat, is as much as to say, *Si Episcopus Ecclesiam (d) legitimo*
modo conferat, and in the Stat. of W. 2. *de Donis conditiona-*
libus, the Words *ad dona prius facta non extenditur*, are to be
 intended of Gifts (e) lawfully, and in due manner made by
 the Donees, before the Stat. *Pl. Com. in the Ld. Barkley's*
Case; and therewith agrees 12 H. 4. *Formedon (f)* 15. And
 the Stat. of (g) 1 E. 4. c. 2. which enacts, that all manner of
 Indictments taken in Torns or Leets shall be deliver'd to the
 Justices of Peace at the next Sessions, &c. and that they shall
 proceed upon them, extends only by Construction of Law
 to proceedings upon lawful and sufficient Indictments, and
 makes no insufficient (h) Indictment good, as it is held in
 4 E. 4. 31. a. b. And that is the fundamental and directory
 Reason of the Com. Law, for the Com. Law saith, That no
 Conspiracy lies when the Party was indicted, but altho' he
 be indicted, if the Indictment be not sufficient in Law, the
 Party shall have his Writ of (i) *Conspiracy*, for when the Com.
 Law speaks generally, it is to be intended in a good and
 lawful Sense. So it was concluded, That if this word *licite*
 had not been added, it had been implied, and by Conse-
 quence the Addition of it shall not make an Alteration of
 the Trial, for (k) *expressio eorum quæ tacite insunt nihil o-*
peratur: And because the Def. ought to pursue the Stat. in
 his Plea, and not to omit this word *licite*, and that if it had
 been omitted in the Statute, it had been implied, for this
 Reason it was concluded, that this Issue shall be triable by
 the Country. And it was said, that the Stat. of 32 H. 8. has
 great Reason to direct such summary course of Averment
 for the Impossibility and (l) Infiniteness of Search, many of
 the said Religious Houses being founded before Time of
 Memory, and their Charters of Franchises also made before
 Time of Memory, and some by general, obscure, ambiguous
 and obsolete Words; and altho' such Franchises have been allow-
 ed in Eyre, yet the Allowance in Eyre of it self only is not
 pleadable, and perhaps such Allowances being of so great
 Antiquity, have been by Casualty, or Length of Time,
 quod

quod est edax rerum, defaced or lost; and for these Reasons; and for avoiding of Incertainty of Questions and Sutes, and for raising the Value of these Possessions, the Stat. of (a) 32 H. 8. (a) 32 H. 8. c. 20. has altered the manner of Pleading which the Common Law would have required. And upon this Case great doubt was conceived by *Popham* Ch. Justice, *Gawdy*, and the Court, and it depended in Argument and Advise-ment, as a Case of great Consequente till *Hill. 39 El.* in which Term 3 other Matters were moved against the Defendant's claim. 1. Because it doth not appear by the Defend.'s claim what Estate the said Abbot had in the said Franchises; but generally, (b) *qu' licite habuit & gavisus fuit, &c.* and perhaps he had them but by Lease for Years, or for Life, &c. and the Stat. of 32 H. 8. doth not give the K. more than the Abbot had; and the Stat. of 32 H. 8. doth not revive more than was extinct; and by the Letters Patent of 37 H. 8. the Def. has pleaded a Grant of the said Franchises as Franchises revived by the Act; and *in esse* at the Time of the Grant. The 2 Objection was; That when the Def. has claimed *bona & catalla waviata & extraburas*; by Prescription appendant to the said Manor, & *bona & catalla felonum* by Force of the said Act of 32 H. 8. and Possession of the said Abbot, the Def. concludes his claim to all; & *eo warranto clamat libertates Franchefias; & privilegia præd' tanquam ad Manerium præd' spectant & pertinent'* whereas *bona & catalla felonum* without Question can't be appendant; of appurtenant to the said Manor, because they lie not in Prescription, and the Claim without the Conclusion of *eo warranto*, had been insufficient, and it is all one to have no Conclusion, and an insufficient Conclusion. *Vide 22 H. 6. 53. a. b. 36 H. 6. 17. 37 H. 6. 39 H. 6.* Lastly, it was objected; That the Def. in his claim has conveyed the said Manor to himself by Feoffment, which is pleaded without Deed, and has not conveyed to himself any Title to the said Franchises, which can't pass without Deed, and then without Question Judgment ought to be given against him, for he has no Title; and the Franchises, if any were, remain with the Feoffor.

As to the first of these 3 Objections, It was answer'd; 1. That a General having and enjoying of them, shall be intended of a having and enjoying in Fee-simple, and that a particular Estate or Interest shall not be presumed, if it be not specially shewed; and therefore *prima facie* it shall be intended a Fee-simple. 2. That the Def. in this Case has pursued the Words of the Stat. but it was granted, that the Pleading had been clearer; if the Defendant had alledged; That the said Abbot was seised of them, in Fee till the said Act of 27 H. 8. and in the End taken the Averment according to the Statute. But the Court did not give Judgment upon that Point. As to the 2 the Court gave no Resolution; for some

(b) Cr. El. 57.
87.

27 H. 8. c. 27.

said it should be taken good *reddendo singula singulis*, and some held the Contrary. But it was resolved *per totam Curiam*, That if the (a) Q. grants the Manor of D. to F. S. and his Heirs, and within the same Manor to have Waifs, Estrays, *bona & catalla felonum, &c. dicto Manerio spectan' & pertin'*, that in a Grant these Words *dict' manerio spectan' & pertin'* do not refer to Felons Goods, or other Franchises which lie in Point of Charter, which can by no Usage nor Time be appendant or appurtenant to a Manor, but they shall pass altho' they were never demised or used with the Manor. But the Doubt was conceived in the Case at Bar when it was by way of Pleading. *Vide* Justice (b) Windham's Case, in the 5 Part of my Reports. But as to the last Objection, it was resolved *per totam Curiam*, without any Question, That forasmuch as the Defendant has not conveyed to himself any Title to the said Felons Goods, &c. that for 'em Judgment should be given against him, and so it was.

Nota Reader upon the Arguments of this Case, 4 Things are worthy Consideration. 1. What ancient Franchises ought to have Allowance, and what not. 2. How one in a *Quo Warranto* may claim Franchises, which lie in Point of Charter, without shewing or pleading a Charter, and where he shall be compelled to plead a Charter. 3. When one claims such Franchises by the said general Words *de tot, talia, eadem, & consimilia privilegia, &c.* as such a one had, &c. what Estate he to whom the Reference is made, ought to have in the Franchises. 4. Something is necessary to be said of the manner of Trials allowed by the Com. Law; for beside the 3 mentioned in the said Arguments, there are many other.

As to the first, it is to be known, That every Franchise, Liberty or Privilege, either lies in Point of Charter, and can't be claimed by Prescription, as *bona & catalla (c) felonum, &c.* or in Prescription and Usage in *pais*, without the Help of any Charter, as Wreck, Waif, Estrays, &c. Of Franchises which lie in Point of Charter, either they are before Time of Memory, or within Time of Memory, from the Time of R. 1. *Lit. (d) 38. Regist. 158. 20 H. 6. 3. a. 34 H. 6. 36. a. b. 5 E. 3. 50, 51. 6 E. 3. 18. 8 El. (e) Dyer 245.* If they were granted before Time of Memory, as many of the Charters and Grants to Abbots, Priors, and other such religious Corporations are, they are granted either by special Words, as they seldom or never were, or by general, old, obscure, ambiguous, and obsolete Words; as in 30 (f) *Aff. 31 K. Will.* the Conqueror granted to the Abbot of *Bar-tails,*

(a) Palm. 77,
78.

2 Rol. 192.

(b) 5 Co. 7. b.
8. a.

(c) 2 Inst. 281.

Co. Lit. 114. a.

2 Rol. 270.

Stanf. Prærog. 1

50.

46 E. 3. 16. b.

1 H. 7. 23. b.

Br. Coron. 129.

Br. Estray 13.

9 H. 7. 11. b.

21 H. 7. 33. b.

Fitz. Prescrip.

27.

8 H. 4. 2. a.

3 Inst. 55, 227.

Kelw. 150. b.

5 Co. 9. b. 10. a.

9 H. 7. 20. a.

Ant. 24. b.

(d) Lit. Sect.

170. f. 38. a. b.

Co. Lit. 113. b.

114. a.

(e) Dy. 245.

pl. 67.

(f) Br. Conu-

lance 46.

Br. Parent 105.

raile, qd' habeat (a) Curiam suam regalem; 34 Aff. 14. The Conqueror granted to the Abbot of Glassbury, omnem regiam (b) potestatem. 14 H. 6. 12. K. H. 2. founded the House of S. Bartholomew, and granted that they should be as free in their Church as the K. in his c) Crown. 10 H. 7. 13. b. 14. a. in ancient Times the K. granted (d) omnia jura sua regalia: The K. Canutus, and Ed. the Confessor granted to the Abbot of Bury, qd' nulla secularis persona, aut minister Reg' in aliquo se intromittat in burgo sancti Edmundi, aut hominib' in eo manentib' nisi Abbas & Convent' & eorum Ministri, and many others which I have seen: And be such (e) Grants of Franchises special or general, certain or obscure, &c. yet forasmuch as they are made before Time of Memory, and so of themselves they are not any Record pleadable, they ought to have the Aid and Support of some other matter of Record, within Time of Memory, as (f) Allowance before Justices in Eyre, or before the Justices of the K.'s Bench, which is more than an Eyre, either in Case before the Justices of the Com. Pleas, or before the Barons of the Excheq. or by Force of a Confirmat. by Charter of Record of some K. within Time of Memory, and shall not be now allowed, but for such Part of the Grant which so has been (g) allow'd and confirm'd, altho' it be all in one and the same Patent. But Usage only, which is but Matter in Fact, will not support a Record before Time of Memory in such Case, and therewith agree 26 Aff. 24. 30 Aff. 31: 34 Aff. 14. 1 H. 4. 3. 2 E. 4. 22. 21 H. 7. 29. 9 H. 12. 10 H. 7. 14. a. 16 H. 7. 16. 20 H. 7. 7. 8 H. 8. Keilway 189, 190. Vide 8 E. 3. 18. 17 E. 3. 11. 12 H. 4. 23. 8 H. 6. 4. 28 Aff. 1. And when such ancient grant is general, obscure or ambiguous, it shall not be now (b) interpreted as a Charter made at this Day, but it shall be construed as the Law was taken at the Time when such ancient Charter was made; and according to the ancient Allowance on Record. 33 H. 6. 22. 10 H. 7. 13. b. & 14. a. 16 H. 7. 9. 12 H. 4. 12. 14 H. 6. 12. a. 33 H. 6. 54. 9 H. 7. 11. 6 E. 3. 54. 55; 7 E. 3. 40, 41; 18 E. 3. Conusuns 39. 34 Aff. 14. 40 Aff. 21. But if the Charters were granted within Time of Memory, then they are pleadable, without shewing any Allowance or Confirmation, as by the Books aforesaid appears. Of Franchises which may be claim'd by Prescription, as Wreck, Waif, Stray, &c. as they may be originally claimed by Usage, which is a Matter in pais; so Usage may support them without the Aid of any Record, either of Creation, Allowance or Confirmation; and therewith agree the Books aforesaid.

As to the second it is true; that it is said in 6 E. 3. 55. & 8 E. 3. 10 & 11. and commonly in other Books, That the (i) Quo Warranto for Franchise is in the Nature of the King's Writ of Right in such Case, and, that the Defendant in it ought to make a sufficient Title against the King; and let us see how this Title shall be made.

(a) Br. Condu-
fance 46.
Br. Patent 105.
(b) Br. Conuf.
20, 48.
12 H. 4. 12 b.
(c) 14 H. 6. 11. b.
(d) Br. Patens
110.

(e) 2 Rol. 268.
269.

(f) Cr. Jac.
313.
1 Jones 291;
292.
2 Inst. 281.
2 Bulltr. 296.

(g) Post. 34. d.

(b) Co. Lit. 8. b.
24. b.
10 H. 7. 13. b.
14. a.
Br. Pat. 110.
Palm 91.
Cr. El. 633, 905.
1. Latch. 47.
2 Inst. 2, 282.
236.
Davis, 16. b.

(i) Cr. El. 125.

(a) 2 Inst. 280,
281.

And for the better Understanding of the Reason of it, and of the Books which have treated thereof, it must be known, That it is enacted by a Statute made 18 E. 1. called *Statutum de (a) Quo Warranto novum*, concerning the Writ that is called *Quo Warranto*, our Lord the King hath established, that all those who claim to have quiet Possession of any Franchise, before the Time of King *Richard*, without Interruption, and can shew the same by a lawful Enquest, shall well enjoy their Possession. And in Case that such Possession be demanded for Cause reasonable, our Lord the King shall confirm it by Title. And those that have old Charters of Franchise, shall have the same Charters adjudged according to the Tenor and Form of them: And those that have lost their Liberties since *Easter* last past, by the aforesaid Writ, according to the Course of pleading in the same Writ heretofore used, shall have Restitution of their Franchise lost; and from henceforth they shall have according to the Nature of this present Constitution.

1 Rol. 184.
Sayer's Argument in Quo Warranto 15.
2 E. 3. 28. b.
29. a.

In 2 E. 3: 29: The King brought a *Quo Warranto* against *Rog. Mortimer* and *Johan* his Wife, before Justices in Eyre in the County of *Middlesex*; to shew by what Warrant they claimed to have Conusance of every manner of Plea, as well of the Crown as other, *contra voluntatem nostram*, in their Manor of *T.* where *Roger de Mortimer* and *Johan* said; That *Walter de Lacy* Ancestor of the said *Johan* was seised of the said Manor of *T.* and of other Lands after Time of Memory, that is to say, in the Time of *R. 1.* and had the said Franchise to have Conusance of Pleas in the said Manor, long Time before *R. 1.* from which *Walter* the Inheritance descended to many Daughters, and conveyed Part after Partition made, to the Wife of the Defendant, in Allowance of other Lands, &c. and the Defendants prayed in Aid of the other Coparceners, and the Justices denied the Aid, and because the Defendants held themselves to the Aid, and would not say other Thing, the Justices in Eyre forejudged them of the said Franchise, and thereupon the Defendants brought a Writ of Error out of the Chancery, returnable in the King's Bench; and the first Judgment was reversed for two Reasons, which Sir *Jeffry Scrope* openly declared. 1. That the Justices ousted the Defendants of Aid, where the Aid was grantable. 2. That they have forejudged the Defendant of the Franchise, *i. e.* to forfeit the Franchise for ever; for in some Case the Franchise ought to be seised into the King's Hands, and in some Case seised as in his Right till he has made Fine, and in some Case shall be forejudged; But Forejudger holds for ever: And therefore there *Scrope* said, we see by this
1
Record

Record, that the Justices ousted the Defendant of the Aid, where by Law the Aid is grantable; and further awarded that they should be forejudged of the Franchise, because they would not otherwise plead, but held themselves to the Aid; where for want of pleading the Franchise ought not to be forejudged, but seized, altho' the Defendants answer had not been sufficient; therefore the Court awarded that the Judgment be as erroneous; &c. and for null held, &c. and sue you to have the Franchise.

But *Nota* Reader that it is to be understood, and so it may be collected by the Book, That the said Franchise had been allowed before Justices in Eyre. And therewith agrees 18 H. 6. (a) *Prescription* 45. if a Man has Allowance in Eyre of Franchises which lye in Point of Charter, as to have Conuance of Pleas, &c. that he may prescribe (by the Help of such Allowance of Record) in such Franchises. And so, as it seems, is the said Statute of 18 E. 1. well expounded; that is to say, That the Party who has such Allowance, which is such Possession as the Statute intends, may prescribe in such Franchises which lie in Point of Charter. And it stands upon great Reason for the Charter may be made before the Conquest, and of such Antiquity, that the Charter it self and every enrolment of it is utterly perished and consumed; and therewith agrees 8 H. 8. (b) *Keilway ubi supra*. And as to the Objection which was made, that in the Case at Bar no Trial could be by the Country, whether the Abbot had Felons Goods, *vide* 8 E. 3. 10. b. § 11. *u. John* brought a Replevin of Sheep against the Abbot of *Peterborough*, and diverse others, The Abbot avowed the Taking by Reason that he is Lord of the Hundred of *F.* within which Hundred he has Franchise to have all the Chattels of Felons and Fugitives within the same Hundred to take them by himself or his Officers; And that *Robert le Porter* stole the said Sheep, being the Goods of the said *John* the Plaintiff, and would have driven the Sheep aforesaid through the Town of *C.* within the same Hundred; whereupon the said Abbot and the others, would have arrested the said *Robert* as a Felon, and thereupon *Robert* fled to the Church of *Libbone*, which is within the same Hundred, and there before a Coroner of the said County he confessed the said Felony, and thereupon he made Abjuration; so the Abbot is seized of the said Sheep, as of his own Goods by his said Franchise. To which Avowry Exception was taken, because he claims *catalla Felonum & Fugitivorum*, and has not shewed Title of Right,

(a) 2 Inst. 23 f.

(b) 2 Inst. 28 f.
2 Rol. 201.
Yelv. 189, 190.
Antea 28. a.

as to say, That he and his Predecessors have been seised from all Time (that is to say with Allowance *ut supra*) or by the King's Charter. To which it was answered, Forasmuch as he has said, that he has such Franchise, he need not to shew the Plaintiff by what Title he claims to have such Franchise: But when the King brings his (a) *Quo warranto* against him, then must he shew his Title: And the Plaintiff was awarded to answer over; *ex quo sequitur*, that the general Avowry, that the Abbot had such Franchise, that is to say, to have Felons Goods within the said Hundred, being awarded good; that it is issuable and triable by the Country, whether the Abbot had such Franchise or not; for if the Matter of the Avowry was not issuable and triable, the Avowry could not have been awarded to be good.

(a) Palm. 90.
Gr. Jac. 43.

(b) Post. 52. a. As to the 3. *Termino Hill. 40 Eliz. Edward (b) Ameredith* Esq; put in his Claim into the Exchequer for Issues, Goods and Chattels of Felons, &c. within his Manor of *Stokenham*, and of the Hundred of *Colridge* within the County of *Devon*, which fell in *Anno 35 & 36 Eliz.* and the Case was such: King *H. 8.* was seised in Fee of the said Manor and Hundred, and *inter alia*, by his Letters Patent, *25 Feb. 35 H. 8.* granted to Queen *Katharine* his Wife the said Manor and Hundred *inter alia* for her Life, and by other Letters Patent, *28 Feb. 35.* granted that the Queen should have, for Term of her Life, within the said Manor and Hundred *bona & catalla felonum, fugitivorum, utlagatorum, &c.* Fines, Amerciaments, Issues, &c. as well of Royal Officers, as of others, &c. *annuum, diem, & vastum, &c.* to be discharged of Purveyance for the King, his Heirs and Successors, and of Carriages; to be exempt from the Jurisdiction of the Admiral; and to have Admiral's Jurisdiction, and to nominate Coroners and Escheators, &c. and afterward Queen *Katharine* died; and conveyed the said Manor and Hundred by mean Descents to Queen *Mary*; who *22 Junii Anno 1.* by her Letters Patent granted the said Manor and Hundred to *Francis* Earl of (c) *Huntington*, and *Katharine* his Wife (late Parcel of the Possessions of *Margaret* Countess of *Salisbury*, and afterwards assigned to Queen *Katharine* for her Jointure) and that they within the said Manor should have, (d) *tot, talia; eadem, & hujusmodi Libertates, Privilegia, Franchises, Jurisdictiones, &c. quot, qualia, quanta, & que predicti Comitissa Sarum, aut aliquis vel aliqui premissa aut aliquam inde parcellam ante tunc habentes;*

(c) 3 Bulst. 292.

(d) 3 Bulst. 292.

2 Rol. Rep. 156.
Palm. 81.
Hard. 456.
1 Vent. 409, 412.
2 Brownl. 341.

bentes, possidentes, aut seifiti inde existentes unquam haberunt, tenuerunt aut gavisi fuerunt, &c. infra præmissa, &c. ratione vel pretextu alicujus cartæ, doni, seu concessionis, seu aliquarum literarum patentium, &c. To have and to hold to them in Tail, with divers Remainders in Tail, the Remainder over in Fee, with a general *Non obstante*; and conveyed the said Manor with all Liberties, Privileges, Franchises, &c. by mean Conveyances, to the said *Edward Ameredith* and his Heirs. And upon all this Matter the Question was, if *Ameredith* should have all the said Franchises, &c. which were granted, as aforesaid, to Queen *Katharine*. And in that Case two Questions were moved, one, because the Reference in the Letters Patent of Queen *Mary* was general, *sc. quot, qualia, quanta, & quæ aliquis seu aliqui, &c.* And then the Grant of the Liberties being general, (a) *tot, talia, &c.* without expressing any in certain, and the Reference being also general, it was objected, it was too incertain in the King's Case: But the Case in 20 E. 3. (b) *Avowry* was well agreed; for there altho the Grant of the Liberties was general, yet the Reference was certain: But it was resolved by *Periam* Chief Baron, *& totam Curiam*, that altho' the Grant and Reference (c) were general, yet it ought to be applied to a certain Particular, as in that Case to the Charter made to Queen *Katharine*. *Et (d) certum est quod certum reddi potest:* And they agreed that such general Grants had been often allowed in the Exchequer. The second Doubt was, That forasmuch as Queen *Mary* had granted an Inheritance in the Franchises, &c. such general (e) Grant, with such general Reference should not be applied to a Grant which the King made for Life only; and that was the greater Doubt: For it was objected, That if Queen *Mary* had referred it to the Charter made to Queen *Katharine*, yet without a special Grant, that they should have such Liberties in Tail, &c. which Queen *Katharine* had for Life, such Liberties which she only had for Life should not pass to them in Tail; for the Queen's Grant shall be taken to a common Intent: But here the Case is stronger, For the Charter of Queen *Mary* doth not refer to the Charter of Queen *Katharine*, but only by general Words, *prout aliquis seu aliqui, &c.* But it was resolved, That when a Charter has general (f) Reference to other Charters, it is as much in Law as if all the Charters had been recited, for they are of Record. And although Queen *Katharine* had

(a) Moor 417.
418.(b) 20 E. 3.
Avowry 129.
Cart. 148.(c) Plow. 12. b.
Gr. El. 794.
Post. 47. a. 52. a.
Hob. 174.
Raym. 54.
10 Co. 46. b.64. a.
2 Rol. 185, 201.
(d) 4 Co. 66. b.
5 Co. 5. a.
Co. Lit. 45. b.
96. a. 142. a.
Lane 51.
Heti. 98.
(e) 6 Co. 6. a.(f) 10 Co. 64. a.
Raym. 54.
Supra. in c.

had

Cr. El. 794.

had the Manor and Hundred but for Life, yet it is within the express Words of the Reference, viz. *aliquis seu aliqui premissa ante tunc habentes, possidentes, aut seisi in inde existentes, unquam habuerunt, tenuerunt, seu gavisi fuerunt*, so that Queen Katharine was within this Word (*seisi in*) for she was seised of the Manor, &c. And I acquainted Popham Chief Justice with this Resolution, and he agreed with it: And it was well observed, That the Reference doth not extend to the Quantity of the Estate, but to the Quality of the Ffranchises, whereof they to whom the Reference was made were seised, be they seised for Life, in Tail, or in Fee.

(a) Ant. 24. b. 25. a. 1. Bullst. 130.
 (b) Mo. 62. 1. 622.
 Stamf. Cor. 152. 153. Co. Lit. 156. b. 294. a. 3. Intt. 27. 28. 29. Fitz. Cor. 34. Br. Cor. 153. Br. Trial 103. 142. B. N. C. 221. Br. Jurors 48. 1 H. 4. 1. a.
 (c) 2 Co. 16. b. 4. Co. 93. b. Hard. 340. Brigdm. 21. (d) 2 E. 2. Fitz. Trial 46. 2 Rol. 577. 4 Intt. 279. Dyer 185. pl. 65. Moor 14. 15. 1 And. 20. 21. 17 E. 3. 50. b. Br. Trial 36. 88. Doct. pl. 148. Rast. Ent. 228. a. Fitz. Trial 55. (e) Br. Trial 90. Br. Appeal 137. 2 Rol. 577. (f) 1 Bullst. 130. 1 Rol. Rep. 305. 2 Rol. 572. 573. Co. Lit. 380. b. (g) 1 Bullst. 130. 1 Rol. 287. 796. Cr. Jac. 420. 441. 442. 581. Poph. 130. 2 Sand. 212. 213. 3 Co. 58. b. Cr. El. 569. Pal. 231. 245. 252. 1 Rol. Rep. 305. Yelv. 58. 1 Sid. 321. 322.

As to the 4 Point, there are (a) diverse Manners of Trial allowed by the Com. Law, beside the said three mentioned in the Argument of this Case, that is to say; of Matters in Fact by Jurors; of Matters in Law by the Justices; and of Matters of Record by the Record it self. As in Treason the Trial of one who is a (b) Peer of the Realm, i. e. a Lord of the Parliament; shall be upon an Indictment of Treason or Felony, tried by his Peers; without any Oath; but upon their Honours and Allegiances; but in an Appeal at the Suit of a Subject they shall be tried *per probos & legales homines juratos*, &c. 10 E. 4. 6. b. &c. (c) Customs and Usages of every Court shall be tried by the Judges of the same Court, if they be pleaded in the same Court, 11 E. 4. 2. b. In (d) Dower or (e) Appeal brought of the Death of her Husband, or in Assise brought by a Woman who was the Wife of B. if the Tenant or Def. pleads that the Husband is living, the Trial shall not be by Jury, but by the Justices, upon Proofs made before them, for greater Expedition; 6 E. 3. 29. 17 E. 3. 30. 43 Ass. p. 26. 8 H. 6. 23. a. 33 H. 6. 8; 9, 10. Diversity of Courts 119. 36 Ass. 5. Vide 39 Ass. p. 9. 43 Ass. p. 4. In a Writ of Error to reverse a Fine for Nonage, or in an *Audita querela* to reverse a Recognisance or Statute for Nonage, there the Age shall be tried by the (f) Inspection of the Justices; and not by the Country; for that which Judges of Record do as Judges, shall not be tried by Jury. If an Infant appears by (g) Attorney, it is Error, but it shall be tried by Jury; and not by the Justices; for the Making of the Warrant of Attorney is the Act of the Party, without Examination of the Justices: And yet the Appearance by Attorney is recorded by the Court; and therefore if the Plaintiff makes Attorney in Court, and the Def. pleads that the Pl. is dead, and one appears and says, he is the Plaintiff, which is denied by the other Party, The Justices shall adjudge if he who now appears be the same Person who before made an Attorney in Court; and there with agrees 34 H. 6. 43. If the Ten't in a real Action touches

A.

As heir within age, or if the ten't for life be impleaded, and he prays in aid of *A.* in rev'on within age, and prays that the parol may demur, &c. in both cases, if the demandt replies that he is of full age, it shall not be tried by the country for the great delay to the demand't; but a writ shall be awarded to the sheriff, commanding him *qd' ve. fa. tali die præd' A. ut per aspect' corporis sui constare poterit præfat' Justic' nostris si præd' A. sit plene atat', necne, &c. Vide 17 E. 2. Accompt (a)* 121. 33 E. 3. Accompt 130. &c. (b) *Maibem* may be tried by inspection of the Court, 28 *Aff.* 5. 21 *H.* 7. 33 *b.* 11 *E.* 4. 2. If question be made if these be the summoners or viewers which appear, it shall be tried by the examinat. of the justices, 33 *H.* 6. 10. *a.* Earl (c) or not Earl, Baron or not Baron shall not be tried by jury, nor by the justices, but by the K.'s writ, as appears in the *Countess of Rutland's case, in the 6 part of my Rep.* 35 *H.* 6. 46. *a.* &c. 19 *E.* 4. (d) in a plea of alien born, the league between the K. and the sovereign of the alien shall be tried by the record of the Chancery, for every league is of record. And generally all matters of record shall be tried by the record it self, and not by jury, or otherwise, 19 *H.* 6. 52. 9 *H.* 7. 2. *a.* 5 *E.* 4. 3. *a.* 16 *H.* 7. 3. 1 *H.* 7. 29. *b.* *Plow. Com.* 231. *a.* If antient demesne be pleaded of a manor and denied, it shall be tried by the record of the book of (e) Domesday in the Excheq. but if issue be taken, that certain acres are parcel of the manor, which is antient demesne, it shall be tried by jury; for it can't be tried by the said book, 22 *Aff.* 45. But *vide* 44 *E.* 3. 32. *a.* in (f) an attachment upon a prohibition they were at issue, if the suit in court-christian was for tithes, or for rent reserved, and it was tried by jury, and not by the rolls of the Bish. for they are not of record. The same law of all other courts, which are not of record, 34 *H.* 6. 49. *a.* 9 *E.* 4. 43. and therewith agrees 44 *E.* 3. 32. *a.* and (g) probate of a will shewed forth under the seal of the ordinary, yet the other party may plead, that he who is dead died intestate, as it is held 44 *E.* 3. 16. *a.* So if issue be taken upon the probate of a will, or if administration was committed (altho' they shew the Bp's let. testimonial) it shall be tried by jury; and therewith agrees 13 *El. Dy.* (b) 294. *b.* *vid.* 21 *H.* 6. 24. *a.* When a man is found ideot from his birth by office, he who is so found ideot, (falsly as he supposes) may come in person into chancery before the chancellor, and pray that before him and such justices or sages of the law, which he shall call to him (and are called the K.'s counsel) he may be examined, if he be ideot or not; or his friends may sue a writ out of the chancery, returnable in the chancery, to bring him into the chancery, *ibid' cor' nob' & consilio nostro examinand'*; and if it be found upon such examination, that he is no ideot, the office found thereof, and the whole examination which has been made by force of the writ, or the K.'s commission, is utterly void, without any traverse, or

(a) 1 Bult. 131;
1 Rol. 117.
(b) 2 Rol. 578;
Br. Trial 57, 60.
Br. App. 46, 70.
Fitz. Cor. 209.
Plow. 125. a.
(c) 6 Co. 53. a.
7 Co. 15. a.
Calvin's Case,
12 Co. 70, 94, 95.
Co. Lit. 16. b.
Stile 252, 253.
Fitz. Challenge
44. Br. Chal-
lenge 18.
22 *Aff.* 24.
Moor 767.
2 *Inst.* 50.
2 *Rol.* 575.
Postea 49. a.
(d) 19 *E.* 46. b.
(e) *Dy.* 250.
pl. 87.
Hob. 188.
Silk. 57.
(f) *Fitz.* At-
tachment, sur
Prohibition 6.
Br. Attachment
sur Prohib. 3.
(g) Postea 41. a.
Br. Averment
48. *Fitz.* Estop-
pel 9.
Plowd. 282. a.
Br. Estop. 36.
Doctr. pl. 353.
(h) *Dyer* 294.
pl. 7.

- (a) F. N. B. 233. b.
- (b) F. N. B. 233. c.
- (c) Co. Lit. 74. a. 1 Rol. 361. Palm. 301. Hob. 179, 296. Plow. 12. b. 12 Co. 67.
- (d) Co. Lit. 134. a. 8 Co. 68.
- (e) Br. Appeal 55. Br. Battle 6. (f) 9 H. 4. 3. b. Fitz. Cor. 78. Br. Battle 1. (g) Fitz. Droit 1. Br. Droit. 20. (h) Fitz. Trial 36. (i) Co. Lit. 74. a.
- (k) Co. Lit. 74. a. 2. Rol. 579. Hob. 85. Br. Trial 138. Cr. Car. 517.
- (l) Co. Lit. 74. a. Cr. Car. 365. 2 Rol. 583.
- (m) 21 E. 4. 17. b. Co. Lit. 74. a.
- (n) 2 Rol. 83; 583.
- (o) Dyer 176. pl. 30. Jenk. Cent. 220. 3 Inft. 180. Moor. 329.

monstrans de droit, or other suit, as appears by the register 25 F. N. B. (a) 233. *vid.* 16 E. 3. *livery* 30. *Nota Lect'*, now by the Stat. made *an'* 32 H. 8. c. 46. Ideots and their lands are in the survey of the court of wards, &c. An (b) apostate shall be certified by the abbot, or other religious governor to whom he owes obedience, F. N. B. 233. register 267. a. In some cases, as in (c) general bastardy, (d) excommengement, loyalty of matrimony, profession, and divers other ecclesiastical matters shall be tried by the certificate of the Bp. In *appeal*, and upon appovement, the Def. in some cases may plead, not guilty, and try it with the Pl. by combat, or (e) battail in proper person before the justices, 17 *Aff. p.* 1. (f) 19 H. 4. 3. So in a writ of right the Ten't may join issue upon the meer right, and try it by combat or battle by his champion, with a freeman the champion of the demandant (and not in person) before the justices, 9 E. 4. 35. a. (g) 1 H. 6. 6. b. 3 H. 6. 5. b. If it be in question whether the Sheriff made such a return, it shall be tried by the Sher. 9 H. 4. 1. a. b. trial by certificate of the Sher. upon a writ directed to him in case of privilege, if one be citizen (i) or foreigner, 10 H. 6. 10. If a question be made if such a one be Sher. it shall be tried by the exam'on of the Sher. himself, 10 H. 4. 7. b. yet he is made by let. patent of record, and therefore it may likewise be tried by record, 32 H. 6. 2. 6. b. A return made by the Under-sher. if it be denied, shall be tried by the Under-sher. and the Sher. can't disavow it, if he confess him to be his Under-sher, 10 H. 4. 7. b. If an approver says that he commenced his appeal before the coroner by duress, it shall be tried by the coroner, and if the coroner denies it, he shall be hanged, 12 *Aff.* 29. 12 E. 3. *Coro.* 118. Trial if the Stat. shewed forth be the true Stat. or not shall be by the exam'on of the mayor and clerk of the Stat. who took the Stat. and not by jury, F. N. B. 104. a. *Regist.* 27 E. 3. 42. (k) Cust. of *Lond.* shall be tried by the mayor and aldermen, and certified by the mouth of the recorder, 5 E. 4. 30. 21 E. 4. 16. In an assise the ten't says that the lands are seised in the K's hands, it shall be tried by the exam'on of the escheator, 9 H. 4. 1. 38 *Aff.* 16. If one in avoidance of an (l) utlagary alledge, that he was in prison at *Bourdeaux ultra mare*, in *servitio majoris de Bourdeaux*, it shall be tried by the certificate of the mayor, 4 E. 4. 10. And in like cases such trials shall be by the certificate of the marshal (m) of the host, 21 E. 4. 10. *Lit.* 21. F. N. B. 85. and by the Capt. of (n) *Calice*, 21 E. 4. 11. 1 H. 7. 5. by a messenger of a thing done beyond sea, as in (o) *Bartie's* case, 2 *El.* 176. *vid.* 10 H. 3. 4. At the *petit cape*, the ten't said that he was imprisoned 3 days before the default, and 3 days after; it shall be tried by the exam'on of the attorney 13 R. 2. *Examinat.* 22. Not attached by 15 days in *assise*, shall not be tried by jury, but by exam'on of the Bailiff; so that the ten't was not summoned *secundum legem terre*, shall not be tried by jury, but by wager of law, and wager of law countervails a jury; for the tenant shall make his law *de duodecima manu*, i. e. eleven beside him-

self (and that for to avoid delay) unless it be against a Corporation, as Mayor and Commonalty, for then it shall be tried by the Country for Necessity, because he can't wage Law. In a Writ of *Deceit*, upon a Recovery by Default, the Trial shall be, if the Judgment was given upon the *Petit Cape*, by the Summoners, if upon the *Grand Cape*, by Summoners, Pernors, or Viewers, and not by the Country, 48 E. 3. 11. b. So if a Recovery by Default in a real Action be pleaded, to which the other says, not comprised, it shall not be tried by Jury, but by the Summoners and Viewers, 10 H. 4. 7. and yet there is no Remedy if they say falsely; and therefore *ubi est majus periculum, ibi cautius est agendum*. The Cause of Challenge, shall be tried by two (a) Triers to be appointed by the Justices, 9 E. 4. (a) 2 Rol. 663; 5. b. 15 E. 4. 24. a. 4 E. 4. 18. 18 E. 4. 18. a. 16 E. 4. 7. b. 14 H. 7. 1. b. 19 H. 6. 48. b. 20 Aff. 15. 7 H. 4. 46. a. But Trial of any of the Grand Jury shall be taken before four Knights. Also Trial may be in Debt upon a simple Contract, Detinue, &c. either by Wager of Law of the Defendant himself, or by Jury at the Defendant's Election, *Vide* 30 Aff. p. 19. Trial by Jury of Attornies of the Common Pleas, and the Exchequer. As to divers other Trials, as 1. *Per (b) medietatem linguæ*. 2. *Per primos Furatores & alios, & per primos only*, upon not comprised and Certificate of Assise. (b) 10 Co. 104. a. Poph. 35. Cr. El. 305. 818, 841. Dy. 144. pl. 59. 60. Dall. 22. pl. 5. Jenk. Cent. 216. 3 Inst. 27. stanf. Coron. 159. a. b.

3. By Jury with Witnesses adjoined. 4. By Trial by Grand Assise, above the Number of 12. that is to say by 16. 5. By Trial in *Attaint* by 24. I have omitted these and divers other the Like, because they are Trials by Jurors, and for them, *vide* 22 E. 3. 14. the Statutes of 25 E. 3. *Stat. Staple*, c. 8. 27 E. 3. *Stat. Staple*, c. 8. 21 H. 6. 4. 28 E. 3. c. 14. 2 H. 5. c. 3. 8 H. 8. c. 28. *The Stat. of York, cap. 2.* 43 E. 3. 2. 44 E. 3. 34. 11 Aff. p. 19. 7 Aff. 20. 18 Aff. p. 11. 29 Aff. 57. 40 Aff. 34. 30 E. 3. 8. b. 7 H. 4. 4. 5 H. 7. 8. b. 4 Aff. 19. 22 Aff. 16. 29 Aff. 7. 31 Aff. p. 6. 38 Aff. 4. 40 Aff. 4. 48 Aff. 1. 5 H. 5. 1 H. 6. 5. 4 H. 6. 28. 12 H. 4. & cætera patent. Concerning Trials by particular Custom, I wholly omit them on purpose. It appears by ancient Records, as well before the Conquest as since (for no Credit is to be given to Conjectures) that then there was another Manner of Trial in criminal Causes, and that was called *Ordalium*, and in the Saxon Language (c) *Ordal*, which is as much as to say, *expers Criminis*; for *or* in the said Language is primitive, and *del* is part, *i. e. no Party, or Not guilty*, and then the Defendant being arraigned, and pleading Not guilty, might choose whether he would put himself upon

(c) Seld. Janus 81, 85. Spelm. Gloss. Tit. Ordalium.

upon God and the Country, which is upon the Verdict of 12 Men (as they do to this Day) or upon God only, and therefore it was called *Judicium Dei*, presuming that God would deliver the Innocent, *sc.* if he was of free Estate, then *per Ignem, sc.* to pass over *novem vomeres ignitos nudis pedibus*, and if he escaped *illafus*, then he should be acquitted, and if not, he should be condemned, *Et si pars rea fuit servilis conditionis*, then he might put himself upon the Trial of God, *sc. per aquam*, and that in diverse Manners: All which appear in *Lambard verbo Ordalium*, with all the superstitious Vanities appertaining to it: And thereof *Glanvil* wrote, who wrote in the Time of *H. 2. lib. 14. cap. 1 & 2. Et 17 Regis Johannis in turri London' membr. 25. Rex Petro de Scudamor & aliis, &c. Mandamus vobis quod conveniatis una cum Vicecom' nostro Winton' ad diem Et locum competentem, Et tanquam Fusticiarii nostri capi faciatis judicium ferri a Robin' fr'e' Petit pas, quod ei adjudicatum est per Fusticiarios nostros itinerantes tempore interdicti, Et tunc capi non potuit quia appellatus fuit de morte hominis, Et cum legem inde ceperitis, faciatis inde quod judicium dederit, mandamus enim Vicecom' nostro Wintoniæ, &c.* This Manner of Trial was called *Vulgaris purgatio*, utterly forbid by the Cannons of the Church, as Temptations of God, and not lawful Trials, and that they were Invented *fabricante Diabolo: Et in Gloss. dicitur, Vulgaris purgatio prohibetur, quia fabricante Diabolo est inventa, cum sit contra præceptum Domini, Non tentabis Dominum Deum tuum.*

And afterwards the said Trial called *Ordel, viz. judicium ignis Et aque*, was taken away by Parliament: And that appears *Rot. Pat. Anno 3 H. 3. membr. 5.* For the Record says, *Provisum fuit per Regem Et Concilium, &c.*

And this was the true Manner of the said Trial of *Ordel*: And altho' it was first forbidden by the Canons, yet it remained in use within this Realm, till it was utterly taken away by Authority of Parliament: And *Monomachia*, i. e. *Duellum* is also forbid by the Canons, but yet so far as it is not taken away by Parliament, it (in some Case as appears before) remains even to this Day. Of this Manner of Trial by Combate or Battle, not only *Glanvil* writes *lib. 2. cap. 3. 4 Et 5.* as he writes also of *Ordel*, but *Bracton lib. 3. Tractat' 3. cap. 21. fol. 140.* And *Britton cap. 22.* writes only
of

2 Inft. 248.
3 Inft. 157,
158, 159.

of the Trial by Battail, and not of *Ordel*, because that, when they wrote, was utterly taken away and condemned. *Vide Deuter. cap. 18. ver. 10.* All which (because many have erred in this Point of Antiquity) I thought worthy to be imparted to the studious Reader. 2. Inf. 248.

Bucknal's Case.

P *Acth. 42 Eliz.* in *Bucknal's Case*, in the *Common Pleas*, divers Points were resolved, 1. That there is a Difference when the Lord in his Avowry varies from the Truth of the Quality of the Services, by Colour of Seisin and Possession which he has got from his Tenant; and when he varies from the Truth of the Quantity of the Services, by reason of Seisin which he has got of more than he ought to have of the same Nature; as the Case there was: *Bucknal* avowed, because the Plaintiff held of him certain Land, by Fealty, Rent, and Sute of Court, and alledged Seisin of all, and for the Rent Arrear, &c. where the true Tenure was by Fealty and Rent only, in this Case the Seisin of the Sute is not material, because it is of another Quality and Nature, and the Tenure originally was not charged with any Service of such Quality as Sute, and therefore in such Case the Tenure is traversable. But where the Rent was 2 s. *per an.* if the Lord has got quiet and voluntary Seisin of more Rent than he ought, as of 3 s. (without any Coercion of distress) there because the Tenancy is charged with Service of such Nature and Quality, and it is not to be presumed that the Tenant would voluntarily pay more Rent than he ought, there the Seisin in an Avowry is traversable, and not the Tenure. And the Stat. of *Mag' Char' c. 10 (b) Nullus distringatur ad faciendum majus servitium de feodo militis, nec*

Cr. El. 799.
Winch. 18.
Doct. pl. 318.
F.N.B. 10.g.h.
Pl. Com. 94. b.

(a) Co. Lit.
153. a.

(b) 2 Inf. 21.
8 Co. 65. a.
F. N. B. 10. c.
de Plowd. 243. b.

(a) 2 Inst. 21.
8 Co. 65. a.
Plow. 243. b.

(b) F.N.B. 11. c.

(c) Mag. Char.
c. 10.
Anrea 33. 2.

de alio libero tenemento, quam inde deberur, by Construction extends to the Right, and not to the Possession: To which Purpose on that Act the Writ of *Ne (a) injuste vexes*, which is in the Right, is grounded; and therewith expressly agree *F. N. B. 10. c. & Regist' 4. d. Vide 10 E. 3. 25. 22 E. 3. 18. b.* and this also appears in the old Book of 18 E. 2. *Avowry* 217. In *Repl'* brought by R. the Def. avow'd upon the Pl. because one C. was seised of the Tenancy, and held of the Avowant by Fealty, and 20 *d. per ann.* of which Services he was seised by the Hands of C. &c. as by the Hands of his very Tenant, which C. enfeoffed the Pl. and for 20 *d.* Arrear for one Year he avowed upon the Pl. to which the Pl. said, that the said C. his Feoffor held of the Avowant by Fealty and 12 *d.* and as to that nothing arrear. To which bar of the Avowry Exception was taken, because he did not answer to the Seisin. To which *Shard* of Counsel with the Pl. answered, That the Pl. is a strange Purchaser, where he can't have a *(b) Ne injuste vexes*, wherefore he ought to discharge himself by Plea. But Sir *William Bereford* Ch. Justice of the Common Pleas, gave the Rule; You may say that the Seisin was by outrageous Distress, and that you do not say; wherefore we hold the Seisin rightful, and you do not deny the Seisin; and therefore advise of it: For which Reason *Shard* by the Rule of the Court traversed the Seisin. In which was observed the great Regard the ancient Judges had of Seisin and Possession to maintain it against the said Statute of *(c) Magna Charta*, altho' the Act was in the Negative, and therefore the stronger. *Vide 34 E. 1. Disclaimer* 30. an Infant shall answer to the Seisin had by his own Hands: in 8 E. 3. 18. *b. Robert de Woodhouse* Archdeacon of *Richmond*, brought an *Affise of Dower* against the Prior of *Powfret*, and prayed that the *Affise* would inquire, who had presented the last Parson to the Church of *S. Sampson of York*; and afterwards *Robert* was Non-sute; wherefore it was awarded, that the Prior should have a Writ to the Bishop; but *cesset executio* till the Collusion was enquired of; and there Sir *William Herle* Chief Justice of the Bench charged the Recognitors of the *Affise*, first to enquire among themselves if the Writ was brought by Collusion, to make the Advowson come into Mortmain; and if they should find that the Writ was brought by Collusion, that they should not enquire of the Right of the Prior, but if they should not find Collusion, then they ought to enquire of the Right of the Prior, and if he had Right, which of his Predecessors present, and in the Time of what King. And in Evidence to prove the Prior's Right, a Charter of King *Stephen* was shewed, by which the said King gave the

the said Advowson to such a Prior, his Predecessor, and to his Successors; and the Enquest returned and said, That the Writ was not brought by Collusion, and further said, That the Prior nor his Successors had never presented within Time of Memory, but always the Archdeacon and his Predecessors: To which *Herle* Chief Justice said, We have no Warrant to enquire of the Right of the Archdeacon, but of the Prior's Right; wherefore you are to say, if the Prior has Right, or not; and when the Enquest were in doubt what to say, *Herle* said, altho' a Man had Right before Time of Memory, if he nor his Ancestors were never seised after Time of (*a*) Memory, he is ousted of his Right, and therefore according to your Intent, if you have said the Truth, that the Prior or any of his Predecessors were never in Possession after Time of Memory, you may safely say that the Prior has no Right. *Et ita dixerunt.* *Nota* Reader, I have put this Case at length, because it is notable for divers Points, and chiefly for the great Respect the Judges gave to the Possession, without regarding any ancient Charter of the King, or any Right by Colour thereof, altho' it was Matter of Record, and betwixt the Charter and the Case then in Question there were not above 176 Years, and that in the Case of a Prior, who in many Cases shall not be so prejudiced by the Latches of his Predecessor, as a private Man.

But in the Case of Seisin of more Rent than ought to be, that shall bind in an (*b*) Avowry. But in *Ne injuste vexes*, *Cessavit*, *Affise*, *Rescous* or *Trespas*, such Seisin of more Rent shall be avoided, for there the Tenure and not the Seisin is traverfable: And for these Differences. *Vide* 10 *E.* 3. 25. 12 *E.* 3. *Avowry* 104. 22 *Aff.* 68. 28 *Aff.* 33. 5 *H.* 5. 4. 10 *H.* 6. 3. *b.* 30 *H.* 6. 5. 33 *H.* 6. 44, 45. 37 *H.* 6. 25. 12 *E.* 4. 7. *b.* 16 *E.* 4. 11. 21 *E.* 4. 64. *F. N. B.* 10. *Plo. Com.* *Woodland's Case*, 94, 95. 4 *E.* 2. *Avowry* 200: notwithstanding the Statute of *Magna Charta* the Lord shall avow for Relief according to the Seisin of the Quantity of the Knight's Fee that the Lord has encroached, for *re levium non est servitium*, but incident to Service.

But this Case of Seisin in case of Avowry receives certain Limitations: For 1. (*c*) the Issue in Tail shall avoid in an Avowry Seisin had by the Hands of Ten't in Tail, 20 *E.* 3. *Avowry* 131. *F. N. B.* 10. 2. The Successor of a Bishop or Prior, &c. shall avoid in an Avowry Seisin by the Hands of the Predecessor. 3. The very Tenant of the Land shall avoid such Encroachment of Rent in Avowry, if he has a Deed to shew the Contrary; but none shall have *Contra* (*d*) *formam Feoffamenti*, but the Feoffees or

(a) Ant. 22. 6.

(b) 4 Co. 11. b.
2 Inst. 21.
Plowd. 94. b.
10 H. 7. 17. b.
8 Co. 65. a.
Doct. pl. 318.
F. N. B. 9, 10.(c) 10 Co. 108. a.
4 Co. 11. b.
2 Inst. 21, 218.(d) 4 Co. 121. b.
2 Inst. 118.

his Heirs, 10 H. 7. 11. 22 H. 6. 50. F.N.B. 163. 18 E. 3. 18. 3 E. 3. 27, 28. 10 E. 3. 25. 22 E. 3. 18. 28 Aff. 33. 28 E. 3. 92. 22 H. 6. 3. 30 H. 6. 7. 33 H. 6. 22. 39 H. 6. 7. 7 E. 4. 24. 5 H. 5. 4. 14 H. 4. 5. 11 E. 3. *Avowry* 106. 4 E. 3. *Avowry* 201, 202. 12 R. 2. *Avowry* 266. that in *Avowry* the Heir of the Feoffee, upon a Deed shewed, shall avoid Seisin by his own Hands, 31 E. 1. *Avowry* 244, & 31 E. 1. *Avowry* 241. 6 E. 2. *Avowry* 216. 4 E. 2. *Avowry* 202. 32 E. 3. *Avowry* 114. & in 19 E. 3. *Avowry* 122. *Wilby* said, that he had seen between Privy and Privy, Privy and Stranger, and Stranger and Stranger, the same Point to avoid Encroachment of Seisin in *Avowry* adjudged upon shewing of a Deed. And all this is grounded upon the Statute of *Malbridge*, c. (a) 9. *Qui autem per Cartam pro certo servitio tot solidorum annuatim pro omni servitio solvent' feoffati sunt, ad sectam vel ad aliud, contra formam feoffamenti de cetero non teneantur.* 4 Encroachment of Seisin is not material, where there is no Tenure, 20 E. 4. 2. b. 22 H. 6. 2. b. 5. Such Seisin shall be avoided by Coercion of Distress, 12 E. 4. 7. b. 8 H. 6. 17. a. b. 47 E. 3. 4. a. 6 If the Rent be payable at two Days, and the Lord encroaches Seisin at four Days of the Year, and at two Days, where he ought to pay it but at one, this Encroachment being voluntary shall be avoided in *Avowry*, because they agree in the Sum, 21 E. 4. 8.

(a) 2 Inst. 117.
118.

And it is worthy Observation, Where and How Seisin in *Avowry* shall be traversed. 1. In (b) *Avowry* the Tenant shall not plead, Never seised of the Services generally, for thereby he leaves the Lord no Remedy, neither by *Avowry*, nor by Customs and Services; and therefore if he be (c) Tenant in Fee-simple, he ought to disclaim, or he ought to plead out of his Fee, and so traverse the Tenure; and therewith agree 22 H. 6. 3. & 30 H. 6. *Avowry* 15. by all the Justices. And where it is said in 5 E. 4. 2. that the very Tenant shall not plead out of his Fee, for if it should be found against him, it is not peremptory to him, but it shall be peremptory to the Lord, and so not equal, and therefore in such Case he shall disclaim, the contrary to that is adjudged in (d) 28 H. 6. 10. in the Point, and *Fortescue* there shewed two or three Judgments in Terms. *Vide* 15 E. 2. *Avowry* 214. 24 E. 3. 34. 11 H. 4. 10. 12 H. 4. 23. 8 H. 6. 17. a. b. & 21 H. 6. 22. 21 H. 7. 10, and *Brook* in abridging the Saying in 5 E. 4. 2. *Hors de son fee* 15. says, *quod non est lex*; And the Abridgment of *Fitzherbert* of 35 H. 6. 19. *Hors de son fee* 17. is not warranted by the Book at large. 2. He who denies Seisin after the Limitation, ought first to acknowledge a Tenure, to the End the Lord may have his Writ of *Customs and Services*; as if the Lord

(b) Doct. pl.
132.

(c) Doct. pl.
132.

(d) 28 H. 6. 10. a.

alleges the Tenure by Fealty, Rent, and Sute of Court, and alleges Seisin within Time of Limitation, and avows for Sute arrear, the Ten't may confels the Tenure by Fealty and Rent; and to the Sute never seised after the Limitation. And therewith agree 15 E. 2. *Avowry* 214. (a) 18 E. 3. 10. b. § 22 E. 3. 32. against the Opinion ill reported, in (b) 10 H. 6. 6. b. § 7. a. 3 If the Lord avows for Services, and alleges Seisin by the Hands of the Plaintiff, or any other in the *Replevin*, as by the Hands of his very Tenant, the Tenant may plead that the Avowant was never seised by his Hands, &c. and therewith agree 24 E. 3. 50. 19 E. 2. *Avowry* 224. (c) 22 H. 6. 2. b. § 3. a. 4 That Seisin is not traversable, but only of that for which the Avowry is made, unless Seisin be alledged of a Superior Service (for which the Avowry is not made) which in Law is a Seisin of the Inferior, as in (d) 26 H. 8. 1. a. where the Tenure is by Rent and divers other Services, and Seisin is alledged in all, and Avowry for the Rent only, there the Seisin of the Rent is only traversable: But if the Tenure be by Homage, Fealty, Escuage, and Rent of 2 s. and Seisin alledged in all, and he avows for Homage, he shall be received to traverse the Seisin of the Escuage, for that is Seisin of the Homage, 21 E. 3. 52. a. 13 E. 3. *Avowry* 103. 19 E. 2. (e) *Avowry* 224. And where it is said, That when the Lord varies in the Nature and Quality of the Services, that the Tenure is traversable, that is true, when the Tenant confesses Tenure in Part, as is afore said; but he can't traverse the whole Tenure; as if the Defendant in *Replevin* avows upon the Plaintiff for Rent and Services as upon his very Tenant, the Plaintiff can't say that he holds the same Land of a Stranger, without that that he holds of the Avowant, but he ought to disclaim or plead out of his Fee; and therewith agree 10 H. 6. 6. b. § 7. a. 35 H. 6. *Avowry* (f) 57 H. 6. 25. a. 11 H. 4. 11. 19 E. 2. *Avowry* 222. 15 E. 2. *ibid.* 214. And at first, the Plaintiff in the Case at Bar would have pleaded, That he held the Land in the Avowry, and other Lands by Fealty and Rent, without that that he held the Land in the Avowry *modo & forma*; and the Court was moved, If the Plea in Bar of the Avowry was good? And the Plaintiff's Counsel conceived that the Plea was good, and they cited the Books in (g) 8 H. 7. 5. a. § 13 H. 7. 25. b. where the Case was, That in *Replevin* the Defendant avowed, That the Plaintiff held of him one Acre of Land by Fealty, and 12 d. and for Rent Arrear; the Plaintiff said, That he held the said Acre, and another Acre of Land in the same Town by the Services of 6 d. *absq;* *hoc* that he held the one Acre of Avowant *modo & forma*; and Brian there conceived the traverse good. But

(a) Fitz. Avow. ry 97.

(b) Fitz. Avow. ry 9. Br. Avow. 116. Postea 35. a.

(c) Fitz. Avow. 14. Br. Avow. 56.

(d) Br. Avow. 1.

(e) 4 Co. 8. b.

(f) Fitz. Avowry 28. Br. Avow. 76.

(g) Godb. 24: Br. Avowry 87. B. double Plea 93.

(a) Fitz. Avowry 48.
Br. Avow. 49.

the Court preferred the Book of 5 H. 5. 4. b. where the Case was, in (a) *Replevin* in this Court the Def. avowed, by reason the Pl. held of him 4 Yards of Land call'd *Crispinlond* by Fealty, and 10 s. Rent *per ann. &c.* of which Services he was seized, &c. and for Rent arrear. The Pl. said, that he held of him 2 Yards of Land by Fealty, and 5 s. Rent only, without that that he held 4 Yards in the Manner and Form as he had avowed: And *Hull*, who gave the Rule, held it no Plea, for as to discharge of 5 s. Rent, it went in Bar; and as to that, that he held but 2 Yards, it went in Abatement, and so contained double and different Matter: Also he answered not to the Seisin, &c. wherefore by the Rule of the Court, the Pl. pleaded in Abatement of the Avowry, and said that he held 2 Yards of the Defend. by the Services of Fealty, and 5 s. and the other 2 Yards by Fealty, and demanded Judgm. of that Avowry; the Conclusion of which Plea made it single enough: To which the Avowant replied, that he held of him in Manner and Form as he had avowed; and thereupon Issue was joined, and therewith agrees 18 E. 3. 18. a. where the Def. in *Replevin* avow'd upon the Pl. because he held of him a Carve of Land by Homage, Fealty, and 10 s. *per an. &c.* the Pl. said, That he held that Carve and another Carve by Homage, Fealty, and Rent of 10 s. as one entire Tenancy, and demanded Judgm. of the Avowry, which supposes the Parcel in gross by it self, (and a good Plea, for otherwise he might be double charged,) and the Avowant maintained that the Carve in the Avowry was an entire Tenancy, &c. But it was resolved, That if the Pl. agrees with the Avowant in the Services, and varies in the Quantity of Land, there a Traverse may be, without that, that he holds *modo & forma*, or with a *Tantum*. And therefore in 20 H. 6. 20, 21. if the Def. avows because the Pl. holds 16 Acres of him by certain Services, and the Pl. says that he holds those 16 Acres, and other 16 Acres, without that, that he holds 16 Acres *tantum*, the Avowry shall abate. Also if he makes several Avowries, supposing two Acres to be severally held, where they are held by entire Services, or *econtra*. Vide (b) 9 H. 6. 27. a. (c) 7 H. 4. 102. 4 E. 3. 34. 43 E. 3. 13. 47 E. 3. 5 E. 4. 2. temp. E. 1. Avowry 228. 2 E. 2. Avowry 184. 24 E. 3. 34. 32 E. 3. Avowry 114. 46 E. 3. 16. 41 E. 3. Avowry 77. And afterwards the Pl. in the principal Case agreed with the Avowant in the Quantity of the Tenancy, confessed the Tenure by Fealty and Rent, and as to the Rent, *Nilil* arrear, and traversed the Tenure *modo & forma*, *sc. absq; hoc*, that the Tenancy was held by Fealty, Rent, and Sute of Court, in Manner and Form, &c. And the Traverse was good by the Rule of the Court, although the Avowry was made for Rent only; whereupon Issue was joined, and 'twas found, that the Land was held by Fealty and Rent, and not by Sute of Court;

(b) Fitz. Replevin 4.
Br. Avowry 9.
(c) 7 H. 4. 102. a.
Br. Avow. 37.
Fitz. Avow. 50.

Court; and altho' the Avowry was made for Rent arrear, yet forasmuch as the Tenure alledged by the Avowant was traversed and found against him, it was adjudged *M. 42* & *43 El.* against the (a) Avowant, for it would be in vain to make it traversable, and yet if it be found against the Avowant, that he should have a Return. And *Lit. lib. 3. cap. Attornment 127.* says, That the Seignior is entire, altho' there are divers manner of Services, which the Tenant ought to do, and Tenure by Fealty and Rent is another Tenure than the Defendant has alledged in his Avowry, wherefore Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

Nota Reader, altho' the Purview of the Act of (b) 21 *H. 8. c. 19.* be general, *That the Lord may avow, &c. as in Lands and Tenements within his Fee and Seignior, alledging the same Lands to be holden of him, without naming any Person certain, or upon any Person certain;* yet all necessary Incidents are intended, and therefore the Avowant ought to alledge Seisin by some Hands, (c) 27 *H. 8. 4. b. 2* agrees; but the ancient Form of alledging Seisin shall not be altered, and therefore the Avowry shall be made generally after the Stat. of 32 *H. 8. c. 2.* as it was used before; but the Plaintiff in Bar of the Avowry may plead never seised within 40 Years, &c. and therewith agree 1 *Mar. (d)* *Brook 107.* & 14 *El. Dyer (e)* 315. And if the Lord by the Stat. of 21 *H. 8.* alledges Seisin in his Avowry, and avows the Distress, as within his Fee and Seignior, and upon no Person in certain, in such Avowry every Plaintiff in the *Replevin*, be he Termor or other, may have every Answer to the Avowry, which is sufficient also have Aid and every other Advantage in Law; and it is not now an Exception that he is a Stranger to the Avowry; for in such Case, forasmuch as the Avowry is upon no Person in certain, either none is a Stranger to it, or every one is a Stranger to it: And therewith agree 34 *H. 8. Br. Avowry, 113.* 27 *H. 8. 4. b. & 20. b.*

(a) Cr. El. 799.

(b) Ant. 23. b. Postea 136. a. Co. Lit. 268. b. 269. b.

(c) Br. Avowry 4. Cr. Car. 83.

(d) 8 Co. 65. a. Br. Avow. 107. B. N. C. 444. (e) Dy. 315. a. pl. 101. Cr. Car. 83. 8 Co. 65. a.

Trin. 42 Eliz. Reg.

Hensloe's Case.

H*ensloe* brought an Action of *Debt* against *Gage* and others, as Executors; the Defendants pleaded in Abatement of the Writ, that the Testator made one *Hillesley* Co-executor with them, who had administered, &c. not named in the Writ, Judgment of the Writ. To which the Plaintiff said, That before any Administration, &c. The said *Hillesley* being cited with the others to prove the will before the Ordinary, refused, and the Defendants only proved the said Will, &c. upon which the Defendants demurred in Law. And it was objected, That after this Refusal *Hillesley* could not administer for two Reasons. 1. Because *Hillesley* may waive the Executorship, and shall not be Executor against his Will; *jus Testamentorum pertinet ad Ordinarium*, as it is said in (a) 4 H. 7. 13. b. when *Hillesley* once refused before the Ordinary, who is lawful Judge of the Cause, and thereby waived the Executorship, and utterly discharged himself thereof, he can't resume it afterwards, as in all Cases of Interest and Authorities, when one waives and refuses to take the Interest or Authority, and especially before a lawful Judge in an ordinary Course of proceeding, he shall never after agree to it. And therefore suppose in this Case that *Hillesley* had been joined in the Writ, and he had pleaded, Never Executor, never administered as Executor, shall he be afterwards received to administer? It was said clearly no. 2. It was strongly urged, That

(a) Plow. 185. a.
282. b.
Br. Dett. 140.
Fitz. Executor
41.

That if all the Executors are cited before the Ordinary to prove the Will, and all refuse, the Ordinary may accept this Refusal, and thereupon commit Administration, and after that Refusal they shall never take upon them the Charge of the Will, nor administer as Executors, because they have before a lawful Judge in an ordinary Course of Proceeding waived it before; and if they might all refuse before the Ordinary, and this Refusal shall bind them, what Reason is there if any of them refuse before him, that it shall not bind them? And as when Executors, (agreeing to the Will) administer, they can't afterwards refuse, as it is held in (a) 9 E. 4. 33. a. 47. b. *Plow. Com. Greisbrook's Case* 280. So when any of the Executors once before a competent Judge, refuse, they shall not after agree. 2. It was objected that the Bar was not good, because the Defendants have not alleged, that the Will was proved, according to the Opinions in (b) 3 H. 7. 14. a.

But it was resolved without open Argument, That the Plaintiff's Replication to maintain his Writ was not sufficient; for notwithstanding the Refusal of *Hillestey* in this Case, he might administer after at his Pleasure. And the Court took this Difference, When many are named Executors, and some of them (c) refuse, and some of them prove the Will, those who refuse may afterwards at their Pleasure administer, notwithstanding this Refusal before the Ordinary: But if all refuse before the Ordinary, and the Ordinary commits Administration to another, there they can't afterwards administer: And this Difference is proved by our Books in 21 E. 4. 24. a. where it is resolved by the Justices, That if (d) 20 are named Executors, and one proves the Will, it sufficeth for them all, and the Refusal before the Ordinary is not any Estoppel against them to administer after when they please in our Law, and we have no Regard in this Point to the Law of the Church: And the Executor who proves ought to (e) name them who refuse in every Action to recover the Testator's Debt, and they may (f) release the whole Debt: And it is clear that they who refuse shall have an Action by Survivor. But it is held in 36 H. 6. 8. a. That if a Man makes two Executors, and both refuse before the Ordinary, now they can never after administer as Executors by Force of the Will, for now the Testator dies (g) intestate: Otherwise when one proves and the other refuses before the Ordinary, the other may administer with him when he will; in (h) 41 E. 3. 22. a. One Executor brought an Action of Debt, and shewed forth the Will, which proved that he had another Executor, and the Def. pleaded to the Writ that he is alive: To which the Pl. said, That before the Ord'y he was discharg'd of the Administration and that he never

(a) Eitz. Executor 35.
Br. Execut. 90.
Br. Ordinary 13.
Postea. 37. b.

(b) Postea 37. b.

(c) Dyer 160. pl. 42.
Cr. El. 92.
Moor 273.
1 Leon. 135.
2 Brownl. 58. 59.
Wentw. 54. 59.
Owen. 44.
1 Rol. 907.
1 Anderl. 27.
Hardr. 111.
Swimb. 358.
2 R. 3. 20. b.
(c) Br. Executor 117.

(e) Went. 59, 60.
Plowd. 184. b.
2 R. 3. 20. b.
22. a.
Br. Execut. 168.
Perk. 8. 485.
Thelo. 58.

Salk. 307, 311.
1 Rol. Rep 176.
(f) 5 Co. 28. a.
Br. Administrator 20.

21 E. 4. 24. a.
Swimb. 281.
(g) Dyer 236.
pl. 27.

(h) 1 Rol. Rep. 176.
Eitz. Executors 463.

Br. Executors 27.
Starham Executors 1019 4.

(a) 7 E. 4. 12. b.
 13. a. Fitz. Ad-
 ministrator. 8. Br.
 Executors 111.
 Plow. 281. b.
 (b) Fitz. Vari-
 ance 66. Fitz.
 Executors 93.
 (c) 15 E. 3. Fitz.
 Executors 80.
 (d) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 67. Br.
 Executors 31.
 Perk. Sect. 485.
 (e) 11 H. 4. 83. b.
 84. a. Br. Det. 65.
 Br. Adminf. 20.
 (f) Fitz. Admini-
 strator 19.
 Br. Administra-
 tor 15. Br. Ex-
 ecutors 39.
 (g) Postea.
 (h) Doctrin.
 pl. 170.
 Swinb. 358.
 (i) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 25. Br. Ex-
 ecutors 20.
 (k) Br. Execu-
 tors 166.
 (l) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 18. Br. Ex-
 ecutors 78. Br.
 Double Plea 53.
 (m) 1 Mod. Rep.
 213.
 (n) Fitz. Execu-
 tors 35. Br. Ex-
 ecutor. 90. 9 E. 4.
 47. a. b. Br.
 Ordinary 13.
 (o) Ant 37. a. sup.
 Plow. 281. b.
 Fitz. Admini-
 str. 11.
 (p) 2 Rol. 217.
 2 Inst. 231. 488.
 Perk. Sect. 486.
 11 H. 7. 12. b.
 Br. Testam. 27.
 5 Co. 16. a. b.
 Caudrey's Case
 1 Sid. 46.
 Vaugh. 207.
 Selden Juridic-
 tion de Testa-
 ments 9. 10.
 Vide Salk. 37.
 contra.

never adminiftr'd, and because he might administer at his Pleasure, it was adjudged that the Writ should abate. But it is resolved by *Littleton, Newton, and Danby* in (a) 7 E. 4. 13. a. That if all the Executors refuse before the Ordinary, they may prove the Will afterwards. In 22 E. 3. 19 b. *Debt* by (b) two Executors, and Will shewed, the Def. said, that in the Will 3 are made Executors, the third not named, &c. Judgment of the Writ; the Pl. replied that the Third refused before the Ordinary, and would not administer, and was discharged by the Ordinary, &c. and it was adjudged that the Writ should abate. And therewith agree 15 E. 3. (c) *Executors* 8. (d) 42 E. 3. 26. a. b. (e) 11 H. 4. 83. b. 35 H. 6. 37. a. 21 H. 6. 23. b. 2 R. 3. 20. b. But it appears in (f) 50 E. 3. 9. a. (g) 3 H. 7. 14. a. That if all refuse before the Ordinary, he may grant Administration.

2. It was resolved, That in *Debt* against one as Executor, it is a good (k) Plea to say That the Testator made him and another Executor, who has adminiftr'd, and is alive, without saying that the Will is proved; and therewith agree 33 (i) H. 6. 38. a. 32 (b) H. 6. 25. b. 22 (l) H. 6. 59. b. 3 H. 4. *Administration* 22. For after the Executors have adminiftr'd, and so have once (m) taken upon them the Charge of the Executorship, they can't afterwards refuse, (n) 9 E. 4. 33. a. 37. *Plow. Com. Greisbrook's Case* 280. So that it was resolved, that the Plea in Bar was good: And so the Doubt conceived in (o) 3 H. 7. 14. *obiter* well explained. Also the Pl. in his Replication has shewed, that the Will was proved, &c. and so, if necessary, has made the Bar good. And I well agree that this Case was upon manifest and manifold Authorities and Judgments in Books adjudged according to Law, which was the Reason that in a Case so clear the Judges did not shew the Reason and Causes of the same Differences, nor made any answer to the said Objections, which some learned in the Law desired, for their Satisfaction to be done. As to that it is to be known, That it is held in 2 R. 3. *Testament* 4. That it is but of (p) late Years that the Church had the probate of Wills in this Land, until it was by an Act, &c. For the People have Probate of Wills in all other Places, except *Eng.* and in many Places in *Eng.* the Lords of Manors have probate of Wills at this Day in their temporal Courts. And *Tremain* there said, That he is Steward in his Country, and the free Ten'ts and Bondmen prove their Wills before him in the Court Baron, and so it has been used from Time whereof, &c. and therewith agreed *Fineux*, and all the Justices in 11 H. 7. 12. b. That the Probate of Testaments belonged not to the Spiritual Court, but of late, &c. and they have it not by the Spiritual Law. And *Linwood* who was Dean of the Arches, and wrote *Anno Dom. 1422.*
 in

in the Reign of K. H. 6. lib. 3. Tit. *de Teſtamentis*, fo. 124. l. confeſſes that Probate of Wills belongs to the Ordinaries, *de (a) conſuetudine Angliæ & non de communi jure*, and that in other Realms the Ordinaries had it not: And in another Place he affirms, the Power of the Biſhop in Probate of Wills, *per conſenſum regni & ſuorum procerum ab antiquo*. And I have a Book published in *Latin*, Anno Dom. 1573. by the moſt reverend Prelate Matthew Parker Archbiſhop of *Canterbury*, very expert in Matters of Antiquity, in which it is affirmed in theſe Words, *Rex Angliæ olim erat conciliorum Eccleſiaſticæ præſes, vindex temeritatis Romanæ, propugnator religionis, nec ullam habebant Epifcopi auctoritatem præter eam quam a Rege acceptam referebant, jus teſtamenta probandi non habebant, adminiſtrationis poteſtatem cuique delegare non poterant*. Then forasmuch as probate of Wills is given to the Spiritual Court, whereof they had not Jurisdiction before, when they have proved the Will, their Authority is executed, and they have not Power to take the Refuſal of any when any of the Executors prove the Will. And therefore the Refuſal of any of the Executors before the Ordinary in ſuch Caſe is void. The Executors have their Title by the Will, which is temporal, and to the Goods and Chattels alſo which are temporal, as it is agreed in *Plow. Com. in Griesbrook's Caſe* 28c. which Will is compleat as to all Goods and Chattels in Poſſeſſion and Reverſion; and as ſhall be after ſaid, to (b) releaſe Debts and Duries before any Probate. But as to bringing of Actions in the King's Courts, the Judges do not admit the Executors to ſue for Things in Action, unleſs they ſhew the Will proved duly under the Seal of the Ordinary: But always the King's Courts have uſed to allow the Probate of any of the Executors, to enable them all to bring Actions: So that the Probate of the Will don't give them any Intereſt or Title either to the Things in Action or in Poſſeſſion, for they have their Title and Intereſt by the Will and not by the Probate: But yet without the Probate, the Judges will not allow them to bring Actions, and therefore all the ſaid Books in ſo many Succellions of Ages, affirming clearly the Refuſal before the Ordinary by one Executor, when another proves the Will, to be void, prove that the Eccleſiaſtical Judge has no Power to take the Refuſal in ſuch Caſe, for without Queſtion the Executor has Power to reſuſe. And as to the Objection which has been made, That he has once

(a) 2 Inſt. 488
Carter 127.
Swind. 351.

(b) Co. Lit.
292. b.
Plow. 277. b.
281. a.
5 Co. 28. a.
Hutt. 31.
1 Rol. 917.
Poſtea 39. a.
10 Co. 52. a.
Raym. 481.
Swind. b. 281.
Moor 119.
Went. 51, 141,
151, 321.

once waived the Executorship, and therefore shall not afterwards take it upon him; to that it may be answered, Forasmuch as the Ecclesiastical Judge has no Power to receive that Refusal or Disagreement, it is upon the Matter made to a Stranger, and by Consequence void, and of no Force to bar the Plaintiff to take it afterwards, as in the like Case it is resolved in 14 H. 8. and this is also affirmed by all the other Books, which prove the Refusal void. And as to the second Reason, that is to say, That the Ecclesiastical Judge may take the Refusal of all, and by Consequence of any of them; to that it may be answered, That as originally the Ecclesiastical Judge had no Power to prove Wills, but it was given him as appeareth (a) before; so originally the Ecclesiastical Judge could not commit Administration to any, who might sue or be sued as Administrator; but that also was given to the Ordinary by an Act, *sc.* by the Act of (b) 31 E. 3. cap. 11. by which it is enacted, That in Case a Man dies Intestate, The Ordinary shall depute the next and most faithful Friends of the Intestate, to administer his Goods, which Deputies shall have an Action to demand and recover the Debts due to the said Intestate in the King's Courts to administer, *Esc.* and shall answer also in the King's Courts to others to whom the said Deceased was held and bound, in the same Manner as Executors shall answer, and shall be accountable to the Ordinaries, as Executors are in Case of a Will, as well in Time past, as in Time to come.

Now it is necessary to know 2 Things. 1. What the Law was before the Stat. and 2. What Alteration the Stat. of 31 E. 3. has made: And as to the first, three Points are to be observed. 1. That of (c) ancient Time, as appears by Record when a Man died Intestate, and had made no Disposition of his Goods, nor committed his Trust to any, in such Case the King, who is *Parens Patriæ*, and has the supreme Care to provide for all his Subjects, that every one should enjoy that which he ought to have, used by his Ministers to seize the Goods of the Intestate, to the Intent they should be preserved and disposed for the Burial of the Deceased, for Payment of his Debts, to advance his Wife and Children, if he had any, and if not, those of his Blood. And this appears in *Rot Claus. de 7 H. 3. m. 16.* (d) *Bona intestatorum capi solebant in manu Regis, Esc.* And afterwards this Care and Trust was committed to Ordinaries, for none could be found more fit to have such

(a) Antea 37. b.

(b) Cr. Car. 106.

1 Jones 175.

5 Co. 82. b.

Plow. 278. a. b.

279. a.

Cr. El. 40.

2 Inst. 398.

Noy 53.

Selden's Jurisdiction de Testaments 24.

Carter 126, 128,

130, 132, 133,

134, 136.

Co. Lit. 133. b.

Swinb. 351.

1 Keb. 854.

F. N. B. 120. d.

(c) Swinb. 351.

Cart. 129, 131.

(d) Cart. 125,

131.

