

University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law

UMKC School of Law Institutional Repository

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke

Leon E. Bloch Library Sir Edward Coke
Collection

1727

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., in English, Compleat in Thirteen Parts: The Eight Part of the Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., Her Majesty's Attorney General

Sir Edward Coke

Follow this and additional works at: https://irlaw.umkc.edu/coke_reports



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

The Eighth PART of the
R E P O R T S

O F

Sir Edward Coke, Kt.

Chief Justice of the COMMON PLEAS:

O F

Divers Resolutions and Judgments given on solemn Arguments, and with great Deliberation and Conference of the most Reverend Judges and Sages of the LAW, of Cases in LAW, which were never resolved or adjudged, before: And the Reasons and Causes of the said Resolutions and Judgments.

Published in the Ninth Year of the Most High and Most Illustrious JAMES King of England, France and Ireland, and of Scotland, the 44th, the Fountain of all Piety and Justice, and the Life of the LAW.

With REFERENCES to all the BOOKS of the COMMON LAW, as well Ancient as Modern.

Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut differemus justitiam aut rectum. Magna Charta, cap. 29.
Rex precipit ut pax sacrosanctæ Ecclesie & Regni solide conservetur & colatur in omnibus, & quod justitia singulis, tam pauperibus quam divitibus, administretur, nulla habita personarum ratione. Westmonast. i. cap. 1.

In the SAVOY:

Printed by E. and R. NUTT, and R. GOSLING, (Assigns of Edward Sayer, Esq;) for D. Bowne, J. Malthoe, B. Lintot, R. Gosling, W. Hears, T. Ward, W. Inuys, J. Osborn, J. Hooke, T. Woodward, F. Clay, T. Wootton, R. William-son, and A. Ward.

M. DCC. XXVII.

Deo, Patriæ, Tibi.

QUOD scripsi (ut noverit Lector eruditus) priorum mearum in nonnullis Præfationum de Legum Angliæ, & antiquitate & excellentia, duas has produxit quæstiones: 1. An Historiographi in hoc mihi conveniunt quod in illis adeo confidenter affervi: 2. Cum tam crebra facta sit de Legibus Angliæ municipalibus repetitio, quodnam sit corpus sive textus Juris municipalis & ubi deinde inveniendum. Ad utrasque quarum responsion' tandem reddere visum est. Primam qd' attinet, quam libri & acta publica (quæ sunt *et* *vetustatis* *et* *veritatis vestigia*) a me in præfationibus in tertiam & in sextam Commenta-

THAT *which I have written as you know (learned Reader) in some of my former Prefaces of the Antiquity and Excellency of our Laws of England, hath produced these two Questions: First whether Historiographers do concur with that which there so constantly hath been affirmed: Secondly, seeing so great and so often Rehearsal is made of the Common Laws of England, what the Body or Text of the Common Law is, and consequently where a Man may find it. To both which in the End I yielded to make Answer. For the First: Albeit the Books and Records (which are *et* *vetustatis* *et* *veritatis vestigia*) cited by me in the Prefaces to the Third*

To the READER.

and Sixth Parts of my Commentaries, are of that Authority that they need not the Aid of any Historian: Yet will I with a light Touch set down out of the consent of Story, some Proofs of the Antiquity, and from the censure of those Persons who in respect of their Profession (for they were Monks and Clergymen) may rather fall into a Jealousy of Reservedness than Flattery, somewhat of the Equity and Excellency of our Laws; and that it doth appear most plain in successive Authority in Story what I have positively affirmed out of Record, That the Grounds of our Common Laws at this Day were beyond the Memory or Register of any Beginning, and the same which the Norman Conqueror then found within this Realm of England. The Laws that William the Conqueror swore to observe, were bonæ & approbatæ antiquæ regni leges, that is, the Laws of this Kingdom were in the Beginning of the Conqueror's Reign good, approved, and ancient. And, that the People might the better observe their Duty, and the Conqueror his Oath, he caused Twelve of the most Discreet and Wise Men

rionum meorum partem prolata, ejus fidei sunt & authoritatis, qd' Historiographi auxilio nequaquam opus est; leviori tamen ut dicam tactu, ex consentiente Historiarum suffragio de Antiquitate, & (censura eor', quos forsân non immerito (si spectes eorum ordinem) suspiceris potius de vitio reticendi quam blandiendi) de Æquitate & excellentia legum nostrar', nonnulla adjiciam argumenta; & qd' testimonii Historiæ omnium superior' tempor' liquet hoc imprimis manifesto, quod positive ex monumentis affirmavi, principia nempe Legum nostrar' hodie municipalium tanto ævo inoluissè, quod nihil omnino illorum de origine ostendi potest; hæcque illa fuisse eadem quæ victor ille Normannus in hoc Angl' regno inveniebat. Leges, quas Gulielmus gentis nostr' subactor jurejurando se astrinxit observaturum, fuerunt bonæ & approbatæ antiquæ regni Leges. Et, quo rectius populus sua officia & Victor jurament' sanctius observarent, constituit, ut de singulis totius Angl' comitatibus 12, viri sapientiores coram se jurarent, qd' nec ad dextram nec ad sinistr' declinantes, hoc est, nec præ-

Ex vita Ab-
batis sancti
Albani.

Ex lib. Mo-
nast. de
Litchfield.

To the READER.

fore relaturum, qua iniquas exactiões & consuetudines a regnante fratre institutas aboleviffet, & antiquiores præstantioresq; sancti *Ed.* leges corroborasset. Nec minus antiquæ pari etiam testimonio constabunt nonnullæ civitatum nostrarum consuetudines: De Londino enim ait *Fitz-Stephen* monachus Cantuariensis; *Prius condita est quam illa a Remo & Romulo*, (Romam intelligens) *unde & adhuc antiquis eisdem utuntur Legibus communibus institutis*, &c. Paulo inferius perveniamus usque ad tempora regis *Johannis* filii regis *Henrici* secundi. Hic anno decimo septimo a suscepto gubernaculo, duas illas constituit magnas Chartas, unam, quam dicimus, Magnam Chartam (ob rei potius momentum quam ob quantitatem) aliam vero, Chartam de Foresta, quæ in hodiernum usque extant diem: De quibus monachus sancti *Albani*: *Quæ ex parte maxima Leges Antiquas & regni, consuetudines continebant*. Et non multo post inquit: *Capitula quoque Legum & Libertatem, quæ ibi Magnates confirmare querebant, partem in Charta Regis Henrici, superius scripta*

restored, and by my Charter confirmed to God and holy Church, and to all Earls and Barons, and to all my Subjects, all Grants and Donations, and Liberties and free Customs, which King *Henry* my Grandfather gave and granted unto them. And all those evil Customs which he abolished and remitted, I likewise do remit, and for me and my Heirs do agree shall be abolished. *By which Words it appeareth, that he had Reference to that Charter of his Grandfather that abolished the unjust Exaction and Usages of his Brother's Reign, and confirmed the old and excellent Lawes under S. Edward's Government. And no less antient, even by the like Authorities will appear the Customs of some of our Cities: For of London saith Fitzstephen (a Monk of Canterbury)* it was built before that of *Remus* and *Romulus* (meaning Rome) wherefore even to this Day they use the same antient Laws, publick Ordinances, &c. *Let us descend a little Lower to the Times of King John, the Son of Henry the Second, in the 17th Year of his Reign, made the two great Charters, the one called Mag. Char.* (not

To the READER.

prærogativæ blandientes, nec privilegia dilatantes, Legum suarum sanctitatem patefacerent, nil prætermittentes: Nil addentes, nil prævaricando mittentes: Et Aldredus Archiepisc' (qui corona Regis caput cœxit triumphali) & Hugo Londinensis Episcop', per præcept' Regis scripserunt propriis manibus omnia quæ præd' Jurati dixerunt. Et hæc (teste Ingulpho) Authenticas esse & perpetuas per totum regnum Angl' inviolabiliter tenendas sub penis gravissimis proclamavit. Summam cujus, cum digessisset in Magn' (ut loquimur) chartam, solum proculdubio & fundament' Leg' omnium posterior', signaculo securitatis & voto beavit æternitatis, hoc generali concludens edicto: Hoc quoque præcipimus, ut omnes habent & teneant Legem Edwardi Regis in omnibus rebus: Benignique sui operis prælustri hac sua inscrip' erexit frontispicium: *Willielmus Dei gratia Rex Anglorum, Dux Normannor', Omnib' hominib' suis Francis & Anglicis salutem. Statuimus imprimis super omnia unum Deum per totum regn' nostr' venerari, unam fidem Christi semper inviolatam custodiri, pacem & concordiam, judicium & justiciam*

in every Shire throughout all England, to be sworn before himself, that, without swerving, either ad dextr' or sinistram, That is, neither to flatter prerogative or extend Privilege, they should declare the Integrity of their Laws without concealing, adding, or in any Sort varying from the Truth. And Aldred the Archbishop that had crown'd him, and Hugh the Bishop of London, by the King's Commandment wrote that which the said Jurats had delivered: And these (as saith Ingulphus) by publick Proclamation, he declared to be Authentick, and, for ever, under grievous Punishment, to be inviolably observed. The Sum of which, composed by him into a Magna Charta, (the Ground-work of all those that after followed) he blessed with the Seal of Security, and wish of Eternity, closing it up with this general: And we further command that all Men keep and observe duely the Laws of King Edward: rearing up the Frontispiece, of his gracious Work with his glorious Stile, Will'mus Dei gratia Rex Anglorum, Dux Normanor'. Omnibus hominibus suis Francis & Anglicis Salutem. Statuimus

Ex Ingulpho
Abbare Crow-
landense.
Ex libro An-
tiquarum le-
gum.

Ex libro ma-
nuscripto pro de-
legibus anti-
quis:

To the READER.

mus imprimis super omnia unum Deum per totum regn' nostr' venerari, unam fidem Christi semper inviolatam custodiri, pacem & securitatem & concordiam, judicium & Justiciam inter Anglos & Normannos, Francos & Britones Walliæ & Cornubiæ, Pictos & Scotos Albaniam, similiter inter & Insulanos, provincias & patrias quæ pertinent ad coronam & dignitatem, defension' & observation' & honorem regni nost', & inter omnes nobis subjectos per universam Monarchiam regni Britanniaë firmiter & inviolabiliter observari.

Ex Mat. Par.
monacho
sancti Al-
bani.

W. Ruf. that succeeded his Father, so exceeded himself in Misrule and Oppression, that there is left no Register of his Goodness in this Kind, for in his Time the Kingdom was oppressed with unjust Exactions, and the Justice corrupted with evil Usages, as appeareth by the great Charter of his succeeding Brother, King Henry I. who thereby took away all the evil Customs wherewith the Kingdom of England was unjustly oppressed, and restored the Law of King Edward, (such Law as was in the Time of the holy Confessor) with those Amendments which his

Ex Rogero
Hoveden.
presbitero.

inter Anglos & Normannos, Francos & Britones, Walliæ & Cornubiæ, Pictos & Scotos Albaniam, similiter inter

& Insulanos, provincias & patrias, quæ pertinent ad coronam & dignitatem, defensionem & observationem & honorem regni nostri, & inter omnes nobis subjectos per universam Monarchiam regni Britanniaë firmiter & inviolabiliter observari. Patri qui successit Guilielmus Rufus, rem male gubernando libertatesque omnes supprimendo ita se excessit, qd' virtutis suæ hujusmodi ne reliquum omnino est vestigium; sub illo enim, regnum oppressum erat injustis exactionibus, justiciæque ipsa malis adulterabatur consuetudinibus, ut plane constat ex Magna illa Charta succedentis sui fratris Henrici primi, qua penitus abrogavit Omnes malas consuetudines quibus regn' Angl' injuste opprimebatur, restauravit legem Regis Edwardi, (hanc nimirum, quæ sancti illius Confessoris viguisset temporibus) cum illis emendationibus quibus pater eam emendavit cum consilio Baronum suorum. Leges hæc a Mat. Par. (cujus historia hanc habet insertam donationem) edocentur fore, Libertates & Con-

To the READER.

Consuetudines antiquae, quae floruerunt in regno tempore facti Regis Edwardi. Hoc etiam testatur Hoveden, in hæc verba; Rex Henricus primus omnes malas consuetudines & iniquas exactiones, quibus regnum Angl' injuste opprimebatur, abstulit, pacem firmam in toto regno suo posuit, & teneri præcepit legem Reg. Edwardi, omnibus in commune reddidit, &c. Quod etiam Florentius monachus Wigorn' (qui vixit sub Henrico primo) in iisdem fere verbis demonstrat. Quin &, injusticia seculi superioris unde extorta fuit, & unde & quomodo emendata Gulielmus Monachus Malmesburiensis his neminit verbis. Henricus nat' in Anglia & regie nat', &c. edito statim per Angliam misso injustitias a fratre & Ranulpho institutas prohibuit, &c. aliquarum moderation' legum revocavit insolitam, sacramento suo & omnium Procerum ne luderentur corrobora', &c. Rex Stephan', qui in avunculi successit regnum, charta sua magna libertatum, Baronibus & hominibus de Anglia, confirmavit his verbis, omnes Libertates & bonas leges quas Henricus Rex Angliæ avunculus meus eis dedit & concessit, & omnes bonas

Father added by the Advice of his Barons. *What these were* Mat. Par. (who hath inserted the Charter in his Story) *declareth to be the ancient Liberties and Customs which flourished in this Kingdom in the Time of holy King Edward. And bereewith agreeth Hoveden in these Words: King Henry I. took away all the evil Customs and unjust Exactions wherewith the Kingdom of England was unjustly oppressed: He settled an assured Peace in his whole Kingdom, and commanded the Law of K. Edward to be observed, he restor'd to all, &c. The which, almost in the same Phrase, Florentius a Monk of Worcester, and living in the Reign of Henry I. observeth. And by whom the Injustice of the foregoing Age proceeded, and by whom and how redressed, William the Monk of Malmesbury delivereth in these Words: Henry born in England, of kingly Birth, &c. by his Proclamation speedily sent thro' England: Refrain'd the Injustice brought in by his Brother, and Ranulph, &c. and abolished the unwonted Lenity of some Laws, giving Assurance by his own and*

Ex Mat. Pars

Ex Roger Hoveden.

Ex Florentio-
monacho
Wigorn.

Ex Willielmo
monacho
Malmesbur.

To the READER.

all the Nobility's Oath, that they should not be deluded, &c. King Stephen that succeeded his Uncle, confirmeth in his great Charter of Liberties to the Barons and Commons of England in these Words, All the Liberties and good Laws which Henry King of England my Uncle granted unto them: And I grant them all the good Laws and good Customs which they enjoyed in the Reign of King Edward and was so jealous of Innovation, as Roger Bacon the learned Friar saith in his Book, De impedimentis sapientiae: King Stephen forbade by publick Edict that no Man should retain the Laws of Italy formerly brought into England. The next to this Man was Hen. 2. who in another great Charter established the former Laws in these Words. Henry by the Grace of God King of England, Duke of Normandy and Aquitain, E. of Anjou, to all Earls, Barons, and his faithful Subjects of France and England, Greeting: Know ye that I, to the Honour of God and holy Church, and for the common Amendment of my whole Kingdom, have granted and

leges & bonas consuetudines eis concedo quas habuerunt tempore reg. Edwardi: Curaque illi tanta imprimis fuit innovationi viam intercludendi, quod Rogerus Bacon Frater ille perquam eruditus, in libro suo de impedimentis sapientiae, dicit; Rex quidem Stephanus, alatis Legibus Italiae in Angliam, publico edicto prohibuit ne ab aliquo detinerentur. Huic successione proximus fuit Henricus secundus, qui alia Mag. Charta priores stabilivit Leges, in hæc verba: Henricus Dei gratia Rex Angl, Dux Normannie & Aquitania, Comes Andegaviae, omnibus Comitibus, Baronibus, & fidelibus suis Francis & Angl salutem. Sciatis me, ad honorem Dei & sancte Ecclesie, & pro communi emendatione totius Regni mei concessisse & reddidisse, & charta mea confirmasse Deo & sancte Ecclesie, & omnibus comitib' & Baron', & omnib' hominib' meis omnes concessiones & donationes, & Libertates & liberas consuetudines quas Rex Henr' avus meus eis dedit, & concessit. Similiter etiam omnes malas consuetudines quas ipse delevit & remisit, ego remitto, & deleri concedo, pro me & heredibus meis. Unde liquet eum in Chartam avi sui fore

Ex libro legum Antiquarum.

Ex libro Fœderi Bacon de Impediment' sapientiae.

Ex libro leg' Antiquarum.

To the READER.

Mat. Par. an.
Dom. 1215.
p. 246, 247.

in respect of the Quantity, but of the Weight) and the other Charta de Foresta, which are yet extant to this Day. Of which the Monk of St. Albans saith, Quæ ex parte maxima leges antiquas & regni consuetudines continebant: That is, which for the most Part did contain the ancient Laws and Customs of this Realm. And soon after he saith: And those Laws and Liberties which the Nobility of the Realm did there seek to confirm, are partly in the abovesaid Charter of King Henry, and partly taken out of the antient Laws of King Edward: Not that King Edward the Confessor did institute them, but that he out of the huge heap of the Laws, &c. chose the best and reduced them into one, as in the Preface to the third Part of my Reports more at large it appeareth. The said great Charters made by King John are set down in hæc verba in Mat. Par. pag. 246. and in Effect do agree with Magna Charta and Charta de Foresta established and confirmed by the great Charter made in 9 Hen. 3. which for their Excellency have since that Time been confirmed and commanded to be

Mat. Par.
pag. 246.
Mag. Char.
9 H. 3.

sunt, partimque Legibus Regis Edwardi antiquis excerpta: Non equidem quod has instituisse putes Regem Edwardum, sed quod ex immensa Legum congerie, &c. optima quæque selegit, ac in unam coegit; ut in tertiæ partis Relationum mearum Prefatione copiose magis videre est. Quas quidem Magnas Chartas Regis Johannis in hæc verba habet Mat. Par. p. 246. & eadem plane sunt cum Mag' illa Charta & Charta de Foresta, quæ stabiliuntur firmæque redduntur in Magna Charta, anno nono Henrici tertii sancita: Quarum tanta est excellentia, quod diversorum exinde parliamentor' prudentia & autoritas ultra trigesies illas approbaverunt & executioni mandaverunt. In Registro præterea, Leges istæ in Rescriptis quamplurimis dicuntur Libertates; ubi dicitur, juxta tenorem Mag. Char. de Libertatibus Angliæ, ab effectu, quia liberos faciunt: Quas Mat. Par. & alii (ut patet supra) sub eodem habent nomine. Jam tandem, non solum ex Historiographis, quibus idem ac nobis in religione suadetur, sed ex hisce etiam monasticis, Legum nostrarum municipalium

To the READER.

cipalium excellentia & antiquas eluceant perspicue. Hoc illi meis in prioribus Præfationibus assertioni fusius subtexui, ut earum unita vis facilius utrinque adimat opinionum discrepantiam de veritate clarissimis adeo multis firmissimisque argument' (in suprad' tertiæ partis proœmio, immo & testimonio *Johannis Fortescue*, militis aurati (qui, regnante *Henrico sexto*, e supremo Angliæ tribunali jus dixit) inter alia prolato in Præfatione sextæ mearum Relationum partis) demonstrata. Quæ omnia evincunt manifesto Leg' municipalium corpus ante devictam hanc Nation' inscript' non fuisse in fragmentis illis edictorum atque Constitutionum promulgatis sub titulo Legum Regis *Abredi*, *Edwardi primi*, *Edwardi secundi*, *Ethelstani*, *Edmundi*, *Edgari*, *Ethelredi*, *Canuti*, *Edwardi Confessoris*, aliorumve regum Angliæ, gente hac nondum subjugata: Quin &, illa adhuc reliqua Legum perpauca capitula, majore ex parte sunt Edicta quædam & Constitutiones, ab iisdem regibus, ex assensu Comitiorum regni, separatim sancita. De Præstantia

put in Execution by the Wisdom and Authority of Thirty several Parliaments and above. And these Laws are in the Register in many Writs called Liberties, for there it is said, according to the Tenor of the great Charter of the Liberties of England, so called of the Effect, because they make free: And Mat. of Par. and others (as it appeareth before) stileth them by the same Name. So as the Antiquity and Excellency of our Common Lawes do not only appear by Historians of our own Persuasion in Religion, but by these monastical Writers: The which I have added the more at large in this Point to that which I affirmed in my former Prefaces, to the End that they agreeing together, may the better persuade both Parties to agree to the Truth manifestly proved by many unanswerable Arguments in the said Preface to the Third Part, and by the Authority of Sir John Fortescue, Chief Justice in the Reign of King Hen. 6. amongst others at large cited in my Preface to the 6th Part, by all which it is manifest, that in Effect, the very Body of the Common Lawes before the Conquest are omitted out of the Fragments of such Acts and

Or-

To the R E A D E R.

Ordinances as are published under the Title of the Laws of King Alvred, Edward the First, Edward the Second, Ethelstane, Edward, Edgar, Etheldred, Canutus, Edward the Confessor, or of other Kings of England before the Conquest. And those few Chapters of Laws yet remaining, are for the most Part certain Acts and Ordinances established by the said several Kings by assent of the Common Council of their Kingdom. As for the Excellency of our municipal Laws I will add to that which hath been said before, that the Monk of Crowland calleth them the most just Laws, and Mat. of Westm' of them saith: They being by the Appointment of King Knute translated out of English into Latin, were by him for their Equity commanded to be observ'd as well in Denmark, as in England. And of this Matter thus much shall suffice.

Ex Monacho
Crowlandiæ,
Ex Mat.
Westm.

But yet before I take my leave of these Historians, I must incounter some of them in two main Points.

First; that the Trial by Juries of 12 Men (which is one of the invincible Arguments of the Antiquity of the Common Laws, being only appropriated to them) was not instituted by the powerful

Legum nostrarum communium, hoc adjiciam, quod Monachus Crowlandensis illas nequaquam immerito appellavit Leges aequissimas. Et de illis ait Matth. Westmonasteriensis: Jubente Gnutone ab Anglica lingua in Latinam translata, tam in Dacia quam in Anglia propter eorum aequitatem a Rege prefato observari jubentur. Atque de hac re hæc sufficient.

Missos tandem faciamus Historicos, si in duobus magni ponderis & momenti illis pritis occurramus.

Primo, morem experiundi causas per duodecim viros juratos (qui firmissimorum unum est argumentor' Antiquitatis Legum Communium, ut his solis proprius) potentis Sub-

To the R E A D E R.

Subjugatores ex arbitrio non fuisse introductum ut eorum nonnulli audacter satis affirmarunt.

Will of a Conqueror, as some of them peremptorily affirm they were.

Secundo, Curiam Acti-
onum Communium insti-
tutam non fuisse post sta-
tutum Magnæ Chartæ (an-
no nono *Henrici tertii* fan-
citur) hoc quod opinioni
quorundam aliorum peni-
tus adversatur. Ad pri-
mum quod attinet, consu-
lat Lector doctus procæ-
mium in tertiam Relationum
mearum partem, ubi ha-
beat in quo hac de re edo-
ctus satis acquiescat: Inte-
rea tamen magni illius
(suo genere) hujus regni
ornamenti attexam sen-
tentiam in elaborata ejus
Britannia, pag. 109. qua
clausam habes hanc rem.
Quod vero Polidorus Virgilius
scribit, Gulielmum illum
victorem duodecim virorum
judicium primum induxisse,
nihil a vero alienius; mul-
tis enim ante annis in usu
fuisse certissimum est ex Legi-
bis Etheldredi: Nec est cur
terribile judicium vocaret, e
populo enim duodecim viri
liberi & legales e vicinia rite
evocantur; hi jurejurando
obligantur vere de facto sen-
tentiam dicere; advocatos
coram Tribunali utrinque
differentes, & testes audiunt;
inde, acceptis utriusque par-
tis instrumentis, concludun-

The 2d, that the Court of
Common Pleas was not
erected after the Statute of
Magna Charta (which was
made in the ninth Year of
King Hen. 3.) contrary to
that which others do hold.
For the first, I refer the
learned Reader to the Pre-
face before the third Part of
my Reports, where he shall
receive full and clear Sa-
tisfaction herein, and will
only add the Judgment of
the great Ornament (in his
kind) of this Kingdom in his
Britannia, pag. 109. with
which I will conclude this
Point: But whereas Polidore
Virgil writeth, that
William the Conqueror first
brought in the Trial by
twelve Men, there is no-
thing more untrue, for it
is most certain and appar-
ent by the Laws of Ethel-
dred, that it was in use
many Years before: Nei-
ther hath he any cause to
term it a terrible Judg-
ment; for Free-born and
lawful Men, are duly by
order impannelled and cal-
led forth of the Neighbour-
hood, these are bound by
Oath to pronounce and de-
liver up their Verdict
touching the Fact; they
hear

Camb. Brit.
P. 109.

To the READER.

hear the Council plead on both Sides before the Bench or Tribunal, and the Depositions of Witnesses; then taking with 'em the Evidences of both Parties, they are shut up together and kept from Meat, Drink and Fire, (unless Peradventure some one of them be in Danger of Death) until they be agreed of the Matter in Fact: Which when they have pronounced before the Judge, he according to Law giveth Sentence. For this manner of Trial our most wise and provident Ancestors thought the best to find out the Truth, to avoid Corruption, and to cut off all Partiality and Affections. *And for the Excellency and Indifferency of this kind of Trial, and why it is only appropriated to the Common Lawes of England, read Justice Fortescue, ca. 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32. &c. which being worthy to be written in Letters of Gold for the the Weight and Worthiness thereof, I will not abridge any Part of the same, but refer the learned Reader to the Fountain it self.*

Fortescue.

As to the Second, it is clearer than the Light at Noon Day, that the Court of

tur, sine cibo, potu, & igne detinentur (nisi forte periculum sit ne ex illis quispiam moriatur) donec de facto inter se convenerint, quod ubi coram Judice pronunciaverint, ille de Jure sententiam profert. Hanc enim rationem prudentissimi Majores nostr' optimam esse ad veritatem elicendam, tum ad corruptelas evitandas, & affectus intercludendos existimarunt. Et modus hic erendi veritatem (præstantissimus omnino, planeq; æquissimus) qua de causa juri municipali Angliæ peculiaris est, discas evoluendo hæc quæ scripsit Justiciarius Fortescue, cap. 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, &c. Quæ cum, ut literis effingerentur aureis, optime promeruerunt (si vel gravitatem vel excellentiam spectemus) nullam inde partem a me habes abstractam, propterea quod fontes ipsos petat velim Lector eruditus.

Secundo loco, luce ipsa meridiana apparet clarius Curiam Communium placitorum

To the READER.

cundo, qualem tunc habuissent, si a Victore adeo nuperrime introductæ vel institutæ fuissent. De quibus Legibus hoc confidenter statuam, Nullam esse Legem (humanam dico) in toto hoc terrarum orbe, qua cum honore, pace, & concordia res in hoc regno agantur publicæ, infinitis prorsus gradibus, æque commode atque his antiquissimis ac præstantissimis Angliæ Legibus.

Ranulphus de Glanvilla, Justiciarius capitalis sub Henrico secundo, Legum Angliæ partem doctissime pariter atque consultissime literis commendavit (cujus opera in hodiernum usque diem supersunt) ejusdemq; tractatus præmio scribit, Regem hanc gentem gubernasse Legibus regni & consuetudinibus de ratione introductis & diu obtentis: Ex quibus verbis, adeo multis abhinc seculis, emissis, manifestum est, qd' tunc fuerunt leges regni & consuetudines ratione fundatæ & antiquis temporibus acquisitæ; quæ proculdubio nec potuit nec asserere voluit, si adeo recenter & fere immediate ante per Expugnatorem

Conqueror. For it had not been possible to have brought the Laws to such a Perfection as they were in the Reign of K. Hen. 2. succeeding, if the same had been so suddenly brought in or instituted by the Conqueror: Of which Laws this I will say, That there is no human Law within the Circuit of the whole World, by infinite Degrees, so apt and profitable for the honourable, peaceable, and prosperous Government of this Kingdom, as these antient and excellent Laws of England be.

Ranulphus de Glanvilla, Chief Justice, in the Reign of King Henry the Second, learnedly and profoundly wrote of Part of the Laws of England (whose Works remain extant at this Day:) And in his Preface he writeth, That the King did govern this Realm by the Laws of the Kingdom, and by Customs founded upon Reason, and of antient Time obtained. By which Words spoken so many Hundred Years since, it appeareth, that then there were Laws and Customs of this Kingdom grounded upon Reason, and of antient Time obtained, which he neither could nor would have affirmed, if they had been so recently and almost presently

To the READER.

citorum originem neutiquam habuisse post statutum, 9 Hen. 3. Cap. 11. *Communia placita non sequantur Curiam nostram, sed teneantur in aliquo loco certo.* Primo eodem ipso tempore, eademque Charta, capite decimo tertio, Curia Actionum communium expressim nominatur: *Affisæ de ultima præsentatione semper capiantur coram Justiciariis de Banco, & ibi terminentur:* Et dubium nemini est quin Justiciarii de Banco sint Communium Action' Justiciarii, Secundo, Rex Henricus primus, filius Victoris, Chartam Abbati de B. fecit confirmationem omnium suarum consuetudinum, &c. & ulterius ei concessit cognitionem Actionum omnium quarumcunque, adeo ut neutrius Banci, sive Assisar', Justiciariis liceret interponere autoritatem suam: Et hoc perspicuum est ex 26 Ass. pl. 24. Tertio, in 6 E. 3. fol. 54, 55. constat, 15 Michaelis anno sexto regis Richardi primi, finem fuisse levatum (ut loquimur) inter Abbatem de S. & Theoband C. de jure Patronatus Ecclesiæ de Preston, coram Archiep' Catuariensis, Episcop' Rosensi; & alijs Justiciariis

Common Pleas was not erected after the Statute of 9 H. 3. cap. 11. Common Pleas shall not follow our Court, but shall be holden in some Place certain. First, at the same Time, and in the same great Charter, and in the next Chapter saving one, the Court of Common Pleas is expressly nam'd; Assises of Darrein Presentment shall always be taken before the Justices of the Bench, and no Mandoubteth but Justiciarii de Banco are Justices of the Common Pleas. 2.K. Henry the First, the Son of the Conqueror, by his Charter, granted to the Abbot of B. a Charter of Confirmation of all his Usages, &c. And further granted, that he should have Conusance of all manner of Pleas, so that the Justices of the one Bench, or of the other, or Justices of Assise, should not meddle, &c. and this Charter appeareth in 26 lib. Ass. pl. 24. 3. In the Book Case of 6 Ed. 3. fol. 54, 55. it appeareth, that 15 Mich. in the sixth Year of King Richard the First, a Fine was levied between the Abbot of S. and Theoband C. of the Advowson of the Church of Preston, before the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Bishop of Rochester, and others,

26 lib. Ass.

pl. 24.

6 E. 3. 54, 55.

15 Mich.

6 Ri. primi.

To the READER.

Pl. Com. in
Stowel's
Case.

Ex. rot. Pat.
de anno
1 H. 3.
10 E. 4. f. 53.

others, (*Justices del Banke, that is, of the Court of Common Pleas.*) And it appeareth in *Master Ploveden's Com.* in *Stowel's Case*, that *Fines were levied before the Conquest.* In the *Treasury* there are yet remaining some *Fragments of Records and Judgments in the Reign of King Richard the First*, as well coram *Justiciariis de Banco*, as coram *Rege.* *Martin de Pateshull* was made *Justiciarius de Banco*, in the first Year of *H. 3.* which was before the *Statute of Magna Charta.* And in anno 10 *Ed. 4.* fol. 53. all the *Judges of England* did affirm, that the *Chancery, King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer*, be all the *Kings Courts*, and have been *Time out of Memory of Man*; so as no *Man* knoweth which of them is the most *Antient.* But in a *Case* so clear this shall suffice. And yet let me observe, that *divers Bishops and other Ecclesiastical Persons in antient Time*; did studiously read over the *Laws of England*, and thereby attained to great and perfect *Knowledge of the same.* And the said *Martin de Pateshull* who was, as before is said, *Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas in the first Year of*

de Banco, id est, de *Curia placitorum* sive *actionum communium.* Quin & in *Commentarij magist' Ploveden* in *casu Stowell*, fines fuisse levatos, nondum hoc regno subjugato, manifestum est. In *archivo*; etiamnum hodie extant *fragmenta nonnulla Actorum publicorum* adq; *Judiciorum* sub *Rege Richardo primo*, tam coram *Justiciariis de Banco*, quam coram *Rege.* *Martinus de Pateshull* constitutus erat *Justiciarius de Banco*, anno primo regis *H. tertii*, nondum adhuc edito *statuto Magnæ Chartæ.* Et in an. decimo *Edwardi quarti*, f. 53. omnes totius *Angliæ Judices, Cancellariam, Bancum Regium, Bancum Communem, & Scaccarium*, esse *Regis* fora affirmabunt, sicque ex omni *ætatum memoria* extitisse; adeo ut dicitur *nemo sciat*, hoc est eorum *antiquissimum.* In hac vero *perspicua veritate* hæc nobis *sufficiant.* Hic tamen observem *Episcopos olim* quamplurimos, aliosq; *viros Ecclesiasticos*; studio *Legum municipalium Angl'* cupide *invigilasse*, plenamque inde *uberioremq; adeptos* fuisse *cognitionem*: Idem enim *Martinus de Pateshull Capitalis de Banco Justiciarius*

To the READER.

ciarius anno primo Regis *Henrici tertii* (ut supra memoratur) Decanus item fuit Ecclesiæ Sancti *Pauli*: De quo dicitur; *vir fuit summæ prudentiæ, & in legibus hujus regni peritissimus.* Et *Johannes Britton* Episcopus Herefordensis, optime sub Rege *Edwardo I.* scripsit de municipalibus Angliæ Legibus, cujus hodie habemus opera. Multi etiam Magnatum, in Legibus Angl' periti imprimis evaserunt, utputa (qd' unum instar multor' habeas, ne hæc egrediatur suos fines præfatio,) *Ranulphus de Meschives*, clarus ille & illustis Comes Cestriæ, ejusque familiæ tertius & postremus (vir nisi, me meus fallat Author, summa scientia & perita hujus regni Legum singulari) de eisdem librum composuit, qui suam in illis cognition' abunde satis testatur: De quo *M. Par. p. 350.* meminit (effect' ejus doctrinæ Legumque hujus reg' prudentiæ certissimum:) *Solus autem Comes Cestrensis Ranulphus stetit viriliter, nolens terram suam redigere in servitutem (i. decimas solvere Domino Pape) nec permisit de feodo suo viros religiosos vel Clericos decimas memoratas solvere, quamvis Anglia & Wallia, Scotia*

PART VIII.

King Henry the Third, was also Dean of Paul's, of whom it is said that he was a Man of great Wisdom, and exceeding well learn'd in the Laws of this Land. And John Britton Bishop of Hereford, wrote an excellent Work in the Days of King Edward the First, of the common Laws of England, which remain to this Day. And many Noblemen have been excellently learned in the Laws of England, as taking one Example for many, lest this Preface should grow too large, Ranulphus de Meschives the great and worthy Earl of Chester, and the third and last of that Family, (having as mine Author saith) great Knowledge and Understanding in the Laws of this Land; compiled a Book of the same Laws, as a Witness of his great Skill therein: Of whom

Mat. Par. p. 350. reporteth

(as an Effect of his Learning and Knowledge in the Laws of this Realm:) But Ranulph Earl of Chester alone valiantly resisted, as not willing to bring his Country into Servitude (by paying of Tenths to the Pope:) And would not suffer the Religious or Clerks of his Fee to pay the said Tenths, altho' all England and Wales, Scot-

b land

Joh. Britton
Episcopus
Heref.

Mat. Par. p.
350.

To the READER.

St. Merton,
c. 9.

land and Ireland, were compelled to pay them. *And at a Parliament holden in the twentieth Year of King Henry the Third, the Act saith: All the Bishops desired the Lords that they would Consent, That all such as were born afore Matrimony should be Legitimate as well as they that be born within Matrimony, as to the Succession of Inheritance, forasmuch as the Church, accepteth such for Legitimate: And all the Earls and Barons with one Voice answered, That they would not change the Laws of this Realm, which hitherto have been used and approved. Which uniform and resolute answer of all the Nobility of England, nullo contradicente, doth shew the inward and affectionate Love and Reverence they bear unto the Common Laws of their dear Country. The certain and continual Practice of the Common Laws of England, soon after the Conquest, even in the Time of King Henry the First, the Conqueror's Son (which almost was within the Smoak of that fiery Conquest) and continued ever since, do plainly demonstrate that those Laws were before the Days of William the*

& Hibernia, ad solutionem compellarentur. Et ad Comitium Parliamentarium anno Regis Henrici tertii vicefimo, Actus sic se habet: Rogaverunt omnes Episcopi Magnantes ut consentirent, qd' nati ante matrimon' essent legitimi, sicut illi qui nati sunt post matrimon' quantum ad successionem hereditariam, quia Ecclesia tales habet pro legitimis: Et omnes Comites & Barones una voce responderunt, quod noluerunt Leges Angliæ mutare quæ hucusque usitate sunt & approbatæ. Fixa cujusmodi & uniformis omnium Angliæ Magnatum responsio, nullo contradicente, interiorem eorum zelum & reverentiam, quæ medullitus (si dicam) in Patriæ suæ charissimæ Leges communes haberent, patefecit. Certa quidem & continua tam inde a devicta hac natione in municipalibus Angliæ Legibus praxis regnante ipsius victoris filio Henrico primo (cūm flagrantis illius subjugationis vix adhuc evanisset fumus) & abinde hucusque deducta, illas ante ingressum Victoris Gulielmi in usu fuisse evincit manifeste. Nequaquam enim foret possibile, talem Leges attigisse perfection' sub succedente Henrico secundo,

To the READER.

before that Time instituted by the Conqueror. And in Token of my Thankfulness to that worthy Judge, whom I cite many Times in these Reports, (as I have done in my former) for the Fruit, which I confess my self to have reaped out of the fair Fields of his Labours, I will, for the Honour of him, and of his Name and Posterity, which remain to this Day (as I have good Cause to know) impart and publish both to all future and succeeding Ages which I have found of great Antiquity, and of undoubted Verity; the original whereof remaineth with me at this Day, and followeth in these Words. *Ranulphus de Glanvilla* Justiciarius Angliæ, fundator fuit domus de *Butteley* in Com' Suff. quæ fundata erat anno Regis *H. filii imperatricis 17*, & anno Dom. 1171. quo anno *Tho. Becket* Cantuar' Archiepiscopus erat occisus. Et dictus *Ranulphus* nascebatur in villa de *Stratford* in Com' Suff. & habuit manerium de *Benhall* cum toto Domino ex dono dicti regis *H.* Et duxit in uxorem quandam *Bertam* filiam Domini *Theobaldi de Valeymz* senioris, Dom' de *Parham*, qui *Theobald'* per chartam suam dedit dicto *Ran'* & *Bertæ* uxori suæ

fuissent institutæ. Et ne reverendissimo illi Judici videar ingratus (cujus testimonium hinc meis lucubrationibus (sicut alias sæpe a me habes prolatum) pro fructu quem ex pulcherrimis ejus operum arvis me collegisse confiteor, in honorem ejus, & nominis, & sobolis hodie florentis (sicut optima mihi cognoscendi est occasio) in secula futura emittere & in medium proferre visum est, quæ maguæ fore vetustatis & exploratæ veritatis sæpissime sum expertus: Quorum origo apud me est, & hinc verbis sequuntur. *Ranulphus de Glanvillæ Justitiar' Angl'*, fundator fuit domus de *Butteley* in comitat. *Suffol'* quæ fundata erat an. Reg' *Henrici filii Imperatricis decimo septimo*, & an' Dom' 1171. quo an' *Tho. Becket Cantuariensis Archiepisc'* erat occisus. Et dictus *Ranulph'* nascebatur in villa de *Stratford* in comitatu *Suffol'* & habuit manerium de *Benhall* cum toto Dominio ex dono dicti Reg' *Henrici*: Et duxit in uxorem quandam *Bertam* filiam Dom' *Theobaldi de Valeymz senioris*, Dom' de *Parham*; qui *Theobaldus* per *Chartam* suam dedit dicto *Ranulpho* & *Bertæ* uxori suæ totam terram de
Bro-

He did bear Azure, a Chief indent-ed, Or: Which Coat-Armour the Pastons of Norf. do Quarter at this Day. Justiciarius Angliæ. Fundator prioratus de *Butteley*.

Donum Reg'. Uxor ejus.

To the READER.

Brochous cum pertinent', in qua domus de Butteley sita est, cum aliis terris & tenementis in libero maritaggio. Prædictus vero Ranulphus procreavit tres filias de dicta Berta, viz. Matildam, Amabiliam, & Helewisam, quib' dedit terram suam ante progressum suum versus terram sanctam. Matilda, prima soror, habuit ex dono patris sui totam villam de Benhall integraliter una cum advocacione Ecclesiæ sive monasterii beate Mariæ de Butteley, & nupsit cuidam militi nomine Willielmo de Auberville, de quibus processit Hugo de Auberville: De ipso Hugone Willielmus de Auberville: De ipso Willielmo processit quedam Johanna filia unica & hæres, que nupsit cuidam militi de Cancia nomine Nicholao Kyryell, qui duxit in uxorem Margaretam filiam Dom' Galfridi Peche; & ille Nicholaus vendidit Domino Guydoni Ferr' præd' manerium de Benhall: Et tum ille Nicholaus de uxore sua genuit alium Dominum Nicholaum militem in Cancia, qui vixit ante primam pestilentiam. Ipse autem Guido talliavit præd' maner' in Curia Dom' regis apud Westmonastr' in crastini Ascensionis Dom', anno regni Regis Edwardi filii Edward'

totam terram de Brochous cum pertin', in qua domus de Butteley sita est, cum aliis terris & tenementis in libero maritaggio. Præd' vero Ranulph' procreavit tres filias de dicta Berta, viz. Matildum, Amabiliam, & Helewisam, quibus dedit terram suam ante progressum suum versus terram sanctam. Matilda, prima soror, habuit ex dono patris sui totam villam de Benhall integraliter una cum advocacione Ecclesiæ sive monasterii beate Mariæ de Butteley, & nupsit cuidam militi nomine Will' de Auberville, de quibus processit Hugo de Auberville, de ipso Hugone Will' de Auberville, de ipso Willielmo processit quedam Johanna filia unica & hæres, que nupsit cuidam militi de Cancia nomine Nicholao Kyryell qui duxit in uxorem Margaretam filiam Dom' Galfridi Peche; & ille Nich' vendidit Dom' Guidoni Ferr' præd' manerium de Benhall: Et tum ille Nich' de uxore sua genuit alium Dom' Nich' militem in Cancia, qui vixit ante primam pestilentiam. Ipse autem Guido talliavit præd' maner' in cur' Dom' Regis apud Westm' in crastin' Ascension' Dom', an'

Filiæ ejus.

Nuptiæ & donationes filiarum, & earum posteritas.

To the READER.

regni regis *E. filii E. primo*, sibi & *Alianoræ* uxori suæ & hæredibus de se exeunt': Et si ipse *Guido* sine hærede decederet, rem' *Wil' de S. Quintino* & hæredibus. *Amabilia*, secunda soror, habuit ex dono patris sui medietatem vill' de *Bawdefeia* & medietat' vill' de *Fynbergh*. *Amabilia* præd' habuit virum nomine *Radulph' de Ardern*, de quo processit *Tho. de Ardern* filius & hæres, De *Tho' Radul'* filius & hæres, qui feoffavit priorem & conventum de *Butteley* de medietate villæ de *Bawdefey*. De præd' *Radulpho* processit quidam *Tho. Ardern* filius & hæres. *Helewisa*, tertia soror, habuit ex dono patris sui aliam medietat' villæ de *Bawdefey* præd', & aliam medietatem villæ de *Fynbergh* præd'. *Helewisa* prædicta habuit virum nomine *Robertum* filium *Rob.* de quo processit *Rad'* filius & hæres, qui feoffavit *Warinum de Insula* de medietate prædicta villæ de *Fynbergh*. De *Rad'* processit *Rob.* filius de hæres qui feoffavit *Ran'* fratrem suum de medietate prædict' villæ de *Bawdefey*. Et nota, quod præfatus *Ranulph' de Glanvilla* fuit vir præclarissimus genere, utpote de nobili

di primo, sibi & *Alianoræ* uxori suæ, & hæredibus de se exeunt': Et si ipse *Guido* sine hærede discederet, rem' *Willielmo de sancto Quintino* & hæredibus, *Amabilia*, secunda soror, habuit ex dono Patris sui medietatem ville de *Bawdefeia*, & medietatem ville de *Fynbergh*: *Amabilia* prædicta habuit virum nomine *Ranulphum* de *Ardern*; de quo processit *Tho. de Ardern* filius & hæres, de *Thoma* *Ranulphus* filius & hæres, qui feoffavit priorem & conventum de *Butteley* de medietate ville de *Bawdefey*. De prædicto *Ranulpho* processit quidam *Tho. Ardern* filius & hæres. *Helewisa*, tertia soror, habuit ex dono patris sui aliam medietatem ville de *Bawdefey* prædicta, & aliam medietatem ville de *Fynbergh'* prædicta: *Helewisa* prædict' habuit virum nomine *Robertum* filium *Roberti*, de quo processit *Radulphus* filius & hæres, qui feoffavit *Warrinum* de *Insula* de medietate prædict' ville de *Fynbergh*. De *Radulpho* processit *Robertus* filius & hæres, qui feoffavit *Ran'* fratrem suum de medietate prædicta ville de *Bawdefey*. Et nota quod præfatus *Ranulph' de Glanvilla* fuit vir præclarissimus genere, utpote de nobili sanguine,

Vir præclarissimus & de nobili sanguine.

To the READER.

guine, vir insuper strenuissimus corpore, qui provectori ætate ad terram sanctam properavit, & ibidem contra inimicos crucis Christi strenuissime usque ad necem demicavit. Fuit autem Berta ex illistri profapia orta, filia Dom' Theobaldi Valeymz senioris, Dom' de Parham, quorum & Ranulp' & Bertæ consanguinei multi, de quibus plures milites, omnes vero gentiles & generosi, istam partem Suffolciæ eorum incolatu & generosa carnis propagine honorifice illustrabant annis multis.

Et Henricus de Braçton, Regi Hen' tertii temporibus, hujus regni Judex, capite primo Libri sui primi, numero tertio, inquit: Ego Hen. de Braçton animum erexit ad vetera judicia justorum proscrutanda diligenter, non sine vigiliis & labore, &c. adeo ut Leges Angliæ vetera judicia justorum ab illo nuncupantur. Author libri qui inscribitur *Fleta* (qui regnante *Edwardo* primo scripsit) in operis sui axordio idem sentit quod & *Glanvilla* de Angliæ Legum tum antiquitate tum honore; & ibidem, libr' quem scripsit cur distinxit appellatione *Fletæ* plenius tibi satisfaci-

fanguine, vir insup' strenuissimus corpore, qui provectori ætate ad terram sanctam properavit, & ibidem contra inimicos crucis Christi strenuissime usque ad necem demicavit. Fuit autem *Berta* ex illistri profapia orta, filia Dom' *Theobaldi Valeymz* senioris Dom' de Parham, quorum & *Ranulphi* & *Bertæ* consanguinei multi, de quibus plures milites, omnes vero gentiles & generosi, istam part' Suff. eor' incolatu & generosa carnis propagine honorifice illustrabant annis multis.