1 Vent. 303.

1 Sid. 46, 371.

Selden's Jurisdiction de Testaments 22.

such Care and Charge of his transitory Goods after the Death of the Intestate, than the Ordinary, who all his Life had the Cure and Charge of his immortal (a) Soul, as it is said in *Plow. Com.* 280. in *Greisbrook's Case*. And therefore he was to this Purpose constituted in (b) *loco Parentis*: And that appears by what has been said before, and also by the Constitution of *John (c) Stratford* Archb. of *Cant.* at a Synod in *London, Anno Dom.* 1380. where he confessed, That the Administration of the Goods of an Intestate was granted to Ordinaries, *consensu Regis & Magnatum Regni*. But no (d) Power was given to the Ordinary to sell or give the Goods or dispose of any of 'em to his own Use, or any other. And yet it is true, as it is said in the Books, that he has a Property in the Goods of the Intestate, but that is *secundum quid*, and not *simpliciter*: And according thereunto it is resolved *per totam Curiam M.* 8 & 9 *El. Dyer.* 255, 256. That (e) the Ordinary himself had no Authority to sell any of the Goods of the Intestate, altho' they are in danger of perishing. Also 18 *H.* 6. 23. b. and other Books agree, That the Ordinary can't (f) release a Debt due to the Intestate, and yet if the absolute Interest of the Debt was in him, he might release it, altho' he could not have an Action. As Exec' before probate of the Will may (g) release a Debt due to the Deceased, because they have the absolute Interest of the Debt in them, altho' they can't have an Action before probate, as it was adjudged in *Communi Banco, Pasch. i Jacobi Regis* betwixt (b) *Middleton* and *Rymor*, against the Opinion of *Weston, Plow. Com.* 277, 278. in *Greisbrook's Case*. And that which the Ordinary himself might do before the said Act, he may, in respect of the Multitude of Causes within his Diocese, commit to another: But his Committees can't do more than he himself can; as it is also resolved *M.* 8 & 9 *El. Dy. ubi supra.* 2. It was not given to the Ordinary, nor to his Deputies or Committees, that they should have any Action to recover any Debt, or to take any Advantage of any Covenant, or of any other Thing in Action, before the said Act, which is also a manifest Proof, That the Com. Law gave him no absolute Power in the Goods, for then the Law would have given him Power also to recover the Debts and Things in Action of the Intestate. And therefore in 19 *E.* 3. *Covenant (i)* 24. (which was before the Act of 31 *E.* 3.) in an Action of Covenant brought by the Executors of *N.* who shewed forth Letters of Administration delivered by the Ordinary, *Sir Rich. Wilby* Chief Justice who gave the Rule, The Ordinary could not have such Action, wherefore, how can he give this Action to another? *Stone*, A Man has not seen, That the Ordinary shall have

(a) *Plow.* 277. a.(b) *Swinb.* 351. *Postea* 40 b.(c) 2 *Inft.* 488. *Cart.* 131, 132.(d) *Swinb.* 351.(e) *Dy.* 255, 256. pl. 8.1 *Keb.* 854.*Swinb.* 251, 252.8 *Co.* 135. b.1 *Rol.* 918.*Went.* 250.2 *Inft.* 398.(f) 5 *Co.* 28. a.8 *Co.* 135. b.*Plow.* 277. b.(g) *Raym.* 481.*Co. Lit.* 292. b.5 *Co.* 28. a.*Swinb.* 281.*Antea* 38. a.*Hutt.* 31.*Plow.* 277. b.

281. a.

1 *Rol.* 917.10 *Co.* 50. a.*Moor* 119.*Went.* 51, 141,

151, 321.

(b) 5 *Co.* 28. a.*Co. Lit.* 292. b.(i) *Selden* Jurisdiction de Testaments 24. *Fitz.* Administrators 20. in fine.

an Action but of Goods, whereof they were seised and ousted. *Wilby*, That's true: And afterwards it was awarded that they should take nothing by their Writ *quia non executores, & actio non datur per Statutum. Vide* 19 E. 3.

(a) 19 E. 3.
Fitz. Administrators 20.

* 11 H. 4. 73 b.

(b) 5 Co. 83. a.

Dy. 232. pl. 5.

247. pl. 73.

1 Rol. 551.

Cr. El. 409, 410.

2 Inst. 397.

Br. Ordinaries

21.

(a) Administration 18. 35 E. 3. Executors 105. * 11 H. 4. 71. 10 H. 6. 22. 18 H. 6. 25. b. 10 E. 4. 1. a. F. N. B. 120. d. 92. m. 3. That an Action lies (b) against the Ordinary or his Deputies or Committees at the Common Law if they will intermeddle with the Goods, and not pay Debts. And the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 19.* is but an Affirmance of the Law before, and therewith agree 9 E. 4. 33. a. 11 H. 7. 12. b. 24 E. 3. 54. b. Vide 22 R. 2. Administrators 21. and Tit. Executors; 17 E. 2. Brief 822. 11 H. 4. 73. b. 18 H. 6. 23. b. *Plow. Com.* 277. b. *Greisbrook's Case.* 8 *Eliz. Dyer* 247. But *Nata Reader*, an Action lay against the Deputies or Committees of the Ordinary, before the said Act, by the Name of Executors, as appears by 38 E. 3. 26. & 42 E. 3. 2 & a *multo fortiori*, an Action would lie by the Common Law against the Ordinary, who is the Principal, and from whom the Administrators derive their Authority.

(c) 31 E. 3. c. 11.

Antea 28. b.

Lit. Rep. 21.

Plowd. 278. a.

As to the second Point, the Stat. of (c) 31 E. 3. has made 6 Alterations, 3 as to the Ordinary, and 3 as to the Administrators: As to the Ordinary, 1. Whereas before the Stat. he was not compellable to grant Administration, now by the Act of Parliament he is commanded, and thereby

(d) Cr. Car. 62,
63.

compelled to (d) grant Administration; for the Words of the Act are; The Ordinaries shall make Deputies, &c. and the Refusal to do it is a Contempt to the King, and an Injury to the Party. 2. The Ordinaries are restrained from granting Administration to whom they please, because now the Administrator by this Act has a more absolute Interest in the Goods of the Intestate than the Ordinary had, and Ability to recover the Debts and other Things in Action due to the Testator, where no Remedy is given to the Ordinary himself, and therefore the Ordinary is bound by the Act to grant Administration to the next and most faithful Friends (the Ordinaries shall depute the next and most lawful Friends; i. the (e) next of Blood who are not attainted of Treason, Felony, or have other lawful Disability, but are lawful Friends.) But the Stat. of

(e) Cr. Car. 106.
2 Jones 175.

(f) Cro. Car.
62, 63.

(f) 21 H. 8. cap. 5. gives Power to the Ordinary to commit Administration to the Wife of the Intestate, or to the next of Blood, or to both, and so as to the Wife has altered the Act of 31 E. 3. 3. The Ordinary himself has not greater Interest in the Goods by this Act, but has greater (g) Power than he had before, in this only that he may appoint Administrators, who shall have by this Act

(g) F. N. B.
120. d.

greater

greater Interest and Ability than they had before the Act. And where the Stat. says, That in Case a Man dies Intestate, it is to be known that a Man may die (a) Intestate 2 Ways, that is to say, either in fact, when he makes no Will; or in Law, when he makes a Will, and the Executors refuse before the Ordinary, or all die Intestate, in this Case he is in Law dead Intestate, and the said Act of 31 E. 3. extends to both the Intestates, as appears in *Plow. Com. 279. a. b.* and in 18 H. 6. 23. a. b. and in all the Books aforesaid, which prove that in such Case, The Ordinary may grant Administration; and the Reason why the Ordinary in such Case may upon Refusal of all, or Death of all intestate, grant Administration, is, because now the Testator dies Intestate, and then the said Act gives him Power to grant it according to the said Act, which the Ordinary can't do when one refuses, and the another proves. And so the second Objection upon full and pregnant Reason and Authority is answered. And where the Stat. says, In Case a Man dies Intestate that the Ordinary shall depute the next, &c. of the dead Intestate, this Word, (dead) is taken largely, for it extends as well to civil Death, *sc.* entry into Religion, as to natural Death; and therewith agrees *Litt. lib. 2. cap. Villenage 44. a.* That if a Man enters into Religion and doth not make his (c) Executors, the Ordinary may commit Administration of his Goods to another Man, as if he was dead in Fact; as to the Administrators, 1. They have now as absolute a Property in the Goods and Chattels, as Executors have, which they had not before this Act; 2. They shall recover the (d) Debts, (and by Equity shall have an Action of Covenant, Actions upon the Case, and all other Actions which Executors may have) which they could not do at the Common Law; 3. They shall answer to Actions, &c. in the same Manner as Executors; and in this Point also the Common Law is altered; for at the Common Law they were charged by the Name of Executors, and now they shall be charged by the Name of Administrators, and yet there was a Doubt after the making of this Act by what Name they should be charged, In 38 E. 3. (e) 20. Debt was brought against an Administrator, by the Name of Administrator; the Def. pleaded to the Writ, that he ought to be named Executor; for at the Com. Law before the Stat. of 31 E. 3. a Man should have an Action against an Administrator, and name him Executor, and that remains Law yet. *Tborp* Chief Just. who gave the Rule,

(a) 2 Inst. 397.
Dy. 236. pl. 27.

(b) 31 E. 3. c. 11.

(c) 1 Inst. 132. a.
133. b. Sect. 200.

(d) Plow. 278. b.
F. N. F. 120. d.

(e) 38 E. 3. 20. b.
21. a.

Rule, in the Case, the Statute gives Actions against Administrators, and that they may have Actions against others, wherefore the Writ was awarded good. And yet after that this Point was called in Question, for in 41 E. 3. 2. a. b. an Action of Debt was brought against an Administrator, and the Defendant demanded Judgment of the Writ, for it should be brought against him as (a) Executor, for the Stat. gives an Action for Administrators, but an Action is maintain'd against them as Executors at the Common Law, and yet is. *Thorp*, The Stat. gives Actions against Administrators, and afterwards the Writ was awarded good. So this Administrator constituted by the Ordinary (whom the Law has put in (b) loco parentis,) so advanced, enabled, and adorned, and in all (c) Points made equal to Executors constituted by the Party himself, is newly created by this Act; and no such Administrator was at the Common Law. And therefore the Ordinary was constituted in loco parentis, to see that the Debts and Duties of the Intestate should be paid, and to grant Administration according to the said Act, for the Benefit of his Children or others of his Blood, with his goods, as has been said. But because it would be too great a Trouble for the Ordinary himself to take such Charge in such Multitude of Cases in his Diocese, for his Ease the said Act of (d) 31 E. 3. has adorned and endowed his Deputies with greater Power than he himself had, to the Intent that the Administrators who might better intend it, should perform the Trust which was committed to them; and for this Reason the said Act has also provided, that Administrators to the said Intents and Purposes shall be accountable to Ordinaries, as Executors are.

It is worth Observation for the Reason of the principal Case, how probate of Wills, and granting of Administrations shall be tried, if they are traversed or denied in the King's Courts; and therefore, if Issue is joined in the King's Courts, That the Ordinary did not commit Administration to the Plaintiff, &c. or that the Will is not proved before the Ordinary, or that he whose Will is proved before the Ordinary, died Intestate, or that he of whose Goods Administration is granted, as of one who died Intestate, made a Will, &c. in none of these Cases it shall be tried or certified by the Ordinary, as in Case of (e) Excommungement, but it shall be tried by (f) Jury, because these two Cases of probate of Wills, and constituting Administrators, originally did not belong to the Conuance of Ecclesiastical Judges, but were given them of later Times; and therefore nothing but the Probate, and granting of Administration, which were given them, belong to their Juris-

(a) Fitz. Administrators 14.
Br. Administrators 10.

(b) Swinb. 351.
Antea 39. a.

(c) Moor 44.

(d) 31 E. 3. c. 11.

(e) Co. Lit. 74. a.
Antea 31. b.
(f) Doctrin. placit. 353.
Antea 31. a.

Jurisdiction; but the Trial of them is not given them, but is left to the Trial of the Common Law; and therewith agrees (a) 21 E. 4. 50. a. Where it is held, That if Letters of Administration are denied, the Issue shall be, That the Ordinary did not commit to 'em Administration by his Letter, &c. For there it is said, That Letters of Administration may be forged, 12 E. 4. 16. a. 35 H. 6. 31. b. 22 (b) H. 6. 52. b. 13 El. Dy. (c) 294. Issue was joined in the Common Pleas, *si Episcopus London' commisit administrationem*, &c. and was tried by (d) Jury. Vide 34 H. 6. 14. b. & in 44 E. 3. 16. a. One brought *Debt* against one as Administrator; and declared that the Debtor died Intestate, and the Ordinary deputed the Def. to be Administrator; and the Def. said that the deceased made his Will, and made the Def. and another his Executors, &c. and demanded Judgment of the Writ, and shewed forth the Will proving his Plea, and the Plaintiff replied that he died Intestate, & hoc, &c. And the Def. said, to that He shall not be received against the Will which is proved before the Ordinary, and is under the (e) Seal of the Ordinary, & non allocatur; wherefore the Plaintiff had the Averment, and it was tried by the Country. Vide (f) 14 H. 6. 5. a. by *Passon* and against the Opinion of *Herle*, 4 E. 3. *Executor* 98. *obiter*. And for as much as it is to be tried by Jury, and not by the Certificate of the Ordinary, the Will or the Administration need not be (g) shewed to enable the Plaintiff to his Action, proved or granted by the Ordinary himself, as in the Case of Excommungement, which is meerly in the Spirituality, and originally belongs to the Jurisdiction of the Ordinary; but if the Will is proved, or Administration granted by the Official or Commissary of the Ordinary, or in some Cases by the Archdeacon, or other inferior Judges Ecclesiastical who have lawful Authority, in such Case, it is good and sufficient in Law; and altho' the Statute of 31 E. 3. says, The Ordinary shall deputy they are Ordinaries as to this purpose with the same Act; and therewith agree (b) 11 H. 4. 64. a. 12 E. 4. 15. b. 7 E. 4. 14. a. 20 H. 6. 1. a. 3 E. 3. *Itin' North' Tir' Testament* 5. And so you have the Reasons and Causes of the Judgment in the principal Case, and of many Judgments and Resolutions before this Time in the same Point, with an answer to all the Objections made to the Contrary, which I have done for 4 Reasons. 1. That it should be manifest that the Ordinaries

(a) Br. Monfrans, &c. 125.

(b) Fitz. Exec. 17.
Br. Record 28.
Br. Testam. 4.
(c) Dyer 294. p. 7.
(d) Anr. 31. a.
Br. Averm. 48.
Br. Eitop. 36.
Plow. 282. a.
Fitz. Eitop. 9.

(e) Doct. pl. 159.

(f) Fitz. Variance 10.

(g) 1 Sid. 98, 249.
Hob. 38, 233.
Cr. Jac. 299, 409, 412.
3 Bulltr. 223.
Cr. El. 551, 592.
2 Sand. 402.
16 & 17 Car. 2. c. 8.
22 & 23 Car. 2. c. 4.

(b) Fitz. Administrator 12.
Br. Administrator 18.

(against all Objections made by them who impugn their Authority) have lawful Jurisdiction to prove Wills, and to grant Administrations. 2. That they have their Jurisdiction derived in these Cases from the Crown of *England*. 3. To reconcile all the Books and Authorities in the Law: And 4. To satisfy the said Doubts and Questions clearly by our Books, Authorities of Law, and Judicial Records.

Trin. 7 Jacobi Regis Rotulo Brownlow.
2612.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case.

Nottingb. **R**obert' Spencer nuper de Maunsfield in comitatu præd' armiger, & Thomas Woodward nuper de Maunsfield in comitatu prædicto generosus, attachiati fuerunt ad respondendum Rogero comiti Rotel' de placito, Quare cum Domina Elizabeth. nuper Regina Angliæ, quatuordecimo die Junii, anno regni sui quadragesimo secundo, apud Westmonasterium in comitatu Middlef. per Literas suas Patentes sub magno Sigillo suo Angliæ sigillatas, dedisset & concessisset eidem comiti, a tempore plenæ ætatis ipsius comitis viginti & unius annorum, ad terminum & pro & durante toto termino vitæ natural' ipsius comitis, officia fenestrelli dominiorum five maneriorum ipsius nup' Reginæ de Maunsfield in comitatu præd' & Bolsover & Horfeley in comitatu Derb. cum vad', & feod' eisd' officiis ab antiquo debitis & consuetis, Habend' & annuatim recipiend' dict' vad' durante termino prædicto de exitibus, proficuis, firmis & reventionibus dictorum dominiorum five maneriorum, per manus firmariorum, receptorum, five aliorum occupator' eorund' pro tempore existentium, ad festa Sancti Michael' Archangeli &

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

& Paschæ per æquales portiones, una cum omnibus aliis proficuis, iuribus, commoditatibus, jurisdictionibus, privilegiis, præhementiis & emolumentis dictis Officiis provenientibus seu aliquo modo spectantibus: Cumque idem comes, ante consecutionem prædictarum literarum patentium, scilicet, decimo nono die Novembris, anno regni præd' nuper Regina quadragesimo, ad suam plenam ætatem viginti & unius annorum pervenisset, & virtute literarum patentium prædict' fuisset seiscitus de prædicto officio Seneschalli prædicti manerii de Maunsfield ut de libero tenemento pro termino vitæ suæ, ac officium illud a prædicto quarto decimo die Junii, anno regni præd' nuper Regina quadragesimo secundo supradicto, per unum annum integrum tunc prox' sequentem bene & fideliter exercuisset, ac vad', feod', & proficua prædicto officio Seneschalli præd' manerii de maunsfield ab antiquo debit' & consuet' per idem tempus habuisset & recepisset, præd' Robertus & Thomas machinan' ipsum comitem multipliciter prægavare, ac ipsum comitem de exercitio præd' officii Seneschalli prædict' manerii de Maunsfield magnopere disturbare, ac eundem comitem de vad', feodis & proficuis quæ ratione executionis officii illius de jure habere & percipere potuisset & debuisset totaliter frustrare & impedire, de injuria sua propria, absque aliquo jure five legali autoritate sine licentia ipsius comitis, sextodecimo die Februarii, anno regni dictæ nuper Regina quadragesimo quarto, apud Maunsfield præd', prædictum officium Seneschalli ejusdem Manerii de Maunsfield exercuerunt, & abinde hucusque exercent & occupant; ac omnia & singula vad', feoda, commoda & proficua eidem officio debita, & ratione exercitii officii præd' infra manerium de Maunsfield præd' de jure pertinentia ad suum proprium usum habuerunt & perceperunt, & eundem comitem ad exercendum officium illum infra præd' manerium de Maunsfield, & vadimonia, feoda, commoda & proficua eid' officio de jure pertinent' habere & percipere vi & arm' ad tunc & ibid' impediverunt & adhuc impediunt, & alia enormia ei intulerunt, ad grave dampnum ipsius comitis, & contra pacem dictæ nuper Regina, & contra pacem dicti Domini Regis nunc, &c. Et unde idem comes per Johannem Muscot Attornatum suum queritur quare cum prædicta nuper Regina quartodecimo die Junii, anno regni sui quadragesimo secundo supradicto apud Westmonasterium prædictam, per prædictas literas suas Patentis, quas idem comes, sub magno sigillo ipsius nuper Regina Angliæ sigillatas, hic in Curia profert, quarum dat' est

est eisdem die & anno, dedisset & concessisset eidem comiti, a tempore plenæ ætatis ipsius comitis viginti & unius annorum, ad terminum & pro & durante toto termino vitæ naturalis ipsius comitis, prædicta officia Seneschalli prædictorum dominiorum sive maneriorum ipsius nuper Reginæ de Maunfield, Bolsover, & Horseley, cum vad' & feodis eisd' officiis ab antiquo debitis & consuetis, Habendum & annuatim recipiend' dict' vad' durante termino prædicto de exitibus, proficuis, firmis, & reventionibus dictorum dominiorum sive maneriorum, per manus firmariorum, receptorum, sive aliorum occupatorum eorundem pro tempore existentium, ad prædicta festa Sancti Michaelis Archangeli & Paschæ per equales portiones, una cum omnibus aliis proficuis, juribus, commoditatibus, jurisdictionibus, privilegiis, præhementiis & emolumentis dictis officiis provenien', seu aliquo modo spectantibus: Cumque idem comes, ante confectioem prædictarum literarum patentium, scil't, decimo nono die Nov' anno regni præd' nuper Reginæ quadragesimo supradicto ad suam plenam ætatem viginti & unius annorum pervenisset, & virtute literarum patentium præd' fuisset seiscitus de prædicto officio Seneschal' præd' manerii de Maunfield, ut de libero tenemento, pro termino vitæ, ac officium illud, a prædicto quartodecimo die Junii anno regni præd' nuper Reginæ quadragesimo secundo supradicto, per unum annum integrum tunc proxim' sequentem bene & fideliter exercuisset, ac vad', feod', & proficua prædicto officio Seneschalli præd' manerii de Maunfield ab antiquo debita & consuet' per idem tempus habuisset & recepisset, prædicti Robertus & Thomas machinantes ipsum comitem de exercitio præd' officii Seneschalli prædicti manerii de Maunfield magnopere disturbare, ac eundem comitem de vad', feodis & proficuis, videl't, de centum solidis annuatim pro vad' suis pro exercitio præd' officii Seneschalli præd' manerii de Maunfield solvend', ac de antiquis feodis debita pro intratione querelarum & placitorum, pro copiis rotulorum Cur', pro repleg', pro probatione testamentor', commissione administration' quarumcunq; personarum infra præd' manerium de Maunfield obien', pro intratione sursumreductionum, & admissione quorumcunq; tenentium prædicti manerii de Maunfield, pro intratione fidelitatis quorumcunq; tenentium ejusd' manerii de Maunfield fidelitatem facientium, quæ de jure habere & percipere potuisset & debuisset, totaliter frustrare & impedire de injuria sua propria, absq; aliquo jure sive legali autoritare, sine licentia ipsius Comitis, prædicto sextodecimo die Febr. anno regni dictæ nuper Reginæ quadragesimo

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

mo quarto supradicto, apud Maunfield prædictam, prædicti officium Seneschalli ejusdem maner' de Maunfield exercuerunt, & abinde hucusque exercent & occupant, ac omnia & singula vad', feoda, commoda, & proficua eidem Officio debita, & ratione exercitii ejusd' officii infra præd' manerium de Maunfield præd' de jure pertin' ad suum proprium usum habuerunt & perceperunt, & eundem comitem ad exercendum officium illud infra præd' manerium de Maunfield; & vad', feoda, commoda & proficua eid' officio de jure perrin' & a toto tempore quo non extat memoria in contrarium habere & percipere vi & arm', &c. ad tunc & ibid' inpe-
diverunt & adhuc impediunt, & alia enormia, &c. ad grave dampnum, &c. & contra pacem, &c. unde dicit quod deterioratus est & dampnum habet ad valentiam centum librarum: & inde producit sectam, &c. Et præd' Robertus & Thomas per Will'um Cragge Attorn' suum ven' & defend' vim & injuriam quando, &c. & dic' quod ipsi in nullo sunt culpabiles de transgressione præd' prout præd' comes superius versus eos queritur; & de hoc pon' se super patriam; & præd' comes similiter. Ideo præceptum est vicecomiti qd' venire fact' hic a die Sancti Trinitatis in tres septimanas xii. &c. per quos, &c. Et qui nec, &c. Ad recogn', &c. Quia tam, &c. Postea die & loco infracontentis coram *Petro Warburton* milite, uno Justic' Dom' Regis de Banco, & *Thome Forster* milite altero Justic' disti Dom' Regis de Banco, Justiciariis ejusdem Dom' Regis ad Assisas in comitatu Nott' capiend' assignatis per formam Statuti, &c. ven' tam infranominatus Rogerus comes Rotel', quam infrascripti Rob' Spencer & Thomas Woodward per Attorn' suos infracontentos; & Juratores Juratæ unde infra fit mentio exacti, quidam eorum, videlicet, *Edw' Bould de Halloughton* armiger, *Edw' Coppinger de Farnesfield* armiger, *Geo' Hutchinson de Basford* generosus, *Franciscus Hollingworth de Stapleford* gener', *Will'um Greifley de eadem*, *Nic' Hamond de Lounde* generosus, *Anthon' Whitewell de Wyeston* generos. *Johannes Sturtevant de Calverton* generosus, *Richardus Brigges de Gringley* super montem, & *Johannes Seywell de Normanton* juxta Plumtree ven' & in Juratam prædictam Jurati existunt: Et quia resid' Juratorum Juratæ illius non comparuerunt, ideo alii de circumstantibus per vicecomitem committatus præd' electi, ad requisition' Rogeri comitis Rotel', ac per mandatum Justiciarior' præd' de novo apponuntur, quorum nomina pannello infrascripto affilant' secund' formam Statuti in hujusmodi casu editi & provisi: Et Jurat' sic de novo apposit', videlicet, *Joh' Hutton* & *Ric'us Templeman* similiter ven' qui ad veritat' de infracont' simul cum aliis Jurat' præd' prius impanellat' & jurat' dicen-

dicendam electi, triati, & jurati, dicunt super sacrament' suum, Qd' Dom' Eliz. nuper Regin' Angl' feisit' fuit in domino suo ut de feod' in jur' coron' suæ Angl' de & in maner' de Maunsfield in com' Nott', ac de & in maner' de Bolsover & Horsley in com' Derb', & sic inde feisit' existen' quarto decim' die Jun' an' regni sui quadrag' scd' per liter' suas patent', sub magn' sigil' suo Angl' sigillat', ac Jurat' præd' in evidenc' ostensas, concessit præfat' com' Rotel, a temp' plen' ætatis ipsi' com' vigin' & unius annor', ad termin' & pro & durant' tot' termino vitæ natural' ipsi' com', offic' Senesc' dominiorum five maner' præd' cum vad' & feod' eisd' offic' ab antiquo debet' & consuet', prout in eisdem lit' patent' continet', quarum tenor sequit' in hæc verba: Eliz. Dei grat' Angl', Fran' & Hibern' Reg', fidei defens'. &c. Omnib' ad quas præsent' lit' pervener' salut': Sciãt qd' nos de grat' nostr' speciali ac ex cert' scient' & mero motu nostr' dedimus & concessim' ac per præsent' pro nobis hæred' & successor' nostr' damus & concedim' prædilect' consanguin' nostro Rog' com' Rutl' officium Constab' Castri nost' de Nott', ac janitor' five custod' port' ejusd' castri, necnon offic' Senesc', Custod', Gardian', & Capital' Justic' Forestæ nost' de Sherwood & parcor' nostr' de Birlowe, Birkeland, Romwald, Owseland, Folwood, Beskwood, & Clipf. cum suis pertinent' in com' nost' Nott', Ipsumque Roger' comitem Rutl' Constabul' castri nost' præd' ac Janitor' five Custod' port' ejusd' castri, necnon Senesc', Custod' & Justic' Itinerant' Forest' & Parcor' præd' facimus, ordinam', & constituimus per præsent', dant' & concedent' eid' Roger' comit' Rutl' tenore præsent' plen' potest' & autho' omnia & omnimod' plac', querel', & causas infr' Forest' & parcos præd' & eor' quodlibet emergent', secund' leg' & consuetud' Forest' audiend' & terminand', Habend', gaudend', occupand', & exercend' offic' præd' & eor' qd'libet præf. Rog' com' Rutl' per se vel per sufficient' deputat' suum five deputat' suos sufficient' a tempore plenæ ætat' vigin' & unius annor' ejusd' comitis ad termin' & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ natural' prædict' Roger' comit' Rutl', una cum potestate in eisd. officiis faciend' & constituend' omnes officiar' ab antiquo debet' & consuet'; & pro exercitio & occupac' offic' præd' damus & concedim' per præsent' præfat' Rog' comiti Rutl' vad' & feod' quadrag' marcar' per ann' a tempore plen' ætat' vigin' unius an' ejusd' comit' ad termin' & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ natural' ejusd' comit' Rutl', necnon annuit' five annual' reddit' novem librar' a tempore plenæ ætat' vigin' unius an' ejusdem comit' ad terminum & pro durante toto term' vitæ natural' ejusd' com' Rutl' pro. vad' five stipend' novem Forestarior' per ips. comit' ad custodiend' Forest' præd' assignat', percipiend', & annuat' recipiend' di' vad' & feod' quadragint' marcar' de Thesauro nost' hæred' & successorum nostror' ad receptum Scaccarii nostri Westm' provenien'

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

per manus Theſaurar' & Camerar' noſtr' ſeu eor' alicui' ibid' pro tempore exiſten' ad feſt' Sanct' Mich' Archang' & Paſc. per equales portiones, ac etiam dict' annuitat' five annual' redditum novem librar' pro vad' five ſtipend' præd' præfat' Roger' comit' Rutl' a tempore plen' ætatis viginti unius ann' ejuſd' comit' ad terminum & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ naturalis ipſius Roger' comit' Rutl' de Theſauro noſtro, hæred' & ſucceſſorum noſtror' ad receptum Scaccarii noſtri provenien' per man' Theſaurar' & camerar' noſtror' five eorum alicujus pro tempore exiſten', five de prar' noſtris juxta caſtr' noſtrum de Not' prædict', vocat' the King's Meadows, ac de profic' pannag' & herbag' Parc' noſtri de Beſkwood, necnon de omnib' redditib' five profic' de Foreſt' præd' provenien' five creſcen', per manus Ballivorum, propoſitor', firmior', receptor', five aliorum occupator' dict' prætorum, de redditib' five profic' præd', five eorum alicujus pro tempore exiſten' ad feſt' prædict' per equales portion': Damus etiam per præſent' pro nob', hæredibus & ſucceſſor' noſtris concedim' præfat' Roger' comiti Rutl' a tempore plenæ ætatis viginti unius annor' ejuſd' comitis, ad termin' & pro & durante toto termin' vitæ naturalis dict' Roger' comit' Rutl' offic' Senefchall' dominiorum five manerior' noſtror' de Maunſfield, Bolſover, & Horſley, cum vad' & feod' eiſd' offic' ab antiquo debir' & conſuet' capiend', habend' & annuatim percipiend' dict' vad' duran' termin' prædict' de exitib', profic', firmis, & reventionib' dict' dominior' five manerior' noſtror' de Maunſfield, Bolſover, & Horſley præd', five eorum alicujus, per manus firmior', receptor' five alior' occupator' eorum, five eor' alicujus pro tempore exiſten' ad dict' feſta San' Mic' Archang' & Paſchæ, per equales portion', una cum omnib' aliis profic', juribus, commodatib', juridiſtionib', privilegiis, præheminentiis, & emolument' dict' omnibus & ſingulis officiis cum cæteris præmiſſis, & eorum alicui, provenien' five aliquo modo ſpectan'; & adeo, plene, libere, & integre, ac in tam amplis modo & forma prout Tho' Manners milites aut Joh' Manners ar', aut Johannes nup' comes Rutl', aut ante eum Edward' nuper comes Rutl' defunct', five ante eos Thom' & Henric' nup' comit' Rutl' defunct', Anth' Browne five Ric' Southwell milit' defunct', aut aliquis alius five aliqui alii offic' præd' vel eor' aliquis ante hæc tempora occupans five occupant' hab' & percepit, habuerunt five perceperunt, aut habere & percipere debet vel debent in & pro eiſd' vel eor' aliquo: Ac inſup' de uberiori gratia noſtra ac ex certa ſcientia & mero motu noſtris dedimus & conceſſimus, ac per præſent' pro nob' hæredib' & ſucceſſoribus noſtris damus & concedim' præfat' Rog' comiti Rutl' Offic' Cuſtod' parc' noſtri de Not' cum omnib' & ſing' vad', feod', profic', commodatib' & emolumentis quibuſcuq; offic' præd' debitis, conſuetis, five pertinent', in tam ampl' modo & form' prout

prout prædict' Thomas Manners miles, aut Johan' Manners armig, aut prædict' Johan' aut Edw' nuper comites Rutl' jam defuncti, aut ante eos quidam Rich' Manners aut Francis. Leake milit' jam defuncti, aut aliquis alius sive aliqui alii offic' præd' exercen' sive exercentes habuit sive percepit, habuerunt sive perceperunt, habend', occupand', & exercend' offic' præd' præfar' Roger' com' Rutl' per se vel per sufficientem deputatum suum sive deputatos suos sufficient' a tempore plenæ ætat' viginti unius an' ejusd' com', ad tot' termin' & pro & durante tot' term' vitæ naturalis præd' Roger' com' Rutl' cum vad', feod', profic', commoditat' advantagiis & emolumentis quibus. eid' offic' ab antiquo debet' & consuet' sive pertinent', aut ratione ejusd' per quamcunq; persona' præantea percept' & habit' per manus Recept', Firmarior', Præpositor', ballivor', Occupator' sive Offic' nostror' ejusd' pro temp' existen', de exitibus, reventionibus & profic' ejusd' ad festa Pasch. & S. Mich' Arch' equis portionib' solvend' quæ quid' offic' & feod' accæter' omnia & singula præmiss' superius per præsent', data & concess' per l'ras n'ras Patent' sub' mag' sigill' n'ro Angl' confect', geren' dat' apud Westm' vicefimo tert' die Julii an' reg' n'ostri tricesim' tert', cuida' Joh. Manners armig' durant' minore ætat' præd' Roger' com' Rutl' nuper dat' & concessa fuer', Qui quid' Roger' com' Rutl' modo plen' ætat' est, prout certam inde habem' notitia', volent' etiam & firmit' injungend' præcipient' per præsent'es, omnib' & singul' offic', ministris, & subdit' nost', tam infra libertat' quam extra, tenor' præsent', qd' eid' Roger' com' Rutl' & deputato suo sive deputatis suis, in præmiss' omnib' faciend' & exequend' sint auxiliantes, assistent' & consulent' prout decet, eo qd' express' mentio de vero valore annuo, vel de certitudine præmissor' sive eorum alicujus, aut de aliis donis sive concession' per nos seu per aliq' progenitor' n'ror' præf. Rog. com' Rutl' ante hæc temp' fact' minime fact' existit, aut aliquo statuto, actu, ordinatione, provisione sive restrict' in contrar' inde antehac habit', fact', edit', ordinat', sive provis' aut aliqua alia re, causa, vel materia quacunq; in aliq' non obstant'. In cujus rei testimon' has lit' nost' fieri fecim' patent', Teste meipsa apud Westm', quartodecim' die Jun', an' reg' n'ri quadragesim' secundo. Quodq; præd' Rog' com' Rotel' ante confect'ion' præd' l'raru' patent', s. decimo nono die Nov. an' reg' præd' nuper Reginæ quadrages. ad suam plenam ætat' viginti & unius an' pervenit. & virtute l'raru' patent' præd' fuisset seisit' de præd' officio Senescalli præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' in narratione infra script' specificat' ut de libero tenemento pro termin' vitæ suæ; ac quod præd' Roger' com' Rotel', a tempore confect'ion' literarum patent' prædict', exercuit officium Senescalli manerii prædict' de Maunsfield in narratione prædicta mentionat',

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

tionat', per deputat' suos & non per seip' in prop' pers. sua: Quodq; postea, sc. decimo septim' die Decemb. an' regni dictæ nup' reginæ Eliz. quadrages. quarto, ead' nup' reg' de præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' sic ut præfert' seifita existen' per lras suas patent' sub mag' figill' suo Angl' figillat', gerent' dat' eisd' die & an', ac Jurat' præd' in evidenciis ostensas, concessisset præd' maner' de Maunsfield cu' pertinent', inter alia, quibuld. Will'mo Hamond & Ranulpho Catteral, Habend' & tenend' præd' maner' de Maunsfield cum pertinent' præfat. Will'mo Hamond & Ranulph' Catteral hæred' & assignat' suis imperpet'; Virtute cujus præd' Will'us Hamond & Ranulph' Catteral in præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' cum pertinent' intraverunt, & fuerunt inde seifit' in dominico suo ut de feod', quodq; præd' Will'us Hamond & Ranulph' Catteral sic inde seifit' existen', postea, s. vicesimo tertio die Jan', an' reg' dom' Eliz. nup' Reg' Angl' quadrages. quart' per quanda' indent' sua' gerent' dat' eisd' die & an', & post', s. vicesimo septim' die ejusd' mensis Jan. an' quadrages. quarto supradict' coram dicta nup' regina in Canc' sua de recordo irrotulata, pro & in consideratione decem solidorum eisd' Will'mo & Ranulph' per prænobilem Gilbertum com' Salop' & Mariam uxorem ejus solutorum, concesserunt, alienaverunt, barganizaverunt, & vendiderunt præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' cum pertinent' præf. com' Salop' & Mariæ uxor' ejus, habend' & tenend' maner' præd' cum pertinent' præf. com' Salop' & comitissæ & hæred' & assign' suis imperpet': Virtute cujus, necnon vigore actus in Parliam'to d'ni Henr' nup' regis Angl' octavi an' reg' sui vicesim' septim' tent' edit', præd' comes Salop' & comitissa fuerunt de præd' maner' de Maunsfield præd' cum pertinent' seifiti in dominico suo ut de feodo. Et jurator' præd' ulterius dicunt super sacram'tum suum præd', quod præd' comite Salop' & comitissa sic ut præfert' seifit' existen', post', s. præd' sextodéc' die Febr' an' regni dicti nup' Reg' quadrages. quarto in narratione infra script' specificat', quid' Simo' Sterne ad tunc existen' deputat' præd' com' Rotel' pro exercit' præd' offic' Senesc' præd' maner' de Maunsfield, accessit ad villa' de Maunsfield ad usual' locum ibid' ubi Cur' maner' de Maunsfield præd' communiter tenta & custod' fuit ad tenend', Anglicæ, to keep, curiam Baronis ejusd' maner' de Maunsfield præd', & præd' Tho, Woodward illuc accessit ad custodiend' curiam ejusd' maner' ut Senesc' pro præd' Gilbert' comite Salop', quodq; prædict' Tho. Woodward ut Senescall' præd' comitis Salop' & præd' Simon Sterne ut deputat' prædict' comitis Rutl', ad locum prædict' pariter & infimul accesserunt, & prædict' Simon Sterne ut deputatum prædict'

comitis Rotel' mand' ballivo maner' illius qd' proclam' fac' pro tenend' cur' Baron' maner' illius per ipsum Simon' Sterne ut deputat' præd' comitis Rotel' ad tunc tenend', & prædict' Tho. Woodward ut Senescall' præd' comitis Salop' similiter mand' ballivo maner' ill', qd' proclam' faceret pro tenend' cur' Baron' maner' præd' per ipsum Tho. Woodward ut Senescall' præd' comitis Salop', sed nulla Cur' ad tunc tent' fuit, sed per eundem Tho. Woodward adjornat' fuit, & abinde usq; impretrationem præd' brevis originalis præd' Tho. Woodward ut senescall' præd' Gilberti comit' Salop' custodivit Curias maner' præd' & semper abinde ipse idem Tho. Woodward & præd' Robertus Spencer receperunt omnia feoda pertinentia Senescallo ibid. sicut debita deveniebant: Et si super tota materia præd' per Jur' præd' in forma præd' comperta videbitur Cur' hic quod præd' Robertus Spencer & Tho. Woodward sunt culpabiles de transgr' infra script', tunc Juratores præd' dicunt super sacrm' suum præd' quod prædict' Rob. Spencer & Tho. Woodward sunt culpabiles de transgr. infra script' prout præd' Roger' comes Rotel' interius versus eos queritur, & tunc assident dampna illius Roger' comit' Rotel' occasione transgr. infra script' ultra mis. & custag' sua per ipsum circa sexta' suam in hac parte apposita, ad quadraginta libras, & pro mis. & custag. ill' duodecim denar', & si super tota materia præd' per Jur' præd' in forma præd' compert' videbitur Cur' hic quod præd' Rob. Spencer & Tho. Woodward non sunt culpabil' de transgr' infra script' tunc Jurator' præd' dicunt super sacrm' suum præd', quod præd' Rob. Spencer & Tho. Woodward non sunt culpabil' de transgr' infra script', prout iidem Rob. & Tho. interius allegaverunt. Et quia, &c.

Trin. 8 Jacobi.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case.

Yelv. 208.
 4 Leon. 243.
 2 Brownl. 3. o.
 The 1 Point.
 2 Rol. 201.

(a) Doctrin.
 pl. 189, 191.

(b) Br. Patent
 §.

AND upon the several Parts of this Record, the Defendant's Counsel moved many Exceptions to every Part of it, 1. Against the Patent and the Validity of the Grant *ab initio*; 2. Admitting the Grant, that the Office is forfeited; 3. Against the Writ and Declaration; 4. Against the Gift of the Action; 5. Against the Verdict. As to the First it was said, That the Grant was utterly void for 3 Reasons: 1. Because the Grant is of the Office *Seneschalli Dominiorum sive Maneriorum nostrorum de Maunsfield, Belsöver, & Horsley*, and no (a) County mentioned where they lie, and so in the King's Case uncertain and void; for it was said, It may be, and so the Truth is, That the King has divers Manors of the same Name in several Counties, and of several Values, and Issue can't be taken what Manor the King intended to Grant, for his Intent ought to appear in his Grant, and not by collateral Averment: And so it appears in 21 E. 4. 48. a. b. the King's Patents ought to extend certainly to the Thing of which the Patentee will have Advantage. 2 R. 3. 7. a. If the King grants to me that I shall not be (b) Sheriff, without shewing of what County, it is void for the Incertainty, *quia Concessio per Regem fieri oportet de certitudine*: But if the Grant was, *quod non erit Vicecomes alicujus Comitatus*, there such Grant is good, as it is there held. And in Acts of Parliament of Confirmation of Letters Patent, the usual Purview is, that the Letters Patent shall be effectual, notwithstanding the *Misnaming*

or not true naming of the Counties where the Honours, Manors, &c. lien or been: Which proves (as 'twas urged) that if the County is omitted, the Grant is void. To which it was answered and resolved *per totam Curiam*, That the said Grant was certain enough altho' the (a) County was omitted: (a) Doctrin. pi. 189, 191. And many ancient Grants are without mentioning any County, and God forbid that all of them should be now adjudged void. For *Maneria de M. B. & H.* import sufficient Certainty, and such Certainty of Name and Quality, that a Visne (which requires Certainty) may come out of it. If the (b) King by his Letters Patent grants to another all Manors and Advowsons which were to the Prior of *A.* being (b) Br. Patent 37. a Prior Alien, or to *J. S.* who was attainted, &c. it is held in 30 *H. 6. 20. b. 21. a.* that the Grant is good, and yet it is not mentioned in what County the Manors, &c. or the Priory was, or in what County, the Manors, &c. were, whereof *J. S.* was seised the Day of his Attainder; and the Reason is, *Quod (c) id certum est quod certum reddi potest, sed id magis certum est quod de semet ipso est certum*: And in this Case the Manors of *M. B.* and *H.* have more certainty in them than the said general Grants. So if the King grants to an Abbot and his Successors, that the Monks during the Vacation shall have all the Temporalities of the Abbey, it is a good Grant without mentioning any County, as it is adjudged in 39 *E. 3. 21. a. b. & (d) F.N.B. 33. T.* And in 23 *E. 3. 21. b.* where the Case was, That a Barony escheated to the King, and the King granted to the (e) Queen all the Possessions of the Barony, till *John a Gaunt* could govern himself, and adjudged a good Grant, without mentioning in what County the Barony lay; and if the King has divers Manors of one and the same Name in divers Counties, yet there are many Clauses in the Letters Patent themselves to describe what Manor the King intended to pass, to distinguish it from the other, *s.* either by the Recital, or Reference in whose Tenure or Occupation it was, or by the Value of it, or of whose Possession it was, or by the Clause that the Patentee shall have and enjoy it in such ample Manner and Form as *J. S.* &c. or any other Owner of the same Manor had, or such like, or by the Particular. But in (f) Pleading it ought to be alledged in what County the Manors lie (as in the Case at Bar the Pl. did) And if the other Party had pleaded *Non concessit*, upon the Trial of the Issue the Circumstances aforesaid might be given in Evidence, to prove what Manor was granted: But if the other Party had demanded Oyer of the Let. Patent, and had demurred in Law, it should be adjudged against him, for it is Matter in Fact what Manor shall pass, to be proved in Evidence, as is aforesaid. And the Acts of Confirmations do not extend where the County is omitted, but where the County is misnamed,

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

or not truly named. And also for avoiding of all Questions; divers Imperfections are saved by the Acts of Confirmations, which are not of Force to avoid the Grant.

2. It was objected against the Grant, that the Grant was, *a tempore plenæ etat' 21. annorum ejusd' comitis, pro & durante toto termino vite naturalis dicti Rogeri comitis Runt' offic' Seneschal' Dominiorum sive Maner' nostrorum de M. B. & H. cum vadiis & feod' eisd' offic' ab antiquo debitis & consuet' capiend'*: And therein the K. was deceived, for he can't grant the Office from the Day which was past before. To which it was answered, and resolved by the Court, that the Intent of the Grant was, that the Patentee should have the Fees from the Time of the Accomplishment of his full Age; but without Question, altho' the K. can't grant the Office from a Day before, yet it shall be (a) good for the Life of the Patentee to begin by the Grant, and void for the Time past.

(a) Ley 73.

(b) Bridgm. 31.
Postea 48. b.

3. It was objected, 1. That by no Clause exprest in the Patent the Patentee can make a (b) Deputy. 2. That by Law the Patentee without special Words can't make a Deputy. As to the first, it was observed, That the said Let. Pat. consist of 3 several Grants: 1. Of the Office of Constable, &c. Steward, & capital' Justic' Forestæ, &c. which Grant has an *Habendum*, and Power to make Deputies. 2. Of the Office of Stewardship of the said 3 Manors, with Limitation of the Estate for Life, and with a Clause to receive the Fees, &c. but no Power to make a Deputy. 3. *Ac insuper de uberiori gratia, &c. dedimus, &c. pref. R. com' custod' Parci de Not', &c. Habend' gaudend' & exercend' offic' præd' (written by such Contraction) per se, vel sufficient' deputat' suum seu deputat' suos sufficient' a tempore plenæ etat', &c. eidem durante vita ipsius Rogeri comitis, cum vadiis, feod', &c. eidem officio, &c. pertin' aut ratione ejusd', &c.* And it was strongly urged, that this last *Habendum* should have relation only to the Premises of the last Grant, 1. Because there are, as is aforesaid 3 several Grants, of 3 several distinct Offices; 2. Every one has a distinct Limitation of Estate; 3. Every one has a distinct Grant of the Fees and Profits. And altho' the last *Habendum* is wrote *offic' præd'* which, as 'twas urged, may be intended *officia prædicta*, and then it refers to all the several Grants, yet it can't be so intended, for the 3 Reasons before; and also these Words in the same Sentence, *cum vadiis, feodis, &c. eidem officio* wrote at length; *aut ratione ejusdem*, explain the said Words wrote short *offic' præd'* to be in the singular Number, *officium prædictum*; and the (c) Office of the Premises is to exprest the Certainty of the Thing given, and need not limit any Estate, and the Office, of the (d) *Habendum* is to limit the Certainty of the Estate, and need not

(c) 2 Rol. 65.
Co. Lit. 6. a.
2 Co. 55. a.
10 Co. 107. b.
Plow. 196. b.
(d) 2 Rol. 65.
Co. Lit. 6. a.
2 Co. 55. a.
Plow. 196. b.

repeat the Thing given again, and therewith agrees *Wrotesty and Adams's Case, Plow. Com. 196. b.* So in the Case at Bar the *Habend'* shall be, by Construction of Law, referred to its proper Premises; and of that Opinion was the whole Court. *Nota* Reader, for Abbreviations and incongruous (*a*) Writing (*a*) Stile 302. in Grants, these Rules, *Falsa orthographia non vitiat concessionem*; Also, *falsa (b) Grammatica non vitiat concessionem*; *I- (b) 11 Co. 3. b. tem, ille numerus & sensus abbreviationum accipiendus est* Co. Lit. 146. b. *ut concessio non sit inanis.* And therefore if the K. grants *tot' 6 Co. 39. b. ill' maner' de D. & C.* if it is but one Manor in truth, then 10 Co. 133. a. these Abbreviations of *tot' ill' maner'* shall be taken in the singular Number *totum illud manerium*: And if they are in Truth 2 distinct Manors, then these Abbreviations shall be taken in the plural Number, *tota illa maneria*, or otherwise the Grant will be void. *Vid. 32 E. 3. Brief 293.* A *Sci. fa.* recites, That a Fine was levied *de maneriis de B. & H.* and the Conclusion was, *Quare præd' (c) manerium de B. & H. ingressus est;* (c) 8 Co. 155. a. and good with Averment that in Truth *B. & H.* is but one Manor. And in 10 H. 4. *Brief 497.* Exception was taken to the Writ, because it was wrote with Abbreviation *Matil'* where it should be *Matild'*, and yet good, because it was usual to write this Name so, *quod nota* in a Writ which shall abate for false *Latin*, for he may purchase a new Writ at his Pleasure, but not a new Grant. *Vide 17 El. Dy. 342.* The 4 first Letters in the Name and Stile of K. *H. 7. (d) H. R. A. F.* were omitted in his Lett. Patent made to *Simon Digby*, yet adjudged a good Grant. And 38 H. 6. 33. a Declaration in which it was alledged that *W. T. resignavit, &c. in manus J. Episcopi & loci illius Ordinarii*, and Exception was taken, because it was not *in manus Johannis Episcopi*, for *litera J. nihil significat*, and yet the Declaration adjudged good. And in 4 (e) H. 6. 16. b. between the D. of *Tork* and the E. of *Warwick* the Writ was *Herr' Dei gratia Rex Angl', Rex Hiber'*, where it should be *Dominus*, and for this Incongruity the Writ shall abate, but a Grant by such Name shall be good enough. So in the Conufance of a Fine, false *Latin* or Incongruity shall not hurt the Fine, as in the Case before, where a Fine is levied *de maneriis de B. & H.* where it is but one Manor; and 9 E. 3. a Warrant was in the Fine, *eidem Galfrido & uxori sue*, where it should be *eisdem*, and yet good; and 24 E. 3. 37. a. the Fine was *pro (f) omnibus servitiis, exactioibus, & ad'is pertinentibus*, where it should be *pertinentibus*, and therefore challenged, and notwithstanding allowed. (f) Fitz. Brief 406.

2. It was Objected, That by the Law without special Words a (*g*) Steward cannot make a Deputy, (*g*) Co. Lit. because it is an Office of Knowledge, Fidelity, and 234. a. b. Discre-, Lit. Sect. 379^a

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

Discretion; and therefore, *Fleta, lib. 2. cap. 72.* describes what Person a Steward ought to be, (a) *Provideat tunc sibi Dominus de Senescallo circumspetto & fideli, viro provido, discreto & gratioso, humili & pudico, & pacifico, & modesto, quæ in legibus consuetudinibusq; Provinciæ, & officio Senescalciæ se cognoscat, & jura domini sui in omnibus tueri affectet, quique sub-ballivos Domini in suis erroribus & ambiguis sciat instruere, & docere, quique egenis parcere, & nec prece vel pretio velir a tramite justitia deviare, & perverse judicare.* And therefore it was said, that this Office is appropriate to the Pl. 1. To his Person, for it is granted to him only during his Life: 2. To his Qualities of his Mind, *s. Science, Fidelity, and Diligence*, which are so individually annexed to him that he can't make a Deputy, nor Assignee, and therewith agree Sir *Hen. Newil's Case, Plow. Com.* 384.* (b) *Litt. lib. 3. cap. Condit. 89. Vide 39 H. 6. 33. (c) 11 E. 4. 1. (d) 10 E. 4. 14. b. 17 H. 7. by Frowick (e) Kelw. 44. b.* and nothing of that was denied by the Court, and yet it was resolved and so adjudged, That the Pl. might (as this Case is) make a (f) Deputy. And it was observed, that this Word Steward is derived from 2 Words, *s. (g) Stede, and Ward,* and is as much as to say, my Place, or for me; and therefore he is commonly called a *Woodward*, who has the Custody and Charge of Wood, and so *Hayward* of my Hedges & *sic de similibus.* And *Senescallus* in *Latin* has the same Signification, as appears in the History of *Ingulphus 463. inter Consuetudines Scaccarii*, where the Under-Sheriff because he exercised the Place of the Sheriff himself is called *Seneschallus Vicecomitis*, and therefore a great Officer within this Realm is called, the High Steward, because the King appoints him in divers Cases to exercise his Place, &c. There is a great Difference betwixt a Deputy (b) and an Assignee of an Office; for an Assignee is a Person who has an Estate or Interest in the Office it self, and doth all Things in his own Name, for whom his Grantor shall not answer, unless it is in special Cases, but a Deputy has no Estate or Interest in the Office, but is but the Officer's Shadow, and doth all Things in the Name of the Officer himself, and nothing in his own Name, and for whom his Grantor shall (i) answer; and when an Officer has Power to make Assignees, he may *implicite* make Deputies, for (k) *cui licet quod majus est, non debet quod minus est non licere;* and by Consequence, when an Office is granted to one and his Heirs, (l) thereby he may make an Assignee, and by Consequence a Deputy. And in the Case at Bar, the principal Parts of the Office of Steward of a Manor is *intrare querelas, plac', Surrenders, Admittances, and Fealties, probare testament', & comitt' administrat' inframaner', &c.* and the Suitors

(a) Co. Lit. 61. b. Poitea 50. a.

* Plow. 379. (b) Co. Lit. 234. a. b. Lit. Sect. 379. (c) Fitz. Grant de Roy 25. Br. Deputy 9. Br. Grant 108. Br. Patents 65, 66. Br. Officer 28. 2 Rol. 154. Poitea 50. a. (d) Br. Deputy 8. Br. Patents 64. Perk. Sect. 104. (e) Kelw. 44. b. (f) Bridg. 31. Antea 47. b. (g) Co. Lit. 61. a.

(h) Perk. Sect. 100.

(i) Cawley 148. (k) 4 Co. 23. a. 5 Co. 7. a. Cawdry's Case. (l) Plow. 379. b.

are Judges of the Court-Baron, and the Steward for the most Part as Prothonotary or Register to the (a) Sutors, &c. for which Manual labour in Writing, &c. the Steward takes small Fees. Now when the Queen grants the Office of Steward of the said Manors to the Plaintiff, who is an Earl, so that in respect of the Smallness of the Office in a base Court, and of the Dignity of the Person being an Earl, it is implied in Law for Convenience that he may make a Deputy, for whom the Earl ought to answer, so that it can't be any Prejudice to the Queen, and his Deputy *exercebit officium laboris*, as in holding of the Court-Baron, and in entering of the Pleas, Surrenders; and when need shall be in Cases of Difficulty, or concerning the Profit of the Queen, the Earl *exercebit officium fiducia, scientia, & ingenii. Comites dicuntur a comitando, quia comitantur Regem. Bracton lib. 1. cap. 8. Comites a comitatu, sive a societate nomen sumpserunt; qui etiam dici possunt Consules, Reges enim tales sibi associant ad consulendum.* And this was the most eminent and supreme Dignity from the Conquest, until 11 E. 3. when the Black Prince was created Duke of Cornwall, and those who of ancient Times were created Earls were of the Blood Royal. And even to this Day, the King in all his Appellations styles them, *per nomen Charissimi Consanguinei nostri*, and for these Reasons the Law gives them high and great Privileges; and therefore their (b) Bodies shall not be arrested for Debt, Trespass, &c. because the Law intends that they assist the King with their Counsel for the Commonwealth; and keep the Realm in Safety by their Prowess and Valour. Also for the same Reason they shall not be put on (c) Juries, altho' it is for the Service of the Country. Also if Issue is taken, whether the Plaintiff or Defendant is an Earl or not, it shall not be tried by Jury, (d) but by the King's Writ. Also the Demandant shall not have Day of (e) Grace against a Lord of the Parliament, because he is intended to attend the Publick: And all these and many other (f) appear in our Books, 48 E. 3. 30. b. Register 179. b. F. N. B. 247. c. 48 Ass. p. 6. 22 Ass. p. 24. 32 H. 6. 27. 35 H. 6. 46. a. So that as when such Office descends to an Infant, or a Man *Non compos mentis*, or Idiot, &c. they of Necessity ought to exercise it by Deputy, so an Earl for the Necessity which the Law intends, or his Attendance upon the King and the Publick, this Stewardship of a base Court shall be exercised by his Deputy; and therefore it was agreed, That if a Parkeship is granted to an Earl, without Words to make a Deputy, he may keep it by his Servants. And in many Cases the

(a) 4 Co. 33. b.
6 Co. 11. b.
8 Co. 60. b.
Godb. 49.
1 Rol. 543.
Cr. El. 792.
Cro. Jac. 582.
4 Inst. 266,
268.
7 E. 4. 23. a.
21 E. 4. 66. b.
1 Mod. Rep. 171.
12 H. 7. 16, 17.
Co. Lit. 58.
(b) 6 Co. 52. b.
Postea 60. a.
68. a.
Cr. Argum. 106.
2 Leon. 174.
Hob. 61. F. N. B.
427. c.
Stil. 222.
(c) 6 Co. 53. a.
27 H. 8. 22. b.
Br. Exempt. 3.
Co. Lit. 156. c.
F. N. B. 165. a.
Moor 767.
2 Rol. 646.
Dy. 314. pl. 98.
Doct. & Stud.
15. a. b.
1 Jones 153.
Br. Challenge
37, 209.
48 E. 3. 30. b.
48 Ass. pl. 6.
(d) 6 Co. 53. a.
12 Co. 70, 94,
95.
2 Inst. 50.
9 Co. 31. a.
2 Rol. 575.
22 Ass. pl. 24.
Co. Lit. 16. b.
Moor 767.
7 Co. 15. a.
Calvin's Case:
Br. Trial 119.
35 H. 6. 46. a.
Fitz. Challenge
44.
Br. Chall. 18.
(e) Co. Li. 135. a.
27 H. 8. 22. b.
Fitz. Jour. 3, 12.
27 E. 3. 88. a.
40 E. 3. 31. a.
(f) Cr. Car.
206.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

Law allows diverse Acts for Conveniency in respect of the Dignity of the Person; as if Licence is given to a Duke to hunt in a Park, the Law for Conveniency gives him such Attendants as are requisite to the Dignity of his Estate. *Vide* (a) 12 H. 7. 25. b. & (b) 13 H. 7. 10. b. So when a Bishop is riding, it is not convenient to his Estate and Degree to be forced to examine the Ability of a Clerk, but he ought to attend his convenient Leisure, 14 H. 7. 21. 15 H. 7. 7. & 8. a. And of ancient Time the Earl was (c) *Præfectus, seu Præpositus Comitatus*, for so imports the Saxon Word, *s. Shireveve, i. the Reve* of the Shire, which is as much as to say *præpositus Comitatus*, and had the Charge and Custody of the County, and is called by the *Romans, Satrapas*, which Word they had from the *Persians*, and was applied to those who were, *Præfecti Provinciæ*. And *Vicecomes est vicem gerens sive vicarius Comitatus*: And now the Sheriff has the whole Authority for Administration and Execution of Justice, which the E. had. And now the K. by his Letters Pat. commits to the Sheriff (d) *Custodiam Comitatus*, without express Words to make a Deputy, and yet he who comes in lieu of the E. may make one *Subvicecomes*, *i. his Deputy*, who in ancient Time, as appears before, was called *Seneschall Vicecom*, and in the Stat. of *Westm. 2. c. 39.* he is called *Subvicecomes*, and in 11 H. 7. c. 15. he is called *Shire-Clerk*; and if (e) *Vicecomes qui gerit vicem comitis* may make a Deputy, *a fortiori* the Earl himself may do it; & *eo potius* in the Case at Bar, because it concerns private Causes in a base Court. Also when before the Statute of *Quia Emptores terrarum* the King or other Lord, &c. have given Lands to a Knight to hold of him by Knights Service, *s. to go with his Lord* (when the King makes a Voyage Royal to subdue his Enemies) for 40 Days, well and conveniently array'd for the War, in this Case the Law had so much regard to the Dignity of Knighthood (which is the inferior Degree of Dignity) that he might find another able Person, &c. to go for him with the King to the War; and therewith agrees (f) 7 E. 3. 29. a. b. which two Cases, one concerning the publick Administration and Execution of Justice in Time of Peace, and the other the publick Defence of the Realm in Time of War, were more strong Cases than the Case at Bar. And it appears in the said Letters Patent that it was the Intent of the Queen, That the Earl should make a Deputy by these Words, *Volentes & firmiter injungendo præcipientes per præsentis omnibus & singulis officariis, ministris, & subditis nostris, tam infra libertat quam extra, tenore præsentium, quod eidem Rogero Comiti Rutland & deputato, sive deputatis, suis in præmissis omnibus faciend' & exequend' sint auxiliantes, assistentes, & consulentes prout decet*: By which it appears that the

(a) Br. Tresp. 287.

Br. Licence 10.

(b) Br. Tresp.

431.

(c) Co. Lit.

168. a.

Politea 97. b.

(d) Co. Lit.

168. a.

4 Co. 33. a.

(e) Co. Lit.

168. a.

(f) 8 Co. 105. a.

Co. Lit. 70. a.

Lit. Sect. 96.

PART IX. *The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case.*

intended that the Earl should make a Deputy *in premissis omnibus*: And her grant ought to be taken and expounded, in respect of the Dignity of the Person *secundum intentionem suam*. And as to the Opinion of *Fleta*, (a) *ubi supra*, it is further said, *cujus officium est curias tenere maner & si per substitutum suum hoc plerunq; fecerit, &c.* By which it seems, that then Stewards of Courts might make (b) Deputies.

(a) Ant. 48. b.

(b) Lit. sect. 379.
Co. Lit. 234. a. b.
The 2 Point.

As to 2. Admitting that the Pl. can't make a Deputy, then it was objected, That the Non-user thereof is a Cause of Forfeiture, and to prove that, 2 H. 7. 11. b. in the Case of the Clerk of a (c) Market, &c. was cited. To which it was answered and resolved, That by Non user, the Office in the Case at Bar can't be forfeited. And for the better Understanding of the true Reason of it, It is to be known, That there are three (d) Causes of Forfeiture or Seizure of Offices for Matter in Fact, as for abusing, not using, or refusing. Abusing or Misusing, as if the Marshal, or other Gaoler suffer voluntary Escapes, it is a Forfeiture of their Offices, 39 H. 6. 32. b. 5 Ma. Dy. (e) 151. *Vide in 22 Aff. p. 34.* (f) 11 E. 4. 1. (g) 18 H. 4. 18. 20 E. 4. 5. b. So if a Forester or (b) Parker fell and cut Wood, unless for necessary brush it is a Forfeiture of their Offices; for Destruction of Vert is (l) Destruction of Venison. As to Non-user, (which concerns the Case at Bar) there is a Difference when the (k) Office concerns the Administration of Justice or the Commonwealth, and the Officer *ex officio*, or of Necessity ought to attend without any Demand or Request; there the Non-user or Non-attendance in Court is a Forfeiture, as the Office of (l) Chamberlain in the Exchequer, Prothonotary, Clerk of the Warrants, Exigent, (m) Philizer, &c. in the Com. Pleas, &c. for the Attendance of these and the like Officers is of Necessity for the Administration of Justice; so the Attendance of the Clerk of the Market is of Necessity for the Commonwealth. *Vide (n) 2 H. 7, 11. b.* So of holding the Sheriffs Torn, 1 Ma. Dy. 151. (o) But when the Officer ought not to attend or exercise his Office but on Demand or Request to be made by him to whom he is Officer, there Non-user or Non-attendance, is no Cause of Forfeiture without Demand or Request made; as in the Case at Bar, he was not bound to hold any Courts, but upon Request made, and so much is implied in his Grant, *s.* to hold his Courts when he shall be required; and so it was adjudged in *Walton's Case* in the Com. Pleas, an' 10 El. & an' 20 El. in the same Court in *Rand. Hurleston's Case*; as if a Man grants an Annuity *pro consilio impendendo*, he is not bound to give Counsel but upon Request made, 39 H. 6. 22. a. *John Bruin's Case*, & 22 El. Dy. 369. (p) *Plommer's Case*, 41 (q) E. 3. *Brendon's Case*.

(c) Co. Lit. 233. a.
Hardres 48.

(d) Sawyer's
Argument in
Quo Warranto
15.

(e) Kelw. 194.
a. b. 195. a. b. & c.
2 Rol. 155.

Postea 96. b.
Dy. 151. pl. 4.

(f) 7 Co. 34. b.
2 Rol. 155.

(g) 8 H. 4. 18. a.
(h) Co. Lit.
233. a. b.

11 Co. 98. b.
Moor 9. 10. 787.

(i) Cr. Car. 60.
(k) Co. Lit.
233. a.

Cr. Car. 60,
492.

Postea 99. a.
1 Anderf 29.

4 Leon. 120.
N. Benl. 20.

O. Benl. 16.
(l) 2 Rol. 155.

(m) 2 Rol. 155.
Dy. 114, 115.
pl. 63, 64.

(n) Hardr. 48.
(o) Supra (e)

(p) Dy. 396.
pl. 53.

(q) 41 E. 3. 19. b.
(r) Palm. 533.

But when the Office concerns any Man's (r) private, and the Officer ought *ex Officio* to attend his Office without Request, there the Non-user or Non-attendance is no cause of Forf. unless the Non-user or Non-attend. is cause of Prejudice or Damage to him whose Officer he is in something which concerns his

Co. Lit. 233. a.

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

Charge: As if a Parker or *Custos parci* does not attend one or two Days, and within these Days no Prejudice or Damage happens, it is no Forfeiture; but if by Reason of his Absence Persons unknown kill any Deer, it is a Forfeiture of his Office; and therewith agrees 5 E. 4. 6.

As to Refusal it is to be known, That in all Cases when an Officer is bound upon (a) request to exercise his Office, if he do it not upon Request, it is a Forfeiture: As if the Steward of a Manor is requested by the Lord to hold a Court, which he doth not, it is a Forfeiture.

(*) Cr. Car. 56.
Co. Lit. 233. b.

The 3 Point .

(b) 2 Rol. Rep.
139, 248.
Cr. Car. 325.
Hob. 180.

(c) Fitz. Action
sur le Cafe 33.

(d) Cr. Car.
325, 377, 378.

* Raym. 72.

(e) 2 Rol. Rep.
139, 248.
Fitz. Tresp. 177.
Br. Action sur
le Cafe 46.

(f) Fitz. Acti.
sur le Cafe 34.
1 r. Action sur
le Cafe 20.

Regist. 100. a.
(g) Fitz. Recap-
tion 1.

Br. Recapt. 1.

(h) Supra (e)

Against the Writ and Declaration it was objected, that they were (b) *vi & arm'* (where an Action on the Cafe ought to be without *vi & arm'*) for the Writ and Declart. are, that the Defendants *eund' Comit' ad exercend' dict' Offic' infra dict' maner' de M. & vadia, feoda, commoda, & profic' eid' offic' de jure pertinent' habere & percipere vi & arm' ad tunc & ibid' impediaverunt, & adhuc impediunt.* And the Books in (c) 43 E. 3. 33. a. & 17 E. 4. 2. were cited, and F. N. B. 86. H. that an Action on the Cafe shall be without *vi & arm'*. And as to that it was resolved by the Court, that the Writ and Declart. were good enough. And a Difference was taken betwixt Non-feasance, and (d) Mis-feasance, for Non-feasance or Negligence, shall never be said *vi & armis*, for that would be *oppositum in objecto*, neither for Negligence or * Non-feasance shall the Writ say, *contra pacem*, (e) 12 H. 4. 3. a. (f) 45 E. 3. 17. b. 43 E. 3. 33. a. But some Writs shall be *contra pacem*, which shall not be *vi & armis*, as 9 H. 6. 1. a. (g) Recaption shall be *contra pacem*, and against the Law and the Statute, but shall not be *vi & armis*. So in all Actions for a Thing done against any Stat. the Writ shall be *contra pacem*; vide 17 E. 3. 1. a. altho' it is for Non-Feasance. But when there are 2 Causes of an Action on the Cafe, the one *causa causans*,* and the other *causa causata*: *Causa causans* may be alledged to be *vi & arm'*, for that is not the immediate Cause or Point of the Action, but *causa causata*, as in 12 H. 4. 3. a. the (b) putting of Dung into the River is *causa causans*, and therefore it may be *vi & armis*, but *causa causata*, s. the Point of the Action on the Cafe is the Drowning of the Pl's Land: So in 8 R. 2. *Hosteler* 7. Register 105. a. the Breaking of the Inn may be alledged *vi & armis*; for *defectus custodiæ* is the Point of the Action on the Cafe against the Hostler, M. 29 E. 3. 18. b. The Abbot of *Evesham* brought an Action on the Cafe against certain Persons, and declared that he had a Fair in S. with all that belonged to a Fair, and that the Def. with Force and Arms disturbed the People coming to the Fair (which was *causa causans*) by which the Pl. lost his Toll (which was *causa causata*) the Point of the Action, and the Action maintainable. Vide 16 E. 4. 7. a. b. F. N. B. 89. m. 19 R. 2. Tit: Action sur le Cafe, 52. So in the Case at Bar, the Def. s might (i) *vi & armis* hinder or interrupt the Plaintiff in exercising the Office, and that is *causa causans*, by which he loses his Fees, &c. and that is *causa*

(i) 2 Rol. Rep.
248.

causata the Point of the Action, and 7 *H.* 4. 44. *b.* If an Action on the Case has (*a*) sufficient Matter, altho' it has Matter impertinent also, yet it shall be maintainable.

Against the Action it was objected, That no Action on the Case lies, because it appears by his own shewing that he may have (*b*) *Affise*, *Vide* 2 *H.* 4. 11. *a. b.* 13 *H.* 7. 26. *a. b.* and many other Books. But it was answered and resolved by the Court, That of Things not manurable, *hereditamenta incorporea*, as Common, Corody, Office, Rent, &c. he who is seised of them is in Election to have *Affise*, and admit himself to be out of Possession; as if a Man seised of a Corody certain, is disturbed thereof by another, by which he can't take his Corody; yet he may grant it over; otherwise it is of Land. And therewith agrees 17 *E.* 2. (*c*) *Nuper obiit* 12. So if another takes my Rent; yet I may grant it over, and therewith agree 24 *E.* 3. 4. 15 *E.* 4. 8. 1 *E.* 5. 5. *a.* 19 *R.* 2. *Action sur le Case* 51. *J. F.* brought an Action on the Case against certain Persons, and declared, That he is Bedel of the Hundred of *H.* and ought to have of every Brewer, who sells 3 Gallons of the best *c*) Beer for 7 *d.* certain Beer; and says that he, and those whose Estate he has in the same Hundred have been seised thereof: And *Hankford* took 3 Exceptions to the Declaration, 1. That he has not shewed how he has his Estate, & *non allocatur*, 2. He claims by Prescription of every of these Brewers Beer by Virtue of his Office; and he has joined sundry Brewers in his Action; where he ought to have several Actions, & *non allocatur*, for all in Covin were necessary. 3. He has shewed he was disturbed, in which Case he ought to have *Affise*, & *non allocatur*. But the Reason of the Rule of the Book is mistaken by the Reporter; for there the Reason which is given is, because peradventure he has nothing in the Office but for a Time, as a Clark has nothing but the Occupation, &c. the Contrary of which appears in the Declaration, where he prescribes in the said Office; but the true Reason is, That it is in his Election, as is aforesaid.

Against the Verdict 5 Exceptions were taken, 1. That there was no Disturbance found, and if any Disturbance is found, the Disturbance alledged in the Declaration is not found: First the said (*d*) Words which passed betwixt them, was no Disturbance or Interruption of the Plaintiff, as in 16 *E.* 4. 10. *b.* & 11. *a.* *Dawid Malpas* was bound to another, that he should not interrupt him in exercising the Office of Parker, &c. and they met in *London*, and *Malpas* said to the Parker, that if he would be so hardy to come to the said Park, and use the Office aforesaid, that he would beat him, and it is there held that this verbal Threatning is not

(*a*) Br. Brief
114.
Br. Action sur
le Case 37.
Br. Nugation,
&c. 99.
The 4. Point.
(*b*) Cr. El. 198,
199, 466, 520,
845.
Noy 37.
2 Leon. 184.
3 Leon. 13, 263.
4 Leon. 167,
168, 224.
Dy. 250. pl. 88.
N. Benl. 224.

(*c*) i Rol. 106.
6 Co. 61. a.

The 5 Point,

(*d*) 8 Co. 91. a. b.
1 Rol. 400
1 Jones 169.
1 Audert. 173:

The Earl of Shrewsbury's Case. PART IX.

any Interruption. 2. There is no Disturbance found *vi & arm'* which is alledg'd in the Declarat. To which it was answered and resolved by the Court; that there was an express Disturb. found, *f. the holding of Courts, and the Taking of Fees; for impedire est pedem imponere, & impediment' est quo quis impeditur ut non perficiat qd' ad se pertinet;* and altho' the Disturbance with all the Circumstances be not found, which is alledg'd in the Declarat. yet if any Disturb. is found which is there alledg'd, it is sufficient, and that without Question is directly found. 3. The Verdict is, *Qd' quid' Si. Sterne ad tunc existens deputat' præd' Comit' Rutland' pro exercitio præd' Officii Seneschalli præd' Maner' de M.* and it is not found that he made the said *Sterne* his Deputy by his Deed, as it ought to be, as it is agreed in 28 *H. 8.* (a) Deputy 17. for this Reason the Verdict was insufficient. To which it was answered and resolved; that it is true, that he who makes a Deputy ought to make him by Writing: But when the Jury find that *S. Sterne* was his Deputy, all necessary Incidents are thereby also found; and therefore upon the Matter they have found that it was by Deed. 4. The Verdict is, *Qd' (b) accessit ad villam de M. & ad usualem locum ibid' ubi Curia Maner' de M. communiter teni' & custodit' fuit,* and it is not found that he came to any Part of the Manor, but only *ad villam de M.* and therefore it is insufficient; for the Court ought to be held either upon (c) Part of the Manor, or at least upon some Part of that which is holden of it, but it may well be that some Part of the Town is not within the Manor, but held of some other Manor, *& non allocatur.* 1. Because it shall be intended *prima facie* in this special Verdict, that the Manor includes the whole Town. 2. The other Words, *f. ad usualem locum ubi Cur' Maner' &c.* make in a special Verd. the Matter clear, that it shall be intended in some Place within the Manor, for such precise Form is not by Law requir'd in special (d) Verdicts, which are the finding of Lay People, as in pleading, which is made by Men learned in the Law. Lastly it was objected, That the Verdict has found, that *semper abinde,* (s. from 16 Day of *Feb. &c.*) *iidem* Th. Woodward & Rob. Spencer *receperunt omnia feoda pertinen' Seneschal' ibid'* which ought to be intended till the Finding of the Verdict, and because they have given Damages entirely for all, whereas it ought to be only for the Taking of the Fees before the Original; for this Case the Verdict was insufficient. To which it was answered and resolved by the Court; 1. that the Beginning of this Sentence is, and (e) *abinde usque impetrationem prædict' brevis original', &c.* which Words in this special Verdict shall guide and limit the 2 *abinde* also. 2. The Jurors, if, *&c.* find them guilty *de transgress. infra scripti* which was alledg'd in the Writ and Declaration from the 16th of *February hucusque,* which taking all the Words together ought to be intended *usque ad impetrationem brevis.* And afterwards in the End of this Term a Writ of Enquiry of

(a) Br. Deputy
17. in Fine.

(b) Hob. 56.

(c) Co. Lit. 58 a.
4 Co. 27. a.
Owen 35.

(d) Cr. Jac. 64,
146.
Yelv. 61.
Cr. El. 167,
659.
Lit. Rep. 200.

(e) Hard: 347.

Damages was awarded by the Court, and upon the Return thereof Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. And the Ch. Justice in his Argument said, That in the said Letters Patent, there is a general Clause which refers to the Grant of the said Office of Steward last named, and the other Offices which were before granted, *s. una cum omnibus aliis profic', juribus, commodit', & emolument' dict' omnibus & singulis officiis cum cæteris præmissis provenient' seu aliquo modo spectant' & adeo plene & integre, & in tam amplis modo & forma, prout Tho. Manners Miles, &c. aut aliquis alius, sive aliqui alii offic' præd' vel eorum aliquis ante hæc tempora occupans sive occupantes, habuit & percepit, habuerunt sive perceperunt, &c.* And if in any former Patent of the said Office of Stewardship, the Patentee had express Power to make a Deputy, that then by these general Words *de omnibus juribus, &c. adeo plene, & integre, &c. prout aliquis, &c.* being applied to a particular Charter which has such express Authority, the Plaintiff may make a Deputy, and to this purpose 43 E. 3. 22. 18 Eliz. *Dyer* (a) 351. & *Hill*. 40 Eliz. (b) *Ameredith's Case* in the Exchequer were cited.

(a) Dy. 351.
pl. 22.
(b) Ant. 29. b.
2 Rol. Rep. 156.
1 Vent. 409,
412.
2 Brownl. 341.
Hard. 456.
Palm. 81.

*Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.**In Communi Banco.**Hickmot's Case.*

IN an Action of Debt brought by *William Hickmot* against *Thomas Oxenbridge* on a Bond of 40 l. 1 Jan. 5 Jac. the Def. pleaded in Bar, That after the Making of the said Bond, *sc.* 10 Julii 1608. the Plaintiff released unto him, and pleaded Part of the Release, the Plaintiff demanded Oyer of the Release, which was read to him in these Words, *July the 13 Day in anno 1608. It is concluded and agreed, upon the Day and Tear above written, between Wm. Hickmot of the one Party, and Tho. Oxenbridge of the other Party, That upon good Considerations, drawing the Parties thereunto, The said Will. Hickmot doth acknowledge himself fully satisfied and discharged of all Bonds, Debts or Demands whatsoever, from the Beginning of the World until this present Day, by the said Tho. Oxenbridge. And that be the said Will. Hickmot is to deliver all such Bonds as he hath yet undelivered to Tho. Oxenbridge, except one Bond of 40 l. yet unforfeit, which is for the Payment of 22 l. wherein the said Tho. Oxenbridge and Rog. Oxenbridge, his Brother, standeth bound to the said Will. Hickmot, In Witness whereof, &c.* And the Plaintiff said that he ought not to be barred of his Action, for he said that the Bond of 40 l. so excepted, and the said Bond *Cur' hic prolat'* are one and the same Bond, &c. upon which the Defendant demurred in Law. And in this Case three Points were resolved 1. That the said Acknowledgment by his Deed to be satisfied and discharged of all Bonds, is in Judgment of Law a Release or Discharge of the Bonds, for none ought to be satisfied but once, although the word Discharged is not properly said of the Part of the Obligee, but of the Obligor, for the Obligor is to be discharged; yet when the Obligee confesses himself to be

discharged of all Bonds by the said *Tbo. Oxenbridge*, it amounts to as much as the Bonds themselves shall be discharged: So that as well this Word Discharged, as this Word Satisfied, is sufficient in Law to bar the Plaintiff of all Benefit of the said Bonds; For by what Words a Debt by a Deed may be created, by the contrary Words it may be discharged. *Vide (a) 22 E. 4. 22. a. (b) 8 E. 4. 5. a. 37 H. 6. 9. a.* what shall be good Words (c) Obligatory: *Et bis idem exigi bona fides non paritur, & in satisfactionibus non permittitur amplius fieri quam semel factum est.*

2. It was resolved, That the said Exception shall (d) extend to all the Premises, and not only to the Clause of Delivery, for 3 Reasons; 1. Because it is a Rule, *Quod (e) exceptio semper ultimo ponenda est. Vide Regist. 1. b. 2.* All the Words before make but one entire Sentence, and one depending upon the other, for it was Reason, when Bonds are satisfied and discharged, that they should be delivered.

3. There was Reason, that this Bond of 40 l. should be excepted, for it was not then due.

The 3 Point, That now it (f) appears by the Plaintiff's Confession in his Replication, That he can't have an Action against the Defendant only, but ought to have brought it against him and *Roger Oxenbridge*, for the Bond of 40 l. excepted was a joint Bond; and the Plaintiff avers in his Replication, that is the Bond upon which he has conceived this Action, and therefore he has abated his own Writ. But the Court gave Day to another Term, at which Day the Plaintiff was Nonfuit,

- (a) Fitz. Oblig.
10.
Br. Oblig. 63.
Wenrw. 167.
2 Rol. 146, 147.
(b) Fitz. Oblig.
9.
Br. Oblig. 5.
(c) Dyer 22.
pl. 139, 140.
19 R. 2.
Det. 166;
Kelw. 34. b.
37 H. 6. 9. a.
40 E. 3. 2. a.
Fitz. Oblig. 14.
Br. Oblig. 8.
Starh. Oblig. 1.
2 Rol. 146, 147.
(d) Lit. Rep.
209, 210.
(e) Lit. Rep.
63.
(f) 1 Jones 304.
3 Co. 52. b.
Hob. 14, 199.
Stile 354.
8 Co. 133. b.

Mich. 8 Jacobi.

In Communi Banco.

Baten's Case.

Henry Baten, and Elizabeth his Wife brought a *quod permittat* against George Sampson, to prostrate a House in the Parish of St. Clements Danes without Temple Bar, London, which the said George wrongfully, and without Judgment had built *ad nocumentum liberi tenementi nuper Johannis Pleader, & modo præd' Henrici & Eliz. in jure ipsius Eliz. &c.* And declared that the said John Pleader was seised of a Messuage in the Strand in the said Parish in Fee, and so seised the said George, *ult' Octob. anno 41 Eliz.* wrongfully, and without Judgment (a) erected upon his Freehold a House, so near the said Messuage *nuper præd' Johannis Pleader & modo ipsorum Henrici & Eliz. sic quod Orientalis pars ejusdem domus ipsius Georgii (b) superpendet, Anglice, doth jut over* the said Messuage late of the said John Pleader, and now of the said Henry and Elizabeth *in latitudine 17 Inches, and in longitudine 17 Feet, ad nocumentum liberi tenementi ipsorum Henrici & Eliz. in eadem, &c.* to their Damage of 100 l. upon which the Defendant demurred in Law. And in this Case 3 Points were resolved. 1. That it was not necessary to (c) shew how the Plaintiffs had the Estate of John Pleader in the said House to which the Nuisance is done, for so have always been the Forms of Actions upon the Case, and the Declarations upon them in such Cases: And so was it adjudged and affirmed in a Writ of *Error*, as appears by the Record (which agrees with this Case) in *Penruddock's Case in the fifth Part of my Reports f. 100. b.* 2. It was objected, That there was Variance between the Writ and the Declaration in this Case, because the Writ was (d) *levavit, &c. ad nocumentum liberi tenementi nuper Johannis Pleader, & modo præd.*

(a) 3 Inft. 201,
202.

Godb. 233.
(b) 1 Brownl. 4.

(c) 1 Brownl. 4.
Doërin. pl. 87.
1 Rol. Rep. 394.

(d) Godb. 233.
2 Inft. 406.

præd' Hen. & Eliz. and the Declaration was levavit, &c. domum, &c. tam prope Messuag' præd' Hen. & Eliz. sic qd' Orientalis pars, &c. superpendet, Anglice, doth jut over, præd' messuag' nup' præd' Johan. Pleader, & modo ipsorum Hen. & Eliz. &c. ad nocumentum liberi tenementi ipsorum Hen. & Eliz. in eadem, so that the Writ is, *ad nocumentum liberi tenementi nup' Joh. Pleader, & modo of the Pl.'s*, and the Declaration is, *ad nocument' liberi tenementi ipsorum Hen. & Eliz. and so Variance; & non allocatur*, for the Pl's shew in their Declaration (a) that the Erection was in the Time of *J. Pleader, &c.* which agrees with the Writ, because the Erection was *ad nocumentum Joh. Pleader*, and the Conclusion *ad nocumentum* of the Pls. is necessary; for otherwise they can't maintain an Action, nor demand Damages. 3. It was objected, That the Pls. have declared generally, *ad nocumentum*, and have not assigned any Nufance in certain, *sc.* That the (b) Rain fell from the said House newly built, upon the Pl.'s House, or that the Windows are stopped, by which he loses the Light, &c. as in (c) 4 *Aff.* 3 & 4 *E.* 3. 36. a. b. (d) *Richard de Dalby's Case*, the Pl. in the *quod permittat* shewed the Manner of the Nufance, *sc.* when the Smoke entred into the said Houses, so that no Man could live there. So in 18 *E.* 3. 22. b. (e) A Man brought a Writ of Nufance of a House levied to his Freehold, and declared that where he had a House, and under his House had a Place which contained so much in length, and so much in breadth, by which the Water used to descend from his House and pass, there the Def. had built a House above the Spout, so that the Water and Drops of Rain could not fall as they ought, but fell upon the Walls of his House, whereby the Timber of his House perished. So in 32 *Aff.* 2. In *Affise of Nufance quare divertit (f) cursum aquæ, &c.* and assigned that he made a Trench cross a River which came to the Pl.'s Mill, so that it was misturned, insomuch that where the Mill used to grind 3 Quarters, &c. it could now grind but a Bushel, and also that the said Water did drown 15 Acres of the Pl.'s Meadow, adjoining to the same Mill; so as where he used to have 40 Loads of Hay in them, he could now have but 7, &c. *Vide* 30 *E.* 3. 3. a. & 26. a. b. 17 *E.* 3. * 39. 2 (g) *H.* 4. 13. a. b. and so it was in the said Case of *Penruddock*. But it was resolved, that the Plaintiffs need not in this Case assign any (b) special Nufance; for here it appears to the Court, that it is to the Plaintiffs Nufance; For this Case differs from all the said Cases; for in this Case the Defendant has built a new House, which overhangs Part of the Plaintiff's House (which was not in any of the other Cases) so that of (i) Necessity the Rain which falls from the new House must fall upon the Pl.'s House. Also

(a) Doctrin. pl. 96, 384.

(b) 2 Roll. 140; 141.

(c) Doctrin. placit. 86. Br. Nufance 16. Br. Travers, &c. 167.

(d) 4 E. 3. 36. a. b. (e) 2 Rol. 140. Fitz. Nufance 1.

(f) Fitz. Assise 309.

* 17 E. 3. 9. b. 2 Rol. 142.

(g) Fitz. quod permittat 2. Br. quod permittat 3. Br. Brief 523.

(h) Doctrin. placit. 86.

(i) 2 Roll. 140; 141.

(a) *Cujus*

(a) 2 Rol. 141.
Co. Lit. 4. a.
Cr. El. 118.
(b) 2 Rol. 141.
(c) Co. Lit.
303. b.
(d) Doct. pl.
86.
(e) Doct. pl.
86.
8 Co. 126. b.
(f) 8 Co. 126. b.
46 E. 3. 16. b.
Doct. pl. 86.
Fitz. Brief 602.
Br. Faux Latin
8. Form 13.
(g) Doct. pl. 86.
Hard. 81.

(a) *Cujus est solum, ejus est usque ad Cælum.* And therewith agrees 13 H. 8. 1. And by the Overbuilding upon Part of the House of the Pl.'s, he has deprived them of the Air; also he has (b) prevented them from building their House higher; and that which appears (c) to the Court need not be averred; for (d) *Lex non requirit verificare quod apparet Cur'*, Plow. Com. 87. b. in *Patridge's Case.* 13 H. 4. 17. if (e) an Infant brings an Affize of Mortdancer, he need not aver, that it is within the Time of Limitation, for it appears by the Pl.'s Infancy, 46 E. 3. in Trespafs for taking (f) of Money, the Value need not be shewed, because it appears. *Vide* 33 H. 6. 54. 26 H. 6. Gard. 58. 35 H. 6. 30. a. *Bracton* 254. and this is according to the old Verse, *Quod (g) constat clare non debet verificare.* And in *Penruddock's Case*, the Pl. did not assign any special Nufance before the Writ brought; but that *superpendet 3 pedes curtilagii, &c. per qd' aquæ pluviales de eadem domo descendentes, solum ejusd' mesuagii conterunt, ac magnopere indies magis magisq; consumunt & devastant, & ea ratione curtilagium præd' quolibet pluviali tempore humectatum & inundatum existit*: So that all the Words in the said Declaration being in the present Tense, and so after the Writ brought, and no Assignment of any such particular Nufance before the Writ brought, it appears thereby that the Court, as of a Thing apparent, took Notice thereof without Averment, For *Nunc pluit, & toto nunc Jupiter æthera fulget*, and that every one knows: And the Book in 3 E. 3. *Affise* 362. (b) was cited where in Affise of Nufance *de fossa levato ad nocum liberi ten' sui*; and made his Plaint that there where the Water of S. held Course directly from S. to the Water of *Idele*, the Def. had made a Ditch cross the Water so that the Water was stopt and rose, so that his Land lying near the said Ditch is drowned *ad dampnum, &c.* and Exception was taken to it, because he doth not say how much Land is drowned, so that the Plea is uncertain (and Note he doth not shew as in (i) 32 *Aff.* before the particular Nufance upon the drowning, *sc.* that where he used to have so many Loads of Hay, that now he has but so many;) also it might have been said, that by some Manner of drowning, the Meadow would be the better, but there *ad dampnum* implies the Contrary, but it was answered in the Case of (k) 3 E. 3. that the Affise shall say in certain, because sometimes more may be drowned, and sometimes less, wherefore the said Plaint was adjudged good. So in the Case at Bar, the Jury shall enquire of the Certainty and Quantity of the Damage which happened to the Pl. by the said Nufance. *Nota* Reader, there are 2 (l) Ways to redress a Nufance, one by Action, and in that he shall recover Damages, and have Judgment that the Nufance shall be remov'd, cast down, or abated, as the Case requires; or the

(b) Doct. pl. 86.

(i) 32 *Aff.* 2.
Antea 54. a.

(k) 3 E. 3.
Affise 362.
Supra. h.

(l) 1 Jones 222.

the Party grieved may (a) enter and abate the Nufance himself, as appears by 17 E. 3. 44. 9 E. 4. 35. and in *Penraddock's Case*, but then he shall not have an Action, nor recover Damages, for in an *Affise of Nufance*, or *Quod permittat prosternere*, &c. it is a good Plea, that the Plaintiff himself either before the Writ brought, or pending the Writ, has abated the Nufance: For in an *Affise* or *Quod permittat*, he shall have Judgment of 2 Things, *sc.* to have the Nufance abated, and to recover Damages, and he has disabled himself by his own Act to have Judgment for one of them, *sc.* to have a Nufance abated, and therefore the Action doth not lie; and therewith agree 50 E. 3. 11. a. b. The Abbey of *Buckfast's Case*, and 2 H. 4. 1. 46 E. 3. 24. a. 29 Aff. 2. Vide the Stat. of W. 2. c. 24. In (b) *Casibus in quibus conceditur breve de Cancell' de facto alicujus, de cætero non recedant querentes a Curia Regis sine remedio, pro eo quod Tenentum transfertur de uno in alium. Et in Registro de Cancellaria non est inventum aliquod breve in isto casu speciali, sicuti de muro, domo, mercato, conceditur breve super eum qui levavit ad nocumentum, & si (c) transferatur domus, murus, & hiis similia in aliam personam, breve non denegetur, sed de cætero cum in uno casu conceditur breve in consimili casu simili remedio indigente sicut prius fiat breve.* And the Reason, that at the Common Law Affise of Nufance lay not against him who levy'd the Nufance, and him to whom the Tenement was transferred, was because there was not found any Writ of Affise of Nufance in the Register, but which supposed, that the Tenants in the Affise *levaverunt*; and that can't be said when the Tenement is transferred to another; for he did not levy the Nufance, but only the other; and now this Stat. gives a Writ of Affise in such Case: *sc.* *Questus est nobis A. quod B. (who levied the Nufance) & C. (to whom the Tenement is transferred) levaverunt*, and this Stat. extends only to Affise of Nufance against him who made the Nufance and his Alicnee, 30 E. 3. 26. a. b. 46 E. 3. 23. b. 24. a. 50 E. 3. 11. a. b. and afterwards the Plaintiffs in the *Quod permittat* had Judgment.

(a) 1 Rol. Rep. 394.
3 Bullf. 197.
Cr. El. 296.
1 Jones 222.
2 Rol. 144, 145, 565.
5 Co. 101. b.
Cr. Jac. 555.
Cr. Car. 185.
9 E. 4. 35. b.

(b) 2 Infl. 405.
&c.

(c) 5 Co. 101. a.

2 Infl. 405.

T H E
Poulterers Case.

- (a) Moor 813,
814.) *MICH. 8 Jac. Regis*, The Case between (a) *Stone* Plaintiff, and *Ralph Waters*, *Henry Bate*, *F. Woodbridge*, and many other Poulterers of *London* Defendants, for a Combination, (b) Confederacy, and Agreement betwixt them falsely and maliciously to charge the Plaintiff (who had married the Widow of a Poulterer in *Gracechurch-street*) with the Robbery of the said *Ralph Waters*; supposed to be committed in the County of *Essex*, and to procure him to be indicted, arraigned, adjudged, and hanged, and in Execution of this false Conspiracy, they procured divers Warrants of Justices of Peace, by Force whereof *Stone* was apprehended, examined, and bound to appear at the Assizes in *Essex*; at which Assizes the Defendants did appear, and preferred a Bill of Indictment of Robbery against the said Plaintiff; And the Justices of Assize hearing the Evidence to the Grand Jury openly in Court, they perceived great Malice in the Defendants in the Prosecution of the Cause, and upon the whole Matter it appeared, That the Pl. the whole Day that *Waters* was robbed, was in *London*. so that it was impossible that he committed the Robbery, and thereupon the Grand Inquest found (c) *Ignoramus*. And it was moved and strongly urged by the Defs. Counsel, That admitting this Combination, Confederacy and Agreement between them to indict the Pl. to be false, and malicious, that yet no Action lies for it in this Court, or elsewhere, for divers Reasons. 1. Because no Writ of *Conspiracy* for the Party grieved, or Indictment or other Suit for the K. lies, but where the Party grieved is indicted, and *legitimo modo acquietatus*,
- (b) Cr. Car.
15, 16.
3 Inst. 143.
2 Rol. Rep.
258.
2 Bulst. 271.
1 Jones 93.
Litch. 79, 80.
Hur. 49.
O. Bendl. 124.
Palm. 315.
1 Rol. 110, 111,
112.
Hard. 196.
2 Inst. 561, 562.
- (c) 1 Jones 94.

acquietatus, as the Books are, (a) *F. N. B.* 114. b. 6 *E.* 3. 41. (a) *F. N. B.* a. 24 *E.* 3. 34. b. 43 *E.* 3. *Conspiracy* 11. 27 *Aff. p.* 59. 19 *H.* 6. 28. 114. d. 21 *H.* 6. 26. 9 *E.* 4. 12. *Ec.* 2. Every one who knows himself guilty, may to cover their Offences, and to terrify or discourage those who would prosecute the Cause against them, surmise a Confederacy, Combination, or Agreement betwixt them, and by such Means notorious Offenders will escape unpunished, or at the least, Justice will be in danger of being perverted, and great Offences smothered, and therefore they said, that there was no Precedent or Warrant in Law to maintain such a Bill as this is. But upon good Consideration, it was resolved that the Bill was maintainable; and in this Case divers Points were resolved.

1. That at the Com. Law, (which not only favours the Life, but also the Liberty of a Man, and Freedom from Imprisonment,) when a Man was imprisoned *pro morte hominis*, *Ec.* where *prima facie* by the Law he was notailable, and *ne detineatur diu in prisona*, *sc.* till the Coming of the Justices in Eire, as appears by the Stat. *W.* 1. *cap.* 11. the Prisoner in such Case might have a Writ *de* (b) *Odio & atia*, directed to the Sheriff, *quod* (c) *assumptis tecum custodibus placitorum coronæ in pleno comitatu per Sacramentum proborum & legalium hominum, Ec. inquiras utrum A. captus & detentus in prisona, Ec. pro morte W. unde retatus (i. accusatus) est, retatus sit odio & atia, an eo quod inde culpabilis sit, & si odio & atia, tunc quo odio & atia, Ec. nisi indictatus vel appellatus fuerit coram Justic' nostris ultimo itinerantibus in partibus illis, & pro hoc captus & imprisonatus, Ec.* by which it appears, that if the Prisoner be indicted 'or appealed, and by Force thereof imprisoned, the said Writ being but a Surmise lay not against the said Matter of Record.

2. It is to be observed, That if upon the said Writ *de odio & atia*, the Jury found him Not guilty, yet the Sheriff, with the Coroners, or any of them, could not bail him; but then should issue forth a Writ *de ponendo in ballivum* to the Sheriff, which Writ recites the Inquisition, by which the Prisoner is found Not guilty, or that he did it *se defendendo, & non per feloniam, ex malitia præcogitata, vel per infortunium, tibi præcipimus, quod si præd' A. invenerit tibi 12 probos & legales homines de comit' tuo, Ec. qui eum manucapiant habere coram Justiciariis nostris ad primam Assisam, Ec. ad standum, Ec. tunc ipsum A. Ec. præd' 12 interim tradas in ballivum.* By which it appears, that in such Case the Sheriff without a Writ could not bail him, nor bail by Writ under the Number of 12 Persons who wou'd bail him.

Vide

(b) 2 *Inst.* 42. 43.
5 *H.* 7. 5. 2.
Stamf. Pl. Cor.
77. b.
(c) 2 *Inst.* 42.
Vide Regist.
f. 133. b.

¶ Inst. 43.

Vide Magna Charta, cap. 26. W. I. c. II. Glouc, c. 9. W. 2. c. 29. But now this Writ *de odio & atia* is taken away by the Stat. of 28 E. 3. c. 9. *Vide Registr' ubi supra Stamf. Pl. Cor. 77. g. Vide Bracton lib. 3. 121. b.*

Moore 814.
Cr. Jac. 8.

3. It is to be observed, That there was Means by the Com. Law before Indictment to protect the Innocent against false Accufation, and to deliver him out of Prison: And as *Odium* in the said Writ signifies *Hatred*, so *Atia* or *Atia* signifies *Malice*, because *malitia est acida, i. eager, Sharp and Cruel.*

And it is true, That a Writ of *Conspiracy* lies not, unless the Party is indicted, and *legitimo modo acquietatus*, for so are the Words of the Writ; but that a false Conspiracy betwixt divers Persons shall be punished, altho' nothing be put in Execution, is full and manifest in our Books; and therefore in 27 *Aff. p. 44.* in the Articles of the Charge of Enquiry by the Enquest in the King's Bench, there is a *Nota*, That two were indicted of Confederacy, each of them to maintain the other, whether their Matter be true, or false, and notwithstanding that nothing was supposed to be put in Execution, the Parties were forced to answer to it, because the Thing is forbidden by the Law, which are the very Words of the Book; which proves that such false Confederacy is forbidden by the Law, altho' it was not put in ure or executed. So there in the next Article in the same Book, Inquiry shall be of Conspirators and Confederates, who agree amongst themselves, &c. falsely, to indict, or acquit, &c. the Manner of Agreement and betwixt whom, which proves also, That Confederacy to indict or acquit, altho' nothing is executed, is punishable by Law: And there is another Article concerning Conspiracy betwixt Merchants, and in these Cases the Conspiracy or Confederacy is punishable, altho' the Conspiracy or Confederacy be not executed; and it is held in 19 *R. 2. Brief 926.* A Man shall have a Writ of *Conspiracy*, altho' they do nothing but conspire together, and he shall recover Damages, and they may be also indicted thereof. Also the usual Commission of *Oyer and Terminer* gives Power to the Commissioners to enquire, &c. *de omnibus coadunationibus confederationibus, & falsis alliganciis*; and *Coadunatio* is a Uniting of themselves together, *Confederatio* is a Combination amongst them, and *falsa alligantia* is a false Binding each to the other, by Bond or Promise, to execute some unlawful Act: In these Cases before the unlawful Act executed the Law punishes the Coadunation, Confederacy or false Alliance,

¶ Jones 94.

Moore 814.

to the End to prevent the unlawful Act, *quia (a) quando aliquid prohibetur, prohibetur & id per quod pervenitur ad illud: Et affectus punitur licet non sequatur effectus*; and in these Cases the Common Law is a Law of Mercy, for it prevents the Malignant from doing Mischief, and the Innocent from suffering it. *Hill. 37 H. 8.* in the Star-chamber a Priest was stigmatized with *F. (b)* and *A.* in his Forehead, and set upon the Pillory in *Cheapside*, with a written Paper, for false Accusation. *M. 3 & 4 Ph. & Ma.* one also for the like Case *fuit Stigmaticus* with *F. & A.* in the Cheek, with such Supercription as is aforesaid. *Vide Proverb' 1. Si te laetaverint peccatores & dixerint, Veni nobiscum ut insidiemur sanguini, abscondamus tendiculas contra insontem frustra, &c. omnem pretiosam substantiam reperiemus & implebimus domus nostras spoliis, &c. Fili mi ne ambules coram eis, &c. pedes enim eorum ad malum currunt & festinant ut effundant sanguinem.* And afterwards upon the Hearing of the Case, and upon pregnant Proofs, the Defendants were sentenced for the said false Confederacy by Fine and Imprisonment. *Nota* Reader, These Confederacies, punishable by Law, before they are executed, ought to have four Incidents: 1. It ought to be declared by some manner of Prosecution, as in this Case it was, either by making of Bonds, or Promises one to the other: 2. It ought to be malicious, as for unjust Revenge, &c. 3. It ought to be false against an Innocent: 4. It ought to be out of Court voluntarily.

(a) 2 Inst. 48.
Hardr. 146.

(b) Moor 814.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

William Aldred's Case.

2 Rol. 141.

*W*illiam Aldred brought an Action on the Case against Thomas Benton, which began *Trin. 7. Jacobi. Rot. 2802. in Banco*, that whereas the Plaintiff, 29 *Septemb' anno 6 Jac.* was seised of an House, and a Parcel of Land in Length 31 Feet, and in Breadth 2 Feet and an half, next to the Hall and Parlour of the Plaintiff of his House aforesaid in *Harleston* in the County of *Norfolk* in Fee; and whereas the Def. was possessed of a small Orchard on the East Part of the said Parcel of Land, *præd' Thomas malitiose machinans & intendens ipsum Willielmum de eastamento & proficuo messuag' & parcell' terræ suorum præd' impedire & deprivare*, the said 29th Day of *Septemb' anno 6 Jacobi* quoddam magnum lignile in dicto horto ipsius *Thomæ* construxit & erexit, ac illud adeo exaltavit, &c. quod per lignile illud, &c. tam omnia fenestr' & luminaria ipsius *Willielmi* aulæ & Camerarum suarum, quam ostium ipsius *Willielmi* aulæ suæ prædict' penitus obstupat' fuer', &c. & præd' *Thomas* ulterius machinans & malitiose intendens ipsum *Willielmum* multipliciter pręgravare, & ipsum de toto commodo, eastamento & proficuo totius messuagii sui præd' penitus deprivare, præd' 29 die *Sept. an. 6 suprad'* quoddam edificium pro suis (a) & porcis suis in horto suo præd' tam prope aulam & conclave ipsius *Willielmi* prædict' erexit, ac suos & porcos suos in edificio in horto illo posuit, & ill' ibidem per magnum tempus custodivit, ita quod per factos

(a) Hutt. 136.
2. Rol. 141.

vidos & insalubres odores sordidorum prædicti suum & porcorum præd' Thomæ in aulam & conclave præd' ac alias partes præd' Messuagii ipsius Willielmi penetrant & insuunt idem Willielmus & famuli sui, ac alia personæ in messuagio suo præd' conversantes & existunt absque periculo infectionis in aula & conclavi præd' ac aliis locis messuagii præd' continuare seu remanere non potuerunt: Prætextu cuius idem Willielmus totum commodum, usum, easementum, & proficuum maximæ partis messuagii sui præd' per totum tempus præd' totaliter perdidit & amisit ad damnum ipsius Willielmi 40 l. &c. And the Defendant pleaded Not guilty, and at the Assises in Norfolk he was found guilty of both the said Nuisances, and Damages assessed. And now it was moved in arrest of Judgment, That the Building of the House for Hogs was necessary for the Sustenance of Man; and one ought not to have so delicate a Nose, that he can't bear the smell of Hogs; for *Lex non favet delicatarum votis*: But it was resolved, That the Action for it is (as this Case is) well maintainable; for in an House 4 Things are desired, *habitatio hominis, delectatio inhabitantis, necessitas luminis, & salubritas aeris*, and for Nuisance done to 3 of them an Action lies, *sc. 1.* to the Habitation of a Man, for that is the principal End of a House. *2.* For Hindrance of the Light, for the ancient Form of an Action on the Case was significant, *sc. quod Messuagium horrida tenebritate obscuratum fuit*, therewith agree 7 E. 3. 50. b. 22 H. 6. 14. (a) by Markham, 11 H. 4. 47. and as to this there was a Case adjudged in the King's Bench, *Trim. 29 El. Tho. (b) Bland* brought an Action on the Case against *Thomas Moseley*, and declared how that *James Bland* was seized in Fee of an ancient House in *Netherousegate* in the Parish of *S. Michael* in the County of the City of *York*; and that the said *James*, and all those whose Estate he had in the said House, from Time whereof, &c. have had and have used to have for them and their Tenants, for Life, Years, and at Will in the West side of the said House seven Windows or Lights against a Piece of Land containing half a Rood, in the Parish aforesaid, adjoining to the said House, which Piece of Land from Time whereof, was without any building, until the 28 Day of *Septemb. anno 28 El.* and shewed the Length and Bread of the said Windows for all the Time aforesaid, by Force of which Windows the said *James*, and all those whose Estates he had in the said House from Time whereof, &c. have used to have for them and their Tenants aforesaid divers wholesome and necessary Easements and Commodities, by reason of the open Air and Light, &c. And that the said *James* 20 Sep. an. 28 El. demised to the Pl. the said House for 3 (c) Years; and that the Def. maliciously intending

(a) 22 H. 6. 15. a.
2 Rol. 140.

(b) Hut. 136.
1 Rol. 107, 558.
Yelv. 216.
1 Bulstr. 115,
116.
Godb. 183.

(c) F. N. B.
184.

tending to deprive him of the said Easements, & *obscurare Messuagium præd' horrida tenebritate, &c.* 20 Nov. ann. 29 Eliz. had erected a new (a) Building on the said Piece of Land, so near, &c. that the said 7 Windows were stopped, whereby the Pl. lost the said Easements, &c. *Et maxima pars Messuagii prædict' horrida tenebritate obscurata fuit, &c.* In the Bar of which Action the Defendant pleaded, *quod infra prædict' civitatem Ebor' talis habetur, & a toto tempore cujus contrarii memoria non existit, habebatur consuetudo, videl't, quod si quis habuerit fenestras & visum per easdem versus terram vicini sui, vicinus ille visum illarum fenestrarum obstruere super terram illam solebat & posset, sicut melius viderit sibi expedire.* By Force of which Custom he justified the Stopping of the said Windows; and upon that the Pl. demurred in Law; and it was adjudged by Sir Chr. Wray Ch. Justice, and the whole Court of K.'s Bench, That the Bar was insufficient in Law (b) to bar the Pl. of his Action, for two Reasons: 1. When a Man has a lawful Easement or Profit, by Prescription from Time whereof, &c. another Custom which is also from Time whereof, &c. can't take it away, for the one Custom is as ancient as the other: As if one has a Way over the Land of A. to his Freehold by Prescription from Time whereof, &c. A. can't alledge a Prescription or Custom to stop the said Way. 2. It may be that before Time of Memory, the Owner of the said Piece of Land has granted to the Owner of the said House to have the said Windows, without any stopping of them, and so the Prescription may have a lawful Beginning: And Wray Ch. Justice then said, That for stopping as well of the wholesome Air (c) as of Light an Action lies, and Damages shall be recovered for them, for both are necessary, for it is said, & *vescitur aura ætherea*; and the said Words *horrida tenebritate, &c.* are significant, and imply the Benefit of the Light. But he said, That for (d) prospect, which is a Matter only of Delight, and not of Necessity, no Action lies for stopping thereof, and yet it is a great Commendation of an House if it has a long and large Prospect, *unde dicitur, Laudaturque domus longos qui prospicit agros.* But the Law don't give an Action for such Things of Delight. And Solomon says, *Ecclesiast. II. 7. Dulce lumen est & delectabile oculis videre solem. Et olim (ut Plutarchus in Conv. 7. Sap. refert.) Rex Æthiopum interrogatus quid optimum? respondebat lucem; quis enim natura Duce tenebras non exhorrescit?* and if the Stopping of the wholesome Air, &c. gives Cause of Action, a fortiori an Action lies in the Case at Bar, for infecting and corrupting the Air. And the Building of

(a) 3 Inst. 201, 202.

(b) Yelv. 216. Godb. 183.

(c) 2 Rol. 147.

(d) 2 Rol. 141.

a (a) Lime-kiln is good and profitable, but if it be built so near an House, that when it burns the Smoke thereof so enters into the House, so that none can dwell there, an Action lies for it. So if a Man has a Watercourse running in a Ditch from the River to his House, for his necessary Use; if a *Glover sets up a (b) Lime-pit for Calve-skins, and Sheep-skins, so near the said Watercourse, that the Corruption of the Lime-pit has corrupted it, for which cause his Tenants leave the said House, an Action on the Case lies for it, as it is adjudged in 13 (c) H. 7. 26. b. and this stands with the Rule of Law and Reason, *sc. Prohibetur ne quis faciat in suo quod nocere possit alieno: Et sic (d) utere tuo ut alienum non lædas. Vide in the Book of Entries Tit. Nuisance 406. b.* he who has a several Piscary in a Water shall have an Action on the Case against him who erects a (e) Dyhouse, *ac simos, fraditates, & alia sordida extra domum præd' decurrentia in piscariam præd' decurrere fecit, per quod idem proficuum piscariæ suæ præd' totaliter amisit, &c.* And there is another Precedent against a Dyer, *&c. quod idem Henricus in mansione sua præd' ob metum infectionis per horridum fetorem fumi, fraditatis, & aliorum sordidorum, &c. per magnum tempus morari non audebat.* So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as the Declaration is, That the Defendant maliciously intending to deprive the Plaintiff of the Use and Profit of his House, erected a (f) Swine-Sty, *tam prope gulam & conclave ipsius Will'i, ac sues & porcos suos in edificio illo posuit, & ill' ibid' per magnum tempus custodivit, ita quod fetidi & insalubres odores sordidorum præd' suum & porcorum præd' Thomæ in aulam, &c. penetrant & infuen' idem Will'us ac famuli sui, &c. in mesuag' prædict' conversantes existen' absq; periculo infectionis in aula, &c. continuare seu remanere non potuerunt, prætextu cujus idem Will' totum commodum, &c. maxime partis præd' mesuag' per totum tempus præd' totaliter perdidit.* To which Declaration the Defendant pleaded Not guilty, and was found guilty of the Matter in the Declaration: It was adjudged that the Plaintiff should recover.

(a) 2 Rol. 141.

* Palm. 533.
(b) 2 Rol. 141.
br. Action tur
le Case 123.(c) Ant. 51. a.
Palm. 536.
(d) Palm. 536.(e) 2 Rol. 141.
Palm. 536.(f) 2 Rol. 141.
Hor. 136.
Palm. 536.

Mich. 8 Jacobi.

In Camera Stellata.

John Lamb's Case.

Mcor 813.

John Lamb Proctor of the Ecclesiastical Court exhibited a Bill in the Star-Chamber against *William Marche*, *Rob. Harrison*, and many others of the Town of *Northampton*, and against *Shuchburgh* and others, for publishing two Libels. It was resolved, That every one who shall be convicted in the said Case, either ought to be a Contriver of the Libel, or a procurer of the Contriving of it, or a malicious Publisher of it, knowing it to be a Libel; for if one reads a Libel, that is no Publication of it; or if he hears it read, it is no Publication of it, for before he reads or hears it, he can't know it to be a Libel, or if he hears or reads it, and laughs at it, it is no Publication of it; but if after he has read or heard it, he repeats it, or any Part of it in the Hearing of others, or after that he knows it to be a Libel, he reads it to others, that is an unlawful Publication of it; or if he writes a Copy of it, and does not publish it to others, it is no Publication of the Libel; for every one who shall be convicted ought to be Contriver, Procurer or Publisher of it, knowing it to be a Libel. But it is great Evidence that he published it, when he, knowing it to be a Libel, writes a Copy of it; unless afterwards he can prove that he deliver'd it to a Magistrate to examine it; for then the Act subsequent explains his Intention precedent. *Vide Reader, Bract. lib. 3. tract. de Corona*

Mcor 813.

3 Inst. 174.

4 Co. 125. b.

Mcor 813.

3 Co. 125. b.

Corona cap. 36. fo. 155. Fiat autem injuria, cum quis pugno percussus fuerit, verberatus, vulneratus seu sustibus cæsus; verum etiam cum ei convitium dictum fuerit; vel de eo factum carmen famosum.

Trin. 10 Jacobi Regis.

Robert Bradshaw's Case.

Cr. Jac. 304.
Hob. 114.
Doct. pl. 61.

John Salmond brought an Action of Covenant against *Robert Bradshaw* in the King's Bench, which began *Hill. 8 Jac. Regis rot. 520.* and declared that *Bradshaw* by his Indenture 3 *Aug. anno 7 Jac. Regis*, demised to the said *John Salmond* divers Lands and Tenements in *Stanford*, in the County of *Leicester* for six Years, if *Robert Reyns*, Son and Heir apparent of *Nicholas Reyns* should so long live; and covenanted by the same Indenture with *Salmond*, That the said *Bradshaw* then had full Power and lawful Authority to demise the Premises according to the Form and Effect of the said Indenture. *Salmond* for Breach of the said Covenant in Fact said, That *Bradshaw* at the Time of the Making of the said Indenture, had not full Power and lawful Authority to demise the Premises, according to the Form and Effect of the said Indenture, *Et sic præd Rob'us conventionem suam prædict' cum eodem Johan' in hac parte non tenuit, sed illam penitus infregit Et illam, &c.* to the Damages of *Salmond* 200 *l.* *Bradshaw* pleaded, That after the Making of the said Indenture, there was a Concord betwixt him and *Salmond*, That *Bradshaw* should pay to *Salmond* in full Satisfaction and Discharge of the said Covenant, and of all other Covenants in the said Indenture 12 *l.* which Sum *Bradshaw* paid, and *Salmond* accepted accordingly; *Salmond* denied the Concord upon which they were at Issue, and found for the Plaintiff, and Damages assessed 133 *l.* 7 *s.* 8 *d.* and Costs, &c. whereupon *Salmond* had Judgment for Damages and Costs in toto to 145 *l.* 7 *s.* 8 *d.* upon which Judgment *Bradshaw* brought a Writ of Error in *Cameræ Scaccarii*, and assigned two Errors for the Insufficiency of the Declaration; one that the Plaintiff *Salmond* had not averred, that *Robert Reyns* was alive at the

* Rol. Rep.
119, 111.

the Time of the Beginning of the said Lease, nor at the Time of the Action brought; & *non allocatur*; for the Covenant refers to the Time of the Lease made, and then be *Reyns* alive or dead the Action lies; for if he be dead before the Lease, then the Lease is absolute, and if he died after the Lease, and before the Action brought, yet the Action lies, and Consideration shall be had thereof in Damages. The other Error which was assigned was, That *Salmond* in his Declaration had not shewed what Person had Right, Title, Estate or Interest in the Lands and Tenements demised at the Time of the Making of the said Indenture, by which it might appear to the Court, that *Bradshaw* had not full Power and lawful Authority to demise the Premises, and so enable himself to an Action, and to charge the Defendant to answer him Damages for the Breach of the said Covenant. But upon Conference and Debate amongst the Justices, it was resolved, That the Assignment of the Breach of Covenant was good, for he has followed the Words of the Covenant *negative*, and it lies more properly in the Knowledge of the Lessor what Estate he himself has in the Land which he demises, than the Lessee who is a Stranger to it; and therefore the Defendant ought to shew what Estate he had in the Land at the Time of the Demise made, by which it might appear to the Court, that he had full Power and lawful Authority to demise it. *Nota* this Point adjudged by both Courts.

Cr. Jac. 304.
370.
Doctrin pl. 61.
Co. Lit. 303. b.
1 Mod. Rep. 292.
Cr. Jac. 312.
Yelv. 228.

Spe

*Special Verdict at a Sessions
of Goal-delivery for Newgate
Decemb. 5. Anno 8 Jacobi
Regis.*

Mackalley's Case in killing a Serjeant of London.

Cro. Jac. 279.

AD session' gaolæ deliberationis de Newgate, tent' pro ci-
vitate London, apud Justice Hall in the Old Bailey,
in paroch' sanct' Sepulchri extra Newgate in suburbiis dictæ
civitatis, die Mercur' quinto die Decembris annis regni do-
mini Jacobi Dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Regis,
fidei defensor', octavo, & Scotiæ 44. coram Willielm' Crauen
milit', Major' civitat' præd', Thoma Fleming milit', capital'
Justic' dicti domini Regis ad plac' coram ipso Rege tenend'
assign', Georgio Snigg milit' uno Baron' Scaccarii dicti do-
mini Regis, Johanne Croke milit', uno Justic' dicti domini
Regis ad plac' coram ipso Rege tenend' assign' Thoma Foster
milit', uno Justic' dicti domini Regis de Banco, Edwardo
Bromly milit', altero Baron' dicti domini Regis Scaccarii
sui præd', Joanne Sotherton, altero Baronum Scaccarii sui
præd', Henrico Mountague milit' Recordatore dictæ civit' suæ
London, ac aliis focis suis, Justic' dicti domini Regis, per
litteras patent' ipsius domini Regis, eis & al' & aliquib' quatu-
or vel pluribus eorum inde confect', ad inquirend' per sacrum
proborum & legalium hominum de civit' Lond. tam infra li-
bertat' quam extra, per quos rei veritas melius sciri poterit,
de quibuscunq; prodicionibus, misprisionibus, prodicionum,
insurrectionibus, rebellionibus, ac de quibuscunq; murdris,
feloniis, homicidiis, interfectionibus, burglariis, & al' male-
factis, offensis, & injuriis quibuscunq; infra civitat' prædict'
commiff. in literis patent' præd' specificat', ac ad eadem
prodiones & alia præmiss. secundum legem & consuetudin'
regni

regni domini Regis Angliæ, audiend' & terminand, necnon Justic' ipsius domini Regis ad gaolam præd' de prison' in ea existen' deliberand' assign' per sacramentum Radulphi Edmundi, Leonardi Harwood, Joh. Frost, Edw. Davies, Joh. Lyssant, Francisci Barton, Edw. Parnell, Tho. Hyet, Hen. Kent, Edw. Motley, Humfrid' Lee, Rich. Westcot, Williel. Fairbrother, Edw. Fawcet, & Tho. Smith, proborum & legalium hominum civitat' præd' extitit præsentat', qd' ubi die Sabbat' 17 die Novembris, anno regni domini nostri Jacobi Dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Regis, fidei defensoris, &c. 8. & Scotiæ 44. in cur' dicti domini Regis coram Rich. Pyot Aldermano, adtunc & adhuc uno Vicecom' civitat' London præd' in computator' suo scituat' in Parochia S. Michaelis in Woodstreet London præd' secundum consuetudinem civitat' præd' tunc tent', quidam Rob. Radford levass. quandam querel' de plac' debiti, super demand' quingent' libr', versus quendam Joh. Murray de London armigerum, cujus guidem querel' tenor sequitur in hæc verba, scil' Joh. Murray armiger summon' versus Rob. Radford Salter in plac' debiti super demand' quingent' libr': Ac superinde præd' Rob. Radford tunc & ibidem petiit processum versus dictum Joh. Murray secundum consuetudinem civitat' præd' serviend': Super quo ad petitionem ejusdem Rob. Radford taliter in eadem cur' processum fuit qd' præd' Rich. Pyot, tunc & adhuc unus Vicecomit' civitat' præd' cuidam Rich. Fells, adtunc uno servient' ad clav' dicti Vicecom', ac ministro cur' præd', ore tenus, secundum consuetudinem civitat' prædict' præcepit, quod ipse idem servient' ad clav' præd' Joh. Murray per corpus suum caperet & arresteret si invent' foret infra libertat' civitat' prædict', ita quod haberet corpus præf. Johan. Murray ad proxim' cur' dicti domini Regis apud Guildhald' civitat' præd' scituat' in Parochia S. Laurentii in veteri Judaismo in Ward' de Cheape London præd' die Mercur' 21. die dicti mensis Novembris, annis 8 & 44. præd' tenend', ad respond' præf. Rob. Radford in plac' querel' suæ præd': Virtute cujus præcepti idem Rich. Fells eundem Joh. Murray postea, scil', decimo octavo die dicti mensis Novembris, annis regni dicti domini Regis nunc octavo & quadrages. quarto supradict' inter horas quintam & sextam post merid' ejusd' diei, apud Lond. præd', videl't, in Paroch' S. Martini Bowyer Rowe, in Ward' de Farringd' infra London præd', in communi alta Regia via ibid' per corpus suum

Mackalley's Case in killing PART IX.

suum cepit & arrestavit, ac sub custodia sua tunc & ibidem habuit; ipsiq; Joh. Murray sic sub custod' dicti Rich. Fells virtute præcepti præd' tunc & ibidem ut præfertur existen', ita tunc & ibidem acciderit, quod idem Joh. Murray nuper de London armiger, al' dict' Joh. Murry nuper de London armiger, quidam Joh. Mackall nuper de London Yeoman, alias dictus Joh. Mackalley nuper de London Yeoman, quidam Joh. Engles nuper de London Yeoman, al' dict' Joh. English nuper de London Yeoman, & quidam Archibald' Miller nup' de Lond. Yeoman timorem Dei præ oculis suis non habentes, sed instigatione diabolica moti & seduct' vi & armis, videlicet, gladiis, &c. ea intentione ad ipsum Johan. Murray ab arrestatione præd' tunc & ibidem rescussand' in & super præd' Rich. Fells tunc & ibidem insult' & affraiam fecer'; in qua quidem affraia præd' Joh. Mackall alias dictus Joh. Mackalley, cum quodam gladio, Anglice vocat' a *Kapier*, de ferro & chalibe extract', valoris duodecim denar', quam ipse idem Joh. Mackall, alias dictus Joh. Mackalley, in manu sua dextra tunc & ibidem habuit & tenuit, eundem Rich. Fells, in & super sinistram patrem corporis subter sinistram scapul', Anglice *the left Shoulder Blade*, ejusdem Richardi, felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitat', tunc & ibidem percussit & inforavit, Anglice *thrust in* dans eidem Rich. Fells adtunc & ibidem cum gladio præd' vocat' a *Kapier*, in & super sinistram partem corporis, subter sinistram scapul' præd', Anglice *the left Shoulder Blade afore- said*, unam plagam & vulnus mortale longitud' dimid' unius pollic', latitudin' dimid' unius pollic' & profunditat' sex pollic' de qua quidem plaga & vulnere mortal' præd' prædictus Rich. Fell adtunc & ibidem, scil' in Parochia & Ward' ultime præd', instanter obiit. Et ulterius jur' præd' præsentant quod præd' Joh. Murray nuper de London armiger, alias dictus Joh. Murry nuper de London armig', prædict' Joh. Engles nuper de London Yeoman, alias dictus Johan. English nuper de London Yeoman, & præd' Archibald' Miller nuper de London Yeoman, dicto decimo octavo die Novembris annis octavo & quadragesimo quarto supradictis, inter horas prædictas, in Parochia, Ward' & loco ultim' præd' felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitat' fuer' præsentantes, pugnantes, procurantes, præcipient', abertant', confortant', & auxiliant' præd' Johannem Mackall nuper de Lond. Yeoman, alias dictum Joh. Mackalley nuper de Lond. Yeoman, ad præd' Richardum Fells modo & forma præd' interficiend'

ficiend' & murdrand'. Et sic jurator' præd' dicunt quod prædictis Joh. Mackall nuper de London Yeoman, alias dict' Joh. Mackalley nuper de London Yeoman, Joh. Murray nuper de London armiger, alias dictus Joh. Murry nuper de London armig, Joh. Engles nuper de London Yeoman, alias dictus Joh. English nuper de London Yeoman, & Archibald' Miller nuper de London Yeoman, præd' Rich. Fells apud London præd', scilicet, in Parochia & Ward' ultime prædict' felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitat', modo & forma præd' interfecer' & murdraver', contra pacem dicti Domini Regis nunc coron' & dignitat' suas, &c. Et super hoc ad istam eandem session', coram præf. Justic', præd' Joh. Murray, al' Murry, Joh. Mackall, alias Mackalley, Joh. Engles, alias English, & Archibald' Miller in custod' Rich. Pyot ac Francisci Jones Vicecom' civitat' præd' in gaola de Newgate præd' existen', ad barram ibidem duct' in propr' person' suis vener', & separatim allocuti qualiter se vellent de felon' & murdro præd' acquietari, quilibet eorum pro seipso separatim dixit, quod ipse non fuit inde culpabil', & inde de bono & malo separatim se posuer' super patriam, & Rich. Langley armig', qui pro Domino Rege in hac parte sequitur, similiter, &c. ideo immediate ven' inde jurata: Et jurator' jurat' illius per præd' Vicecom' civitat' præd' ad hoc impannellat' exact', scil', Will'us Morgan, Tho. Dalbit, Tho. Evans, Tho. Astin, Salomon Green, Will. Chewne, Will. Ellill, Metcalf Allington, Joh. Drake, Will. Taylor, Owinus Davies, & Tho. Dampont vener', qui ad veritat' de & super præmiss. dicend' electi, triati, & jurat' dicunt super sacram' suum quod civitas London est, &, a toto tempore cujus contrarii memor' hominum non existit, fuit antiqua civitas, quodq; infra civitat' præd', a toto tempore præd' fuit cur' de record' tent' in computator', scituat' in Parochia S. Michaelis in Woodstreet præd', coram uno Vicecom' civitat' præd' pro tempore existen'; quodq; infra civitat' præd' talis habetur & a toto tempore supradict' habebat' consuetud', quod in præd' cur' omnes & singul' personæ, a toto tempore supradict' usæ fuer' levare querel' de placit' debiti, attingent' ad quamcunque summam, versus aliquam person' quamcunque, & causare easdem querel' intrari in libro Janitor' computator' prædict'; ac quod a toto tempore prædict', fuit & est Janitor computator' prædict', qui quidem Janitor computator' prædict' pro tempore existen', a toto tempore prædict' fuit & est officiar' dicti unius Vicecom' civitat' prædict', ad intrand' querel'

in forma præd' levat' in libro Janitor' computator' præd' versus quamcunq; personam, ad sectam cujuscunq; personæ, in pl' debiti attingen' ad quamcunq; summam, in quodam brevi & summario modo; ac qd' querel' præd' in libro Janitor' præd' intrat', a toto tempore præd', consueverunt transferri & intrari de recordo in rotul' cur' præd' in debita legis forma, infra tempus rationabile & conveniens, post intrationem earund' in libro Janitor' præd'; ac quod infra civitatem præd' talis habetur & per totum tempus præd' habebatur consuetud', quod aliqua persona existen' servien' ad clav' dicti Vicecom', ac minister cur' præd', ad requisitionem partis hujusmodi querel' sic levant, ex offic' usa fuerit, post intrac' hujusmodi querel' in libro Janitor' præd', tam ante intrac' hujusmodi querel' in rotulo cur' præd', quam post hujusmodi intrac' in rotulo cur' præd' capere & arrestare per corpus suum aliquam hujusmodi person' versus quam talis querel' levata fuit, ad respondend' hujusmod' personæ quer' in pl' præd', absq; aliquo al' præcepto ore tenus, vel aliter, tali servien' ad clav' ac ministro cur' præd' in ea parte direct', sive dirigend'. Ac jur' præd' ulterius dicunt super sacramentum suum præd', quod præd' die Sabbati decim' septim' die Novembris anno Domini millesimo sexcentesimo decimo, præd' Rob. Radford civis Lond. requisivit præf. Rich. Fells, tunc un' servien' ad clav' dicti Rich. Pyot ad tunc unius Vicecom' civitat' præd', quod ipse idem Rich. Fells causaret levari querel' de debito quingent' libr' in computator' præd', ad sectam præd' Rob. Radford versus præd' Joh. Murray armig', & superinde arrestaret præfatum Johan. Murray ad respondend' præf. Rob. Radford in querel' præd', dictusq; Richardus Fells superinde ivit ad dict' computator' in parochia S. Michael' in Woodstreet præd', & ibidem dicto 17 die Novembris, an' octavo & 44. præd', levare causavit querel' de debito quingent' libr' versus præf. Joh. Murray ad sectam præd' Rob. Radford; quæ quidem querel' ad tunc intrat' fuit in libro Janitor' computator' præd', Anglice in the *Booster's Book of the Counter aforesaid*, prout in talibus casibus usuale existit, ac secund' consuetud' præd', in hæc verba, ff. J. Murray Esq; vers. Rob. Radford Salter debt. CCCCC. l. pl' Fleetstreet per Fells servien': Quæ quid' querel' postea intrat' fuit de record' in rot' cur' computat' præd', in hiis verbis, ff. Sabbati 17 die Novembris ann' regni Jacobi Regis Angl', Franc', & Hibern', 8. Scotiæque 44. Johan. Murray armig' S. versus Rob. Radford Salter in placito debiti super demand' 500. l. pleg' de prosequend'

sequend' Johan. Fleat & Rich. Streat per Fells fervien', &c. Sed jurator' præd' super sacram' suum dicunt, quod intrac' præd' in rotulo cur' præd' fact' fuit die Lunæ decimo nono die Novemb. annis octavo & quadragesimo quarto præd', & non antea, quodque dictus Rich. Fells die Solis dicto decimo octavo die Novembris, cum tribus al' offic' in ejus cœt', Anglice in his Company, manebat circa portum vocat' Ludgate infra libertat' civitat' London præd', ad arrestand' virtute querel' præd' præd' Johan. Murray cum præterieret, & postea quando idem Joh. Murray, inter horas quintam & sextam post merid' ejusdem decimi octavi diei Novembris, ambulabat & transibat per & trans Ludgate præd', in communi alta via Regia, cum sex al' person' in ejus cœtu (dictis al' person' armat' existen') dictus Rich. Fells adtunc existen' un' fervien' ad clav' dicti Rich. Pyot adtunc un' Vicecom' civit' præd' juratus & cognitus, ac minister cur' præd', prope Ludgate in dicta communi alta via Regia, in præd' parochia S. Martini Bowyer Rowe, in præd' Ward' de Farringdon infra London præd', ven' ad dictum Joh. Murray, & ipsum Joh. adtunc & ibidem infra brachia ipsius Rich. virtute præmissorum cepit & tenuit, & eidem Joh. Murray, prout in Anglican' verbis sequit', instanter dixit, *I, seipsum Rich. Fells innuendo, Arrest you, dictum Joh. Murray innuendo, in the King's Name, at the Suit of Master Radford*, dictum Rob. Radford in querel' præd' nominat' innuend'; sed iidem jurator' dicunt, quod præd' Rich. Fells tempore arrestationis præd' non ostendebat eidem Joh. Murray aliquod warrant' aut clavam suam, Anglice his *Warr*, sed dic', quod præd' Rich. Fells adtunc gessit & habuit ad dorsum ipsius Rich. Fells clav' sua', Anglice his *Warr*; ac quod null' offic' præd' qui vener' in cœt' dicti Rich. Fells aliqua tela, Anglice *Weapons*, adtunc habuer': Et præd' Joh. Murray circumspiciens circa se ac luctans, Anglice *striving*, cum dict' Ric' Fells, adtunc & ibidem dixit hiis person' qui in cœt' ipsius Joh. Murray ven', prout in Anglican' verbis sequit', viz. *draw, draw, Rogues*, super quo præd' Johan. Mackall al' Mackalley, & Johan. Engles al' English, adtunc & ibidem existen' in cœt', Anglice *the Company*, dict' Johan. Murray, glad' suos, Anglice *their Rapiers*, traxer', dictisq; Ric' Fells & Joh. Murray super terr' prostrat' existen', & eodem Ric' Fells suprajacent', Angl' *lying uppermost*, dictus Joh. Mackall al' Mackalley, cum glad' suo extract', Angl' his *Rapier drawn*, ad dict' Ric' Fells adtunc & ibidem cucurrit, ad præd' Joh. Murray ab arrestatione præd' recusand', & cum glad' suo prædict' R. Fells percussit & inforavit, dans eid' R. Fells in & sup'

fini.

Mackalley's Case in killing PART IX.

finiftram partem corporis, fubter finiftram feapul', Anglice the left Shoulder Blade, ipfius Ric' Fells plagam & vulnus mortale in indictamento præd' mentionat', de quo vulnere idem Ric' Fells adtunc & ibidem, fcil', in parochia, & Ward' ultim' præd' inftanter obiit. Et ulterius jurator' præd' dicunt quod tempore interfectionis præd' Ric' Fells modo & forma præd', iidem Johan' Murray, & Johan' Engles, alias Englifh, fuer' præfent' & auxiliant' eidem Joh' Mackall, alias Mackalley, ad ipfum Ric' Fells modo & forma præd' interficiend'; fed utrum fuper tota mater' præd' per jurator' præd' in form' præd' compert', interfectio præd' dicti Ric' Fells in forma præd' perpetrat' & fact' fit murdrum necne, jurator' præd' ignorant, & inde petunt advisament' juftic' & cur' hic; & fi fuper tota mater' præd' videbitur juftic' & cur' hic, quod præd' interfectio dicti Ric' Fells fit murdrum, tunc jurator' præd' dicunt fuper facram' fuum præd', quod præd' Johan' Murray, Johan' Mackalley, & Johan' Engles, funt culpabiles, & quilibet eorum eft culpabil' de murdro præd' Ric' Fells, modo & forma prout per indictament' præd' verfus eos fupponitur. Et quod ipfi tempore murdri præd' in forma præd' commiff. nul' habuer' bon' feu cattal' terr' aut tenementa, ad notic' jur' præd'; & fi fuper tota mater' præd' in form' præd' compt' videbit' juftic' & cur' hic, quod præd' interfectio præd' Ric' Fells in forma præd' perpetrat' non fit murdrum, tunc jur' præd' dicunt fuper facram' fuum præd', quod præd' Johan' Murray, Johan' Mackall, & Johan' Engles, non funt culpabil', nec eorum aliquis eft culpabil' de murdro præd' Ric' Fells, prout ipfi allegaver' nec ea occafion' unquam fe retraxer', aut eorum aliquis fe retrax'; & fi fuper tota mater' prædict' per jurator' prædict' in forma prædict' compert' videbitur juftic' & cur' hic, quod interfectio prædict' dicti Ric' Fells, in forma præd' fact', fit felon' & homicid', tunc jurator' præd' dicunt fuper facramentum fuum præd' quod præd' J. Murray, Johan' Mackall, & Johan' Engles, funt culpabiles, & quilibet eorum eft culpabilis, de felon' & homicid' præd', & quod ipfi null' habent bona nec cattal' terr' aut tenementa. Et ulterius jurator' præd' dicunt fuper facramentum fuum præd' quod præd' Archibald' Miller in dicto indictamento nominat' de felon' & murdro prædict' non eft culpabil', nec ea occafione unquam fe retraxit: Ideo confideratum eft per curiam, quod prædict' Archibald' Miller eat inde quietus fine die, &c. Et quia curia hic de judicio fuo de & fuper præmiffis concernentibus prædictum Johannem Murray,

PART IX. *a Serjeant of London.*

65

Johannem Mackall, & Johannem Engles, reddendo, nondum advifat', ideo dies inde dat' est præfat' Johan' Murray, Johanni Mackall, & Johanni Engles usque proxim' Session' gaolæ deliberationis prædict' pro civitat' prædict' tenend', sub custod' præfat' Vicec' interim commiss. salvo custodiend', de judicio suo inde audiendo, &c. Et quia Justic' prædict' inde nondum, &c.

K

Pasch.

Pasch. 9 Jacobi Regis.

Mackalley's Case, in Killing of a Serjeant of London.

1 Jones 198.
Jenk. Cent. 291.
Br. Jac. 279.
3 Bulstr. 206.
Poph. 208.

BY the King's Command all the Judges of *England* were ordered to meet together to resolve what the Law was, upon the said Record; and accordingly all the Judges of *England*, and Barons of the Exchequer met together the Beginning of *Hillary-Term* now last past, and heard Counsel learned upon this special Verdict, as well of the Prisoners, as of the King; that is to say, Serjeant *Harris* the younger, *Anthony Diot* and *Randall Crewe* of Counsel with the Prisoners; and *Telverton, Walters* and *Coventry* for the King. And the Matter was very well argued by Counsel on both Sides at two several Days in the same Term; and diverse Exceptions were taken to the Indictment, and to the Verdict also. First, against the Indictment five Exceptions were moved. 1. Because it appears, That the Arrest was tortious, and by Conseq. the Killing of the Serjeant could not be Murder, but Manslaughter, and they argued that the Arrest alledged in the Indictment was tortious, because it was made in the Night, that is to say, *18 diem Nov. inter horas quintam & sextam post meridiem*, which appears to the Court to be in the Night, and the Night is a Time of rest and repose, and not to arrest any by his Body, for thereof would ensue (as *in hoc casu accidit*) Bloodshed; for the Officer and Minister of Justice can't have such Assistance, nor can the Peace be so well kept in the Night, that is to say, *in tenebris*, as in the Day, *in aperta luce*.: And the Prisoner can't know the Officer or Minister of Justice in the Night; nor can the Prisoner so soon find Sureties for his Appearance
in

Cr. Car. 280.
Jenk. Cent. 291.

in the Night, and thereby avoid his Imprisonment, as he may in the Day: And they cited 11 H. 7. 5. a. that the Lord shall not distrain for his Rent or Services in the (a) Night. But it was answer'd by the Counsel with the K. and in the End resolved by all the Judges and Barons of the Excheq. that the Arrest (b) in the (c) Night is lawful, as well at the Sute of a Subject as at the K.'s Sute; for the Officer or Minister of Justice ought to arrest him when he can find him; for otherwise perhaps he will never arrest him, (*quia (d) qui male agit odit lucem*); and if the Officer does not arrest him when he finds him, and may arrest him, the Pl. shall have an Action upon his Case, and recover all his Loss in Damages; and it is like the Case of distress for Damage (e) Feasant, for which one may distrain in the Night; for otherwise perhaps he will never distrain them, for they may be taken or escape out, and then they can't be distrained, but in Case of Rent Service it is otherwise; for the Law intends that the Ten't will be all the Day attendant upon the Land to pay his Rent, but he is not compellable to attend in the Night. *Vide* 11 H. 7. 5. a. 10 E. 3. 21. b. (f) 12 E. 3. Distress 17. and no Inconvenience will ensue upon it; for altho' he can't see the Officer, yet when he hears him say, I arrest you in the K.'s Name, &c. he ought to obey him, and if the Officer has not a lawful Warrant, he shall have his Action of false (g) Imprisonment. And as to the finding of Sureties, the Law is, That he ought to remain in Prison till he finds Sureties, be it in the Day, or in the Night. But great Inconvenience will ensue on the other Side, if those who are indebted to others shall go at their Pleasure in the Night without danger of arrest, for then they will become Nightwalkers, and turn the Day into Night in despite of their Creditors, and as the Officer or Minister of Justice may by Force of a Warrant directed to him, arrest any at the K.'s Sute either for Felony or other Crime in the Night, so may he do at a Subject's Sute; for the K. has no more Prerogative as to Time to make an arrest, than a Subject; for the Arrest is to no other Intent than to bring the Party to Justice: And it appears by the Opinion of the Court in the K.'s Bench in *Semaigne's Case* in the 5 Part of my Reports, That the Sheriffs may arrest in the (b) Night, as well at the Sute of a Subject, as at the King's Sute. And in *Heydon's Case* in the 4 Part of my Reports it is resolved, That if one kills a (i) Watchman in Execution of his Office, it is Murder, and yet that is done in the Night; and if an Affray be made in the Night, and the Constable, or any other who comes to (k) Aid him to keep the Peace be killed, it is Murder; for when the Constable commands them in the King's Name to keep the

(a) 1 Rol. 672. Fitz. Avowry

137. Br. distr. 101. Doct. & Stud.

75. a.

Co. Lit. 142. a. 7 Co. 7. a.

Milborn's Case.

(b) Cr. Jac. 280.

Jenk. Cent. 291.

Hale's Pl. Cor.

45.

(c) Owen 63.

(d) 8 Co. 37. b.

(e) Co. Lit.

142. a.

Doct. & Stud.

75. a.

7 Co. 7. a.

Milborn's Case.

1 Rol. 672.

Fitz. Avowry

137.

Br. distr. 101.

(f) 7 Co. 7. a.

Milborn's Case.

(g) Post. 69. b.

(b) 5 Co. 91. b.

(i) Hale's Pl.

Cor. 45.

Cr. Jac. 282.

4 Co. 41. a.

Yong's Case.

Post. 68. b.

3 Inst. 52.

(k) Jenk. Cent.

291.

Cr. Jac. 280.

Hale's Pl. Cor.

345.

Inst. 52.

the Peace, altho' they can't discern or know him to be a Constable, yet at their Peril they ought to obey him.

It was also resolved, That altho' in Truth between 5 and 6 of the Clock in *Novemb.* is Part of the Night, yet the (a) Court is not bound *ex Officio* to take Conufance of it, no more than in the Case of (b) Burglary, without these Words, *in nocte ejusdem diei*, or *Noctanter*.

(a) 1 Rol. 524.
(b) 1 Rol. 524.
2. It was objected, That *Sunday* is not *dies juridicus*, and therefore no arrest can be made thereon, but it is the Sabbath, and therefore thereon every one ought to abstain from secular Affairs for the better Worship and Service of God in Spirit and Truth. As to that it was answered and resolved, That no judicial Act ought to be done on that Day, but ministerial Acts may be lawfully executed on the (c) *Sunday*; for otherwise peradventure they can never be executed; and God permits Things of Necessity to be done that Day; and Christ says in the Gospel, *Bonum est benefacere in Sabbatba*.

(c) Cr. Jac. 280, 456.
Jenk. Cent. 291.
5 Co. 83. b.
1 Jones 156, 157.
Cawley 78.
8 Co. 127 a.
Dy. 168. pl. 17.
Hale's Pl. Cor. 45.
3. Another Exception was taken, because it is said in the Beginning of the Indictment, *in Curia dicti Dom' Reg' in computatorio suo, scituat' in parochia Sancti Michaelis, in Woodstreet London*, and doth not shew in what Ward the said Parish was, *Et non allocatur*, for as it is held in 7 H. 6.

(d) Cr. El. 732.
36. b. every (d) Ward in *London* is as an Hundred in a County, and every Parish in *London* is as a Town in an Hundred, and it is not necessary to declare in what Hundred a Town is, no more in what Ward a Parish is; but the same is commonly added, because there are divers Parishes in *London* of one and the same Name, and the Ward is added to make a Distinction of one Parish from another; wherefore it was resolved, That in the Case at Bar the Indictment was sufficient, notwithstanding the Omiffion (e) of the Ward, for it doth not appear to us that there is any other Parish of that Name, and this Parish is particularly described, *viz. in Parochia Sancti Michael' in Woodstreet London*: And therewith agrees the Rule of the Book in 7 H. 6. 36. b. for a Bill was awarded good in *Parochia Sancti Laurentii in Judaismo*, omitting the Ward.

(e) Jenk. Cent. 291.
The 4 Exception was, because it doth not appear in what Parish the Sheriff commanded *Fells* the Serjeant to arrest the Defendant; and that was disallowed by all the Justices; for the Words of the Indictment are, *taliter in eadem Curia process. fuit, &c.* and *eadem Curia* fully demonstrates that the Warrant was made at the same Court mentioned before; and that was expressly alledged to be held in *Parochia Sancti Michaelis, &c.*

5. It was excepted against the Indictment, viz. That the Precept was to arrest the Defendant, *si inventus foret infra libertates Civitatis præd'* and the Indictment is *quod in Parochia S. Martini Bowyer Rowe in warda de Farringdon infra Londinum præd'* the Serjeant arrested him, and so he has not pursued the Precept, for the Precept is, *infra libertates London*, and notwithstanding that, the Indictment was resolved to be good, for the said Parish and Ward in London shall be intended to be within the Liberties of London, for these Words (a) Liberties of London are more spacious than London, and include in them the City of London it self.

(a) Jenk. Cent. 291.

And 9 Exceptions were taken to the Verdict. 1. That there is a material Variance betwixt the Indictment and the Verdict, for the Indictment supposes that *Piot* Sheriff of London upon a Plaint entred, made a Precept to the said *Fells* Serjeant at Mace to arrest the said *Murray* the Defendant; and by the Verdict it appears that there was not any such Precept made, but that by the Custom of London, after the Plaint entred, any Serjeant (b) *ex officio* at the Request of the Plaintiff may arrest the Defendant *absque aliquo præcepto ore tenus, vel aliter*, so that the Indictment being special, to make this Offence Murder by Construction of Law upon the special Matter without any Malice prepense, ought to be pursued, and proved in Evidence, which is not done in this Case, for the Jury have not found the said special Matter, but the contrary; and because the Jurors have not found the special Matter contained in the Indictment, but other Matter, Judgment can't be given against the Prisoners upon this Indictment. To which it was answered, and in the End resolved, That there was sufficient Matter in the Verdict pursuant to the Matter contained in the Indictment, upon which the Court ought to give Judgment of Death against the Prisoners, notwithstanding the said Variance, and that for 2 Reasons.

The Exceptions against the Verdict.

(b) 1 Rol 555.

1. Because the Warrant which the Serjeant had to arrest the Def. was but (c) Circumstance, which is not necessary to be precisely pursued in Evidence to be found by the Jury; but it is sufficient if the Substance of the Matter be found without any such precise regard to Circumstance: And therefore, if a Man is indicted, that he with a Dagger gave another a mortal Wound, upon which he died, and in (d) Evidence it is proved that he gave the Wound with a Sword, Rapier, Staff, or Bill, in that Case the Defendant ought to be found guilty, for the Substance of the Matter is, That the Party indicted has given him a mortal Wound, whereof he died, and

(c) Post. 112. a. 119. a. 3 Inst. 50.

(d) 2 Inst. 319. 3 Inst. 135. Hale's Pl. Cor. 265.

Mackalley's Case in killing PART IX.

the Circumstance of the Manner of the Weapon is not material in case of Indictment; and yet such Circumstance ought not to be omitted, but some Weapon ought to be mentioned in the Indictment. So if *A. B. and C.* are indicted for killing *J. S. (a)* and that *A.* struck him, and that the others were present, procuring, abetting, &c. and upon the Evidence it appears that *B.* struck, and that *A. and C.* were present, &c. in this Case the Indictment is not pursued in the Circumstance; and yet it is sufficient to maintain the Indictment, for the Evidence agrees with the Effect of the Indictment, and so the Variance from the Circumstance of the Indictment is not material; for it shall be adjudged in Law the Wound of *(b)* every one of them, and is as strongly the Act of the others, as if they all three had held the Weapon, &c. and had altogether struck the Deceased, and therewith agrees *Plow. Com. 98. a.* So if one is indicted of the Murder of another upon Malice prepense, and he is found guilty of Manslaughter, he shall have Judgment upon this Verdict, for the Killing is the Substance, and the Malice prepense the Manner of it; and when the Matter is found, Judgment shall be given thereupon, altho' the Manner is not precisely pursued; and therewith agrees, *Plow. Com. 101. b.* where it is said, *when the Substance of the Fact, and the Manner of the Fact, are put in Issue together, if the Jury find the Substance and not the Manner, Judgment shall be given for the Substance.* And I moved all the Judges and Barons, if in this Case of Killing of a Minister of *(c)* Justice in the Execution of his Office, the Indictment might have been *(d)* general, *sc.* that the Prisoners *felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua præcogitata, &c. percusser'* without alledging any special Matter and I conceived that it might well be, for the Evidence would well maintain the Indictment, for as much as in this Case the Law implies Malice prepense. As if a *(e)* Thief, who offers to rob a true Man, kills him in resisting the Thief, it is Murder of Malice prepense: Or if one kills another without *(f)* Provocation, and without any Malice prepense, which can be proved, the Law adjudges it Murder, and implies Malice; for by the Law of God every one ought to be in Love and Charity with all Men, and therefore when he kills one without Provocation, the Law implies Malice: And in both these Cases they may be indicted generally that they killed of Malice prepense, for Malice implied by Law, given in Evidence is sufficient to maintain the general Indictment. So in the Case at Bar, in this Case of the Serjeant, the Indictment might have been *(g)* general, That he feloniously and of his Malice prepense killed the said *Fells*; and the special Matter might well have been given in Evidence;

(a) Post. 112.7.

(b) 4 Co. 42. b.
11 Co 5. b.
3 Inst. 138.
34 H. 8. Br.
Coron. 172.
1 Rol. Rep. 31.

(c) Jenk. Cent.

291.

3 Inst. 52.

Hale's Pl. Cor.

45.

Cr. Jac. 280.

Postea 68. a.

Cr. Car. 183,

372, 538.

(d) Cr. Jac. 280.

12 Co. 17.

(e) Jenk. Cent.

291.

Hale's Pl. Cor.

46.

3 Inst. 52.

Cr. Car. 183.

(f) Jenk. Cent.

291.

3 Inst. 52.

Hale's Pl. Cor.

47.

(g) Cr. Jac. 280.

12 Co. 17.

dence; *quod fuit concessum* by all the other Judges and Barons of the Exchequer. The 2 Reason was, because it is expressly alledged in the Indictment, That the said *John Mackalley, &c. eundem Richum Fells, &c. felonice, voluntarie, & ex malitia sua prægogitata, &c. percussit & inforavit, &c.* so that beside the special Matter which implies Malice, it is expressly contained in the Indictm. that he feloniously and *ex malitia prægogitata* killed the said *Fells*, and then altho' the special Matter given in Evidence had varied in Substance from the special Matter contained in the Indictm. yet for as much as it was resolved that the Indictm. in this Case might be general, for this Cause the Evidence, altho' it doth not agree with the special Matter, yet it proves, that the Prisoners killed the said *Fells* of their Malice prepense: And so well maintains the Indictment. And that in the End was the Opinion of *all the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer.*

2. Exception was taken to the Verdict, That the Custom found by the Jury, That after a Plaint entred, the Defend. might be arrested by his Body, was against Law, because the Def. ought to be first summoned before the precept in Nature of a *Capias* can issue, for his Body shall not be arrested if he has sufficient, *&c. & non allocatur*; for it appears by the Book in * 21 E. 4. 66. b. and by common Experience always daily used, that after a (a) Plaint entred, by the Custom of *London*, (which is established and confirmed by Parliam.) the Def. may be arrested. And in this Case three Points were resolved by *all the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer*, 1. That altho' the Process be apparently (b) erroneous, that yet if the Minister of Justice in the Execution thereof be killed, it is Murder, for the Minister is not bound to dispute the Authority of the Court, which awards the Process, but his Office is to execute the Process: And therefore, if a (c) *Capias* in an Action of Debt be awarded against a Baron, or other Peer of the Realm, which is erroneous (because their (d) Body by Law is privileged in such Case) yet if the Officer be killed in Execution thereof, it is Murder. So if a *Capias* be awarded where a Distress ought to issue, and in Execution thereof the Officer is killed, it is Murder, for as the Sheriff, *&c.* when he is charged with an Escape shall not take Advantage of any Error in the Proceeding, so the Defendant when he kills the Sheriff, *&c.* shall not take Advantage of Error in the Process. 2. It was resolved, That if any Magistrate or Minister of Justice, in Execution of his Office, or in keeping of the Peace according to the Duty of his Office be killed, it is Murder, for their Contempt and Disobedience to the King, and to the Law, for it is *contra potestatem Regis & legis*, and therefore, if a Sheriff, Justice of Peace, chief Constable, Petit

* Postea 68. b.
(a) 1 Rol. 555.
Cro. Jac. 473.
8 Co. 126. a.
Jen7. Cent. 291.
(b) Cr. Jac. 280.
Cr. Car. 371.
Jenk. Cent. 291.
(c) 6 Co. 54. a.
10 Co. 76. b.
Larch. 223.
2 Rol. Rep. 493.
494.
Hal. Pl. Cro. 462.
Jenk. Cent. 291.
Cr. Jac. 280.
Cr. Jac. 3.
Moor 767.
3 Bullfr. 65.
(d) 6 Co. 52. b.
9 Co. 49. a. 60. a.
Cr. Argum. 106.
Stile 222.
2 Leon. 174.
Hob. 61.
(e) 8 Co. 142. a.
Potea 119. a.
Cr. Jac. 3.
Moor 275, 276.
2 Bullfr. 64, 65.
Godb. 403.
Savil 63.
Cr. El. 164, 165.
2 Leon. 85.
Jenk. Cent. 291.
Hal. Pl. Cor. 45.
3 Inst. 52.
Cr. Jac. 280.
Cr. Car. 183,
372, 538.
1 Jones 346.
Ant. 67. b.