And Hen' de Braçton a *Judge of this Realm, in the Reign of King Henry the Third, in his first Chapter of his first Book, Numero tertio, saith: I Henry de Braçton have set my Mind to search out diligently the ancient Judgments of the just, not without much Pains and Labour, &c. So as he stileth the Laws of England, by the Name of the ancient Judgments of the Just. The Author of the Book called Fleta (who wrote in the Reign of King Edward the First) in his Preface to his Work agreeth with Glanvill concerning the Antiquity and Honour of the Laws of Eng-*

Vir strenuissimus.
Vide Pl. com. f. 368. b. obiit apud Acres.
Ad terram sanctam peregrinatus.
Effusio sanguinis contra inimicos Christi. Profapia uxoris Bertæ.

To the READER.

England, and there sheweth the Reason wherefore he intituled his Book by the Name of *Fleta*: But this Treatise which may worthily be called *Fleta*, because it was compiled, in the *Fleet*, of the Laws of England. I have a Register of our *Writs* original, written in the Reign of King Henry the Second, (in whose Time *Glanvill* wrote) containing the original *Writs* which were long before the Conquest, as in the said Preface to the third Part appeareth, and yet also remaining in Force, such excepted as have been instituted or altered by Acts of Parliament since that Time, which is the most antient Book yet extant of the Common Law, and so antient, as the Beginning whereof cannot be shewed.

To the second Question I do affirm, That the Statutes of Magna Charta, Charta de Foresta, Merton, Marlebridge, Westm' 1. De Bigamis, Glouc' Westm. 2. Articuli super cartas, articuli Cleri, statutum Eboraic', Prærogativa regis, and some few others, that be antient, amongst which, the Statute of 25 Ed. 3. is not to be omitted, touching Treasons (which for the most Part are but Declarations of

as: *Tractatus autem iste, qui Fleta merito poterit appellari; qui in Fleta, de jure Anglorum, fuit compositus. Rescript' mihi est originalium Registrum, sub Rege Henricio secundo literis consignatum quo (tempore scripsit Glanvillia) brevia sive Rescripta continens originalia, quæ multo ante subactam hanc nation' (prout constat in memorata illa tertix partis præfatione) in vigore extiterunt pleno ut & hodie existunt; eis modo exceptis quæ in Comitibus Parliamentariis instituta aut immutata fuere, qui liber, omnium de lege municipalium extantium, est vetustissimus, adeoq; antiquus ut de origine ejus nihil prorsus ostendatur.*

Ad secundam quæstion' affirmo, quod statuta, quæ inscribuntur, *Mag' Chart', Charta de Foresta, Merton, Marlebridge, Westm' 1. De Bigamis, Gloucest' Westm', Articuli super Chartas, Articuli Cleri, Statutum Eboraicum, Prærogativa Reg', & antiqua alia nonnulla (inter quæ statutum anno vicesimo quinto Edwardi tertii de crimine læsæ Majestatis sancitum omittendum non est) plerisq; quo-*
rum

To the READER.

Syms de brevis de Formedon (ut loquimur) in remanere: Et casum Edward Altham de brevi de Dote recuperanda.

Writs of Formedon in Remainder: Edw. Altham's Case of a Writ of Dower.

Et nos, quos regia Majestas publicos in hoc suo regno substituit Judices, moras omnes supervacaneas & indebitas, omnesque fictas & curiosas nimis in placitando agitationes (quoad possumus) penitus amputare stetuimus: Quæ, dum irrepserunt, multum nuper in causa fuerunt quare actiones reales & præsertim de Assisis brevia, ut quondam fuerint, non adeo sunt frequentia.

And we, that are Judges of the Realm, have resolved to cut off all superfluous and unjust Delays, and as much as we can, all fained Dilatory and curious Pleadings: The Admittance whereof, of late Time, hath been a great Cause why real Actions, and specially Writs of Assise, have not been so frequent as they have been.

Et quamv' in actionib' realib', sicut causæ pondus in se exigit, longiora tempora in processu, quam in actionibus personalib' concedantur, sicut in libello Judicis Fortescue, cap. 53. manifestum est (ubi apparet dilationes illas nec nimis longas esse, nec justas non nisi de causa admittas: *Crebro enim (inquit ille) in deliberationibus judicia maturescunt, sed in accelerato processu nunquam.*) Petens tamen tempore opportuno per has reales Actiones ad finem litis pervenerit; ad quem per action' personalium prosecutionem de libero tenemento vel hæreditate

And though in real Actions, as the Weight of the Cause requireth, there are longer Times given in the Proceeding, than in personal Actions, as appeareth in Justice Fortescue's Book cap. 53. (where it appeareth that those Times are neither overlong, nor without just Cause; for many Times in Deliberations, Judgments grow to ripeness, but in over hasty Process never :) Yet shall the Demandant come to a timely final End by these real Actions, which he shall never do by Prosecution of personal Actions for the Trial of Freehold or Inheritance. And they that well ob-

Fortescue,
cap. 53.

To the READER.

rum solummodo declaratur lex municipalis; una cum rescriptis originalibus de actionibus five placitis (ut loquimur) communibus, quæ in *Registro* comprehenduntur, cum & exquisitæ & veræ indicandi crimina delinquentium formulæ, sunt corpus ipsum & quasi textus legum Angliæ municipalis: Casarumque & Judiciorum relatorum vetustiores libri olim editi, & monumenta seu acta publica, ab annis quadringentis & ultra in hunc usque diem deducta & summa fide reservata, sunt Commentaria tantum, & ear' leg', rescript' originalium, & indicandi formularum expositiones. Et cum alias, tum præcipue ex duobus causis (altera, scilicet, *Jehu Webb*, altera *Blackamore*) jam prelo, ut & aliæ, commissis (verèque hoc faustissimo immo florentissimo Justitiæ a Regia Majestate unicuique administratæ determinatis) patet clarissime libros nostros & monumenta, apta fore commentaria, & veras statutorum decretorumque comitialium explicationes. Et, si studiosus Lector exemplum de rescripto originali quæsi verit, casum *Calye*, in termino Paschæ 26 *Eliz.* Regiæ felicissimæ memo-

the Common Law) together with the original Writs contained in the Register concerning Common Pleas, and the exact and true Forms of Indictments and Judgments thereupon in criminal Causes, are the very Body, and as it were the very Text of the Common Laws of England. And our Year Books and Records yet extant for above these Four Hundred Years, are but Commentaries and Expositions of those Laws, original Writs, Indictments and Judgments. By two Cases, the one of *Jehu Webb*, and the other called *Blackamore's Case* now among others published and resolved in this blessed and flourishing Spring-time of his Majesty's Justice, specially (among many others) it appeareth, that our Book Cases and Records are also right Commentaries, and true Expositions of Statutes and Acts of Parliament. And for an Example of an original Writ, among many other, I refer the studious Reader especially to *Caly's Case* in Pasch' 26. of the Reign of the late *Queen Elizabeth* of ever blessed Memory, now published, whereby it more clearly appeareth how judicious the Opinion of Justice *Fitzh.* is in his Preface to his *N. B.* where he saith, that

To the READER.

that original Writs are the Foundations whereupon the Law dependeth, and how truly he calleth them the Principles of the Law, and fortifieth also the Opinion of Bracton lib. 5. fol. 413. where he saith, that (Breve formatum est ad similitud' regulæ juris) which Case I have reported in that Form to this End, that Students seeing the singular Use of original Writs, will in the beginning of their Study learn them, or at least the principallest of them without Book, whereby they shall attain unto three Things of no small Moment: 1. to the right Understanding of their Books: 2. To the true Sense and Judgment of Law: And lastly, to the exquisite Form and Manner of Pleading. And the Case of Barretry standeth for an Example of an Indictment.

riæ agitatam, & jam editum, consulat, ex quo clare patet consultam imprimis fuisse Judicis Fitzherbert opinionem judicioque latam optimo in proœmio Libri sui de *Natura brevium*, ubi asserit Rescripta originalia fundamenta esse, & totius Legis quasi cardines; & quam recte ab eo Juris principia appellantur: Firmat etiam hic casus illud quod sentit *Bracton*, lib. 5. fol. 413. ubi dicit, *Breve formatum esse ad similitudinem regulæ Juris*. Quem casum in medium profereudo hunc mihi proposui finem, quod usum singularem brevium originalium ex hoc perspicientes studiosi, dum adhuc in hoc literarum genere tyrones sunt, ea vel saltem eorum in usû frequentiora, memoriter ediscerent; quod si faciant ad hæc tria plurimi momenti facillime perveniant. Primo, rectius librorum apprehendant rationem: Secundo, sensum sententiamque Juris genuinam felicius intellegant: Exquisitam denique causas agendi formam assequantur. Et casus de *Barratria* (ut loquimur) formulam indicandi crimina recte tibi demonstrat.

Affisa.

To the READER.

Affisarum Actionumque realium intermissio, bina in Rempub. induxerunt mala, & tertiam (si modo non surreperit) sequi verisimile est. Primo litium multitudo in actionibus personalibus quibus liberi tenementi & juris hæreditarii realitas controversa est, in subditi impensam & vexationem minime tolerandas. Secundo, contentiones multiplices in uno eodemque casu, adeo ut sententiæ duodecim virorum juratorum (quas veredicta vocamus) diversæ sæpius feruntur ex utraque parte; nec tamen lis demum inter partes aliquatenus dirimitur, nec fixa alterutri seu pacifica manet possessio, utrinque licet frequentius exploretur pariter ac judicetur.

Et hoc fit, dum recta Legum institutio declinatur: Unde Lex municipalis dente carpitur maledico, & detrimenta reipublicæ eveniunt non ferenda. In actionibus personalibus de ære alieno, bonis, & catallis (ut dicimus) recuperatio vel barra (ut apud nos est) in una actione, barra est & in alia, & hujusmodi litis finis est. In actionibus vero realibus de libero tenemento &

The neglect of Assises and real Actions hath produced two Inconveniencies in the Commonwealth, and a 3d is (if it be not stept on already) like to issue: 1. the Multitude of Suits in personal Actions, wherein the reality of Freehold and Inheritance is tried, to the intollerable Charge and Vexation of the Subject: 2. Multiplicity of Suits in one and the same Case, wherein oftentimes there are divers Verdicts on the one Side, and divers on the Other, and yet the Plaintiff or Defendant can come to no finite End, nor can hold the Possession in quiet, though it be often tried and adjudged for either Party.

*And this groweth, for that the right Institution of the Law is not observed, to the unjust Slander of the Common Law, and to the intollerable Hindrance of the Commonwealth. In personal Actions concerning Debts, Goods and Chattels, a Recovery or Bar in one Action is a Bar in another, and there is an End of the Controversy. In real Actions for Freehold and Inheritance, being of a higher and wor-
thier*

To the READER.

thier Nature, and standing upon greater Variety of Titles and Difficulties in Law, there could not be above two Trials, or at the most (and that very rarely) three, and in the mean Time, after one Recovery, the Possession resteth quiet. 3. The Discontinuance of real Actions will produce in the End, two dangerous Effects, viz. want of true Judgment in the Professors of the Law, and gross Ignorance in Clerks of the right Entries and Proceedings in those Cases. We see that Works of Nature are best preserved from their own Beginnings, frames of Policy are best strengthened from the same Ground they were first founded, and Justice is ever best administred when Laws be executed according to their true and genuine Institution. And therefore to the End the antient and excellent Institution of the Common Law might be recontinued for the good of the Commonwealth, (for it is convenient for the Commonwealth, that there be an End of Controversies.) I have therefore reported two Cases of Assises, for that the Writ of Assise (in Case where it lieth) is optimum & maxime festinum remedium: And the Cases of Buckmere and Syms of

hæreditate (quæ altioris prorsus sunt naturæ & dignioris, & in quibus jura dissimiliora decernuntur, subtilioresq; agitantur in lege quæstiones) ultra duas, vel tres (idque rarissime) esse nequeant explorationes, & interim, una jam habita recuperatione, quiete agitur possessio. Tertio, actionum realium defuetudo duo efficient periculosa, videlicet, veri judicii in legum professoribus defectum, & in Clericis, formularum rite intrandi, aptique in iisdem causis processus crassam ignorantiam. Opera Naturæ suis a primordiis maxime præservari perspiciamus, res politicæ ab iisd' optime fundamentis muniuntur a quibus primo instituuntur, & Justiciæ æquius semper administratur, quum Leges secund' veram & genuinam earum institutionem executioni mandentur: Ideoque ut antiqua Legum municipalium & præclara institutio, ad reipub' utilitatem retineatur (*expedit enim reipub', ut sit finis litium*) duos in publicum protuli casus de Assis, eo quod breve de Assisa (in casu quo habeatur) *optimum est & maxime festinum remedium: Et casus Buckmere, & Syms*

To the READER.

observe the three Parts of the Reports in the Reign of King Edward the Third, shall find few or no Actions of Trespass or personal Actions brought concerning any Lands or Tenements, but either where no Title of Freehold or Inheritance came in Question, or where the Plaintiff could not have any real Action: And therefore amongst many others it appeareth in an Action of Trespass, Quare clausum fregit brought by the Bishop of Coventry and Litchfield, in 6 Ed. fol. 44. b. Exception was taken to the Replication of the Bishop for that he pleaded in the Reality, for always in those Days real Cases were determined in real Actions, which made Judges in those Times to Merit that honourable Testimony which Thirning Chief Justice attributeth to them in the 12th Year of the Reign of K. H. 4. that they were the greatest Sages that ever were: And that in the Reign of K. E. 3. the Law was of the greatest Perfection that ever it was; and that pleading (the greatest Honour and Ornament of the Law) grew in the Reign of that King to that Excellency, as that the Pleading

experiundo, nunquam potest. Tres autem Relationum partes regnante Ed. 3. promulgatas qui pensitaverint, paucas aut omnino nullas transgressionis, aliasque personales actiones de terris seu tenementis latas invenerint, nisi ubi nulla de libero tenemento aut jure hæreditario quæstio oriebatur, aut ubi Petenti nulla realis Actio data fuit. Liquet igitur, cum alias tum præcipue ex actione quadam transgressionis, Quare clausum fregit, per Episcopum Covent' & Litchfield' profecta in 6 Edwardi 3. fol. 34. b. ad Episcopi replicationem factam fuisse exceptionem, quod in realitate litem agitabat, semper enim, ut tunc se habuerunt tempora, casus reales in actionibus realibus discussi fuerunt: Unde eorum temporum Judices præclarum illud meruerunt testimonium, quod Thirning, Justiciarius capitalis, illis attribuit anno Hen. 4. 12. videlicet, quod aliorum omnium longe fuerunt consultiissimi simul & sapientissimi; & quod sub Rege Edw. 3. majorem quam antea habuisset Lex perfectionem; & quod causarum in jure agitatio (summum legis decus & ornamentum)

6 E. 3. fol.
34. b.

To the READER.

mentum) ad eam in ejuſdem Regis Temporibus creviſſet excellentiam, ut ſuperiorum temporum agitationes, ſi ad illas ſub Rege *Edwardo 3.* compararentur, mancas quaſi & imbelles *Thirning* exiſtimarentur.

Magnum Ducatus Cornubiæ caſum variis de cauſis retuli. Primo, quamvis ille idem caſus olim (ut hæc mearum Relationum parte conſtare poterit) adjudicatus fuerit; de eodem tamen jamdudum queſtio nova exorta eſt, partim quod judicia inter alia Regis monumenta, paucis cognita, clauſa aſervantur, & partim quod judiciorum ration' & cauſæ (ut legis mos eſt) in iisdem non expreſſæ monumentis, nulli plene & integre ſatiſfecerunt; præfertim vero, quod nulla de eorum determinationum ac judiciorum veris cauſis & rationibus facta fuerit & divulgata Relatio. Secundo, quod illi qui nullam inde habent partem, de vero poſſeſſionum hujus Ducatus ſtatu inſtituantur, eoque moneantur ne cum iis paſſionem ineant qui poſſeſſiones inde aliquas emerunt aut adepti fuerunt: Et qd' hi qui ullam ejuſdem par-

in former Times having Regard to the Pleadings in the Reign of King Ed. 3. are holden by Thirning to be but feeble.

I have reported the great Caſe of the Dutchy of Cornwall for divers Cauſes. 1. Altho' this very Caſe hath been long ſince (as ſhall appear in this Report) judicially adjudged, yet hath the ſame of late been called in Queſtion again, partly for that the ſaid Judgments remain privately amongſt the reſt of the King's Records, unknown but to a few, and partly, for that the Reaſons and Cauſes of the Judgments being (according to Law) not expreſſed in the Record itſelf, gave no full and clear Satisfaction: But principally for that there was no Report made and publiſhed of the true Cauſes and Reaſons of thoſe Reſolutions and Judgments. 2. To the End that ſuch as have not any Part thereof, may hereby be inſtructed of the true State of the Poſſeſſions of this Dutchy, and by this Means be admoniſhed how they deal with any that have bought or purchaſed any of theſe Poſſeſſions; and that ſuch as have

To the R E A D E R.

have acquired or gotten any of them, knowing that the Judgement was given in this Case, both upon many direct Authorities in the Point, and upon plain and demonstrative Reason (the two main Causes of true Satisfaction) may therewith rest satisfy'd. The last, but not the least, is, for that the most Noble and Excellent Prince, who is, omine, nomine, numine magnus, and the greatest that ever was before him, hath in his first Cause in hoc forensi dicendi genere gotten Victory. I have for some Respects reported the same in Latin, wherein I have been contented, potius scribere proprie quam Latine; and for that the Words of Art which will bear no Translation, are herein so many and so frequent, I have added the Report thereof in the Vulgar Language, that the Reader may use either of them at his Pleasure. There are certain other Cases now published by me, concerning some of the most abstruse, dark and difficult Points in the Law, and yet very necessary to be known, as in Arthur Blackamore's Case concerning Amendments, Beecher's Case of a Retrait, Departure in Despite of the Court, and of Fines and Amercements,

tem acquisiverunt, aliove pacto nacti sunt, intelligentes sententiam in hoc casu latam fuisse cum ex quamplurimis directis admodum & luculentis Authoritatibus & indiciiis, tum ex plena demonstrativaque ratione (duobus veris & præcipuis satisfaciendi causis) habeant quo acquiescant. Ultima hunc casum referendi causa (nequaquam tamen minima) est, quod termaximus ille & excellentissimus Princeps, qui omine, nomine, numine magnus, & omnium qui antecesserunt illusterrimus, in prima sua causa palmam in hoc forensi dicendi genere adeptus est. Nonnullæ mihi animum induxerunt rationes, ut Latine in scripta redigerem hunc casum, quod dum facerem, contentus fui potius scribere proprie quam Latine, & quia voces artis (in aliam se converti Linguam minime patientes) adeo multæ sunt & frequenter intercedentes, Lingua etiam assueta hæc loquitur Relatio; utraque igitur Lector pro animo suo utatur. Quosdam alios a me modo relatus habes casus de questionibus in Lege nonnullis abstrusissimis, difficilissimis, & spinosissimis, intellectu

To the READER.

tellectu tamen ſvalde neceſſariis, utputa caſum *Arthuri Blackamore* de amendmentib', caſum *Beecher* de formula juris quam dicimus retraxit, de reſeſſu in contemptum Curia, & de mulctis (quas fines & amerciamenta vocamus) caſum *Grieſley* de amerciamentiſ afferendis, & nonnullos alios. Hoſ, de induſtria, tam perſpicue, luculenter, & ſummatim quam potui, perfeſi: Legeſ enim plane ſunt diſſimiles illis Natura, quæ gratiſ per *Chriſtallum* aut *Juccinum* pelluceant quam ſi nuda conſpiciantur, nec pictiſ aſſimulentur tabuliſ quæ deliciſ tum afferunt maximas, quum recentibuſ adhuc & floridiſ poliuntur coloribuſ, & umbriſ graphice inductiſ haud parum illuſtrantur & exornantur.

Utrum reſ ipſa, ſive ætaſ mea proſectior (anno jam ſexageſimo ætaſ meæ propemodum acto) ſive aliud quicquam in cauſa eſt; labore magiſ, in hoc octavo opuſculo, quam ſuperiorum aliquibuſ, eniſum me fuiſſe pro certo ſcio: Deuſ tamen optimuſ maximuſ propitia ſua benignitate (dum in gravioriſ reipublicæ negotiſ verſatuſ

PART VIII.

Grieſley's Caſe of appearing of Amerciements and ſome others. And I have of Purpoſe done theſe as plainly and clearly, and therewith as briefly as I could. For the Lawſ are not like to thoſe Things of Nature, which ſhine much brighter thro' Chriſtal or Amber, than if they be beheld naked; Nor like to Pictures that ever delight moſt when they are garniſhed and adorned with freſh and lively Colours, and are much ſet out and graced by artificial Shadows.

And whether it be in Reſpect of the Matter, or my Years growing ſaſt on, being now in the 60th Year of my Age, or for what other Reſpect ſoever it be, ſure I am, I have felt this Eighth Work much more painful than any of the other have been unto me. And yet bath Almighty God of his great Goodneſſ (amidſt my publick Employments) enabled me hereunto. And as the Naturaliſtſ

c

To the READER.

turalists say, that there is no Kind of Bird or Fowl of the Wood or of the Plain that doth not bring somewhat to the building and garnishing of the Eagle's Nest, some, Cinnamon, and other Things of Price, and some, Juniper, and such like of lesser Value, every one according to their Quality, Power and Ability: So ought every Man, according to his Power, Place, and Capacity, to bring somewhat, not only to the Profit and adorning of our dear Country (our great Eagle's Nest) but therein also, as much as such mean Instruments can, to express their inward Intention and Desire; to honour the peaceable Days of his Majesty's happy and blessed Government to all Posterity. And for that I have been called to this Place of Judicature by his Majesty's exceeding Grace and Favour, I hold it my Duty, having observed many Things concerning my Profession, to publish amongst others certain Cases that have been adjudged and resolved since his Majesty's Reign, in his highest Courts of ordinary Justice, in this calm and flourishing Spring Time of his Majesty's Justice, amounting with those of my former Edition in all

fui) hoc ut perficerem vires dedit. Et, ut dicunt Naturalistæ, sicut nulla sylvarum aut camporum volatilium genera sunt, quæ non aliquid afferunt ad nidum acquileum construendum & adornandum nonnulla cinnamomum aliaque magni pretii & momenti, nonnulla juniperum & talia id genus minoris pretii, secundum illorum qualitatem, potentiam, & facultatem: Ita cuilibet, secundum potestatem, ordinem, & meritum acumen, addendum est aliquid, non solum patriæ nostræ charissimæ (aliquæ nostræ nido potentissimæ) ut profit & illustret, sed in eo etiam pro virili, ut interiorem animi intentionem & affectum exprimat, ad Regiæ Majestatis dies pacificos, gubernationemque ejus felicissimam atque beatissimam in posterum celebrandum. Ego vero, cum suæ Majestatis Gratia ad haud sedem Judiciariam summo cum favore me evocarit, in officio meo me defuisse existimaui, si non, dum multa mea in professione observavi, in lucem ederem casus nonnullos (inter alios) sub Regia sua Majestate in eminentissimis Justitiæ ordinariæ Curias judicatos

To the READER.

judicatos & discussos, serenissimo hoc florentissimoque vere Justitiæ a sua Majestate pie administratæ; qui casus cum illis editionum mearum superiorum, numerum attingunt octa inta quatuor. Et (si Deo placeat) opus aliud posthac edere institui; cujus quidem elementa tantum collegi, non tamen ut propositum mihi est, formavi, ne forsitan si relinquerem qualecunque sit post meam ex hac vita emigrationem (qd' re pari accidisse vidimus) promulgaretur imperfectum. Singularis vestrum superior' mearum Relationum approbatio, continuis aliar' Lucubrationum associata votis, ad onus hoc aggrediendum multum me incitavit. Et, si non minorem vos vestris in studiis fructum ex his perceperitis, quam ego ex animo vobis opto, vosque (scientiæ vestra ex cupiditate) speratis, faciles meæ mihi erunt vigiliæ, votis enim meis abunde satisfactum erit.

to 84. *And (if it shall please God) I intend hereafter to set out another Work, whereof I have only collected the Materials, but not reduced them to such a Form as I intend, lest if I should leave it as it is, it might, after my Death, be published (as hath been done in the like Case) before it be perfected. Your extraordinary Allowance of my former Works, together with your continual and earnest Desire of other Editions, have much encouraged me to undertake these Pains: And if you shall reap in your Studies such Profits thereby, as I from my Heart desire, and as you (from your Desire of Knowledge) do expect, then shall my Labours seem light unto me, for my Expectation shall be satisfied.*

*Placita coram dom' Reg' nunc in
Cancellar' sua apud Westm' in
Com' Midd', Termino Sancti
Hill, anno regni dicti domini
nostri Jacobi dei gratia Angl',
Scotia, Francia, & Hibern',
Regis, fidei defensor', &c. viz.
Anglia, Francia, & Hibernia,
tertio, & Scotia trices. nono.*

Casus PRINCIPIS.