Mackalley's Case in killing PART IX.

Constable, Watchman, or any other Minister of the King, or any who comes in their Aid be killed in doing of their Office, it is Murder for the Cause aforesaid: For when the Officer or K.'s Minister by Process of Law (be it erroneous or not) arrests one in the K.'s Name, or requires the Breakers of the Peace to keep the Peace in the K.'s Name, and they notwithstanding disobey the Arrest or Command in the K.'s Name, and kill the Officer, or the King's Minister, reason requires that this killing and slaying shall be an Offence in the highest Degree of any Offence of this Nature; and that is voluntary, felonious, and Murder of Malice prepense. And a Watchman by the Law may arrest a Nightwalker, 4 H. 7.

(a) Ant. 66. a.
4 Co. 41. a.
Young's Case.
3 Inst. 52.
Cr. Jac. 280.
Hal. Pl. Cor. 45.

2. a. and if a (a) Watchman arrests such a one, and he kills him, it is Murder. *Vide Heydon's Case in the 4 Part of my Reports f. 40 & 41. a.* And it is true, That the Life of a Man is much favoured in Law, but the Life of the Law it self (which protects all in Peace and Safety) ought to be more favoured, and the Execution of the Process of Law and of the Offices of Conservators of the Peace, is the Soul and Life of the Law, and the Means by which Justice is administered, and the Peace of the Realm kept. *Vide 2 R. 3. 21. b.* If the

(b) Post. 119.
a. b.

(b) Principal be erroneously attainted, the Accessory shall be put to answer, and shall not take Benefit for the Saving of his Life of the erroneous Proceeding against the Principal. 3.

(c) Jenk Cent.
291.
Hal. Pl. Cor. 41.
3 Inst. 56.

It was resolved, That the Officer or Minister of the Law in the Execution of his Office, if he be resisted or assaulted, is not bound to (c) fly to the Wall, &c. (as other Subjects are) for *Legis minister non tenetur in executione officii fugere, seu retrocedere.*

3. It was objected, That the Def. ought not to have been arrested before the Plaint was entred of Record in the Court before the Sheriff, for this is in Truth the Court of Record where the Declaration and Pleading shall be. To that it was answered and resolved *by all*, That after the (d) Plaint entred in the Porter's Book, and before the Entry thereof in the Court before the Sheriff, the Def. may be arrested by the Custom of *London*; and therewith agrees the Book in

(d) Jenk. Cent.
291.
1 Rol. 555.
Cr. Jac. 473.

(e) 3 Co. 126. a.
(f) Ant. 68. a.

(f) 21 E. 4. 66. b. in the Point. *Vide 9 E. 4. 48. b.*

4. It was objected, That the said Arrest found by the Verdict was not lawful, for the Serjeant in this Case ought to have, when he arrested him, (g) shewed at whose Sute, out of what Court, for what Cause he made the Arrest, and in what Court it is returnable, to the Intent, that if it be for any Execution, he might pay the Money, and free his Body, and if it be upon mean Process either to agree with the Party to put in Bail according to the Law, and to know when he shall appear, as it is resolved in the Countess of *Rutland's*

(g) Hal. Pl. Cor.
45, 46.
Cr. Jac. 485,
486.
2 Rol. 279.
6 Co. 54. a.
Jenk. Cent. 291.

Case.

Case, in the 6 *Part of my Reports* f. 55. But in the *Case at Bar* the Serjeant said nothing, but *I arrest you in the King's Name, at the Suit of Mr. Radford*, and so the arrest not lawful, and by Consequence the Offence is not Murder. As to that it was answered and resolved, That it is true that it is held in the Countess of *Rutland's Case*, That the Sheriff, &c. or Serjeant ought upon the Arrest to shew at whose Suit, &c. But that is to be intended when the Party arrested submits himself to the Arrest, and not when the Party (as in this *Case Murray* did) makes Resistance and interrupts him, and before he could speak all his Words, he was by them mortally wounded and murdered, in which *Case*, the Prisoners shall not take Advantage of their own Wrong. It was also resolved, That if one knows that the Sheriff, &c. has Process to arrest him, and the Sheriff, &c. coming to arrest him, the Def. to prevent the Sheriff's arresting him, kills him with a Gun, or any other Engine, or Weapon, before any Arrest made, it is Murder: *a fortiori*, in the *Case at Bar*, when he knew by the said Words, that the Serjeant came to arrest him.

Cr. Jac. 485;
486.

5. Exception was taken, because it was not found by the Verdict, That the said *Mackalley felonice percussit, &c.* but *percussit* only, & *quod iidem Johan' Murray, & Johan' English fuerunt presentes, auxiliantes, &c.* and doth not say *felonice*; & *non allocatur*, for the Office of the Jury is to shew the Truth of the Fact, and to leave the Judgment of the Law to the Court; but they have well concluded, And if *super tota materia præd' videbitur Justic' & Cur' hic quod præd' interfectio dict' Ric' Fells sit murdrum, tunc Furai' præd' dic' super sacramentum suum quod præd' Johan' Murray, Johan' Mackalley, & Johan' English sunt culpabiles, & quilibet eorum est culpabilis de murdro præd' Ric' Fells, modo & forma prout per Indictamentum præd' supponit', &c.* And because the Judges and the Court have resolved upon the special Matter, that it is Murder, the Jury have found him guilty of the Murder contained in the Indictment.

Jenk. Cent. 291;

6. It was objected, That the Serjeant at the Time, nor before the Arrest, shewed the Prisoner his Mace; for thereby he is known to be the Minister of the Law, and from thence he has his Name, *sc. serviens ad clavam*; *Et non allocatur* for two Causes. 1. Because the Jury have found, That he was *serviens ad clavam dicti Vicecomitis, & juratus, & cognitus, & minister Cur'*; And a Bailiff sworn and known need not (altho' the Party demands it) shew his

Jenk. Cent. 291
Hale's Pl. Cor.
46.

his Warrant, nor any other special Bailiff is not bound to shew his Warrant without demand of it, 8 E. 4. 14. a. 14 H. 7. 9. b. 21 H. 7. 23. a. and where the Books speak of a known Bailiff, it is not requisite that he be known to the Party who is to be arrested, but if he be commonly known it is sufficient; 2. If Notice was requisite, he gave sufficient Notice when he said, *I (a) arrest you in the King's Name*, &c. and the Party at his Peril ought to obey him; and if he has no lawful Warrant, he may have his Action of *(b) false Imprisonment*. So that in this Case without Question the Serjeant need not shew his Mace; and if they should be obliged to shew their Mace, it would be a Warning for the Party to be arrested to flee.

7. Another Exception was taken to the Verdict, because the Custom which gave the Serjeant Warrant to arrest, was not pursued; for the Custom is *Quod aliqua persona existens Serviens ad clavam ad requisitionem partis hujusmodi querelam sic levantis, &c. usa fuit arrestare*, which ought to be taken that the Plaint ought to be entred before the Request; but afterwards it is found that the Request was before the Plaint, and so the Custom not pursued; *& non allocatur*. For by the Custom it is not proved, but that the Request may be as well before as after the Plaint entred, and so is the common Usage and Experience.

8. It was objected, That the Verdict was repugnant in it self, for first they find, that the Plaint was entred *de Recordo in Rot' Cur' computator' in his verbis, Die Sabbathi 17 die Novemb.* and afterwards they find, *quod intratio præd' in Rot' Cur' præd' facta fuit die Lune 19 die Nov. &c.* And the *(c)* Jury can't find any thing against the Record it self. *Vide 11 H. 6. 42. a. 9 H. 6. 37. 28 Aff. 34. 47 E. 3. 19. 11 H. 4. 26. 9 H. 7. 3. 13 H. 7. 14. 33 E. 3. Judgment 255. Dyer 32 Eliz. 147. &c.* And all this was affirmed for good Law. But that makes the Case stronger against the Prisoners, for now the Judges ought to judge upon a Plaint entred of Record in *Cur' Computator'*, the *Saturday* the 17 of *Novemb.* which was before the Arrest.

9. Exception was taken to the Verdict, that the Entry of the Plaint was without Form, and so short and obscure, *quod opus est interpretè; & non allocatur*. For it was found that it was according to the Custom of *London*; and is but a Remembrance to draw the Declaration at length afterwards in the Court of Pleas, which notwithstanding is by Custom sufficient to have the Def. arrested. And afterwards at the Sessions of *Newgate* held the 5 Day of *May* after this Term, the 2 Chief Just. openly declared the Resolution of all the

(a) Jenk. Cent. 291.

(b) Antea 66.a.

(c) 2 Co. 4. b.
5 Co. 30. b.
Dyer 32. pl. 7.
2 Rol. 691.

Jenk. Cent. 291.
3 Rol. 555.4

the Justices and Barons of the Exchequer, to the great Satisfaction and Contentment of all there present. And accordingly Judgment of Death was given against the said three Prisoners by the Recorder of *London*, in the Presence of the said two Chief Justices. And the said *Mackalley* was executed with other Prisoners at *Tyburn*.

Trin.

Trin. 9 Jacobi.

In Camera Stellat'.

Richard Peacock's Case.

NOTA, This Term in the Star-chamber in the Case between Sir George Reynel Plaintiff, and Richard Peacock and others Defendants, where *J. H.* and another were Commissioners to examine Peacock upon Interrogatories drawn by the Plaintiff, and Peacock being examined, would have declared the whole Truth, which *J. H.* being a Commissioner chosen by the Plaintiff, would not suffer him to do, but held him strictly to the Interrogatories, so that the Truth could not appear. And that was held by the Lord Chancellor, the two Chief Justices, Chief Baron, and the whole Court of Star-chamber, a great Misdemeanor, for it is a murdering of the Truth and Right, as the Statute of Exeter speaks, *Et per quod Justitia Et veritas suffocantur* as it is said *in capite itineris*. And Commissioners to examine ought to be (a) indifferent, and by all Means to express the Truth, and they are not (b) strictly tied to the Words of the Interrogatories, but to every Thing also which necessarily ariseth thereupon for the Manifestation of the whole Truth concerning the Matter in Question. Also the said *J. H.* when he was in Examination went out of the Place to the Plaintiff, who was in another Room near to him, and had secret Conference with him. And it was held *per totam Curiam*, That a Commissioner ought not before Publication of the Witnesses (c) to discover to any of the Parties the Matter which any Witness has deposed, nor after he beginneth to examin upon the Interrogatories, to confer with the Party to take new Instructions to examine further than he knew before, and if he shall so do, these are great Misdemeanors, punishable by

(a) 4 Inf. 278.

(b) 4 Inf. 278.

(c) 4 Inf. 278.

by (a) Fine and Imprisonment. For if these shall be permitted, Perjury would in these Days abound; and for as much as in the Star-chamber and Exchequer-chamber the Courts proceed upon Examination of Witnesses, if the Truth should be by such Means suppressed, and Falsity certified in the Examinations, so the Innocent would be oftentimes punished, or the Guilty escape Punishment, and Justice and Right would be utterly subverted; for as it is commonly said, The Suppression of Truth, is the Oppression of the Innocent. And the Lord Chancellor said, That he heard in the Common Pleas, in the Time of Sir James Dyer, then Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, That it was resolved by the Court, that it was not a principal (b) Challenge to say, That one returned of a Jury was chosen (b) Commissioner by the other Party for Examination of Witnesses in the Court of Chancery; for every Commissioner is made and constituted by the (c) King, who is the Head of Justice, by his Commission under the Great Seal, and therefore he being Commissioner upon Record, is presumed in Law to be indifferent: But otherwise it is of an (d) Arbitrator, for he is created only by the Submission of the Parties themselves in the Country; and therefore it is a principal Challenge to say, That such a one returned of the Jury was an Arbitrator for the other Party; and therewith agree 7 H. 7. 10. b. 9 E. 4. 46. 15 E. 4. 24. 3 H. 6. 24. b. And the Court had so great Dislike of the Proceedings of the said J. H. that the Attorney General was ordered to prefer an Information against him for the said Misdemeanors, and in the mean Time he was put out of the Commission of Peace.

(a) Cr. Jac. 65.

(b) Co. Lit. 157. b.

2 Rol. 656.

(c) Cr. Jac. 65. Yelv. 62.

(d) Co. Lit.

156. a. 157. b.

2 Rol. 655, 656.

Br. Challenge

7, 88, 156.

3 H. 6. 24. b.

9 E. 4. 47. 2.

Fitz. Challenge

16, 57.

Trin. 9 Jac. Regis.

Doctor Husley's Case.

Co. Ent. 568.
nu. 7.
2 Brownl. 59, 91.
3 Bulst. 275.
Cr. Car. 594.
Hob. 93.
1 Rol. Rep. 445.
Cr. Jac. 413.

IN a Ravishment of Ward brought by *Francis Moor Esq;* according to the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 35.* against *James Husley Esq;* and *Katharine* his Wife, *Robert Wakeman Clark*, *John Woodford*, and *Cutbert Clifford* of the Ravishment of *James Horniold*, Son and Heir of *Ralph Horniold Esq;* being within Age, The Defendants pleaded Not guilty, which Issue was tried at the Bar, *Mich. 8 Jac.* And the Plea began *Trinit. 7 Jac. Rot. 759.* and was tried in *absentia Walmsley propter egritudinem* and of *Coke* Chief Justice, then being in the Star-chamber. And the Jury found that the said *Katharina, Robertus & Johannes Woodford* fuer' culpabiles de raptu & abductione præd' *Johannis Horniold*, prout præd' *Franciscus superius* vers. eos queritur, & assident damna, &c. 10 l. & custag' 10 s. Et ulterius Juratores præd' dicunt super sacramentum suum, quod præd' *Johannes Horniold* maritatus existit, quodq; idem *Johannes* tempore *Maritagii* illius fuit etatis sexdecim annorum & amplius, & infra etatem viginti & unius annorum quodq; *Maritagium* præd' *Johannis Horniold* valet juxta verum valorem ejusdem 800 l. and that the said *James* (the Husband of the said *Katharine*) and *Cutbert* were Not guilty. And in Arrest of Judgment divers Points were moved and argued by the Serjeants at Bar in the Terms of *St. Mich. Hill.* and *Pasch.* And the principal Point which was argued by the Serjeants was, if a Feme Covert was within the Statute of *W. 2. cap. 35.* or not. And now this Term it was argued by the Justices; And it was argued by

by *Foster* and *Warburton*, that Judgment ought to be given as well against the Feme (a) Covert, as against the others who were found guilty, and their principal Reason was, because at the (b) Com. Law a Feme Covert was punishable for Ravishment of a Ward and shall be fined and imprison'd for it, and Damages shall be recovered against her, and levied upon her Husband, and after his Death upon the Wife her self; and the Stat. of *W. 2. c. (c) 35.* adds but a greater Penalty to the Value of the Marriage, Damages and Costs, and Imprisonment for 2 Years, and if the Defs. are not sufficient, *abjurent regnum vel habeant perpetuam prisonam*: So that it never was the Meaning of the Makers of the Act to exclude a Feme Covert out of the Purview thereof, who was punishable by Action of Tresp. at the (d) Com. Law, for which Offence also her Body at the Com. Law shall be imprisoned: And therefore they strongly held, that a Feme Covert was within the Stat. of * *Merton c. 6.* and within the Stat. of *W. 1. c. 20. de malefact. (e) in parcis.* For a Feme Covert for these Offences was punishable by the Com. Law, and these Stat. add greater Punishment, and in another Manner than it was at the Com. Law. And they said, That a Feme Covert was within the Words of the Act; and it would be a great Mischief if any Construction should exempt her out of the Penalty of this Stat. (in such odious Cases as Ravishm. of Wards are.) And an Action upon the Stat. of forcible Entry upon the Stat. of (f) *8 H. 6.* lies against a Feme Covert, as the Book is in *36 H. 6. 22, 23.* So Waste lies against the Husband and Wife as it is held in *3 E. 3. 76.* So if a Feme Covert commits, (g) *Redisseisin*, she shall be punished in a *Redisseisin*, *9 H. 4. 5. v. F. N. B. 188.* So *Cessavit* lies against Husband and Wife, *4 E. 2. Cui in vita 22.* And many other Cases were put upon this Ground, wherefore they concluded that Judgm. should be given against all for the Value, Damages, and Costs, and that the Defs. *Capiantur.* And it was argued by the Chief Just, and *Walmesley* to the contrary, that the Pls. shall have (b) Judgment upon this Record against none of the Defs. And their Argument was divided into 4 Parts. 1. What Alteration the Stat. of *W. 2. cap. 35.* has made. 2. If a Feme Covert be within the said Stat. 3. If the Verdict be sufficient or not against any of the Defs. 4. If Damages besides the Value are to be recovered in an Action of *Ravishment* grounded upon this Stat. As to the first, it was resolved by all, that at the Com. Law for Ravishm. of Ward, the Guardian might have had an Action of *Tresp.* in which the Pl. should recover Damages, and the Defs. should pay a Fine to the K. and should be imprisoned, until, &c. and that such Action lay against a (i) Feme Covert, as well as against a Feme Sole; And therefore where some Books say, that no Writ of *Ravishm. of Ward* lay at the Com. Law, it is true, if it be meant of such *Ravishment of Ward*, which is in *Regist.* and in *F. N. B.* for it is grounded

(a) Cr. Jac. 413.
2 Brownl. 60.
91. 93.
1 Rol. Rep. 445.
2 Bullt. 322.
3 Bullt. 87.
Hob. 93; 101.
(b) Hob. 93.
(c) 2 Inst. 437.
438.

(d) Hob. 93.

* 2 Inst. 90, 91.

(e) Hob. 95.
2 Inst. 198, 199.

(f) Hob. 95.
8 H. 6. c. 9.

(g) Hob. 96.
Co. Lit. 154. b.
Moor 373.
Fitz. Redisseisin
1. Br. Redisseisin

(h) Hob. 102.

(i) Hob. 92.

and

and formed by the Stat. of *W. 2. c. 35.* but that in such Case the Guardian might have an Action of *(a) Trespass* is manifest in our Books, 29 *Aff. p. 35.* 29 *E. 3. 24. a. b. 3 E. 3. 31. 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 22 R. 2. Damages 130. 12 H. 7. Kelw. 20. b. 21. a. F. N. B. 90. H. 140.* Then came the Stat. of *Merton c. 6.* by which it is enacted, (and greater Punishm. than the Com. Law inflicted) *de hæredibus, &c. contra pacem vi abductis vel detentis seu maritatis, ita provis' est qd' quicumq; laicus inde convict' fuerit qd' puerum aliquem sic detinuerit, abduxerit seu maritaverit, reddat per d'nti valorem Maritagii, & pro delicto corpus ejus capiatur ut imprisonetur, &c. Et hoc de hærede infra quatuor annos existente.* And by the Stat. of *W. 2. c. 35.* it is provided, *de pueris masculis seu femellis, quorum maritagium ad aliquem pertineat, raptis & abductis, si ille qui rapuit non habens Jus in maritagio, licet post modum restituat puerum non maritatum, vel de maritagio satisfecerit, puniatur tamen pro transgressione per prisonam duorum annorum, & si non restituerit, vel Hæredem post annos nobiles maritaverit, & de maritagio satisfacere non potuerit, abjuret regnum vel habeat perpetuam prisonam.*

And this Stat. of *W. 2. c. 35.* has made 7 *(b)* Alterations. 1. The said Stat. of *Merton* did not extend to Heirs Females, for before the Age of *(c)* 14 Years the Male could not assent to Marriage, but the Heir Female at 12; and therefore it was taken that the Stat. of *Merton* did not *(d)* extend to an Heir Female: And therewith agrees the Book in 35 *H. 6. 53. a. b.* and the Act of *W. 2.* by exprefs Words extends to both; for the Words are, *de pueris masculis seu femellis.* 2. The Stat. of *Mert.* doth not extend to any of the Clergy; for the Words are, *quicumq; laicus inde convictus fuerit, &c.* but the Stat. of *W. 2.* extends to all, *(e)* for the Words are, *si ille qui rapuerit jus non habens, without any Restraint.* 3. The Stat. of *Mert.* doth not extend as appears before, but when the Heir was ravish'd within 14 Years, within which Time the Heir Male can't consent to Marriage: But now the Stat. of *W. 2.* extends to a Ravishment *post annos nobiles.* 4. The Words of the Stat. of *Mert.* are, *vi abductis vel detentis,* the Words of the Stat. of *W. 2.* are *raptis seu detentis.* 5. The Action given by the Stat. of *Mert.* is the ancient Writ of *Right of Ward,* as it is held in 18 *E. 3. 52. a. b.* But the Stat. of *W. 2. dat actionem formatam in verbis (f) conceptis,* a new Action, the Form of which was not at the Com. Law, the Form of which appears specially by the Act. 6. In Process, for in a Writ of *Right* upon the Stat. of *Merton,* he shall have but the ancient Process at the Com. Law: But the Stat. of *W. 2.* gives a more speedy Process, and that the Death of the Pl. or Def. *(g)* shall not abate the Writ. 7. The Stat. of *W. 2.* gives greater Punish-

(a) Co. Lit. 137. a. Br. Tresp. 252. 3 E. 3. 2. b. 2 Inft. 50. Hob. 94.

(b) 2 Inft. 90.

(c) 2 Inft. 90. Co. Lit. 78. b.

(d) 2 Inft. 439. Hob. 94, 95.

(e) 2 Inft. 439.

*Post. 73. b. 74. b.

(f) Co. Lit. 136. b.

(g) 2 Brownl. 91, 94.

Punishment than the Statute of *Merton* doth, as appeareth by the Purview of both Acts. And these are the most material Alterations that the Statute of *W. 2.* has made.

As to the 2 Point; If a Feme-Covert be within the Purview of the Statute of *W. 2.* (a) The Parts thereof were considered, which as to this purpose stand upon four Parts.

1. *Si restituat puerum non maritatum, puniatur tamen pro transgressione per prisonam duorum annorum.* 2. If he marries the Infant, & de maritagio satisfecerit, puniatur tamen, per prisonam ut supra. 3. *Si non restituerit, & satisfacere non potuerit, abjuret regnum, vel habeat prisonam imperpetuum.* 4. *Si Hæredem post annos nobiles maritaverit & de maritagio satisfacere non potuerit abjuret regnum, &c. ut supra.* And this Case is within the last Clause, *sc.* That the (b) Feme-Covert has married the Infant, and is not able to (c) satisfy, for a Feme-Covert has nothing during the Coverture wherewith she can satisfy, but is disabled by the Law to satisfy; and forasmuch as the Law has disabled a Feme-Covert to satisfy, the Law will not for this Disability inflict so great a Punishment as perpetual Banishment, or perpetual Imprisonment, *id est, perdere sive patriam, sive libertatem: Et (d) lex non cogit ad impossibilia, sed (e) impotentia excusat legem.* 22 *E. 3. Coron.* 279. If an Appeal be brought against a (f) Feme-Covert, or a Monk, and they are acquitted, the Feme-Covert or Monk shall never have a Writ to enquire of the Abettors; for by general Words the Law will never enable any for his Benefit, whom he Law has disabled, *a fortiori* the general Law will never punish any so severely for not doing of that which the Law it self has disabled him to do. So upon the Statute of *Marlebridge*, *Non liceat hujusmodi feoffatos expellere*, If the Lord's (g) Villain be infeoffed, the Lord shall expell him, for the general Law will not do wrong, *sc.* to enable the Villain against the Lord. And divers other Cases were put to the same Effect; as the Case of Ecclesiastical Persons, in the 4 *Part of my Reports*, f. 15. and others. And (h) 7 *E. 3. 11. a. b.* was cited by the Ch. Justice, *sc.* That *W.* brought a Writ of *Ravishment of Ward* against the Master of the Hospital of *Burton S. Laxer*, and *Robert de Lee*, & *Richard de la Fosse*, Confreres of the same Hospital, and there *Trew* Serjeant for the Defendants said, Sir, This Writ is given by Statute, and of certain Form, and it ought to be when the Parties against whom the Writ is brought are such, who by the Law may have Right to have the Ward; but when the Writ it self supposes any named in the Writ to be such, That they can't have Right in the Ward, &c. wherefore this Writ can't be main-

(a) Westm. 2. cap. 35.

2 Brownl. 60,

91, 93.

C. Jac. 413.

1 Rol. Rep.

445.

2 Bulstr. 322,

Hob. 93. 101,

3 Bulstr. 87.

2 Inst. 437.

438, 439.

(b) Hob. 93,

101.

Cr. Jac. 413.

(c) Cr. Jac. 440.

(d) Hob. 96.

Co. Lit. 92. a.

231. b.

Hardr. 387.

(e) Hardr. 387.

1 Co. 98. a.

4 Co. 11. a.

5 Co. 22. a.

6 Co. 21. b. 68. a.

10 Co. 139. b.

Co. Lit. 29. a.

(f) Hob. 98.

2 Inst. 385.

11 Co. 77. b.

(g) 52 H. 3. c. 6.

2 Inst. 111.

(h) Hob. 97.

maintained. To which Sir *William Herle* Chief Justice answered, If the Freres in Aid of the Guardian took the Infant in saving the Right of the Hospital, the Freres have *quodam modo* Right, because they are of the Hospital; wherefore answer, out of which Case two Things were observed: 1. That every Man shall be intended sufficient to satisfy the Pl. if the Pl. does not pray that the Jury may enquire of his sufficiency; and therewith agree (a) 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 22 R. 2. (b) *Damages* 13c. But when it appears by the Writ it self, that any Def. is not able (having Disability by Law) to satisfy, there the Difference appears, because in the one Case it is apparent to the Court, and in the other not; and therefore this Case is special, and differs from the Reason of all the Cases which have been put: For Example, from the Case *de Malefactoribus in parcis*; for there the Purview is general; but the said Act is not so precisely penned as the Stat. of *W. 2.* is: For in Effect this Act has provided, that none shall be punished by this Act, but who by Possibility may satisfy at the Time of the Judgment, (for the Words are, *Et de maritagio satisfacere non potuerit*;) and not to punish him by the Law for the Disability which the Law it self has made. And in (c) 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 22 R. 2. *Damages* 130, &c. The Pl. prayed that the Jury might enquire of the Defendant's sufficiency, which would be to no purpose in this Case; because it appears to the Court, That a Feme-covert at the Time of the Judgm. is disabled by the Law: And therefore such Rule is to be given in this Case as Sir *Wm. Herle* gave in the like Case in 7 E. 3. 41. in a Writ of (d) *Mesne* brought against the Husband and Wife, they made default at the grand *Distress*; upon which the Pl. sued Proclamation, and now at this Day the Proclamation was testified, and the Husband and Wife were demanded, and appeared not; for which the Pl. prayed they might be forejudged: *Herle*; There is no Reason that the Wife should be forejudged of her Seigniorie for the Default of her Husband, and especially by your Sute which you have brought, which is given by Statute, where you might have your Suit at the Common Law: And so in this Case the Plaintiff might have his Remedy at the Common Law, either by Action of *Trespas* against all who ravished, or a Writ *de valore Maritagii* against the Heir himself, and not upon this Statute, for the Goods or Lands of the Husband who is innocent, are not to be liable by this Act, for the Act has expressly provided, That for the Insufficiency of the Defendant he shall be exiled, &c. And therefore the Statute doth not charge the Husband in this Case, for the Statute is penal and personal to the Defen-

(a) Hob. 98, 99.
Ant. 72. b. 74. b.
(b) 2 Brownl.
92, 93.
Hob. 94, 98.

(c) Hob. 98, 99,
Ant. 72. b. 74. b.

d) Co. Lit.
100. a.

Defendant himself. And so for the Ravishment made by a Monk, his Sovereign shall not answer by Force of this Act. As to the Objection which was made, That he may have Judgment at the Common Law, *sc.* of Damages and Imprisonment, and then the Husband shall be charged with the Damages; that was utterly denied for two Reasons; the one that this Action is grounded upon the Statute of *W. 2. c. 35.* and such Writ in this Case is brought, and is there formed; and therefore he ought to have Judgment according to his original, which is the Foundation of his Sute; and not to ground his Writ upon the Statute, and to have Judgment at the Common Law, *nec e converso*, (a) 30 *E. 3. 11. b.* The King brought a *Prohibition* against the Prior of *Woburne*, That where the King had recovered in a *Quare Impedit*, the Defendant sent his Frere to *Rome* with an Appeal, and sued there to avoid the Judgment, according to the Stat. of *Premunire*, and upon Not guilty pleaded, all this was found against the Def. and there for the King Judgment was prayed upon the Stat. newly made, *sc.* 27 *E. 3. c. 1.* in case of *Premunire*, and it was adjudged he should not have it, because the (b) Judgment ought to be conformable to the Original; and this Sute was not brought according to the Stat. but by a Writ of *Prohibition* at the Com. Law. And in 47 *E. 3. 10. b.* in a (c) general Action of *Trespass* against Malefactors in Parks, the Defendants were found guilty, and the Pl. prayed Judgment of double Damages, three Years Imprisonment, and to find Sureties never more to offend; and if they did not find Sureties, that they might abjure the Realm. And altho' the Stat. gave no formed Action, yet forasmuch as the Action was brought generally at the Com. Law, he could not have Judgment upon the Stat. and therewith agree 10 *H. 6. 2. a.* and many other Books.

As to the 3 Point, It was held by the Chief Justice, and *Walmesley*, that the (d) Verdict was insufficient; for this Action being founded upon the said Stat. and the Stat. extends only when the Ravisher marries the Infant, for the Words are (*heredem post annos nobiles maritaverit*) so that inasmuch as the Stat. is so penal, it shall not be extended but only when the Ravisher marries him. And if after the Ravishment, the Infant of his own Head *post annos nobiles* marries himself, without the Procurement or Assent of the Ravisher, or if a Stranger afterwards marries him, in these Cases the first Ravisher (e) shall not be punished by this Statute. And in this Case the Jury have found generally *quod predictus Johannes Horniold maritatus existit, quodque idem Johannes tempore maritagii illius fuit etatis sexdecim annorum & amplius, & infra etatem viginti & unius annorum*, which

(a) 11 Co. 34. b.

(b) Doctrin. pl. 333.

(c) Br. Trespass 38. Br. Action sur le Statute 10. Fitz. Action sur le Stat. 13.

(d) 3 Co. 9. 2. fac. 413. Hob. 93. 2 B. owl. 59. 92.

(e) Hob. 99.

Verdict is not only uncertain who procured him to be married, *sc.* the Ravisher or any Stranger, or the Plaintiff himself, or if the Ward of his own Head married himself, but is also uncertain in the Time when he was married, *sc.* before the Ravishment or after, and therefore in the Book of Entries 368. p. 11 & 12. 369. p. 17. A. *rapuit*, & *idem* A. *maritavit*, &c. *contra voluntatem* of the Plaintiff. Vide 27 H. 6. Gard 118. 8 E. 3. 52. a. b. 33 E. 3. Judgment 251, &c. *acc.* And therefore it is well said in 30 E. 3. 29. b. a Verdict ought to be such, that the Judges ought clearly to go to Judgment, and therefore Verdicts ambiguous and doubtful are insufficient and void, as in 40 E. 3. 15. a. in Debt (a) against Executors they plead fully administered, and so nothing in their Hands: The Jury find that they have Assets in their Hands, and do not say to what Value; and for this Uncertainty the Verdict was held insufficient and void.

(a) Co. Lit.
227. a.
Br. Enquest 4

As to 4 Point, altho' 16 E. 3. Damages 80. and some other Books are against it, That no (b) Damages shall be recovered; yet forasmuch as it is held in 17 E. 3. 57. b. 21 E. 3. 44. 24 E. 3. 46. 22 R. 2. Damages 130. 8 E. 3. 52. 27 H. 6. Gard 118. Pasch. 27 H. 6. Rot. 123. in the Book of Entries. f. 368. and diverse other Books with which common Experience agrees, It was resolved accordingly. And the Chief Justice vouched an ancient Reading upon the Statute of W. 2. c. 35. That where the Statute says (c) *abjuret regnum vel habeat perpetuam prisonam* in the Disjunctive, That the Election shall be in the Court to give Judgment upon which of the said two Points the Judges will: And great reason, for it may be, the Disposition and Quality of the Defendant being considered, it would be dangerous to the State to banish him into foreign Countries.

(c) 2 Inst. 439.

Trin. II Jacobi Regis.

Combes's Case.

IN *Replevin* by *William Atlee*, against *Daniel Banks* and *Thomas Osborn* of taking of his Cattle at *Harmonsworth*, in a Place called *Walnut-tree Close*, in the County of *Middlesex*, &c. Which Plea began *Trin. 8 Jac. Reg. Rot. 330.* Upon the Pleading, and Issue joined, and special Verdict given, the Case was such. *Thomas Combes* Copyholder in Fee of ten Acres of Pasture in *H.* of the Manor of *Harmonsworth* in the County of *Middlesex*, by his D^{ed} 22 *Novemb. 5 E. 6.* constituted and ordained *William Combes* and *Stephen Erlie* two Copyhold Tenants of the same Manor his lawful Attornies, to surrender *vice & nomine suo* to the Lord of the said Manor, the said ten Acres of Pasture to the Use of *John Nicholas* and his Heirs, and afterwards at a Court held of the said Manor 8 *Julii anno 6 E. 6.* the said Attornies *tunc tenentes Dom' per copiam Rot' Cur' in eadem Cur' ostenderunt scriptum præd' gerens dat' prædict' 22 Nov' anno 5. supradicto, & iidem Willielmus & Stephanus autoritate eis per præd' literam Attornatus dat' in plena cur' sursum reddiderunt in manus Dom' præd' decem Acres pasture ad opus & usum præd' Johannis Nicholas heredum & assignatorum suorum*, who was at the same Court admitted accordingly: And that within the said Manor there was not any Custom to surrender Copyhold Lands, &c. by Letter of Attorney, either in Court or out of Court. And if the said Surrender by Letter of Attorney of the said Lands held by Copy, &c. was good or not, was the Doubt which the Jury referred to the Com-

(a) 2 Rol. Rep. 323, 393, 394. Herl. 24. Godb. 389. 1 Rol. 500. 1 Leon. 36. (b) Co. Lit. 59. a. 1 Rol. 500. (c) 2 Bullf. 252. Doct. pl. 104. (d) Co. Lit. 110. b. 2 Bullf. 186. 252. 253. (e) 2 Bullf. 186. B. N. C. 255. Br. Cuit. 59. Dy. 54. pl. 19. (f) Doct. pl. 104, 105. 1 Rol. 846. 2 Bullf. 252. 4 Co. 26. a. Cr. El. 103, 224, 225. 1 Leon. 328. Poph. 188. Owen 18. Hutt. 101. Lit. Rep. 233. 1 Jones 249. Cr. Car. 233. Moor 272. (g) 2 Rol. Rep. 329, 393. 1 Rol. 330. (h) 1 Anderf. 28, 29. 1 Rol. 330. O. Benl. 15. pl. 63. Godb. 314, 389. 2 Rol. 330. Dy. 283. pl. 30. Benl. in Kelw. 207. a. Benl. in Ash pl. 2. N. Benl. 12. pl. 10. 1 Leon. 265. 2 Rol. Rep. 323, 394. (i) 1 R. 3. c. 1. (k) 27 H. 8. c. 10. Br. Feoffm. 43. (l) 9 H. 7. 26. a. Co. Lib. 314. Br. Feoffment al use 28. 2 Rol. Rep. 294. (m) Co. Lit. 52. b.

sideration of the Court. And this Case was argued at the Bar, in *Michaelmas, Hillary, and Easter-Terms*, and in this Term, and in this it was also argued by the Justices at the Bench; and in this Case two Points were moved, 1. If a Surrender could be made by Force of the Letter of Attorney. 2. If the Attornies had pursued their Authority. As to the first it was unanimously agreed by all the Judges in their several Arguments, that the Surrender in the Case at Bar made by Letter of (a) Attorney, was good; and their Reason was, because every (b) Copyholder having a customary Estate of Inheritance, may *de communi jure*, without any particular Custom, surrender his Lands held by Copy in full Court, and therefore in pleading the Copyholder need not (c) alledge a Custom within the Manor to surrender in Court; for that which is the Usage *per totam Angliam*, is the (d) Common Law, as it is held in 34 H. 8. Br. Custom 59 & 34 H. 8. Dy. 54. *quod habetur (e) consuetudo inter Mercatores per totam Angliam, &c.* is no good manner of alledging a Custom, for that is the Com. Law; and in the *Book of Entries, Tit. Trespass. Divisone Copyhold* 1. f. 568. no Custom is alledged to enable a Copyholder to surrender in full Court, no more than that a Copyholder may make a (f) Lease for one Year; because that he may do by the general Custom of the Realm, which is the Common Law. *Vide Bracton lib. 2. c. 8.* Then if a Copyholder may surrender his Estate in Court by the general Custom of the Realm, which is the Common Law, from thence it follows that he may do it by Attorney, as a Thing incident by the Common Law: And that will more clearly appear if the Reason of such Things which a Man can't do by Attorney be well considered. And therefore if a Man has a bare Authority coupled with a Trust, as (g) Executors have to sell Land, they can't sell by Attorney; but if a Man has Authority, as absolute Owner of the Land, there he may do it by Attorney, as *Cestui que use* might after the Statute of (i) 1 R. 3. and before the Statute of (k) 27 H. 8. for *Cestui que use* had an absolute Authority to dispose of the Land at his Will, without any Confidence reposed in him, as appears in 11 *Eliz. Dyer* 283. and there a Judgment is cited in 25 H. 8. accordingly, against the Opinion of some Judges in 9 H. 7. (l) 24. But in the Case at Bar, the Copyholder has a customary Estate of Inheritance, and not an Authority or Power only. Also there is a (m) Difference berwixt a general absolute Power and Authority as Owner of the Land, as aforesaid, and a particular Power and Authority (by him who has but a particular Interest)

to make Leases for Life or Years. And therefore if (a) A. be Tenant for Life, the Remainder in Tail, &c. and A. has Power to make Leases for 21 Years rendring the ancient Rent, &c. he can't make a Lease by Letter of Attorney by Force of his Power, because he has put a particular Power which is personal to him: And so was it resolved in the Case of the Lady *Gresbam* at the Assises in *Suffolk* in *Quadragesim* 24 *El.* by *Wray* and *Anderson* Chief Justices, Justices of Assise there. Also there are some Things personal, and so inseparably annexed to the Person of a Man, that he can't do them by another, as doing of (b) Homage and Fealty: So it is held in 33 *E.* 3. *Trespas* 253. the Lord may beat his Villain for Cause or without Cause, and the Villain shall not have any Remedy? but if the Lord commands another to beat his Villain without Cause, he shall have an Action of Battery against him who beats him in such Case. So if the Lord distrains the Cattle of his Tenant, altho' nothing be behind, the Tenant for the Respect and Duty which belong to the Lord, shall not have (c) *Trespas vi & armis* against him; but if the Lord (d) commands his Bailiff or Servant in such Case to distrain where nothing is behind, the Tenant shall have an Action of *Trespas vi & armis* against the Bailiff or Servant. 2 *H.* 4. 4. a. 11 *H.* 4. 78. b. 1 *H.* 6. 6. a. 9 *H.* 7. 14. a.

Littleton in his Chapter of *Burgage* holds, That where in a Borough he who is seised of Lands in Fee may devise by Custom, there the Owner of such Land may devise that his (e) Executors shall sell, which they shall do as Attornies to him. 3 *E.* 3. *Coron.* 310. by the Custom of a Manor a Freehold will pass from one to another by Surrender in Court, against the (f) Will of the Lord, and where the Custom is such, the Tenant may do it by Attorney. *Vide* 14 *H.* 4. 1. a. by *Hankford*, & *vide* 19 *Aff.* p. 9.

And it was said, (g) as he to whose Use a Surrender is made may be admitted by Attorney, so a Copyholder may surrender by Attorney in full Court: And the Case of him to whose Use seems the stronger Case, because he who is to be admitted is to do Fealty, (h) which none can do Fealty but he who shall be admitted, and therefore in such Case the Ld. may refuse to admit him by Attorney; but (i) if he admits him by Attorney, it is good enough.

But *Hill.* 28 *Eliz.* in (k) *Chapman's* Case it was held in the King's Bench, That where the Custom of a Manor is, That the Copyholder out of Court may surrender into the Hands of the Lord of the Manor by the Hands of two customary Tenants, who in Effect are but Instruments or (l) Attornies of the Copyholder to take his Surrender, that in such Case the Copyholder by his Attorney

(a) 2 *Rol.* Rep. 393.
1 *Rol.* 330.
Palm. 436.

(b) 2 *Rol.* Rep. 393.
Co. Lit. 66. b. 68. a.

(c) 10 *E.* 4. 7. a. *Fitz.* Office del Court 7.
Brook Office del Court 29.
11 *H.* 4. 78. b.
4 *Co.* 11. b.
2 *Inst.* 105.
Co. Lit. 127. a.
Stat. de Marleb. c. 3.
Plow. 66. b.
84. b. 85. a.
(d) 2 *Inst.* 105.
Br. *Trespas* 98.
(e) *Lit.* sect 169.
Co. Lit. 112. b.
(f) *Co.* Lit. 59. b.
1 *Rol.* 562.
(g) 1 *Rol.* 505.

(h) *Co.* Lit. 68. a.

(i) 1 *Rol.* 505.

(k) 1 *Rol.* 500, 501.

(l) *Sty.* 423.

can't surrender into the Hands of the Lord by the Hands of two Copyhold Ten'ts; for in as much as the Surrender in such Case ought to be warranted by the Custom, the Surrend. without special Custom to warrant it by Attorney will not be good. Also that was upon the Matter by Attorney to make a Surrend. by others who are but Attornies, for that is not warranted by the (a) particular Custom of the Manor to make a Surrender out of Court. But in the Case at Bar the Com. Law, and no particular Custom warrants the Surrend. and therefore it may well be made according to the Rule and Reason of the Com. Law by Attorney. But it was resolv'd, That the Attorney ought to (b) pursue the Manner and Form of the Surrender in all Points according to the Custom, as the Copyholder himself ought to have done; as if the Surrend. by the Custom ought to be by the Rod, or by any other Thing, or in any other Manner, the Attorney ought to pursue it. And the *Ch. Just.* said, that the Style of a Copyholder imports 3 Things: 1. *Nomen*, his Name, 2. *Originem*, his Commencement: 3. *Titul'* his Assurance: His Name is Ten't by Copy of Court-Roll, for his (c) Name is not Ten't by Court-Roll, but by Copy of Court-Roll, who is the sole Ten't in Law that holds by Copy of any Record, Charter, Deed, or any other Thing, 2. His Commencement, *ad voluntatem domini*; for at the Beginning he was but Ten't at the Will of the Lord; 3. His Title or Assurance, *secundum consuetudinem Manerii*, for the Custom of the Manor has (d) fixed his Estate, and assured the Land to him so long as he doth his Services and Duties, and performs the Customs of the Manor. And therefore (e) *Danby* saith in 7 *E. 4. 19. a.* That by the Custom he is as well inheritable to have the Land, as Ten't to hold his Freehold by the Com. Law. And it was resolved that the Case was stronger, because the Let. of Attorney was made to those who were Ten'ts by Copy, &c. of the said Manor. But it was agreed, that where an (f) Infant at the Age of 15 Years may make a Feoffm. that he can't do it by (g) Attorney, because a Custom which enables a Person disabled by the Law, ought to be pursued, and an Infant can do nothing to pass any Thing out of him by Attorney: *Vide 11 H. 4. 33. a.* and it would be hard, if Men in (b) Prison, or Sick, or beyond the Sea, could not make Surrenders of their Lands held by Copy for Paym. of their Debts, or Preferment and Advancement of their Wives and Children, &c. *Nota* Reader, this is the first Case that I have known which was adjudged in this Point.

2. It was resolv'd, that when any has Authority, as Attorney, to do any Act, he ought to do it in his (i) Name who gives the Authority; for he appoints the Attorney to be in his Place, and to represent his Person; and therefore the Attorney can't do it in his own Name, nor as his proper Act, but in the Name, and as the Act of him who gives the Authority.

And

(a) Co. Lit. 59 a.
1 Rol. 500.

(b) 1 Rol. 501.

(c) Lit. sect. 75.
Co. Lit. 60. a.

(d) Hen. 7.

(e) Lit. sect. 77.
Co. Lit. 61. a.
4 Co. 22. a.

(f) Doct. and
Stud. 21. a.
1 Rol. 567.
5 H. 7. 31. a.
41. a.
11 H. 4. 33. a.
Fitz. Cust. 9. 11.
Br. Custom 15.
(g) 8 Co. 45. a.
(h) 1 Leon. 36.

(i) 1 Rol. 330.
501.
Godb. 389.
Modr 70, 71.

And where it was objected, That in the Case at Bar That the Attornies have made the Surrender in their own Names; for the Entry is *Quod iidem Willielmus, & Stephanus, &c. sursum reddiderunt, &c.* It was answered and resolved *per totam curiam*, that they have well pursued their Authority; for first they shewed their Letter of Attorney, and then they (a) *authoritate eis per præd Litteram Attornat' dat' sursum reddiderunt, &c.* (a) 1 Rol. 301. which is as much as to say, as if they had said, We as Attornies of *Thomas Combes* surrender, &c. and both these Ways are sufficient; as he who has a Letter of Attorney to deliver Seisin saith, I as Attorney to *J. S.* deliver you Seisin; Or I by Force of a Letter of Attorney deliver you Seisin; and all that is well done, and a good Pursuance of his Authority: but if Attornies have Power by Writing to make Leases by Indenture for Years, &c. they can't make Indentures in their own Names, but in the Name of him who gives them Warrant. But if a Man by his Will in Writing devises that his Executors shall sell his Land, and dies, there the Executors in their own (b) Name may sell the Land for Necessity, because he who gives them Authority by his Will (which takes Effect after his Death) is dead; yet in such Case the Vendee is in by the Devisor. (b) 1 Rol. 330. Dy. 251. pl. 89.

Mich. 9 Jac. Regis.

In Communi Banco.

Henry Peytoc's Case.

1 Brownl. 133.
2 Brownl. 128.
Palm. 111.
Godb. 149.

HENRY PEYTOE brought *Ejectione firmæ* against Robert Chitty and Agnes his Wife, and Alice Derbyshire, of a House and a Garden in Godalming in the County of Surry, on a Demise made by Ann Hook, 7 Aprilis 8 Jac. for 3 Years, and that the Defendants the 10 Day of April in the same Year ejected him, &c. And the Defendants pleaded, That after the Trespass and Ejectment, *sc. 10 Maii anno 8 supradicto apud Godalming præd' talis inter Robertum & præfat' Henricum, tam de transgressione & ejectione præd' quam de omnibus aliis querelis, debitis, & debatis inter eos ante tunc habit' factis, sive perpetratis, &c. habebatur concordia*; that in Satisfaction of them the said Robert one of the Defendants should pay to the Plaintiff 6 l. 10 s. at the Feast of S. Michael the Archangel then next following; and that for the true Paym. thereof he should become bound in a (a) Bond of 13 l. and pleaded the Performance thereof, and the Receipt of the said Sum at the said Feast accordingly; and thereupon the Plaintiff demurred in Law; and this Case was argued at the Bar by the Serjeants; and it was objected, That this Action of *Ejectione firmæ* is in the Realty, and therein the Possession shall be recovered by *Habere facias possessionem*, and thereby the Possession and Inheritance shall be revested in the Lessor; and the Entry of the Plaintiff pending the Writ shall (b) abate his Writ, as it is adjudged in 6 Eliz. Dyer 226. for in this Action the Term is to be recovered. So in *Ejectione firmæ*, (c) ancient Demesne is a good Plea, as it is adjudged in *Alden's Case in the 5 Part of my Rep. f. 105. a.* because it tastes of the Realty: And

(a) Raym. 203.

(b) 2 Brownl. 131.
Dy. 226. pl. 40.
(c) Doctrin. pl. 51.
Cr. Jac. 559.
Cr. El. 826.
2 Rol. Rep. 181.
2 Anderl. 178.
2 Brownl. 129,
130, 133.

And in Actions concerning the (a) Realty, altho' it be but a Chattel real, Accord is no Plea; and therefore it is adjudged (b) 11 H. 7. 13. b. That in an Action of Waste against Lessee for Years, an Accord executed is no Plea, because it is mixt with the Realty; *pari ratione in Ejectione firmæ*. Also they rely'd much upon two Rules put in the Case in 7 E. 6. between Andrew and Boughey, Dyer 75. one, that in all Cases where nothing but (c) Amends are to be recovered in Damages, there a Concord with an Execution thereof is a good Plea; but in this Case of *Ejectione firmæ*, *aliquid amplius & magis dignum* shall be recovered than Damages, *sc.* the (d) Term: The other Rule there put, is, In all Actions grounded upon a Wrong, as *Trespas*s, *Conspiracy*, *Maintenance*, and *hujusmodi*, where nothing in Certainty is demanded, nor to be recovered, but (e) Damages, Concord is a good Plea; but in this Case the Action is brought for the Recovery of the Possession of the House and Land demised in certain. But it was granted, That if the Term incurs pending the Writ, there accord is a good Plea because nothing shall be recovered but Damages: So in an Action of Waste against Lessee for Years in the (f) *tenuit*: And Question was made *arguendo* amongst the Serjeants, what should be the Reason why when a Man is bound to deliver a Horse, &c. or to do any collateral Act, (g) the Obligor can't by Accord betwixt them, give Money or other valuable Thing in Satisfaction thereof, as well as where he is bound to pay Money, there he may give an Horse, or any other valuable Thing in Satisfaction thereof.

And first it was unanimously resolv'd *per tot' cur'*, that the Accord in this (b) Case was a good Plea: For in all Actions which suppose the Wrong to be done (i) *vi & armis*, (where *Capias* and *Exigent* lie at the Com. Law, as appears in 40 E. 3. 25. a. 35 H. 6. 6. a. b. 22 E. 4. 11. b. *Plow. Com. in the Lord Berkley's Case*) there Accord is a good Plea, for the Redemption of his Body from Imprisonment, so that Men may do their Business, which is good for the Commonwealth. And it was observed that this Action of *Ejectione firmæ* includes in it self an Action of (k) *Trespas*s, as appears by the Commencement, the Body, and the Conclusion of the Writ; for this Writ begins *Si A. fecerit te securum de clamore suo prosequend' pone*, &c. and in the like Manner begins the Writ of *Trespas*s; the Body of the Writ of *Ejectione firmæ*, *Quare vi & armis unum messuag'*, &c. *intravit*, &c. and the Writ of the *Trespas*s is, *Quare unum messuagium fregit*, & (l) *intravit*, &c. and all the Addition in the *Ejectione firmæ* is, *Et ipsum a firma sua inde ejecit*: The Conclusion of both is, *Et alia enormia ei intulit ad grave damnum*; And therefore the *Trespas*s,

(a) 6 Co. 43. b.
2 Brownl. 129.
(b) 6 Co. 43. b.
Br. Accord 13.
Postea 78. b.
2 Brownl. 128,
129.
Cro. El. 357.

(c) Dy. 75. pl. 25.
Doctrin. pl. 19.
2 Brownl. 131.

(d) Cr. Car. 88.
Postea 78. b.
5 Co. 105. a.

(e) Dy. 75. pl. 27.
6 Co. 44. a.

(f) 1 Rol. 266.
6 Co. 44. a.
Doctr. pl. 17.

(g) Co. Lit.
212. b.
Postea 79. a.
1 Rol. 455, 456.

(h) Doctrin.
pl. 18.
1 Rol. 266, 267.
2 Rol. Rep. 181.
1 Brownl. 133.
2 Brownl. 128,
129, 131, 132,
133.
Godb. 149.

(i) 1 Brownl.
134.
2 Brownl. 132.
(k) Cr. El. 622.

(l) F.N.B. 36. L.

Trespafs is a great Part of the Action of *Ejectione firmæ*, and the *Trespafs* and *Ejectment* are so woven and mixt together, that they can't be severed. And without Question in (a) *Trespafs* Accord is a good Plea, and by Consequence in (b) *Ejectione firmæ*: And the Entry in an *Ejectione firmæ* is, *In plito transg' & ejectionis firmæ*. And in 7 H. 4. 6. b. it is held, That by Force of the Act of 4 E. 3. cap. 6. (c) which gives an Action of *Trespafs de bonis asportatis in vita testatoris*, That the (d) Executors shall have *Ejectione firmæ* of *Ejectment in vita testatoris*, because that is an Action of *Trespafs*. And in 44 E. 3. 22. the Action of *Eject' firmæ* is called Action of (e) *Trespafs*. And in * 6 R. 2. *Ejectione firmæ* 2. that it is an Action of *Trespafs* in its Nature: *Trin. 26 H. 6. Rot. 27. coram Rege*, In an *Appeal of (f) Maibem*, the Writ is *felonice*, yet for as much as it includes *Trespafs*, Accord is adjudged to be a good Plea. And it was also resolved, that in (g) *Ravishment of Ward*, Accord is a good Plea: because in the Action at the Com. Law Process of Outlawry lay; and so upon the Stat. of W. 2. c. 35. And therefore it appears, that this Case is not like an Action of Waste against Lessee for Years, nor to the Writ of (h) *Quare ejecit infraterm'*, which is, *Quare ei deforceat, &c.* and without *vi & armis*: And yet, for as much as in these also but a Chattel shall be recovered, Accord is a good Plea. And therefore as to the Case of Action of Waste, in (i) 11 H. 7. 13. b. It was answered that the Case is ill printed; for 1. If it had been really adjudged in 11 H. 7. the same Case would never have been argued again by the Serjeants and Judges in 13 H. 7. 2. And in (k) 16 H. 7. *Garr. 97. in Fitz.* it was held, that in an Action of (l) Waste, against Lessee for Years, Accord is a good Plea; which the same Judges would not have done, if they themselves had adjudged the same Case to the contrary in so short Time before. Also diligent Search by the Prothonotaries has been made for the Record of the said Case of 11 H. 7. and none can be found. And in *Hill. 6 E. 6.* reported by Serjeant *Bendloes* it was held *per totam curiam in C. B.* That in an Action of (m) Waste against Lessee for Years, Accord is a good Plea. And it was resolved, that the said Rule in 7 E. 6. was consonant to Law, *sc:* That where nothing but Amends is to be recovered in Damages, there an Accord is a good Plea, but that doth not impugn, That altho' a Chattel real or personal is also to be recovered, that Accord shall be no Plea; for in *Detinue of (n) Charters* concerning the Freehold and Inheritance of Land, the Charters themselves shall be recovered, and yet in such Case Accord is a good Plea, as it is held in 7 E. 4. 23. b. The same Law of *Detinue* of an Horse, or other personal Goods: And the other Rule is also true, but,

(a) Doct. pl. 17.
 (b) Doct. in. pl. 18.
 Antea 78. a.
 Godb 149.
 1 Rol. 266, 267.
 2 Rol. Rep. 181.
 1 Brownl. 133.
 2 Brownl. 128.
 129, 131, 132, 133.
 (c) 4 E. 3. c. 7.
 (d) Br. Quare ejecit infra, &c. 4.
 Br. Execut. 45.
 Fitz. Execut. 53.
 4 E. 4. 8. a.
 2 Brownl. 129, 130, 131, 133.
 (e) 2 Brownl. 133.
 * Postea 80. a.
 (f) 2 Brownl. 133.
 Doct. pl. 17, 18.
 6 Co. 44. a.
 (g) 2 Brownl. 128, 129, 130.
 Doct. pl. 18.
 6 Co. 43. b.
 (h) 2 Brownl. 128, 129.
 Postea. 80.
 (i) 6 Co. 43. b.
 Antea 78. a.
 Br. Accord 13.
 2 Brownl. 128, 129, 132.
 Cr. El. 357.
 (k) 2 Brownl. 132.
 2 Inst. 307.
 (l) 2 Brownl. 128, 129, 130, 132.
 Cr. Jac. 100.
 Cr. El. 357.
 6 Co. 44. a.
 1 Rol. 266.
 Doct. pl. 17, 19.
 (m) O. Benlow 4. N. Benlow 35. Moor 6.
 (n) Fitz. Acc. 2.
 Doct. pl. 16, 19.
 2 Brownl. 131.

as to the first, it does not imply the Negative; for where Certainty is to be recovered, Accord also is a good Plea, as in the Case of (a) Detinue of Charters: In an Action of (b) Debt on a Lease for Years, there is a certain Demand, and yet Accord is a good Plea, as it is held in 47 E. 3. 24. a. b. *Et* 10 H. 7. 24. a. 2 R. 3. *Det* 100.

(a) Antea 78. b.
(b) Br. Accord
11.
2 Brownl. 132.

And in this Case, to satisfy the said Question moved amongst the Serjeants, a Difference was taken between a Condition in a Deed to do a (c) collateral Act, as to be bound in a Statute to make a Feoffment, to yield a true Account *Et similia*, for there Accord with Execution for Money or other Thing, is no Satisfaction to save the Forfeiture of the Condition, for the Contract being made by Writing to do such collateral Act, can't without writing in such Case be altered, as it is held in 12 E. 4. 23. a. b. 9 H. 7. 4. 4 H. 8. *Dyer* 1. *Et* c. But when the Condition in a Deed by the original Contract of the Parties is to pay Money, there by Accord amongst the Parties, any other Thing may be given in Satisfaction of the Money; for as the Philosopher saith, (d) *Nummus est mensura rerum commutandarum*, which agrees with a Rule in Law, *Res per pecuniam estimatur, Et non pecunia per res*, and in this Sense it is true *quod pecuniæ obediunt omnia*: But so is not any other thing, and it is not material, whether the Money mentioned in the Condition be a collateral Sum, or be Parcel of the Bond or not; for if a Man be bound by Bond in 200 (e) Quarters of Wheat, upon Condition to pay 20 l.

(c) 1 Rol. 455;
456.
2 Brownl. 131.
Antea 78. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 296,
297.
Cr. El. 46, 193;
304, 458.
3 Bulst. 148, 149.
Co. Lit. 112. b.
Perk. 145. b.
146. a.
Hob. 178.
Palmer 550.

the Obligor may by Accord betwixt them, give him an Horse, or a gold Ring, *Et* c. in Satisfaction of the Money, altho' the Money in such Case is collateral to the Bond: And therefore if a Man (f) enfeoffs another by Deed, upon Condition that the Feoffor shall pay a Sum of Money, *Et* c. the Feoffor may by Accord betwixt them give the Feoffee an Horse, or a gold Ring, *Et* c. in Satisfaction; and yet the Money in such Case is (g) collateral having Regard to the Land, for if Tender be made and Refusal, he shall never pay the Money, *Ergo* it is a meer Collateral, *quia reprobata pecunia in hoc casu liberat solventem*; and therewith agrees *Lit. cap. Conditions*, 79. b. So if a Man by Bond be bound in 100 (h) Quarters of Wheat, upon Condition to pay 50 Quarters, he can't give Money or other Thing in Satisfaction thereof, because the Contract originally was not for Money, but for a collateral Thing: And in such Case if the Obligor tenders it at the Day, and the other refuse he shall plead it, without saying it is (i) yet ready, because Corn is *bonum perituum*, and it is a Charge to the Obligor to keep it;

(d) 2 Brownl.
131.
3 Bulst. 149.

(e) 1 Rol. 456.

(f) 1 Rol. 456.

(g) Co. Lit.
207. a.

(h) Co. Lit.
207. a.
1 Rol. 456.

(i) 1 Rol. 472.
Co. Lit. 207. a.
Dyer 25. pl. 154.
Doct. pl. 390.

and

and to it was held in 28 H. 8. in the Com. Pleas, as *Carrel* has reported. So if a Man be bound in a Stat. Recognifance, or Bond; and afterwards a Defeafance is made to pay a lefs Sum, now this Sum in the Defeafance is collateral; and therefore if the Obligor (*a*) tenders it at the Day, and it is refused, the Obligee loses it for ever, as it is held in (*b*) Doct. pl. 390. 33 H. 6. 2. a. b. and yet in fuch Cafe the Obligor by Accord betwixt them, may give an Horfe, &c. in Satisfaction of the Money in the Defeafance, for the Contract was originally for Money. But if a Man by Contract, or *Assumpsit* (without (*c*) Deed) be to deliver an Horfe, or to build an Houfe, or to do any other collateral Thing, there Money may be paid by Accord in Satisfaction of fuch Contract: For as a Contract upon Consideration may commence by Word, fo by Agreement by Word for any valuable Consideration, it may be difsolved; and fo you will better understand the Reason of your Books, in 12 H. 4. 23. a. b. 33 H. 6. 2. a. b. 22 H. 6. 58. 7 E. 4. 4. b. 20 E. 4. 1. b. 13 H. 7. 4. b. 9 H. 7. 4. 18. a. 16 H. 7. 13. b. 4 H. 8. *Dyer* 1. 9 H. 8. 12. 22 E. 3. 5. a. 26 E. 3. *Annuity* 45. But it was refolved that a Right or Title of (*d*) Freehold can't be barr'd by any Accord with collateral Satisfaction, altho' the Satisfaction is of as high a Nature as the Right or Freehold, as appears in *Vernon's Case in the 4 Part of my Reports* f. 1. a. b. *Long* 5 E. 4. 22. § 1 *Mar. Dyer* 91.

And every Accord ought to be full, (*e*) perfect and compleat: for if divers Things are to be performed by the Accord, the Performance of Part is not fufficient, but all ought to be performed, and therewith agree 17 E. 4. 2. b. 6 H. 7. 10. a. *Plow. Com.* 5. a. Also if the Thing be to be performed at a Day to come, Tender and Refusal is not fufficient without actual Satisfaction and Acceptance.

And Accords are favoured in Law, becaufe *Expediit Reipublice ut fit (f) finis litium: Et concordia parvae res crescunt, discordia maximae dilabuntur. Vide* 30 E. 3. 4. 22 E. 4. 25. The Bishop of *Bath's Case*. 11 R. 2. barr 243. 16 E. 4. 11. 19 *Eliz. Dyer* 356. And in a Writ of Covenant for want of Reparations altho' the Action is founded on a Deed, yet it is mixt with Wrong, for which Damages shall be recovered, it was adjudged *Pasch.* 3 *Jac. Rot.* 1033. between *Eiden* (*g*) and *Blake*, that Accord with Satisfaction was a good Plea in Bar.

And it was refolved in the Case at Bar, that the Accord and Satisfaction by one should discharge all the Ejectors and Trespassors. *Vide* 13 E. 4. 1. b. 35 H. 6. 6. a. b. 6 H. 7. (*b*) 26 H. 6. *Barr F.* And that of ancient Time the Term was recover'd in *Eject firm* as appears by *Bract. lib.* 4. c. 36. f. 220. *in tract de Assisa nova disseisina. Dist. est supra qualis quis restituat cum fuerit*

(a) 1 Rol. 472.
Cr. El. 755.
Co. Lit. 207. a.
Doct. pl. 390.
391.
(b) Fitz. Det. 55.
Br. tours temps
prist. 4.
(c) 1 Rol. 456:

(d) 2 Brownl.
132.
1 Rol. Rep. 297.
Doct. pl. 17.
Co. Lit. 36. b.
Dy. 91. pl. 12.

(e) Doct. pl. 15.
1 Rol. 129.
2 Jon. 6, 158.
Raym. 450.

(f) 6 Co. 7. a.
9. a. 45. a.
11 Co. 69. a.
Hard. 128.
Godb. 242.
3 Bulltr. 98.

(g) 6 Co. 43. b.
Cr. Jac. 99. 100.
Noy 110.
2 Rol. Rep. 188.

(h) Fitz. Bar. 37.
5 Co. 117. b.
Dall. 49.

fuerit ejectus de libero tenemento suo. Nunc dicendum est si quis ejiciatur de usufructu, vel usu & habitatione alicujus tenementi quod tenuit ad terminum annorum, ante terminum suum: And there against the Lessor he saith that the Lessee shall have a Writ *de Conventione*, against his Vendee he shall have a *Quare ejecit infra terminum*, and as well against the Lessor as *versus extraneum Ejectione firmæ*: And there a little after he saith, *Non magis poterit aliquis firmarium ejicere de firma sua, quam tenentem aliquem de libero tenemento suo, & unde si ille ejecerit qui tradidit seisinam, i. possessionem, restituat cum damnis: Si autem alius quam qui tradidit ejecerit, si hoc cum auctoritate & voluntate tradentis; uterque tenetur hoc iudicio, unus propter factum, alius propter auctoritatem. Si autem sine voluntate, tunc tenetur ejector utriq; tam Domini proprietatis quam firmario, firmario per istud breve, Domino proprietatis per Assisam novæ disseisinæ, & unus rebabeat terminum cum damnis, & alius liberum tenementum suum sine damnis.* By which it appears how the Law was taken in the Reign of H. 3. in which Time *Bracton* wrote. In 3 E. 1. *Quare ejecit infra terminum* 4. it was adjudged, That the Plaintiff in that Action should recover his Term and Damages: And the like Judgments are given in 18 E. 2. and 20 E. 2. *ibid. pl. 5 & 6.* which agree with *Bracton*, and with him *F. N. B. (a) F. N. B. (a) 197.* And in 38 *Aff. p. 9.* and 12 *H. 4. 10. b.* in *Ejectione* 197. & *custodiæ* the Term shall be recovered, *pari ratione* in *Ejectione firmæ*. *Vide 44 E. 3. 22.* in *Oyer and Terminer*. And it is held in 11 *H. 6. 6. b.* that altho' the Term incurs pending the Writ of *Eject' firmæ* the Writ shall abate, 7 *H. 4. 16.* 12 *H. 6. 8. 33 H. 6. 42. 38 H. 6. 27. 7 E. 4. 6. 21 E. 4. 30. & 13 H. 7. 21.* that the Term shall be recovered in *Eject' firmæ*. And 14 *H. 7.* in *Eject' firmæ* brought against a Stranger in the Com. Pleas, the Plaintiff had Judgment to recover his Term, and thereupon the Defendant brought a Writ of *Error*, and the Judgment was affirmed, and Execution awarded to the Plaintiff. And in *Anno 17 H. 8.* such Judgment was given in the Common Pleas, that the Plaintiff in *Ejectione firmæ* should recover his Term and Damages, as *Fitzh. Fitz. Ejectione* Justice reports in his *N. B. 220. b.* and the Book in 6 *R. 2. firmæ 2.* *Eject' firmæ* is ill reported, for it seems that the Court Antea 78. a. agreed only to the Saying of *Belknap*, that if the Lessee be ousted by the Lessor, that he might recover his Term in a Writ of Cov'nt: And afterwards in the same Case *Belknap* saith, that at the Com. Law, if the Lessee be ousted by a Stranger *Eject' firmæ* lies, and to what purpose was this Writ instituted, if thereby the Term shall not be recover'd, for he shall not recover Damages but for the Ejectm. only. *Vide 12 H. 6. 56. 37 H. 6. 8.* And it was resolv'd, in a Writ of *Quare ejecit infra terminum*, 2 *Brownl. 128;* Accord is a good Plea. Afterw'ds in the principal Case Judgment was 129. Antea 78. b. given

- given against the Pl. *Nota* Reader, the best and most secure
- (a) Doct. pl. 19. (a) Form of pleading of an Accord, is to plead it by Way of Satisfaction, and not by Way of Accord; for if he pleads it by Way of Accord, he ought to plead the precise Execution thereof in the Whole, and if he fails of any Part thereof, his Plea is insufficient; but by Way of Satisfaction he shall plead no more, than the Defendant paid the Plaintiff 6 l. 10 s. in full Satisfaction of the same Action, which the Plaintiff received, &c. Judgment if Action? And this is well approved by the Book in 19 H. 6. 29. b. in a Writ of
- (b) Doct. pl. 16. Fitz. Bar 26. Br. Bar 22. (b) *Forger of false Deeds*; *Markham* Serjeant for the Defendant, by Protestation that he did not forge, for Plea said, that the Defendant gave the Plaintiff a Gallon of Wine in Satisfaction of the Action, which Gallon of Wine the Plaintiff accepted, &c. Judgment if Action? and there *Fortescue* Serjeant of Counsel with the Plaintiff, It is no Plea, unless you say, that there was an Accord betwixt the Plaintiff and Defendant, &c. *Newton* the Chief Justice who gave the Rule in the Case, It is the best Pleading as *Markham* has pleaded in my Opinion, and substantial enough; for if he has given the Plaintiff a Gallon of Wine for the same Trespass, which the Plaintiff has received, what would you then? &c. And afterwards *Fortescue* denied the Receipt of the Gallon of Wine in Satisfaction of that Trespass.

Mich. 9 Jacobi.

Agnes Gore's Case.

BEFORE *Fleming* Chief Justice, and *Tanfield* Chief Jenk. Cent. 290. Baron, Justices of Assize, this Case happened in their Western Circuit. *Agnes* the Daughter of *Roper* married one *Gore*, *Gore* fell sick, *Roper* the Father in good Will to the said *Gore* his Son in Law, went to one *Doctor Gray* a Physician for his Advice, who made a Receipt directed to one *Martin* his Apothecary, for an Electuary to be made, which the said *Martin* did, and sent it to the said *Gore*, *Agnes* the Wife of *Gore* secretly mixed Ratsbane with the Electuary, to the Intent therewith to poison her Husband, and afterward 18 *Maii* she gave Part of it to her Husband, who eat thereof and immediately became grievous sick : The same Day *Roper* the Father eat of it, and immediately also became sick, 19 *Maii* C. eat Part of it, and he likewise fell sick ; but they all recovered and yet are alive. The said *Roper* observing the Operation of the said Electuary, carried the said Box with the said Electuary 21 *Maii* to the said *Gray* the Physician, and informed him of the said Accidents, who sent for the said *Martin* the Apothecary, and asked him if he had made the said Electuary according to his Direction, who answered that he had, in all Things but in one, which he had not in his Shop, but put in another Thing of the same Operation, which the said Dr. *Gray* well approved of ; Whereupon *Martin* the Apothecary said, To the End you may know that I have not put any Thing in it, which I my self will not eat, I will before you eat Part of it, and thereupon *Martin* took the Box, and with his

M Knife

Knife mingled and stirred together the said Electuary, and took and eat part of it of which he died the 22d Day of *May* following. The Question was, if upon all this Matter *Agnes* had committed Murder. And this Case was delivered in Writing to all the Judges of *Engl.* to have their Opinions in the Case. And the Doubt was, Because *Martin* himself of his own Head, without Incitation or Procurement of any, not only eat of the said Electuary, but he himself mingled and stirred it together, which mixing and stirring had so incorporated the Poison with the Electuary, that it made the Operation more forcible than the Mixture which the said *Agnes* had made; for notwithstanding the Mixture which *Agnes* had made, those who eat of it were sick, but yet live, but the Mixture which *Martin* has made by mingling and stirring of it with his Knife, made the Operation of the Poison more forcible, and was the Occasion of his Death. And if this Circumstance would make a Difference between this Case and *Saunders's* Case in *Pl. Com.* 474. was the Question.

And it was resolved by all the Judges that the said *Agnes* was guilty of the (a) Murder of the said *Martin*, for the Law conjoins the murderous Intention of *Agnes* in putting the Poison into the Electuary to kill her Husband, with the Event which thence ensued; *sc.* the Death of the said *Martin*, for the putting of the Poison into the Electuary is the Occasion and Cause; and the poisoning and Death of the said *Martin* is the Event, *quia eventus est qui ex causa sequitur, & dicuntur Eventus quia ex causis eveniunt*, and the Stirring of the Electuary by *Martin* with his Knife without the putting in of the Poison by *Agnes* could not have been the Cause of his Death.

And it was also resolved, That if *A.* puts Poison into a Pot of Wine, &c. to the Intent to Poison *B.* and sets it in a Place where he supposes *B.* will come and drink of it, and by (b) Accident *C.* (to whom *A.* has no Malice) comes, and of his own Head takes the Pot and drinks of it, of which Poison he dies, it is Murder in *A.* for the Law couples the Event with the Intention, and the End with the Cause: And in the same Case if *C.* thinking that Sugar is in the Wine, stirs it with a Knife, and drinks of it, it will not alter the Case; for the King by reason of the Putting in of the Poison with a murderous Intent, has lost a (c) Subject; and therefore in Law he who so put in the Poison with an ill and felonious Intent, shall answer for it. But if one prepares Ratsbane to kill (d) Rats and Mice, or other Vermin, and leaves it in certain Places to that Purpose, and with no ill Intent, and one finding it eats of it, it is not Felony, because he who prepares the Poison has no ill or felonious Intent; but when one prepares Poison, with a felonious Intent to kill (c) any reasonable Creature,

(a) Hale's Pl. Cor. 50.
3 Inst. 138.
Jenk. Cent. 290.

(b) Pl. Com. 474. b.

(c) Pl. Com. 474. b.

(d) Pl. Com. 474. b.
Hale's Pl. Cor. 50.

(e) 3 Inst. 51.
Moer 37.

ture, whatsoever reasonable Creature is thereby killed, he who has the ill and felonious Intent shall be punished for it, for he is as great an Offender, as if his Intent against the other Person had taken Effect. And if the Law should not be such, this horrible and heinous Offence would be unpunished, which would be mischievous, and a great Defect in the Law.

Brownlow.