Dominus Rex nunc mand' breve suum claus. vic' Cornub' direct', in hæc verba. *Jacobus* Dei gratia Angliæ, Scotiæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ Rex, fidei defensor, &c. vic' Cornub' salutem. Cum in statuto in parlamento domini *Edwardi* nuper Regis Angliæ tertii, anno regni sui undecimo, apud Westm' in com' Midd' tent' edit', inter alia, inactitat' fuit autoritate ejusdem parlamenti, Quod primogenitus filius regis angl' qui foret hæreditabilis regno angliæ; foret dux Cornub', & quod ducat' Cornubiæ foret semper extunc primogenit' fil' reg' angliæ, qui foret proximus hæres prædicto regno. Et quod præd' primogenit' filius regum angliæ haberet & gauderet erga mantionem & supportationem status sui principalis, totum & integrum ducat' Cornub', ac omnia castr', Honor', dominia, maneria, terr', ten', & omnia alia hæreditament' dicto ducatu spectan' vel pertin', vel reputat' vel capt' fore pars, parcell', vel membr' ejusdem ducat'. Cumq; idem nuper Rex *Edwardus* tertius, in præd' parliam'to ann' regni sui undecimo supradict', per quandam cart' suam de co'i assensu, & consilio Prælator', Comit', Baronum, & alior' de consilio ejusdem regis, in dict' parliam'to convocat', ac autoritate ejusdem parliam'ti, dederit *Edw.* tunc Comiti Cestriæ, filio suo primogenito nomen & honor' ducis Cornub', ipsumq; in ducem Cornub' præfecit. Et per Cartam illam de co'i assensu & consilio prædict', dedit & concessit eidem filio suo, nomine ducatus prædicti & sub nomine & honore ducis dicti loci, inter alia, Castrum de Wallingford cum Hamlet'

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

Co. Lit. 27. a. b.

Hamlet & membris suis, ac annuam firmam villæ de Wallingford, cum honoribus de Wallingford & de sancto Walerico, cum pertinentiis, in comitatu Oxon' & aliis comitatibus ubicunque Honores illi fuerint: Habendum & tenendum eidem duci, & ipsius & hæredum suorum regum anglia fil' primogenit' & dicti loci ducibus in regno anglia hæreditar' successur', una cum feod' militum, Advocac' Ecclesiarum, Abbatiarum, Priorat', Hospital', Capellar', & cum hundred', piscar', forestis, chaseis, parcis, boscis, warren', feriis, mercatis, libertatibus, liberiis consuetudinibus, wardis, releviis, eschaetis, & serviciis tenen' tam liberorum quam nativorum, & omnibus aliis ad prædict' Castr', Vill', Honores, terr' & tenementa, qualitercunque spectant' sive pertinent', de prædicto Rege Edwardo tertio & hæredibus suis imperpetuum. Prædictusque nuper Rex Edwardus tertius per cartam suam prædictam in parlamento prædicto, cum communi consensu prædicto, ac autoritate parlamenti illius, prædict' castr' de Wallingford & cætera præmissa quam pertinentiis, inter alia, eidem ducat' annexit & univit, eidem ducatu imperpetuum remansur'; ita quod ab eodem ducatu aliquo tempore nullatenus separentur, nec alicui seu aliquibus aliis quam dicti loci ducibus, per prædictum nuper regem vel hæredes suos donarentur, seu quomodolibet concederentur; ita etiam quod præfato duce, seu aliis ejusdem loci ducibus, deceden', & filio seu filiis, ad quos prædictus ducatus, prætextu Concession' prædict' spectare dignosceretur, non apparentibus, idem ducatus cum prædict' Castr' & cæter' præmiss' præconcess' ad præfatum nuper regem, vel hæredes suos reges anglia, reverterentur, in manibus ipsius nuper regis & ipsorum hæredum suorum regum anglia retinend', quousque de hujusmodi filio seu filiis in dicto regno anglia hæredit' successur' appareret, ut est dictum, quibus tunc successive ducatum illum cum pertinentiis præfatus nuper Rex pro se & hæredibus suis concessit & voluit liberari, tenend' de ipso nuper rege & hæredibus suis imperpetuum. Cumq; insuper per quendam actum edit' in parlamento domini Henrici nuper Regis anglia octavi tent' apud Westm' prædictum, viz. in secunda Sessione ejusdem parlamenti, inchoat' & tent' duodecim' die Aprilis anno regni dicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi tricesimo primo, & per diversas prorogationes continuat' usque vicesimum quintum diem Maii, anno regni dicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi 32. & abinde tent' & continuat' usque dissolutionem ejusdem Parlamenti vicesimo quarto die Julii anno tricesimo secundo supradicto, recitan' quod cum in Parlamento tent' anno undecimo regni nuper Regis præclaræ memor' Regis

PART VIII. *Casus* PRINCIPIS.

Regis Edwardi tertii, inter alia stabilit', inactitat', & ordinat' fuit, Quod primogenitus filius, anglice *the eldest Son*, Regis anglia, qui foret hæreditabilis, anglice *inheritable*, huic regno anglia, foret dux Cornubiæ, & quod idem ducatus Cornub' semper foret primogenit' fil', anglice *to the eldest Son*, Regum anglia, qui foret proxim' hæres dicto regno. Et quod ipse haberet & gauderet erga manutentionem & supportationem principalis status sui, anglice *of his princely Estate*, totum & integrum ducat' Cornubiæ, ac omnia castr', honor', dominia, anglice *Dominions*, maner', terras, tenementa, & omnia alia hæreditamenta spectan' vel pertin' dict' ducatu, sive reputat' & capt' fore pars, parcell', vel membr' dict' ducat': Ac pro eo quod honor & castr' de Wallingford, in comitatu Berk, tunc fuit & a diu fuisset pars & parcell' hæredir' & possessionum dicti ducat' Cornub', ac reputat' & capt' fore membr' dict' ducat'; qui quidem honor & castr' jacebat prope maner' ejusdem nuper Reg' Henrici octavi de Newelme, alias vocat' Ewelme, in Com' Oxon', & fuit perquam commodum, Anglice *Commodious*, decens, & amœnum pro eodem nuper Rege Henrico octavo: In consideratione quorum, & pro aliis urgentibus causis, dictum nuper Regem Henricum octavum specialiter moventibus, inactitat' & ordinat' fuit, autoritate ejusdem parlamenti dicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi, Quod dict' Honor & Castrum de Wallingford, ac omnia dominia, maneria, terr', tenementa, & alia hæreditamenta, quæcunque forent, existen' partes, parcell', vel membr', dicti Honoris & Castri, aut appenden' sive pertinen' dicto Honori & Castro aut alicui dominio vel maner' eisdem pertinen', aut reputat' vel capt' fore pars vel parcell' dict' Honoris sive Castri, aut alicujus membr' ejusdem, forent extunc imposteorum, autoritate ejusdem parlamenti, separata, disannexa, anglice *disannexed*, & deartuata, anglice *dismembred*, a dict' ducatu Cornubiæ, & non forent in aliquo modo extunc in posterum reputat', vocat', accept' vel capt', per nomen Honoris de Wallingford, nec fore aliqua pars, parcella, vel membrum dicti ducatus Cornubiæ: Et quod prædictum manerium dicti Regis de Newelme, alias Ewelme, extunc in posterum semper nominaretur, vocaretur, acciperetur, reputaretur, & adjudicaretur fore, Honor de Newelme, alias Ewelme. Et quod dictus nuper Rex Henricus octavus haberet consimiles Libertates, Franchisias, Privilegia, Regalit', & Jurisdictiones tam in prædicto Honore de Newelme, alias Ewelme, quam in prædict' Maner', Castr', terr', tenement' & hæreditament', existen' pars, parcell', vel membr' dicti

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

Honoris de Wallingford, ad omnia intentiones & proposita, qual' fuerunt in aliquo modo spectan', pertinen', vel usitat', in vel ad dict' Honorem de Wallingford. Et quod confimiles processus, sect', & placita imperpetuum tenerentur, reciperentur, & usitat' forent in dicto Honore de Newelme, alias Ewelme, qual' ad primum diem ejusdem parliamenti fuissent usitat' vel exercitat' in dicto Honore de Wallingford. Et quod dictus nuper Rex Henricus octavus haberet sibi hæredibus & successoribus suis imperpetuum dictum Honorem & Castrum de Wallingford, ac omnia dominia, maneria, terr', tenementa, ac alia hæreditamenta quæcunque, pertinen' dicto Honori vel Castro, vel reputat' seu accept' fore aliqua pars possessionum, vel parcell' sive membr', dicti Honoris sive Castri extunc imposterum fore separat' & divis. a præd' ducatu: Et quod idem Honor & Castr' de Wallingford extunc imposterum nominaretur & vocaretur castrum & manerium de Wallingford: Ac etiam quod idem castrum & maner' de Wallingford, ac omnia dominia, maner', terr', tenementa, & cætera hæreditamenta quæcunque quæ ad tunc fuissent spectan' sive pertinen' dicto castro & manerio, vel reputat' & capt' fore aliqua pars, parcella, vel membrum inde, Ac omnimod' libertates, franches. privilegia, regalitates, & jurisdictiones, præantea usitat', infra dictum honorem de Wallingford, extunc imposterum forent unita, annex', colligat', anglice knit, adjudicat', estimat', anglice, deemed, accept', reputat', & vocat' pars, parcell', & membr' dicti honoris de Newelme, alias Ewelme, in prædicto comitatu Oxon'. Et ulterius inactitat' fuit autoritate prædicti parliamenti prædicti nuper regis Henrici octavi, Quod omnes & singulæ persona & personæ quæ tunc tenerent aliqua maneria, terras, tenementa, vel hæreditamenta, de prædicto nuper rege Henrico octavo vel de excellente & indubitato principe Edwardo tunc filio & hærede apparen' ejusdem nuper regis Henrici octavi, ut de dicto honore de Wallingford, aut de aliquibus dominiis vel maner' existen' parcell' vel membr' dicti honoris de Wallingford, extunc imposterum tenerent dicta maneria, terras, tenementa, & hæreditamenta sua de dicto nuper rege Henrico octavo, hæred', & successoribus suis, ut de prædicto manerio & castro suo de Wallingford, aut de dictis dominiis vel maneriis, existen' parcell' & membr' dicti honoris de Wallingford, parcell' dicti honoris de Newelme, alias Ewelme, per eadem reddit', sectas, consuetudines, & servic', qual' ipsæ & eorum quælibet tenuerunt, solverunt, vel fecerunt, ante editionem actus parliamenti illius, &
non

non per plura aut alia redditum, sectas, consuetudines, vel servitia. Salvis omnibus personæ & personis, & corporibus politicis, hæredibus & successoribus suis, ac hæredibus & successoribus eorum cujuslibet, alias quam excellenti & indubitato domino principi Edwardo qui tunc fuit, & hæredibus suis, & cuilibet alii qui extunc imposterum continger' fore primogenitus filius regis, anglice, *the King's eldest Son*, & proxim' hæres coronæ hujus regni anglia, omni tali jure, titul', interesse, possessione, feodis, officiis, annuitatibus, redditibus, communiis, & omnibus aliis commoditatibus, ac hæreditament' quibuscunque, quæ ipsæ vel eorum aliqua legitime tenuerunt, potuerunt, debuerunt vel debuissent habuisse, si prædictus actus minime habitus nec factus fuisset. Et ulterius inactitat' fuit, autoritate prædicti parlamenti præd' nuper regis Henrici octavi, quod prædictus excellens & indubitatus princeps Edwardus qui tunc fuit, & quilibet alius qui extunc imposterum continger' fore primogenitus filius regis & proxim' hæres coronæ hujus regni, haberet teneret, & gauderet imperpetuum annex', unit', ac colligat', anglice *knit*, prædicto ducatu cornubiæ, pro & in plena recompensatione prædicti honoris & castri de Wallingford & cæterorum præmissorum in eodem actu præspecificat', dicto honori de Wallingford tunc antea spectan', ut pars & parcell' dict' ducat' cornubiæ, maneria de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertinentiis in comitatu Cornubiæ, inter alia, in talibus modo & forma, & de tali consimili statu, qual' idem excellens & indubitatus princeps Edwardus ante editionem actus parlamenti illius habuit, tenuit, vel gavifus fuit prædict' honorem & castrum de Wallingford, & cætera præmissa parcell' ejusdem honoris: Et quod omnia & singula prædict' maneria, cum omnibus, & singulis suis pertinentiis, tunc inter alia, limitat' & assignat' per eundem actum, in prædicto parlamento prædicti nuper regis Henrici octavi, prædicto ducatu Cornubiæ, & eorum quodlibet, extunc imposterum forent reputat', existimat', anglice *deemed*, adjudicata, accept', & capt', autoritate parlamenti illius, ut pars, parcell', & membr' dict' ducat' Cornubiæ, in talibus, & consimilibus modo & forma ad omnia proposita & intentiones, qual' dict' honor & castrum de Wallingford, ac membra & parcell' ejusdem, fuerunt ante editionem actus illius; aliquo actu, lege, consuetudine, vel usu, in contrarium non obstante, prout per eundem actum in prædicto parlamento prædicti nuper regis Henrici octavi edit', inter alia, plenius liquet. Cumque ante & usq; tempus confectionis præd' actus parlamenti, edit' in præd' parlamento prædicti nuper regis Henrici octavi, prædict'

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

honor & castrum de Wallingford, & membr' & parcell' inde, fuerunt pars, parcell', & membr' prædicti ducat' Cornubiæ, secundum formam & effectum prædict' chartæ & concession' per prædict' nuper regem Edwardum tertium, cum communi assensu prædict' ac autoritate parliamenti sui prædicti, ut præfertur, confect', & prout in prædict' charta mencionatur & superius recitat'; prædictusque excellens & indubitatus princeps Edwardus, in prædict' actu, edit' in prædicto parlamento prædicti nuper regis Henrici octavi, nominat', ante tempus ac tempore confectiois ejusdem actus edit' in prædicto parlamento prædicti nuper regis Henrici octavi, habuit, renuit, & gavisus fuit prædict' honor' & castr' de Wallingford, & al' præmiss' parcell' ejusdem honoris, in tali modo & forma, & de tali statu prout inactitat' & limitat' existit in prædict' charta & concession' prædicti nuper regis Edwardi tertii Anno Regni sui undecimo supradicto autoritate parliamenti confect', ut præfertur. Ac prædict' honor' & castrum de Wallingford in prædicto actu edit' in prædicto parlamento prædicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi mencionat', & prædictum castrum de Wallingford cum hamlett' & membris suis, & prædict' honor' de Wallingford cum pertinentiis in prædicta charta & concession' per prædictum nuper Regem Edwardum tertium, ut præfertur, confect' specificat', sunt una & eadem, & non alia neque diversa. Quorum prætextu prædictus nuper princeps Edwardus primogenitus filius prædicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi, ac dux Cornubiæ fuit seistitus de prædictis maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph cum pertinentiis in dominico suo ut de feodo, ut parcell' ducat' sui Cornubiæ prædict', secundum formam & effectum prædict' actus parliamenti: Ipsoque sic inde seistito existen' prædictus nuper Rex Henricus octavus postea, apud Westm' præd', obiit, prædicto Edwardo nuper principe existen' filio & hæred' præd' nuper Regis Henrici octavi; prædictusque Edwardus nuper princeps eidem nuper Regi Henrico octavo in prædicto Regno Angliæ jure hæreditar' successit, & Rex prædicti Regni Angliæ, nomine Edwardi sexti Regis Angliæ, devenit: Posteaque idem Edwardus sextus nuper Rex Angliæ, apud Westmonasterium prædict', obiit sine hærede de corpore suo exeun', domina Maria nuper Regin' Angliæ existen' soror' & hærede prædicti nuper Regis Edwardi sexti; prædictaque domina Maria eidem nuper Regi Edwardo sexto, in prædict' regno angliæ, jure hæredit' successit, & Regina prædicti Regni Angliæ devenit: Posteaque eadem Regina Maria, apud Westmonast' prædict', obiit sine hærede de corpore suo exeun', domina Elizabetha nuper Regina Angliæ existen' soror' & hærede prædictæ nuper Reg' Mariæ;

Mariæ; prædictaque domina Elizabetha, eidem nuper Regina Mariæ, in prædicto Regno Angliæ jure hæreditar' successit, & Regin' prædict' Regni Angliæ devenit': Posteaque eadem Regina Elizabetha, apud Westmonaster' prædict', obiit sine hærede de corpore suo exeun' nobis nunc & adhuc existen' consanguin' & hæred' prædictæ nuper Regin' Elizabeth; Nosque eidem nuper Regina Elizabethæ, in prædict' Regn' Angliæ jure hæditario successimus, & Rex Angliæ devenimus, & sumus in præsentî. Jamque præcharissimus princeps, filius noster primogenitus Henricus, nunc dux Cornubiæ, nobis supplicavit, ut cum prædicta domina Elizabetha, nuper Regina Angliæ, per literas suas patent', magno sigillo suo Angliæ sigillat', geren' dat' apud Westm' prædictam, secundo die Maii Anno Regni sui Tricesimo septimo, concessit quibusdam Gellio Merrick, tunc armigero postea militi, jam defuncto, & Henrico Lindley, tunc armigero modo militi, prædicta maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertin', habendum & tenend' eidem Gellio Merrick, & Henrico Lindley, & hæred' suis imperpetuum, prout in literis paten' ill' plenius continetur: Ac cum prædict' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, præfato ducatu, ut præfertur, annex' & unit', ad eundem nunc ducem, prætextu don', concession', & union' prædict', autoritate prædicti parlamenti pertinuerunt, & adhuc pertinere debeant, ac membr' & parcell' ejusdem ducatus extiterint, & adhuc existant prout idem nunc princeps & dux, viis & modis quibus convenit, paratus est edocere, velimus literas patent' prædictas, de prædict' maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, ut præfertur, factas, revocare & adnullare, & maneria illa cum pertinentiis in manus nostras seisire, ut eadem maneria eidem nunc duci, tanquam membra & parcell' ducatus prædicti, habendum & tenendum juxta formam & effectum don', concession', & union' prædict', liberari faciamus. Nos, volentes in hac parte fieri quod est justum, Tibi præcipimus, quod per probos & legales homines de balliva tua Scire fac' præfat' Henr' Lindley militi, & Johanni Hele militi, Servien' ad legem prædictor' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph tenen', necnon cuicumque al', & quibuscunque aliis tenent' eorundem manerior' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, vel eor' alicujus, quod sint coram nobis in cancellar' nostra in Octabis S. Hill' proxim' futur', ubicunque tunc fuer', ad ostendend' si quid pro nobis aut pro seipsis habeant, vel dicere sciant, quare literæ parentes prædictæ de prædictis maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertinentiis, ut præfertur, fact', revocari & adnullari, ac maneria illa cum pertinentiis in manum nostram seisiri non debeant, ut ea præfat' nunc duci, tanquam mem-

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

bra & parcell' ducat' prædict' secundum formam & effectum don', concessionis, & union' prædict', habend' & tenend', liberari faciamus. Et ad faciend' & recipiend' ulterius, quod cur' nostra tunc & ibidem conf. in hac parte. Et habeas ibi nomina illorum per quos eis Scire feceris, & hoc breve. T. meipso apud Westmonasterium xxviii. die Novembris, Anno Regni nostri Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ tertio, & Scotiæ tricesimo nono: & modo ad hunc diem, viz. prædict' Octabas Sancti Hillarii, coram dicto domino Rege nunc in cur' dictæ Cancellar' suæ hic, scilicet apud Westmonasterium prædictam, venit Edwardus Coke, miles, Attornat' dicti domini Regis nunc generalis, qui pro eodem domino Rege nunc, in hac parte sequitur, in propria persona sua: Et Franciscus Godolphin miles, vic' prædict' comitat' Cornubiæ existens, modo hic mand' breve prædictum servit' & execut' in forma sequens, viz. Quod ipse, virtute brevis illius sibi direct', vicesimo primo die Decembr' Anno tertio supradicto, Scire fecit per Johannem Edgecombe, & Walterum Blunt probos & legales homines de balliva sua, præfat' Henrico Lindley militi, Ac etiam eisdem die & anno, per eosdem probos & legales homines, Scire fecit præfato Johanni Hele militi, & cuidam Warwico Hele milit', tenens prædict' manerios de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, superius mencionat', essend' coram dict' domino Rege nunc hic ad hunc diem, ad ostendend', faciend' & recipiend', quod breve illud in se exigit & requirit. Et prædicti Henricus Lindley miles, Johannes Hele miles, & Warwicus Hele miles, quarto die placiti solempnit' exact' per Richardum Wilkenfon Attornatum suum, ven' & pet' licentiam inde interloquend', Et eis conceditur, &c. Et super hoc dies inde datus est præfato Henrico Lindley, Joh' Hele, & Warwico Hele, coram dicto domino Rege in dicta cur' hic, scilicet apud Westmonasterium prædict', usque in Octabis purificationis beatæ Mariæ tunc proxim', &c. ubicunque, &c. videlicet præfato Henrico, Johanni, & Warwico, ad interloquendum & tunc ad respondendum, &c. Idem dies dat' est præfato Edwardo Coke militi, Attornat' dicti domini Regis nunc generali, qui, &c. tunc hic, &c. Ad quas quidem Octabas purificationis beatæ Mariæ, coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dict' cur' hic, scilicet apud Westmonasterium prædict', ven' tam prædictus Edwardus Coke miles, Attornat' dicti domini Regis nunc generalis, qui, &c. in propria sua, quam prædict' Henricus Lindley, Johannes Hele, & Warwicus Hele, per Attornatum suum prædictum Et super hoc iidem Henricus, Johannes, & Warwicus, per Attornat' suum prædictum, ulterius pet' licenc' inde interloquend', coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta cur' hic, scil' apud Westmonast' prædictam, usq; in quinden' Paschæ

tunc

tunc proxim' sequen' &c. Ubicunque &c. et tunc ad respondend' &c. Et habent &c. Idemque dies dat' est præfato Edwardo Coke militi, Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc generali, qui &c. tunc hic &c. Ad quam quidem quinden' Paschæ, coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta Cur' hic, scilicet apud Westmonaster' prædict', ven' tam prædictus Edwardus Coke miles, Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc generalis, qui &c. in propria persona sua, quam præfat' Hen. Lindley, Johannes Hele, & Warwicus Hele, per Attornat' suum prædictum: Et super hoc prædict' Henricus, Johannes, & Warwicus, per Attornat' suum prædictum, ulterius pet' licenc' inde interloquend' coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta Cur' hic, scilicet apud Westmonasterium prædictam, usque in Crastino Trinitat' tunc proximæ sequen' &c. ubicunque &c. et tunc ad respondend' &c. Et habent &c. Idemque dies dat' est præfato Edwardo Coke milit' Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc generali, qui &c. tunc hic &c. Ad quem quidem Crastin' Sanctæ Trinitat' coram dict' domino Rege nunc in dicta Cur' &c. scilicet apud Westmonasterium prædictam, ven' tam prædict' Edwardus Coke miles, Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc generalis, qui &c. in propria persona sua, quam prædicti Henricus Lindley, Johannes Hele, & Warwicus Hele, per Attornatum suum prædictum: Et super hoc iidem Henricus, Johannes, & Warwicus, per Attornatum suum prædictum, ulterius pet' licenc' inde interloquend', coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta Cur' hic, scilicet apud Westmonaster' prædictam, usque in Crastino Animarum tunc proxim' sequen' &c. ubicunq; &c. & tunc ad respondendum &c. Et habent &c. Idemque dies datus est præfat' Edwardo Coke militi, Attornat' dicti domini Regis nunc generali, qui &c. tunc hic &c. Ad quem quidem Crastin' Animar', coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta Cur' hic, scilicet apud Westmonasterium prædict', ven' tam Henricus Hobart miles, tunc Attorn' ipsius domini Regis nunc generalis, qui pro eodem domino Rege nunc in hac parte sequitur, in propria persona sua, quam prædict' Hen. Lindley, Johannes Hele, & Warwicus Hele, per Attornat' suum prædictum. Super quo prædict' Hen. Lindley, per Attorn' suum præd', pet' auditum præd' brevis de Scire fac' superius mentionat': Et ei legitur &c. Quo lecto & audito, idem H. Lindley dic', quod nec prædict' Literæ patentes prædict' nuper Reginæ Elizabethæ, de prædict' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph cum pertinen' in forma prædicta fact', revocari seu annullari, nec maner' illa in man' dicti domini Regis nunc seisciri debent: Quia dic' quod non habetur aliquod tale recordum alicujus talis Act' parliamenti prædict' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii edit', qual' in prædict' brevi de Scire facias superius inde recitat' & specificatur. Nec habetur aliquod