*Trin. 6 Jac. Reg. Rot. 1611.**Conny's Case.*

Cantabr' **B** Barthol' Colpit summon' fuit ad respondend' Joh' Crane, de pl'ito quare cepit averia ipsius Joh' & ea injuste detinuit contr' vad' & pleg', &c. Et unde idem Jo' per Tho' Gunton attorn' suum querit, qd' præd' Barthol', 19 die Oct' an' regni Domini Reg' nunc 5. apud Tidde sancti Egidii, in quodam clauf. ibid' continen' in se 2 acr' pastur', cepit averia, viz. 3 Juvenas, voc' *Sters*, ipsius Joh' & ea injuste detinuit contra vad' & pleg' quousque, &c. unde dic', quod deteriorat' est & dampnum habet ad valenc' 20l. Et inde produc' fecit, &c. Et præd' Barthol' per Will' Davye attorn' suum ven' & defend' vim & injur' quando, &c. Et ut ball'ius Joh' Welby armig' bene cogn' caption' averior' præd' in præd' loco in quo, &c. Et juste, &c. quia dic', qd' id' locus in quo supponit' caption' averior' præd' fieri continet, & præd' tempore quo supponitur caption' averior' illor' fieri, continebat in se præd' 2 acr' pastur' cum pertin' in Tidde sancti Egidii præd', jacen' ibidem in quodam campo voc' Southgrastfield juxta terras nuper Rich' Welby generos. quond' Rich' Delaland ex parte boreal', & *Kirkland* ex parte occidentali, quodq; quidam Hen' Conny ar', ante præd' tempus quo, &c. fuit seisit' de præd' 2 acr' pastur' cum pertin' in quibus, &c. in dominico suo ut de feodo, & eisd' 2 acr' pastur' cum pertin' tenuit de quodam Willielmo Stermin ar' ut de maner' suo de Richerds cum pertin' in Tidde sancti Egidii prædict', per fidelitat' & redditum tresdecim denariorum singulis annis, ad festum Sanct' Mich' Arch' solvend', necnon per servicium faciend' festam ad cur' ipsius Will'mi Stermin manerii sui prædicti, de tribus septimanis
in

in tres septimanas, super rationabilem summonition', apud manerium illud annuatim tenend', de quibus serviciis præd' Williel' Stermin fuit feisit' per manus præd' Hen' Conny ut per manus veri tenent' sui, viz. de fidelitat' & secta cur' prædict' ut de feod' & jure, ac de reddit' prædicto in dominico suo ut de feodo, de quo quidem manerio cum pertin' præd' Will'us Stermin fuit feisit' in dominico suo ut de feodo, & sic inde feisit' existen' idem Will'us Stermin ante præd' tempus quo, &c. de eodem manerio cum pertin' feoffavit præd' Joh' Welby, habend' & tenend' eid' Joh' Welby hæredib' & assignatis suis imperpetuum, ad quod quid' feoffament' per præd' Wi' Stermin præfat' Jo' Welby in form' præd' fact' præd' Hen' Conny postea & ante prædict' tempus quo, &c. s. prim' die Nov' an' regni dicti Reg' nunc prim' tunc de præd' duabus acr' terræ cum pertin' in forma prædict' feisit' existen', apud Tidde sanct' Egidii præd' attornavit, quorum quidem feoffamenti & attornament' præd' prætextu id' Joh' Welby fuit & adhuc est feisit' de manerio præd' cum pertin' in dom'co suo ut de feodo, & quia quatuor solidi & quatuor denar' de reddit' præd' per quatuor an' integros, fuit ad fest' Sanct' Mich' Arch' an' regni dicti Domini Regis nunc quinto, ac post attornament' præd' in forma præd' factum præfat' Joh' Welby præd' tempore quo, &c. aretro fuer' non solut' idem Bartholom' ut ballivus præd' Joh' Welby bene cogn' caption' averiorum præd' in præd' loco in quo, &c. pro eisdem quatuor solid' & quatuor denar' de redditu præd' sic aretro existen', & juste, &c. ac infra feod' & dn'icum sua, &c. Et præd' Joh' Crane dic' quod præd' Bartholom' ut ballivus præd' Joh' Welby ratione præallegat' caption' averiorum præd' in præd' loco in quo, &c. just. cognoscere non debet, quia protestand' quod præd' H. Conny non tenuit præd' duas acr' terræ cum pertin' de præd' Will' Stermin ut de man'io suo de Richerds præd', per fidelitat' & reddit' tresdecim denar' per an' singulis an' ad festum Sanct' Mich' Arch' solvend', necnon per servic' faciend' sectam ad cur' præd' Williel' Stermin man'ii sui præd' de tribus septim' in tres septim' sup' rationabil' summon' apud man'ium illud tenend', prout præd' Barthol'us superius allegavit, pro pl'ito dic', qd' præd' H. ante prædict' tempus captionis prædict' fact' & prædict' tempore captionis &c. fuit & adhuc est feisit' de & in prædict' duabus acr' pastur' cum pertin' in dominico suo ut de feod', & ill' tenuit de Martino permissione divina ad tunc Eliens. Episcopo ut de manerio suo de Tidde sancti Egidii cum pertin' in Tidde sanct' Egidii prædict', per fidelitat' tant' pro omnib' servic', absque hoc quod prædict' Hen' apud Tidde sanct' Egidii prædict' præfat' Joh' Welby se attornavit tenen', modo & forma prout præd' Barthol'us superius allegavit, & hoc paratus est verificare: Unde ex quo præd' Bartholomæus captionem averiorum præd' in præd' loco in quo, &c. superius cogn',

cogn', idem Johannes petit judicium & dampna sua occasione captionis averiorum illorum sibi adjudicari, &c. Et præd' Bartholomæus, ut prius, dicit, qd' præd' Hen' se attornavit tenent' præfat' Joh' Welby modo & form' prout idem Bartholomæus superius allegavit, & de hoc pon' se super patriam, & præd' Johannes similiter; ideo præc' est vic', qd' venire fac' hic a die Sanct' Trin' in tres septimanas xii. &c. de vis. de Over proxim' adjac' villæ de Tidde sancti Egidii per quos, &c. Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. postea die & loco infracontent' coram *Ed. Coke* milite, capitali Justic' Dom' Regis de banco & *Nic' Herne* ar' eid' *Edwardo Coke* ac *Will' mo Danyell* mil' un' Justic' dict' Dom' Reg' de banco præd' Justic' ejusd' Dom' Reg' ad affisas in com' Cantabr' capiend' assignat', per form' statut', &c. hac vice associat', præsentia præd' *Will' mi Danyell* non expectat', virtute brevis dict' Dom' Reg' de si non omnes, &c. ven' tam infranominat' Joh' Crane quam infra script' Barthol' Colpit per attorn' suos infracontent', & Jur' Juratæ unde infra fit mentio exact' similit' ven', qui ad veritat' de infracontent' dicend' electi, triat' & jurat', dicunt sup' fac' suum, qd' infranominat' Henr' Conny ante infra script' tempus quo, &c. fuit seifit' de infra script' duabus acr' pasturæ cum pertin' in quibus, &c. in dom'ico suo ut de feod' per discensum a patre suo, & qd' id' Hen' easd' duas acr' pasturæ cum pertin' tenuit de infranominat' W' Stermin ut de manerio suo de Richerds cum pertin' in Tidde sancti Egidii infra script' per fidelitat' & reddit' tresdecim denariorum singul' annis, ad fest' Sanct' Mich' Arch' solvend', necnon per servic' faciend', seifit' ad cur' ipsius Will' i Stermin manerii sui præd' de tribus septim' in tres septim', super rationabil' summon', apud manerium illud annuatim tenend', & qd' de serviciis illis præd' Will'us Stermin fuit seifit' per manus præd' Henr' Conny, ut per manus veri tenen' sui, viz. de fidelitat' & seifit' cur' præd' ut de feodo & jure, ac de reddit' præd' in dominico suo ut de feodo, prout præd' Bartholomæus interius allegavit: Et ulterius jur' præd' dic' super fac' suum præd' qd' præd' Will'us Stermin de manerio præd' cum pertin' fuit seifit' in dominico suo ut de feodo, & sic inde seifit' existens idem Will'us Stermin ante infra script' tempus quo, &c. de eodem manerio cum pertin' feoffavit infranominatum Joh' Welby, habend' & tenend' eidem Joh' Welby hæredibus & assignatis suis imperpetuum, & quod præd' Hen' Conny ætatis viginti annor' existens, & infra ætatem viginti & unius annor' ad prædict' feoffamentum per prædict' Williel' Stermin præfat' Johanni Welby in forma prædicta fact' de prædict' duabus acr' pasturæ cum pertin' in forma præd' seifit' existens apud Tidde sancti Egidii prædict' concordavit, & solutionem de reddit' prædict' præfat' Joh' Welby promisit: Et si super tota materia prædict' per jur' prædictos in forma prædicta

dict' compert' videbitur cur', quod agreement' præd' Henrici Conny ad feoffament' præd' & promissio sua præd' solution' reddit' præd', sic ut præfertur per præfat' Henricum Conny ipsum infra ætatem viginti & unius annor' existen' sunt attornament', tunc jur' præd' dic' super sac'm suum præd', qd' præd' Henricus se attorn' tenen' præfat' Joh' Welby modo & forma prout præd' Bartholomæus Colpit interius allegavit: Et si super tota materia præd' per jur' prædictos in forma præd' compert' videbitur cur', quod agreement' præd' Hen'ci Conny ad feoffament' præd' & promissio sua præd' solution' reddit' præd' sic ut præfat' per præf.H.Conny ipsum infra ætat' viginti & unius ann' existen' non sunt attornament', tunc jur' præd' dicunt super sac'm suum, qd' præd' Henr' Conny se non attornavit tenen' præfato Johanni Welby prout prædict' Johan' Crane interius pl'itand' allegavit, & tunc affid' dampna ipsius Johannis occasione infra spec' ultra mis. & custag' sua per ipsum circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit' ad duodecim denar' & pro mis. & custag' illis ad quinque solid' Ideo, &c.

Mich. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Banco.

Conny's Case.

1 Brownl. 47.
2 Brownl. 84.

IN *Replegiar'* between *John Crane* Plaintiff, and *Bartholomew Colpit* Defendant, which began *Trin. 6 Jacobi Rot. 1611. in Banco*, the Case was such, *Henry Conny* Esq; was seised of two Acres of Land in *Tidde Saint Giles* in the County of *Cambridge*, by Descent from his Father, in his demesne as of Fee, and held them of *William Stermin* Esq; as of his Manor of *Richberds*, by Fealty, and 13 *d.* Rent, and Sute to the Court of the said Manor, &c. *Will. Stermin* enfeoffed *John Welby* Gent. of the said Manor in Fee, to which Feoffment the said *Henry Conny* then being within the Age of 21 Years, *sc.* of the Age of 20 Years attorned, and if this Attornment was good or not to bind the said *Henry Conny* to the Payment of the said Services, or not, upon a special Verdict thereof found at the Assises in the County of *Cambridge*, was the Question. And it was objected, That this Attornment should not bind the Infant, because if it should be good, it would turn to his Prejudice, and the Law protects Infants from such Prejudices during their Minority, *quia fere in omnibus minori etati & uxori sub potestate viri succurritur*; and when an Infant has the Tenancy by Descent he shall have his Age in *Per que servitia*; and in such Case when the Infant at full Age attorns, the Lord shall lose the Arrearages during the Minority, as it was collected upon the Opinion of *Tborp* in 26 *E. 3. 63. a.* Then if the Infant shall not be compelled by the K's Writ to attorn during

2 Brownl. 84.
Co. Lit. 315.
Polt. 85. a.
1 Rol. 138, 296,
Hiz. Age 33,
89.

during his minority, (which trenches to his benefit to discharge him of the arrearages incurred during his minority;) *a fortiori* his attornment in *pais* shall not prejudice him, nor bar him of the privilege and immunity which the law gives him during his minority in such case; but they conceiv'd, that if the infant had the tenancy by purchase, in such case he should be compelled to attorn, because in case of his own purchase he shall not have his age: and in the case at bar, for as much as the said *Henry Conny* had the ten'cy by descent, and was within age, his attornment shall not bind him to charge him with the arrearages during his minority. It was also objected, that if an infant who has the ten'cy by descent should be compell'd in *per quæ servitia* to attorn, yet for as much as in the case at bar he has taken his estate by feoffment in *pais* of the manor, &c. and has not taken his estate by fine, upon which he might have *per quæ servitia*, his attornment in *pais* shall not bind him, for as much as in this case he is not compellable to attorn. As to that it was answered and resolved *per totam cur'*, *sc.* *Coke* chief just. *Walmley*, *Warburton*, and *Foster*, justices, that in the case at bar the attornment (a) was good, and should bind the infant. And first they resolv'd, that in *per* (b) *quæ servitia* against an infant who has the ten'cy by descent, that he should not have his age, and the reason is, because the lord at first departed with the land, in consideration that the ten't should hold of him, and should do him services, and should pay him a yearly rent; and the ten't is in law called ten't peravail, because the law presumes he has benefit and avail above the services which he doth, and the rent which he pays to the lord; and therefore it would be against reason and the purpose of the creation of the ten'cy, that when the Heir has the ten'cy peravail by descent that he should not pay the yearly rent, &c. which was reserved upon the creation of the ten'cy; and that is the reason that the heir of the ten't, who hath the ten'cy by descent, may be distrained for the rent, &c. arrear during his minority, and therefore he shall not have his age, *vide* 4 *Mar. Dyer* 137. *Et vide* 21 *E.* 3. *Age* 85. and in *Avowry*, and 7 *E.* 2. *Age* 140. in a Writ of (c) *Mesne* the parol shall not demur for the nonage of the Pl. because it is not reason that the infant should be distrained for the services of the mesne during his nonage and should not have any remedy till his full age; but forasmuch as his nonage shall not privilege him from the payment of the rent during his nonage, the law also will give him remedy during his nonage, but in a writ of (d) *Customs and Services* (which is a writ of *Right* in its nature, and in which final judgm. shall be given) against an infant who is in by descent, the book in 6 *H.* 3. *Age* 148. is adjudged that he shall have his age. So in (e) *Cessavit* against an infant who has the ten'cy by descent, he shall have his age, altho' it be on his own cesser; because he can't know what arrearages to tender before judgm. and this is a writ of *Right*

(a) 1 Brownl.

47.

2 Brownl. 84.

(b) Co. Lit.

315. a.

Antea 84. b.

Fitz. Age 33, 80.

1 Rol. 138, 296.

Postea 85. b.

2 Brownl. 84.

(c) 1 Rol. 138,

139, 142.

6 Co. 3. b.

(d) 1 Rol. 141.

(e) 1 Rol. 138,

141.

2 Inst. 401.

8 Co. 44. b.

Dy. 137. pl. 2 f.

Raym. 118.

28 E. 3. 29. a. b.

in

in its nature, and if he does not make a true tender, he shall lose his land, and so it is adjudged in 28 E. 3. 99. a. b. Vide 9 E. 3. 50. 14 E. 3. Age 88. 31 E. 3. Age 54. 2 E. 2. Age 132. But altho' an infant attorns in (a) *per quæ servitia*, it can be no mischief to him; for notwithstanding his attornm. within age, he may at full age * disclaim to hold of him, either to say that he does not hold of him, or acknowledge that he holds of him but by less or other services, and therewith agree 26 E. 3. 63. 32 E. 3. *per quæ servitia* 9. § tit. Age 33. Vide 2 E. 2. Age 77, § 78. 37 H. 8. Br. (b) *attorn.* And Coke ch. just. cited the book in 43 E. 3. 5. a. Where in *quid juris clamat* brought by an infant within age against one who said that he held the land for term of life, of the lease of the infant's ancestor, who granted by deed, that he should not be impeached of (d) waste, which he shewed forth in court, and said that he was ready to attorn, saving to him the advantage by the deed; and because the Pl. was within age, he could not confess the deed during his nonage; it was adjudged, he should attend till his full age: and further he said, that he had seen an ancient report in writing, in 32 E. 3. in which in the same case the infant when he comes to his full age, and the Def. attorn'd by judgm. of the court, that it should not turn the infant to any prejudice: for altho' the attornm. be after his full age; yet forasmuch as no laches was in the infant; but that he brought his writ of *quid juris clamat* to compel the ten't to attorn, the delay which is made till his full age (which the law provides for his benefit) shall not turn to his prejudice, and therefore by judgm. of law (which doth wrong to none) he shall have as much advantage as well for the arrearages of the rent, or for waste committed as if the ten't had attorned at the time of his plea pleaded. 2. It was resolved, that altho' the (e) infant in the case at bar was not compellable to attorn, because the manor was not convey'd by fine; yet, because by a (f) mean he was compellable to attorn, *sc.* if a fine had been levied, the attornm. was good: And so it is held in 9 E. 3. 38. b. in waste; that tho' the husband out of court does a thing which he and his wife may be compelled to do by law, the thing shall be establish'd, and therewith agree 8 E. 4. 4. b. 11 R. 2. Waste 98. and therefore (g) equal partition in such case shall bind; the same law, if the husband (h) attorns in *pais* to a grant by deed, it shall bind the wife, and therewith agree 15 E. 3. Attornm. 3 E. 3. 42. b. Sir J. Bofvil's Case, 44 E. 3. Fine 37. § 3. Attornm. gives no (i) interest, but is only a bare assent, and therefore 11 H. 7. 13. b. it is no * infranchisem. to a villein, and it can't be upon (k) condition, as it is resolv'd in *Tooker's Case*, in the 2 Part of my Rep. f. 66. § 4. The end of an attornment is to (l) perfect a grant, and the law favours the consummation and perfection of things; for the end is all, § *finis coronat opus*. And with this resolution agree the books in 12 E. 4. 3. b. § 4. a. where it

(a) Co. Lit. 315. a. Anrea 85. a. * Doct. pl. 132.

(b) Br. Attornment 41. (c) 6 Co. 4. a. Co. Lit. 315. a. 320. b. 1 Rol. 138. 3 Bullstr. 137. (d) 2 Co. 67. a. Co. Lit. 320. b.

(e) 1 Rol. 295. 296. Co. Lit. 315. a. (f) 5 Co. Lit. 172. a. (g) Fitz. Partition. 4. Br. Partition 28 (h) 2 Brownl. 84. 1 Rol. 295. Fitz. Attornment 11. (i) 1 Brownl. 47. 2 Brownl. 84. 2 Co. 67. a. * Co. Lit. 138. a. Br. Villenag. 75. (k) 1 Rol. 412. 2 Brownl. 84. Co. Lit. 274. b. 297. a. 300. b. 2 Co. 68. a. 5 Co. 81. a. b. (l) 1 Brownl. 47. 2 Brownl. 84.

it is held, That Tenant in Tail, an Infant, or a Feme Covert may be bound by an Attornment *gratis* in *pais*, and in 18 H. 6. 2. a. *Forrescue* holds; That if one grants the Services of his Tenant who is within Age, who within Age attorns, shall he be afterwards in an Avowry admitted to say that he was within Age at the Time of the Attornment? I say not, for he did but that which he ought to do, *ergo* the Attornment is good. And afterward Judgment was entred for the Avowant accordingly.

Mich.

Mich. 9 Jacobi Regis.

Pinchon's Case.

2 Brownl. 137. *T* Ermino Trin. 7 Jac. Regis, Rot. 533. in the King's
Co. Ent. nu. 1. Bench, Edward Pinchon, and Richard Weston Knights
Cr. Jac. 293, 294. Executors of Ferom Weston Knight, Executor of Rose Pin-
Jenk. Cent. 290. chon were Plaintiffs against Tho. Legate Esq; Executor of
John Legate Defendant, in an Action upon the Case, and
declared that whereas the said Rose 7 Feb. anno Dom' 1595.
*mutuo dedisset & accommodasset præf. Johanni Legate 200l.
legalis moneta Angliæ, idem Johannes in consideratione inde
adunc & ibid. super se assumpsit, & præf. Rosæ in vita sua
fideliter promisit, quod ipse idem Johannes Legate 200l. le-
galis moneta Angl' eidem Rosæ, executoribus, vel admini-
stratoribus suis, cum inde requisitus esset, bene & fideliter
solvere & contentare vellet, &c. ac licet bona & catalla quæ
fuerunt præd' Johannis Legate tempore mortis suæ ad ma-
nus præd' Thomæ post ipsius Johannis mortem, &c. deven-
runt, & adhuc in manibus ipsius Thomæ existunt sufficien-
tia, tam ad solvend' & exonerand' omnia debita & funera-
lia expens. ejusdem Johannis quam ad satisfaciend' prædict'
Edwardo & Richardo, de præd' ducentis libris, non solver',
&c.* The Defendant pleaded *Non assumpsit, &c.* and it
was found for the Plaintiffs, and upon the Verdict Judg-
ment given for the Plaintiffs: Upon which Judgment a
Writ of (a) Error was brought: And in this Case the prin-
cipal Error which was assigned was, That no Action upon
the Case upon *Assumpsit*, for Payment of the said
Debt lies against Executors. And it was argued for
the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error, That the Action
did not lie; for it is a Maxim in Law, That
Executors shall not be charged with a simple Contract, and
that

(a) Cr. Jac.
293, 294.

that for 2 Reasons ; one because by the Presumption of Law they can't have Knowledge either of the Beginning of the Debt, being made by Word without Writing, or of the Continuance of it, because the Testator might pay it privately betwixt themselves, and therefore it is adjudged in (a) 15 E. 4. 16. a. That an Action of *Debt* lies not against Executors for the Testator's Diet, (altho' it be of Necessity, and for which an (b) Infant shall be bound by his Contract; as it is held in 18 E. 4. 2. a. & 21 H. 6. 31. b.) Vide 41 E. 3. 23. 25 E. 3. 40. So no Action of *Debt* lies against the Executors of the Lord for the Surplusage (c) on Account before Auditors, for the Reasons and Causes aforesaid; and these are stronger Cases than the Case at the Bar: And if an Action on the Case should lie against Executors, it would impugn the said Maxim of the Com. Law; for every Contract executory implies an (d) *Assumpsit* in Law, and by Consequence the Executors should be charged with every Contract executory, which would be directly against the said Maxim. Another Reason was added, That this Action on the Case on *Assumpsit*, is (e) *actio personalis que moritur cum persona*, for the Entry in this Case is in *placito transgressionis super Casum*, and therefore lies not against Executors no more than if a Gaoler suffers one who is in Execution to escape, the Pl. might have an Action on the Case at the Com. Law against the Gaoler; but after the Death of the Gaoler no Action lies against his (f) Executors, for that was grounded upon a Wrong, which *moritur cum persona*. Vide 41 Ass. p. 15. & 40 E. 3. Executors 74.

And this Case depended in Consideration divers Terms, and after many Arguments on both Sides, and Conferences had amongst the Judges, viz. Coke Chief Just. of the Com. Pleas, Tanfield Chief Baron, Warburton Just. Baron Snigge, Baron Altham, Foster Justice, and Baron Bromley, It was resolved by them all *una voce, nullo contradicente*, That the (g) Action on the Case in the Case at Bar did well lie against the Executors, and that not only without impugning any Rule or Reason of Law, or any Book resolved in the Point, but also well warranted and confirmed by diverse Authorities in Law, Judgments, and Resolutions late and antient.

And as to the Objections which have been made (for the Confuting of them is a Confirmation that the Action doth well lie,) to the first it was answered, That the said Book in (b) 15 E. 4. is, That *Debt* lies not against Executors upon a Contract for the Testator's Diet, but the Reason thereof is not, (as hath been urg'd) because the Execut. can't have Knowledge of the Contract,

(a) Br. Executor 79.
Br. Lev gager 55. 1 Rol. 924.
(b) 1 Rol. 18, 729.
2 Rol. Rep. 45, 144, 271.
Co. Lit. 172. a.
Cr. El. 126, 920.
1 Leon. 113, 114.
2 Leon. 105.
Owen 94.
Poph. 151, 152.
Cr. Jac. 494, 560, 561.
Latch. 21, 22, 156, 157, 169.
Cart. 215, 216.
Noy 85, 87.
1 Sid. 112.
March 40.
Palm. 528, 529.
1 Jones 146.
Moor 679.
Godb. 219, 364.
10 H. 6. 14. a.
Perk. 4. a.
Plow. 364. b.
Dr. & Stud. 113 a.
(c) Co. Lit: 295. a.
(d) 4 Co. 94. a.
Moor 667.
Yelv. 20.
(e) 3 Bullst. 281.
4 Inst. 315.
1 Keb. 86.
Postea 89. a.
(f) Dy. 271.
pl. 25 322. pl. 25.
3 Keb. 592.
1 Sand. 218.
Cro. Car. 539.
March 13.
1 Rol. 921.
(g) Cr. Jac. 273, 293, 294, 404.
Jenk. Cent. 290.
2 Bullst. 92, 236.
3 Bullst. 235, 236, 237.
Cr. El. 121, 454, 459. Golds. 154.
Post. 93. 10 Co. 77. a. b. 1 Roll. 924. 2 Brownl. 137, 236. Godb. 176. Swinb. 327. 2 Rol. Rep. 438, 434. Golds. 154. Moor 691.
Supra. a.

1 Leon. 165. Yelv. 20, 56, 196. (b) 15 E. 4. 16. a.

NOT

nor of the Continuance thereof, because the Testator might have privately paid it: But the Reason of the Law which is given in the Book in the same Case is, because the Testator might have (a) waged his Law, it was awarded that the Pl. should take nothing by his Writ. And the like Judgment is in the same Year, fol. 25. a. That an Action of *Debt* lieth not against the Executors, (and the Reason of the Judgment is, for know That a Man shall never have an Action against Executors (b) where the Testator in his Life-time might have waged his Law) and the Reason thereof is because the (c) Executors shall be deprived of the Benefit of waging Law, if an Action will lie against them; which Reason strongly proveth, that in the Case at Bar the Action will lie against the Executors, because the Testator in an *Action on the Case* on this *Assumpsit*, could not wage his Law; and therefore his Executors shall not be deprived of it. But if a (d) Prisoner in the Tower for Treason hath Meat and Drink from the Lieutenant, and dieth, the Lieutenant shall have an Action of *Debt* against his Executors for the Meat and Drink of the Testator, and the Reason is, because in such Case the Testator could not (e) wage his Law, as it is adjudged in (f) 27 H. 6. 4. b. in *Thomas Bodulgar's Case*, and the Reason why no Wager of Law lieth in such Case is, because every Gaoler ought to keep his Prisoner in *salva & arcta custodia*, so that such Prisoner by the Com. Law shall avoid a (g) Descent cast, and a Fine levied during his Imprisonment, because the Law presumeth that he, in respect of his strict keeping can't have (h) Knowledge of a Disseisin or a Fine to command an Entry, or Claim to be made, and therefore the Gaoler is in a Manner * compellable to find Victuals for his Prisoners, and therefore the Prisoner shall not wage his Law in such Case, But if A. agreeth with B. for his (i) Commons by the Week or Month, &c. there in *Debt* brought against A. he shall have his Law; as the Books are adjudged in 22 H. 6. 13. b. 9 E. 4. 1. b. Vide 39 H. 6. 18. b. If a Victualer or common Hostler bringeth an Action of *Debt* for the Victuals delivered to his Guest, the Guest may wage his Law; for a Victualer or Hostler is not compellable to deliver Victuals till he be paid for them in * Hand. And therewith agrees 10 H. 7. 8. a.

In 14 H. 6. 19. b. R. G. brought an Action of *Debt* of ten Marks against *Tho. Timberhull*, and others Executors of *William Webbe*, and declared that the Testator had retained the Plaintiff to be with him for a Year, in the Art of (k) Binding of Books, paying *per Annum* ten Marks; and there *Martin* held that the Action was not

(a) Dy. 23. a.
Pl. 144.

(b) Dy. 23.
Pl. 144.
1 Rol. 924.

(c) Co. Lit.
295. a.

(d) Co. Lit.
295. a.
Poph. 127.

(e) Co. Lit.
295. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 338.
Poph. 127.

(f) 27 H. 6. 4. b.
Br. Ley gager 8.
Fitz. Ley 15. 22.

(g) Co. Lit.
259. a.

(h) 8 Co. 100. b.

* Plow. 68. a.

(i) Cr. El. 818.
2 Rol. 107.
Co. Lit. 395. a.
Br. Ley gager
50, 58, 70.
Fitz. Ley 25.

* 39 H. 6. 19. a.

(k) 1 Rol. 924.

not maintainable against the Executors, and took a Difference between that Case of a Book-binder and of a common Labourer; for he shall be forced to labour against his Will, and his Salary is certain by the Statute, which is no Reason for the Servant to lose by the Death of his Master, where he was bound by the Law to serve, which shall not be said his Default, but the Act of the Law; but in the Case of a Book-binder he was not (a) forced by the Law to serve, and so when he made the Contract it was his own Act and Folly, and not the Act of the Law; and he might have taken a Specialty: And the Opinion of *Martin* in that Case is good Law; But the true Reason of his Difference is, because in this Case of a common Labourer, the Testator could not have waged his Law, as he might have done in the Case of the Book-binder, and that appeareth in (b) 11 H. 6. 48. a. b. Where the Warden of the Freres Minors of *Coventry* brought an Action of Debt against *Jo. Burton* of *Coventry*, Executor of *John Goot*, and declared how the said *John Goot* retained at *Coventry* Frere *John Bredon*, Confreere of the said Warden in the said House, by License of the Warden to sing for him Masses for a whole Year; and also did retain him to say *St. Gregory's* Trental in the next Year after, and shewed in certain on what Services *St. Gregory's* Trental did consist, taking for the same 40 s. per Ann. and within four Days after *John Goot* died, and made the Defendant his Executor, and the said *John Burton* granted to the said Frere, and gave Surety to pay him the said Sum for doing the said divine Services according to the Retainer of the Testator; which divine Services he had done according to the said Retainer; and that the whole Salary was behind. And there a good Difference is taken. A Labourer may have an Action of Debt against Executors, without a Specialty, because he shall be forced to serve, if he be required, by the Statute, and the Testator shall not wage his Law in such Case, because the Labourer shall be bound to serve him: But here a Priest or a Frere is bound to serve by no Law in singing Masses, if he will not agree to it; and therefore the Testator might have waged his Law, and in every Case where the Testator might have (c) waged his Law, the Action shall not be maintainable against his Executors, without a Specialty; for the Executors cannot wage their Law of another's Contract. And that is the Reason that a (d) *Quo minus* lieth in the Exchequer against Executors for the Debt of the Testator by simple Contract, because the Testator himself could not in such Case wage his Law; and yet it may be said, that the Executor can't by presumption of Law have Knowledge

(a) Co. Lit.
295. a.
2 Rol. 107.

(b) 2 Rol. 107.
Br. Det. 183.
Br. Execut. 163.

(c) Co. Lit.
295. a.
2 Rol. 107.
Br. Det. 183.
Br. Execut. 163.
(d) 4 Co. 95. b.
Co. Lit. 295. a.
Godb. 291.
8 H. 5. Fitz.
Ley 66.
20 E. 3. Fitz.
Ley 52.
32 H. 6. 24. a.
Br. ley gager.
102.

of

of the Beginning or Continuance of it; but these are not material, for the Wager of Law is the true Reason and Cause allowed by the Law. And therewith agree 8 H. 5.

(*) 4 Co. 95. b.

(b) Br. ley gager

102.

(c) Fitz. Execut.

50.

Br. Execut. 41.

Br. Det 53.

Br. Labourers

44.

(d) Fitz. Ley 22.

Br. Ley gager

70.

(e) 4 Co. 94. a. b.

(f) Cr. Jac. 294.

Plow. 182. b.

(g) Co. Lit.

295. a.

(h) 7 Co. 4. a.

Bulwer's Case

9 Co 111. a.

11 Co. 39. b.

2 Inst. 408.

Co. Lit. 74. a.

* Post. 90. b.

(i) Swinb. 154.

Tit. (a) Ley 66. (b) 32 H. 6. 24. a.

In (c) 2 H. 4. 14. b. Lawrence St. Martin retained one

for Term of his Life in Time of Peace and War, for 100 s.

per Ann. which Service he as his Servant did for 2 Years,

for which he brought his Action of Debt against John

Belton and others Executors of the said Lawrence St. Mar-

tin, and Judgment was given against the Pl. for the Reason,

and upon the same Difference as is aforesaid. Vide (d) 39 H.

6. 18. b. And therefore the Judgment in Slade's Case

(which was resolved by the Advice of all the Judges in the

Exchequer-chamber in 44 El. as appears in the (e) 4 Part

of my Reports, f. 92.) in Effect over-rules this Point. For if

an Action on the Case on Assumpsit lies upon every Contract

executory, thence it follows, that so far as the Testator

could not wage his Law, that the Action shall lie against his

Executors; And therefore also it is true, That an Action on

the (f) Assumpsit made by the Testator, will lie against

Executors, because in such Action the Testator could not

(g) wage his Law; as in the same Case, an Action of Debt

lies not against Executors, because in such Action the Testa-

tor might have waged his Law. So no Birth-right or Pri-

vilige of the Subject is taken away by this Resolution, but

thereby Justice and Right is advanced, for as much as the

Creditor shall be paid his just and true Debt. And the Ex-

ecutors who in Truth have the Goods in another Right,

sc. to pay the Debts, &c. of the Testator, shall not convert

them to their private Use, without paying the just and true

Debts of the Testator; for that would be against Justice and

Right, and against the Office of Executors, who are but Mi-

nisters and Dispensers of the Goods of the Dead; and not-

withstanding the Testator's Death, yet the Debt remains,

for Death is no Discharge of the Debt; and it would be a

great Defect in the Law, that no Remedy should be given

for it, (h) Curia domini Regis deficere non debet conqueren-

tibus in justitia perquirenda. W. 2. cap. 24. Vide Doctor &

Student lib. 2. c. 10, & 11. Debts due by Bond shall be paid

by Executors before Debts by simple Contract, and Debts

by * simple Contract before Legacies; which proves that

the Debt by simple Contract remains due and payable after

the Testator's Death, and that it shall be paid before Leg-

acies, for which Remedy is given in the Ecclesiastical

Court. And therewith agrees 21 E. 4. 21. b. and Debts by

(i) simple Contract shall be paid before the reasonable Part

of the Wife and Children. Vide 2 E. 4. 13. b. 2 H. 6. 16. a.

As

As to the other Objection, That this personal Action of *Trespass* on the Case (*a*) *moritur cum persona*; altho' it is term'd *Trespass*, in respect that the Breach of Promise is alledged to be mixed with Fraud and Deceit to the special Prejudice of the Pl. and for that Reason it is called *Trespass* on the Case; yet that doth not make the Action so annexed to the Persons of the Parties, that it shall die with the Persons; for then if he to whom the Promise is made dies, his Executors should not have any Action, which no Man will affirm. And an Action *sur Assumpsit* upon good Consideration, without Specialty to do a Thing, is no more personal, *i.* annexed to the Person, than a Covenant by Specialty to do the same Thing.

Now for Authorities in Law, Judgments and Resolutions. 1 The Case in 3 E. 3. *Itinere North.* cited in *Norwood's Case* in *Plow. Com.* 183. *a.* in case of Debt, the Case in (*b*) 12 H. 8. 11. *a. b.* which is entred *Term. Mich.* 12 H. 8. *Rot.* 40. Between *Oliver (c) Cloymond Pl.* and *Rob. Vincent,* and *Thomassin* his Wife, Executors of the Testament of *Rob. Penfon* Defendants; the Record of which Case I have seen, and there the Pl. declares, That whereas Communication was had between one *Roger Penfon* and the said *Oliver* for six Barrels of Salt Salmon from the House of the said *Oliver* to the Value of 6*l.* to be bought by the said *Roger* of the said *Oliver,* the said *Rob. Penfon* desired and requested the said *Oliver* to sell and deliver to the said *Roger* the said 6 Barrels, and assumed and promised for himself, his Executors, to the said *Oliver,* *qd' ipse executores seu assignati sui dictas sex libras pro barrellis & piscibus præd' præfato Olivero infra unum annum extunc proxime sequen' bene & fideliter solvere & contentare debuissent, idemq; Oliverus dictis assumptioni & promissioni præd' Rob' Penfon fidem adhibens, bona & mercimonia sua præd' præfat' Rogero ad desiderium & requisition' dicti Rob' Penfon, ei ut præmittitur fact' pro prædict' 6 libris sibi ut præfertur solvend' ad tunc & ibid' vendidit, tradidit & deliberavit,* and declared that the said *Rob. Penfon* in his Life, nor the Defendants after his Death did not pay the said 6*l.* &c. and alledged in the Declaration, *quod bona & catalla ipsius Roberti sufficien' ad solvend' easd' sex libr' & omnia alia debita ejusdam Roberti solvend', in manus præd' Ro' Vincent & Thomassinæ exist', &c.* which Goods they had converted to their own Use, *ad damnum 20*l.** the Defendants *protestando qd' præd' villa minus sufficiens est in lege ad quam iid' Rob' Vincent & Thomassinæ necesse non habent nec per legem terre tenentur respondere* for Plea say, That the said *Rob. Penfon* did not assume and promise to the said *Oliver,* &c. *modo & forma, &c.* upon which Issue was joyned and tried before Sir *J. Fineux* Chief Justice of the King's Bench, by *Nisi prius,* and found for the Plaintiff,

(a) Ant. 87 a
4 Inst. 315.
1 Keb. 86.
12 H. 8. 11. b.
3 Bulltr. 201.

(b) Plow. 182. a.
Br. Action sur
le Case 106.
Fitz. Executors
171.
(c) Plow. 182.
a. b.
Postea 90. a.

and Damages assessed to 9*l.* upon which Verdict the Court took advice till *Hillary-Term*, and then the Judgment is entered, *Et super hoc visis & per Cur' Dom' Regis hic diligenter inspect' omnibus & singulis præmissis, maturaq; deliberatione superinde habita, consideratum est, qd' præd' Oliverus recuperet versus præfat' Rob'um Vincent damna sua præd' per Juratores in forma præd' assessa, &c.* Which I have reported out of the Record it self at length, to the Intent the Reader may be assured of the Truth of the said Case: Which Judgment being given in the King's Bench with so great Deliberation by Sir *Jo. Fineux, Comisby*, and other his Companions, Judges of profound Knowledge, and remaining yet of Record in full Force, ought not to be discredited or disgraced by the bare Saying of a Judge, upon a sudden Motion at the Bar, and it is to be observed in the same Case of 27 *H. 8.*

23. *a.* That *Knightley* gave the true Reason why no Writ of *Debt* would lie against Executors, *sc.* because the Testator might have waged his Law, and the Executors can't do it, and therefore they are nor chargeable in an Action of *Debt*. And *Knightley* further saith, in the Exchequer it is a common Course, That the King's Debtors shall have a (a) *Qua minus* against the Executors of their Debtors, who were indebted to them by simple Contract; to which the same Judge answereth, it is not so, and there is no such Course in the Exchequer and the Law is quite otherwise; which is apparent by that which hath been said before, that the Judge denied (upon the sudden) the Law in this Point, and that which is the common Course of the Exchequer, Which Judgment so given was a leading Case to many others, not only in the King's Bench, where the Judgment was given, but also in the Com. Pleas; and therefore *Hill. 15 H. 8. Rot. 306. in Banco*, an Action on the Case upon *Assumpsit* of the Testator for Debt, was brought against Executors, who pleaded fully administr'd, and in the *Book of Entries*, Tit. *Action sur le Case, Division, Debt, pl. 2.* Action on the Case in the King's Bench against Executors, upon *Assumpsit* made by the Testator 5 *Martii 28 H. 8.* upon Sale of Corn to him. And *ibid. pl. 3.* another Action on the Case in the K.'s Bench against Administrators, upon *Assumpsit* by the Intestate, 28 *Martii 31 H. 8.* upon a Contract for Carpenters Wares: And *ibid. in the Division, Payment pl. 2.* the like Action in the King's Bench by Executors against Exors, upon *Assumpsit* for Repayment of Money which was before deliver'd, if a Marriage should not take Effect. And the like Actions you may see in the Court of Com. Pleas, *Mich. 15 & 16 El. Rot. 1959.* in the Time of Sir *Ja. Dyer* Ch. Just. of the Com. Pleas, an Action on the Case by *H. (b) Beecher* and

27 H. 8 23. a.

(a) Co. Lit.
295. a.(b) Co. Ent.
2. b. pl 3.

and others, Executors of *Hen. Beecher*, against *Anne Mountjoy*, Administratrix of *Job. Bonham* Kt. upon *Assumpsit* of the Administratrix, in Considerat. that Administration was committed to her, and that she had Assets to pay, *Et assumpsit super se ad solvend.* 59 l. in which Sir *John* the Intestate was indebted to the said *H. Beecher* the Testat. The Def. pleaded *Non assumpsit*, and found against her, and Judgm. was given (a) generally, *Et non de bonis defuncti*. Which Judgm. proves, that the Debt did not perish by Death, and that the Administratrix was chargeable to pay it, otherwise there was not any Considerat. *Pasc.* 24 *El. Rot.* 1530. in the Time of Sir *Edm. Anderson* Ch. Just. of the Bench, in an Action on the Case by *Johan Michel*, Exe'trix of *Ralph Michel*, against *Wm. Vial* and others, Executors of *John Arundel*, Esq; *super assumptionem factam per præd' Johan' Arundel*; in Consideration that the said *Ral. Michel* in vita sua vendidisset *Et deliberasset eidem Johanni diversa mercimonia*, *Et super se assumpsit*, to pay, *Et*. The Def. pleaded, *Non assumpsit*; and Judgm. was given *De bonis Testat. Trin.* 27 *El. Rot.* 107. in an Action on the Case by *Horne* against *Brough* Exe'or of *Brough*, upon a Promise made by the Testator in Considerat. that the Pl. had sold the Testator, *bona Et catalla*, *Et assumpsit ad solvend'*, *Et*. and upon *Non sum Informatus* pleaded, Judgm. was given for the Pl. And a Multitude of Judgments have been given in the King's Bench in the like Cases; and the Justices relied much upon the Case in *Hill.* 4 *Et 5 Ph. Et Ma.* in the King's Bench, between *Norwood* and *Read*, *Plow. Com.* 181. where it appears that upon a Demurrer in Law upon the Declarat. it was adjudged, That the Action upon *Assumpsit* made by the Testator, was maintainable against the Exe'ors, upon a Contract for Wheat: In which Case the Judgm. given in 12 *H.* 8. in (b) *Cleymond's* Case, is approved. So that upon all these Authorities, Judgments and Resolutions, and for the Reasons aforesaid being in Number three, 1 That the Testator could not wage his Law: 2 That after the Death of the Debtor the Debt remains, and that it would be a Defect in the Law, if no Remedy should be provided for it: 3 It is more consonant to Justice and Com. Right, that the just Debt should be paid, than the Executors, who have the Goods in another's Right, should convert the Goods to their private Use, without paying the Testator's Debts. It was unanimously upon long and mature Deliberation adjudged, That the Judgment given in the King's Bench should be affirmed. And you who make Payment or other Satisfaction of Debts, take Acquittances, or sufficient Proof of the Payment or Satisfaction thereof, or otherwise you or your Executors or Administrators will be in Danger of paying it again,

(a) 1 *Roll.* 930.
Postea 94. a.

(b) 12 *H.* 8. 11.
a. b.
Ant. 89. a.
Plowd. 182. a. b.

(a) 2 Brownl.
138.
Postea 94. a.
1 Rol. 921.
Cr. Jac. 47,
293, 294.
Plowd. 181. a.
(b) Doct. and
Stud. 75. b. 78.
1 Rol. 927.
(c) 12 H. 8. 11.
a. b.
Ant. 89. a. 90. a.
Plowd. 182. b.
(d) Cr El. 59.
1 Rol. 921.

(e) Doct. pl. 88,
168.
Postea 94. a.

Lastly, It was resolved in this Case, That 'twas not necessary to (a) aver that the Defendants had Affets to pay Legacies; as it was also resolved in the said Case between *Norwood* and *Read*, for Debts upon (b) Simple Contract, are to be paid before Legacies. And the Report of the said Case of (c) 12 H. 8. as to the Averment for Payment of Legacies is not warranted by the Record; for in the Record the Averment is only taken that the Executor had Affets to pay all Debts. And in *Mich. 29 & 30 El.* in an Action on the Case brought by *Codington* (d) against *Hulet*, as Executor, &c. upon an *Assumpsit*, made by the Testator for Payment of a Debt, the Defendant pleaded *Non assumpsit*, and it was found against him; and in arrest of Judgment it was moved, that the Declaration was insufficient, because the Plaintiff had not averred that the Defendant had Affets to satisfy the Testator's Debts. And it was adjudged by Sir *Christopher Wray*, Sir *Thom. Gaudy*, and the whole Court, that the Declaration was good enough; and that it should come in on the Defendant's Part; as in an Action of *Debt* against Executors, (e) or against the Heir, no Averment is taken in the Declaration that they have Affets, and the Law intends that every Man will in Discharge of his Conscience leave Affets to pay all the Debts, which he ought to pay to any.

*Term. Sancti Hill. anno 8 Jac.
Regis Rot. III2.*

William Banes's Case.

Memorand', quod alias scilicet Term' Sanct' Mich' ultimo præterito coram Dom' Rege apud Westmonasterium ven' Will'mus Banes per Thomam Ferrer attornat' suum, & protulit hic in curia dicti Dom' Regis tunc ibid' quand' billam suam versus Edward' Paine & Mariam uxorem ejus in custod' marr', &c. de placito transgressionis super casum, & sunt pleg' de prosequendo, scil't, Johan' Doe & Rich'us Roe, quæ quid' billa sequitur in hæc verba. ff. London. ff. Will'us Banes queritur de Edw'do Paine & Maria uxore ejus in custod' mar' maresc' Dom' Reg' coram ipso rege existen', pro eo, videl't, qd' cum quidam Will'us Havert in vita sua nuper vir præd' Mariæ, scil't, primo die Martii ann' regni Dom' Jac' nunc Regis Angliæ sexto, apud London', videl't, in parochia beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape Lond' indebitat' fuit eidem Will'mo Banes in septuagint' sept' libr' legalis monetæ Angliæ pro divers' pecuniarum summis eidem Will'mo Havert in vita sua per præd' Will'mum Banes mutuo dat' & accommodat', & sic indebitat' existen', idem

William Banes's Case. PART IX.

Willielmus Havert postea scil't, sexto die Aprilis ann' regni dicti Domini Regis nunc Angliæ septimo, apud London' præd' in parochia & Warda præd' jacen' in extremis instant' requisivit eandem Mariam ad tunc uxorem suam ad solvendum eidem Williel' Banes post mortem ipsius Will'mi Havert easdem septuaginta septem libras, & ad tunc & ibidem idem Willielmus Havert condidit testamentum & ultimam voluntatem suam, & per idem testamentum fecit & constituit eandem Mariam executricem testamenti sui præd' & tunc & ibidem obiit, post cujus mortem eadem Maria onus executionis testamenti præd' super se suscepit; cumq; præd' Maria post mortem præd' Will'mi Havert prætextu testamenti præd' possessionatus fuit de interesse termini diversorum annorum ad tunc & adhuc venturorum de & in quibusdam gardinis & quodam semite globali, Anglice a *bolwelling alley*, scituat' & existen' in Moorfield, videl't. in parochia Sancti Leonardi in Shorditch in comitatu Middlesex, eademq; Maria, dum ipsa sola fuit, percipiens quod præd' Will'mus Banes eandem Mariam pro præd' septuaginta & septem libris molestare & sectare intendisset, pro eo quod eadem Maria easdem septuagint' & septem libras eidem Will'mo Banes post mortem præd' Will'mi Havert viri sui defuncti non solvisset, eadem Maria dum ipsa sola fuit, postea, scilicet, vicesimo quinto die Junii anno regni dicti Dom' Regis nunc Angliæ septimo suprascripto, apud Lond' præd' in parochia & Warda præd', in consideratione quod præd' Will'us Banes ad instantiam & specialem requisition' præd' Mariæ non molestaret aut sectaret eand' Mariam pro præd' septuaginta septem libris, sed differre vellet solutionem inde usque proxim' quarter', Anglice *would forbear the Payment thereof until the next Quarter*, videlicet, usq; festum Sancti Mich' Archangeli tunc proxim' sequen', super se assumpsit, eidemque Willielmo Banes ad tunc & ibid' fideliter promisit, quod ipsa eadem Maria præd' septuaginta septem libras eid' Willielmo Banes ad tunc, apud proxim' quarter' illud, videl't, apud festum Sancti Mich' Archangeli tunc proxim' sequen' assumptionem præd' anno septimo suprascripto bene & fideliter solvere & contentare vellet, aut aliter eadem Maria ad tunc & ibid' assignare vellet eidem Will'mo Banes, Anglice *would set over to the said William Banes*, pro securitate sua in ea parte pro solutione præd' septuaginta septem librarum totum interesse termini annorum quem ipsa ead' Maria ad tunc habuit ventur' de & in gardinis & semite globali Anglice

glice *bowling Alley* præd', si eadem Maria eadem septuagint' septem libras eidem Will'mo Banes juxta promissionem & assumptionem suas præd' adtunc non solviffet, & idem Wilhelmus Banes in facto dic', quod ipse idem Will'mus Banes promissioni & assumptioni præd' Mariæ fidem adhibens, non molestavit aut sectavit eandem Mariam pro præd' septuagint' septem libris, sed differebat solutionem inde à tempore assumptionis præd' usq; præd' proxim' quarter', viz. usq; festum Sancti Mich' Archangeli proxim' sequen' assumptionis præd' anno septimo supradictò. Idemq; Will'us Banes ulterius dic', quod post assumptionem præd' in forma præd', scil't, decimo die Nov. an' septimo supradictò, apud Lond' præd', in paroch' & Warda præd', eadem Maria cepit in virum suum præd' Edwardum Paine, præd' tamen Maria dum ipsa sola fuit, seu præd' Edwardus & Maria post sponsal' inter eos celebrat', promissionem & assumptionem ejusdem Mariæ dum ipsa sola fuit, minime curan', sed machinan' & fraudulenter intenden' eundem Will'um Banes de præd' septuagint' & septem libris callide & subdole decipere & defraudare, præd' septuagint' septem libras, nec eadem Maria dum ipsa sola fuit eidem Will'mo Banes apud præd' proxim' quarterium, videl't, apud festum Sancti Mich' Archangeli proxim' sequen' assumptionis præd', an' septimo suprad' juxta promissionem & assumptionem suas præd' in ea parte solvit, seu aequaliter, pro eisdem contentavit, aut aliter adtunc & ibidem apud festum illud assignavit eidem Will' Banes totum interesse termin' annor' quod ipsa eadem Maria adtunc habuit ventur' de & in gardin' & semit' global' præd' nec præd' Edward' & Maria post sponsal' int' eos celebrat' ad aliquod tempus imposterum hucusque præd' septuagint' septem libr' præf. Will'mo Banes juxta promissionem & assumptionem ejusd' Mariæ præd' hucusque solver' seu aequaliter pro eisd' contentaver', aut totum præd' interesse termini annor' eorund' Edwardi & Mariæ de & in gardinis & semit' global' præd' juxta promissionem & assumptionem ejusd' Mariæ eidem Will'mo Banes pro securitat' sua in ea parte hucusque assignaverunt, licet ad hoc per præd' Will'mum Banes eadem Maria dum ipsa sola fuit, & præd' Edw'us & Maria post sponsal' inter eos celebrat', s. ultimo die Sept. an' regni dict' Dom' Reg' nunc Angliæ octavo, apud Lond' præd', in paroch' & Warda præd', sæpius requisit' fuer', per quod idem Will' Banes totum lucrum, commodum, & profic' quæ ipse cum præd' septuag' sept' libr' emendo, vendendo, liciteq; barganizando habere & lucrare potuiffet si præd' Mar' promiss' & assumptionem suas præd' in forma præd' performass. totaliter perdidit & amissit,

mist, unde idem Will'mus Banes dic', quod ipse deterioratus est & damnum habet ad valentiam centum librarum, & inde producit sectam, &c. Et modo ad hunc diem, scil't, diem mercurii proxim' post octabas Sancti Hill. isto eodem termino, usq; quem diem præd' Edw'us & Maria habuerunt licentiam ad billam præd' interloquend', & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram domino rege apud Westmonaster' ven' tam præd' Will'um Banes per attornatum suum præd', quam præd' Edwardus & Maria per Isham Nouel attornat' suum, & iidem Edw'us & Maria defend' vim & injuriam quando, &c. & dic' quod prædict' Maria non assumpsit super se modo & forma prout præd' Will'us Banes superius versus eos narravit, & de hoc pon' se super patriam, & præd' Will'us Banes similiter, &c. Ideo ven' inde jur' coram Dom' Rege apud Westmonast' die Lunæ proxim' post quindenam Sancti Hill', & qui nec, &c. Ad recogn', &c. Qui tam, &c. Idem dies datus est partibus præd' ibidem, &c. Postea continuat' inde process. inter partes præd' de placito prædicto per jur' posit' inde inter eos in respectum, coram Domin' Rege apud Westmonaster' usq; diem Martis proxim' post octab' purificationis beatæ Mariæ extunc proxim' sequen', nisi dilectus & fidelis Domini Regis *Tho. Fleming* miles, Capital' Justic' Dom' Reg' ad placita in cur' ipsius Dom' Reg' cor' ipso rege tenend' assign' prius die Lunæ proxim' post præd' octab' purification' beatæ Mariæ apud Guihald' Lond' per formam statuti, &c. ven' pro defectu jur', &c. Ad quem diem coram domino rege apud Westmonast' ven' præd' Will'us Banes per attornatum suum præd', & præfat' Capital' Justic' cor' quo, &c. mis. hic record' suum coram eo habitum in hæc verba. Postea die & loco infracontent' cor' dilecto & fideli dicti Dom' Reg' *Tho. Fleming* milite Capitali Justic' infra script', associat' sibi Will' Price per formam statuti, ven' tam infranominat' Will' Banes quam infra script' Ed' Paine & Maria uxor ejus per attorn' suos infracontent', & jur' juratæ unde infra fit mentio exact' similiter ven', qui ad veritat' de infracont' dicend' electi, triati, & jurat', dicunt super fact'm suum, qd' infranominat' Maria assumpsit super se modo & forma prout infra script' Williel' Banes interius vers. eos narravit, & affid' damna ipsius Will' occasione non performance promission' & assumptionis infra script' ultra mis. & custag' sua per ipsum circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit' ad octogint' libr', & pro mis. & custagiis illis ad quinquagint' tres solidos & quatuor denarios. Ideo conf. est, quod præd' Will'us Banes recuperet vers. præfat' Edw'um Paine & Mariam uxorem ejus dampna

na prædict' per jur' prædictos in forma prædicta assess. nec non quinq; libras sex solidos & octo denarios pro mis. & custag. suis prædict' eidem Willielmo per cur' dicti Domini Regis hic ex assensu suo de incremento adjudicat', quæ quidem damna in toto se attingunt ad octogint' & octo libras, & præd' Edwardus Paine & Maria uxor' ejus. in misericordia, &c.

Hill. 9 Jacobi Regis.

William Banes's Case.

Cr. Jac. 47. 273.
Jenk. Cent. 290.
Moor 853, 854.
1 Rol. Rep. 379.
Yelv. 55. 56.

*T*Ermin' Hillar' 8 Jac. Regis Rot. IIII. in Banco Regis Will. Banes brought an Action on the Case upon an Assump' against Edward Paine and Mary his Wife, and declared, That whereas William Havert was indebted to the Plaintiff in 77 l. which the Plaintiff had lent him; and that the said William Havert made his Will, and thereof made the said Mary Executrix, and died, and that the said Mary took upon her the Charge of the said Will; and that she possessed as Executrix of an Interest of a Term for divers Years yet to come of certain Gardens, and of a Bowling Alley in Moor-fields in the Parish of St. Leonards in Shore-ditch, in the County of Middlesex. The said Mary 28 Junii anno 7 Jac. perceiving that the said William Banes would sue her for Non-payment of the said Debt, in Consideration that the said William Banes at the Request of the said Mary non molestaret aut setaret eandem Mariam pro præd' 77 l. sed deferre vellet solutionem inde usq; festum S. Michaelis tunc proxim' sequen' assumed to pay the said Debt at the said Feast of St. Mich. or otherwise, eadem Maria ad tunc S. ibidem assignare vellet eidem Willielmo Banes pro securitate sua in ea parte pro solutione præd' 77 l. totum interesse termini annorum præd', &c. in Default of Payment of the said 77 l. and averr'd, that the Pl. did not molest or sue her, &c. and that at the said Feast the Defendant did

did not pay nor make Assignment of the said Interest; and afterwards the said *Mary* married the said *Ed. Paine*: The Defs. pleaded *non Assump'*, and it was found against them to the Damages of 80 l. 8s. Upon which a (a) general Judgm. was given against *E. Paine* and his Wife, *sc.* that the Pl. should recover against them his Damages: Upon which Judgm. the Defs. brought a Writ of Error in the Exchequer-chamb. by the Stat. of 27 *El. c. 8.* And the principal Error assign'd was, because the Pl. had not averr'd, That the Executrix had Affets in her Hands at the Time of the *Assump'*: made of the Goods of the Deceased amounting to the Value of the said Debt; and if she had not Affets, then it is *nudum pactum*, for there is no Consideration to charge her, nor to bind her to her Promise; and *eo potius*, because she shall by this Promise be charged generally, and not only of the Goods of the Deceased; and therefore, in Regard the *Assumpsit* charges her self, and transfers the Charge of her as Executr. in another Right to her self as for her proper Debt, in Respect of her Promise Reason requires that there ought to be some good Consideration thereof, which can't be if she has not Affets.

But it was resolv'd by all the Just. of the Com. Pleas and Barons of the Excheq. that the Declarat. was good enough, for it shall be intended *prima facie*, that she had (b) Affets; and therefore in *Debt* against Executr. or against the Heir, the Pl. shall never aver in his Declarat. that they have Affets, for the Law presumes that *prima facie*; for the Law presumes that the Testat. or the Ancestor would not leave a greater Charge upon his Executr. or Heir, than he leaves Benefit to discharge it. And the Consideration in the Case at Bar is good; for it is as much as if a (c) Stranger had said to the Pl. forbear your Debt, and do not sue the Def. till *Mich.* and at the said Feast I will pay you your Debt, that is a good Consideration, altho' it can't be any Benefit to him who makes the Promise; yet because it is a Damage to the Creditor to forbear his Suit and Duty, it is a good Consideration: and as in the same Case he who makes the Promise for another shall be charged generally upon his own Promise; so when one is Executr. and makes such a Promise, the Debt is due by him in Right of his Executorship, and the Promise is made in his own Right; and therefore without Question he shall be charged in an Action brought upon his Promise (d) generally, and yet the Money which he pays in Satisfact. of the Debt of the Testator, shall be allowed him as Parcel of his Account as Executr.; for his Promise extends to pay the Debt with which he was chargeable as Executr.; But I conceive, If the Truth of the Case be that in the Case at Bar there had not been (e) any Debt, or if there had been a Debt, and the Executrix had

(a) 1 Rol. 930.
Antea 90. a.
Cr. El. 91.

(b) Hutt. 28, 108.
1 Rol. 921.
Antea 90. b.
Plowd. 182. b.
2 Brownl. 138.
Cr. Jac. 47, 293,
294.

Cr. El. 59.
Doct. pl. 88, 168.
Cr. Jac. 273, 604.
2 Bulst. 92.
(c) Cr. El. 881:
Yelv. 1. 2.
Held. 1.

(d) Cr. El. 91;
406.
Cr. Jac. 273.

(e) 2 Rol. 634.
Hutt. 28.
Doct. pl. 201.
Palm. 185, 522.
1 Vent. 121.

William Banes's Case. PART IX.

(a) 1 Vent. 121.
Palm. 185, 522.

had (a) nothing in her Hands at the Time of the Promise, she might have given it in Evidence, and thereupon have been helped, for then in Truth there was not any Consideration, for to forbear the Debt where none was, or with which she was not chargeable, is not any Benefit to the Defendant, nor Damage to the Plaintiff. Also the Case at Bar was stronger, because the Defendant promised either to pay the Money, or to assign the Interest of the Lease which she had as Executrix, for it was in her Election to do which of them she would. And so Note the principal Point resolved by both Courts.

Hill.

Hill. 9 Jac. Regis.

Casus in Cancellaria.

Sir George Reynel's Case.

JOVIS quarto decimo die Novembris Anno Regni Regis Jacobi Angl', &c. nono, inter dictum Dominum Regem Quer', & Georgium Reynel militem Defend'. Cum dies datus fuit præfato Defendenti usq; duodecimum diem instantis Novembris ad ostendendam causam, quare officium Marescal' Marescalciæ coram ipso Rege tanquam forisfact' in manus dicti Domini Regis seisiri non deberet, isto quatuordecimo die Novembris Magister Richardson e consilio cum præfato Defendente diversas Causas in ea parte allegavit, quod breve de Scire facias versus præfatum Defendentem prosequi debeat, antequam offic' præd' in Manus dicti Domini Reg' seisiri debeat. Sed quia Curia hic in præmissis ulterius advisare vult, Ideo dies datus est per eandem Curiam usque diem Luna, s. vicesimum quintum diem instantis Novembris, quo die Dominus Cancellarius Angl' (associatis sibi Edwardo Coke milite capitali Justic' de Banco Laurentio Tanfield milite capital' Barone Scaccarii Petro Warburton milite uno Justic' de Banco & Jacobo Alham milite uno Baronum Scaccarii) quid per consilium ex utraq; parte dici poterit, utrum officium præd' in manus dicti domini Regis seisiri poterit sine brevi de Scire fac. prius lato, necne, audire proponit. At which Day, in Mich. Term now last past, the Case was argued before the Lord Chancellor and the said four Judges by Richardson for Sir George Reynel, and by Dampfort for the King; And the Case was such, Ed. Peacock habuit & tenuit offic' Marechalli Marefchalo'

chalc' coram domino Rege for the Term of his Life; and the K. that now is 2 *Sept. anno primo Regni sui*, granted the said Office to Sir *James Elphinstone*, now Lord *Balerinorb*, and to his Assigns for 31 Years in Reversion, who 26 *Jan. anno 2 Reg' Jac' by Deed* assigned it to *Hen. Spiller*, *Ed. Peacock* died 7 *Dec. an' 3 Reg'* who deputed Sir *Geo. Reynel* by Word to exercise the said Office as his Deputy at Will: And afterwards in *Jan.* following *Hen. Spiller* by his Deed assign'd the said Office to Sir *Geo. Reynel*. And it was found by Office by force of a Commission under the Great Seal, and returned in the Chancery, that Sir *G. Reynel* had committed divers Forfeitures of the said Office by suffering voluntary Escapes of Prisoners, &c. And the only Quest. which was argued at the Bar in the Chancery by the said Order of the Court was, If upon this Office the K. might seise without a *Sci. fa.* (for no Quest. was made upon the Validity of the Office;) But after the Arguments I moved, If such Office might be granted for Years, or not. And then the Lord Chancellor conceived it could not, but desired us to consider of these 2 Points, *s. i.* If the K. might seise without a *Sci' fa'*; and 2. If such Office might be granted for Years. And we pray'd Time to advise till this Term; and in the Vacation we 4 severally consider'd of those 2 Points, and in the Beginning of this Term we met and conferred together, And as to the first, we all resolv'd, that the K. might seise without suing a (a) *Sci. fa.* for the Reasons and Causes which *Coke* Chief Just. in the Presence of the others in the Chancery this Term openly deliver'd in the Chancery. And because divers Authorities were cited at the Bar, and some seem to contradict the others, he made the Report in this Manner. 1. In some Cases the K. shall be in Possession by Seisure without Office, as in 21 (b) *H. 7.* and (c) *Stamford* in the Case of the Temporalties of a Bp. and of Priors aliens, because the Certainty of them appears in the Excheq. & (d) *frustra fit per plura, qd' fieri potest per pauciora.* 2. In some Cases the K. shall be in possession by Office without Seisure, as of Lands, Tenem. Offices, &c. which are local, or whereof continual Profit may be taken: As where it is found by Office that a Condit. is broke, or that a Person attained of Felony is seised of Land, &c. or in the Case of Wardship of Land, &c. in all these Cases the K. immediately by Office is in Possessi. before any Seisure. *Vide* (e) 2 *H. 7. 8. b. 9* (f) *H. 7. 2. b. 12 H. 7. 21. b. 15 19. a. 14 H. 7. 21. b. 15 H. 7. 6. b. 21 H. 7. 7. a. b. 18. a. Stamford. 55, 56. &c. Vide Trin. 30 El. Dowrie's Case in the 3 Part of my Rep. f. 10, 11. 1 Trin. 26 El. the Comp. of Sadlers Case in the 4 Part of my Rep. f. 54, 55.* 3. In some Case the K. shall be in Possessi. by Office and Seisure, as in Case of (g) *Advowf. &c.* the right Patr. shall not be ousted by such false Office found thereof, till the K. presents, and his Clerk is admitted and instituted; for if the K.'s Clerk is refused and the K. brings his

- (a) Dy. 198. pl. 50. 211. pl. 29.
- 2 Rol. 191.
- 1 Sid 81.
- Kelw. 33. b.
- 3 Co. 11. a.
- 1 Leon. 21.
- 2 Rol. Rep. 497.
- Hob. 243, 244.
- (b) 21 H. 7. 33. a.
- Stamf. Prærog. 55. a. b.
- (c) Stamf. Prærogat. 55, 56.
- (d) 8 Co. 167. a.
- Co. Lit. 362. b.
- 3 Bullfr. 170.
- Noy 164.
- 1 Rol. Rep. 85.
- Hard. 113.
- (e) Fitz. Prærog. 10.
- Br. Prærog. 101.
- Br. Entry congeable 88.
- Br. Office devant Escheat. 30.
- Br. Patents 46.
- Br. Conditior 125.
- (f) Br. Office devant Escheator 34.
- Br. Prærog. 91.
- Br. Esch. 25, 33.
- 3 Co. 10. b.
- 1 Leon. 187.
- Postea 96. a.
- Plowd. 229. b.
- Moor 293.
- 4 Co. 58. b.
- Stamf. Prærog. 54. a.
- (g) Kelw. 42. b.
- 43. a.

Quare Imped. he may traverse the K.'s Title found by the Office in the same Action, and is not put first to traverse the Office as he is put in the Cases before said of Inheritances manual, where by the Office the K. is in Possession; for there he ought first to avoid the Office by traverse, &c. and till the Office is avoided, the K. shall be in Possession, (a) 17 E. 3. 10. b. 20 E. 4. (b) 10. & 14. 21 E. 4. 1. and *Dowry's Case* afore said, as if the Manor of *Dale* held of the K. is aliened in Mortmain by one who has nothing in it; and it is found falsly by Office, that he who aliened was seised in Fee and aliened in Mortmain, by this Office the K. is in Possession immediately, and in any Suit or Information commenced for the King for the Profits thereof, the right Owner shall not traverse the K.'s Title found by the Office, but first he ought to avoid the Office by traverse, &c. *Vide* 9 H. 7. 2. But if one aliens an Advowf. in Mortmain, in which he has nothing, and it is found falsly that he was seised in Fee, and aliened in Mortmain, the K. thereby is not in Possession of the Advowf. until he presents, and his Clerk is admitted and instituted; and if in such Case his Clerk is refused, and the K. brings a *Quare Imp.* the right Patron may traverse the K.'s Title in the *Qu. Imp.* before he avoids the Office by traverse, &c. because the Advowf. is not manual, but *hereditas incorporea*, and *eo potius*, because the Right to present, when it falls, is casual and not continual. 4. In some Case the K. shall be in Seisin, without any Office or Seisure; As where the K.'s Ten't dies without Heir, &c. the Law casts the Seisin upon the King, without Office or Seisure, as in (c) 9 H. 7. 2. b. *Vide the said Cases of* (d) *Dowry*, and of the *Company of* (e) *Sadlers*. 5. when 2 distinct Matters of Rec'd amount to an Office, there ought to be a *Sci. fa.* before the K. seises, altho' a Common Person in such Case may enter or seise, unless it is in special Cases; As if it be found by Office, that the Manor of *D.* is held of the K. and it appears by Fine of Record that the Manor of *D.* is aliened in Mortmain, there ought to be a *Sci. fa.* in which it shall appear by Averment that all is one and the same Manor, for there may be divers of one and the same Name, and that he who aliened was seised, for both without such Averment shall not put the Party to answer, but when there is Identity of a Thing, and it appears to the Court that they can't be divers, there 2 Matters of Record shall amount to an Office: As in the Case of Sir *J. Savage*, who was (f) Sheriff of the County of *Worcester* for Life by Let, Patent under the Great Seal, he was indicted of 2 voluntary Escapes of Felons, and it was held *per cur' in Banco Regis* that those Records amounted to an Office, and that the King might seise without a *Sci. fa.*; and the Reason was, that it appear'd to the Court, that there could be but one Sheriff in a County, and therefore no *Sci. fa.* was necessary

(a) 3 Co. 11. a.
Stamf. Prærog.
55. a.
(b) 20 E. 4. 11. a.
3 Co. 11. a.
Br. Quare Imp.
138.
Br. Travers de
Office 40.
Br. Office dev.
Eſcheat. 45.
Stamf. Prærog.
54. a.

(c) Br. Office
devant, &c. 34.
Br. Prærogat.
91.
Br. Eſcheat. 25.
33.
3 Co. 10. b.
3 Leon. 187.
Antea 95. b.
4 Co. 58. b.
Plowd. 229. b.
Moor 239.
Stamf. Prærog.
54. a.
(d) 3 Co. 10. 11
(e) 4 Co. 58.

(f) Dyer 151.
pl. 4.
Kelw. 194, 195.
196.
2 Rol. 155.
Antea 50. a.

in

(a) Dy. 151. pl. 4.
 Kelw. 194, 195, 196.
 2 Rol. 155.
 Ant. 50. a. 96. a.
 (b) Dyer 211. pl. 29.
 (c) Stamf. Prærog. 55. a. b.
 * 4 Co. 56. b.
 (d) 14 H. 7. 21. b.
 (e) Stamf. Prærog. 54, 55, 56.
 (f) 3 Co. 10, 11.
 (g) Finch's Argument in Quo Warranto 12. Fitz. Franchise 2.
 Br. Forfeit. 93.
 Br. Franchise 5.
 (h) Finch's Argument in Quo Warranto 12.

(i) Br. Office de-vant Elcheator 39.
 2 Rol. 153.
 Br. Patent 59.

(k) Antea 96. a.
 Dyer 151. pl. 4.
 Kelw. 194, 195, 196.
 2 Rol. 155.
 Antea 50. a.
 (l) 2 Rol. 153.
 1 Rol. 847.
 1 Jones 463.
 Cr. Car. 587.
 Hard. 49, 352, 355.
 2 Jones 127.

in such Case: *Mich.* 8 H. 8. *Rot.* 21. reported by (a) *Dy.* 4. & 5 *Phil.* & *Mar.* 151. b. *Nota* good Difference. *Vide* 16 E. 3. *Brief* 651. 21 *Aff.* 36. 40 *Aff.* 46. 50 *Aff.* 2. 2 E. 3. 10. b. 4 *El.* (b) *Dyer* 211. 30 *Eliz.* 41. 6. In all (c) Cases when a common Person is put to his Action; there upon an Office found the K. is put to his *Sci. fa.* as in Case of * *Waste*, *Cesavit*, &c. But when a common Person may enter or seise, there an Office without a *Sci. fa.* shall suffice for the King, 12 H. 7. 21. b. 14 (d) H. 7. 2. 15 H. 7. 6. b. *Stamf.* (e) 54. *Vide* (f) *Dowty's Case* aforesaid; and by these Differences agreed. And for Authorities in Law in Cases of Offices, 8 H. 4. 18. a. The Abbot of St. (g) *Albans* had a Gaol, and detained Prisoners, because he would not be at the Charge to sue forth a Commission for their delivery, the K. has Cause to seise the Franchise into his Hands; 20 E. 4. 5. b. The Abbot of (b) *Crowland* had a Gaol in which the Prisoners were imprisoned, and because once he kept Men who were acquitted of Felony, and also had paid their Fees, the K. resealed the Gaol for ever, and that was for Misuser of his Franchise. 5 E. 4. 3. a. b. The Duke of (i) *Norfolk* being Marshal of *England*, granted the Office of the Marshal of the Marshalsea of the King's Bench by his Deed to one *John Bouchier* for Term of his Life, with Warranty, who was admitted accordingly of Record, and afterwards the Duke died, his Heir within Age; and it was found by Office that the Duke died seised of an Estate-Tail in the said Office, and that it descended to his Heir within Age; And there it is held, by this Office *Bouchier* is out of Possession, and the King is in Possession till he has avoided the Office by Traverse, &c. And *Coke* Chief Justice cited a Record in *Trin.* 21 E. 1. *Rot.* 33. *Cant'*, *corum Rege Fulco de Valebus attornat', sive vicegerens Rogeri Bigot com' Norff. & Marechal' Angl', quia permisit Alanum Osmund qui utlegatus fuit pro morte Henrici Hagam qui fuit sub custod' sua sicut nullo crimine relictatum, & missas in duabus ecclesiis audire, & per plateas, vicos, & tabernas, sine compedibus ambulare & vagari, forisfecit officium suum marechal' una cum virga, qua capiuntur in manibus Regis, & committitur Viccom' Cantie.* And the said Case of Sir *John* (k) *Savage* was cited again to this Purpose; Wherefore it was concluded, that in the Case at Bar the King might seise without any *Sci. fa'*; and all this was agreed by the Lord Chancellor of *England*.

As to the other Question, it was resolved by the Chief Justice, Chief Baron, and *Warburton* Justice, that the said Grant for (l) Years of the said Office was void. 1. Because this Office is an Office of great Trust annexed to the Person, and concerns the Administration of

of Justice, and the Life of the Law, which is to keep those who are in Execution in * *salva & arcta Custodia*, to the End they may the sooner pay their Debts, &c. and this Trust is individual and personal, and shall not be extended to his (a) Executors or Administrators. For the Law will not repose Confidence in Matters concerning the Administration of Justice in Persons unknown. 2. This Office requires continual Attendance in Court, and perhaps the Lessee may die (b) Intestate, and then who shall be Officer till the Administration is granted? Shall the Ordinary, or who else? And if the Officer dies in Debt, and none will prove the Will, or take Administration, who then shall be Officer? &c. 3 Every such Officer ought to be admitted and allowed by the Court, and sworn there; but if such Officer is admitted for Years, then the Executors or Administrators will be Officers without Allowance or Admittance, which will be inconvenient. 4. This is an ancient Office, and has always been granted for Life, or at Will, so that the Person to whom, &c. was certainly known, and before these Days never was granted for Years, and in these Cases Innovations are dangerous. 5. If it may be granted for Years, it may be demanded if it shall be forfeited by Utlary, or shall be Assets to his Executors, and many other Questions will arise upon it. *M. 16 H. 6.* * *rot. 63.* in the K.'s Bench, this Office granted to one for Life. (c) *39 H. 6. 32. b.* granted to *Brandon* for Life. (d) *5 E. 4. 3. a. b.* this Office was granted to *Bourchier* for Life, *M. 10 & 11 Eliz.* to * *Garwdy* for Life, and in no Book or Record can it be found before this Time, that this Office has been granted for Years. But yet, by an Act in Law, a Term, which is but a Chattel, may be in such Office, as it appears in *5 E. 4. 3. a. b.* The D. of (e) *Norfolk* had an Estate-Tail in the Office held of the K. *in Capite*, and died, his Heir within Age, and that found by Office, in that Case the K. has a Chattel in the Office, *sc.* during the Minority, and if the K. dies it would descend to the next K. and would not go to his Executors or Administrators, so an Act in Law doth not introduce any Inconvenience. But there it is put, That if the K. grants the Office for Life, or during the Minority, there ought to be a *Sci. fa.* against the Patentee; and without Question the Grant for Life in such Case, (f) the K. having but a Chattel, is void. And so for the Reasons aforesaid it seems also the Grant thereof, during the Minority; for if the Grantee should die, his Executors or Administrators would have it, which would be inconvenient. And the principal Case of *5 E. 4. 3. a. b.* The King having the Office in Ward granted it to *Wingfield* at (g) Will, which without Question stood good in Law. And where the Chief Baron in *39 H. 6. 34. a.* saith, If a Man grants an Office to another for Life or for Years, and he will not (h) execute his Office, or otherwise misdoth his Office,

* Ant. 87. b.

(*) 1 Rol. 847.
2 Rol. 153.
2 Jones 127.

(b) Cr. Car. 587.

* Dy. 275.
pl. 47.(c) Br. Office
18.
Br. Deputy 7.
Br. Forfeiture,
&c. 27.39 H. 6, 32. b.
33. a. b. 34. a.
(d) Ant. 96. b.* Dy. 278. pl. 5.
3 Keb. 591.(e) Cr. Car. 556.
2 Rol. 153.Ant. 96. b.
Br. Office de-
vant Escheator
39.
Br. Patent 99.(f) 2 Rol.
155.

(g) 2 Rol. 155.

(h) Co. Lit
233. a.
Poltea 99. a

Office, the Grantor shall seize his Office: First it doth not appear what manner of Office he means, *ſc.* of great Trust, or concerning the Administration of Justice. 2. It is but a sudden Opinion not pertinent to the principal Case: And there neither *Prifor*, nor any other of the Justices affirm, That the said Office of Marshal may be granted for Years. And where it was objected, That the K. may grant the Custody of a (*a*) Gaol to another in Fee; and also to be (*b*) Sheriff of such County to one and his Heirs, which Estate in Fee-Simple includes all other Estates, and the Heir in such Case is as well unknown at the Time of the Grant, as the Executors or Administrators in Case of a grant for Years. To that it was answered, That it is true, That such grants may be made by Law, but they differ from this Case at the Bar for divers Reasons. 1 There can't be any such Intermiſſion, for immediately by the Ancestor's Death the Office descends to the Heir. 2 Such Estate can't be forfeited by Outlawry. 3 In ancient Time Comes had the Custody of the County, and was called (*c*) *Præpoſitus Comitatus*, Shire-reeve, *i.* Reeve of the Shire, which is as much as to say *Præpoſitus Comitatus*; and afterwards it was transferred to the Sheriff, who is (*d*) *Vicecomes*, *i.* in *Vice Comitis*; but as the K. can't grant to one, that he and his Executors or Administrators shall be Counts or Earls for (*e*) Years, for then his Executors or Administrators, one being appointed by himself, the other by the Ordinary, would be Earls: So without Question the K. may create an E. for Life, in Tail, or in Fee. 4 This Office of Marshal, &c. ought to come in by Admittance or Allowance of the Court, so does not the Sheriff or Gaoler. 5 Grants of such Offices in Fee, or for Life, have been allowed and approved, but such Grants for Years, were never allowed or approved; & *periculofum exiſtimo quod bonorum virorum non comprobatur exemplo*. And if this, and such Offices may be granted for Years, then the Offices of *Cuſtos Brevium*, of the Chirographer, or of the K.'s Silver, &c. may be demised in Poſſeſſion or Reversion for 1000 Years or more; so of the Clerk of the Pipe, and of the K.'s Remembrancer, &c. in the Excheq. and so of the Office of Clerk of the Crown in this Court, and of other Offices in other Courts, upon which the Subversion of Justice, by reason of Ignorance in the Officers, would ensue, for good Clerks would be deterr'd from applying themselves to get Knowledge and Experience, when such Offices shall be saleable, and transferred from one to another for lucre and gain; upon which also would ensue Corruption in the Officers, and Extortion from the Subjects, and other great Inconveniencies. And the Lord Chancellor hearing these Reasons, agreed clearly with this Resolution, and said, That so was the Opinion of Sir John Popham late Chief Justice of England, in all such

(a) 2 Rol. 155.

(b) 2 Rol. 155.

(c) Co. Lit.
168. a.

Antea 49. b.

(d) Co. Lit.
168. a.

(e) Co. Lit.
16. b.

such Cases; as he had often affirmed to the said Ld. Chancellor, and to me also when I was Attorney General. And it was also resolved, That for as much as the Office was found by Force of a Commission under the Great Seal and return'd in this Court, that (altho' the Office be to be executed in another Court) yet the Award of the Seizure shall in this Court, where the Office is returned, and in this Court the Party shall have his traverse, or *Monstrans de droit*, as his Case is, to avoid the Office, and when such award shall be made, the Custody of the Prisoners is to be committed to another, to avoid the Escapes of those who are in the said Prison, and so has it always been used, but the Admittance and Allowance of such Person to whom the Custody shall be newly in the Interim committed, belongs to the Court of King's Bench, Then the L. Chancellor asked, how this seizure should be made? And I answered, That by the Office and the Award of the Seizure, the K. is in Possession without any Writ or Commission awarded for that purpose; but that there should be a Writ of Discharge directed to Sir G. Reynel, according to the Effect of the Writ in the Register, 295. when an Escheator is removed. *Et (a) mandatum est nuper Escheatori R. in Com' (a) 3 Co. 72. 2. præd' qd' eid' J. rotulos, brevia, & omnia alia Offic' illud tangentia qua in Custodia sua existunt per Indenturas inde inter eos debite conficiend' liberet, &c.* and the like Writ should be directed to Sir G. Reynel, to deliver by Indenture all the Prisoners, &c. which are in his Custody; and as when the K. is falsely entitled by Office; and upon Petition, Traverse, or *Monstrans de droit*, Judgm. is given, *quod manus Dom. Reg. (b) amoveantur*, that without other Writ the Hands are removed, as it is held in 10 *Aff. p. 2. 10 E. 3. 2. Tit. Aff. 156. 5 E. 3. Qu. Im. 34. Stamf. prærog. 78.* and so it was adjudged in *Communi Banco* between *Brown* and (c) *Terry, Hill, 57 El. rot. 620.* and yet in such Case the Use is, to have a Writ of *Amoveas manum*: So when an Office is found forfeited, presently by the Law the Party is out of Possession, and the K. is in Possession, and yet the Use is, and to good purpose, to have such Writ of Discharge as is aforesaid; and yet till he is actually removed he shall answer for all Escapes, For he who occupies or has the Custody of a Gaol * by right or wrong, shall be charged for Escapes of Prisoners, 11 *H. 4. 73. a.* and he who has the Custody of a Gaol in Fee, and substitutes another at Will, or for Life, under him, the Action upon the Escape will lie against him who has the actual Possession of the Office, 13 *E. 3. Bar 253.* The *Abbot of Westminster's Case* against a Gaoler at Will, 10 *Eliz. 278, 279. Dyer, Gaudy Under-Marshal for Life;* but if they are not sufficient, *respondeat (d) superior, sc. he who granted at Will, or for Life, as appears in 39 H. 6. 32. b.*

(a) 3 Co. 72. 2.

(b) Cr. El. 523. Moor 346.

(c) Cr. El. 523.

*Hale's Pl. Cor. 114.

(d) Dy. 278, 279. pl. 5. 3 Keb. 591, 593, 657.

Noy 27. 2 Inst. 382. Hale's Pl. Cor. for 113.

(a) 2 Bulstr. 58.

for the Insufficiency of *John (a) Brandon* who had the Mar-
 shalsey for Life, the D. of *Norfolk* who had the Inheritance
 was charged for Escapes of Prisoners. And a Case was cited
 which began in this Court *Pasc. 21 Regine El. Rot. 1. inter*
placita Regine, the Record of which begins in this Manner,
Midd' Constat qd' Dom' Philip' & Domina Maria nuper Rex
& Regis Angl', soror Dom' Regine nunc pracharissime, pro
se heredibus & successor' dict' Regine Marie, per eorun-
dem nuper Regis & Regine Philippi & Marie literas pa-
tes sub magno sigillo suo Anglie confect' geren' Dat' a-
pu'd West. 23. die Sept. annis eorund' nuper Regis & Regi-
ne 3 & 4 Dederunt & Concesserunt Marco (b) Steward
generoso officium Servient' eorund' nuper Regis & Regine
Maria ad arma, attendend' super Cancell' Anglie pro tem-
pore existen', ac ipsum Marcum Servientem suum ad arma
fecer' ordinaverunt, & constituerunt per ead' literas paten-
tes, habend' & gaudend' offic' illud pro termino vite sue, with
 all Fees, and a certain Fee of 12 *d. per diem*: By Force
 whereof the said *Mark* was seized of the said Office for
 Term of his Life; and it was found by Office 24 *Junii an.*
 19 *El.* by Force of a Commission, &c. directed to *Randal*
Hurleston, John Nuthal Esqrs; and others, and returned in
 the Chancery, quod *pred' Marcus non deservivit in officio*
Servientis ad arma pred' juxta effectum & tenorem pred'
literarum patent' sibi confect', de 8 die Octob' an' regni dict'
Dom' Regina nunc. 12 usque 1 diem Feb' tunc ult' praterit'
ante captio'n' inquisit' pred' sed per totum idem tempus ab
eodem officio se absentavit. Et modo ad hunc diem, sc. 7 diem
Maii, anno reg' dict' Dom' Regine nunc 21 venit hic pre-
fat' Marcus Steward, & queritur se ratione & colore inqui-
sit' pred' ab exercitio officii sui predict' amotum esse, & hoc
minus juste, (by which it appears that immediately by the
 Inquisition he was in Law removed from the said Office,
 which also appears by the Judgment) *pro monstracione recti*
sive juris sui in hac parte, idem Marcus dicit, quod domina
regina nunc, sc. ult. die Nov' an' regni sui 11 apud Westm' in
Com' Midd' dedit eidem Marco licentiam, ad se absentand' ab
exercitio officii sui pred' durante beneplacito ipsius Marci, do-
nec per ipsam Dom' Reginam ei preciperetur ad deservien-
da in officio suo pred'. And that from the Time of the Licence till
 this Day, the Q. has not commanded him to exercise the said
 Office, &c. *Gerrard* the Q.'s Attorney General took Issue,
Qd' ead' Domina Regina non dedit eid' Marco licentiam ad se
absentand' ab exercitio officii sui predict' durante benepla-
cito ipsius Marci, donec per ipsam Dom' Reg' nunc ei pre-
ciperetur ad deservien- *da in officio suo predict' modo & for-*
ma, &c. Et hoc idem Attornat', &c. per qd' inquiratur pen-

(b) 2 Rol. 154.
 Moor 193.
 1 Sid. 436.
 C. El. 424.

patriam, & præd' Marcus similiter: Ideo dies datus est coram eadem Domina Regina in crastino Ascension' Dom' ubicunque tunc fuerit in Anglia ad faciend' & recipiend' qd' iuste fuerit in præmissis, & Venire faciās awarded to the Sheriff of Midd' returnable in the K.'s Bench at the same Day. And Sir *Thomas Bromley* Kt. (a) Chancellor of *Engl. die Luna post Crastin' ascension' Dom' Term' Pasç' 21 El. Reg' per manus suas proprias deliberavit record' præd' cor' ipsa Reg' in Cancell' sua habit' in Cur' coram Dom' Reg'*; (f. the Court of K.'s Bench) *in crastino Ascensionis* a Jury was return'd who appear'd and gave a special Verdict; they found the said Let. Pat. of the K. and Q. P. & M. to the said *Mark Steward* of the said Office; which are entred *in hæc verba*. And further found; that at the humble Petition of the E. of *Leicester*, an Dr. *Huit, Dom' Regina præd' uli' Nov' an' regni dict' Dom' Reg' nunc 11. Concessit qd' id' Marcus seipsum absentaret ab exercitio dict' officii sui durante benepl'io ipsius Marci quousq; ead' Dom' Reg' ipsum præciperet deservire in offic' suo præd'*, and that *August. Steward* Brother of *Mark Steward*, 6 *Marcii* anno 11 *Reg' per dict' Dom' Regin' admissus ad attendend' loco & vice ipsius Marci fratris sui super N. Bacon militem ad tunc Dom' Custod' magni sigilli*, and then in the Presence of the Queen was sworn, &c. by Force whereof the said *Augustine* exercised the said Office, *usq; 20 Junii, an' 18 El. Sed utrum dict' Dom' Regin' per verba, tantum absq; script' sigill' potest sufficient' in legel' licentiam dare eid' Marco ad seipsum absentand' ab exercitio officii sui præd' Fur' prædict' penitus ignorant; & inde pet' auxil' & advisament' Cur' in præmissis*. And this Case was argued at the Bar and Bench, and depended in Advise ment till *Michaelmas-Term*; and then it was resolved by (b) *Sir Ch. Wray*, Ch. Just. and *10 tam Curiam*, That the Licence by (c) word was good enough, and because all Pleas in the Chancery; according to the Ordinary Power are *coram Dom' Regin' in Cancell'*, and the Keeper of the Great Seal or Chancellor of *Engl.* is but the Q.'s Deputy during her Pleasure, and therefore the Service of the Serjeant at Arms done to the Q.'s Deputy, is in Law done to the Q. her self. And that well appears by the Let. Pat. themselves, for K. and Q. P. & M. *concesserunt, &c. officium servient eorund' nuper Regis & Reginae ad arma attendend' super Cancellar' Angliæ, &c.* so that he is the K. and Q.'s Serjeant at Arms, and therefore Q. El. might well license him to absent himself, &c. which in a Manner is a Refusal of his Service for the Time, for it is at her Pleasure whether she will accept his Service or not; another reason was given; that the Queen did not depart with any Interest in this Case, but suspended the Service of a Serjeant for a Time; and therefore such License by Word was good enough. Also it was resolved that it is true, That (d) Non-attendance upon the said Office is a Cause of Forfeiture; but it ought to be a voluntary

1. Nota, coram Domina Regina generally is meant B. R. the Entry of Pleas in Chancery is, coram Dom' Reg' in Cancell'.

2. Day given in Chancery to appear in B. R. for Trial of the Issue.

3. Ven' fact' awarded in Carc' return' in B. R.

4. The Delivery of the Record in B. R. per Manus Cancellar. Vide 10 E. 3.

5. Spec. Verda on a collateral Issue.

(a) 2 Sand. 27.

1 Sid. 436.

4 Inst. 80.

1 Mod. Rep. 29.

(b) 2 Sand. 27.

1 Sid. 436.

4 Inst. 80.

1 Mod. Rep. 29.

(c) 2 Rol. 154.

Cr. El. 224.

Moor 193.

(d) Co. Lit.

233. a.

Ant. 50. 97. a.

Sir George Reynel's Case. PART IX.

(a) Sand. 27.
 1 Sid. 436.
 4 Inst. 80.
 1 Mod. Rep. 29.

untary Negligence, and not when he has the Queen's Assent, who is to take Advantage of the Forfeiture for the Non-attendance. And afterwards (a) Judgment was entred in this Manner, *Super quovis & per Curiam hic intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis maturaque deliberatione inde habita, Servient' dictæ Dom' Regin' ad legem ac ipsius Reginæ attorney ad hoc convocat' & present', consideratum est, quod dictum officium dicti servientis ad arma in manibus Domine Reginæ retent' eidem restituatur, & quod præd' Marcus ad exercitium officii sui præd' a quo amotus fuit, una cum vadiis & feodis inde eidem officio debit' & pertinen', a dicto tempore amotionis suæ, ab exercitio officii sui præd' hucusque percept' & detent' restituatur, salvo semper jure Reginæ si quod, &c.* Which Record at large (being worthy Observation) is as follows.

Termino Paschæ Reginæ Elizabethæ vicesimo primo, Rot' 1. inter placita Reginæ.

Memorandum qd' *Thomas Bromley* mil' Domin' Regin' nunc cancellar' die Lunæ proxim' post crastin' ascension' Dom' isto eodem termino, coram Dom' Regin' apud Westm' per manus suas proprias deliberavit hic in cur' quoddam record' coram ipsa Dom' Reg' in cancellar' sua habit', in hæc verba, Placita cor' Dom' Regin' in cancellar' sua apud Westm' termino Pasch' anno regni Eliz' Dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hib' Regin', fidei Defensoris, &c. vicesimo primo. Midd' ff. Constat quod Dom' Philippus & Dom' Maria nuper Rex & Reg' Angliæ, soror Dom' Reginæ nunc præcharissimæ, pro se hæredib' & successorib' dictæ Reginæ Mariæ, per eorund' nuper Reg' Philippi & Regin' Mariæ liter' patent'es sub magno sigill' suo Angl' confect' geren' dat' apud Westm. vicesimo tertio die Sept' an eorundem nuper Reg' Philippi & Regin' Mariæ tertio & quarto, dederunt & concesserunt cuid' Marco Steward generoso offic' servien' eorund' nuper Regis Philippi & Reginæ Mariæ ad arma, attendend' super cancellar' suum Angliæ pro tempore existen', ac ipsum Marcum servien' suum ad arma fecer', ordinaver', & constituer', per easd' literas suas patent'es, Habend' & gaudend' officium illud eidem Marco pro termino vitæ suæ, & quod iidem nuper Rex Philippus & Regina Maria pro se & hæred' & successor' dictæ Reginæ Mariæ, per easdem literas suas Patent'es dederunt & concesserunt eidem Marco, pro exercitio, & occupatione officii præd' vadi' & feod' duodecim denar' per diem, habend', gaudend', & percipiend' annuatim prædict'

dict' vad' & feod' de duodecim denar' per diem præfat' Marco pro termino vitæ suæ de exit' & profic' hanaperii eorund' nuper Regis Philippi & Reg' Mariæ per manus Clerici five custod' ejusd' hanaperii sui & hæred' ejusd' nuper Reginae pro tempore existen', annuatim solvend', prout per præd' literas patentes inter alia plenius liquet & apparet, quarum quidem literar' paten' prætextu idem Marcus fuit seifit' de officio præd' ut de liber' tenemento pro termino vitæ suæ : Cumq; etiam compertum est per quand' inquisition' indentat' capt' apud Westm' in comitat' Middl' vicefimo quarto die Junii anno regni dictæ Dom' Reginae nunc decimo nono cor' Ranulpho Hurleston armiger', Joh' Muthall armig', Francif. Folyat armig', & Joh' Statham generoso, virtute commission' dictæ Dominæ Reginae nunc sibi ac cuidam Joh' Goodman direct', per sacramentum xii. &c. quod præd' Marcus non deservivit in officio servien' ad arma prædicto juxt' effect' & tenor' prædict' literarum paten' sibi confect', de octavo die Octobris anno regni dictæ Dominæ Reginae nunc duodecimo usque primū diem Febr' tunc ultimo præterito ante captiōnem inquisitionis præd', sed per totum idem tempus ab eodem officio se absentavit prout per eandem inquisitionem in cancellar' dict' Reginae nunc return' & in filaciis ejusdem cancellar' de record' residen' plenius liquet & apparet : Et modo ad hunc diem, viz. septim' diem Maii anno regni dict' Dom' Reg' nunc vicefimo primo, venit hic præfat' Marcus Steward in cancellar' dictæ Dominæ Reginae nunc apud Westmon' per Edw' Cordell attorney suum, & querit' se ratione & colore inquisitionis præd' ab exercitio & occupation' officii sui præd' amotum esse, & hoc minus juste, quia protestand', quod dict' inquisitio minus sufficiens in lege existit ad ipsum Marcum ab exercitio officii sui præd' amovend', pro monstracione rect' five juris sui in hac parte, idem Marcus dicit qd' dict' Dom' Regina nunc, diu post confectio'n' præd' literar' paten', scil't, ultimo die Nov. an' regni sui undecimo, apud Westmonst' in com' Midd' dedit eid' Marco licentiam ad se absentand' ab exercitio offic' sui duran' beneplac' ipsi' Marci, donec per ipsam Dom' Regin' nunc ei præciperet' ad deservien' in officio suo prædicto, virtute cujus quid' licentiæ dict' Dom' Regin' præfat' Marco, ut præfertur fact' id' Marcus per totum tempus absentia suæ in inquisition' præd' spec' se absentavit ab exercitio officii sui præd' : Et ulterius id' Marcus, pro ulteriori monstracion' juris & recti sui, dicit, qd' ipse nunc est & semper a tempore amotionis suæ ab exercitio officii sui præd' parat' fuit, & sæpius se obrulit ad deservien' in officio suo

fuo prædicto; & quod adhuc a tempore licentiæ dictæ Dominæ Reginæ se absentandi dicto Marco dat', eadem Dominæ Regina non ei præcepit ut deserviret in officio suo prædicto; absque hoc quod dicta Dominæ Regina nunc habet aliquod aliud jus sive titulus in vel ad officium præd' quam ut superius allégat' existit, & absq; hoc, quod habetur aliquod aliud recordum præter recordum inquisitionis præd', quod facit seu præbet, seu facere seu præbere possit aliquod titulum dictæ Dominæ Reginæ nunc, in vel ad officium præd': Quæ omnia & singula idem Marcus paratus est verificare prout cur' hic consideraverit, unde petit judicium, & quod ad possessionem & exercitium officii sui præd', una cum vad' & féod' præd', ac exit' & proficuis eidem officio debet' & pertin', a tempore amotionis suæ ab exercitio inde percept' restitatur, &c. Et Gilbert' Gerrard ar' attorn' dict' Dom' Reginæ nunc generalis, qui pro eadem Dom' Regin' nunc in hac parte sequitur, pro eadem Dom' Regin' dicit, quod per aliqua per præfat' Marcum Steward superius placitand' allégat', eadem Dominæ Regina a jure sive titulo suo, in vel ad officium præd' præcludi non debet, quia dicit quod eadem Dominæ Regina non dedit eidem Marco licentiã ad se absentand' ab exercitio officii sui præd', duran' benepl'ito ipsius Marti, donec per ipsam Domin' Reginam nunc ei præciperetur ad deserviend' in officio suo prædicto, modo & forma prout præd' Marcus in monstrand' jus suum ad officium præd' placit' do allégavit, & hoc idem attorn' dictæ Dom' Regin' nunc pro ead' Dominæ Regina petit qd' inquiratur per patriam, & præd' Marcus similiter: Idèò dies datus est eis coram dict' Domin' Regin' nunc in crastino ascensionis Dom' ubicunque tunc fuerit in Anglia ad faciend' & recipiend' quod justum fuerit in præmissis: Et præc' est vic' Middl', quod venire faciat cor' eadem Domin' Regin' ad diem illum xii. liberos & legales homines de vicineto civitatis Westmonasterii qui præfatum Marcum nulla affin' attingant, ad recogn' per eorum sacrament' super præmissis plenius veritatem, ad quod quidem crastin' ascensionis Dom' coram Domin' Regina apud Westmonaster' ven' tam præd' Gilbert' Gerrard, qui sequitur, &c. quam præd' Marcus Steward per Johan' Manning attorn' suum, & vic' retorn' nomina xii. jur' quorum null' &c. Idèò præc' est vic' quod non omitt', &c. quin distr' eos per omnes terras, &c. Et quod de exit', &c. Et quod habeat corpora eor' coram Dom' Regin' in Octab' Sanct' Trin' ubicunque; &c. Ad recogn' in form' præd', &c. Idem dies dat' est tam præfat' Gil' Gerrard qui sequit', &c. quam præfat' Mar' Steward, &c. Ad quas quidem Octab' Sanct' Trin' cor' Domin' Regin' apud

Westmonaster' ven' tam præfat' Gilbertus Gerrard qui sequit', &c. quam præd' Marcus Steward per attornat' suum præd', Et jur' juratæ præd' exacti, similiter vener: Et super hoc fact' hic in cur' publica proclamatione pro Dom' Regina, prout moris est, qd' si aliquis sit qui Justic' Dom' Reginæ hic, servien' ipsius Dom' Reginæ ad legem, five attornat' ejusd' Dom' Reginæ general', aut jur' juratæ præd' de præmis. informare, veller, veniret & audiretur. & *Edw. Anderson* unus servien' dictæ dom' Reginæ ad legem ad hoc faciend' se optulit, per qd' processum est ad captionem jurat' præd' per juratores præd' modo inde comparent', qui ad veritatem de præmis. dicend' elect', triati, & jurati, dicunt super sacram' suum, qd' Dom' Philippus & Dom' Maria nuper Rex & Regina Angl' per literas suas patentes sub magno sigillo suo Angl' confect', geren' dat' apud Westmonaster' vicesimo tertio die Septemb', annis regnorum ejusd' nuper Regis & Reginæ tertio & quarto, dederunt & concesserunt eidem Marco officium servien' ad arma, attendend' super cancellar' suum Angl' pro tempore existen', ac ipsum Marcum servien' suum ad arma supradiçt' fecer', ordinaver', & constituer' per easdem literas patentes, habend' & gaudend' officium illud eidem Marco pro termino vitæ suæ, & insuper iidem nuper Rex & Regina dederunt & concesserunt per literas patentes præd' pro se hæred' & successoribus præf. Reginæ pro exercitio & occupatione officii præd' vad' & feod' duodecim denariorum per diem, habend', gaudend', & percipiend' annuatim dict' vad' & feod' duodecim denar' per diem præf. Marco pro termino vitæ suæ de exit' & proficuis hanaperii cancellar' suæ per manus cleric' five custod' ejusd' hanaperii sui & hæred' præd' nuper Reginæ pro tempore existen', ad festa S. Mich' Archàngeli & Paschæ per equales portiones annuatim solvend', una cum omnibus aliis proficuis, commoditatibus, emolumentis, allocationibus, & advantagiis eidem officio qualitercunq; ab antiquo debitis & consuet', & profert hic in cur' idem Marcus Steward literas patentes præd', quæ sequuntur in hæc verba, Philippus & Maria Dei gratia Rex & Reginæ Angl', Hispanorum, Franciæ, utriusq; Ciciiliæ, Jerusalem, & Hiberniæ, fidei defensor', Archduces Austriæ, Duces Burgundiæ, Mediolanæ, & Brabantæ, comit' Haspurgi, Flandriæ & Tirollis, omnibus ad quos præsent' literæ pervenerint salutem. Cum præcharissimus frater noster Edw. nuper Rex Angl' sextus, per literas suas patentes sub mag' sigillo suo Angl' confect', geren' datum apud

Greene

Sir George Reynel's Case. PART IX.

Greenwich, octavo die Aprilis anno reg' sui quarto, de grat' sua speciali ac ex certa scienc' & mero motu suis, necnon de advisamento & concensu concilii sui, dederit & concefferit dilecto suo Ric' Hatchman gen' officium servien' sui ad arma,

Sir George Reynel's Case. PART IX.

Greenwich, octavo die Aprilis anno reg' sui quarto, de grat' sua speciali ac ex certa scienc' & mero motu suis, necnon de advisamento & concensu concilii sui, dederit & concefferit dilecto suo Ric' Hatchman gen' officium servien' sui ad arma,

ad festa S. Mich. Archangeli & Paschæ per equales portiones annuatim solvend', una cum omnibus aliis proficuis, commoditatibus, emolumentis, allocationibus, & advantagiis eidem officio qualitercunq; ab antiquo debit' & consuet', eo qd' expressa mentio de certitudine præmissorum five eorum alicujus, aut de aliis donis five concessionibus per nos vel per aliquem progenitorum nostrorum præf. Marco Steward, ante hæc tempora fact', in præsentibus minime fact' existit, aut aliquo statut', actu, ordinatione, provisione five restrictione inde in contrar' fact', edit', ordinat', five provis. aut aliqua alia re, causa, vel materia quacunq; in aliquo non obstan': In cujus rei testimonium has liter' nostr' fieri fecimus patent', testibus nobis ipsis apud Westmonaster' xxiii. die Setembris, annis regnorum nostrorum tertio & quarto, quarum quidem literarum paten' prætextu idem Marcus Steward ad officium præd' bene & fideliter exequend' jurat' fuit: *Et ulterius jur' præd'* dicunt, qd' ultimo die Novemb' anno reg' dict' Dom' Reginae nunc undecimo dicta Dom' Regina nunc ad humillimam petitionem & requisitionem prænobilis Dom' comitis Leic' & Rob' Huyck in medicinis Doctoris, concessit quod idem Marcus Steward seipsum absentaret ab exercitio præd' officii sui servien' ad arma ad attenden' in propria persona sua super cancellar' suum Angl' pro tempore existen', duran' beneplacito ipsius Marci, quousque eadem Dom' Regina ipsum præciperet deservire in officio suo præd', prout per depositionem ipsius Rob' Huyck, & quandam literam manu propria ipsius comitis Leic' subscript', quæ comperimus fore vera, in hiis Anglicis verbis sequen', hic in cur' jur' præd' in evidenc' dat' & ostens. plenius liquet & apparet; deposir' cujus quidem Rob' Huyck sequitur in hiis verbis, videlicet,

I was an humble Suiter unto her gracious Majestty about ten Years pass, that she would licence Mark Steward Serjeant at Arms, Attendant upon the then L. Keeper, to give off his Attendance in his own Person, so the End he might withdraw him into the Country to play the good Husband at home in his own House, so long only as she should permit him, and not reboke him to his former Attendance, and the Office should be served otherwise to her Majestty's Contentation, and the Lord Keeper's well liking, the which my Suit she did very graciously grant me; and after that, upon my Lord Keeper's praising Augustine Steward, I commended him to the Queen as one very fit to discharge his Brother's Absence with his Attendance: I did sue to my

Lord of Leicester and divers other of the Lords to speak in my behalf for the furtherance of the Suit: So in the End the Queen said, I do like well and am right well content that Mark Steward do cease from his Waiting till he shall resolve otherwise, and if his Brother be found fit he shall serve in his Place during the Time of his Absence.

Quæ quidem (a) litera manu propr' ipsius com' Leic' subscript' sequitur hæc verba, To my very good Lords the Lord Chancelloz, and the Lord Chief Justice of England, and to either of them, A. After my most hearty Commendations to your Lordships, this Beater Mark Steward hath earnestly besought me to advertise your Lordships of my knowledge touching her Majesty's Leave for the said Stewards not Attendance in his Office of Serjeantship: Wherein this is very true, that about Michaelmas, as I take it, in the tenth Year of her Majesty's Reign, the Court being then at Windsor, Mark Steward both himself and his Friends, for that he had a Desire to remain in the Country, earnestly travelled with me to be his Dean for the obtaining of her Majesty's good Licence and Favour, that without any Prejudice for not attending he might at his Pleasure so do, and for the Supplying of his Place which he had to serve about the late Lord Keeper of the Great Seal as Serjeant at Arms, he acquainted me with the good Likings and Contentation my said Lord Keeper had to have a Brother of his to attend in his Place, to which also I gave my best Furtherance afterwards: Whereby her Majesty pleased both to grant her favourable Licence to Mark Steward for his Absence, and to allow his Brother to supply his Place, who was accordingly sworn therein, and many Years served the Place. Thus much, being on my own Knowledge to be true, at his humble and earnest Suit, I thought good to advertise your Lordships, and so do bid your A. A. farewell from the Court the xxi. of May 1579. Your A. A. loving Friend R. Leic'. Et jur' prædict' ulterius dicunt, quod prædict' Augustinus Steward frater ipsius Marci sexto die Januarii, anno undecimo supra dict', apud Hampron Court in comit' Middl' per dictam Dominam Reginam admissus ordinat' & constitut' fuit, ad attendend' loco & vice ipsius Marci fratris sui super Nichol' Bacon' Milir', ad tunc existen' Domini custod' magni Sigilli Angliae, & ad idem officium pro & in loco ac vice ipsius Marci bene & fideliter exequend' & exercend', ad tunc

(a) Godb. 199.
2 Rol. 685.
Hob. 213.

& ibid' in præsentia dictæ Dom' Reginae jurat' fuit, prout per depositione ipsius Augustini Steward, quæ sequit' in hiis Angl' verbis sequen', quæ comperimus fore veram, After Christmas, and befoze Hillary Term in the eleventh Year of her Highness's Reign, on a Sunday or Holiday, her Majesty coming from the Closet at Hampton Court, was moved by the Right Honourable deceased Earl of Pembroke for the instituting of Augustine Steward Serjeant at Arms to attend upon the Lord Keeper; to whom her Majesty answered, My Lord, he is not to have his Brother's Office, but is to be appointed only to attend in his Place for him at such Time as his Brother shall be absent, her Majesty making then Relation of her favourable Licence already granted to Mark Steward, to abide the Country, and to absent himself from her Service at his Pleasure, until he should by her Majesty be called again to his Attendance upon the said Lord Keeper: And then the said Augustine was sworn to attend as is above specified: Prætextu cujus idem Augustinus Steward in absentia ipsius Marci Steward fratris sui præd' offic' servien' ad arma super cancellar' Angl' attendend', abinde usque vicesim' diem Junii an' reg' dictæ Dom' Regin' nunc decimo octavo, usus fuit & exercuit: Sed utrum dict' Dom' Regin' per verba tantum absque scripto sigillat' potest sufficien' in lege licentiam dare eidem Marco Steward ad seipsum absentand' ab exercitio officii sui præd' jur' præd' penitus ignorant, & inde petunt auxilium & advisament' cur' in præmissis, &c. Et si super totam materiam cur' Dom' Regin' hic videbit', qd' dict' Dom' Regin' nunc potest per verba tantum absq; scripto sigillat' sufficien' in lege licentiam dare eidem Marco ad seipsum absentand' ab exercitio officii sui præd', tunc jur' præd' dicunt, qd' dict' Dom' Regin' nunc dedit licentiam eidem Marco Steward ad se ipsum absentand' ab exercitio officii sui præd' duran' beneplac' ipsius Marci, donec per eandem Dom' Regin' ei præciperet' ad deservien' in officio suo præd', modo & forma prout præd' Marcus superius placitand' allegavit: Et si super totam materiam præd' cur' Dom' Regin' hic videbit', qd' dict' Dom' Regin' nunc non potest per verba tantum absq; scripto sigillat' sufficien' in lege licentiam dare eidem Marco ad seipsum absentand' ab exercitio officii sui præd' tunc jur' prædicti dicunt quod dict' Dom' Regina nunc non dedit licentiam eidem Marco Steward ad seipsum absentand' ab exercitio officii sui prædicti durante beneplacito ipsius Marci, donec per eandem Dom' Regin' ei præciperet' ad deservien' in officio suo prædicto. Et quia cur' Dom' Regin' hic de judi-

judicio suo inde reddend' nondum advifat', &c. Ideo dies inde dat' est tam præf. Gilberto Gerrard qui sequit', &c. quam præf. Marc' Steward usq' in Octab' S. Mich. coram Dom' Regina ubicunq; &c. in statu quo nunc, &c. de judicio suo inde audiend', &c. Ad quas quidem Octab. S. Mich. coram Dom' Regin' apud Westm', ven' tam præf. Gilbertus Gerrard qui sequit', &c. quam præd' Marcus Steward per attorn' suum præd'. Et quia cur' Dom' Regin' hic de judicio inde reddend' nondum advifat', &c. Ideo dies inde dat' est tam præf. Gilberto Gerrard qui sequit', &c. quam præf. Marco Steward usq; a die S. Martini in 15 dies coram Dom' Regin' ubicunq; &c. in statu quo nunc, &c. de judicio suo inde audiend', &c. ad quam quidem 15. S. Martini, coram dom' Regina apud Westm', ven' tam præf. Gilbertus Gerrard, qui sequitur, &c. quam præd' Marcus Steward per attornat' suum præd': Super quo visis & per curiam hic intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis maturaque deliberatione inde habita, servient dictæ Dominæ Reginæ ad legem ac ipsius Reginæ attornat' ad hoc convocat', & præsent', consideratum est, qd' dictum officium dicti servientis ad arma in manib' dict' Dom' Reginæ retent' eidem Marco restituat', & quod præd' Marc' Steward ad exercitiu' & occupation' officii sui præd' a quo amotus fuit, una cum vadiis & feodis inde eidem officio debitis & pertinent', a dicto tempore amotionis suæ ab exercitio officii sui præd' hucusq; percept' & detent', restituat', &c. Salvo semper jure Reginæ si quod, &c.

Contra Regiam.

Manus Reginæ amoveant'.
pars restituat'
salvo semper jure Reginæ si quod, &c.

Pasch.

Pasch. 10 Jac. Reg. which began Mich. 8 Jac. Rot. 3648.

Margaret Podger's Case.

IN *Replevin* between *Ralph Bicknel* Plaintiff, and *John Tucker* Defendant, the Plaintiff declared of taking his Cattle *viz.* Sheep at *Curriwel*, in the County of *Somerset*, in a Place called *Hillfield Close*, the Defendant made Conufance as Bailly to *Margaret Podger*, because the Place where, was the Freeheld of the said *Margaret Podger*, for Damage-feasant, &c. In bar of which Avowry the Plaintiff said, That before the said *Margaret* had any thing in the Place where, one *Thomas Wise* Esq; was seised of the Manor of *Hampenbridge* in the County aforesaid, whereof the Place where was Parcel, and that the Place where was demised, and demisable by Copy of Court-Roll, &c. for one, two, or three Lives; and that within the said Manor, there was, &c. a Custom, *Quod ille vel illa qui vel quæ, primus vel prima nominat foret in tali Copia*, should have the Lands and Tenements to him only for his Life, and he who was second named should have it only for his Life, *post mortem* of him who was first Tenant, and so of the third after the Death of the second. And that the said *Tho. Wise* Lord of the said Manor, at a Court held 15 Octob. Anno 9 Eliz. granted the Place where, &c. to *John Podger* and *Eliz.* and *Mary* his Daughters for their Lives, &c. by which *John* entred, &c. and died, after whose Death *Eliz.* entred, and married the said *Ralph Bicknel*

1 Brownl. 181
2 Brownl. 134
153.

Bicknel the Pl. by which he entred, and put in his Cattle, &c. and averred the Life of *Eliz.* The Avowant replied and confessed that the said *Tbo.* was seised of the Manor, and that within the said Manor there were such Customs, as the Pl. in Bar of the Avowry had alledged and confessed also the Grant made to *John Podger, Eliz.* and *Mary, prout, &c.* but further said, That the said *J. Podger* of the Place where, &c. so being seised, the said *Tbo. Wife* Lord of the said Manor, anno 23 *El.* by Deed indented and inrolled in the Chancery, according to the Stat. for 46 l. 13 s. 4 d. bargained and sold to the said *J. Podger*, the Place where, &c. to have and to hold to him and his Heirs; by Force of which, and of the Stat. of transferring of Uses into Possession, the said *J. Podger* was seised of the Place where, &c. in Fee, and the said *John* so seised, the said *T. Wife* Menſe Mich. anno 23 *El.* levied a Fine come pea, &c. of the Place where, &c. to the said *John Podger* and his Heirs with Proclam. according to the Stat. of

(*) 4 H. 7. c. 24. (a) 4 H. 7. and afterwards anno 39 *El.* *J. Podger* died seised, after whose Death it descended to *Marmaduke Podger* his Son and Heir, who thereof an. 4 *Fac.* levied a Fine to *Collins* and *Northover*, and to the Heirs of *Collins*, which was to the Use of the said *Marmaduke*, and *Margaret* his Wife, and to the Heirs of the said *Marmad.* (but this Fine was not pleaded to be with Proclamations) and afterwards 24 *Junii* an. 8 *Fac.* the said *Marmaduke* died, and *Margaret* survived him, and was thereof seised for the Term of her Life, and afterwards the Pl. entred into the Tenements, and put in his Cattle, &c. and that 10 Years and more after the Death of the said *J. Podger* were past, and that the said *Eliz.* 1 *Nov.* an. 35 *El.* accomplished her Age of 21 Years, and that she was not Covert Baron, nor *Non compos mentis*, nor out of the Realm, nor in Prison, and that the said *Eliz.* after the Death of *J. Podger*, and after her full Age, nor the said *Ralph* and *Eliz.* after their Marriage, within 5 Years did not make any Entry or Claim, &c. by which she was barred of all Right and Claim of and in the Place where, &c. by Force of the said Stat. and averr'd the Life of the said *Margaret*: Upon which the Pl. demurr'd in Law. And in this Case 3 Quest. were moved. 1. If customary Estates granted by Copy, at the Will of the Lord, according to the Custom of the Manor, &c. are within the Stat. of (b) 4 H. 7. c. 24. of Fines, to be barr'd by Fine with Proclamation and Non-claim by 5 Years. 2. Admitting that such Estates were within the said Stat. if by the Acceptance of the said Bargain and Sale, they in the Remainder of the Copyhold Estate were put out of Possession of their Remainder, or if their Remainder continued in them. 3. If after the said Bargain and Sale to *John Podger, Elizab.* in the Remainder might enter.

- (b) 10 Co. 96. a.
Co. Lit. 262. a.
326. a. 372. a. b.
1 Leon. 77. 213.
2 Leon. 53. 157.
3 Leon. 10. 221.
227.
3 Inst. 216.
1 Anderf. 170.
Cr. El. 561.
Poph. 108. 114.
Sav. 85. 88. 106.
107.
Palmer 255.
Golds. 171. 172.
Plowd. 360. b.
371. b.
4 Co. 125. b.
3 Co. 77. b. 78. b.
79. a. 86. b. 87. a.
b. 88. a. b. 89. a.
90. a. 91.
7 Co. 32. b.
3 Buktr 152.
2 Inst. 519.
Dy. 72. pl. 3. 133.
pl. 2. 186. pl. 68.
215. pl. 53. 224.
pl. 28. 254. pl.
104. 258. pl. 9.
270. pl. 21.
2 Anderf. 176.
3 Co. 20.

As to the first it was objected, that such customary Estates are not within the said Act, for divers Reasons. 1. In respect of the Baseness of the Estate; for in the Judgment of the Law, they have but a Ten'cy at Will, which is so weak, that the Makers of the Act of 4 H. 7. never intended to include 'em within the general Words of the Act, no more than the Stat. of W. 2. (a) *de donis conditionalibus* extends to such base Estates granted by Copy at Will, &c. as it was resolved *per totam Curiam* in the last Term, upon Evidence to a Jury in *Tresspass*, between (b) *Thornton* and *Lucas* for Lands in *Lambeth*, in the County of *Surry*, which began 9 *Jac. Reg. Rot.* 3129. *Vide Heydon's Case in the 3d Part of my Reports* f. 7. 2dly, it would be very prejudicial to Lords of Manors; for if a Disseisor of Land held by Copy levies a Fine with Proclamation, it would be dangerous to Lords, that they might lose not only their Fines upon Alienations or Descents, and the Benefit of Forfeitures, but also might be in danger of being barred of their Freehold and Inheritance of the Land held by Copy, without any Fault in them. But it was resolved *per totam Curiam*, that Lands held (c) by Copy are within the Words and Intent of the said Act of 4 H. 7. for the Words of the Purview are general, *And the said Proclamation so had and made, the Fine to be a Final End, and conclude as well Privies as Strangers to the same*: And if no Exception had been in the Stat. by the Words aforesaid, all Persons generally would be concluded, as it is held in (d) 19 H. 8. 6. b. § 7. a. Then let us see what Things are saved by the same Act; the Words of the Saving are, *And saving to every Person, &c. such Right, Claim and Interest, &c. so that they pursue their Title, Claim or Interest, within five Years after the Proclamations*: Within which Words and principally this word (e) (*Interest*) a Lease for (f) Years is included, so that if he makes not Entry or Claim within five Years, he shall be barred, as it was resolved in *Saffin's Case in the 5 Part of my Reports*, f. 123, 124. and there the Words of the Preamble of the said Act are well observed. (*That Fines ought to be of greater Strength to avoid Strifes and Debates, and to the Final End and Conclusion, &c.*) and there it is inferred, That great Mischief, Vexation and trouble would ensue, if Leases for Years (which now many Times are made for a great Number of Years, &c.) should not be within the Act; but greater Mischief, Vexation and Trouble would ensue if the said Act should not extend to customary Lands held by Copy, for a great Part of them is granted in Fee-Simple, so that it would be more mischievous, and greater Cause of Contention than the said Case of the Estate for Years. And as to the said Objections, they are answered by the said Resolution of the Case of the Estate for Years, for such Prejudice might be

(a) Cr. Car. 42, 43, 44. Godb. 367, 368. 2 Rol. Rep. 383. O Benl. 163, 164, 166, 167. 1 Rol. Rep. 48, 49. 3 Co. 8. a. 9. a. Sav. 67. Moor 188, 189. Cr. El. 149, 307, 391. 1 Leon. 175. Pop. 34, 128. 2 Sand. 422. Hard. 433. 1 Rol. 838. Lit. sect. 76. Co. Lit. 60. a. b. 4 Co. 22. a. (b) 1 Rol. 838. (c) 4 H. 7. c. 24. 1 Inst. 517. Winch. 122. 2 Brownl. 156. 3 Bulst. 152. O Benl. 163. Cr. Car. 45. (d) Br. Fine 1. Br. Tail. 3. (e) 5 Co. 123, b. (f) 2 Inst. 517. Cr. Car. 110. Cr. Jac. 60, 61. Plowd. 374. a. 1 Vent. 56. Hard. 400, 413. Carter 82.

Margaret Podger's Case. PART IX.

objected in such Case to the Lessor, as well for his Benefit of Forfeiture, &c. as for the Hazard of his Inheritance, as in this Case of Copyhold to the Lord. But if Lessee for Years, or Copyholder by Assent and Covin to bar the Lessor or Ld. of his Inheritance, makes a Feoffm. and levies a Fine with Proclamat. in the same Manner as appears in *Farmer's Case in the 3 Part of my Reports*, f. 77. such Fine shall not (a) bar the Lessor or the Lord for the Reasons there given at large. And the Estate of a Copyholder is not a meer Estate at (b) Will, but *secundum consuetudinem Manerii*, which Custom hath fixed and strengthen'd his Estate.

Nota Reader a Difference between a Lease for Years, and a Lease for (c) Life, and also betwixt a Grant by Copy, &c. for Life, or in Fee, by Custom, &c. and a Lease for Life by the Com. Law: For if Lessee for Years is ousted, and he in Reversion disseised, and the Disseisor levies a Fine with Proclamations, and 5 Years pass, as well the Lessor as the Lessee is barred by their Non-claim, and the Lessor shall not have 5 Years after the Years expired. So if Copyholder for Life, or in Fee be ousted, and the Lord disseised, and the Disseisor levies a Fine with Proclamat. and 5 Years pass, as well the Lord as the Copyholder is barred, and the Lord in such Case shall not have 5 Years after the Death of the Copyholder for Life. And the Reason of these Differences arises upon the Words of the two Savings in the said Act of 4 H. 7. the first Saving is, *Saving to every Person, &c. such Right, Claim and Interest, &c. so that they pursue their Title, Claim or Interest by way of Action, or lawful Entry within five Years, &c.* The second Saving is, *And saving to all other Persons such Action, Right, Title, &c. as first shall grow, remain or descend, or come to them after the said Fine, &c. by Force of any Gift, &c. or by any other Cause or Matter had or made before the said Fine.* The first Saving extends to those who have present Rights, and may immediately enter or have their Action to recover the Lands, and therefore they are confined to 5 Years after the Fine levied. And the second Saving extends to those who at the Time of the Fine levied, can't immediately have an Action, nor make an Entry, but *in futuro*, and therefore they shall have five Years after that their Action, &c. first accrues. Then when (d) Lessee for Years, or Ten't by Copy, &c. for Life, or in Fee, is ousted, and the Lessor or Lord disseised, the Lessor or the Lord may immediately have *Assise* or other real Action, and recover the Land, and therefore they are within the first Saving, and by Consequence, if they do not pursue their Action within the five Years after the Fine levied, they are barred for ever: And they are not within the second Saving, because the Lessor or Lord has a present Action and Remedy, and therefore he is out of the said second Branch, for the Action, &c. doth not accrue first to him after the Fine.

(a) 2 And. 176.
Jenk. Cent. 253.
1 Jon. 35. 317.
Winch. 116,
117.
3 Co. 77. a. b.
Cary's Rep. 20.
Raym. 149.
2 Bulltr. 139.
(b) 2 Co. 17. a.
4 Co. 21. a. 24. b.
8 Co. 64. a.
Lit. Sect. 77.
Co. Lit. 60. b.
3 Co. 8. a.
6 Co. 37. b.
Cr. Car. 45.
Heti. 6.
Moor 60, 61.
(c) Cr. El. 220,
254.
1 Jones 35, 211.
Moor 71.
1 Leon. 40.
Cr. Car. 157.
Plowd. 373. b.
374. a.
Raym. 219.
3 Co. 78. b.

(d) 1 Jon. 569.

And altho' a (a) Stranger can't of his own Head enter in the Name of him who has right to avoid the Fine without command precedent, or Assent subsequent, within the 5 Years, as it was resolved in the *L. Audley's Case, M. 38 & 39 El.* in the K.'s Bench, where the Case was, that the L. *b) Audley* being seised of certain Lands, *an. 6 El.* levied a Fine with Proclamation; and within the 5 Years a meer Stranger, who had not any Right or Interest in the Land comprized within the Fine, made an Entry in the Name of him who had Right within the 5 Years, without any request or command precedent or Assent subsequent within the 5 Years, that this Entry should not avoid the Fine, for the Saving in the said Act has appropriated the Pursuit by way of Action or lawful Entry to him who has Right either by Command precedent or Assent subsequent within the 5 Years, (c) *omnis enim ratihabitio retrotrahitur & mandato equiparatur*: And of such Opinion were all the Justices of the *Scrjeants Inn* in *Fleetstreet*, as *Popham Ch. Just.* openly reported in Court, against the Opinion in *31 H. 8. Entry Congeable, Br. 123. Vide 45 E. 3. Release 28.* (d) Guardian by Nurture or in Socage may enter in the Name of the Infant who has Right of Entry, and that shall vest the Estate in the Infant, without any Command or Assent, for there is Privy betwixt them. *Vide (e) 10 H. 7. 12. a. (f) 11 Aff. p. 11. & 26 E. 3. 62. b. by Thorpe.* Yet (g) he in the Reversion expectant upon an Estate for Life or Years, or the L. of a Ten't by Copy, &c. may well, within the said Act, enter in the Name of the Ten't for Life, Lessee for Years, or Ten't by Copy, and in his own Right, to save as well their own Freehold and Inheritance, as the said particular Interests, for the Lessor and the Lord are not Strangers, for they are Privies in Estate, and as the Entries of those particular Ten'ts shall avail the Lessor and the L. in such Cases for the Privy of their Estates, so the Entry of the (h) Lessor or the Lord in such Cases in the Names of the particular Ten'ts shall avail them for the Privy of their Estates, and for the Salvation of their several Rights without any Request precedent, or Assent subsequent. For in such Case the Lessor or Lord pursues their Title and Claim which they have to the Inheritance by lawful Entry within the 5 Years, but so doth not he who is a meer Stranger, who has not any Right, because the Saving annexes the Entry to him who has Right, &c. as is aforesaid.

As to the 2d Point, It was resolved *per totam Curiam*:
 1. That no Fine nor (i) Warrantry shall bar any Estate in Possession, Reversion or Remainder which is not divested and put to a Right: For he who has the Estate or Interest in him can't be put to his Action, Entry or Claim, for he has that which the Action, Entry or Claim would vest in or give him. 2. When the Lord made the Bargain and Sale by Deed indented and

(a) Cr. El. 132.
 1 Leon. 34.
 Owen 137.
 Moor 222.
 Co. Lit. 206. b.
 258. a.
 (b) Cr. El. 561.
 Moor 450, 457.
 Peph. 108.
 Co. Lit. 245. a.
 258. a.

(c) Co. Lit.
 180. b. 207. a.
 145. a. 258.

(d) Cr. El. 132.
 Moor 222.
 Co. Lit. 206. b.
 1 Leon. 34, 35.
 (e) Br. Scifin
 50.

(f) Br. Entry
 Congeable 50.
 Br. Scifin 21.
 (g) Carter 35.

(h) Carter 35.

(i) 10 Co. 96. b.
 97. a.
 Co. Lit. 327. b.
 388. b.
 1 And. 37, 38.

inrolled to *John Podger*, that did not devert the Estate of them in Remainder for divers Reasons. 1. Because the Lord did that which he might do by Law, and the Copyholder accepted that which he well might: 2. The Copyholder was in lawful Possession, and was only passive in this Case, and not active; and by Acceptance he who is in lawful Possession, by Force of a particular Estate, can't devert the Estate of him who has the Freehold or Inheritance. And therefore if Ten't for Life (a) accepts a Fine of a Stranger, *come ceo*, &c. it is a Forfeiture, 1 H. 7. but it does not devert the Estate of him in Reversion or Remainder. 3. *J. Podger* the Barginee was in by Force of a Bargain and Sale by Deed indented and inrolled, by Force of the Stat. of 27 H. 8. of Inrollments, and an Act of Parliam. never does a Wrong; and thereupon the Ch. Just. put a Case which was adjudged *Trin. 31. El.* in the Exchequer, between the Q. and the Lady (b) *Gresham*, late the Wife of Sir *Tho. Gresham* Kt. which was such, Sir *Tho. Gresham* being seised of the Manor of *Mileham, Castleacre, &c.* in the County of *Northfolk* levied a Fine thereof to *A. Stringer* and *Phil. Cely*, an. 12 *El.* to Uses declared by certain Indentures, *sc.* to the Use of himself and the Lady *Anne* his Wife, and their Heirs (for so it was in Effect, for there were divers particular mean Estates limited, but they were all either determined, or never came in *esse*) with Power of Revocation, (contained in the said Indentures limited,) that if Sir *T. Gresham* should pay 40 s. to *Stringer* and *Cely*, or to the Heirs of *Stringer*, that then the Fine should be to the Use of Sir *Thomas* and his Heirs; and afterwards the said Sir *Thomas* levied a Fine, an. 13. *El.* to the same Conusees of the Manors of *N. F. &c.* in the Counties of *York, Derby, &c.* to the same Uses declared in another Pair of Indentures with the like Power of Revocation upon Paym. of 40 s. as was contained in the other Indentures *mutatis mutandis*; and afterwards the said *A. Stringer* died, and afterwards the said Sir *Thomas* paid one Sum of 40 s. to *Cely*, and to the Heirs of *A. Stringer*, for Revocation of the Uses raised upon both the Fines; and this Payment was testified by an Instrument in Writing under the Seals of the Parties by good Advice, as Sir *Thomas* was persuaded, and afterwards he raised divers Uses and Estates of divers Manors heid in *Capite*: And afterwards Sir *Thomas* died, after whose Death, *viz. Hill. 23. Eliz.* by the Opinion of the Justices it was resolved, That the Uses were not revoked, but that the Revocation was utterly void, because two several Sums of 40 s. ought to have been rendred, and not one Sum of forty Shillings, for they were several Indentures and several Manors, &c. and could not be satisfied with one Sum, wherefore all the said Manors accrued to the

(a) 2 Co. 56. a.
Co. Lit. 252.
Dv. 148. pl. 79.
1 Mod. Rep. 117.
3 Kel. 687, 688.
1 H. 7. 12. a. b.
1 Rol. 852.

(b) Moor 261,
262.
1 Leon. 89, 90.
1 Vent. 176.
Sav. 65.

Lady *Gresham* by Survivor. And afterwards the said Revocation was enacted and adjudged to be good and sufficient in Law, by a private Act of Parliament, made *an. 23 El.* And because the said Sir *Thomas* had by Indentures of Covenants raised new Uses after the said supposed Revocation of divers of the said Lands held *in Capite*, the Lady *Gresham* was called by Process into the Exchequer, to answer a Fine to the Queen for the said (a) Alienation of the said (a) Savil 65. Manors, being held of the Queen *in Capite*, without Licence, because now the said new Uses raised were good, and the Manors passed according to the Limitation of them, so much as now the Revocation was by Authority of Parliament adjudged good. But because at the Time of the Death of the said Sir *Thomas*, which was before the said Act of 23 *El.* the Lady *Gresham* was discharged by Survivor, and every Alienation without Licence, implies a Wrong and a Trespas, and an Act of Parliament, to which the Queen, and all her Subjects are Parties, and give Consent, can't do a Wrong; for this Reason the Lady *Gresham* was discharged of the Fines for the said Alienations, which had upon the Matter their Essence by Means of the said Act of Parliament.

As to the 3 Point (which did not tend directly to the Conclusion of the Case) it was resolved, That after the Bargain and Sale *Elizabeth* could not enter, for her Estate was to commence in Possession after the Death of the said *John* by the said Custom: And so if a Copyholder for Life, where the Remainder is over for Life, commits a Forfeiture, he in the Remainder shall not enter, (b) but the Lord, and he shall retain it during the Life of him who committed the Forfeiture, but that shall not (c) destroy the Remainder, without an express Custom in such Case. And Ten't by Copy for Life, where the Remainder is over, may (d) surrender to the Lord, and he in Remainder shall not enter till after his Death, for his Estate is to commence in Possession *post mortem*, and no Incident of the Com. Law belongs to him, unless by Custom. And the Ch. Justice said, That a Surrender of Copyholds is not to be compared to a Surrender at the Common Law; for if a Copyholder in Fee surrenders to the Use of another for Life, no more shall pass from him than shall serve the Estate limited to the Use, and he who made the Surrender shall not pay any Fine for (e) Re-admittance to the Reversion, for that continued al-

(b) 1 Rol. 509.
2 Rol. 794.
1 Jones 229.
1 Saund. 151.
Carter 238.
(c) 2 Rol. Rep.
179.
1 Rol. 509, 568.
Cr. El. 598, 879,
880.
Moor 49. con.
Noy 42.
Yelv. 1.
(d) 1 Rol. 503.

(e) 1 Rol. 505

Margaret Podger's Case. PART IX.

the mean Estates in the Remainder preserve the Estate of
John Podger by Copy, from the Lords Incumbrances.
Vide in Wrottesly's Case Plow. Com. If Tenant for Life grants
a Rent-charge to one, and he in Reversion grants a Rent-
charge to another, and afterwards Tenant for Life surren-
ders, the Grantee of the Tenant for Life shall be pre-
ferred.

3 Co. 145. b.
Co. Lit. 338. b.
Cr. Car. 102.
Plowd. 198. a.

Pasch.

Pasch. 10 Jacobi Regis.

In Communi Banco.

Meriel Tresham's Case.

Helen Brokesby and Anne Vaux Administrators of Henry Vaux Esq; brought an Action of Debt against Meriel Tresham Administratrix of Sir Thomas Tresham Kt. on a Bond of 600*l.* made by the said Sir Thomas to the said Henry Vaux 23 Maii an. 25 Eliz. which Plea began Trin. 9 Jac. Rot. 917. The Defendant (a) pleaded, That the said Sir Thomas Tresham, and Francis his Son, 1 Julii an. 43 Reg. El. before the Barons of the Exchequer *pro justo & vero debito recognover' se debere dictæ nuper Regine C. l. Solvend' in Festo Sancti Mich' Archangeli* next following: And that the said Sir Thomas and Francis his Son 8 Julii an. 3 Reg. Jac. acknowledged a Recognizance in the Nature of a Statute, before the Lord Anderson Chief Justice of the Bench, to John Bruduel in 800*l.* to be paid at the Feast of St. James next following, *pro justo & vero debito*: And that the said Sir Thomas and Francis his Son, 16 Decemb. an. Regni dictæ nuper Regine El. 45. acknowledged another Recognizance in the Nature of a Statute Staple before the said Chief Justice to John Moor Alderman of Lond. in 1000*l.* *solvend' eide' Johani pro justo & vero debito, solvend' in Festo Natalis Dom' nunc proxim' sequen'*: And that the said Sir Thomas 16 Sept. an. 45 El. Reg. before the said Ch. Just. acknowledged another Recognizance in the Nature of a Statute Staple to Anne Osfeley in 1000*l.* *pro justo & vero debito solvend' in Festo Natal' Dom' proxim' sequen'*: And another Recognizance of such Nature

1 Brownl 51.
Co. Ent. 151.
pl. 30.
Swinh. 330.
Bridg. 80, 81.

(a) Bridg 80

Meriel Tresham's Case. PART IX.

17 Dec. ann. 2 Reg. Jac. to John Ireland in 1000 l. pro justo & vero debito, solvend in Festo Natalis Dom' tunc proximi sequenti, and pleaded that she had fully administered, & quod ipsa nulla habet bona seu catalla quæ fuerunt ejusdem Thomæ Tresham tempore mortis suæ in manibus suis administrand', nec habuit die impetrationis brevis originalis præd', nec unquam postea, præterquam bona & catalla ad valentiam of the said Debt to the King, and of every of the said Recognizances, and averred that all the said Recognizances remain yet in Force, & quod ipsa nulla alia sive plura habet bona & catalla quæ fuer' præd' Thomæ Tresham tempore mortis suæ in manibus suis administranda præterquam bona & catalla que non * sufficiunt ad satisfaciend' præd' separalia debita eisdem Dom' R'tgi nunc, Johan' Brudnel, Johan' Moor, Annæ Offley, & Johan' Ireland de eorum debiti' supradict' ac que eisdem debiti' obligat' & onerabilia existunt, &c. And the Defendant (a) averred that neither the said Sir Thomas in his Life Time, nor the said Administratrix after his Death had paid the said Debts, &c. The Plaintiffs replied and said, That as to the said Recognizance of 800 l. to the said John Brudnel, that the said Recognizance was made pro securitate solutionis 400 l. &c. and that the Def. after the Death of the said Sir Thomas, paid to the said John Brudnel the said 400 l. of the principal Debt, in full Discharge of the said Recognizance of 800 l. which 400 l. the said J. Brudnel in full Discharge of the said Recogniz. of 800 l. there then (b) received. And as to the said 1000 l. acknowledg'd to the said J. Moor, that the said Recogniz. was made pro securitate performanceis quarundam (c) convention' in quadam indentura tripartita geren' Dat' 15 Decemb' anno dictæ nuper Reginæ 45. ex parte ipsius Thomæ performand' & custodiend', which were all performed, and none of them broke. And as to the said Recognizance of 1000 l. to Anne Offeley, that the said Sir Thomas in his Life-time had paid the said 1000 l. to the said Anne, &c. and as to the said 1000 l. acknowledged to J. Ireland, that the said Sir Thomas had also paid them to the said J. Ireland, &c. quodque separales recognitiones præd', &c. sic ut præfertur separatim recognite per fraudem & (d) covinam ipsius Meriellæ, & ea intentione ad ipsas Helenam & Annam Vaux de debito suo præd' defraudand' minime exonerat' & non cancellat' adhuc remanent: And further the Plaintiffs said, That the said Meriel the Day of the Writ brought, sc. 14 Febr. anno 6 Jac. Reg. had divers Goods and Chattels, which were of the said Sir Thomas the Day of his Death, in her Hands to be administered, to satisfy
the

* 1 Rol. 922.

(a) 1 Brownl. 51.
Swinb. 330.

(b) Bridg. 80.

(c) Bridg. 80, 81.
Jenk. Cent. 274.
Cr. Jac. 9.
Gr. El. 363.
Moor 752.
1 Rol. 925,
1 Rol. Rep. 405.
Swinb. 370.
1 Bulstr. 101.
2 Leon. 212.
Goldsb. 142.

(d) 1 Brownl. 49.
2 Saund. 49.
Moor 705.
1 Jones 91, 92.

the Pl.'s debt, *preterq' bona & catall' ad valent' præd' 100l. præd' nup' Regin' in forma præd' recognit', &c.* upon which the Def. (a) demur'd in law. And the case was argu'd by the Def.'s and Pl.'s counsel at the bar in several terms; and the Def.'s counsel conceiv'd that the replicat. was insufficient, as well for the manner, as for the matter: concerning the manner for 4 reasons: 1. Because the Pls. in their replicat. have alledg'd, that the said recognizance of 800l. to the said *John Brudnel* made, was *pro securitate solutionis 400l. &c.* and so have taken a bare averment against the recognizance, which is matter of record, for Sir *Thomas* by the said recognizance acknowledges himself to be indebted to the said *J. Brudnel* in 800l. to be paid such a day, and the Pls. have alledg'd that it was made for surety of the paym. of 400l. but the Pls. ought to have shew'd that there was a defeazance made by deed for the paym. of 400l. &c. for a recogniz. may be defeated by deed in writing, but not by bare agreem. 2. The like exception was taken, because they have alledg'd, that the said recogniz. to the said *J. Moor* was made *pro securit' performat' quarund' convention', &c.* 3. If such general pleading should be admitted; yet it ought to have been shewed when the 400l. were to be paid; but now it doth not appear whether he paid it at the day, before the day, or after the day; for replicat. ought to contain convenient (b) certainty; so that it may appear to the court that the Pl. has cause of action. 4. Where they alledge that the recogniz. to the said *J. Moor* was made for perform. of covenants, and that none of the cove'nts were broke, they ought to have traversed, *sc.* that it was not acknowledged, (c) *pro vero & justo debito*, for that was expressly alledg'd by the Def. in the bar. As to the matter, the Def.'s counsel conceiv'd the replicat. insufficient for 2 reasons; 1. Because altho' *J. Brudnel* had accepted 400l. in satisfaction of the Stat. of 800l. yet in law the Stat. remains in force, and he might sue execution against the Def. upon it when he would, and therefore, if she should pay debts by specialty before this debt of record, the Def. may be charged by a (d) *Devast'*, and therefore not only her own lands and goods, but her body also will be subject to execution upon the said recogniz. of 800l. And it was said, that this case at bar differs from (e) *Turnor's case*, reported by me in the 8 part of my *Rep. f. 132*. For there *Billet* who had the judgm. not only accepted 60l. in *plenam satisfact' & exonerationem* of the judgm. of 100l. & *obtulit & adhuc offert ad relaxand', &c. vel ad cognoscend' satisfact' in cur', &c.* and that the Def. *deceptivè & ea intentione ad defraudand' & decipiend' præd' Edw' Turnor. &c. de justo debito suo cogn' satisfact'ionis, &c. distulit & adhuc differt, &c.* but in the case at bar it doth not appear, that the said *John Brudnel* ever offered either to release, or acknowledge satisfaction, &c. for then default had been in the defendant, as it was in *Turnor's Case*, but

(a) Bridg. 81.

(b) Co. Lit.

303. a.

(c) Cr. Jac. 626.

1 Brownl. 50.

(d) Moor 299,

358, 678, 752.

Cr. El. 102, 216,

318, 530, 734.

715, 822.

1 Brownl. 33.

50, 80, 116, 117.

Hob. 167, 266

Noy 69, 129.

2 Brownl. 81,

82, 83.

Golds. 115, 142.

181.

Godb. 29.

1 Leon. 320.

2 Leon. 188.

1 Rol. 931.

1 Sid. 347, 397,

398, 412.

1 Saund. 216,

217, 218, 307,

308.

2 Saund. 403.

Cr. Car. 519,

603.

Carter 2.

1 Vent. 292.

2 Vent. 360.

Allen 39.

Stile 54, 55.

Yelv. 29, 196,

197, 219, 220.

Cr. Jac. 270,

271.

2 Jones 88, 89,

(e) 1 Jones 91,

92.

in

in this case such default does not appear to be in the Def. 2. the Pls. in their replication aver, that the said recognizances remain not discharged by covin of the Def. &c. to the intent to defraud the Pls. of their Debt; and she alone can't commit covin, for covin ought to be betwixt two, and there-with agrees 39 H. 6. 19. a. b. where in *Debt* by the Prior of *D.* against *Hugh Lacy*, the Def. pleaded foreign attachment in *Lond.* at his own suit; the Pl. would have averr'd, that the Plaintiff which was affirm'd by the said *Hugh* against the Prior, was to make the Prior lose his debt, and there *Prisot* Chief Just. said, as to the (a) covin it seems here that it is not to the Purpose, for the said *Hugh* can't affirm the said plaint by covin of himself alone; for covin ought to be betwixt two, &c. And in *P. C.* in *Talbois's Case*, 54. b. it is held, that covin (according to the true definition of it) is a secret assent determined in the hearts of two or more men, to the prejudice of another. And afterwards the case was argued in *Pasch.* 10 *Jac. Reg.* by the Justices; and judgment was given for the Pls. And in this case these points were unanimously resolved.

(a) Br. Collu-
sion 23.

1. That the Def.'s bar was repugnant in it self; for first the Def. pleads, *Quod ipsa plene administravit omnia bona & catalla que fuer' prad' Thomæ tempore mortis sue & qd' ipsa nulla habet bona & catalla que fuer' ejusd' Thomæ tempore mortis sue in manibus suis administrand' nec habuit die impetrationis brevis originalis prad' (b) præterq' bona & catall' ad valentiam* of the debt to the K. and the several debts by the said several recognis. by which she confesses, that she has sufficient in her hands to satisfy them. And afterw. she pleads, *qd' ipsa nulla alia sive plura habet bona seu catall', que fuer' prad' Thomæ temp' mortis sue in manibus suis administrand' præterq' bona & catalla, que non sufficiunt ad satisfaciend' prad' separalia debita*, which is meerly, and *ex diametro*, repugnant to that which he had confessed before.

(b) 1 Rol. 922.
Swinh. 330.

2. It was resolved, that if she had only pleaded, *qd' ipsa non habet, nec die impetration' original' prad' habuit, aliq' bona & catalla que fuer' pr. d' Tho' Tresham, tempore mortis sue, præterq' bona & catalla non attingentia, ad satisfaciend' debita prad' aut que non sufficiunt ad satisfaciend' debita prad'*, or a plea to such effect, that such plea had been (c) insufficient.

(c) 1 Rol. 922.
Swinh. 330.

For the execut. or administrator either ought to plead as the Def. does in this case, *sc.* that she has not goods or chattels, &c. *præterq' bona & catalla ad valentiam debiti prad'* and so confesses that she has sufficient to satisfy them; or if the truth be, that she has not assets to satisfy the debts of record, then to confess how much she has, *sc.* that she has not goods and chattels, &c. *præterq' bona & catalla ad valentiam* of a (d) sum certain, *& non ultra, que eisdem debitis obligat' & onerabilia existunt*, but she ought not to say, *præterquam bona & catalla non excedentia, aut que non sufficiunt ad satisfaciend' debita prædicta*, for the in-

(d) 1 Sid. 210.
Ho. 133.
Doct. pl. 61,
170, 171.
Cr. 1^o. 626,
Vaugh. 103.

certainty, for to that the Plaintiff can't reply, whereupon a certain Issue may be taken; for if the Truth of the Case be, that she has Assets to satisfy all the Debts of Record but a Penny, or an Half-penny, or other small Sum, such Plea would be true; and an Executor or Administrator is privy and represents the Person of the Testator or Intestate, and by Indentment of Law has Notice of the Certainty, and certain Value of the Goods, and therefore he ought in that Case to plead certainly as is aforesaid; as well as the Heir when he pleads Detainment of Charters in a Writ of *Dower*, he ought to shew the (a) Certainty, because he is privy; or otherwise it would be in the Case at Bar a Device to bar poor Creditors (an usual Attempt in these Days) of their true and just Debts; and therefore such Innovation in pleading, tending to so dangerous a Consequence, was utterly condemned *una voce per totam Curiam*.

3. It was resolved, That such (b) general Pleading, *sc.* that such Recognizance was made *pro vera solutione, &c.* or *pro performance Conventionum, &c.* because the Creditor who is a Stranger to it, has no Means in Law to know the particular Certainty, was good enough. *Vide Plow. Com. 85. a. b. in Croker's Case*, and 18 *H. 8. l. a. b. &c.* And altho' they do not (c) specify the certain Day of Payment, nor that the lesser Sum was paid before, or upon the Day of Payment, yet the Pleading is good in this Case, for admit that the Payment was after the Day of Payment, yet when the said *John Brudnel* accepted the lesser Sum in *plenam exonerationem* of the said Recognizance of 800*l.* This is a good Ground for the Plaintiffs to aver, that by Fraud of the Defendant, and to the Intent to defraud the Plaintiffs of their Debt, the Recognizance was not discharged nor cancelled: The which the Defendant has now confessed by her Demurrer, but she might have taken Issue upon it, and left it to Trial of the Country upon the Evidence, declaring the Truth of the Case.

4. It was resolved, That altho' they have not alledged special Matter, as in *Turnor's Case*; *sc.* that the Conusee (d) offer'd and was ready to release, or acknowledge Satisfaction; yet it was resolved, that the (e) general Allegation of Fraud in the Case at Bar was sufficient, as it is resolved in *Talbois's Case*, That general Averment of Covin was good, because Covin is so secret, whereof by Intendment another Man can't have Knowledge. And if of the special Manner of Covin which (as it is there held) *ex vi termini*, ought to be betwixt two by Intendment of Law a Stranger can't

(a) Dy. 230. pl. 53.
Br. Dower 1, 53, 67.
18, H. 8. 1. a. b.
9 E. 4. 47. a.
Antea 18. a.
Perk. Sect. 356.
Plowd. 85. a. b.
2 H. 7. 6. a.
Fitz. Dower 14, 17.
Godb. 370.
Co. Lit. 286. b.
Doct. pl. 150.
(b) Brownl. 51. Bridg. 81.
1 Jones 91, 92.
Doc. pl. 61, 171.
Raym. 304.
(c) Doct. pl. 171.

(d) 8 Co. 132. b. 133. a.
(e) Plowd. 54. b. Raym. 304.

can't have Knowledge, *a fortiori* in the Case of Fraud, which may be in the Heart of one only, for if one by Deed makes a fraudulent Gift of his Goods to diverse who know not of it, it is Fraud only in him who makes it; and so it was adjudged in *Turnor's Case*, that Fraud may be in one, or of one Part only. And for as much as the Replication was good, as to the Debt of 800*l.* to *John Brudnel*, and the Def. has confessed in her Bar, that she has Assets to satisfy all the Recognizances, for this Reason the Pls. shall recover their Debt 600*l.* due by the said Bond. And altho' of it self, and

(a) 1 Sid. 21. *ex vi termini*, (a) *Covina* ought to be betwixt two; yet when it is coupled with Fraud, which may be committed by one only, the Court shall adjudge upon the Matter, and not upon the strict Etymology of the Word; and if the Addition of *Covina* be in vain then the Court ought to adjudge upon the Word, *s.* Fraud, which may be committed by one, &

(b) 7 Co. 27. b. Calvin's Case. *plerumq; dum (b) proprietates verborum attendit' sensus veritatis amittitur.* And the Chief Just. said, *quod sepe in Cognitione juris fuit digitus Dei*; for the Def.'s Bar was altogether insufficient; for the Def. has averred, That Sir *Thomas* had not paid the Debts due by the said Recognizances in his Life, nor his Administrator after his Decease; but (c) has not averr'd, That *Francis* who was jointly bound with him in all the Recognizances had not paid them, whereby the Bar was insufficient. And if the Bar be (d) insufficient in Matter, and the Writ and Declaration good and the Replication superfluous, without any Matter which impugns or destroys the Action, the Pl. shall have Judgm. as it has been oftentimes ruled and adjudg'd *qd' fuit concessum per tot' Cur'*, and afterwards Judgm. was given, and entred for the Pls. and Execution awarded accordingly. *Vide* the 3 (e) Point in *Turnor's Case*, a good Judgment in these Days, where Executors and Administrators contend by Fraud, and subtil and cunning Pleadings and Devices to bar Creditors of their just and true Debts: and observe well the 3 Point resolved in *Turnor's Case*. *Nota* Reader, At the Common Law; If there be Lord, Mesne, and Tenant, and the Mesne truly does his Services to the Lord Paramount, and yet the Lord distrains the Tenant peravail for them, at this Time the Distress is tortious, and the Tenant is not distrained in Default of the Mesne; but in this Case if the Tenant peravail requests the Mesne to take his Cattle out of the Pound, and put in his own (f) Cattle in lieu of them; or if the Tenant has replevied his own Cattle, and requests

(a) 1 Sid. 21.

(b) 7 Co. 27. b.
Calvin's Case.

(c) 1 Brownl. 51.
Swinn. 330.

(d) 1 Brownl. 51.
Co. Lit. 303. b.
Doct. pl. 69, 70.

325.
Cr. Car. 5.
8 Co. 133. b.
Palm. 287.

Cr. Jac. 133.
Lir. Rep. 341.
Godb. 138.

(e) 8 Co. 133. b.

(f) F. N. B.
156. h.
7 H. 4. 18. a.
Co. Lit. 100. a.

requests the Mesne to join and acquit him, and he refuses, by this Matter *ex post facto*, the Law will adjudge that the Tenant peravail was distrained in Default of the Mesne, and if in a Writ of Mesne, the Mesne (a) should plead not distrained in his Default, it should be found against him, otherwise the Tenant peravail will be in no Default, and will have Wrong, and yet will be without Remedy: And it is all one to the Tenant, if the Distress be wrongful or rightful, if he shall not have any Redress. *Vide* * 39 E. 4. 34. a. 17 E. 3. 15. & 44. a. 7 (b) H. 4. 18. a. b. 12 (c) E. 4. 2. a. 13 (d) E. 4. 6. a. b. F. N. B. (e) 136. By which it appears, That Judges in all Ages have endeavored to put the Rule of W. 2. † in Execution, (f) *Curia Domini Regis non debet deficere conquerentibus in Justitia exhibenda. Justitia est suum cuique tribuere.*

(a) Ant. 22, 23.
 * 9 Co. 23. a.
 (b) Br. Reple. 14.
 Br. Mesne 4.
 (c) Br. Joinder
 in Action 67.
 9 Co. 23. a.
 (d) Br. Mesne. 24.
 Br. Replev. 42.
 (e) F. N. B. 136. h.
 † W. 2. cap. 24.
 2 Inst. 405, 406.
 (f) Co. Lit.
 74. a.
 9 Co. 88. b.
 2 Inst. 405, 408.

Trin. 10 Jac. Regis.

Robert Marys's Case.

Godb. 185.
1 Brownl. 197.
2 Brownl. 55,
146.