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

aliquid tale Recordum prædictæ Chartæ per prædictum nuper Regem Edward' tertium auctoritate parlamenti prædicti superius fieri supposit', quale in prædicto brevi de Scire fac' inde similiter superius recitatur & specificatur: Et hoc idem Hénricus Lindley parat' est verificare: Unde petit Judicium si prædictæ literæ paten' prædict' nuper Reginae Elizabethæ de Maner' prædicto cum pertin' sic, ut præfert', fact' revocari & adnullari, aut Maneria illa cum pertin' in man' dicti Domini Regis nunc seifiri debéant &c. Et prædicti Johannes Hele & Warwicus Hele, per Attorn' suum prædictum, protestand' quod non habetur aliquod Recordum alicujus talis Actus Parlamenti dicto undecimo Anno Edwardi nuper Regis Angliæ tertii, nec habetur aliquod tale Recordum prædictæ Chartæ per prædictum nuper Regem Edwardum tertium auctoritate Parlamenti prædict', prout in dicto brevi de Scire fac' mentionatur, pro placito dic', qd' nec prædict' literæ paten' prædictæ Reginae Elizabethæ, de prædict' maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertin', in forma prædict' fact', revocari & adnullari, nec maneria illa cum pertin' in manus domini Reg, nunc seifiri debent, aut eorum aliquod seifiri debet: Quia dicit, quod prædicta nuper domina Regina Elizabetha, ante confectionem literarum patent' prædict', præfat' Gellio Merrick & Henrico Lindley, seifit' fuit in dominico suo ut de feodo, in jure Coronæ suæ Angl' de prædict' Maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertin', in prædicto brevi de Scire facias superius specificat' & expressis: Et sic inde seifit' existen', ead' nuper Regina Elizabetha, per literas suas paten', sub magno sigill' suo Angliæ sigillat', geren' dat', apud West. in com' Midd', secundo die Maii An' Regni sui tricesimo septimo, & hic in Cur' prolat', in consideratione boni, veri, fidelis, & acceptabilis servitii prædictæ nuper dom' Reginae per tunc dil'cum & fidelem Consanguineum & Consiliar' suum Robertum nuper Comitem Essex, prænobilis ordinis garterii mil', ac Magr'um equi sui, præantea multipliciter fact' & impens. qua' pro aliis bonis causis & considerationibus, prædictam nuper dominam Reginam ad tunc specialit' moven', necnon ad humilem petitionem prædict' nuper Comitis Essex, de gra' sua speciali, ac ex certa scientia & mero motu suis, dedit & concessit Maner' præd' cum pertin' (inter alia) præfat' Gellio Merrick & Henrico Lindley, tunc armigeris & postea milit', habend' & tenend' eadem maneria cum pertin' præfat' Gellio Merrick & Henrico Lindley, hæred' & assign' suis imperpetuum. Et prædicta nuper dom' Regina per easdem literas paten' concessit pro se, hæredibus, & successor' suis quod prædict' Gellius Merrick, & Henric' Lindley, hæred' & assign' sui haberent, tenerent, & gauderent prædict' Maner' cum pertin' juxta intentionem

nem ipsius nuper Reginæ in eisdem literis patent' content': Ac quod prædictæ literæ patentes essent firmæ, validæ, bonæ, sufficien', & effectuales in lege erga dictam dominam Reginam, hæredes, & successores suos, tam in omnibus Curiis suis quam alibi infra regnum Angliæ, absque aliquibus confirmationibus, licentiis, vel tollerationibus de dicta nuper Regina, hæredibus vel successoribus suis, tunc imposterum per prædict' Gellium Merrick, & Henricum Lindley, aut hæred' sive assignatos suos procurand', vel obtinend', Non obstant' statut' in parlamento domini Henrici nuper Regis Angl' octavi, Anno regni sui tricesimo secundo edit', concernend' ducatum Cornub' & Honor' de Newelme, alias Ewelme, prout in & per prædict' literas paten' plenius liquet & apparet. Virtute quarum quidem literarum paten' prædicti Gellius Merrick, & Henricus Lindley in prædicta maner' cum pertin' intraver', & fuer' inde seifiti in dominico suo ut de feod'; & sic inde seifit' existen', per quoddam scriptum suum indentat' inter præfat' nuper Comitem Essex Gellium Merrick & Henricu' Lindley, ex una parte, & quosdam Augustin' Steward & Michaele' Corfellis, ex altera parte, fact', geren' dat' vicesimo sexto die Decembris anno regni dictæ nuper reginæ Elizabethæ tricesimo octavo, & in cur' cancellar' prædictæ nuper Reginæ, apud Westm' prædict', infra sex menses tunc proxim' sequen', secundum formam statut' inde edit' & provis. debito modo de record' irrotular', ta' in consideratione summ' trium mille & quingentarum librarum præfat' nuper Comiti Essex per præfat' Augustinum Steward & Michaelem Corfellis solut', qua' pro vigint' solid' præfat' Gellio & Hen. per præfat' Augustinum & Michaelem similiter solut', barganizaver' & vendider' præfat' Augustino & Mich. Maner' prædict' cum pertin': Habend' & tenend' eisdem Augustino & Michaeli, hered' & assign' suis imperpetuum. Virtute quar' quidem barganiæ & venditionis & irrotulament', & vigore cujusdam statuti, in Parlamento domini Henrici nuper Regis Angliæ octavi, quarto die Februarii Anno Regni sui vicesimo septimo, de usib' in possessionem transferend', apud Westmonasterium prædict' tent', edit', prædict' Augustinus & Michaelis fuer' seifit' de Maner' prædict' cum pertinentiis in dominico suo ut de feodo, & sic inde seifit' existen', iidem Augustinus & Michaelis in consideratione summæ trium mille & quingent' librarum præfat' Augustino & Mich. per præfat' Johannem Hele solut', postea de maner' prædict' cum pertin' feoffaver' eisdem Johanne' Hele, tunc Servien' ad legem, & prædictum Warwi' Hele, tunc armigerum & modo militem: Habend' & tenend' eisdem Johanni & Warwico, & hæred' & assign' prædict' Johannis, ad solum & propriu' opus & usum prædict' Johan' & Warwici, & hæred' & assign' prædicti Johan' Hele imperpetuum. Virtute cujus quidem

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

quidem feoffamenti prædict' Johannes Hele & Warwicus Hele fuer', & adhuc sunt, seist' de maner' prædict' cum perria', videlicet, idem Johannes Hele in dominico suo ut de feodo, & prædictus Warwicus in dominico suo ut de libero tenemento pro termino vitæ suæ. Et prædict' Johannes Hele & Warwicus ulterius dicunt, quod postea in & per quendam Actum in parlamento prædict' nuper Reginæ, apud Westm' prædict', vicesimo septimo die Octobris Anno Regni dictæ nuper Reginæ Elizabethæ Quadragesimo tertio tent', edit' (inter alia) recitand', quod cum prædicta nuper Regina, ab octavo die Febr' Anno regni sui vicesimo quinto, tam pro diversis & magnis pecuniarum summis, quam pro divers. aliis sepealibus considerationibus, barganizavit, vendidit, dedit, & concessit, per diversas literas suas paten', Indentur', aut alia script', sub magno sigillo Angliæ, sigillat', aut sigillo ducat' Lancastr', aut sigillo com' Palatin' Lancastr', tam corporibus politic' & corporat' quam divers. & sepeal' aliis dictæ nuper Reginæ subditis, divers. & sepeal' Honor', maner', terr', ten', reddit', reversiõ', servic', et alia hæreditamenta, in feodo simplici, feodo talliato, aut pro termino vitæ, vitarum, vel annorum, prout in eisdem sepeal' literis paten', Indentur', & aliis script' mencionatur & declaratur, Inastit' fuit, autoritate ejusdem parlamenti, ad intentionem quod eadem literæ paten', Indentur', & alia script' essent de bon', stabili, Anglice available, & perfecto robore & effect', ad omnes & singulos dict' nuper Reginæ subditos, secund' veram intentionem & effect' eorundem, Quod tam omnes & singul' literæ paten', Indentur', & alia scrip', sigillat' sub magno sigillo Angliæ, aut sub sigillo ducat' Lancastr', aut sigillo com' Palatin' Lancastr', ante tunc fact' & concess. per prædict' nuper Reginam, pro aliquibus denar' summis, aut pro & super aliquibus aliis considerationibus, a prædicto octavo die Februarii Anno vicesimo quinto suprascripto, quam omnes aliæ literæ paten' tunc postea per dictam nuper Reginam faciendum pro aliqua pecuniarum summa aut summis, aut aliis considerationibus, ante ultimum diem dict' tunc præsent' Session' dicti parlamenti, Et insuper omnes aliæ literæ paten' infra spacium unius anni tunc proxim' sequen' faciend' vigore aut secundum verum purport' aut veram intentionem, commissionis sub magno sigillo Angliæ tunc in esse, pro venditione terræ dictæ nuper reg' alicui corpori politic', aut corporat', aut alicui vel aliquibus al' person' vel personis quibuscunque, de aliquibus Honor', castr', maner', dominiis, grang', messuag', terr', ten', prat', pastur', redd', revers. servic', bosc', advocacionibus, nominationibus, patronag', annuitat', jur', interess. intrationibus, conditionibus, let', cur', libertat', privileg', franchises, aut de aliquibus aliis hæreditament', cum

per-

pertin', aut de aliqua inde parte vel parcell', cum aut sub magno sigil' Angl' sigillat', aut sub magno sigillo Ducat' Lancastr', aut sigillo com' Palatin' Lancastr', cujuscunq; generis, natur', aut qualitat', ill' aut eorum aliq' sint, aut reputat', cognit', aut capt' fuer', cum pertin' aut aliqua inde parte vel parcell', essent bon', perfect' & effectual' in lege, & starent, caperentur, reputarentur, estimarent', & adjudicat' fore't bon', perfect', cert', valid', Anglice *available*, & effectual' in lege erga dicta nuper Regina' hæred' & successor' suos, secundum tenorem & effectum prædict' literarum paten', Indentur', aut aliorum script', & quod eadem exponend', confiruend', estimand', & adjudicand' essent maxime benefic', Anglice *most beneficially*, pro illis quibus prædict' literæ paten', & concession' inde, sic factæ sunt, hæred', assign', executorib', & administrat' eorum secundum verba & purport', cujuslibet earund' literar' paten', Indentur', aut alior' script', absq; aliqua confirmatione, licentia, aut tolleratione dict' nuper Regina', hæred', aut successor' suor'; aliqua male nominatione, male recitatione, aut non recitat', præd' Hon', Castr', Maner', ter', ten', & aliorum præmiss. aut alicui' inde parcel', aut aliquo defectu inventionum, Anglice *finding*, offic', aut inquisic' de & in præmiss. aut aliqua parte inde, per quæ titulus dictæ nuper Regina' de & in præmiss. inveniri debuit ante editionem prædictarum literarum paten', Indentur', aut aliorum script', vel ulla male recitatione, aut non recitatione dimission' inde fact', tam de recordo quam non de recordo, aut aliqua male recitatione, non recitatione, aut non ver' mentionatione in aliquibus talibus literis paten', concession', aut script' status aut statuum prædict' nuper Regina', de libero ten', vel hæreditat', Anglice *Inheritance*, de aut in Præmissis, aut aliqua inde parte, ad quæ dicta nuper Regina post initium Regni sui fuit, aut tunc postea esset intitulat' per aliquam attinct', escaet', conveyanc', aut assuranc' quæcunq; & in quibus liter' paten', concess. aut script' null' stat' talliat' tunc præantea fact', aut supposit' fieri, recitat' fuit, aut extunc esset, & reversio seu rem' superinde expectan' in dict' literis paten' concess. aut script' concess. aut mentionat' concedi, aut ull' defect' certitud' male computationis, ratationis, aut exposition', Anglice *mistaking, rating, or setting forth*, annui valoris, & ratus præmiss. aut annuorum redd' reservat' de & pro præmissis, aut aliqua inde parcell', mentionat' aut content' in aliquibus literis paten' prædict', aut aliis script', aut pro eo quod præmiss. tunc fuer', aut aliqua pars inde tunc fuit valuat' ad major' aut minor' valor' in dict' literis patentibus, aut script', quam dict' maneria, terr', tenement', & cætera præmiss. tunc fuer' aut essent in annuo valor', aut aliqua male nomi-

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

nomination', aut non vera nominatione, villar', hamlett', paroch', aut com', ubi præd' Honor', Maner', ter', ten', redd', hæreditamen', & cætera præmiss'. & qualibet inde parcell', aut aliqua parcell' inde, jacent aut existunt, aut aliquo defectu veræ nomination' ter', ten', aut hæredit', aut natur' specier' qualitat' aut quant' præd' possession' aut hæreditam', aut alicui' inde parcell', aut aliquo defectu veræ nomination' corporation', aut aliquo defectu Attornamen', liberation' aut feifin' aut aliqua male nomination' aliquorum nuper tenen' præd' honor', maner', ter', ten', & hæreditamen', aut alicui' inde partis sic vendit', concessi' aut dat', aut aliqua male nominatione talis personæ aut personarum, corpor' politic', aut corporat', qual' ullo tempore ante confectioes talium literarum paten' fuer', aut tunc postea essent, proprietar' præmissor', aut alicui' inde partis, ad contrar' non obstant, prout per eund' Actum (inter alia) pleni' apparet. Et iidem Johannes Hele & Warwickus ulterius dicunt, quod præd' nuper Regina Elizabetha nunquam habuit aliquem filium, & quod præd' Gellius Merri- cke & Hen. Lindley fuer', ad & ante temp' confectiois prædictarum literarum paten' sic, ut præfertur, fact', subdit' dict' nuper Regin' Elizabethæ, & nati apud Westm' prædict': Quæ omnia & singula iidem Johannes Hele & Warwickus parat' sunt verificare: unde pet' judicium si præd' literæ paten' præd' nuper Regine Elizabethæ de maner' præd' cum pertin' sic, ut præfertur, fact', revocari & annullari, aut maneria illa cum pertinen' in manus dicti domini regis nunc feifiri debeant, seu eor' aliquo feifiri debeat, &c. Et prædict' Henricus Hobart miles, attornat' dicti domini regis nunc generalis, qui, &c. presens hic in Cur' in propria persona sua, quoad prædictum placitum prædicti Henrici Lindley, superius in forma prædicta placitat', pro eodem Domino Rege dicit, quod prædict' Henr. Lindley ad dicend' quod non habetur aliquod tale Record' alicujus talis Actus Parlamenti præd' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii edit', qual' in prædicto brevi de Scire facias inde recitat' & specificat', Nec aliquod tale Record' præd' Chartæ per eundem nuper Regem Edwardum tertium autoritate parlamenti prædicti confect', qual' in prædicto brevi de Scire facias inde superius recitat' & specificat', admitti non debet, Quia dic', quod dictus dominus Jacobus nunc Rex Angliæ inspexit irrotulament' prædict' Act' parlamenti prædict' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii, & prædictæ Chartæ per prædictum nuper Regem Edwardum tertium, autoritate parlamenti præd' confect', in præd' brevi de Scire facias mentionat', in rotulis Cancell' præd' dom' regis nunc, infra Turrim sua' London', de An' reg. præd' nuper reg. Ed. tertii undecimo irrotulat', de recordo residen'; cui' quid' irrotulament' Act' parlamenti, & Chartæ prædictæ

prædictæ tenor, prædictus dominus Jacobus nunc Rex Angliæ, per literas suas paten' magno sigillo suo Anglia sigillat' hic in Cur' per eund' Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc pro eodem dom' Rege nunc prolat' geren' dat' apud Westm' quinto die Martii Anno Reg' dicti dom' Reg. nunc Angl'. Franc', & Hibern' tertio, & Scotiæ tricesimo nono, duxit (inter alia) exemplificand', & fec' exemplificat'. Quæ quidem exemplificatio quoad irrotul' præd' Actus parliament', & præd' Chartæ, sequit' in hæc verba. Jacob. dei gratia Angl', Scotiæ, Franc', & Hib' Rex fidei defensor, &c. Omnib' ad quos præsentès literæ pervenerint, Salutem: (a) Inspeximus irrotulament' cujusdam Chartæ geren' dat' decimo septimo die Marcii An' Regni domini Edwardi nuper Regis Angl' tertii undecimo, dilecto & fideli suo Edwardo Comiti Cestr', filio suo primogenito concess. in Rotulis Cancellar' nostræ infra Turrim nostram London', de Recordo residen', in hæc verba. (b) Edwardus Dei gratia Rex Angl', domini Hib', & dux Aquitaniæ, Archiepiscop', Episcop', Abbatibus, Prioribus, Comitib', Baronibus, Justiciar', vicecomitibus, præpositis, ministris, & omnibus ballivis, & fidelibus suis, Salute'. Inter cætera regni insignia, illud arbitramur fore potissimum, ut ipsum ordinum, dignitat', & officiorum distributione congrua vallat', sanis fulcit' conciliis, & robustorum potentiis teneatur: plurimis itaq; gradib' hæreditar' in Regno nostro, cum per descensum hæreditat' secundum legem Regni ejusdem ad cohæred' & participes, tum deficiente exitu, & aliis eventibus variis ad man' regias devolut' passum est a diu in nominib', honorib', & graduum dignitate defect' multiplicem dict' Regnum. Nos igitur, ea per quæ Regnu' nostrum decorari, idemq; Regnum ac sancta ejusdem Ecclesia, aliæ etiam ter' nostro subiect' dominio, contra hostium & adversariorum conat' securi' & decentius defensari, paxq; nostra int' nostros ubiq; subditos conservari illæsa poterint, meditatione sollicita intuentes, ac loca ejusdem Regni insignia pristinis insigniri honorib' cupientes, nostræque considerationis intuitus ad personam dilecti & fidelis nostri Edwardi, Comitis Cestr', filii nostri primogeniti intimos converteutes, volentesq; personam ejusdem honorari, eidem filio nostro nomen & honore' Ducis Cornub' (c) de co'i assensu & consilio Prælatorum, Comitum, Baronum, & aliorum de consilio nostro, in presenti parlamento nostro apud Westm' die lunæ proxim' post festu' Sancti Mathiæ Apostoli proxim' p'terit' convocat' existen', dedimus, ipsumq; in duce' Cornub' præfecim', & gladio cinxim', sicut decet, Et ne in dubiu' verti poterit aliquo tempore in futur', quid aut quant' idem dux, seu alii duces, dicti loci, qui pro tempore fuerint, nom' ducat' præd' habere debeant, omn' in specie quæ ad ipsu' ducat' p'tinere vol' hac charta nost' duxim' inferenda. Dedimus itaq; & concessim' pro nobis

(a) 5 Co. 53. 2. b.
Postica 15. 2.

(b) Pollexfen's
Argument in quo
Warranto 83.

(c) Pollexfen's
Argument in quo
Warranto 83.