Edward Crogate brought an Acton on the Case against Robert Marys, and declared, That *William Winter* Gent. was seised in Fee of the Manor of *Townbarningham*, whereof an House and 2 Acres, and 2 Rods of Land in *Townbarningham* are, &c. Parcel, and demised and demisable by Copy, &c. in Fee, for Life, or Years. And whereas the said *William* and all those whose Estate he has in the said Manor with the Appurtenances, *pro tenentibus Custumariis suis præd' messuagii 2 acr' & 2 Rod' terra cum pertin' habuerunt & a toto tempore cujus contrarii memoria hominum non existit, habere consueverunt communiam pastur' in quadam pecia pastur' cont' per estimationem septem acras vocat' Townbarningham Common, jacent' in Townbarningham præd' pro omnibus equis & vaccis suis levant' & cubant', &c. quolibet an' omni tempore anni tanquam ad præd' messuag', &c. pertin'* and convey'd a Grant by Copy of Court-Roll of the said Manor of the said House and Land with the Appurtenances to the Plaintiff and his Heirs, according to the Custom of the Manor, by Force of which he entred, and was and yet is seised of, the said Messuage and Land with the Appurtenances, & *præd' Robertus machinans & intendens ipsum Edw. de communia pastur' sua præd' in præd' pecia pastur' continenti' per estimationem 7 acr' vocat' Townbarningham Common, habend' minus juste impedire & de proficuo suo inde totaliter deprivare, primo die Maii, an' regn' Dom' Reg' nunc Angl' 7 equos, boves & vacas suas in præd' peciam pastura, cont' per estimat' 7 acr' voc' Townbarningham Common, posuit & herbas ibid' crescent' cum equis bobus, & vaccis suis depastus fuit, conculcavit, & consumpsit, with Continuance a præd' prim' die Maii an' septimo*

*Septim' suprad' usq; fest' S. Mich' Arch' tunc proxim' sequen' per qd' idem Edw. existens per tot' idem tempus tenens customarius pred' messuag' & 2 acr' & 2 rod' terræ cum pertin' communiam pastur' suam pred' pro equis, bobus & vaccis suis, in tam amplo & beneficiali modo prout ipse præantea habuit, &c. per tempus illud habere non potuit, sed proficuum suum inde per tot' idem tempus amisit ad damn', &c. 40 l. The Def. pleaded, Non culp', and the Jury found, quod quoad positionem equorum, bobum, & vaccarum ipsius Rob. Marys in infra script' peciam pastur' vocat' Townbarningham Common, interius per præd' Rob' fieri supposit' dicunt super sacram' suum quod præd' Rob' Marys non est inde culpabil', prout, &c. & quoad depastur', conculcation' & consumption' herbæ infra script' in infra script' pecia pastur' vocat' Townbarningham Com. infra script' equis, bobus, & vaccis per tempus infra script' Furator' præd' dicunt super sacram' suum, qd' præd' Rob' Marys est inde culp' & assid' damna, &c. And this plea began Hill. 7 Jac. Reg. rot. 336. and was oftentimes debated by the Serjeants at bar, and by the Just. at the bench: And it was argued *ex partis Def.* that the wrong found by the jury is not the wrong whereof the Pl. in his action on the case has complain'd; for he has complain'd of a misfeasance, and they have found a nonfeasance, which is against the Pl. for he has declar'd that the Def. *posuit averia sua*, &c. which is a wrong and a misfeasance, and the jury have found, *quod non posuit*, &c. but that his cattle have depastured, &c. which ought to be by (a) escape, which is a nonfeasance, and many (a) Godb. 135. cases were put on this ground:*

But as to that it was resolved, that the action notwithstanding that was well maintainable; for the Judges in finding of verd. rather respect the substance (b) than the circumstance; and therefore in the case which concerns the life of a man, which is more favour'd than any thing in the world, Judges regard the substance, and not the circumstance: as if *A. B. and C.* are indicted for killing *F. S.* and that *A.* struck him, &c. and the others were present, abetting, &c. And the jury find, that *A.* did not strike him, but that *B.* struck him, and that the other 2 were present abetting, &c. this (c) is a good verd. for it is but circumst. who struck him, for in law it is the stroke of them all. 2. It was well observed, that the declarat. is, that the Def. put in his cattle 1 *Maii*, &c. and that a præd' 1 *Maii* they continued there till the feast of *S. Mich.* now the jury have found, *quoad positionem non culp' prout*, &c. which is the first day of *May*: but the Jury have found *quoad depast' conculcat'*, &c. *per tempus infra content'*, sc. a primo die *Maii usq; fest' S. Mich.* they found him guilty, *prout*: So that the Jury have found the continuance, &c. in the same manner, and for the same time as the Pl. has alleged; and the Pl. is a stranger to it, and therefore come the Def.'s cattle by escape, or otherwise, the consumption of the grass, and so the destruction of his common, that is the substance and cause of the action: And so it was adjudg'd, Hill. 5 Jac. in * Post. 113. b. this court, as it after* appears. * 2. It was objected

(b) 2 Rol. 704.
Cr. Jac. 136.
Hob. 73, 249.
Yelv. 148.
1 Brownl. 213,
214.

(c) Antea 67. b.
Hale's Pl. Cor.
265.

(a) 1 Brownl. 297.
 2 Brownl. 147, 148.
 1 Sid. 34.
 2 Sid. 174.
 2 Jones 157.
 Co. Lit. 56. a.
 (b) Lit. Rep. 95.
 2 Brownl. 147.
 Cr. El. 664.
 * Br. Nufance. 1, 29.
 Br. Chimin 1.
 Br. Action sur le Cafe 6, 93.
 Co. Lit. 56. a.
 5 E. 4. 2. b.
 27 H. 8. 27. a.
 5 Co. 73. a.
 Cr. Jac. 446.
 Noy 120.
 2 Rol. Rep. 26.
 2 Jones 157.
 Cr. El. 664.
 2 Brownl. 147.
 (c) 27 H. 8. 27. a.
 (d) 5 E. 4. 2. b.
 (e) Cr. El. 199.
 Hob. 43.
 (f) 2 Brownl. 148.
 1 Rol. 405.
 Yelv. 130.
 Godb. 185.
 (g) Yelv. 130.
 (h) 1 Brownl. 197.
 Cr. El. 199, 466, 845.
 (i) 1 Brownl. 197.
 2 Brownl. 149.

that one commoner shall not have an action for consumption of the grafs, &c. for then every other com'ner might have an action for the same cause, and fo actions for small causes would be (a) multiplied, which the law will not permit; and for that *William's Case in the 5 part of my Rep. f. 72. b.* of a common, (b) chapel, or church an action on the cafe doth not lie for nonfeafance of divine service, nor for a com. nufance done in the * highway. *Vide (c) 27 H. 8. 26. a. 27. a. & (d) 5 E. 4. 3. &c.* where the law denies an action to any one in particular, for avoiding infinite actions in small causes.

To which it was answered and resolv'd, that notwithstanding this object. the (e) action lies for divers reasons. 1. It is evident, that a com'ner may take the cattle of a stranger (f) damage-feafant, as it is held in 24 E. 3. 42. a. 46 E. 3. 23. b. 15 H. 7. 2. a. b. & 12. b. which proves that it is a wrong and damage done to him; and it would be a great mischief if the com'ner should not have an action, for then all the feed might be taken, and eat by many sheep and other cattle, and with strong hand there detained, till all the grafs is consum'd, and likewise with strong hand driven out, so that the com'ners could not take them damage-feafant, or the cattle after the grafs consum'd might escape out, and then by the argument which has been made, the com'ner shall have no remedy; and then the (g) lord, or other great man might at their pleasure deprive those, who have com. in their wastes, of their com. there. 2. If the com'ner has a freehold in his com. and the lord or others will feed or consume all the grafs in the land where the com. is to be taken, the com'ner shall have *assise*; and by (h) consequ. the com'ner in the cafe at bar having com. but at will by copy, shall have an *action on the cafe*: As if a man has com. of estovers in the wood of another in fee, or for life, and the owner of the wood, or any other fells all the (i) wood, he who ought to have the estovers shall have *assise*, for it is a disseisin of his com. *F. N. B.* 58, 59. and if he has but a term in the estovers, he shall have an *action on the cafe*. *Hill. 5 Jac. in Com. Banco, Edw. Buttolph* brought an *action on the cafe* against *Rob. Kipping* and others, and declared, that *Hen. Gawdy* Kt. was seised of an house and 100 acres of land in *Sorwood* in *Norf.* and that the said *Sir Henry* from time whereof, &c. had used for him and his ten'ts of the said house and land, to cut and take brakes in a piece of heath called *Caronhill* in *Sorwood* aforesaid, for their fewel to be spent in the said house, as to the said house appertaining; and so being thereof seised demised the said house and land with the appurtenan. for term of years, &c. by force of which he entred, and was thereof possessed, the Defs. *premissorum non ignari* cut down, and carried away 3 loads of brakes in the said place, *per qd'* the Pl. could not have brakes for his fewel in so ample and beneficial manner as before, and as of right he ought, &c. The Defendant pleaded not guilty, and it was found by verdict for the Defendant, and he had Judgment accordingly.

3. For (a) every feeding by the cattle of a stranger, the commoner shall not have an *Affise* nor an action *on the case*, as his case is, but the feeding ought to be such *per quod* the commoner, &c. common of pasture, &c. for his cattle, &c. *habere non potuit, sed proficuum suum inde per totum id tempus amisit*, &c. So that if the trespass be so small, that he has not any loss, but sufficient in ample manner remains for him, the commoner shall not take 'em damage-feal. nor have any Action for it; but the ten of the land may in such case have an action. And therefore, if my servant is beat, the (b) master shall not have an action for this battery, unless the battery is so great that by reason thereof he loses the service of his servant, but the (c) servant himself for every small battery shall have an action; and the reason of the difference is, that the master has not any damage by the personal beating of his servant, but by reason of a *per qd*, viz. *per qd servitium*, &c. *amisit*; so that the original act is not the cause of his action, but the consequent upon it, viz. the loss of his service is the cause of his action; for be the battery greater or less, if the master doth not lose the service of his servant, he shall have an action. So in the case at bar, the lord of the soil shall have an action for trespass done in the waste or common, as an immediate trespass to him, be it greater or less, but the commoner shall not have an action but by (d) consequence, viz. (a) 1 Brownl. 197. (b) 1 Brownl. 197. 2 Brownl. 148. (c) 2 Brownl. 148. (d) 1 Brownl. 197.

If the trespass be such, *per quod proficuum communie sue*, &c. *amisit*, or that he could not have his common in so beneficial manner as he had before. 4. In this case it doth not judicially appear to the court, that any other has common there but the Pl. himself, and therefore the colour of multiplicat. of futes is not to be resembled to this case: And it is true for a nuisance in the (e) highway, without special damage, none shall have a private action, for it is not *damnum privatum*, but *damnum commune* and therefore it ought to be only punished and reformed at the K.'s fute; for a publick nuisance shall not be reformed at the fute of a private party; for the damage is not private, but publick: But *privatum damnum sive nocumentum* shall be reformed by the action of the private party griev'd, and *commune nocumentum* at the fute of the King who is the head of the whole commonwealth: But a trespass done to many commoners is *privatum*, and not *commune nocumentum*: And so it is adjudged in 27 *Aff. p. 6. a.* presentment was in a leet, that J. N. had enclosed such lands, which ought to lie in common for all the inhabitants of the town, &c. *ad commune nocumentum inhabitant' ville pre'd'* and this presentm. was adjudged void: For it is a private wrong to the particular inhabitants of this particular town, and no publick common nuisance. And the Ch. Justice in his argument in this case cited 2 judgments in the point in this court, *Trin. 41 Eliz. rot. 1536.* John (s) Holland Esquire, brought an action *on the case* against Thomas Lovell Esquire, and others Defendants, and declared that the Plaintiff was seized of the manor of Chalk-

(e) Co. Lit. 56. a. 5 Co. 73. a. Moor 180. Cr. Jac. 446. Noy 120. 2 Rol. Rep. 262. Jones 157. Cr. El. 664. 2 Brownl. 147. 27 H. 8. 27. 2. Br. Action sur le Cafe 6. Br. Chimin 1. Br. Nuisance 1. 5 E. 4. 2. b. Br. Action sur le Cafe 93. Br. Nuisance 29. (f) 2 Brownl. 148. Co. Ent. 14. pl. 12.

Robert Marys's Case. PART IX.

hill in *Larlingford*, in *Norfolk*, and prescribed to have Common for 400 Sheep in a Place called the Plains in *Larlingford*, as belonging to the said Manor, the Defendants *premissorum non ignari*, with their Sheep did eat the Grass growing in the said Place called the Plains, *per quod* the Pl. could not enjoy his Common there in so ample and beneficial Manner as he had before, and as of right he ought to have, to his Damage of 40 l. The Defendants pleaded Not guilty, and it was tried by *Nisi prius* before Sir *John Popham* Ch. Justice of *England* and found for the Pl. and he had Judgment and Execution. *Hill. 5 Jac. Rot. 1427. in Communi Banco. Norf. George (a) England* brought an Action on the Case against this *Edw. Crogate*, and declared that the Bp. of *Norwich* was seized in the Right of his Bishoprick of the Manor of *Tburgarton* in *Norfolk*, and that the Pl. was a Copyholder of a Tenement Parcel of the Manor, and prescribed in the Bishop, &c. to have Common for the Copyholders of the said Tenement, for all Horses, Cows and Hogs in a Piece of Pasture in *Basingham*, called *Basingham Common*, &c. at all Times of the Year, as to the said Tenement belonging, the Defendant *premissorum non ignarus*, put his Horses and Cows into the said Piece of Pasture called *B.* *per quod* the Pl. could not have Common there in as ample and beneficial Manner as he had used before, &c. The Def. was to the putting in of his Cattle pleaded Not guilty, which Issue was found for the Def. and as to the Eating of the Grass he pleaded, That the said Piece of Pasture called *Basingham Common*, adjoined to another Pasture called *Barningham Common*, in which the Def. had Right of Common, and that these two Commons lay open the one to the other, and claimed to have Common in *Basingham Common* for cause of Vicinage, upon which Common for cause of Vicinage Issue was joined, and found for the Pl. Whereupon Judgment was given, and Execution awarded. In which Case both the Points which now in the Case at Bar were in Question were adjudged. 1. Altho' the Pl. declared that the Def. put in his Cattle, &c. and it be found that he did not put them in, but that they came in by (b) Escape, yet the Pl. should have Judgment, for the Eating of the Grass is the Substance. (c) 2. It was adjudged, That the Commoner in this Case should have an Action on his Case.

(a) 2 Brownl.
149.
Co. Ent. 9. pl. 3.
Ant. 112. a.

(b) Godb. 135.

(c) Ant. 112. a.

Copia Record' Convictionis Carliell & al'.

The Lord Sanchar's Case.

INquisitio capt. ad sessionem pacis Domini Regis tent. pro civitat. London apud Guildhall in civitat. London præd. die Mercurii vicesimo septimo die Maii ann. regni Domini nostri Jacobi Dei grat. Angl. Franc. & Hiberniæ Regis fidei defenf. &c. decimo, & Scotiæ quadragesimo quinto, coram Jacobo Pemberton mil. majore civitat. London præd. Steph. Soane milite, Johanne Garrard milite, Thoma Bennet mil. Thoma Lowe milite, Henrico Rowe milite, & *Henrico Mountagne* milite, uno servien' Dom. Regis ad legem ac recordatore dictæ civitatis, justic. dicti Dom. Regis ad pacem in civitate præd. conservand. necnon ad divers. felon. transgr. & alia malefacta in eadem civitate perpetrat. audiend. & terminand. assignat. per sac'r'm Will'mi Palmer, Johan. Pemberton, Ed. Bishop, Joh. Harrison, Will'mi Erbury, Thom. Nicholson, Humf. Waterfon, Joh. Woodall, Zach. Healing, Rich. Downes, Thomæ Eagles, Thomæ Dennys, Richardi Taylor, Meredith Broughton, & Radulphi Hanson, proborum & legal. hominum [de corpore civitat. prædict. qui dicunt super sac'r'm suum prædict. quod Robert. Carliell nuper de London Yeoman, & Jacobus Irweng nuper de London præd. Yeoman, Deum præ oculis suis non habent', sed dicitur abolic.

The Lord Sanchar's Case. PART IX.

abolic. instigatione mot. & seduct. undecimo die Maii anno regni Domini nostri Jacobi Dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Regis, fidei defensoris, &c. decimo, & Scotiæ quadragesimo quinto, apud London præd. videlicet, in paroch. Sancti Dunstani in occident. in warda de Farringdon extra London præd. vi & armis, &c. felonice ex malitiis suis præcogitat. in & super quendam Johannem Turner adtunc & ibidem in pace Dei, & dicti Dom. Regis existen. insult. & affraiam fecerunt, & præd' Robert. Cariell quoddam torment., Anglice, vocat. a Pistol valor. quinque solid. adtunc & ibidem onerat. cum pulvere bombardico, & glandine plumbea, Anglice, charged with Gunpowder and one Leaden Bullet, quod quidem torment. idem Robert. Carliell, in manu sua dextra adtunc & ibidem habuit & tenuit in & super præfat. Johannem Turner adtunc & ibidem felonice, voluntar. & ex malicia sua præcogitat. sagittavit & exoneravit, Anglice, did shoot off and discharge, & præd. Robert. Carliell cum glandine plumbea præd. e tormento prædicto adtunc & ibid. emiss. præfatum Johannem Turner in & super sinistram partem pectoris ipsius Johan. Turner prope sinistram mamill' ipsius Johannis Turner adtunc & ibidem felonice percussit, dans eidem Johanni Turner adtunc & ibidem cum glandine plumbea præd' e tormento præd. adtunc & ibid. emiss. in & super præd. sinistram partem pectoris ipsius Johannis Turner prope præd. sinistram mamill' ipsius Johannis Turner unam plagam mortalem latitud. dimid. unius pollicis & profundit. quinq; pollicium, de qua quid' plaga mortali, præd. Johan. Turner apud London præd. in paroch. & ward. prædict. instant. obiit. Et quod Jacobus Irweng felonice, & ex malicia sua præcogitat. adtunc & ibid. fuit præsens, auxilians, assistens, abettans, confortans, & manutenens præfatum Robertum Carliell ad felon. & murdr. præd. in forma præd. felonice faciend. & perpetrand. & sic jur. præd. super factum suum præd. dicunt quod prædict. Robertus Carliell, & Jacobus Irweng præfat. Johannem Turner apud London præd. in parochia & Warda præd. modo & forma præd. felon. voluntarie, & ex malitiis suis præcogitat. interfecer. & murdraverunt contra pacem dicti Domini Regis nunc coron. & dignitat. suas, &c. Et postea, scri t, ad deliberation. gaol. Domini Regis de Newgate tent. pro civitat. London prædict. apud le Justice Hall situat. in the Old Bailey in parochia Sancti Sepulchri in warda de Farringdon extra London prædict. vicesimo tertio die Junii anno regni dicti Dom. nostri Jacobi Dei grat. Regis Angliæ, Franc. & Hibern. decimo & Scotiæ quadragesimo quinto, coram Jacobo Pemberton

milite, majore civitat. London præd. reverendo in Christo patre Johan. episcop. London, *Thomas Fleming* mil. Capital. Justic. dict. Dom. Regis ad placita coram ipso Rege tenend. assign. *Edward. Coke* mil. Capital. Justic. dicti Domini Reg. de Banco, *Laurent. Tanfield* milit. Capital. Baron. Scaccar. dicti Dom. Reg. *Christ. Telverton* milit. uno justic. dicti Domini Regis, ad placita coram ipso Rege tenend. assign. *Dav. Williams* milit. alter. justic. dict. Dom. Regis, ad placita coram Rege tenend. assign. *Joh. Croke* milit. alter. justic. dicti Dom. Reg. ad placita cor. ipso Rege tenend. assign. *Steph. Soane* milit. *Joh. Garrard* milit. *Tho. Bennet* milit. *Baptisto Hicks* milit. *Francisco Bacon* milit. sollicitatore Dom. Regis general' *Henrico Mountague* milit. uno servient. Dom. Regis ad legem, ac recordatore dictæ civitatis London, ac aliis sociis suis justic. dicti Dom. Regis, ad gaolam suam præd. de prison. in eadem existen. deliberand., assign. præd. *Rob. Carliell*, & *Jacobus Irweng*, sub custodia *Edw. Barkham* & *Georgii Smythes*, vicecom. civitat. præd. ad barr. ibidem ducti in propriis personis suis vener. & separatim allocuti qualir. se de felonia & murdro præd. acquiescere vellent, idem *Rob. Carliell* dicit quod ipse non potest dedicere quin ipse est culp. de felon. & murdro præd. ei in forma prædict. imposit. & felon. & murdr. præd. express. cogn. & se inde pon. in gratiam Dom. Regis. Et prædict. *Jacob. Irweng* dic. quod ipse de felon. & murdro præd. ei in forma præd. imposit. in nullo est culpabil. & inde de bono & malo pon. se super patriam. Ideo immediat. ven. inde jurat. &c. Et jurat. jurat. illius per præd. vic. civitat. præd. ad hoc impanellat. exact. scil't, *Humf. Slanic*, *Will. Morgane*, *Rolandus Healing*, *Hugo Hamerstie*, *Hen. Colthurst*, *Will'mus Hicks*, *Will. Hayes*, *Rich. Bridger*, *Will'mus Wilde*, *Johan. Palmer*, *Salomon Greene*, & *Rich. Rud*, ven. qui ad veritat. de & super præmiss. dicto *Jacobo Irweng*, imposit. dicend. elect. triat, & jurat. dicunt super sacm suum præd. qd. præd. *Jacobus Irweng* est culpabilis de felon. & murdr. præd. sibi in form. præd. imposit. modo & forma prout per indictament. præd. vers. eum supponit; & qd. ipse tempore felon. & murdr. prædict. in forma præd. commiss. seu unquam postea null. habuit bona seu catall. terr. aut tenement. ad notic. jurat. præd': Super quo iidem *Rob. Carliell* & *Jac. Irweng* separatim allocut. si quid pro se huer. vel dicere sciver. quare cur. præd. ad judicium & executionem de eis & eorum altero super præmiss. proced. non debeat, qui nihil dixerunt præterquam ut prius dixerunt, super quo adtunc, & ibidem

The Lord Sanchar's Case. PART IX.

consideratum est per eosdem iustic. quod prædict. Robert. Carliell & Jacobus Irweng ad gaolam de Newgate prædict. unde venerunt reducantur, & eorum alter reducatur, & qd. abinde ducantur & eorum alter ducatur usque ad locum executionis & ibidem suspendantur & alter eorum suspendatur quousque, &c.

Per Indictamenta Trin. 10 Jacobi Regis.

Copia

Copia Indictamenti Roberti Creighton Armig'.

The Lord Sanchar's Case.

Midd. JUR' præsentant pro Dom' Rege super fact'm suum
 qd' cum Rob' Carliell nuper de Lond' Yeoman,
 & Jacob' Irweng nuper de Lond' præd' Yeoman, Deum præ
 oculis suis non habentes, sed instigatione diabolica seduct',
 undecimo die Maii anno regni Domini nostri Jacobi, Dei
 grat' Angl', Franc', & Hiberniæ Regis, fidei defensor', &c.
 decimo, & Scotiæ xlv. apud London, videl't, in parochia
 Sancti Dunstani in occident', in warda de Farringdon extra
 London præd', &c. vi & armis, &c. felonice ac ex maliciis
 suis præcogitat', in & super quendam Johan' Turner ad-
 tunc & ibidem in pace Dei & dicti Domini Regis existen',
 insultum & affraiam fecer', & præd' Robertus Carliell quod-
 dam tormentum, Anglice vocat' a *Distol*, valor' quinque
 solidorum adtunc & ibid' onerat' cum pulvere bombardico,
 & glandine plumbea, Anglice, *charged with Gunpowder
 and one Leaden Bullet*, quod quidem torment' idem Ro-
 bertus Carliell in manu sua dextra adtunc & ibid' habuit &
 tenuit in & super præfat' Johan' Turner adtunc & ibid' felo-
 nice, voluntarie, & ex malicia sua præcogitat', sagittavit, &
 exoneravit, Anglice, *did shoot off and discharge*, & præd' Ro.
 Carliell cum glandine plumbea præd', e torment' præd' adtunc
 & ibid' emiss. præfatum Joh' Turner in & super sinistram
 partem pector' ipsius Joh' Turner prope sinistram mamillam
 ipsius Joh' Turner adtunc & ibid' felonice percussit, dans
 eidem Jo. Turner adtunc & ib' cum glandine plumbea præd'
 e torment' præd' adtunc & ib'm emiss. in & super præd' sini-
 stram partem pector' ipsius Jo. Turner unam plagam mortal'
 latitud' dimid' unius pollic' & profunditat' quinq; pollic' de
 qua quid' plaga mortali præd' J. Turner apud Lond' præd', in
 paroch' & ward' præd', instant' obiit: Et præd' Jac. Irweng, fe-
 lonice

The Lord Sanchar's Case. PART IX.

lonice, & ex malicia sua præcogitat', adtunc & ib'm fuit præfens, auxilians, assistens, abbettans, confortans, & manutens, præfat' Robert' Carliell ad felon' & murdr' præd' in form' præd' felonice faciend' & perpetrand': Et sic prædict' Robert' Carliell & Jacobus Irweng præfat' Johan' Turner apud Lond' præd', in paroch' & ward' præd', modo & forma præd', felon', voluntar', ac ex maliciis suis præcogit' interfecerunt & murdraverunt, contra pacem dicti Dom' Reg' nunc, coron' & dignitat' suas: Quidam Robert' Creighton nuper de paroch' Sanctæ Margaret' in Westm' in com' Middl' armig', Deum præ oculis suis non habens, sed instigatione diabolica seduct' ante felon' & murdr' præd', per præfat' Rob. Carliell & Jacob. Irweng modo & forma præd' fact' & perpetrat', scil't, decimo die Maii, an' regni dicti Domini nostri Jacobi, Dei grat' Angl', Franc', & Hibern', Regis decimo, & Scotiæ xlv. præd' Robert' Carliell apud prædict' paroch' Sanctæ Margaret' in Westm' præd', in com' Middl' præd', ad felon' & murdr' præd', modo & forma præd' faciend' & perpetrand', malicios', felonice', voluntar', & ex malicia sua præcogitata, incitavit, movit, abbettavit, consuluit, & procuravit, contr' pacem dicti Domini Regis nunc, coron' & dignitat' suas, &c.

Per Indictamenta Trin. 10 Jacobi Regis.

Trin.

Trin. 10 Jacobi.

The Lord Sanchar's Case.

Robert Creighton, Lord Sanchar, a Baron of Scotland, of his Malice prepenſe at *Westminster* in the County of *Middleſex* incited and procured Robert Cartiell to kill John Turner, who accordingly affociating himſelf with one James Irweng, the 11 of *May* now laſt paſt, killed the ſaid John Turner within the City of *London*. And the King in his Zeal to Juſtice in this Caſe, immediately ſent for the two Chief Juſtices, and Chief Baron, and commanded there ſhould be ſpeedy Proceeding againſt the Lord Sanchar, according to Law. To which the Juſtices answered, That the Lord Sanchar was but an Acceſſory in this Caſe, and therefore he (a) could not by Law be convicted before the Principal is attained; but if the Principal could be apprehended, then both might be attained with more Expedition than could be, if the Principal ſhould be attained by Utlagary: Then it was asked how the Lord Sanchar being an ancient Baron of *Scotland* ſhould be tried: And it was answered by them, That none within this Realm of *England* is accounted (b) a Peer of the Realm, but he who is a Lord of the Parliament of *England*; for every Subject either is a Lord of the Parliam. or one of the Com'ns, and the L. Sanchar was not a Lord of the Parliam. within this Kingd. and therefore ſhould be tried by the Commons of the Realm,

Wilson's Hiſt. 59, 60.

(a) 4 Co. 43. b

(b) Co. Lit. 16. b.
7 Co. 15. a.
Calvin's Caſe.
2 Inſt. 48.
3 Inſt. 30.

viz.

(a) 7 Co. 15. b.
16. a.
Calvin's Case.
(b) Fitz. Pro-
cesss 224.
7 Co. 15. b.
Calvin's Case.
(c) 7 Co. 15. b.
Calvin's Case.
Br. Nofme de
Dignity 49.
(d) 7 Co. 15. b.
23. a.
Calvin's Case.
Dy. 360. pl. 6.
Co. Lit. 261. b.

(e) 28 E. 6. c. 24.
3 Inst. 113.

viz. Knights, Esquires, or others of the Commons; and therewith agree our Books, as well ancient as others, (a) 11 E. 3. Brief 473. 8 R. 2. (b) *Process pl. ult.* (c) 20 E. 4. 6. a. b. 20 El. (d) 360. Then the King asked in what Court, after the Principal is attainted, the Lord *Sanchar* should be tried. And the Justices answered, that forasmuch as the Procurement was in *Middlesex*, it was most convenient to try him in the King's Bench. And thereupon the King resolved that he should not be committed to the Tower, but to the Prison of the King's Bench, where he might be, if Occasion required, sooner and easier examined than if he should be committed to the Tower: And the King commanded the said Justices that all Things should be prepar'd for the legal Proceeding; and that he would endeavour to cause not only the Principal, but others also who might discover the Truth of the Fact, to be apprehended. And thereupon the said Chief Justices conferred with the other Justices of the King's Bench before whom the Lord *Sanchar* should be tried. And before them divers Questions were moved concerning the legal Proceeding in this Case. I. Upon the Statute of (e) 2 E. 6. c. 24. by which it is enacted, as to this Point, in this Manner. *And further be it enacted by the Authority aforesaid, That where any Murder or Felony hereafter shall be committed or done in one County, and another Person or more shall be Accessory or Accessories by any Manner of wise to any such Murder or Felony in another County, that then an Indictment found or taken against such Accessory, or Accessories, upon the Circumstance of such Matter before the Justices of the Peace, or other Justices or Commissioners, to inquire of Felonies, where such Offence of Accessory or Accessories in any Manner of wise shall be committed or done, shall be as good and effectual in Law, as if the principal Offence had been committed or done, within the same County where such Indictment shall be found: And that the Justices of Goal-delivery, or Oyer and Terminer, or two of them, of or in such County where the Offence of any such Accessory shall be hereafter committed and done, upon Suit to them made, shall write to the Custos Rotulorum, or Keepers of the Records, where such Principal shall be hereafter attainted, or convicted, to certify them whether such Principal be attainted, convicted or otherwise discharged of such principal Felony, who upon such Writing to them or any of them directed, shall make sufficient Certificate in Writing, under their Seal or Seals, to the said Justices, whether such Principal be attainted, convicted, or otherwise discharged, or not. And after they,*
that

that so shall have the Custody of such Records, do certify that such Principal is attained, convicted, or otherwise discharged of such Offence by the Law, that then the Justices of Gaol-delivery, or of Oyer and Terminer, or other there authorised, shall proceed upon every such Accessory, in the County or Counties where such Accessory, or Accessories became Accessory, in such Manner and Form as if both the said principal Offence and Accessory had been committed and done in the said County where the Offence of the Accessory was or shall be committed or done. And that every such Accessory, and other Offenders above expressed, shall answer upon their Arraignments, and receive such Trial, Judgment, Order and Execution, and suffer such Forfeitures, Pains and Penalties, as is used in other Cases of Felony: Any Law or Custom to the Contrary heretofore used in any wise notwithstanding. And upon this Statute divers (a) (a); Inft. 48, 49.

Questions were moved. 1. If the Indictment in the County of Middlesex of the Accessory should recite, that the Principal was indicted before Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer in the City of London, (as in Truth he was) or if the Indictment should recite *in fact*, that the Principal committed the Murder in *Lond. &c.* And it was resolv'd, that the Indictm. in *Middles.* should recite *de fact*, that the Principal committed the Murder in *Lond.* for the Recital, that the Principal is indicted of Murder in *Lond.* is no direct Affirm. that the Principal committed the Murder; for the Indictment is but an Accusation, and in lieu of the King's Declaration, which may be true or false; and this agrees with former Precedents: And accordingly the Indictment was drawn, upon which the Accessory was convicted, as appears before by the Indictment it self. The second Question moved upon the Stat. was, If the (b) Justices of the King's Bench are within these Words, Justices of Gaol-delivery, or Oyer and Terminer. And it was objected, that the K.'s Bench is the highest Court of Ordinary Justice in criminal Causes within the Realm, and paramount the Authority of Justices of Gaol-delivery, and Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer; and as it is held in 27 *Ass. 1.* is (c) more than the Eyre; for they shall examine the Errors of the Justices in Eyre, Gaol-delivery, and Oyer and Terminer, and therefore in as much as the Justices of the King's Bench are paramount and superiors over all the others, they can't be included within their Inferiors, *viz.* Justices of Gaol-delivery, or of Oyer and Terminer. Also the Justices of the King's Bench have a distinct and supreme Court, and the Justices of Gaol-delivery, and Oyer and Terminer

(b) 3 Inft. 103.
3 Mar. Br. Oyer
and Termin. 8.
4 Inft. 73.
Cawley 66.
Postea 118. b.

(c) Stanf. Cor.
35. a.
4 Inft. 73.
Fitz. Assise 246.
Br. Escape 21.
Br. Jurisdic. 66.
Postea 118. b.
Br. Judges, Ju-
stices, &c. 161.

Terminer, other distinct and subordinate courts. And therefore it was adjudg'd *Hill. 30 El. Reg.* in the K.'s Bench that where *R. (a) Smith* was indicted of forgery of a false deed at the sessions of *(b)* peace in the county of *Oxf.* and the Stat. of *5 El.c.14.* which inflicts the punishm. and upon which act the indictm. was grounded, provides that the indictm. shall be taken before Just. of assise, and Just. of oyer and termin. and altho' the Just. of peace by their commission have power to hear and determine felonies, trespasss, &c. and have an express clause *ad audiendum & terminandum*, so that they are as it was urged Just. of oyer and termin. yet it was resolv'd *per tot' cur'*, that because there was a commissi. of *oyer and terminer* known distinctly by that name, and the commissi. of the peace known distinctly by another name, that the said indictm. was not well taken, and therefore was quashed. But it was resolv'd, that the *(c)* Just. of the K.'s Bench are the sovereign just. of gaol-deliv. and of *oyer and termin.* and therefore they are included within the said words: And therefore it is held in *7 E.4.18.a. & 4 H.7.18.* that if an indictm. of forcible entry be remov'd into the K.'s Bench, the Just. of the K.'s *(d)* Bench shall award restitution, and yet the Stat. of *8 H.6.c.9.* speaks only of Just. of the peace; but the reason is, because they have the sovereign and supreme autho'y in such cases. And according to this resolution, the Just. of the K.'s bench wrote according to the said act to the Just. of gaol-delivery in *London*, before whom the principal was, &c. who certified the record, &c. as appears before at large.

3. It was moved, if the *L. Sanchar* could not in term-time be indicted, arraigned, and convicted at *Newsg.* before comm'ers of *(e)* *oyer and termin.* for the county of *Middles.* and it was resolv'd he could not; for the K.'s Bench, as has been said, is *(f)* more than Eyre, and therefore in *(g)* term-time no commissi. of *oyer and termin.* or gaol-deliv. by the com. law, can sit in the same county where the K.'s Bench sits, for *(b)* *in presentia majoris cessat potest minoris*, and therewith agrees *27 Aff. p. 1.* But *Carliell* and *Irweng* were indicted and attainted in *Lond.* where the murder was committed, before just. of *oyer and termin.* in the *(i)* term-time, because in another county than where the K.'s Bench sits. 4. It was mov'd, if the *L. Sanchar* being indicted in the K.'s Bench, if there must be *(k)* 15 days for the return of the *va. fa.* for if 15 days are requisite, he can't be arraigned this term, and it was resolv'd not, because the offence was committed in *Middles.* where the court sits; but if the indictment had been taken in any other county, and removed thither, there ought to be fifteen days, &c. and therewith agree the Precedents, and the continual usage of the same court. 5. It was resolv'd, that for as much as there was not any direct

(a) Cro. El. 87,
697.
3 Inst. 103.
Cawl. 258, 259.
(b) Cr. El. 601,
697.
Cawl. 258, 259.
Savil 134.
H. P. C. 165.

(c) H. P. C. 165.
Cawley 66.
3 Inst. 103.
Antea 118. a.
3 Mar. Br. Oyer
& Terminer 8.
4 Inst. 73.
(d) Kelw. 159,
a. b.
Dy. 187. pl. 6.
11 Co. 59. a. b.
65. a.
1 Rol. Rep. 92.
Br. forcible En-
tre 27.
Dall. 25. pl. 8.
Dall. in Kelw.
202. pl. 2.
Dall. in Ash. pl. 2.
Fitz. Entre 44.
Br. Restitut. 11.
Dalt. Just. c. 314.
Jenk. Cent. 19, 7,
221.
(e) H. P. C. 156.
3 Inst. 27.
4 Inst. 73.
(f) Stanf. Cor.
35. a.
4 Inst. 73.
Fitz. Assise 246.
Br. Escape 21.
Br. Jurisdiction
66. 27. Aff. pl. 1.
Br. Judges, Ju-
stices, &c. 16.
Antea 118. a.
(g) 10 Co. 73. b.
3 Inst. 27.
(h) 10 Co. 73. b.
2. Inst. 26, 166.
(i) Post, 121. a.
(k) 2 Inst. 550,
568.
H. P. C. 157.
Co. Lit. 134. b.

direct proof, that *James Irweng* was commanded or procured by the Lord *Sanchar* to commit the murder, but that he associated himself to *Rob. Carliell* who was procured by him, that the (a) best way is to indict the Lord *Sanchar*, as accessory to *Rob. Carliell* only, for indictments which concern the Life of men ought to be framed as near the truth as may be, & *eo potius*, because they are to be found by the oath of the grand inquest, which finding is called (b) *verdictum, quasi dictum veritatis*, and yet it was resolved, that if one is indicted as accessory to (c) two, and he is found accessory to one, the verdict is good. *Vide* the Stat. of *W. I. c. (d) 14.* by which it is enacted, *that none be outlawed upon appeal of commandment, force, aid, or receipt, until he that is appealed of the deed be attainted, so that one like law be used therein thro' the realm*; which is but an affirmance of the com. law; for there can't be an accessory, unless there be a principal, no more than there can be a shadow, unless there be a body. But this word *appeal* has 2 significations in law, one general, and that is taken for an accusation generally, and *accusatio est duplex*, either by inquisition, *i.* by indictment, and that is at the suit and in the name of the K. or by the party, and in his name, as in appeal by writ or bill, or by appeal, *i.* accusation of an approver, and therewith agree all our books, and *Stamf. l. 2. de Plac' cor' c. 52. f. 142. b.* where he saith, after the confession of the crime the felon may appeal, *f.* accuse others coadjutors with him to do the felony, and in this particular sense for accusation of the party it is oftner taken. And as there are 2 manner of accusations, so there are two manner of attainders of felony, *f.* by judgment given, *f.* one at the King's suit, and the other at the suit of the Party, and both these attainders are in 2 manners, one after appearance, and the other upon default after appearance, 2 ways, *f.* either by verdict, or confession, and at the suit of the party a third way, *f.* by battle, upon default by process of *outlawry*, where judgm. is given by the (e) coroners, or by those whom an act of parliam. and custom have enabled. And in the Stat. of *W. (f) 1.* these words *upon appeal of commandment, &c.* are to be intended of an accusation generally, *f.* by indictment. as by writ or bill, &c. and these words *until he that is appealed of the deed be attainted*, are meant of all manner of attainders, either at the K.'s suit, or at the suit of the party, and either upon appearance or upon default. And afterwards in the same act provision is made for the appeal of the party, which implies that the word *appeal* shall be taken in the general sense. 6. It was resolved that if the principal is (g) erroneously attained, either for error in the process, or because the principal being out of the realm, &c. is outlawed, or that he was in prison at the time of the outlawry, &c. yet the accessory shall be attainted, for the attainder against the

(a) 2 Inst. 133.

(b) Co. Lit. 226. a.

(c) 2 Inst. 183.
H. P. C. 265.(d) 2 Inst. 182.
183.

3 Inst. 138.

(e) 4 Co. 32. b.
Co. Lit. 288. b.

Cr. El. 50.

(f) W. I. c. 14.
2 Inst. 182, 183.
184.(g) Ant. 68. a. b.
2 R. 3. 21. b.

the principal stands till it is reversed; and therewith agrees
 (a) 2 R. 3. 12. the resolution of all the Justices in the King's
 Bench: And in 18 E. 4. 9. b. the (b) principal was erroneously
 outlawed for felony, and the accessory taken, indicted, ar-
 raigned, convicted, attainted, and hanged, and afterwards the
 principal revert the outlawry, and was indicted and arraign-
 ed of the said Felony, and found not guilty, by which he was
 acquitted, and all this appears in the said book. Then it will
 be demanded, that forasmuch as there can't be an accessory,
 unless there is a principal, and in this case there is no princi-
 pal, how the heir of the accessory shall be restored to the
 land which his father had forfeited by the said unjust attain-
 der? To that it is to be answer'd, that the heir may enter or
 have his action, for now upon the matter by act in law the
 attainder against his father is without any writ of error utter-
 ly annulled, for by the reversal of the attainder against the
 principal, the attainder against the accessory, which depends
 upon the attainder of the principal, *ipso facto* is utterly de-
 feated and annulled, and this notably appears in an ancient
 book, in the time of E. 1. Tit. *Mortdaucest.* 46. where the
 case is, A. was indicted of felony, and B. of the receipt of A.
 A. eloined himself (and is outlaw'd) B. was taken, and put
 himself upon inquest and found guilty, for which B. was at-
 tainted, and hanged, and the Lord entred, as into his escheat,
 and afterw. A. came, and reversed the outlawry, and pleaded
 to the Felony, and was found not guilty, by which he was ac-
 quitted; whereupon the heir of B. brought a (c) *Mortdau-*
cester against the lord by escheat, who came and shewed all
 this matter, and there was a demurr. upon it; and it was a-
 ward that the heir of B. should recover seisin of the land,
 for if B. was now alive, he should go quit by the acquittal
 of A. because he could not be receiver of a felon, when A. is
 no felon, and all this appears in the said book. *Vide* 4 E. 3. 36.
b. in Dower 43 E. 3. 3. a. *in Assise & Reidif.* 8 H. 4. 4. 11 H. 4. 4.
 4 E. 4. 20. 6 E. 4. 9. 13 E. 4. 4. 9 H. 6. 38. b. 8 H. 7. 10. & *vide* the
 case of sentence (d) of deprivation of one, and presentm. in-
 stitution, and induction of another, and after by relation of a
 general pardon *ipso facto* all are restored without appeal, or
 new presentat. admission, or institution, *qd' vide* (e) *Dy. Nota*
 reader, to oust all quest. to what gaol offenders shall be com-
 mitted, it is enacted by the Stat. of (f) 5 H. 4. c. 10. that none
 shall be imprisoned by any just. of peace, but only in the com.
 gaol, saving to lords and others, who have gaols, their fran-
 chises in that case. By which it appears, how just. of peace
 offend who commit felons, &c. to either of the counters in
London. and other prisons, which are not com. gaols. But for-
 asmuch as several persons have earnestly desired to know the
 circumstances as well of the proceeding as of the fact it self,
 I will comply with their request.

(a) Ant. 68. b.
 2 R. 2. 21. b.
 (b) Br. Cor. 165.

(c) H.P.C. 270.
 1 Rol. 777.

(d) 6 Co 13. b.
 14 a.
 3 Init. 238.
 Hob. 82, 293
 Cr. El. 41, 789.
 Moor 132.
 Owen. 87.
 Latch. 22, 147.
 1 Sid. 164, 168.
 Palm. 412.
 (e) Dy. 235.
 pl. 19.
 6 Co. 13. b.
 (f) 2 Brownl.
 41.
 2 Inft. 43.
 Cro. El. 830.

Robert

Robert Creighton, Baron of *Sanchar* a *Scotchman*, about five Years ago play'd at Foils with *John Turnor* a Fencing-Master, and it happen'd that *Turnor* in playing struck out the Baron's Eye with his Foil; upon which the Baron, finding himself impatient under so great an Affront, and not able to bear the Loss of his Eye without having his Revenge, resolv'd to procure some Body to kill *Turnor*, and among his other Servants he prevail'd upon *Gilbert Gray* and *Robert Carliell* *Scotchmen*, two of his Followers, to shoot *Turnor* upon the first Opportunity that should offer: These two then undertook to accomplish this Design, and industriously endeavour'd to execute it; but the ninth Day of *May* last, *Gray* repenting of a Purpose and Act so barbarous, vile and bloody, being touched with the Motion of the Holy Ghost, resolv'd to proceed no farther, which the Baron of *Sanchar* being inform'd of, and that *Gray* slacken'd in his Promise, *Robert Carliell* (as is aforesaid) undertook to execute what he had promis'd; who the eleventh of *May* following associating himself with *James Irweng* a *Scotchman* of the Frontiers, about seven o' Clock in the Evening came to an House in the Friars, which *Turnor* us'd to frequent as he came from his School which was near that Place; and finding *Turnor* there they saluted one another, and *Turnor* with one of his Friends sat at the Door, asking them to drink, but *Carliell* and *Irweng* turning about to cock the Pistol came back immediately, and *Carliell* drawing it from under his Coat discharged it upon *Turnor*, and gave him a mortal Wound near the left Pap; so that *Turnor* after having said these Words (Lord have Mercy upon me, I am killed) immediately fell down: Whereupon *Carliell* and *Irweng* fled, *Carliell* to the Town and *Irweng* towards the River, but mistaking his Way, and entering into a Court where they sold Wood, which was no Thoroughfare, he was taken. *Carliell* likewise fled and so did also the Baron of *Sanchar*. The ordinary Officers of Justice

The Lord Sanchar's Case. PART IX.

did their utmost, but could not take them: For in Fact (as appeared afterwards) *Carliell* fled into *Scotland*, and *Gray* towards the Sea thinking to go to *Sweden*, and *Sanchar* hid himself in *England*.

The Impediments of Justice, Difficulties of Law, and Impossibilities of legal Proceeding to take *Carliell* the Principal, which were in this Case, are remarkable and worthy Consideration. The Cure and Remedy of the Whole ought to be only and wholly attributed to the great Care of his most excellent Majesty, and to his perpetual Love and Zeal for Justice, as will clearly appear by what follows.

The Impediments of Justice were two.

1. The Truth of this Fact touching the Baron of *Sanchar* could not appear, because it consisted only in the Words of his Mouth by Incitation and Procurement, but by *Gray* and *Carliell* who were fled; or by himself, and he was likewise gone.

2. It was not as yet known whither they were fled, and it could not be found out by all the Search and Diligence which was used by the Officers and Magistrates of Justice.

The Difficulties of Law are manifest by the foregoing Resolutions.

Impossibilities of legal Proceeding.

1. It was impossible by legal Process to apprehend the Body of *Carliell* being in *Scotland*.

It was impossible also to proceed against the Baron of *Sanchar* (who was but an Accessory) before the Principal was attainted, a Thing which would have required a very long Proceeding, if he had not been taken.

Now therefore let us behold here the Love and Zeal which his Majesty always had for Justice, who being informed by some of his principal Judges, with whom he had consulted touching the Nature of this present Case, and finding, if this Fact should be left to the ordinary Proceeding of the Law, *Carliell* the
Assassin

PART IX. *The Lord Sanchar's Case:*

Affassin could not be taken, and that no ordinary Power had been able to find *Gray* the Witness, nor *Sanchar* the Author; Lo! The King by Proclamation gives Authority to any Person whatsoever to apprehend these three, with a Promise of great Reward.

Upon this the Baron of *Sanchar*, well knowing that the Principal Affassin and the Witnesses were fled, surrendered himself, and denied that he incited or procured the Fact: Wherefore his Majesty sent Post to the Sea Ports (the Gates of the Kingdom) as also into *Scotland* and other Places of his Dominions, where his admirable Prudence had Hopes of finding them. And the Lord so crowned his royal Thoughts, and gave such a Blessing to his Zeal for Justice, that some of his Couriers took *Gray* at the Port of *Harwich* ready to imbarck for *Sweden*, and *Carlzell* in *Scotland*, thinking to cross the Sea for his greater Safety. *Gray* then, being by his Majesty's Command examined, confest the whole Truth of the Fact against the Baron of *Sanchar*: Who likewise by his Majesty's Direction being confronted with *Gray*, and particularly examined touching certain Articles special and pertinent Sayings by his Majesty himself, confest by Writing under his own Hand, that he had incited and procured this Assassination, and being prest thereupon by the Questions he discovered a long and inveterate Malice which he had had with all the Occasions and material Circumstances of this Murder.

His Majesty having Regard to that which the Holy Ghost admonishes us of (*quia non profertur cito contra Malos sententia, absque Timore ullo filii hominum perpetrant Mala*) gave Orders two Days after, that *Carlzell* the Principal should be brought to *London*, that he and *James Irweng* (in full Term, a Thing not usual) might be carried before the Justices at *Newgate*, and attainted and convicted, and a few Days after the Baron of *Sanchar* was likewise attainted and convicted at the K.'s Bench in full Term, and in a short Time after

Ecclesiast. 8.
11.
Antea 112. b.

The Lord Sanchar's Case. PART IX

(a) 3 Inst. 13.
to accomplish his Majesty's Zeal for Justice, the Baron *Sanchar* was (a) hanged publicly in Term-Time at the Palace of *Westminster*, according to the Judgment and Sentence which he had before received.

I have reported this Case with all the Circumstances, because this Example has not its Parallel: For altho' 'tis true, that the late Queen *Mary* is very famous on Account of the exemplary Justice which she caused to be executed upon Baron *Sturton* for the barbarous Murder of *Harquil*; yet this present Example of the Baron of *Sanchar* very much surpasses that of the Baron of *Sturton*, and that for many Considerations. 1. Because the Baron of *Sturton* was taken by the ordinary Course of the Law, even within the Kingdom, but the principal in this Case could not be taken by any common Power, but by Means of his Majesty's royal and absolute Power only. 2. The Baron of *Sturton's* Offence was very apparent, and without any Difficulty of Law: On the contrary this of *Sanchar* was thereof (as appears) very full, but by his Majesty's Command all these Difficulties with the Conference and grave Consideration of his principal Judges, after Search of Cases precedent, were resolved and cleared up, and notwithstanding the Impediments, Difficulties and Impossibilities in legal Proceeding greater Expedition was used in this Case than in that. In short, the Accomplishment of the Whole, the Clearing up the Truth of the Fact in the Case of the Baron of *Sanchar*, must be attributed to the great Wisdom, Power and Vigilance of his Majesty, as appears by that which has been thereof said before.

The Baron of *Sanchar* was a Man of a very ancient and noble Family in *Scotland*, he was a Man of great Courage and Wit, endowed with many excellent Gifts as well natural as acquired, the Eloquence of his Discourse with the Civility and Discretion of his Behaviour when he came before and went from the Judges, compelled the People (who honoured him on Account of his moral Virtues,

PART IX. *The Lord Sanchar's Case.*

122

Virtues, and those for his sake) to bewail his Fall with great Grief, (altho' the Occasion of it was this base and barbarous Assassination premeditated for five Years together with a Malice bloody and inveterate) this extraordinary Affection of the People, was (as he himself confessed) a very great Consolation to him in his last Troubles and Afflictions. But at last their Compassion abated, because they perceived he died a true Catholick.

C A S E S

IN THE

Court of Wards.

Trin. 7 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Anthony Lowe's Case.

Anthony Lowe held 59 Acres, and a Rood of Land in *Alderwasley*, of the Manor of *Alderwasley*, by Knights Service, and Sute of Court to *Bewraper*, *de tribus septimanis in tres septimanas*, of which Manor of *Bewraper* the Manor of *Alderwasley* was Parcel; the said Manors of *Bewraper* and *Alderwasley* were Parcel of the Earldom, and afterwards of the Dutchy of *Lancaster*, which Manors were held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite* before they came to the Crown. The Dutchy of *Lancaster* together with the said Manors came afterwards to the Crown by Descent: The said *Anthony* held also a Place where a capital Messuage was situate, and half an Acre of Land in *Alderwasley* held of the Manor of *Alderwasley* by Socage Tenure, and Fealty and Rent, amongst other Lands: *H. 8.* by Letters Patent under the Dutchy Seal dated 22 *Junii* anno 15 granted to the said *Anthony Lowe* and his Heirs Ancestors to the Plaintiff, whose Heir he is, the aforesaid Rent, and further ratified, remised, released, and confirmed *statum præd' Antonii in terris & tenementis præd' Habend' & tenend' præd' Antonio & heredibus suis, de nobis heredib' & successorib' nostris per fidelitatem*

rem tantum pro omni servitio seculari, exactione & demand.

And the said *Ant. Lowe* so seized of the said 59 Acres, &c. and of the Place where the capital Messuage was seized, 22 *Martii an. 19 H. 8.* the K. granted the said Manor of *Alderwasley*, and all Lands, Tenements, Rents, Reversions, and Services in *Alderwasley* and *Apsleyham* Parcel of the Duchy of *Lancaster* to *Ant. Lowe* Ancestor of the Pl. whose Heir he is, and his Heirs, to hold the said Manor, Lands, Tenements; Rents; Reversions and Services of the K. his Heirs and Successors, by the yearly Rent of 28 l. 10 s. and Fealty only for all Services, Exactions and Demands: And the said Grant was executed by Livery and Seisin: All which Premises are Parcel of the Duchy of *Lancaster*, and out of the County Palatine of *Lancaster*. And it was objected, That when the K. granted his Seigniority to his Ten't, to have to him and his Heirs, by that the ancient Tenure is extinct, and then the Law will create a Tenure *in Capite* by the Knight's Service, for the best shall be taken for the King as if the King grants Lands to another, without a Reservation or Mention (a) of any Tenure, the Law will create a Tenure *in Capite* by Knights Service for that is the best for the K. and so if the K. grants Lands (b) *absque aliquo inde reddendo*, the Law will create the like Tenure, and therewith agrees 33 *H. 6. 7. a.* for of Necessity all Land ought to be held of some Person. 2. When the King has extinguished the Services which are Parcel of the Manor of *A.* then the Tenancy shall be held as the Manor of *A.* was held, and that was a Tenure *in Capite*, and the Act of 1 *H. 4.* and divers other Acts, have divided the Possessions of the Duchy from the Crown.

But it was resolv'd, That the Tenure of the said 59 Acres, and Post and half Acre should be held by (c) Fealty only; and as to the said Objections it was answered, That when the K. grants or releases the Services to the Ten't and his Heirs, it can't extinguish the Tenure in all for Necessity of Tenure, and the K. can't by his Charter alter the Law, but it shall be (d) expounded as near the K.'s Intent as may be, and that is to extinguish all the Services, but that only which is an inseparable incident to every Tenure, and that is Fealty, For that the King may do by the Law, and *id Rex potest quod de jure potest. Vide 8 H. 7. casu ultimo.*

And as to the Cases which have been put out of the Book in (e) 33 *H. 6.* they were agreed and affirmed for good Law; but a Difference was taken when Land passes from the King by his Grant, and in his Grant (f) no Tenure is reserved, or when a Cause is added *absque aliquo inde reddendo*, there the Law will create a Tenure best for the King: But when the Land passes from a Subject, and the Law

(a) 6 Co. 6. b.
29 H. 8.
Br. Livery 57.
2 Rol. 502.
Poitca 123. b.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 3.
Plowd. 240. b.
Br. N. C. 113.
(b) Post. 123. b.
2 Rol. 502.
6 Co. 6. b.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 3.
(c) Co. Lit. 98. a.
Ley de Gardz,
&c.
(d) 1 Co. 49. a.
6 Co. 6. a. 7. a.
56. a.
8 Co. 77. a. 56.
a. b. 1666. b.
9 Co. 30. a.
10 Co. 67. b.
11 Co. 11. a.
2 Inst. 496. 497.
1 Rol. 200.
3 Bulltr 6.
Kelw. 175. a.
198. a.
2 Sid: 141.
3 Leon. 249.
Plow. 32. a.
126. a. 143. b.
Hard. 500.
Fitz. grant 29.
Br. Exemp. 9.
Co. Lit. 98. a.
(e) 33 H. 6. 7. a.
(f) 2 Rol. 502.

(a) Co. Lit. 98. a.

(b) Lit. sect.

13.
Co. Lit. 98. a.

for Necessity changes one Tenure to another, there the Law, which is *aequissimus iudex*, will create a Tenure as (a) near the Freedom of the first Tenure as may be: As if a Bishop or (b) other Man of the Church held certain Land of the King in Frankalmoigne, and at the Common Law had infeoffed another and his Heirs of the same Land, in this Case the Feoffee shall hold by Fealty only, for that is as near the Freedom of the Tenure in Frankalmoigne as may be, and so was it resolved in *Lowe's Case*.

And the Reasons and Causes of this Difference is, because in the first Case the Land moves from the King, and therefore shall be Subject to such Tenure as the Law will create; but when Ten't in Frankalmoigne enfeoffs another, there the Feoffee is in by a Subject, and not by the King, for in such case the King departs with nothing. Also in this later Case the Law doth not create any Tenure originally, as it doth in the first Case, but only changes one Tenure into another, *f.* a Tenure in Frankalmoigne into a Tenure by Fealty only.

(c) Br. Tenure

3.

33 H. 6, 7. a.

6 Co. 6. b.

29 H. 8.

Br. Livery 57.

2 R. 1. 502.

Ant. 123. a.

Ley de Gards,

&c. 3.

Plow. 240. b.

Br. N. Ca. 113.

(d) 2 Rol. 502,

516.

33 H. 6, 7. a.

6 Co. 6. b.

Ley de Gards,

&c. 3.

Br. Tenure 3.

Ant. 123. a.

(e) 2 Co. 25. b.

5 Co. 100. a.

8 Co. 152. a.

Co. Lit. 10. a.

43. a. 166. b.

174. b. 271. b.

(f) Lit. Rep.

49.

(g) Co. Lit.

69. a. 83. b.

2 Rol. 506.

7 Co. 33. b.

And it was resolved, That when the King grants any Land (c) without Reservation of any Tenure, or (d) *absq; aliquo inde reddendo*, or the like, there the Land by Operation of Law shall be held of the K. *in Capite* by Knights Service, according to the Rate and Proportion of Land which belongs to a Knight's Fee, and so of more more, and of less less; for the Act of Law respects Equity, and will never charge any one with more or less than in Equity and Reason he owes: (e) *Ipsa etenim leges cupiunt ut jure regantur*. And the Case at Bar is stronger, because the King upon the Grant of the Services, limits the Tenure to be by Fealty only, (f) for all Services, Exactions and Demands. And the Justices took no Regard of the Tenure before the Crown and Dutchy were united in one and the same Person, for as long as they remain in one Person, the ancient Tenures of the Crown *dormiunt perpetuo somno*, for the King can't hold of himself.

Note Reader, there are many and divers Opinions of the Content (g) of a Knight's Fee; some say, that a Hide or a Carve of Land contains 100 Acres, and that eight Hides or 800 Acres of Land make a Knight's Fee: And others hold, that 680 Acres of Land make it. Others say, *Quod bovata terræ continet 15 acras, & 8 bovata faciunt carucatam terræ*, by which account a Carve of Land contains one hundred and twenty Acres, and divers other Opinions are concerning these Matters. But I conceive, That a Knight's Fee, or Hide, or Carve, or Yard, or Oxbang,
of

of Land, doth not contain any certain Number of Acres : but a Knight's Fee is properly to be (a) estimated according to the Quality, and not according to the Quantity, i. by the Value, and not by the Content; and therefore it is true, *quod Doctissimus Cambden in sua Britannia. p. 126. asserit, viz. * Subsequenti etate ex censu ut colligitur facti fuer' Equites, &c.* and Antiquity thought that (b) 20 l. of Land was sufficient to maintain the Degree of a Knight, as it appears in the ancient Treatise *De modo tenendi Parliamentum tempore Regis Edwardi filii Regis Etheldredi*; where it appears *Quod Comitatus, (viz. an Earldom) constat ex (c) viginti feodis unius militis, quolibet feodo computato ad viginti libratas; Baronia constat ex (d) 13 feodis, & 13 parte unius feodi militis, secundum computationem prædicti unum feodum militis constat ex terris ad valentiam (e) 20 l.* which Antiquity I cite, because it concurs with the Act of Parliament *anno 1 E. 2. de militibus*, by which Act (f) *census militaris* the Estate of a Knight is measured by the Value of 20 l. of Land *per ann.* and not by any certain Content of Acres: And therewith agrees the Statute of *W. 1. c. (g) 35. & F. N. B. 82.* where 20 l. of Land in Socage is put in Equipage with a Knight's Fee, and that is the most reasonable Estimation, for one Acre may be better than many others, so that he who (b) had 680 Acres, or 800 Acres of some barren Land had not a sufficient Revenue to maintain the Degree of a Knight; and he who had a less Number of Acres of some Land, had a living *in diebus illis* sufficient for the Maintenance of a Knight. So Antiquity thought that (i) 400 Marks of Land *per ann.* was a Competent living of a Baron; and 400 l. *per ann.* *ad sustinendum nomen & onus (k)* of an Earl; and of late Time 800 Marks *per ann.* of a Marquess, and 800 l. *per ann.* of a * Duke: So that their annual Revenue was estimated by the Value, and not by the Content. (m) And a Carve of Land *Carucata terra*, or a Hide of Land, *Hida terræ*, (which is all one) is not of any certain Content, but as much as a Plough can plough in a Year, and therewith agrees *Lambard, verbo Hyde*. And a Carve of Land may (n) contain an House, Wood, Meadow, and Pasture, because by them the Ploughmen and the Beasts of the Plough are maintained: And therewith agree, *Temp. E. 1. (o) Tit. Brief 160. 4 E. 3. 47. Plo. Com. in Hill and Grange's Case 168. Vide 6 E. 3. 42. & 39 H. 6. 8. a.* And *venerabilis Beda* calls a Plough-Land *familiam*, because it contains necessary Things for the Maintenance of a Family. And (p) *Priest* well said in 35 H. 6. 29. That a Plough may plough more Land in a Year in some Country than in another

(a) 2 Rol. 506.
Co. Lit. 69. a.* Co. Lit.
86. a.
(b) Co. Lit.
83. b.(c) Co. Lit.
83. b. 69. a.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 5.(d) Co. Lit.
83. b. 69. a.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 5.(e) Co. Lit.
69. a. 83. b.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 5.(f) Co. Lit.
69. a.
(g) Co. Lit.
69. a.
(h) Co. Lit.
69. a.(i) Co. Lit.
69. a.(k) Co. Lit.
69. a.(l) Co. Lit.
69. a.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 5.* Co. Lit.
69. a.
Ley de Gardz,
&c. 5.(m) Co. Lit.
69. a.
(n) Co. Lit.
69. a.(o) Co. Lit.
69. a.(p) Co. Lit.
69. a.

Anthony Lowe's Case. PART IX.

ther Country, and therefore it stands with Reason that a
 (a) Carve of Land should be less in one Place than in another; 41 E. 3. Fine 40. & 13 E. 3. Fine 67. A Fine shall not be received *de (b) una virgata terræ*, for the Incertainty; Vide 39 H. 6. 8. But an Acre of Land is certain by the Statute (c) *de terris mensurandis*. (d) Nota also Reader, That every Carve of Land was of ancient Time of the yearly Value of five Nobles *per ann.* and that was the Living of a Sokeman or Yeoman, & *ex (e) duodecim carucatis constabit unum feodum militis*, which amounts to 20 *l. per ann.* and this you may see *Termino Paschæ anno 5 E. 1. coram Rogero de (f) Seyton & sociis suis Justiciariis apud Westm' Ebor' rot. 101 Radulphus de Normanville petens in brevi de medio queritur contra Luciam de Kyme quod cum ipse teneat de ipsa duas caretatas terra in Conington per homagium & servitium militare, unde duodecim carucata terra faciunt unum feodum militis pro omni servitio, ipsa distrinxit ipsum ad faciendum scotam ad curiam suam de Thornton in Craven, &c.* And it is to be observed that the (g) Relief of a Knight and of all superiors who are Nobles, is the 4 Part of their Revenue *per ann.* as of a Knight 5 *l.* which is the 4 Part of 20 *l.* So *una Baronia constat ex 13 feodis militum, & de 3 parte unius feodi militis*, which amount to 400 Marks; and therefore his Relief is the 4 Part of it, *sc. 100 Marks*; and an Earldom consists of 20 Knights Fees, which amount to 400 *l.* and therefore his Relief is 100 *l.* and this appears by the Statute of *Magna Charta, chap. 2.* and by the Equiry of that Statute, forasmuch as a Marquesdom which consists of the Revenues of two Baronies, which amount to 800 Marks, he shall pay according to just Proportion for his Relief 200 Marks; and because a Dukedom consists of the Revenues of two Earldoms, *sc. 800 l. per ann.* a Duke shall pay 200 *l.* for Relief; which is also the 4 Part of his Revenue, and therewith agree the Records of the Exchequer. Nota Reader, at the Time of the making of the Statute of *Magna Charta, s. 9 H. 3.* there was not any Duke, Marquels or Viscount in *England*, (and therefore the Statute does not make Mention of them) and (i) the eldest Son of King E. 3. called the Black Prince, was the first Duke in *England* after the Conquest, and Robert Earl of (k) *Oxford*, in the Reign of R. 2. was the first Marquels. *sic enim inter ordines Angliæ in sua Britannia restatur Cambden, ubi supra. Et titulus (l) Marchionis serius ad nos devenit, nec antè R. 2. tempora cuiquam delatus; ille enim Robertum Vere Oxoniæ comitem delicias suas primum Marchionem Dubliniæ designavit, merumque erat honoris nomen. Hæc ille.* And before the Reign of K. H. 6. there was not any (m) Viscount, *sic enim idem Author ubi supra*

(a) Co. Lit. 69. a.

(b) Co. Lit. 69. a.

(c) Co. Lit. 69. a.

(d) Co. Lit. 69. a.

(e) Co. Lit. 69. a.

(f) Co. Lit. 69. a.

(g) 2 Rol. 515, 516. Co. Lit. 69. b. 83. b. 7 Co. 33. b. 34. a.

(h) Co. Lit. 69. b.

(i) Co. Lit. 69. b.

(k) Co. Lit. 69. b.

(l) Co. Lit. 69. b.

(m) Co. Lit. 9. b.

PART IX. Anthony Lowe's Case:

125

pra afferit. (a) *Post comites, Vicecomites ordine sequuntur, Vicounts nos vocamus; hac vetus officii sed nova dignitatis appellatio, & H. 6. tempore ad nos primum audita. Hæc ille. Et Dominus de (b) Bello monte was the first Viscount created by King H. 6. Vide Cassaneum in gloria mundi, parte 4. consider' 55. That this Dignity of Viscount is of great Antiquity in other Realms.*

(a) Co. Lit.
69. b.

(b) Co. Lit.
69. b.

Hill.

Hill. 8 Jac. Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Floyer's Case.

Cr. Jac. 294,
295.

Anthony Floyer and *Anne* his Wife were seised in their Demefne as of Fee, in the Right of the said *Anne* of the 4 Part of the Manor of *Burdoceston*, *alias Burston*, held by Knights Service *in Capite*, and *Anno 36 Eliz.* levied a Fine thereof to *Cribbe* and *Radway*, and to the Heirs of *Cribbe*, and the Conusees granted and render'd the said 4 Part to the said *Anthony* and *Anne*, and to the Heirs of the said *Anthony* on the Body of the said *Anne* lawfully begotten, and for want of such Issue to the Use of the right Heirs of the said *Anthony*: And afterwards the said *Anthony* and *Anne Anno 2 Jac. Regis* levied a Fine *come ceo*, &c. of the said 4 Part to *Wadham* and *Manwaring*, to the Use of the said *Anthony* and *Anne*, for the Term of their two lives, and afterwards to the Use of *Anthony* their eldest Son in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of *William* their second Son in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of *John* their third Son in Tail; and afterwards to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of the said *Anthony* and *Anne*, and for want of such Issue to the Use of the right Heirs of the said *Anne*: And afterwards *Anthony* the Father died, *Anthony* his Son being within Age, *s.* of the Age of fourteen Years, the said *Anne* being yet alive. And the Question was, if the K. in this Case should have the Wardship of the Body of *Anthony* the Son, and of the 3 Part of the said 4 Part, or any of them; and it was argued on the King's Part, That the King in this Case had two Titles
to

to the Wardship of the Body; and ought also to have the third Part of the fourth Part of the Manor. And the first Title to the Body was by the Proviso, in the End of the Stat. of 32 H. 8. c. 1. For by the said first Fine the fourth Part was rendred to *Anthony* the Father and *Anne*, and to the Heirs of *Anthony* of the Body of *Anne*; and altho' the Stat. saith; *Where two or more Persons now hold, or hereafter shall hold any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments of the King by Knight Service jointly to them, and to the Heirs of one of them, and he that hath the Inheritance thereof dieth, his Heir being within Age, that in every such Case the King shall have the Ward and Marriage of the Body of such Heir so being within Age, the Life of the Freeholder or Freeholders, &c. notwithstanding.* Yet if two are seised to them, and to the Heirs of the Body of one, and he who has the Estate-tail dies, his Heir within Age, he shall be in Ward, for that is in equal Degree, *qd' fuit quoad hoc concessum per tot' Cur'. Vide 7 El. Dyer 237. & 35 H. 8. 54. (a) Sir David Owen's Case.* And it was said, that altho' the Husband and Wife have altered the Estate before the Death of *Anth.* the Father, yet forasmuch as they have not conveyed the Land but to the Use of themselves and their Issues, it shall not toll the Interest which the King had by the first Fine, by Force of the said Act. The 2 Title which the King had to the Ward of the Body, was upon the second Fine, for by the second Fine the Use is limited to the Wife for her Life, which is directly within the said Act of 32 H. 8. and also of the Act of 34 H. 8. and for this Reason also the King should have the Wardship of the third Part of the said fourth Part of the Manor.

Cr. Jac. 40.

Cr. Jac. 40.

Dy. 237. pl. 30.

(a) Dyers 4. 55.

pl. 1, 2, 3. 4.

As to the first Point upon the Statute of 32 H. 8. it was answered and resolved, that forasmuch as the Estate limited by the Render of the first Fine did not continue till the Death of *Anthony* the Father, this Case was out of the said Proviso; for the Words thereof are *And he that hath the Inheritance thereof dieth, &c.* So that he ought to have the Inheritance (either in Fee-simple, or in Tail) at the Time of his Death. But in this Case *Anthony* the Father had but an Estate for Life in Possession, and altho' the second Conveyance was but a voluntary Conveyance for the establishing of the Land upon his Issues; yet forasmuch as thereby the said *Anthony* had not any Estate of Inheritance at the Time of his Death, it is out of the said Proviso; for as the first was voluntary for the Advancement of the Husband and his Issues, so was the second voluntary also.

32 H. 8. c. 1.

As to the second, it was resolved, that altho' by the second Fine,

Fine, the Estate which the Wife had by the first Fine was barred and altered, and now she has the Estate by the second Fine, out of the Estate which the Husband had by the first Fine, yet it is out of the Stat. of 32 & 34 H. 8. for the Words of the Stat. are *to and for the Advancement of his Wife*; and it was resolved that the Estate which the Wife had by the Limitation of the Use upon the second Fine was not any (a) Advancement of the Wife; for it is no more than she had by the first Fine, for by both she had an Estate for Life; and the first Estate for Life by the first Fine can't be an Advancement of the Wife by the Husband, for the Land was the Inheritance of the Wife, and moved from her; and upon the second Fine, if no Estate had been limited, the Law would have reserved to her such Estate in the Use as she had in the Land, as it is agreed in *Colgate* and (b) *Blythe's Case*, in the 1st Part of my Reports; and therefore it is not any more Advancement to her than she had before; and therefore it is out of the said Statutes.

It was also resolved, that forasmuch as the Estate of the Wife was out of the Statutes, no (c) Wardship either of the Body or of the Land could accrue to the King in respect of the Estates in Remainder limited to the Sons, &c. during the Wife's Life, for the Wife was Tenant to the King during her Life, and the Advancement of the Sons in Remainder when the Estate for Life is out of the Statute, shall not give the King Wardship either of the Body or of the Land. But if a Man has a Reversion in Fee expectant upon an Estate for Life, held of the King by Knight's Service, if he conveys this Reversion to the Use of his Wife, or his Children, &c. and dies, that shall give Cause of Wardship of the Body during the Life of the Tenant for Life, there the Tenant for Life is not the King's Tenant, but he in Reversion. And it was said, if a Man holds of the King by Knights Service, and makes a Lease for Life, the Remainder to two, and to the Heirs of one of them, and he who has the Fee dies, living the Tenant for Life, this is within the Letter of the said Proviso of the Act of 32 H. 8. For the Words are, *Where 2 or more hold, &c. any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments jointly to them, and to the Heirs of one of them, and he that hath the Inheritance thereof dieth, &c.* and this Remaind. is an Hereditam. and is held of the K. But during the Life of the Ten't for Life, it is not immediately held of the K. and therefore in such Case the Heir of him who has the Fee shall not be in Ward. And *Hill. 25* *El.* in the Court of Wards, *Wray* Chief Just. said, that it was resolved by the 2 Chief Justices, and the Court of Wards; That if the Heir of him who has the Fee is of full Age, and the Heir dies, living the Tenant for Life, his Heir within Age, that he shall not be in Ward for his Body within this Proviso, for the Words of the

(a) Palm. 214.
Cr. Jac. 625.
Hob. 51.
Cr. Jac. 295.

(b) 1 Co. 127. a. b.
Golds. 67, 68,
69, 70.
1 Anderf. 164.
Moor 196, 197.
2 Anderf. 78.
4 Leon. 88, 89,
90.
Co. Ent. 603.
pl. 18.
2 Co. 56. b.
58. b.
Palm. 214.
Godb. 180.
(c) Cr. Jac. 295.
Moor 177.

AG are, *And he that hath the Inheritance thereof dieth,*
&c. his Heir within Age, that in every such Case; so that
his Heir at the Time of his Death ought to be within Age,
which is to be intended of the immediate Heir, and not of
the mediate Heir. *Vide 2 Eliz. Dyer 172. in Lane's Case.*

Co. Lit. 78. 2d.
Palmer 214.
Dy. 172. pl. 12.
Co. 76. b.

Hill.

Hill. 8 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Sunday's Case.

Swinb. 112.
Brigdm. 137.

M Erick Sunday being seised in Fee of an House in *Lambeth* held in Chief by Knights Service 7 Aprilis 1587, by his Will in Writing devised the said House to *Margaret* his Wife, for Life, and after her Decease his Son *William* to have it, and if his Son *William* marry, and have by his Wife any Male Issue lawfully begotten of his Body, then his Son to have it; if he have no Male Issue lawfully begotten of his Body, then his Son *Samuel* to have the House; if *Samuel* marry, and have Issue Male of his Body lawfully begotten, that then his Son to have the House after his Decease; if no Issue Male, then his Son *Thomas* to have the House; if *Thomas* marry, having a Male Issue of his Body lawfully begotten, then his Son to have the House after his Decease; if he have no Issue Male, then his Son *Richard* in like Manner, & totidem Verbis, and so to *Daniel* totidem Verbis; And then he adds this Clause, *And his Will and Mind was, that if any of his Sons, or their Heirs Males Issue of their Bodies go about at any Time to alienate, or mortgage the House, that then the next Heir to enter upon*

upon the House and enjoy it: And afterwards Merick died, and William died without Issue Male, having Issue Margaret, (who had the 3 Part of the said House in respect of the Tenure) Samuel also died without Issue Male, Thomas entered into 2 Parts, and Trin. 6 Jac. he and his Wife suffered a common Recovery with single Voucher, which was to the Use of the said Thomas and his Heirs, and afterwards 17 Dec. Thomas died without Issue Male, and in this Case two Questions were moved, 1. What Estate Thomas had. 2. If by the Suffering of the said Recovery, he had forfeited his Estate, and that thereupon the Entry of the said Richard was lawful or not.

As to the first it was objected, That when Merick devised, that Thomas his Son shall have his House, if the Will had not gone further, he should have had but for Life, then when he added, *if Thomas my Son marry, having a Male Issue, that then his Son to have the House*, that is an express Devise to the Son that he shall have, and not to himself. But it was answered and resolved, That as well the said Thomas as the other Sons have an Estate (a) Tail to them severally, and to the Heirs Males of their Bodies; and that for three Reasons. 1. Because he farther saith, *if he hath no Issue Male, his Son Richard to have it*, which is as much as to say, if Thomas dies without Issue Male, which Words are sufficient to create an Estate-tail in him. 2. The said last Clause, *if any of his Sons, or their Heirs Males Issue of their Bodies go about, &c.* which explains the first Words, that the Male Issue shall be Heir, and take by Descent, the first Words, *that his Son shall have the House after his Decease, i. shall have it as Heir*; for the Words of the Will make it manifest, *and if any of their Sons, or their Heirs Males Issue of their Bodies, &c.* also after it is said, *that then the next Heir to enter*. 3. The Thing prohibited proves it also; for as well his Sons as their Heirs Males are prohibited to alien or mortgage, &c. and every Restraint (b) implies (and especially in a Will) that the Parties (if the Restraint had not been made) had Power to do that which is prohibited, which is the Reason that he restrains them. And if his Sons should have but an Estate for Life, (c) this Clause of Restraint, That if they should alien, &c. that then the next Heir should enter, &c. would be idle, and of none Effect.

As to the 2 Point, it was resolved by the 2 Ch. Justices, Ch. Baron, and the Court of Wards, That no (d) Condition or Limitation, be it by Act executed, or by Limitation of an Use,

(a) Bridg. 137.
Swinb. 112.
Lit. Rep. 8, 259,
347.
Moore 128.
Cr. Jac. 416, 695.
Cro. Car. 367,
369.
Hard. 149.