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

bis & hæred' nostris, & hac present' Charta nostra confirmavimus eidem filio nostro, sub nomine & honore ducis dicti loci, Castra, maneria, terras, & ten', & alia subscript', ut ipse statu' & honorem dicti duc', juxta gener' sui nobilitat', valeat continere, & onera in hac parte incumben' facili' supportare, viz. vicecomitatum Cornub' cum pertin'; Ita quod præfat' dux, & alii duces ejusdem loci pro tempore existen', vicecomit' prædict' com' Cornub' pro voluntat' sua faciant & constituant, & facere & constituere possint, ad exercend' & faciend' offic' vicecomit' ib'm, sicut hæctenus fieri consuevit, sine occasione vel impediment' nostri vel hæred' nostrorum imperpetuum. Nec non Castr', Burg', Maner', & Honor' de Launceneton cum parco ibidem, & aliis pertin' suis, in com' Cornub' & Devon', Castr' & Maner' de Tremeton, cum villa de Saltesh ac parco ibidem & aliis pertin' suis in com' prædicto, Castr' Burg' & Maner' de Tintagel cum pertin' in dicto com' Cornub', Castr' & Maner' de Restormel cum parco ibidem & aliis pertin' suis in eodem com', ac Maner' de Clymeslond cum parco de Keribullock & aliis pertin' suis, Tibeste cum balliva de Poudershire & aliis pertin' suis, Tewynton cum pertin', Helleston in Kerier cum pertin', Morek cum pertin', Tewarnaile cum pertin', Pengkneth cum pertin', Penlyn cum parco ibidem & al' pertin' suis, Rellaton cum Bedelleria de Estwyneleshire & aliis pertin' suis, Helleston in Trigshire cum parco de Helleburie & al' pertin' suis, Lyfkiret cum parco ibidem & al' pertin' suis, Calistock cum piscar' ibidem & al' pertin' suis, & Talskyd cum pertin' in eodem com' Cornub', & villam de Lostwithiell in eodem com' cum molendino ibidem & al' pertin' suis: Ac prisas & Custumas nostras vinorum in eodem comitatu Cornub'. Nec non omnia proficua portuum nostrorum infra eundem comitat' Cornub' ad nos spectan', simul cum Wrecco maris, tam de Balena & Sturion & aliis piscibus quæ ad nos ro'ne prærogativæ nostræ spectant, quam aliis quibuscunque ad wreccum maris hujusmodi qualitercumque pertinent' in toto prædicto com' Cornub': Ac proficua & emolumenta comitat' ten' in prædicto com' Cornub': Ac Hundred', & cur' eorundem, in comitat' illo, ad nos spectan': Nec non Stannariam nostram in eodem com' Cornub', una cum Cunag' ejusdem Stannariæ & cum omnib' exitibus & proficuis inde provenien', Ac etiam expleciis, profic', & perquisit' Cur' Stannariæ & Mineræ in eodem com' (except' duntaxat mille maris, quas dilecto et fideli nostro Willihelm' de Monte cuto Comiti Sarum concessimus pro nobis & hæred' nostris, percipend' sibi et hæred' suis masculis de corpore suo legitime procreat', de exitibus, et profic' Cunag' antedictum, quousque Castr' et Manerium de Tonbrigge cum

cum pertin' in com' Wiltes, Ad Maner' de Aldebournē, Aumbresbur', & Winterbourne, cum pertin' in eodem com', Et Maner' de Canesford cum pertin' in com' Dorset, Et Maner' de Hengstrigge & Charleton cum pertin' in com' Somerset, quæ dilect' & fidelis noster Johanne de Warena comes Surr' & Johanna uxor ejus tenent ad terminum vitæ eorundem, & quæ, post mortem ipsorum, ad nos & hæred' nostros reverti deberent, post decessum eorund' Comitis & Johanna, præfat' Comiti Sarum & hæred' masculis de corpore suo legitime procreat', in valorem octingentarum marcarum per annum, concessim' remanere; & ducent' mercat' terr' & reddit', quas eidem Comiti Sarum, habend' in forma prædicta providere concessim', devenerint ad manus suas: Ac etiam Stannariam nostram in prædicto com' Devon' cum cuag', & omnib' exit' & profic' ejusdem, Ac etiam expletiis, profic', & perquisit' Cur' ejusdem Stannariæ: Ac aquam de Dertmouth in eodem com': & annuam firmam vigint' librarum civitatis nostræ Exon': Ac prisas & Custumas nostras vinorum in aqua de Sutton in eodem com' Devon': Necnon Castr' de Wallingford cum Hamlett' & membr' suis, & annuam firma' villæ de Wallingford, cum honoribus de Wallingford & de sancto Walerico, cum pertin', in com' Oxon' & al' com' ubicunq; Honores illi fuerint: Ac Castr' maner', & villam de Berkhamsted cum parco ibidem, una cum Honor' de Berkhamsted in com' Hertf', Buck' & Northt', & aliis pertin' suis: & Maner' de Bisset cum parco ibidem & aliis pertin' suis in com' Surr'. Habend' & tenend' eidem duci & ipsius & hæredum suorum Regum Angl' filiis primogenitis & dicti loci ducib', in Regno Angl' hæreditar' successur', una cum feod' milit', Advocac' Ecclesiarum, Abb'iarum, Priorat', Hospital', Capell', & cum Hundred', Piscar', Forestis, Chafeis, percis, boscis, warren', feriis, mercatis, libertat', liberis consuetudinibus, Ward', releviis' escaet', & servic' tenen', tam liberorum quam nativorum, & omnibus aliis ad præd' Castr', Burg', Vill', maner', honores, Stannar' & Cunag', terr', & ten', qualitercunq; & ubicunq; spectan' sive pertin', de nobis & hæred' nostris imperpetuum; simul cum quater viginti libris annuæ firmæ, quas dilectus & fidelis noster Johannes de Meere nob' per annum, ad totam vitam suam, solvere tenetur, pro Castro & Maner' de Meere cum pertin' in com' Wiltes, sibi, ad terminum vite suæ habend' per nos concessi. percipiend' singul' annis per manus ejusdem Johannis ad totam vitam suam; & cum prædict' mille marcis annuis præfat' Com' Sarum de exit' Cunag' prædict' per nos sic concessi. post adeptam, per ipsum vel dictos hæred' suos mascul' de corpore suo procreatione, seisinam dictum Castrum & Manerium de Tonbrigge, ac Manerium de Aldebourne, Aumbresbury, Winterbourne, Canesford, Hengstrigge,

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

Charleton, post mortem eorundem Comitis Surr' & Johannæ; ac dict' ducent' mercat' terr' & redd' eidem Comiti Sarum & dict' hæred' suis masculis de corpore suo procreat', sic providend' pro rata porcionis eorundem castr', maner', terr', & ten', cum integralit' vel particularit' ad manus ejusdem Comitis Sarum, vel distorum hæred' suorum masculorum de corpore suo procreat', devenerint. Concessimus insuper pro nobis & hæred' nostris, & hac Carta nostra confirmavimus, quod castr' & maner' de Knaresburgh, cum hamlet' & membr' suis, ac Honor de Knaresburgh, in com' Eborum & al' com' ubicunq; Honor ill' fuerit, & maner' de Istelworth cum pertin' in com' Midd' quæ Phillipa Regina Angliæ Consors nostra charissima ad terminum vitæ suæ, ac Castrum & maner' de Lydeford cum pertin', & cum chæsa de Dertmore cum pertin', in dicto com' Devon', Et maner' de Bradeneshe cum pertin' in eodem com', quæ dilectus & fidelis noster Hugo de Audele comes Glouc', & Margareta uxor eidem, ad terminum vitæ ejusdem Margarete, ac dict' Castr' & maner' de Meere cum pertin', quæ præfat' Johannes, sic ad vitam tenent, ex concessione nostra, & quæ post mortem eorundem Regina, Margarete, & Johannis, ad nos & hæred' nostros reverti deberent post decessum præfat' Regina, dict', viz. castr' & maner' de Knaresburgh, cum honor', hamlet', & membr' suis prædict' & aliis pertin' suis, ac maner' de Istelworth cum pertin', & post mortem prædictæ Margarete dict' castr' & maner' de Lydeford cum dict' chæsa de Dertmore & al' pertin' suis, & maner' de Bradeneshe cum pertin'; & post obit' præd' Johannis dict' castr' & maner' de Meere cum pertin', remaneant præf. duci & ipsius ac hæredum suorum Regum Angliæ fil' primogenit' & dicti loci ducibus, in Regno Angliæ hæreditar', ut prædicitur, successur': Habend' & tenend' una cum feod' milit', Advoc' Ecclesiarum, Abbatum, Priorat', Hospital', Capell', & cum Hundred', Wapent', piscat', forest', chæsis, parcis, boscis, warren', feriis, mercat', libertat', liberis consuetud', ward', releviis, escaet', & servic' tenen' tam liberorum quam nativorum, & omnibus aliis ad eadem Castr', Maner', & Honor' qualitercunq; & ubicunq; spectantibus sive pertin', de nobis similiter & hæredibus nostris imperpetuum. Quæ quidem omnia Castrum, Burg', Vill', Maner', Honor', Stannar' & cunag', firmæ Exon', & Wallingford, terr' & ten', prout superius specificantur, simul cum feod', Advocac', & omnibus aliis supradictis, prædicto Ducatui præsent' Carta nostra pro nobis & hæred' nostris annectimus & unimus eidem imperpetuum remansur': Ita quod ab omni eodem Ducat' aliquo tempore nullatenus separantur nec alicui seu aliquib' aliis quam dicti loci ducib', per nos vel hæred' nostros donentur seu quo modo libet concedantur; Ita etiam quod

quod præfat' duce seu aliis ejusdem loci ducibus deceden', & filio seu filiis, ad quos dict' ducat', prætextu concession' nostrarum prædictarum, spectare dinoscitur, tunc non apparentib', idem ducat' cum Castr', Burg', Vill', & omnibus aliis supradictis, ad nos vel hæredes nostros Reges Angliæ revertat', in manibus nostr' & ipsorum hæred' nostrorum Regum Angliæ retinend', quousq; de hujusmodi filio seu filiis in dict' Regno Angliæ hæreditar' successur' appareat, ut dict' est, quibus tunc successive Ducat' ill' cum pertin', pro nob' & hæred' nostris, concedimus, & volumus liberari, Tenend' prout superius est expressum, Concessimus in super pro nobis & hæred' nostris & hac Carta nostra confirmavimus præfato duci, quod idem dux & dict' ipsius ac hæred' suorum filii primogeniti, duces dict' loci, imperpetuum habeant liberam Warrennam in omnibus dominicis, terr', castr', maner' & aliorum locorum prædictorum, dum tamen terr' illæ non sunt infra metas forestæ nostræ; Ita quod nullus intret terr' illas ad fugand' in eis, vel ad aliquod capiend' quod ad Warrennam pertineat sine licentia & voluntat' ipsius ducis & aliorum ducum ejusdem loci, sub foris factur' nostra decem librarum. Quare volum' & firmiter præcipimus pro nobis & hæred' nostris, quod dict' dux habeat & teneat sibi & ipsius & hæredum suorum Regum Angl' filii primogenitis & ejusdem loci ducibus, in dicto Regno Angl' hæreditar', ut prædicitur successur', prædict' vicecomit' Cornub' cum pertin'; Ita quod ipsi & alii duces prædict' vicecomit' præd' com' Cornub', pro voluntat' sua, faciant & constituent, & facere & constituere possint, ad exercend' & faciend' offic' vicecomit' ibidem, sicut hætenus fieri consuevit, sine occasione vel impedimento nostri vel hæred' nostrorum imperpetuum: Necnon prædict', castr', burg', maner', & honores de Launceneton, castr' & maner' de Tremeton, cum vill' de Saltesh, castr', burg', & maner' de Tintagell, castr' & maner' de Restormell, ac maner' de Clymeslond, Tibeste, Tewynton, Helleston in Kerier, Morefk, Tewarnayle, Pengknerh, Penlyn, Rellato' Helleston in Trighire, Lyfkiret, Calistock, Talkkyd, & villam de Lostwithiel cum pertin' su', simul cum parcis, balliva, bedelleria, piscar', & aliis supradictis in prædict' com' Cornub': Ac prædictas præfas, custum', & proficua portuum prædictorum, simul cum dict' wrecco Maris, ac dict' proficua & emolumenta, cum hundred' & cur' prædict' ad nos spectan', ac dictam Stannariam in eodem com' Cornub' unam cum cunag' ejusdem Stannariæ, & cum omnibus exit' & proficuis inde provenientib'; Ac etiam expleciis, profic', & perquisit' dict' Cur' (exceptum duntaxat dict' mille marcis, quas dilecto & fideli nostro Willo' de Monteacuto comiti Sarum concessimus, pro nobis & hæredibus nostris,

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

percipiend' sibi & hæred' suis mascul' de corpore suo legitime procreat', de exit' & profic' Cunag' antedict' quousq; dict' castr' & maner' de Tonbrigge, cum pertin', ac dict' maner' de Aldebourne, Aumbresburie, & Winterbourne, cum pertin', ac dict' maner' de Hengstrigge & Charleton cum pertin', quod præfat' Comes Surr' & Johanna uxor ejus tenent ad terminum vitæ eorundem, & quæ, post mortem ipsorum, ad nos & hæred' nostros reverti deberent, post decessum eorundem Comitis & Johannæ, præfat' Comiti Sarum & hæred' masculis de corpore suo legitime procreat', in valorem octingentarum marcarum per Annum, concessimus remanere; Et dict' ducent' mercat' terr' & redd' quas eidem Comiti Sarum habend' in forma prædicta providere concessim', devenierint ad manus suas, sicut prædictum est. Ac dict' Stannar' in prædict' com' Devon' cum Cunag' & omnibus exit' & proficuis ejusdem; Ac etiam expleciis, profic', & perquisit' Cur' ejusdem Stannar'; aqua' de Dertmouth; & dict' annuam firmam' vigint' librar' dictæ civitat' Exon', ac dict' prisas & Custumas vinorum in aqua de Sutton in eodem com' Devon': Necnon prædict' Castr' de Wallingford cum hamlet' & membr' suis, annuam firmam ville de Wallingford, cum dictis Honor' de Wallingford & de sancto Walerico, castr' maner' & vill' de Berkhamsted, cum dict' Honor' de Berkhamsted', & maner' de Bisflit, cum parcis & aliis pertin' suis perdict', una cum feod' milit', Advocationibus Ecclesiarum, Abbatiarum, Priorat', Hospital', Capel', & cum Hundred', piscar', forest', chase', parc', boscis, warrenn', feriis, mercat' libertat', liberis consuetud', wardis, releviis, escaet', & servic' tenen', tam liberorum quam nativorum, & omnibus aliis ad prædict' castr', burg', vill', maner', honor', stannar', & cunag', terr', & ten' qualitercunque & ubicunque spectan' sive pertinent', de nobis & hæredibus nostris imperpetuum; simul cum dict' quater vigint' libr' annuæ firmæ, quas præd' Johannes de Meere nob' per annum, ad totam vitam suam, solvere tenet', pro dict' castr' & maner' de Meere: Sibi ad termin' vitæ suæ habendum per nos concess. percipiend' singulis annis per manus ejusdem Johannis ad totam vitam suam: Et etiam cum prædict' mille marcis annuis præfat' Comiti Sarum de exit' cunag' præd' per nos sic concess. post adeptam, per ipsum vel dict' hæred' suos masculos. de corpor' suo procreat' seisinam dictorum castr' & maner' de Tonbrigge, ac maner' de Aldebourne, Aumbresburie, Winterbourne, Canesford, Hengstrigge, & Charleto' post mortem eorundem Comitis Surr' & Johan' ac dict' ducent' mercat' terræ & redd' eidem Comiti Sarum & dict' hæred' suis masculis de corpore suo procreat' sic providend' pro rata portionis eorund' castr', maner', terr', & ten', cum integralit' vel particularit' ad manus ejusdem Comitis Sarum

rum vel dictorum hæredum suorum masculor' de corpore suo procreat', devenerint', ut est dictum. Et quod prædicta Castr' & maner' de Knareburgh, cum hamlet' & membr' suis, ac honore de Knareburgh, & maner' de Iftelworth, cum pertinent', post mortem præfat' Consortis nostræ Castr' & Maner' de Lydeford cum pertinentiis, & cum dicta Chæsea de Dertmore cum pertinedtiis, & Maner' de Bradeneshe cum pertinentiis post decessum prædictæ Margaretæ; & Castr' & Maner' de Meere cum pertinentiis, post mortem præfat' Johannis de Meere, remaneant præfat' duci: Habendum & tenendum sibi & ipsius ac hæredum suorum Regum Angliæ filiis primogenit', & ejusdem loci ducibus, in Regno Angliæ hæreditar', ut prædicit', successur': una cum feod' milit' Advocac' Ecclesiæ, Abb'iarum, Priorat', Hospital', Capell', & cum Hundred', Wapent', Piscar', forest' chæseis, parcis, boscis, warrenn', feriis, mercat', libertat', liberis consuetudinibus, ward', releviis, escaet', & serviciis tenen', tam liberorum quam nativorum, & omnibus aliis ad eadem Castr', Maner', & Honor', qualitercunque & ubicunque spectantibus sive pertinentiis, de nobis similiter & hæredibus nostris imperpetuum, sicut prædictum est. Quæ quidem omnia Castr', Burg', Vill', Maner', & Honor', Stannar' & Cunag', firm' Exon', & Wallingford', terr', & tenementa, prout superius specificantur, simul cum feod', Advocac', & omni- bus aliis suprædictis, præfat' Ducatui præsentis Carta nostra pro nobis & hæredibus nostris annectimus & unimus eidem imperpetuum remansur: Ita quod ab eodem Ducatu aliquo tempore nullatenus separentur, nec alicui seu aliquibus aliis, quam dicti loci ducibus, per nos vel hæred' nostros donentur seu quomodolibet concedantur; Ita etiam quod præfato duci seu aliis ejusdem loci ducibus deceden', & filio seu filiis, ad quos dict' ducat, prætextu concession' nostrarum prædict' spectare dinoscitur, tunc non apparentibus, idem Ducat' cum Castr', Burg', Vill', & omnibus aliis suprædictis, ad nos & hæred' nostros Reges Angliæ revertatur, in manibus nostris & ipsorum hæred' nostrorum Regum Angliæ retinend' quousque de hujusmodi filio seu filiis in dicto Regno Angliæ hereditar' successur' appareat, ut est dictum, quibus tunc successively ducat' illum cum pertin' pro nobis & hæredibus nostris, concedim' & volumus liberari, tenend' prout superius est expressum. Et quod idem dux, & dict' ipsius ac hæredibus suorum filii primogeniti, duces dicti loci, imperpetuum habeant liberam warrennam in omnibus dominicis terr' prædict', dum tamen terr' illæ non sunt infra metas forestæ nostræ: Ita quod nullus intret terr' illas ad fugand' in eis, vel ad aliquod capiend' quod ad Warr-

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

pertineat, sine licentia & voluntate ipsi' ducis, & aliorum ducum ejusdem loci, subforisfactur' nostra decem librarum, sicut prædictum est. Hiis testibus, venerabilibus patribus I. Cantuar' Archiepiscopo toti' Angliæ Primat' Cancellar' nostro, Henrico Lincoln' Episcopo Thes. nostro, Richardo Duneln' Episcopo, Johanne de Warrenna Comit' Surr', Thoma de Bello Campo Comit' War', Thoma Wake de Lydell, Johanne de Moubray, Johanne Darcy le Neven' Seneschall' hospitii nostri, & aliis. Dat' per manum nostram apud Westmonaster', decimo septimo die Martii, Ann' Regni nostri undecimo, per ipsum Regem, & totum Consilium in Parlamento. Nos autem tenor' Cart' Record' & Act' Parliamenti prædict', ad requisitionem dilecti & fidelis nostri Tho. Stephens Armigeri Attorn' generalis prædilecti & præcharissimi filii nostri primogenit' Henrici Principis, ducis Cornub', duximus exemplificand' per presentes. In cujus rei testimonium has literas nostras fieri fecimus paten'. Teste me ipso apud Westm' quinto die Martii Anno Regni nostri Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ, tertio, & Scotiæ tricesimo nono, prout per prædictas literas paten' Exemplificationis prædict' hic in Cur' prolar' plenius liquet & apparet. Et prædict' Henricus Hobart Attornat' dicti domini regis nunc generalis, pro eodem domino Rege nunc dicit, & verificare vult, quod prædict' Actus Parliamenti in prædicto Parlamento prædict' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii editus, & prædict' Cart' per prædict' nuper Regem Edwardum tertium, Autoritate prædicti Parliamenti ejusdem nuper Regis Edwardi tertii confect', in prædict' brevi de Scire fac' specificat', & prædict' Act' Parliamenti in prædicto Parlamento prædict' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii edit', & prædict' Cart' per prædictum nuper Regem Edwardum tertium autoritate prædicti Parliamenti ejusdem nuper Regis Edwardi tertii confect', unde in irrotulament' prædict' & in prædict' exemplificatione irrotulament' prædict', ut præfertur, fact' sit mentio, sunt una & eadem, & non alia neq; diversa: Unde idem Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc, pro eodem domino Rege hic, per' judicium si prædict' Henr. Lindley ad dicendum, quod non habetur aliquod tale Record' talis Actus Parliamenti prædict' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii, nec aliquod tale Record' prædictæ Cartæ per eundem nuper Regem Edwardum tertium autoritate Parliamenti prædicti in brevi prædicto de Scire fac' specificat', cont' prædictas literas paten' Exemplificat' prædict', hic in Cur' per eundem Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc pro eodem domino Rege nunc prolar', admitti debeat. Et ulterius idem Henricus Hobart Attorn' dicti dom' regis nunc generalis, pro eodem dom' rege nunc, per' quod prædict' literæ paten' prædict' nuper Regiæ Elizabeth' quoad prædict' Maner' de

de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph cum pertin', revo-
centur & adnullentur, quodq; præd' maner' de West
Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph cum pertin', in man' dic-
ti dom' regi nunc capiatur & feisient'. Et præd' Hen. Ho-
bart miles, Attorn' dicti dom' Regis nunc generalis, qui &c.
quoad præd' placitum prædictor' Johannis Hele & Warwici
Hele, per ipsos superi' in forma præd' placitat', pro præd'
dom' Rege dic', quo placitum illud materiaq; in eodem con-
tent minus sufficien' in lege existunt ad manutenend' quod
prædictæ literæ paten' prædictæ nuper Regina Elizabeth de
prædict' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph
fact', revocari & adnullari, aut quod maner' illum cum per-
tin' in manus dicti domini Regis nunc feisiri, non debeant:
Ad quod quidem placitum modo & forma prædict' placitat',
idem Attorn' generalis, pro eodem Domino Rege necesse
non habet, nec per legem terræ tenetur respondere. Et hoc
parat' est verificare: Unde pro defectu sufficien' placiti præ-
dictorum Johannis Hele & Warwici Hele in hac parte, idem
Attorn' generalis, pro eodem dom' reg. pet' judicium quod
prædictæ literæ patent' præd' nuper Regina Elizab. de præd',
Maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph cum per-
tin', fact', revocentur & adnullentur. Et quod maner' illa cum
pertin' in man' ejusdem domini Regis capiantur & feisientur,
&c. Super quo prædictus Henricus Lindley dic', quod placi-
tum prædict' Attorn' dict' domini regis generalis, pro eodem
domin' Rege nunc ad placitum ipsius Henrici Lindley præ-
dictum superius replicand' placitat', materiaq; in eadem con-
tent, min' sufficien' in lege existunt, ad ipsum Henricum
Lindley a dicend', quod non habetur aliquod tale Record'
alicujus talis Actus Parliamenti præd' nuper Regis Edwardi
tertii edit', qual' in prædicto brevi de Scire fac' inde recita-
tur & specificatur, nec aliquod tale Record' prædictæ Cart'
per eundem nuper Regem Edwardum tertium autoritate
parliamenti prædicti confect', qual' in prædicto brevi de Scire
fac' inde superius recitatur & specificatur, percludend':
Quodq; ipse idem Henricus Lindley ad placitum illud mo-
do & forma prædict' superius replicand' placitat' necesse non
habet, nec per legenterræ tenetur rejungere: Et hoc idem Hen-
Lindley paratus est verificare, unde, pro defectu sufficien' Re-
plicationis in hac parte, idem Hen' Lindley, ut prius, pet'
judicium si prædictæ literæ paten' prædictæ nuper Regina
Elizabeth de præd' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, &
Landulph, cum pertin', fact', revocari seu adnullari, aut
prædicta maneria de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph,
cum pertin', vel eorum aliquod in manus dicti domini Re-
gis nunc capi aut feisiri debeant, &c. Et prædict' Johannes
Hele & Warwicus Hele pro seipsis dicunt, quod ex quo ipsi
sufficien'

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

sufficien' materiam in prædicto placito suo per ipsos superius placitat' allegaver', viz. prædict' seisinam prædictæ nuper Regina Elizabeth de prædictis Maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph cum pertin', in dominico suo ut de feodo, in jure Coronæ suæ Angl', ac Concession' prædictam inde per prædict' literas paten' ipsius nuper Regina, ac resid' mater' per ipsos superius placitat', quam prædict' Johannes & Warwicus parat' sunt verificare, quam quidem materiam prædict' Attornat' dicti domini Regis non dedit, nec ad eam aequaliter respondit, sed verificationem illam admittere omnino recusat, ut prius petit judicium si prædictæ literæ paten' prædictæ nuper Regina Elizabeth de prædict' maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertinentiis, fact', revocari aut adnullari, aut maner' illa cum pertinentiis vel eorum aliquod in manus ejusdem domini Regis nunc capi aut seifiri debeant. Et ulterius pro meliore informatione, & ad plenius informandum dictum dominum Regem nunc, & Cur' hic de statu dicti domini Regis nunc ad prædict' Ducat' Cornubiæ, & ad alia Maner' eidem nuper Ducatui quo modolibet modo pertinen' sive annex', seu parcell' inde existen', iidem Johannes & Warwicus dicunt, quod in Statuto, in parlamento domini Henrici nuper Regis Anglæ septimi, tent' apud Westmonaster' in comitat' Middlesexiæ septimo die Novembris Ann' Regni sui primo, edit', (inter alia) ordinatum, inactitatum, & stabilitum fuit autoritat' ejusdem Parlamenti, Quod dictus dominus Rex Henricus septimus haberet, teneret, gauderet, & possideret, sibi & heredibus suis imperpetuum, a vicefimo primo die Augusti tuncultim' præterito, prædict' Ducat' Cornubiæ, ac omnia Honor', Castra, Dominia, Maner', terr', ten', redd', reversiones, servic', possessiones, Advocationes, & al' hereditament', cum omnib' membris & pertin' prædict' Ducat' spectan' & pertinen', aut quæ fuer' pertinen', annex', reputat', aut capt' parcell' ejusdem, ullo tempore Regnorum Henrici sexti, Edwardi quarti, nuper Regum Angliæ in tam amplo & largo modo, cum omnibus libertat', & franchesiis, & aliis rebus eidem spectantibus, in simil' modo, forma, & conditione, prout prædict' Reges aut eorum alter habuer', tenuer', occupaver', usi fuer', & gravati fuer', aut habuit, tenuit, occupavit, usus fuit, & gavis' fuit in eisdem, aliquo tempore duran' dictis Regnis suis, prout in statuto prædicto in Anno Regni prædicti nuper Regis Henrici septimi primo supradicto (inter alia) plenius continetur & apparet. Per quod idem dominus Jacobus nunc Rex fuit & adhuc existit seifit' de resid' Manerior', terr' & ten' prædict' Ducat' Cornubiæ pertinen', per prædict' nuper Regina

Reginam Elizabeth non alienat in dominico suo ut de feodo, in jure Coronæ suæ anglia, unde petunt quod cur' hic cognitionem & notitiam de prædicto statuto in anno regni prædicti nuper Regis Henrici septimi primo supradict' edit', ac de prædict' statu dicti domini Regis nunc ad resid' maner', terr', ten', & hereditamen' prædict' ducat Cornub' pertin', caper' & accipere velit, &c. Et prædictus Henricus Hobart miles, Attorn' dicti domini Regis nunc generalis, qui, &c. Quoad hoc unde prædictus Henricus Lindley, superius moratur in lege, ex quo ipse sufficien' materiam in lege pro eodem domino Rege ad præcludend' præfat' Henricum Lindley, a dicendo, quod non habetur aliquod tale Record' alicujus talis actus Parliamenti prædict' nuper Regis Edwardi tertii edit', qual' in prædict' brevi de Scire fac' inde recitatur & specificatur, nec aliquod tale Record' prædictæ cartæ per eundem nuper Regem Edwardum tertium, autoritate parliamenti prædict' confect', qual' in prædicto breve de Scire fac' inde superius recitatur & specificat', superius allegavit, quam quidem materiam præd' Henricus non dedic', nec ad eam aliqualit' respond', sed verificationem ill' admittere omnio recusat: idem Attornat' dicti domini Regis generalis, ut prius, pro eodem domino Rege nunc petit judicium, & quod prædictæ literæ paten' prædictæ nuper Reginae Elizabeth de prædict' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph, cum pertin' fact', revocent' & adnullent'. Ac quod maner' ill' cum pertinen' in manus dicti domini Regis nunc capiantur & seisiunt', &c. Et quia cur' dicti domini Regis nunc hic se advisare vult de & super præmissis prædict' antequam ad judicium superinde reddend' procedat, dies inde dat' est tam præfato Henrico Hobart militi, Attornat' dicti domini Regis nunc generali, qui, &c. quam præd' Henrico Lindley, Johanni Hele, & Warwico Hele, coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta cur' hic, usque in Octabis Sancti Hillarii proxim', &c. ubicunque, &c. de audiend' inde judicio suo, Eo quod dict' cur' hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quas quidem Octab' S. Hillarii coram dicto domino Rege nunc in dicta cur' hic, scilicet apud Westm' prædict' ven' tam prædictus Henricus Hobart miles, Attorn' dicti dom' Regis nunc general', qui, &c. in propria persona sua, quam prædict' Henricus Lindley, Joh' Hele, & Warwicus Hele, per Attorn' suum prædict'. Et super hoc idem Attorn' ipsius dom' Regis nunc general', pro eodem dom' Rege nunc, ut prius, pet' judicium, & quod præd' literæ paten' de præd' maner' de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph prædict' cum pertin' in forma prædict' fact' revocent' & adnullentur: Ac quod maner' illa cum pertin' in manus dicti dom' Regis nunc capiant' & seisiunt', &c. Et quia dict' cur' dicti dom' reg' nunc hic se superind' ult' advis. vult priusq' ad judic' inde red'

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

procedatur, dies ulterius inde datus est tam præfato Henrico Hobart mil', Attornat' dicti domini Regis nunc generali, qui, &c. quam prædict' Henrico Lindley, Johanni Hele, & Warwico Hele, hic usque in quinden' Paschæ proxim', &c. ubicunque, &c. de judicio suo inde audiend', Eo quod dicta cur' dicti domini Regis nunc hic inde nondum, &c.

Casus

Casus Prin- **The Prince's**
cipis. **Case**

Dominus Rex, ad petitionem illustrissimi principis Henrici, filii sui primogeniti, Ducis Cornubiæ, prosecutus est breve de Scire facias versus Henricum Lindley militem & Johannem Hele Servientem ad Legem, ad revocandum literas patentes nuper Reginae Elizabeth, datas secundo die Maii anno regni sui tricesimo septimo (per quas dicta Regina concessit dicto Henrico Lindley & cuidam Gellio Mericke, (jam defuncto) & hæredibus suis maneria de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph in com' Cornub') ut eadem maner' præf. Duci

THE King, at the Petition of the most Noble Prince HENRY, his first begotten Son, Duke of Cornwall, brought a (a) Scire facias against Henry Lindley, Knight, and Sergeant Hele, to repeal Letters Patents of the late Queen Elizabeth, bearing Date the 2d of May, in the 37th Year of her Reign, by which the Queen granted to the said H. Lindley, and to one Gelly Mericke (now dead) and their Heirs, the Manors of West Taunton, Trelowia and Landulph, in the County of Cornwall, to the End the King might make Liberty of them to the said Duke, as Members
and

and Parts of the Dutchy aforesaid, and that by Force of three Acts of Parliament, two in 11 E. 3. whereof the one is in Form of a Charter by Authority of Parliament, by which the Prince was created Duke of Cornwall, and the Possessions of the Dukedome of Cornwall thereby given to him, with special Limitation, and the Possessions annexed to the said Dutchy, so as they shall not be severed, with special Clause of Revivification, altho' the special Limitation at any Time should cease, &c. and of the Act of 32 H. 8. by which the three Manors are made Parcels of the Dutchy of Cornwall for ever to all Intents and Purposes, &c. The Sheriff return'd Sir Henry Lindley, and Serjeant Hele, and one Warwick Hele, Knt. Terre-tenants of the said three Manors summoned; and after four Imparlances, Sir Henry Lindley, as to the said two severall Acts of Parliament, Anno 11 E. 3. severally pleaded *Nul tiel record*: Serjeant Hele and the said Warwick pleaded the said Letters Patents of Q. Elizabeth with a non obstante the said Act of 32 H. 8. and conveyed to themselves a joint Estate, to them, and to the Heirs of the said Serjeant; and further pleaded the Act

tanquam membra & parcel- las Ducatus prædicti liberati faceret: Et hoc vigore 3. statutorum Parliamentariorum, scilicet duo um anno undecimo Edwardi tertii editorum; (quorum unum habet formam Chartæ autoritate Parliamenti factæ, per quod Princeps in ducem Cornubiæ præfectus fuit, ejusdemque Ducatus possessiones illi datæ cum speciali limitatione, & sic Ducatui antedicto annexæ quod ab eo separari minime possunt: idque cum speciali clausula Revivificationis, licet quandoque specialis illa cessaret limitatio, &c.) Tertiis vero statuti anno 32. Henrici 8. per quod dicta illa maneria deveniunt parcell' Ducat' Cornubiæ imperpetuum ad omnia proposita & intentiones, &c. Vicecomes returnat' dictos H. Lindley, & Jo. Hele, & quendam W. Hele mil', terr' tenentes prædict' trium maner', esse summonitos. Et post quatuor ad interloquendum dies datos, Hen. Lindley, quoad præd' duo Parl' statuta, an. 11 E. 3. separat' placit', quod nullum tale hab' record': J. Hele, & Warw. anted' respond' allegant dict' reg' Eliz. liter' patent' cum claus. de Non obft' præd' stat' de an' 32 H. 8. ostend' seipsos conjunct' esse feoffat' ad solu' sui propriu' usum, & hæc ejusd' J. Hele: Actum

Actum in super allegant confirmationis literarum patentium ad parliament' an' 43 reginæ Eliz. edit'. Et quoad pl'ita Hen. Lindley, attorn' reg' replicando dic' & profert (per inspexim') dict' chartæ de an' 11 E. 3. exemplificationem sub mag' no angliz sigil' prout in recordo, i. actis publicis, ubi intratur in hæc verba: Et pet' jud', si, contra hanc exemplificat', ad dicendum, nullum tale record', admitti debeat. Et super placito dicti J. Hele & Warw. dict' att' moratur in lege: Et illi scil. dicti Joh & War' fil' ter. Et ulter', ut amici cur', ad informand' cur' de verit' & de statu regis in residuo dict' maner' parcell' dicti ducat', repet' cur' partem statuti an' 1 H. 7. editi de antedict' duc' Cornub'. Et super replicatione Attornati generalis dict' Henr. Lindley moratur in lege: Et ille, scilicet dict' attorn', fil' ter. Re' hoc tantummodo compend' retuli, eo, quod latus totam ex record' adjeci: Et si casum etiam fusius dicere, prolixanimis (ut casus hic se habet) hæc esset relatio.

In hac causa 4 motæ fuerunt quæst' considerat' dignæ. 1. Si instrum' fact' 17. die Martii an' 11 E. 3. principi Ed. sit charta tempore parliamenti fact' vel charta autoritate parliamenti stabilita. Et sum' totius litis in hoc articulo constituta est.

of Confirmation of Letters Patents at the Parliament held 43 Eliz. And as to the Pleas of Sir Henry Lindley, the King's Attorney reply'd and shew'd an Exemplification by Inspeximus of the said Charter of 11 E. 3. under the Great Seal, (as in the Record where it is enter'd in hæc verba) and demanded Judgment if against the same he should be admitted to plead Nul tiel record. And demurred in Law upon the Plea of Serjeant Hele and Warwick, who joined with him. And further, ut amici Curiz, and to inform the Court of the Truth, and of the State which the King, that now is, hath in the Residue of the said Patents, Parcel of the said Dutchy, they repeated to the Court Part of the Act of 1 H. 7. concerning the said Dutchy of Cornwall. And H. Lindley demurred in Law upon the Replication of the Att. General, with whom the Attorney joined. The Reason why I have made an Abstract of the Case, so compendious, is because I have added the whole Record at length, and if the Case should be also put at large, it would extend as this Case is to an unnecessary Prolixity. In this Case four Quest. were mov'd worthy of Consid. 1. If the Instr. made 17 Mar. an. 11 E. 3. to W. Edw. be a Charter made in Time of Parl. or a Charter establ. by Auth. of Parl. and this is the princip. and funda. Pnt on wch the whole depen.

2. If

2. If there was any other Act of Parliament but the said Charter; and if there is no other Act, if the King's Writ be good which alleges another Act. 3. Admitting the Prince to be Duke of Cornwall, what Estate has the Prince in the Honour and Dukedome of Cornwall? and how has it he? by Descent or by Purchase? For if the Prince has but a particular Estate, then, after the particular Estate ended, the said Serjeant Hele and his Heirs shall have the said Honours until, &c. And then a special Judgment ought to be given, with a Quoad, &c. and no general Judgment that the Letters Patents shall be void, nor the Inrolment cancelled. 4. If against such Act of Parliament Nul tiel record may be pleaded. And the Lord Chancelor, because the Cause was of great Importance and Consequence, assisted himself with a Judge of each of the Courts at Westminster, scil. Coke, Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, Fleming Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and Williams one of the Judges of the King's Bench, (for Sir John Popham, Knight, late Chief Justice of the King's Bench, who was called to it, and heard some of the Argu-

2. Si præter dictam Chartam aliquod fuer' aliud statutum parliam': & si non sit, cujus deniq; valoris sit breve dom' reg', in quo alterius mentio est stat'. 3. Si admittatur principem fuisse duc' Cornub', quem habet princeps statum in dicto honore & ducatu Cornub'; & quonam habet modo, scil' per descensum, vel per acquisitionem: si enim princeps habeat solummodo particul' stat', tunc post particul' statum finitum dict' Jo. Hele, & hæred' sui haber' maner' præd' quousque, &c. & tunc iudicium debet esse speciale cum Quoad, &c. & non gener', quod irritæ sint dict' liter' patent', & eorundem irrotulament' cancelletur. 4. Si contra tale parliamenti statutum nullum tale record' possit allegari. Et domin' cancellarius in tanta tantiq; momenti, e singulis Westmonaster' tribus curiis unum sibi associavit Judicem, scilicet, Coke capitalem Justiciarium de Banco, Fleming capitalem Scaccarii Baronem, & Williams unum Justiciariorum ad placita coram Domino Rege tenenda assignatum (Dominus enim Joh' Popham miles nuper Capitalis Justiciarius, qui huc evocatus fuit, & argumendo-

rum nonnulla ad Barram audiverat, diem clausit extremum pendente Placito.) Et hæc causa scite ad Barram argumentata fuit per Stephens, princ' attor' pro rege, & per Heron Servient' ad legem pro defendent'; & secunda vice p' Dodderidge sollicitatorem regis generalem, & per Houghton servientem ad legem pro defendentibus; & deinde per Hobart generalem regis attornatum: Et postea isto eodem termino causa hæc per Williams & capitalem Baronem prima vice disceptata fuit; & per capitalem Justiciarium de Banco & Dominum Cancellar' vice secunda. Et quoad Articulum primum, uno assensu determinatum fuit per Cancellarium & dictos Justiciarios, quod dicta Charta facta fuit autoritate Parlamenti. Et quoniam *Duo sunt instrumenta ad omnes res aut confirmandas, aut impugnandas, Ratio & Autoritas*, suas firmarunt opiniones, 1. Ratione, 2. Ex legis Autoritate. Quoad primum duas afferunt rationes *ex visceribus causæ*, primam *ex impossibili*; & hoc tribus de causis. 1. Impossibile foret, si dicta Charta non fuerit Parlamento stabilita, statum, vel honoris præf. in duc' Cornub', vel posses. ind', isto special' mo-

ments at the Bar, dyed pendente placito.) And this Case was argu'd very well at the Bar, by Stephens the Prince's Attorney, for the King, and by Heron Serjeant for the Defendants; and at another Day by Doddridge the King's Solicitor, and by Houghton Serjeant for the Defendants; and, lastly, by Hobart the King's Attorney General. And afterwards, the same Term, the Case was argued by Williams and the Chief Baron in one Day; and at another by the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas and the Lord Chancellor. And as to the first Point, it was unanimously resolv'd by the Lord Chancellor and the said Justices, That the said Charter was made by (a) Authority of Parliament. And because Duo sunt instrumenta ad omnes res aut confirmandas, aut impugnandas, Ratio & Autoritas; They confirmed their Opinions, 1. by Reason, and then by Authorities in Law. For the first they gave two Reasons, ex visceribus causæ, 1. Ex impossibili, and that for three Causes: 1. It would be impossible if the said Charter was not establish'd by Parliament, that the Estate, either of the Honour to be Duke of Cornwall, or of the Possessions thereof being limited in such special Manner as it is, should be sufficient in Law, For the Limitat. of both is,

1. Point.
Co. Lit. 81. 2.
98. b.
(a) 1 Jon. 104.

(a) Jenk. Cent.

is (a) Habendum & tenendum eidem Duci, & ipsius & hæredum suorum Regum Angliæ filii primogenitis & dicti loci Ducibus, in regno Angliæ hæreditarie successuris. So that he who ought to inherit by Force of this Grant, ought to be the first begotten Son and Heir apparent of the King of England, and of such King as is Heir to Prince Edward, and that such first begotten Son and Heir apparent to the Crown shall inherit the Dukedom in the Lifetime of the King his Father. So that if there be a Grandfather, Father and Son, now the Father being the first begotten Son of the Grandfather is Duke of Cornwall in the Life of the King, and eo instante that the Grandfather dies, the Father is King, and eo instante also the Son is Duke of Cornwall; which Course of Inheritance being against the Rules of the Common Law, (b) cannot be created by Charter without the Force and Strength of an Act of Parliament. And in this Case the King's eldest Son has (c) this Dignity by Right of Inheritance, in like Manner as the eldest Sons of Bishops and Peers of the Realm who have supereminent Dignities in them, have in Appellation and Curtesy only; as suppose the Grandfather be a Baron, Father and Son, and the King creates the Grandfather an Earl, eo instante the Father is a Baron in Appellat. & Curtesy, & eo instante that the Grandfather dies,

(b) Co. Lit. 27. 2.

(c) Co. Lit. 16. a.

do limitatarum quò hæ sunt, fore sufficient' in lege; ambor' enim limitatio est. *Habendum & tenendum eidem Duci, & ipsius & hæredum suorum Regum Angliæ filii primogenitis & dicti loci Ducibus, in Regno Angliæ hæreditarie successuris.* Ita quod hunc, qui hæreditare debet virtute hujus concessionis, oportet esse filium primogenitum & hæredem apparentem Regis Angliæ, immo hujusmodi Regis qui hæres est dict' Principi Edwardo; & quod primogenitus ille filius & hæres coronæ apparens jure hæditario haberet dictum ducatum, vivente Rege suo patre: utputa sit Rex avus, pater, & filius, hic pater (primogenitus existens filius avi) est dux Cornubiæ vivente Rege; & eo instante quo avus decedit pater est Rex, & eo etiam instante filius est dux Cornubiæ, qui hæred' ordo, cum sit contra regulas legis communis, creari non potest charta, stat' parliament' virt' & vigore non adhibitis. Et in hoc casu filius Regis natu maximus hanc habet dignitatem jure & hæred', eodem modo quo filii natu maximi Primatum & Procerum Regni (quorum supereminentes sunt dignitates) habent appellat' & urbanitate tant'; utputa sit avus baron, pater, & filius, & Rex avum creat' in comit', eo instante pater est baro appellat' & urbanitate, & eo etiam instante quo

quo avus decedit, pater est Comes & filius Baro urbanitate: Et sic de similibus. 2. Impossibile foret possessiones Ducatus sic esse annexæ dicta charta, eodem modo prout charta in se exigit; clausula enim Connexionis est: *Quæ quidem omnia Castra, Burg', Vill', maneria, &c. prædicto Ducatui præsentis charta nostra pro nobis & hæredibus nostris annectimus & unimus eidem imperpetuum remansur', ita quod ab eodem Ducatu aliquo modo nullatenus separantur, nec alicui seu aliquibus aliis, quam dicti loci Ducibus per nos vel hæredes nostros donentur, seu quomodolibet concedantur, Quæ indissolubilis & inseparabilis Connexio tali modo fieri non potest charta tantum, Parlamento statuto non adhibito. 3. Impossibile foret, legis regula, statum in terra cessare & rursus reviviscere (sicut clausula Revivificationis intendit) charta tantum: quæ clausula est, *Ita quod præfato Duce seu aliis ejusdem loci Ducibus decedent', & filio seu filiis, ad quos dictus Ducatus prætextu Doni & Concessionis nostrorum prædictorum spectare dignoscitur, tunc non apparentibus, idem Ducatus cum Castris, Burg', Villis, &c. ad nos vel hæredes nostros Reges Angliæ revertatur, in manibus nostris & ipsorum hæredum nostrorum Regum Angliæ retinend', quousque de hujusmodi**

*die, the Father is Earl, and the Son Baron by Courtesy: & sic de similib'. 2. It would be impossible that the Possessions of the Dutchy should be so annexed by the Charter in the same Manner as the Charter purports; for the Clause of Annexation is, Quæ quidem omnia Castra, Burg', Vill', Maneria, &c. præd' Ducatui præsentis Charta nostra pro nobis & hæredibus nostris annectimus & unimus eidem imperpetuum remansur', ita quod ab eodem Ducatu aliquo modo nullatenus separantur, nec alicui seu aliquibus aliis, quam dicti loci Ducibus per nos vel hæredes nostros donentur, seu quomodolibet concedantur: Which indissoluble and inseparable Annexation cannot be made in such Manner by Charter only, without Act of Parliament. 3. * It would be impossible by the Rule of Law, That an Estate in Land should cease and revive again, as by the Clause of Revivification is intended, by Charter only; which Clause is, Ita quod præfatus Duce, seu aliis ejusdem loci Ducibus decedent', & filio seu filiis, ad quos dictus Ducatus prætextu Doni & Concessionis nostrorum prædictorum spectare dignoscitur, tunc non apparentibus, idem Ducatus cum Castris, Burg', Villis, &c. ad nos vel hæredes nostros Reges Angliæ revertatur,*

D

Co. Lit. 27. a.
Co. 87. a. b.

tatur, in manibus nostris & ipsorum hæredum nostrorum Regni Angliæ retinend', quousque de hujusmodi filio seu filiis in dicto regno Angliæ hæreditarie successur' appareat, ut dictum est, quibus tunc successive Ducatum illum cum pertinentiis, pro nobis & hæredibus nostris concedimus & volumus liberari, tenend' prout superius est expressum.

(a) 1 Co. 87. a.
130. a. Perk.
Sect. 327. Plowd.
156. a. 10 H. 7.
13. b. 5 E. 2.
Dower 143.
12 E. 3. Condit.
21. 22 E. 3. 16. a.
4 Leon. 83. 6 Co.
41. a. 8 Co. 17. b.

For altho' a (a) Kent newly created (to which no Man can have an ancient Right) may cease for a Time, and revive again, yet Land, which is of a more solid Nature, and to which another may have an ancient Right, cannot so do, as it is resolved in Corbet's Case, in the first Part of my Reports.

The second Reason was, ex absurdo, That if others being created Earls at the same Time for the Honour of the Prince, all their Creations and Donations should be firm and good in Law to some of them and the Heirs of their Bodies; and to others in Fee-simple; and that the Creation of the Prince himself, and of such a most noble Prince, and the Grant to him of the said Castles, Mansions, &c. should be either void in Law, or but an Estate at Will; and especially in such a Time when the Judges (who always attended the Parliament) were the most wise and learned in the Law.

It remains then to see what Authorities and Precedents there are in Law to prove the said Charter has the

filio seu filiis in dicto Regno Angliæ hæreditarie successur' appareat ut dictum est, quibus tunc successive Ducatum illum cum pertinentiis pro nobis & hæredibus nostris concedimus, & volumus liberari: tenendum prout superius est expressum. Quanquam enim redditus de novo creatus (ad quem nullus homo habere potest jus superius) pro tempore cessare potest & rursus reviviscere, terra tamen (quæ solidioris est natura, & ad quam alter habere potest jus superius) ita facere non potest, sicuti determinatum est in casu Corbet in prima Relationum mearum parte.

Secunda ratio fuit *ex absurdo*, eo quod, cum eodem tempore sex alii fuerint creati Comites in honorem Principis, illorum omnes creationes & donationes firmæ forent & in lege validæ, quibusdam eorum & hæredibus de corporibus suis exeuntibus, & aliis in feodo simplici, creatio vero Principis ipsius, Principisque tam celeberrimi, & concessio ditorum castrorum, maneriorum, &c. in lege forent invalidæ, vel de statu tantum ad voluntatem, & hoc tunc temporis quando fuerunt Judices (qui semper attendunt Parliamentum) optime eruditi & Jurisperitissimi.