(b) Bridgm. 137.
(c) Cr. Car. 185.
(d) 2 Rol. Rep.
463.
Swinb. 112.
Cr. Jac. 697, 698;
Godb. 351.
10 Co. 37. a.
38. b.
39. a. 42. b.
6 Co. 41. a.
Hob. 170.
Co. Lit. 223. b.
224. a.
2 Brownl. 67.
1 Rol. 418.
Cart. 23.

(*) Car. 23.

1 Rol. 418.

2 Brownl. 67.

10 Co. 37.a.

38.b. 39.a. 42.b.

Co. Lit. 223.b. 224. a.

351. Swin. 112.

Use, or by Devise in a last Will, can bar Tenant in Tail from aliening by (a) common Recovery for the Causes and Reasons reported at large in the 6 Part of my Reports, in Sir Anthony Mildmay's Case; and according to those Resolutions the Case was decreed, &c.

Hob. 170. 6 Co. 41. a. Cr. Jac. 697, 698. 2 Rol. Rep. 468. Godb.

Pasch.

Pasch. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Quick's Case.

Queen Elizabeth Lady, John Northcote, and Thomas Quick Tenants in Common, of the Manor of Newton (being the Mesnalty held of Queen in Capite by Knights Service, and one Will. Bodley Tenant peravail of three Acres of Meadow, called Warram Meadow, held of the Manor of N. by Knights Service. Thomas Quick, 54 El. infeoffed Babb and others of his Moiety of the said Manor, to the Use of himself for his Life, and after to the Use of John Quick his Son and Heir apparent in Tail, and afterwards Will. Bodley of the said three Acres of Meadow infeoffed the said John Quick and his Heirs, and afterwards John Quick five Days before his Death, and being sick, by Collusion betwixt him and his Father, infeoffed his Father and his Heirs, to the Intent to defraud the said Job. Northcote of the Wardship of Andrew, Son and Heir of John Quick, being an Infant within Age, and afterwards John Quick died; after whose Death John Northcote seized the Body of Andrew Quick, and afterwards Thomas Quick died, after whose Death the Moiety of the said Manor descended to Andrew Quick. The Question was, Whether the Wardship of the Body and of the Moiety of the said three Acres of Meadow, belonged to the King, or to the said John Northcote. And this Case was argued by Counsel learned on both sides, in *Hillary* and *Easter Terms*: and 2 Questions were moved in this Case.

1. When J. Quick Ten't in Tail in Remaind. of the Mesnalty died, his Heir within Age, then accru'd to the K. beginning of
S
Wardship,

Wardship. *f.* when Ten't for Life died, and by the Death of *John* accrued to *Northcote* Wardship of the Body, in respect of the Feoffm. by Collusion of the said 3 Acres of Meadow, and of the Moiety of the 3 Acres of Meadow, and afterwards when *Tho. Quick* who was Ten't for Life of the Mesnalty died, then was the King's Title, which was begun before, consummated; and therefore it was argued, that the K.'s Title should be preferred; for now the K.'s Title is by the Descent from him in the Remaind. and the Death of the Ten't for Life is but the removing of the Impedim. *Et quando jus Dom' Reg' & subditi insimul (a) concurrunt, jus Reg' preferri debet.* As in *Dame Hale's Case*, (b) Husband and Wife Joint-ten'ts of a Term for Years, the Husb. in *felo de se*, he shall forfeit the Whole, *Plow. Com.* 262. and yet there it survives till Office, but after Office it has Relation, either before, or at least to the Time of the Death. So in the Case at Bar altho' the K.'s Title is not full till the Death of the Ten't for Life, yet when he dies, then the K.'s Title is by the Descent which accrues together with the Title of *Northcote* by the Death of *Joh. Quick*. *Nota* the Case put by *Weston* in *Dame Hale's Case* of descent to a (c) Villain being Ideot, 263. *b. Vide* 44 *E.* 3. 25. *a.* if the K. and a common Person join in a Foundat. the K. is Founder. As to that it was answered and resolved, that in this Case the Interest vested in *Northcote* shall not be devested; for the Title of *Northcote* was (d) consummated by the Death of *J. Quick*, but by his *Death the King had but a Possibility if *Thomas* should die during his Minority; for if *And. Quick* had come of full Age, during the Life of *Tho. Quick*, he should never be in Ward, altho' he was within Age at the Time of the Descent of the Remaind. And *Bingham's Case* in the 2 Part of my Reports *f.* 91. proves, that it is but a Possibility: For if after the Descent of the Remaind. and before the Death of the Tenant for Life, the Seigniorie is granted over, and afterwards the Ten't for Life dies, the Heir of him in the Remaind. within Age, neither the Grantor, nor the Grantee shall have the Wardship of him. *Vide* 24 *E.* 3. 25. the Case of the D. of *Lancaster*: But the said Point never came in Question, for by the Feoffm. of *J. Quick* of the said 3 Acres to *Thomas*, the Mesnalty as to the said 3 Acres was extinct, because *T. Quick* had the Reversion of the Mesnalty; so that the Reversion being extinct, no particular Estate of the Mesnalty, either for Life or in Tail, can remain. And that was the clear Opinion of the two *Ch. Justices* and *Ch. Baron.* *Vide* 3 *H.* 6. 1. 15 *E.* 4. 12. & 40 *E.* 3. 14. the Case of Warranty.

2. It was moved, That when the Tenant makes a Feoffment to certain Persons by Collusion, that the Lord ought to recover the Land by Writ of *Right of Ward*, before he shall have a Writ of *Ravishment of Ward*: and therewith agree *F. N. B.* 134. *k.* 12 *H.* 4. 13. *b.* 13 *H.* 6. 16. by *Prisor*, and the Statute of 34 *H.* 8. in Case of Collusion gives a Writ of *Right of Ward* for the Body

(a) Hardr. 24.
Co. Lit. 30. b.
4 Co. 55. a.
(b) Hardr. 24.

(c) Hardr. 24.
Co. Lit. 30. b.

(d) Hardr. 27.
* Polt. 132. a.

Anrea 126. b.

and Land, and therefore in this Case *Northcote* could not seize the Ward till he had recovered the Land; and then it was objected, That the Title of the King by the Death of *Thomas Quick* is in Possession, and shall be preferred before the Title of *Northcote*, which is only in Action. As to that it was resolved, That the Title of Wardship which accrued to him by the Death of *John Quick*, (altho' it should be in Action) should not be devested by the Death of another Ancestor, *s. of Thomas Quick*.

Trin. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Bewley's Case.

Leonard Bewley seised in Fee of an Houfe and certain Lands in *Culgath* in the County of *Cumberland*, died thereof seised 25 *Jan. an.* 38 *El.* after whose Death it was found by Office, that the said Houfe and Lands, with the Manor of *Culgath*, whereof they were Parcel, by the Attainder of Treason of *Andrew Hartley* were forfeited to King *E. 2.* and afterwards *K. E. 2.* by his Letters Patent granted the said Manor, whereof, &c. to *Morisby* in Fee, tenend' de nobis & hæredibus nostris per servitium medietat' feodi unius militis imperpetuum, & reddend' inde nobis & hæred' nostr' per annum ad *Scaccar'* 10l. & faciend' aliis Capital' Dom' feodi illius si qui fuer', reddit' & servitia quæ inde debebantur antequam ad manus nostras devenerunt, Salvis nobis & hæred' nostr' feod' militum, & ad vocationibus ecclesiarum, &c. and found further the other Points of the Writ: By Office after the Death of *Morisby* anno 22 *E. 3.* it is found, that the Manor of *Culgath*, whereof, &c. is, and before the Attainder of *Hartley* was held of *Robert Nevil* of *Horneby*, qui illud tenuit de Domino Rege in capite per servitium 16 s. & 8d. ad cornagium solvend' ad festum assumption' beatæ *Maria* pro toto anno. Et Juratores præd' ulterius dicunt, quod post mortem *Christophori* de *Morisby* 3 partes dicti manerii tenentur de Dom' Rege per servitium militare: And by an Office found anno 29 *E. 3.* and another 48 *E. 3.* and by a Record anno 8 *H. 4.* in computo *Collectorum rationabilis auxilii*, &c. and by Office anno 17 *H. 8.* and by Office anno 38 *H. 8.* it was found (altho' it was not in one and the same Manner) that the said Manor of *Culgath* was held of the King per servitium militare.

Ley de Gardes,
&c. 4.]

And

And it was resolved by the two *Chief Justices*, and the *Chief Baron*, that by the Patent of King *E. 2.* the Tenure of the Mesne should be revived, altho' the King in the first Place had reserved to himself other Services; *f. Knights Service*, where the Mesne before the Attainder held of the King in Socage, as appears by the said Office in 22 *E. 3.* and altho' the King had reserved another Rent, yet because the King for his Honour, and in Advancement of the ancient Right according to Equity and Conscience, expressly intended that the Menalty should be revived (which by the Attainder of the Tenant peravail by Rigour of Law, without the Fault of the Mesne was extinct) the Clause of Revivor of the Mesnalty should be preferred before his Profit; and therefore the Tenant peravail should hold of the Mesne, as he held before the Attainder, and the Restitution of an ancient Right should be preferred. And Sir *J. Molyne's Case* in the 6 *Part of my Reports*, f. 5. was affirmed for good Law. *Vide* 2 *E. 3.* 33. seu 60. b. 8 *E. 3.* 283, (a) 17 *E. 3.* 59, (a) 6 *Co. 6. a. b.* 25 *E. 3.* 46. 46 *E. 3.* *Petition (b)* 19. 49 *E. 3.* 10. 22 *Aff.* 53. 31 *Aff. p.* 50. 4 *H. 6.* 20 33 *H. 6.* 7. *Nota* upon the said Books a Difference betwixt a Creation of a new Tenure, without any aspect to the ancient Right, for there the first Reservation shall stand; and betwixt a Restitution of an ancient Tenure; for that shall be preferred before the Reservation, which is first in Words. *Nota* a good Difference.

Ley de Gard,
8c. 4.

6 Co. 5. b. 6. a.
6 Co. 6. a.
11 Co. 73. b.
74. a. b.
2 Inst. 50r.
(b) 6 Co. 6. a.
Lit' Rep. 43.
2 Inst. 501.

*Mich. 9 Jacobi Regis.**In Curia Wardorum.**Thomas Holt's Case.*

F*Francis Holt* the Grandfather had Issue *Thomas Holt* the Father, his eldest Son, and four other Sons, *Thomas* had Issue *Francis*; *Francis* the Grandfather being seised of divers Lands in Fee in the County of *Lancaster*, Part of which were held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*, and the rest held of others, conveyed Part of his Lands held, and of the other Lands not held, to the Use of *Thom. Holt* the Father, and *Constance* his Wife, yet living, for their Lives, and afterwards to the Use of *Francis* the Son, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, with divers Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to *Francis* the Grandfather for Life with other Remainders in Tail, the Reversion in Fee to the Right Heirs of *Francis* the Grandfather, and conveyed other Lands held, &c. to the Use of himself for Life, with several Remainders to other of his younger Sons then living, for their Lives severally, the Remainder to *Thomas* the Father for his Life, the Remainder to *Francis* the Grandfather, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, with divers other Remainders, the Reversion in Fee to *Francis* the Grandfather and his Heirs; *Francis Holt* the Grandfather died, *Thomas* the Father being of full Age, who tendred his Livery, and died before Livery sued, or Office found: *Francis* the Son being of full Age, and all this is found by Office, *Francis* the Son continues the Livery, *Constance* the Wife of *Thomas* the Father, and the four younger Sons of *Francis* are yet living. And two Questions were moved in this Case: 1. If the King should have any primer Seisin in this Case in Possession. 2. If he should have any primer Seisin for the Reversion in Fee (expectant upon the said Estates-tail) which descended after the Death of the said *Thomas* the Father.

And

And in this case these points (the case being often debated, and good considerat. had) were resolv'd. As to the first 3 points were resolv'd. 1. That by the death of *Tbo.* before livery sued, the K. had lost having any primer seisin after the death of *Fra.* the Grandf. as before in (a) *Northcote's case*, and in (b) *Hale's case in the 8 part of my reports*, and oftentimes it has been resolv'd. And there is a difference betwixt livery or primer seisin, and mean rates, for livery or primer seisin is lost by the death of the Heir, but mean Rates, if any are due, nor; for they are absolutely vested in the K. 2. That *Fr.* the son should not sue livery, or pay any primer seisin, because he was out of the stat. of 32 *H. 8.* & 34 *H. 8.* as also it has been oftentimes resolv'd, because after the death of the grandfath. primer seisin was due by the father, and the son living the father is not within the stat. 3. That where the statutes of 32 & 34 *H. 8.* give the K. primer seisin in case of acts executed, that if the K. has a primer seisin, the stat. is (c) satisfied, and he shall not have of others in remaind. or of the younger sons, &c. as the common experience is in the court of wards. Then it was strongly urged, that in this case for as much as a right and interest of primer seisin was vested in the K. altho' afterwards by the act of God by the death of *Tb.* the primer seisin by act in law is discharg'd, yet for as much as the K. should have but one primer seisin, that for the land convey'd to the younger sons the K. should not have any primer seisin; but it should be accounted the laches of the K.'s officers, that they did not force *Tb.* to sue livery, or have taken security of him to answer it. But it was resolv'd, that the King should have primer seisin for the lands convey'd to the younger sons in this case, because they are within one of the 3 cases in which wardship and primer seisin are given to the K. by the said acts, s. advancem. of his wife, preferm. of his children, and paym. of his debts: And the reason and cause of this resolution was, that when the said acts give the K. primer seisin, it is intended of an actual and effectual primer seisin, and not of any which is mathematical and imaginary; for the K. ought always to have the full and compleat * effect of the thing which is due to him; and therefore if one who is within the said 3 cases dies before livery, so that the K. has lost his primer seisin, and has not the effect of the stat. the K. shall have primer seisin of others who are within one of the said 3 cases (but not of any other who is out of the said 3 cases) and this resolut. is well prov'd by former resolutions and authorities in the like cases; and therefore if the K. has title to present by lapse *hac vice*, and he presents, and his clerk is admitted and instituted, and dies before induction, the (d) K. shall present again, for he has not the full and compleat effect of his presentat. as it was resolv'd by Sir *James Dyer & totam Curiam* in *Giles Case* 18 *El. in Comuni Banco*. So if the King marries a daughter whom he has in (e) ward, *infra annos nobiles*, and before the age of consent the Husband dies, the King shall have the marriage of the heir again, because the first marriage was

(a) Ant. 129. b.
(b) 8 Co. 172. b

(c) 2 Co. 93. b
Co. Lit. 78. a.

* 1 Co. 42. a.
Lit. Rep. 135.

(d) Gr. fac. 463.
2 Rol. 353, 354.
Lit. Rep. 135.
Dy. 360. pl. 7.
(e) Co. Lit. 79. b.
Lit. Rep. 135.
6 Co. 22. b.
Moor. 742.
Ley Gards,
&c. 7.

not compleat, as it is resolved in *Ambrosia Gores's Case* in the 6 Part of my Reports, f. 22. b. and yet in these Cases a common Person shall be barred. In (a) 6 E. 3. 56. a. b. the Case was such, King H. 3. granted the Honour of *S. Wolreyc* with the Advowson of *Mixby* thereto appendant, *Rich. Com' Cornub' & Reg' Almannorum*, and to the Heirs of his Body, saving the Reversion to the King, which Earl had Issue *Edmund* his Son, and died, *Edmund* his Son *Octab' Purific' an.* 8 E. 1. levied a Fine of one Acre of Land Parcel of the said Honour, with the Advowson of the said Church of *Mixby*, to the Bishop of *Rochester*, &c. which Alienation was before the Statute *de Donis Conditionalibus* made anno 13 E. 1. and afterwards the said *Edmund* Earl of *Cornwall* died without Issue. And by the Authority of that Book it appears, that altho' the Alienation was made before the Statute, and *post prolem suscitatum*, the Donors and their Issues *habuerunt potestatem alienandi* to bar the Reversion of common Persons, yet it should not bar the K.'s Reversion, altho' it be with collateral Warranty, if there be not Affets descended, so that the K. may have a full Satisfaction and Recompence, for without Warranty and Recompence it is not such compleat Alienation, because it moved from him who had not a compleat Estate, which should bar the King of his Reversion: And therewith agrees (b) 45 Ass. p. 6. and yet in the Case of a common Person such bare Alienation before the Statute, without any Warranty, or collateral Warranty, without any Alienation would bar the Donor in such Case. As to 2 it was resolved, and so was it affirmed by the Attorney of the Court of Wards, That the Usage had always been in such Cases; that *Francis* being of full Age at the Death of *Thomas* the Father, the King should not have Primer Seisin of the said seck and fruitless Reversion in the Case at Bar; and the Reason is, because the Words of *Prærogativa Regis c. 3.* are (c) *Rex habebit primam seisinam, &c. capiendo exitus eorum terrarum & tenementorum donec, &c.* So when no Rent or Profit is reserved, the King can't take *exitus, &c.* in such Case, but if the King's Tenant by Knights Service in *Capite* makes a gift in Tail, rendering a yearly Rent or other Profit to him and his Heirs, and dies, his Heir of full Age, there the K. may take Primer Seisin of the Rent or Profit which descends to the Heir for one Year: And so *Nota* for such a seck and fruitless Reversion the Heir shall be in Ward if he be within Age, but shall not pay Primer Seisin for such fruitless Reversion, if he be of full Age at the Time of the Death of the Ancestor.

(a) Co. Lit. 19.
19. a. b. 370. b.

(b) Co. Lit.
19. b. 370. b.
7 Co. 11. a.
Calvin's Case.
Br. Affets per.
descend 31.
Br. Tail 34.
Fitz. Garrantry
68.
Br. Garran. 52.
Plow. 234. a.
553. b.
10 Co. 96. b.
Br. Prærog. 52.
Br. serch pur le
Roy 5.
* 10 Co. 80. b.
(c) Stanf. Præ-
rog. 11. b.
12. a. b. &c.

Mich. 9 Jac. Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Matthew Mene's Case.

M *Atthew Mene* the Grandfather being seised in Fee of ^{10 Co. 80. b.} divers Messuages, Lands, and Tenements in *Kent* of Custom of *Gavelkind*, and of an House held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*; and the Residue of common Persons in Socage, had Issue *Andrew*, who had Issue *Matthew*, *Thomas*, *John*, and *Andrew*: *Andrew* the Father died, *Matthew* the Grandfather by his Will in Writing devised all his said Lands, *viz.* to *Mat.* eldest Son of *Andrew* the Father one Part, and to the Heirs of his Body, and to *Thomas* second Son of *Andrew* the Father another Part, whereof the House held *in Capite* was Parcel of like Estate; and to the other Sons of the said *Andrew* the Father, other Parts of the like Estate: *Matthew* the Grandfather died seised of the said Messuages, Lands and Tenements, *Matthew* the Son being of the Age of of 15 Years, and all the said Brothers of *Matthew* being alive, and all this was found by Office. And in this Case 2 Questions were moved. 1. Whether the King should have a 3 Part of the Messuage only, and not of the other Lands not held of the King? 2. Admitting he should have a 3 Part of the whole, whether he should have a full 3d Part out of the Part of the eldest Son only, or out of the Part of every Brother? And as to the first it was strongly urged, that the K. should have but a 3 Part of the Land held, and of the third Part of the Part of the eldest Son; and their principal Reason was, because if no Will had been made, the King should have but the 3 Part of that which descended to the eldest Son, and not of the Parts which descended to the younger Sons, for where the Stat. of *Prærogativa Regis c. 1.* saith, *Dominus Rex habebit* ^{Stamf. Prærog.} *Custodiam omnium terrarum eorum qui de ipso tenent* ^{1, 2.} *in Capite per servitium militare, &c. de quocunque tenu-*

tenuerunt, &c. it is meant, if the Land descends to the same Heir, to whom the Land held descends, but if any Part descends to another Heir, the King shall not have it, and therewith agree 12 E. 4. 18. & *Stamf. prærog.* 8. b. And the Statutes enable one to devise 2 Parts of his Lands for the Benefit of his Children, where the King would have all if no Devise was made, but in all Cases where no Will is made the King would have nothing, there the Stat. gave not any Wardship or Primer or Seisin, altho' a Will be made, and thereby the Land devised to his Sons, for that is not within the Purview of the said Acts. To which it was answered and resolved, That it is true if no Will had been declared, the King should not have the Lands held of others in Socage, which descended to younger Sons, but when by the Will (to which he is enabled by the Statutes) he devises them to his Sons, in such Case the Saving in the same Statutes gives the King Wardship and Primer Seisin; and therefore a stronger Case was agreed for Law, Tenements devisable by Custom in (a) *London* came to King H. 8. by the Dissolution of Abbies, and afterwards the King by his Letters Patents granted them in Fee to hold by Knight's Service *in Capite*, and the Patentee by his Will devises them for Preferment of his Wife, Advancement of his Children, or Payment of his Debts, and dies, his Heir within Age, the King shall have the 3 Part in Ward: And yet the Devise is good for the whole Land by the Custom, without any Help of the said Statutes of 32 & 34 H. 8. And notwithstanding the King in such Case shall have the Wardship of the 3 Part by Force of the said Saving in the said Statutes; and therewith agree 5 Mar. Dyer 155. 6 Eliz. *Dallison* 4 Pasch. 20 Eliz. between * *Barbor* and *E.* his Wife Plaintiffs and *William Long* Defendant, in *Partitione facienda*, Judgment given upon a special Verdict reported by *Bendloes* Serjeant: Wherefore it was resolved, that the K. *a forriori* in the Case at Bar should have a 3 Part of the Whole.

(a) Dyer 155. pl. 21.
Dall. in Kelw. 205. b. 206. a.
Dall. in Ash. pl. 9.
Dall. 64. pl. 24, 75. pl. 60.
Stile 476.
Moor 70.
1 Anderf. 52, 53, 147.
Co. Lit. 111. b.
Benl. in Kel. 214.
Benl. in Ash. 32.
N. Benl. 317. pl. 300.
3 Co. 35. a.
Palm. 543.
* Supra in a.

(b) 10 Co. 84. b.
8 Co. 173. b.
(c) 2 Co. 25. b.
5 Co. 100. a.
(d) Dyer 366. pl. 38.
3 Co. 31. b.
1 Kcb. 97.

As to the second Point, it was also resolved, that the King should have the 3 Part out of every several Part, so that the Charge should be (b) equal, and should not fall upon one only. *Vide* 35 H. 8. *Testaments* (c) *Br.* 19. 4 E. 3. *Assise* 178. *Vide* 21 & 22 Eliz. (d) *Dyer* 366. b.

Mich. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Ascough's Case.

IT was found by Office, after the Death of *William Ascough* Esq; that *Sir Edw. Ascough* Father of the said *William*, was seised, in Fee-Tail of the Manor of *Darcy*, and of the Manor of *Selby* in *Stallingborough* in the County of *Lincoln*, the Remainder to *Francis* his Brother in Tail, the Remainder to the said *Sir Edward* in Fee, And that the said *William Ascough* was seised in Fee of an House, 31 Acres and an half of Land, 9 Acres of Meadow, and 2 Acres and an half of Pasture in *Stallingborough* aforesaid, and held them of the said *Sir Ed. Ascough*, as of his Manor of *Darcy*, *sed per quæ servitia Furatores ignorant*; And that the said *William* was also seised in Fee of an House, and 40 Acres of Land, &c. in *Owresby* in the said County, and held them of *Sir Thomas Mounson*, as of his Manor of *Owresby* in the same County, and afterwards, upon the Marriage of *William* with *Katharine* the Daughter of *William Henage*, the said *Sir Edward* and *Francis* levied a Fine of the said Manors to the Uses following, *viz.* of some Part in certain of the Manor of *Darcy*, and of some Part in certain of the Manor of *Selby*, to the Use of *William* and *Katharine* for their Lives, and to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *William*, the Remainder to the said *Sir Edward* in Tail, with other Remainders in Tail, the

the Remainder to Sir *Edward* in Fee; and for the Residue of the said Manors to the Use of Sir *Edward* for the Term of his Life, and afterwards to the Use of the said *William* and to the Heirs Males of his Body, with divers Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the said Sir *Edward*; and afterwards the said *William* died, as aforesaid, seized, *Edward Ascough* his Son then being within Age, and that the said Manors of *Darcy* and *Selby* are held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*; and that Sir *Ed. Ascough* the Father, *William* and *Katharine* are yet alive.

And the sole Point in this Case was shortly such. The King Lord, Mesne, who held by Knights Service *in Capite*, Tenant peravail in Socage, the Mesne granted the Mesnalty to the Use of himself for Life, and afterwards to the Use of the Tenant peravail in Tail; if in this Case the Mesnalty be suspended during the Life of the Mesne, by Force of this Remainder in Tail. And it was resolved, that a Remainder in Tail, or for Life, expectant upon an Estate for Life or in Tail, shall never suspend a Mesnalty, Seignory, Rent, &c. For altho' the Remainder vests immediately, yet it can't suspend the present Freehold of the Rent during the Life of the first Tenant for Life, because the Tenant for Life is Tenant to the Lord or to him in Reversion as long as he lives, and he shall do the Services, and the Avowry shall be made upon him, for he is the very Tenant by the Manor; and during his Life the Heir of him in the Remainder in Tail shall not be in Ward, &c. and as a Seignory, Rent, &c. can't be (a) suspended in Part and *in esse* for Part, in Respect of the Land out of which it is issuing; so a Seignory, Rent, &c. can't be suspended in Remainder, and *in esse* for a particular Estate in Possession, for then (b) Fractions of Estates would ensue, and particular Estates would be created without Donors or Lessors, against the Rules and Maxims of the Law: But in this Case aforesaid, if the Mesne grants his Mesnalty to one for Life, or in Tail, the Remainder to the Tenant peravail in Fee; there the Mesnalty is extinct, because he has as high an Estate in the Inheritance of the Mesnalty as he has in the Tenancy, and there is not any Possibility of reviving the Mesnalty. And in the same Case the Mesnalty is not extinct for the Inheritance, and *in esse* for the particular Estate for Life, or in Tail, in Possession, but the Mesnalty by the Remainder in Fee is extinct in the Whole, for otherwise in the same Case this Absurdity would ensue, *sc.* that there would be a Fee-simple of the Tenancy peravail, and also a Fee-simple of the Seignory

(a) 1 Vent. 277.

(b) 1 Co. 45.

niory paramount, and but an Estate for Life, or in Tail of the Mesnalty only; and so a Tenancy in Fee-simple would be only held of a Mesnalty for Life, or in Tail, and a Seignior in Fee would be issuing out of a Mesnalty for Life or in Tail only, which is impossible, and by no Means can be. *Vide* 3 H. 6. 1. 15 E. 4. 12.

Nota Reader I conceive, That if the Lord grants his Seignior for Years, the Remainder to the Tenant peravail for Life, in this Case the Seignior is suspended, because the Tenant for Life has the Freehold of the Seignior, and he is Tenant to every *Præcipe* of the Seignior, as in the Case of *Lit. lib. 2. c. Attorn. f. 128.* if (a) Land is leased to a Man for Term of Years, the Remainder to another for Term of Life; and afterwards the Lessor grants over the Reversion, and he in the Remainder for Life attorns, it is a good Attornment, and shall bind the Lessee for Years, without any Attornment made by him, for he was Tenant of the Freehold; and at the Common Law the Termor for Years was subject, and under the Power of the Tenant of the Freehold, for he should not (b) falsify a Recovery at the Common Law against the Tenant of the Freehold, because he had but a Chattel. And where it is said in this Case, that the Seignior can't be suspended in Part, and *in esse* for Part, as it is held in 32 H. 8. Tit. (c) *Extinguishment Br. 48.* that is regularly true, but *habet hac regula plures fallentias*, all which may be well explained with this Difference between the Act of the Party, and Act in Law, or Act of the Party: For by Act of the Party, be it rightful or wrongful the whole Seignior, &c. is suspended, and therefore if the Lord, or Lessor disseises or (d) ousts the Tenant or Lessee of any Part, the whole is suspended, as it is held in 11 E. 3. *Cessavit* 21. 7 H. 6. 26. a. 35 H. 6. *Avowry* 46. (e) 9 E. 4. 1. a. 4 H. 7. 6. b. (f) 32 H. 8. *afore said.* And the Book in 21 E. 4. 29. a. is misprinted, for there it is said, *quod fuit negatum* by all, where it should be *quod fuit concessum* by all the Justices. *Vide* 9 E. 3. 7. The Law is the same, if the (g) Lord takes a Lease of any Part of the Tenancy, the whole Seignior is suspended, as it is resolved in 32 H. 8. before. So if a (h) Commoner takes a Lease of any Part of the Land, in which, &c. the whole Common is suspended; and therewith agrees 11 H. 6. 22. a. b. But in the Case of (i) Rent-Service, if the Lord purchases Part of the Tenancy in Fee, Part is extinct, and in *esse* for the Residue. Now, for the other Part of the Difference by Act in Law, a Seignior may be suspended in Part, and *in esse* for Part; and therefore, (k) if the Lord seises the Wardship of the Land of his Tenant by Knights Service, now the Seignior is suspended, but if the Guardian endows the Wife of the Tenant of the third Part of the Tenancy, now the third Part of the Seignior is revived, and the Tenant in

(a) Co. Lit.
316. b.
Lit. Sect. 571.
Lit. 129. a. b.

(b) F.N.B. 198. e.
Cr. El. 284, 718.
6 Co. 57. a.
Plowd. 83. b.
7 H. 7. 11. b.
2 Inf. 322.
Co. Lit. 46. a.
Br. Fauquier de
Recovery 25.
(c) 3 Keb. 500,
558.
1 Rol. 938.
Co. Lit. 148. b.
1 Vent. 277.
(d) Co. Lit.
148. b.
1 Rol. 938.
(e) 3 Co. 22. b.
Br. Apportion-
ment 7.
Br. Bar 39.
Co. Lit. 148. b.
(f) 32 H. 8.
Br. Extinguish-
ment 48.
(g) Co. Lit.
148. b.
(h) 1 Anderf.
159.
a. Leon. 43, 44.
Goldsb. 53.
8 Co. 79. a.
(i) Lit. Sect.
222.
Co. Lit. 147. b.
148. a.
(k) Co. Lit.
148. b.
1 Rol. 939.

(a) 1 Ról. 6^o.
8 Co. 36. a.
(b) Co. Lit.
148. b.
(c) Co. Lit.
150. a.

(d) Co. Lit.
150. a.
(e) Br. Appor-
tionment. 11.
Br. Extinguish-
ment. 29.
Co. Lit. 148. b.
(f) Co. Lit.
148. b.

(g) Co. Lit.
269. a. b.
Doct. pl. 319,
320.
Raym. 257.

in dower shall be (a) attendant to the guardian for 3 parts of the services, because the tenant in dower is in by act in law, as it is held in 33 E. 3. *Dower* (b) 138. and for the same Reason, if a man (c) seized of lands in fee takes a wife, and infeoffs another, the feoffee grants a rent-charge to the husband and wife, and to the heirs of the husband, the husband dies, the wife is endowed of the 3 part of the land, out of which the rent is issuing, the 3 part of the rent in such case which the wife has for life is extinct, and the 2 parts of the rent remain to her, issuing out of the other 2 parts, for altho' it is a rent-charge, yet by act in law it shall be apportioned, as it is adjudged in *Furden's case*, 5 E. 2. (d) *Avowry* 206. *Vide* 30 (e) *Aff. p.* 12. where a rent-charge shall be suspended in part, and *in esse* for part by act in law; and 29 *Aff. pl.* 10. If guardian in knights service seises the land of one daughter and heir within age, the other daughter being of full age, there the seigniory is suspended for one moiety, and *in esse* for another moiety: So if two (f) coparceners are of a seigniory, and one disseises the ter-tenant, or comes to the land by defeasible title, the other may distrain her for her moiety of the seigniory, for the act of her coparcener can't prejudice her in such case. And where it is said in the case before, that where the tenant makes a lease for life, or a gift in tail, the remainder over in fee, that the tenant for life, or donee in tail is very tenant by the manner to the lord paramount, it is true that at the com. law there are (g) four manner of avowries for rents, services, &c. 1. By reason of a tenure, upon one as upon his very tenant, and that is when the lord has fee in the seigniory, and the tenant has Fee in the tenancy, *ut super verum tenentem suum*: 2. Upon one as upon his very tenant by the manner, *ut super verum tenentem suum in forma præd'*; and that is when the tenant makes a lease for life, or a gift in tail, with the remainder in fee, in that case, if the lord has fee in the seigniory, he shall avow upon the tenant for life, or donee in tail, as upon his very tenant by the manner. 3. Upon one as upon his tenant by the manner omitting this word (*very*) and that is when the lord has a particular estate in a seigniory, as an estate in tail, estate for life, or less interest *super tenentem suum in forma præd'*, so shall the donor upon the donee, the lessor upon the lessee for life, or years. 4. Upon the matter in the land, as within his fee and seigniory; as where the ten't by knights service makes a lease for life rendring rent, and dies, his heir within age, the guardian shall make such avowry upon the lessee, *sc. super materiam præd' in terris & tenementis præd' ut infra feodum & dominium suum*. And all these forms of avowries you will find in your books 20 H. 6. 9. 2 H. 4. 24. 12 E. 4. 2. 26 H. 6. *Avow.* 17. 9 *El. Dy.* 257. a. 5 H. 7. 11. 7 E. 4. 24. 20 E. 3. *Avowry* 131. 47 E. 3. f. ult' 38 H. 6. 23. But now by the Stat.

21 H. 8. cap. 19. The Lord (a) may avow the Taking of (a) Ant. 23. b.
the Distress within the Tenancy, as in Lands or Tene- 36. a.
ments within his Fee or Seignior, without making any Co. Lit. 268. b.
Avowry upon a Person certain; but the Lord has Liberty, 269. b.
if he will, to make his Avowry according to the Common
Law.

Hill. 9 Jac. Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Thoroughgood's Case.

IT was found by Office in the County of Cambridge, 21 Jan. anno 36 Eliz. by Force of a Writ of *Diem clausit extremum* after the Death of *Robert Thoroughgood*, that he was seised in Fee of an House, &c. and divers Lands and Tenements in *Tadlowe* in the County aforesaid, and that the said House, &c. was held of the King in Chief by Knights Service; and he being thereof so seised, *fecit & sigillavit in dicto messuagio quoddam scriptum indentatum, in hæc verba*: To all Christian People, &c. *Robert Thoroughgood* sendeth greeting, &c. Know ye, that I the said *Robert* for divers good Causes, &c. have given, granted, and enfeoffed, and by these Presents do give, grant, enfeoff and confirm to *Henry Hutton* and *Edward Eliot* all that my capital Messuage, &c. Lands and Tenements, &c. *Habendum* unto the said *Henry Hutton*, and *Edward Eliot*, and their Heirs, &c. *dat' 18 Julii anno 35 Eliz. Et ulterius dicunt, quod præd' Robert' jacens in extremis deliberavit in præd' messuagio præd' 18 Julii scriptum præd' indentatum præfatis Henrico Hutton & Edwardo Eliot pro & in nomine seiscine præd' messuagii & omnium residuorum terrarum & tenementorum in dicto scripto indentato contentorum*: And further found the other Points of the Writ. And upon this Case 2 Questions were moved; 1. If in this Case the Jury have found a sufficient Delivery of the Indenture, to make it a Deed in Law. 2. If this delivery of the Indenture in the House, in the Name of Seisin of the House, and of the Residue of the Lands and Tenements aforesaid, was a sufficient Livery of Seisin in Law, or not. As to the First, it was resolved, that the actual (a) Delivery of a Writing sealed to the Partry, without any Words,

(a) 1 Rol. 24.
Co. Lit. 36. a.
49. b.
Cr. El. 356, 357.
Dall. 104.

is a good delivery; for in *traditionibus scriptorum non quod dictum est, sed quod gestum est inspicitur*: But here he saith, I deliver this writing to you, which clearly is sufficient, altho' he doth not say, as (a) his deed or as his act. And therefore if A. (a) Dall. 104. makes a writing to B. and seals it, and delivers it to B. as an * 2 Rol. 24. escrow, to take effect as his deed when certain conditions are * Cr. El. 520, 835, 836, 884. perform'd, it has been adjudg'd to be immediately his deed, for Co. Lit. 36. a. the law respects the delivery to the party himself, and rejects 2 Rol. 26, 27. the words which will make the express delivery to the party Moor 642, 696, upon the matter no delivery. 697. And therefore in *Mich. 12 H. 8. Rot. (b) 751. in Banco, Anne Quilter* late wife of *John Quilter*, and others, executors of the will of the said *John Quilter*, brought an action of *Debt* against *Ed. Cobham* on a bond, &c. (b) 2 Rol. 26. the def. pleaded that he deliver'd the bond to the testator as a schedule, upon condition if the pl. made indentures between the def. *ex una parte, & præfat' Testator' ex altera parte, de certis conditionib', convent' & agreement' inter easd' partes ad tunc concord', &c. pro admulatione præd' script' oblig', &c. ante festum Mich' Archang' deliberand' qd' extunc præd' script' obligator' in omni suo robore stare, sin aliter, vacua foret: Et id' defendens dicit qd' præd' testat' non fecit aliquam indent': &c. & sic id' defendens dicit, qd' script' præd' in forma præd' deliberat' dictis indent' inter easd' partes minime confectis non est factum suum, & hoc, &c.* Judgm. if action? And thereupon the pl. demurr'd in law, and it was resolv'd that the said delivery was good in law, altho' the condition was not perform'd, and the pl's had judgm. to recover. And (c) *Tr. 13 H. 8. rot. 405. (c) 2 Rol. 26. in Banco*, between *T. Bodenham* esq; pl. and *Edw. Marmion* clerk def. in *Debt* on a bond the like plea pleaded, and a demurrer upon it, and judgm. given for the pl. which judgments (upon search which I commanded to be made) I have seen. And therewith agrees the report of 19 (d) *H. 8. 8. a.* and takes (d) 2 Rol. 26: the difference when it is so delivered to the party himself, and when to a stranger, as it was there agreed. 35 *Aff. p. 6. (e) a* writing may take effect by actual delivery to the party himself without any words: And as a writing may take effect by actual delivery without words, so it may take effect by words without actual delivery: As if a writing is sealed, and it lies in a window, or upon a (f) table, and the obligor saith to the obligee, see there's the writing, take it as my deed, and he takes it accordingly, it is a good delivery in law: (f) Cr. El. 122, 356. In the same manner as if one (g) makes a Charter of Owen 95. feoffment, and within the view of his land, saith to another, see you the land enter into it, and enjoy it according to the form and effect of this charter, and the feoffee enters, it amounts to a good livery of seisin of the land: And if words in such case shall amount to a livery of seisin, by which a freehold shall pass, a *fortiori* words shall amount to a delivery of

of a deed; wherefore it was concluded *a fortiori* in the case at bar, when *Rob. Thoroughgood* delivered the writing to the parties, saying, *Here I deliver you this (a) writing*, it is a good delivery thereof to take effect as a deed: *Vide 33 Aff. 2. 33 E. 3. Assise 367. 43 E. 3. 28. 13 H. 4. 8. 8 H. 6. 26. 9 H. 6. 37 & 59. Vide 4 H. 6. 5.* If the obligor delivers the bond to the obligee to redeliver to him, the obligee may detain the bond for ever, and these words to redeliver to him are void. *Vide 29 H. 8. 34 & 35 (b) Dyer, & Trin. 43 El. between (c) Hawskston and Catcher in B. R. where some opinions ex improviso were conceived, that the obligor might deliver a bond as an escrow to the obligee; but believe you the said judgments given upon demurrer in law in the point: Wherefore as to the first point it was clearly resolved, that the said writing sealed took effect as a deed by the delivery aforesaid.*

As to the 2d point, first it was clearly resolv'd, that the (d) delivery of the deed upon the land, doth not amount to a livery, for it has another effect, *sc.* to take effect as a deed, as it is resolved in *Sharp's case an. 42 El. in Com' Banco reported by me in the 6 part of my reports f. 26. and there it is well agreed,* that to every livery of seisin there is requisite, either an act, which the law adjudges livery, or apt words which amount to it, and there the case of *43 E. 3. Feoffments & (e) Facts 51.* is cited, which is to this effect: In *Assise* the recognitors found a special verdict, *f.* that the Pl. was seised of land in fee, and the ten't drew and engrossed a charter of Feoffment of the land in view, &c. in the name of the pl. to the ten't himself and his heirs, and the ten't delivered the charter to the pl. and pray'd him to deliver seisin in the same land, and the pl. would not deliver seisin, but he delivered back the charter to the ten't upon the land, and the ten't kept himself in, and if the delivery of the charter upon the land was a sufficient livery of seisin, was the question, and there *Kirron* justice said, if the plaint. had spoke in this manner, when he delivered the charter to the ten't, *Sir I deliver to you this charter in the name of seisin of all the lands and tenements contained in the charter, it had been a good delivery of seisin, but so he doth not do in this case, wherefore the court awarded that the pl. should recover seisin. And it was resolved, that altho' most properly livery of seisin is made by delivery of a twig or (f) turf of the land it self, whereof livery of seisin is to be given; and so it is good to be observed, yet a delivery of a turf or twig growing upon other land; of a piece of gold or silver, or other thing upon the land in the name of seisin, is sufficient, for the turf or twig which grows upon the land, when it is severed is not parcel of the land, and when the feoffor is upon the land, his words without any act are sufficient to make livery of seisin; as if he saith, I deliver seisin of this land to you in the name of all the land contained in this deed; or, enter you into this land, and take seisin*

(a) 2 Rol. 24.

(b) Dy. 34, 35. pl. 25.

Cr. El. 835.

2 Rol. 27.

(c) Cr. El. 835. 836.

2 Rol. 27.

Noy 50.

Co. Lit. 36. a.

(d) Moor 458.

Co. Lit. 48. a.

56. b. 57. a.

Owen 44.

6 Co. 26. b.

Cr. Jac. 80.

(e) Palmer 434.

6 Co. 26. b.

(f) Co. Lit. 48. a.

6 Co. 26. a.

Poph. 49.

Perk. 43. a.

of it in the Name of all the Land contained in this Deed, or such other Words, without any Ceremony or Act done; and that is the Reason that the Delivery of any Thing upon the Land in the Name of Seisin is sufficient, because his Words alone without any Thing were sufficient; for if words alone out of the Land which is within the View are sufficient in Law, *a fortiori* when they are spoke upon the Land it self; and yet it is not wisely done to omit usual Ceremonies and Acts in such Cases, for they imprint a better Remembrance of the Thing which is done, because they are subject to fight, than Words alone, which are only heard, and which easily and usually slip out of Memory: Wherefore it was resolved, That the Delivery of the Deed upon the Land in the Name of Seisin was sufficient in Law. And the said Case of *Sharp* was affirmed for good Law in this Case. 3. It was resolved, That this Delivery of the Writing amounted to two several Acts at one and the same Instant, *viz.* to deliver the Writing as a Deed, and to deliver Seisin of the Land according to the Deed.

Pasch. 10 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Beaumont's Case.

1 Jones 393,
394.
Cr. Jac. 476,
477.
2 Inst. 681,
682.

SIR *Humphry Foster* seised in Fee of the Scite of the Monastery of *Gracedieu*, and other Lands in Question, gave them to *John Beaumont* and *Elizabeth* his Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, the Remainder in Fee to *John Beaumont*; an. 6 E. 6. *John Beaumont* levied a Fine *come ceo* to King E. 6. his Heirs and Successors with Proclamations, King E. 6. anno 7. granted the said Scite, &c. by his Letters Patent to *Francis* Earl of *Huntington* and his Heirs, *John Beaumont* died, after whose Death *Elizabeth* within five Years entred claiming her Estate, the said *Francis* E. of *Huntington* died, *Henry* his Son and Heir an. 16 El. by Indenture reciting the said Gift by Sir *Hump. Foster* to the said *John* and *Elizabeth* his Wife in special Tail, and that *Elizabeth* was then seised in her Demesne as of Fee-Tail, by Force of the said Gift, ratified, allowed and confirmed to the said *Elizabeth* her Estate, *Habendum* the Lands to her and to the Heirs of the Body of the said *J. Beaumont* deceased, and of the said *Elizabeth*. The said *Elizabeth* died seised, having Issue *Fra. Beaumont* one of the Justices of the Com. Pleas, Son and Heir of both their Bodies. *Francis Beaumont* entred into the said Scite, &c. and took the Profits, &c. and afterwards accepted a Fine with Proclamations *sur Conusans de droit tantum* of two Strangers, with a Render for ninety-nine Years after the Death of the said *Francis*, if *Anne* his

his wife should so long live, the proclamations past, *Fr. Beaumont* having issue *Sir Hen. Beaumont* his elder son, and *J.* his younger son, dy'd *Sir H.* being in ward to *Q. El.* attained to his full Age *an. 45 El.* and before livery, by indenture 2 *Jacobi* covenanted upon good consideration to stand seised to the use of himself and the heirs males of his body, and afterwards to the use of *J. Beaumont* his brother and the heirs males of his body, with divers remainders over; *Sir Henry* died without issue male, having issue *Barbara*, who now is of tender years, and in ward to the *K.* The question was, whether the said scite and land belong'd to *Barbara*, or to the said *J. Beaumont*: And in this case 2 points were moved and argued by counsel on both parts, *s.* in the terms of *Trin. Mich. & Hill.* by *Coventry, Tho. Crew,* and *G. Croke* on the *K's* part, and by *Finch, Walter,* and *Harris* serjeant on the part of the heir male: And the first point was, if by the fine levied with proclamations, and the death of *J. Beaumont*, the wife had but an estate for life punishable of waste, as ten't in tail after possibility of issue extinct? The 2 admitting she had an estate tail, what is wrought by the said confirm. if thereby the issue in tail shall inherit or not? As to the first it was objected, that by the fine levied by the (a) husband, the estate-tail was barred, because the issue ought to make himself heir of both their bodies, as it is adjudged in 18 *El. 3 1. b.* So, and for the same reason, if one donee is (b) attainted of treason, the estate-tail is extinct, as it is expressly held in 16 *El. Dyer 332. b.* and therewith agrees (c) 5 *H. 7. 32. b.* by *Brian* ch. just. of the bench, from thence it follows, that the wife can't be seised of an estate-tail, because the estate-tail by fine was barred and extinct; and therefore for necessity of reason, the estate of the wife shall be (d) chang'd into an estate for life punishable of waste: And it was resembled to the case in 7 *H. 4. 16. b.* husband and wife ten'ts in special tail are (e) divorced (which is intended of a divorce which dissolves the marriage *ab initio*, and the husband and wife a *vinculo matrimonii*) the donees have but an estate for their lives, because the estate-tail is determined and extinct: It was also urged, that if the wife should have an estate in tail, then she might (f) suffer a recovery, or levy a fine, and so bar the conusee of her husb. or prevent the *K.* of his forfeiture for treason, which would be against the resolutions aforesaid reported by the *L. Dyer*. Then if the estate is converted into an estate for life punishable of waste (g) in the nature of a ten't in tail after possibility of issue extinct, *sequitur* that the confirmat. enlarges her estate, and makes *Barbara* daughter of *Sir Henry* inheritable to the Land.

But admitting for the argument of the second point, That the said *Elizabeth* had an estate in special tail, the reversion expectant to the said *Henry* Earl

T 3

of

179, 184. West Symb. 180. b. 6 Co. 41. a. 2 Inst. 302, 306. 4 Co. 63. a. Co. B. 58. p. 39. d. 3. 16. a. b.

(a) 8 Co. 72. a. b.
 Dy. 351. pl. 24.
 2 Inst. 681. Hob.
 257, 333. 1 Le-
 on. 84. 157.
 Moor 147.
 1 Brownl. 140.
 Dall. in Kelw.
 205. pl. 7. Dall.
 in Ath. pl. 7.
 Dall. 50 pl. 16.
 1 Ander. 39. pl.
 101. Godb. 312.
 N. Benl. 225. pl.
 257. Benl. in
 Ath. pl. 27. Benl.
 in Kelw. 213. pl.
 27. 2 Rol. Rep.
 321. Moor 28.
 pl. 90, 114. pl.
 256. Cr. Car.
 478. 1 Jones 40.
 1 Rol. Rep. 424.
 1 Co. 87. b.
 Lit. Rep. 291.
 (b) Hob. 257.
 8 Co. 72. a. b.
 Moor 147.
 1 And. 39. pl.
 102. Raym. 67.
 Godb. 312, 325.
 2 Rol. Rep. 321.
 Moor 114. pl.
 256. Dy. 332. pl.
 27. Hob. 346.
 Cr. Car. 478.
 1 Brownl. 139.
 140. 1 Jones 40.
 (c) 2 Inst. 681.
 Godb. 312.
 5 H. 7. 33. a.
 (d) 2 Inst. 682.
 (e) Post. 141. a.
 2 Inst. 682. Br.
 Tail 9. Br. E-
 state 11. Br.
 Deraignm. &
 Devorce 13.
 Co. Lit. 22. a.
 (f) Post. 142. b.
 Hob. 259. 1 Le-
 on. 157. 1 Rol.
 Rep. 424. 2 Rol.
 Rep. 427.
 Winch. 43.
 (g) 11 Co. 80. a.
 Dr. & Stud.
 lib. 2. cap. 1. Lit.
 sect. 34. 12 H. 4.
 3. b. 4. a. 10 H. 6.
 1. b. 45. E. 3. 25.
 a. 18 E. 3. 32. b.
 11 H. 4. 14. b. 15.
 a. 11 H. 6. 1. b.
 2 Rol. Rep. 826, 828.
 1 Rol. Rep. 100.
 Lit. 27. b. F. N.

of *Huntingdon* to take effect in possession (in respect of the said fine levied by the husband) immediately after the death of the said *Elizabeth*, then the 2 point is, if against the said confirmation made by him who has the reversion in fee expectant as is aforesaid, he shall enter into the land after the death of *Elizabeth*, or if the confirmation to *Elizabeth* in tail *ut supra*, makes the issues of the said *John* and *Elizabeth* inheritable; and it was strongly urged, that the Issues should be inheritable by the said confirmat. for 2 reasons, one, in respect of the estate of him who has made the confirm. by way of extraction of a new estate out of the reversion; the other, in respect of the estate of him to whom the confirmation is made, by way of incorporat. and alterat. of the quality of the estate: As to the first, the said Earl has the entire reversion in fee, out of which he may raise and create as many estates in tail one after the other, as he will, and therefore when he confirms the estate of the wife, to have and to hold to her and to the heirs of the body of the said *John* and *Elizabeth*, thereby the E. has excluded himself and his heirs by express words, so long as the said *John* and *Elizabeth* have heirs of their bodies to claim the land: As if a feme (a) covert be ten't for term of her life, the reversion over in fee, if he in reversion confirms the estate of the husband and wife, to have and to hold to them for term of their lives, in that case the husb. shall have an Estate for life after the death of his wife, for it would be against reason, that he who has the reversion in fee, out of which he may derive as many estates for lives as he will, should enter into the land after the death of the wife, during the life of the husb. against his own confirmat. when the husb. had such estate upon which the confirmat. might enure, by way of extraction of a new estate out of the reversion; and therewith agree *Lit. f.* 120, 121. *b.* & (b) 17 *E.* 3. 68. *b.* So in the case at bar, it would be against reason that the E. who made the confirmat. after the death of *Elizabeth* should enter into the land, against the limitation of his own confirmation, *viz.* so long as the said *John* and *Elizabeth* have heirs of their bodies. Secondly, in respect of the estate of the said *Elizabeth*, for this confirmation alters the quality of her estate, and thereby incorporates a new quality in the estate; for where the E. after the death of *Elizabeth*, might have entred and excluded all the heirs in tail, now by this confirmation he has added this enlarging quality, to make all the heirs in tail inheritable. And that a Confirmation may alter or add to the quality of the estate in land appears in our books; and therefore, if the lessor confirms the estate of his lessee for life, (and adds this clause) without (c) impeachment of waste, it is good. So if the

(a) Plowd.
31. b. 160. a.
Cr. Car. 478.
Lit. sect. 525.
Co. Lit. 290. a. b.
Cr. El. 163.
Kelw. 129. a.

(b) Co. Lit.
299. b.
Fitz. Confirm.
9.

(c) 2 Co. 23. a.
72. a. 82. a.
11 Co. 81. b.
83. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 182.
2 Rol. Rep. 325.
Moor 18, 317,
327.
2 Inst. 146.
Hob. 132.
Popham 193,
194, 195.
4 Co. 63. a.
Litch. 269,
270.
Bridgm. 102.
Dyer 47. pl. 11.
Plowd. 132. b.
Cr. Jac. 216.
2 Rol. 835.
9 Co. 9. a.
Herl. 77.
Co. Lit. 220. a.
19 H. 6. 63. b.
A. E. 4. 36. a.

the lord paramount confirms the estate of the mesne with clause of acquittal, it is good; 6 E. 3. 7. 19 H. 6. 63. b. F. N. B. 136. Vide 4 E. 4. 35. (a) *Isabel de Upsy's case*. So a confirmat. may alter the quality of the estate of the land; as if the estate of the feoffee is upon condit. the feoffor may confirm his estate absolute, and so alter the quality of the estate of the land, s. from (b) conditional into absolute, * 7 H. 6. 7. b. and *Miyowe's case in the 1 part of my reports* f. 146. So in 49 E. 3. 7. a. b. If the lord of (c) ancient demesne confirms the estate of the ten't, to hold by certain services *ad communem legem*, altho' the estate of the ten't is not changed, nor any transmutat. of the possession, yet the quality of his estate is changed, for the ten't shall not be afterwards impleaded by petit writ of *right clofe*, and the land by the confirmat. is discharged from the customs of the manor. So in the case at bar, altho' the estate of *Elizabeth* is not changed, nor any transmutat. of possession had, yet the quality of the estate of the said *Elizabeth* is changed, by incorporating of a quality to the estate of the said *Elizabeth*, s. that the heirs in tail shall inherit.

As to the first point, it was answered and resolved, that the (d) wife after the death of her husband had an estate in special tail; and for the better understanding of the true reason thereof, let us see, by what law the estate of the wife shall be altered and changed to an estate for life, and first, it was resolved, that it was not by the com. law, for at the com. law, if lands had been given to husb. and wife, and to the heirs of their two bodies, and after issue the husb. had aliened and died, this alienat. had not barred neither the wife, nor the issue in tail, because the husband alone had not *potestatem alienandi*, for as much as he had an undivided estate jointly with his wife, and therewith agree 12 H. 4. (e) *Formedors* 15. 21 E. 3. 45. and by the Stat. of W. 2. *de donis conditionalibus*, it is enacted, that a fine levied by ten't in tail *ipso jure sit nullus*. As to the case an. 16 *El. Reg'* of treason whereof the husband is (f) attainted, it must be known, that such bar and forfeiture is made by the Stat. (g) of 26 H. 8. c. 13. by which it is enacted, That every offender convicted of high Treason, &c. shall lose and forfeit to the King, his heirs and successors, all such lands, &c. whereof any such offender shall have any estate of inheritance: But in the same act there is a saving to every person (other than the offenders, their heirs and successors,) all rights, titles, interests, &c. So that it appears, that the estate of the wife, if she survives her husband, is saved by this act, and that the bar by the Statute is only as to the issues in tail, and not as to the wife, and the reason of the resolution that the heir is disabled in such case is, because he ought in his lineal conveyance to make himself heir as well to the father as to the mother by the opinions of *Catlyn, Wray, Saund-*

321, 322, 323, 234. Hob. 334, 339, 340, 341, 343, 344, 346, 347. 438. 1 Leon, 21 Cr. Car. 428.

(a) 4 E. 4. 36 a.
 (b) 1 Co. 147. b.
 Poph. 51. Co.
 Lit. 300. a.
 Poitea 142. a.
 * Poitea 142. a.
 (c) 1 Rol. 324.
 Fitz. ancient
 Demesne 42.
 Br. anient De-
 mesne 8.
 Poitea 142. a.
 (d) Cr. Car.
 478. Cr. Jac.
 689 1 Co. 87. b.
 Hob. 257, 259.
 1 Jones 75.
 Godb. 317, 325.
 Dy. 351. pl. 24.
 N. Benl. 225.
 pl. 257. 1 Ander.
 39. pl. 101. Benl.
 in Ash. pl. 27.
 Benl. in Kelw.
 205. pl. 7. 213. b.
 pl. 17. Dall. 50.
 pl. 16.
 Winch 43.
 (e) Anr. 26. b.
 (f) Dy. 332.
 pl. 27. Hob. 257,
 346. Cr. Car.
 478. 8 Co. 72. a.
 b. Moor 147.
 1 Ander. 39. pl.
 102. Raym. 67.
 2 Rol. Rep. 321.
 Godb. 312, 325.
 1 Jones 40.
 Moor 114. pl.
 256. 1 Brownl.
 139, 140.
 (g) 3 Co. 10. b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 162.
 2 Rol. Rep. 314,
 315, 318, 319,
 320, 321, 323,
 324, 325, 340,
 374, 416, 418,
 420, 501, 503,
 507, 508.
 1 Jones 70, 71,
 75, 76, 77, 80.
 1 Co. 24. a. 7 Co.
 33. a. 34. b. 12
 Co. 6. 3 Inst. 19.
 4 Inst. 42 2 And.
 34. Palm. 439.
 Herl. 151, 157.
 Co. Lit. 372. b.
 392. b. Dy. 332.
 pl. 27. 343. pl. 56
 Co. Ent. 422 a.
 Plow. 552. b.
 Godb. 300, 303,
 307, 308, 309,
 311, 313, 315,
 1 Cr. Car. 428.

(a) Dy. 351.
 pl. 24.
 8 Co. 72. a. b.
 2 Inst. 681.
 Hob. 257, 333.
 Moor 147.
 1 Brownl. 142.
 Dall. in Kelw.
 205. pl. 7.
 Dal. in Ash.
 pl. 7.
 Dall. 50. pl. 16.
 1 Anderf. 39.
 pl. 101.
 Godb. 312.
 N. Benl. 225.
 pl. 257.
 Benl. in Ash.
 pl. 27.
 Benl. in Kelw.
 213. pl. 27.
 2 Rol. Rep. 321.
 Moor 28. pl. 90.
 114. pl. 256.
 Cr. Car. 478.
 1 Jones 40.
 1 Leon. 84, 157.
 1 Co. 87. b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 424.
 Lit. Rep. 291.
 (b) 3 Co. 77 b.
 86. b. 87. a. b.
 88 a. b. 89. a.
 90. a. b. 91. a.
 9 Co. 105. b.
 13 Co. 20.
 Savil 85, 88,
 106, 107.
 1 Anderf. 170.
 2 Anderf. 176.
 Co. Lit. 262. a.
 326. a. 372. a.
 (c) 10 Co. 50. a.
 Moor 115, 146.
 1 Anderf. 46.
 Savil 85, 88.
 1 Bullf. 33.
 Co. Lit. 237. a.
 Goldsb. 11.
 3 Co. 51. a.
 Hob. 257, 258.
 7 Co. 32. a. b.
 11 Co. 75. a.
 1 Leon. 244.
 2 Leon. 62, 224.
 3 Leon. 10.
 (d) Supra in a.
 (e) 1 Roll. 878.
 Cr. El. 513, 514.
 Hob. 258.
 2 And. 44, 45.
 Moor 455.
 Cr. Car. 478,
 479.

ders and Dyer. And as to the said case (a) of the fine with proclamation in 18 Reg. El. levied by the husb. alone, the bar is made by the Stat. of 4 H. 7. c. 24. & (c) 32 H. 8. c. 36. and in the Stat. of 4 H. 7. there is a saving for the wife, if she brings her action or lawful entry within 5 years after she shall be uncovert, as she did in this case, and by the Statute of 32 H. 8. the fine levied with proclamat. of lands intailed to him who levies the fine, or any of his ancestors, shall be a sufficient bar against the said person and his heirs claiming only by force of any such intail, and against other persons claiming only to their use, or to the use of any manner of heir of their body, in which case there needs not any saving for strangers, for the purview of the act is special, and *secundum quid*, viz. against the heirs in tail, and others claiming to their use; and therefore *distinguendum est*, that the fine with proclamations levied by the husb. or the attainer of the husb. of high treason is a bar to the estate tail, *quoad* the issues in tail, but not *quoad* the Wife, but that she surviving shall be seised of an estate tail, which estate is saved to her by all the said acts: and that is proved by the said book of (d) 18 Eliz. for there the husb. being jointly seised with his wife in special tail, levied a fine with proclamations, to the use of himself and his heirs (which fine is a bar to the issues in tail) and afterwards the husb. devised the land to the wife for life, and died, there the wife entred and waived the estate-tail, claiming for life by force of the devise, which proves, that if she had not waived the estate-tail, that she should have had it, and not an estate for life, as has been supposed by the other side. And in the indenture of confirmat. which was made in an. 16 Reg. Eliz. it is recited, that the said Elizabeth had an estate-tail, by which it appears that the law was so taken at that time. And as to that which was objected, that the said Elizabeth could not have an estate-tail, because as to the issues in tail the estate-tail is barred, also it was asked, to what end should she have an estate-tail, when it can't descend? It was answered and resolved, that one may have an estate-tail, and yet all the issues in tail shall be barred to inherit, as in the case of Sir George (e) Brown in the 3 part of my reports f. 50. b. 51. a. b. Sir Richard Bridges seised of certain land in fee, did thereof infeofff Winscombe and others, upon condit. that they should give it back to him and his wife, and to the heirs of their two bodies begotten, the remainder to the right heirs of Sir Richard, which was done accordingly; they had issue Anthony Bridges, Sir Richard died, Anthony Bridges in the life of his mother levied a fine with proclamations to Sir G. Brown in fee, the wife living, the said Anthony made a lease for 3 lives, which was not warranted by the stat. of 32 H. 8. c. 28, and there it is resolv'd, that the said fine levied by the said Anthony,

Anthony, should bar the estate-tail, yet there it is clearly admitted, that the wife remained ten't in tail; for there the question was, if the said discontinuance for lives without warranty was within the stat. of (a) 11 H. 7. but if the estate of the wife had been changed to an estate for life, then without question the said lease for 3 lives had been a forfeiture by the com. law, and all the argument upon the stat. of 11 H. 7. had been in vain, and to no purpose, and in such case the wife had an estate-tail restrained from alienations by the stat. of 11 H. 7. and not descendible to her issues. So in (b) *Archer's case* 20 El. Reg. in the 3 part of my reports f. 90. If the son of the tenant in tail in the life of the father levies a fine with proclamations, this after the death of the father (c) shall bar the estate-tail, and yet without question the father remains ten't in tail, altho' the estate-tail doth not descend. So if lands are given to an (d) alien and the heirs of his body, he has an estate-tail, and yet such estate after his death is not descendible to his issue. And if a disseisor makes a gift in tail, the donee makes a feoffm. to A. and afterwards levies a fine with proclamat. to B. who has nothing, this fine shall bar the issues in tail, because the issues in tail being privies shall not plead *Quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*, but shall not bar the disseisee by nonclaim, because the fine as to him was void: So as in such case *quoad* the heirs in tail the fine shall bind, but not *quoad* the disseisee, who is a stranger: *Pari ratione* in the case at bar, this (e) fine levied by the husband, as to the issues in tail shall be a bar, but not as to the wife, who is a stranger to it. Husb. and wife are ten'ts in special tail, the reversion to the donor, they have issue, the husband levies a fine with proclamations to a stranger, and dies, the wife enters, the wife has devested the whole estate out of the conusee, and reverts the estate-tail in her, the immediate reversion to the donor, and left nothing but a possibility in the conusee: *Ergo*, the estate of the wife is not changed into an estate for life, for then if error is in the fine, the issue in tail should have a writ of *error* upon the stat. of (f) 9 R. 2. in the life of the wife, and so the issue in tail would have an estate in the land in the life of the donee, which would be absurd; for he has not any estate by purchase, and living the donee he can have nothing by descent. As to the case of 7 H. 4. 16. b. where after (g) divorce the estate of the donees is changed to an estate for their lives, that is not like the case at bar for divers reasons. 1. There the estate-tail is dissolved *ab initio*, and the issue made bastard; but in the case at bar the estate-tail is barred, and not dissolved or determined, but has continuance as long as the wife lives, or the heirs in tail remain.

As to the 2 point, it was answer'd and resolv'd that the confirmation (h) *nihil operatur*: And 1. It was admitted, that if

1 Co. 87. b. 1 Rol. Rep. 424. Witch. 43. Lit. Rep. 291. (f) 9 R. 2. c. 3. 3 Co. 4. a. 4 Inst. 51. Dyer 2. pl. 5. 90. pl. 5. Bridgm. 71. Cr. El. 285. 8 N.B. 99. e. Owen 149. 2 Bulstr. 15. 10 Co. 44. b. Palm. 251, 253. (g) Antea 139 a. 2 Inst. 682. Br. Tail 9. Br. Estate 11. Br. Deraignment & Divorce 13. Co. Lit. 22. a. (h) Cr. Car. 477, 478. cont Hob. 257.

(a) 11 H. 7. c. 20. 3 Co. 50. b. 51. b. 4 Co. 3. b. 5 Co. 80. a. 10. Co. 37. a. Winch. 43. 1 Leon. 261. 2 Leon. 168. 3 Leon. 78. Cr. El. 2, 24, 513, 514. Godb. 6. Moor 93, 250, 455. 2 Anderf. 31, 44, 57. 1 Rol. 878. Cr. Jac. 174, 474, 624. Cr. Car. 244. 1 Jones 13, 254. Co. Lit. 326. b. 365. b. Hob. 166, 341. Brid. 136. (b) Hob. 258, 333. 3 Co. 90. a. b. Cr. Car. 435. 1 Jones 33, 37, 39, 40, 81. 2 Rol. Rep. 374. Winch. 110. (c) 10 Co. 50. a. Godb. 316. Cr. Car. 435. 3 Co. 50. a. 1 Jones 33. 1 Leon. 244. 2 Leon. 36. 3 Leon. 211, 227. Goldsb. 107. Hob. 333. Cr. El. 122, 610. Hur. 84. (d) 2 Rol. Rep. 321. (e) 8 Co. 7. a. b. Dyer 351. pl. 24. 2 Inst. 681. Hob. 257, 333. Moor 147. 1 Brownl. 140. 1 Leon. 84, 157. Dall. in Kelw. 205. pl. 7. Dall. in Ash. pl. 7. Dall. 50. pl. 16. 1 Anderf. 39. pl. 101. Godb. 312. N. Benl. 225. pl. 257. Benl. in Ash. pl. 27. Bent. in Kelw. 213. pl. 27. 2 Rol. Rep. 321. Moor 28. pl. 90, 114. pl. 256. 1 Jones 40. Cr. Car. 478, 3 Co. 4. a. Owen 149. Br. Tail 9.

the Reversion or Remainder in Fee had been in a Stranger, and not in *John Beaumont*, then let us see when *Elizabeth* entered and was seised in Tail, what Estate was left in the Conusee; and it was resolved that no Part of the Estate-tail was left in him, for the Wife was seised of the whole Estate-Tail, and no Part of the Reversion remained in the Conusee, for that was revested in him to whom the Reversion or Remainder did appertain, and from thence it follows, that nothing remained in the Conusee in such Case, but only a (a) Possibility to have the Land after the Death of the Wife (who had the whole Estate-Tail) so long as the Issues in Tail remained, if any were alive at the Time of the Death of the Wife; and without Question such Possibility shall not pass by the said Confirmation. Then when *J. Beaumont* had the Remainder in Fee, the Confirmation made by the Heir of the Conusee could pass nothing in respect of the Possibility which was gained by the Fine during the Continuance of the Estate-Tail, but it ought to be extracted from the Rem'r in Fee, and that it could not be in this Case for divers Reasons: 1. The old Estate-Tail as to the Issues is barred and can't descend, but the Wife is seised of the intire old Estate, and no new Estate is created by the Confirmation, but only the old Estate confirmed, ergo it can't descend. 2. A Confirmation can't add a descendible Quality to him who is disabled to take by Descent; as if Lord and Tenant be of a Carve of Land, and the Ten't has Issue, and is attainted of Felony, and the King Pardons him, and afterwards the Lord confirms the Estate of the Tenant, and the Tenant dies, The Lord shall have the Land against his own Confirmation, for the Confirmation can't add to the Estate of the Tenant a Quality descendible to him who was disabled to take the Land by Descent: So in the case at Bar, the Confirmation of the Earl to *Elizabeth* can't add a Quality descendible to the Issue in Tail, who was disabled by the Fine to take by Descent. 3. If this Confirmation in this Case, should add to the Estate of the Wife a descendible Quality, that in Effect as to this Point would repeal two Acts of Parliament, viz. the Act of 4 H. 7. and 32 H. 8. by which, as appears before, the Estate-Tail is barred as to the (b) Issues, and the Issues disabled to claim the Land by Force of the said Estate-Tail, *Sed pacta privata juri publico derogare non possunt*, and these Statutes are *jura publica*, for they are two of the principal Pillars of the Law. 4. In the said Case of Sir *George Brown*, after that *Anthony* had levied a Fine to him in the Life of his Mother, suppose Sir *George* had confirmed the Estate of the Mother, yet after the Death of the Mother the

(a) Hob. 257.
Cr. Car. 477.

(b) 8 Co. 72. a. b.
Dyer 351. pl. 24.
2 Inst. 681.
Hob. 257, 333.
Antea 139. a.
Moor 28. pl. 90.
174. pl. 256.
1 Brownl. 140.
Moor 147.
Dall in Kelw.
205. pl. 7.
Dall. in Ash.
pl. 7.
Dall. 50. pl. 16.
1 And. 39.
pl. 101.
Godb. 312.
N. Benl. 225.
pl. 257.
Benl. in Ash.
pl. 27.
Benl. in Kelw.
213. b. pl. 27.
2 Rol. Rep 321.
1 Jones 40.
Cr. Car. 478.
1 Co. 87. b.
1 Leon. 84.
157.
1 Rol. Rep. 424.
Lit. Rep. 291.

the Land should not descend to *Anthony*, for the Confirmation doth not increase the Estate of the Wife, but she hath her old Estate, and as it hath been said, the said Earl by his Confirmat. can't add a descendible Quality. 5. The Law is; if Ten't in (a) Dower grants over her Estate, yet for Waste done the Action shall be brought against Ten't in Dower, and Damages shall be recovered against her, and it is a descendible Quality to the Heirs of him in Reversion: In that Case to oust and take away that Charge of the Tenant in Dower, he in the Reversion by his Deed confirms her Estate, to have and to hold to her for Term of her Life, and dies, and afterwards she grants over her Estate, and for Waste done by the Assignee, the Heir brings an Action of Waste against the Ten't in Dower, who pleads this Confirm. to her to have and to hold the Land for Term of her Life; in this Case, notwithstanding this Confirm. the Action shall be maintainable against her, for the Confirmat. doth not enlarge her Estate, and therefore it can't take away this descendible Quality to the Heirs to have an Action of Waste against her after her Assignm. made of her Estate, and so is the Book adjudged in 38 E. 3. 23. a. b. a principal Case: *Pari ratione*, in the Case at Bar, for as much as the Confirmat. doth not enlarge the Estate of *Elizabeth*, it can't add a descendible Quality. 6. 'b) *Quælibet confirmatio aut est perficiens, crescens, aut diminuens*: *Perficiens*, as in *Mayowe's Case* in the first Part of my Reports f. 146, 147. If Feoffee upon Condition. makes a Feoffin. over, and the Feoffor confirms his Estate to him and to his Heirs, *ista est confirmatio perficiens*, for it doth not make Transmut. of the Estate, but it corroborates and perfects the Estate, and makes it simple and absolute, where it was before conditional; and therewith agrees (c) 7 H. 6. 7. b. cited before. So if the Disseisor confirms the Estate of the Disseisor, or his Feoffee, it perfects and corroborates his Estate, for where it was defeasible before, it makes the Estate indefeasible. 2. *Confirmatio crescens*, f. when it enlarges the Estate of him to whom the Confirmat. is made; as to an Estate at Will to encrease it for Years, &c. to an Estate for Years, to encrease it for Life, to an Estate for Life, to increase it to an Estate in Tail, &c. or to an Estate in Tail, to increase it in Fee. But in the Case at Bar, *predictæ confirmatio non fuit crescens*, for it did not enlarge the Estate of the Wife, for she had as high an Estate in Point of Estate by the first Gift, as she had by the Confirmat. 3. *Diminuens*, as where the Lord confirms the Estate of his Tenant who held by Knight's Service, to hold in Socage, or to hold by less Rent, or for Tenant in (d) ancient Demesne to hold at the Common Law, for thereby the Customs of the Manor are diminished; but upon a Confirmation to the Tenant the Lord can't reserve new Services; as an Hawk for Rent, or Rent for an Hawk,

(a) Co. Lit. 44. a.
273. a. 316. a.
2 Rol. 828.
3 Co. 23. b.
30 E. 3. 16. a. b.
E. N. B. 55. c.
56. f.
Cr. El. 358.
Fitz. Wast 122.
2 Inst. 301.
11 H. 4. 19. a.
Br. Wast 66.
Regist. 72. a.
Cr. Car. 430.
40 E. 3. 33. b.
Fitz. Wast 67.

(b) Co. Lit. 295. b.

(c) Ant. 140. a.

(d) Ant. 140. a.
1 Rol. 324.
Fitz. ancient
Demesne 42.
Br. ancient
Demesne 8.
49 E. 3. 7. a. b.

Et sic de similibus. And the Confirmation in the Case at Bar, is neither *perficiens, creseens, nec diminuens*; for the said *Elizabeth* had as perfect and large an Estate before the Confirmation, as she had after.

And as to that which was objected, That if the Wife should have an Estate-Tail; that she had Power to levy a Fine, or suffer a Recovery, &c. To that it was answered, That if the Wife had not such Power, the Reason is, That she can't bar that which was utterly barred before by the Priority of her Husband's Act: But this Point was not then in Question.

Antea 139. a.

Casuum istius libri series.

1 Doman's Cafe. }	Mich. 25 & 26. & Pasch.	
2 Ann Bedingfield's Cafe.	28 Eliz.	<i>Fol. 1.</i>
3 Cafe of Avowry.	Hill. 28 Eliz.	15
4 The Cafe of the Abbot of Strata Marcella. }	Pasch. 30 Eliz.	20
5 Bucknal's Cafe.	Mich. 33 & 34 El. }	24
6 Hensloe's Cafe.	Pasch. 42 Eliz.	33
7 The Earl of Shrewsbury's Cafe. }	Trin. 42 Eliz.	36
8 Hickmor's Cafe.	Trin. 7. & Trin. 8 Jacobi. }	42
9 Baten's Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	52
10 The Poulterers Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	53
11 William Aldred's Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	55
12 John Lamb's Cafe	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	57
13 Robert Bradshaw's Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	59
14 Mackalley's Cafe in killing a Serjeant of London. }	Trin. 10 Jacobi.	60
15 Richard Peacock's Cafe.	5 Decemb. 8. & Pasch. 9 Jacobi. }	62
16 Doctor Huffey's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	70
17 Combe's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	72
18 Henry Peytoe's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	75
19 Agnes Gore's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	77
20 Conny's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	81
21 Pinchon's Cafe.	Trin. 6. & Mic. 9 Jac.	82
22 William Bane's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	86
23 Sir George Reynel's Cafe.	Hill. 8 & Hill. 9 Jacobi.	91
24 Margaret Podger's Cafe.	Hill. 9 Jacobi.	95
25 Meriel Thresham's Cafe.	Pasch. 10 Jacobi.	104
26 Robert Marys's Cafe.	Pasch. 10 Jacobi.	108
27 The Lord Sanchar's Cafe.	Trin. 10 Jacobi.	111
	Trin. 10 Jacobi.	114

Cases in the Court of Wards.

		<i>Fol.</i>
28 Anthony Lowe's Case.	Trin. 7 Jacobi.	122
29 Floyer's Case.	Hill. 8 Jacobi.	125
30 Sunday's Case.	Hill. 8 Jacobi.	127
31 Quick's Case.	Paschæ 9 Jacobi.	129
32 Bewley's Case.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	130
33 Tho. Holt's Case.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	131
34 Matth. Mene's Case.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	133
35 Ascough's Case.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	134
36 Thoroughgood's Case.	Hill. 9 Jacobi.	136
37 Beaumont's Case.	Pasch. 10 Jacobi.	138

F I N I S.