Videre deinde est quæ sunt in lege Authoritates & exempla ad proband' dict' chartam in se habere vim

vim statuti Parliamentarii. Et hoc quadrupliciter probatum fuit: 1. Ex ipsa charta & ex autoritatibus in lege cum hac concurrentibus: 2. Ex concessionibus & statibus per Principem factis, & sub literis patentibus Regum: 3. Judiciis secundum usitatum Legis modum latis, & Determinationibus Judicum in Curiiis Regiis: 4. Determinationibus in Parlamento per Regem & totum corpus Regni. 1. In ipsa charta facta Principi duæ clausulæ fuerunt observatæ: 1. In principio Chartæ dictum est, *Considerationis nostræ intuitus ad personam dilecti & fidelis nostri Edwardi, Comitis Cestræ, filii nostri primogeniti, intimos convertentes, volentesque personam ejusdem honorari, eidem filio nostro nomen & honorem Ducis Cornubiæ de communi assensu & consilio Prælatorum, Comitum, Baronum; & aliorum de consilio nostro in presenti Parlamento convocati existent', Deditimus &c.* Ex quo manifestum est dictam chartam auctoritate Parliamenti factam fuisse. Et determinatum fuit hanc clausulam in omnes chartæ partes se extendere: quæ clausula per se fuisset sufficiens. 2. In conclusione & fine chartæ (quæ recordi est parcella) dictum est, *Datum per manum nostram apud Westmonast' 17. die Martii A. Reg.*

Force of an Act of Parliament. And that was proved four manner of Ways. 1. Out of the Charter itself, and Authorities in Law agreeing to it. 2. By Grants and Estates made by the Prince, and by Letters Patents of Kings. 3. By Judgments given according to the ordinary Course of Law, and Resolutions of the Judges in the King's Courts. 4. By Resolutions in Parliaments by the King and the whole Body of the Realm. 1. In the Charter itself made to the Prince, two Clauses were observed, 1. In the Beginning of the Charter it is said, Considerationis nostræ intuitus ad personam dilecti & fidelis nostri Edwardi, Comitis Cestræ, filii nostri primogeniti, intimos convertentes, volentesque personam ejusdem honorari, eidem filio nostro nomen & honorem Ducis Cornubiæ de communi assensu & consilio Prælatorum, Comitum, Baronum, & aliorum de Consilio nostro in presenti Parlamento convocati existent' Deditimus, &c.

** By which it appears, That the Charter was made by Authority of Parliament. And it was resolved, That this Clause extends to all the Parts of the Charter, which Clause of itself had been sufficient. 2. In the Close and End of the Charter, which is Parcel of the Record, it is said, Dat' per manum nostram apud Westm. 17 die Martii*

* Co. Lit. 81. a.
98. b.

Martii, anno regni nostri 11. Per ipsum Regem & totum Consilium in Parlamento. Which also proves that it was made by Authority of Parliament. And it was more for the Honour of the King that the Creation and Donation should be in the Form of a Charter, and that Witnesses should be called to it, so that nothing should be omitted which belonged to a compleat Charter, and so principally to proceed from the King, as the Fountain of all Honour and Dignity; than if the Creation and Donation had been only by Act of Parliament; in which all would be Donors. Note Reader, If Letters Patents pass by Bill signed without Privy Seal, the Patent is subscribed, per ipsum Regem, (and then the Bill signed remains with the Chancelloz for his Warrant :) and when it passes by Bill signed, and by Privy Seal also, then the Privy Seal remains with the Chancelloz, and the Bill signed remains with the Clerks of the Signet, and the Lord Privy Seal has an Extract thereof to make the Privy Seal, and then the Letters Patents are subscribed, per Breve de Privato Sigillo: And if auctoritate Parliamenti be added, then it passes according to the Act of 27 Hen. 8. cap. 11. And when the King signs the Patent itself in the upper Part, and the Signature and Great Seal together, then it is subscribed, Per ipsum Regem manu sua propria.

nostri undecimo, per ipsum Regem & totum Consilium in Parliament: quo etiam probatur illam fuisse factam auctoritate Parliamenti. Et in majorem fuit Regis honorem quod creatio & donatio foret in forma Chartæ, & testes ad hanc advocati, (ut nihil omitteretur quod ad perfectam spectat Chartam) & sic præcipue e Rege, veluti e fonte totius honoris & dignitatis, emanaret, quam si creatio & donatio fuisset statuto Parliamentario tantum, in quomnes essent donatores. Nota Lector, si literæ patentes exituræ sint sub billa signata, & non sub sigillo privato, subscribuntur, Per ipsum Regem (& tunc billa illa signata manet Cancellario in warrantum.) Et quando exituræ sunt sub billa signata & sub sigillo etiam privato, tunc privatum sigillum manet Domino Cancellario, & billa signata manet Clericis signaturæ, & ex hac allatum est Domino privati sigilli extractum ad faciend' breve de privato sigillo: & tunc literæ patentes subscribuntur, Per breve de privato sigillo; & si hæc verba (scilicet, auctoritate parliamenti) apponantur, tunc exeunt secundum statutum de Anno 27 H. 8. cap. 11. Et quando Rex signat literas ipsas patentes in superiori parte, & signatura & magnum sigillum paribus passib' incedunt uno & eodem tempore, tunc subscribuntur; Per ipsum Reg' manu sua propria.

Et

Et quando autoritate & assensu Parliamenti conficiuntur, tunc subscribuntur, *Per ipsum Regem & totum Consilium in Parlamento*, vel in hujusmodi effectum. Et scire est, quod priscis temporibus, tam quando terra, immunitas, sive hæreditamentum de Rege transferebat de statu hæreditario, quam in creatione cujusquam ad honorem & dignitatem, per literas parentes, conclusio fuit, *Hiis testibus*. A diu vero, pro terris, immunitatibus, vel hæreditamentis hæc formula intermissa fuerit, & nunc, & sic a diu, literæ patent' concluduntur, *Teste meipso* &c. At in omnib' ad honorem & dignitatem creationibus, per literas patentes, antiqua formula (scilicet, *Hiis testibus*) manet usque in hodiernum diem. Et quod actus Parliamenti editi sunt sub formula Char' Reg' multa nobis in Lege sunt testimonia. Primo, Magna Charta, edita 9 H. 3. cujus principium est, *Imprimis concessimus Deo & hac præsentii charta nostra confirmavimus pro nobis & hæredibus nostris imperpetuum* &c. concluditur, *Hiis testibus* &c. & *Datum per manum nostram*, ut nostræ etiam Chartæ conclusio est. Et quamvis in charta non liqueat, verbis expressis, quod facta fuit autoritate Parliamenti, quia tamen diversæ ejus partes Legem communem & invertunt & mutant (quod charta tantum facere non potest) & constat ex capite ultimo, qd'

And when it is made by Authority and Consent of Parliament, then it is subscribed, *Per ipsum Regem, & totum Consilium in Parlamento*, or to the like Effect. And it is to be known, That in ancient Times, as well when any Land, Franchise or Hereditament, did pass from the King of any Estate of Inheritance, as in the Creation of any to Honour and Dignity by Letters Patents, the Conclusion was with (a) *Hiis testibus*: But of long Time for Lands, Franchises or Hereditaments, this Form has been discontinued, and now, and so it hath been of long Time, the Patent concludes, (b) *Teste meipso*, &c. But in all Creations to Honour and Dignity by Letters Patents, the ancient Form of Conclusion of *Hiis testibus* is continued to this Day; and that Acts of Parliament do go in the Form of the King's Charter, we have many Examples in Law. 1. (c) Magna Charta, made 9 Hen. 3. which begins, *Imprimis, Concessimus Deo, & hac præsentii Charta nostra confirmavimus pro nobis & hæredibus nostris imperpetuum*, &c. and the Charter concludes with *Hiis testibus*, & *Datum per manum nostram*, as ours doth: And although it doth not appear in the Charter itself by express Words, that it was made by Authority of Parliament, yet because many Parts of it cross and change the Com. Law, which a Charter alone cannot do, and it appears by the last Chapter,

(a) Co. Lit. 7. a.
2 Inst. 77, 78.(b) Co. Lit. 7. a.
2 Inst. 77.(c) Co. Lit. 81. a.
2 Inst. 78.

That for the said Grand Charter, Archiepiscopi, Episcopi, Abbates, Priores, Comites, Barones, Milites, liberi tenentes, & omnes de regno nostro dederunt nobis quintodecimam partem omnium mobilium suorum: This Clause in the Conclusion of the Charter, proves it by Implication to be an Act in (a) Form of a Charter. And lastly, it hath always had the Allowance of an Act of Parliament, and therefore ought to be so taken; which is a stronger Case than ours is, for here are full and express Words; and Anno 21 H. 3. brev. 881. in the Earl of Chester's Case, the 11 Chapter of Magna Charta, Quod Communia Placita non sequantur Curiam nostram, is cited to take away the Jurisdiction of the King's Bench; and this Grand Charter hath been allowed and confirmed above (b) thirty Times by Acts of Parliament. So the Act of 21 H. 3. de anno Bissextili begins, Rex Justiciariis suis de Banco, &c. in the Form of a Patent or Writ. The Statute of Protections Anno 33 E. 1. de conjunctim feoffatis, Anno 34 E. 1. which begins, Rex omnibus ad quos, &c. and concludes, In cujus rei testimonium has Literas nostras fieri fecimus Patentes Teste, &c. So the Stat. de Artic' Cleri 9 E. 2. begins, E. Dei gratia &c. Omnibus ad quos &c. and concludes, In cujus, &c. Teste, &c. Two Statutes made Anno 14 E. 3. one pro Clero, and the other concerning England, that it should not be in Subjection

pro dicta Magna Charta Archiepiscopi, Episcopi, Abbates, Priores, Comites, Barones, Milites, Liberi tenentes, & omnes de Regno nostro dederunt nobis quintodecimam partem omnium mobilium suorum: hæc clausula in conclusione Chartæ implicate probat illam esse Actum sub Chartæ formula: Semper denique habuit approbationem Actus Parliamenti, ideoque sic haberi debet; qui casus nostris fortior est, hic enim plena & expressa sunt verba; Et 21 Hen' 3. titulo Breve 881. in casu Comitis Cestriae, undecimum caput Magnæ Chartæ, Quod communia placita non sequantur Curiam nostram, citatur, ad Jurisdictionem Banci Regii tollendam: Et hæc Magna Charta approbata & confirmata fuerit statutis Parliamentariis supra trigiesies. Item, Actus de an. 21 Hen. 3. de an. Bissextili, incipit, Rex Justiciariis suis de Banco &c. sub formula diplomatis seu brevis. Statutum de Protectionibus, an. tricesimo tertio Ed. 1. de conjunctim feoffatis, anno tricesimo quarto Ed. 1. qd' incipit, Rex omnibus ad quos &c. & concludit, In cujus rei testimonium has literas nostras fieri fecimus patentes, & Teste, &c. Item statutum de Articulis Cleri 9 Ed. 2. exorditur, Edwar' Dei gratia &c. Omnibus ad quos &c. & desinit, In cujus rei &c. Teste &c. Dicitur stat. edita an. 14 Ed. 3. unum pro Clero, alterum de Angl' qd' non subiecta foret

(a) 2 Inst. 78.

(b) Co. Lit. 81. 2.

Gallia, & multa alia statuta parlamentaria edita sunt sub formula Chartæ Regiæ. Et determinatum fuit, hæc verba in Actu vel Charta (scilicet Auctoritate Parliamenti) sufficere ad illum faciendum Actum Parliamenti. *Bracton* Curiam Parliamenti appellat *Magnam Curiam*, *magni Consilii*, & *commune Consilium Regni*. Dicitur statutum, de an. Bissextili, est, *Rex per consilium fidelium subditorum*. Statutum de Bigamis, an. 4 Ed. 1. *In presentia venerabilium patrum quorundam Episcoporum Angl' & alior'*, de consilio Reg. 7 Ed. 1. de Religiosis, *De consilio Prælatorum, Comit', Baron', & aliorum fidelium Reg. nostri, de Consilio nostro existentium, providimus, statuimus, & ordinavimus*: & in statuti (ut dicam) fronte, *Dominus Rex in parlamento suo statuta edidit*. 13 E. 1. Statut' de Winton', in eodem statuto dicitur, *Domin' noster Rex, ad minuendum vires Felonum, statuit penam hoc casu &c. & in conclusione statuti antedicti, Rex mandat & prohibet, quod amodo neq; nundinum neq; mercatus teneatur in cœmiteriis*. 20 E. 1. Statutum de vocatis ad warrant', *Dominus Rex de communi consilio suo statuit*. Statutum de Appellatis 28 E. 1. *Domin' Rex in Parliament' Statuit*. 27 E. 3. cap. 1. de Stapula, cur' Parliam' nominatur Mag' consil'. Et multi Act', huc spectantes, ad demonstrand' varietat' Contextus Statu'

to France, and many other Acts of Parliament are made in the Form of the King's Charter. And it was resolved, That these Words in an Act, or Charter, (By Authority of Parliament) are sufficient to make it an Act of Parliament. *Bracton* calls the Court of Parliament, *Magna Curia*, *Magnum Consilium*, and *Commune Consilium regni*. The said Statute de anno Bissextili, is *Rex per Consilium fidelium subditorum*. The Statute de Bigamis, Anno 4 E. 1. In presentia venerabilium patrum quorundam Episcoporum Angliæ, & aliorum de Consilio Regis. 7 E. 1. De Religiosis, De Consilio Prælatorum, Comitum, Baronum, & aliorum fidelium regni nostri, de Consilio nostro existentium, providimus, statuimus & ordinavimus: And, as I may say in the Front of the Act, *Dom' Rex in Parlamento suo* (a) Statuta edidit. 13 E. 1. The Statute of Winchester, in the said Act it is said, *Our Lord the King, to abate the Power of Felons, hath established a Pain in this Case, &c. and in the End of the said Act the King commands and forbids, That from henceforth neither Fair nor Market be holden in Churchwards*. 20 E. 1. The Stat. De vocat. ad Warrant'. *Domin' Rex de* (b) *Communi Consilio suo statuit*. The Stat. de Appellatis 28 E. 1. *Dom' Rex in Parl'* (c) *statuit*. 27 Ed. 3. c. 1. (c) *Staple, the C. of Parl. is called the G. Counc. and many Acts to this Purpose were cited to shew the variety of Denning*

of Acts of Parliament: and the original Writs which are founded on any Statute say, Quare cum de Communi Consilio reg' nostri Ang' provisum sit &c. and the Writ on the Stat. of Labourers saith, Cum per Consilium nostrum pro communi utilitate regni ordinatum sit. 11 H. 7. 27. If an Act of Parliament be penned by assent of the King, and of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and of the Commons, or, if is enacted by Authority of Parliament, it is a good Act; but the most usual way is, that it is enacted by the King, by the Assent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and of the Commons, 7 H. 7. 14. a. b. & 34 Ed. 3. 12. acc. and there it is said, that there are many Statutes which are indited, Quod Dominus Rex statuit: Yet if they be entered in the Parliament (a) Roll, and always allowed for Acts of Parliament, it shall be (b) intended that it was by Authority of Parliament: But if an Act be penned, that the King with the Assent of the Lords, or with the Assent of the Commons, it is no Act of Parliament, for (c) three ought to assent to it, scil. the King, the Lords and the Commons, or otherwise it is not an Act of Parliament; and by the Record of the Act it is expressed which of them gave their Assent, and that excludes all other Intentments that any other gave their Assent: and so there is a Difference between a general and particular Penning of an Act of Parliament. Vide 8 H. 6. c. 29. and 5 R. 2. c. 2. of

torum Parliamenti citat' fuerunt. Et originalia brevia Regis, super aliquo fundata statuto, dicunt, *Quare cum de communi Consilio Regni nostri Ang' provisum sit &c.* & breve super stat' de Laborator' dic', *Cum per consilium nostrum pro communi utilitate reg. ordinat' sit.* 11 H. 7. 27. Si actus Parliam' scribatur, De assensu regis & Dominorum spiritual' & temporal' & communitat', vel, Inactit' est autoritate Parliam' hujusmodi valet act'; formalis vero magis est qui sancitur, per Reg' de assensu Dom' spirit' & temp' & Communit': 7 H. 7. 14. & 39 E. 3. 12. cum hoc concordant: & ibid' dicit', quod multa sunt statut' quæ scribunt', *Domin' Rex statuit*, si tamen rotulo Parliamento intrentur, & semp' ut act' Parliament' approbentur, intendetur hæc autoritat' Parliamenti fuisse: Si vero Actus scribatur, Rex cum assensu Dom' vel cum assensu Communitat', iste nullus est Parliamenti Actus; huic enim tres assentire debent, scilicet, Rex, Domin', & Communitas, aliter actus Parliamenti non est; & per Recordum Act' exprimitur quis horum assensit, & hoc omnes intentiones (quod aliqui alii assenserunt) excludit. Et sic est diversitas inter generalem & particularem contextum Actus Parliament'. Vide 8 H. 6. c. 29. & 5 Ric. 2. c. 2. de Fugitivis, 21 E. 3. 6. Episcopi Norvicensis casum. Et hoc ipso Parliament' de

(a) Co. Lit. 98. a. b.
 (b) Co. Lit. 98. b.
 (c) Plowd. 75. a. b. Dyer 144. pl. 60. Moor 824. Co. Lit. 159. b. Hob. 111.

11 Ed. 3. Hen' fil' *Henric* Comitis Lancastriæ, creatus fuit per chart' (*ad requisitionem Prælatorum & Procerum & Communitat' Regni nostri in instante Parlamento nostro apud Westm' convocat'*) in comitem Derb', sibi & hæred' masculis de corpore suo: Item per aliam Chartam Rex creat *Willihelm' de Bohun* (*de communi assensu Prælatorum, Comit', Baronum, & aliorum, de consilio n'ro in presentiz Parliam' n'ro*) in Com' Northampt', sibi & hæred' de corpore suo: Et isto eod' Parliam' per chart' creat *Hugonem de Audely* in Com' Gloucest', *de definito dict' Parliam' n'ri consilio, &c.* Et per chart' in verb' similib', Rex in eod' Parliam' creat *Willihelimum Clynton* in Com' Huntingd' in feodo talliat', *Robert' de Ufford* in Com' Suff' in feodo simplici, *Willihelm' de Monte acuto* in Com' Sar' in feod' simplici: & hæ omnes fuerunt creationes cum donationib' terrar' (*ad sustinendum nomen & onus*) per auctoritatem Parliam', sub formula chartæ Reg' cum conclusione de *Hiiis testibus*, & eor' nonnullæ cum subscriptione, *Per Regem & Consilium in Parliam.* aliæ vero, *Per Regem & Consilium in pleno Parliam.* & aliæ etiam, *Per ipsum Regem & totum Consilium in Parliam' &c.* quæ o'nes vim habent unam & eandem. Et hæc fuerunt argumenta collecta ex ipsa charta, & aliis statutis Parliamenti, quæ scribuntur in forma Chartæ Regis.

Fugitives: 21 E. 3. 6. The Bishop of Norwich his Case. And at this very Parliament of 11 E. 3. Henry, Son of Henry, Earl of Lancaster, was created by Charter, ad requisitionem Prælatorum, & Procerum & Communitatis regni nostri in instante Parlamento nostro apud Westm' convocat' to be Earl of Derby, to him and the Heirs Males of his Body. Also by another Charter, the King created *William de Bohun*, de communi assensu Prælat', Comit', Baronum, & aliorum de Consilio nostro in præsentiz Parlamento nostro, *Earl of Northampton*, to him and the Heirs of his Body. And at the same Parliament, he by Charter created *Hugh de Audley* Earl of Gloucester, de definito dicti Parliam' nostri Consilio, &c. And by Charters with the like Words, the King at the same Parliament created *Will. de Clynton* Earl of Huntingdon in Tail, *Robert de Ufford* Earl of Suffolk in Fee-simple, *Will. de Monte acuto* Earl of Salisbury in Fee-simple; and all these were Creations with Donations of Lands, ad sustinend' nomen & onus, by Authority of Parliament, in Form of the King's Charter, with the Conclusion of Hiiis testibus, and some with such Subscription, *Per Regem & Consil' in Parlamento;* and some, *Per Regem & Consilium in pleno Parlamento;* and others, *Per ipsum Regem & totum Consil' in Par. &c.* all which are of one and the same Ef. And those were the Proofs col. out of the Char. it

it self, and other Acts of Parliament which are penned in the Form of the King's Charter.

2. The same is proved by Letters Patents, as Ex rotulo Patentium de anno 14 E. 3. num. 18. which was within three Years after the Charter: The King granted to the Prince, by the Name of Edward Duke of Cornwall, &c. to be Lieutenant of the Realm so long as the King should be beyond the Sea. In anno 21 E. 3. ex rot' patent' in Turre, The said Prince (within Ten Years after his Creation) for the Fine of a Thousand Marks, did demise to Tideman de Limbergh, Cuna-gium Stannariæ totius Ducatus Cornubiæ pro tribus annis, necnon emptionem totius Stanni tam infra dictum Ducatum Cornubiæ quam Com' Devon' fossi & fodendi quod vendi debet, Reddendo annuatim three Thousand Marks; which the Prince could not have done, if he had but an Estate at will, as a greater he had not, if the said Charter had not the Force of an Act of Parliament. Other Let. Pat. were cited in 5 H. 4. ex rot' patent' ibidem, Rex, &c. Sciatis quod Regum Angliæ primogeniti filii in Ducatum Cornub' hæreditarie sunt successuri: And divers other Pat. were cited to the same Effect.

3. The same is proved by Judgments and Resolutions of Judges: In (a) 18 Aff. pl. 5. Thorp saith, That it was adjudged in Parliament, That if a Gift be made to one and to his

2. Hoc probatur literis patentib', sicuti ex rotulo patent' de anno 14 E. 3. Nu. 18. (quod fuit infra tres ann' post consecutionem chartæ) Rex concessit Principi, per nomen *Edwardi Ducis Cornub'*, quod Locum tenens esset regni tam diu quam Rex foret ultra mare. In anno 21 *Ed. 3.* ex rot' Patentium in Turre, dictus Princeps (infra decem annos post creationem suam) pro fine mille marcarum, dimisit cuidam Tideman de Limberg *Cunagium Stannariæ totius Ducatus Cornubiæ pro tribus annis, necnon emptionem totius Stanni tam infra dictum Ducatum Cornubiæ quam com' Devon' fossi & fodendi, quod vendi debet, Reddend' annuatim 3000 marcas:* quod Princeps facere non potuit, si habuisset statum solummodo ad voluntatem, sicuti majorem non habuerat, si dicta charta in se non habuisset vim Actus parliamenti. Alia liter' parentes citabantur in an' 5 *H. 4.* ex rotul' Patentium ibidem, *Rex, &c. Sciatis quod regum Angliæ primogeniti filii in Ducatum Cornub' hæreditarie sunt successuri.* Et diversa alia literæ patentes citabantur in hoc propositum.

3. Hoc etiam probatur Judiciis & Determinationibus Judiciariis. 18 *Aff. pl. 5. Thorpe* dicit, quod adjudicatum fuit in Parlamento, si donatio facta sit alicui & hæredibus suis masculis, quod sores & alii hæredes. colla-

(a) Roym. 55.

collaterales perinde atque hæredes masculi, hæreditabant, quoniam per istiusmodi donationem habet feodum simplex: & inferebatur, quod tal' determinatio bene esse potest ad parlamentum in anno undecimo *Edwardi tertii*, ubi dict' creatio princip' & annexatio distarum terrarum perpendebantur: Et quoniam hoc effici non potuit donatione vel concessione, consulebatur quod fieret Actu Parliamenti; eo quod non fuit aliquis huiusmodi descensus vel cursus hæreditarius ad communem Legem. 21 *Edward'* 3. 41. manerio de Berkhamsted per dictam chartam Ducatus Cornubiæ annexo) Judices approbant donationem dicti maner' fore in lege validam Principi; quod esse non potuit (ut sæpi' dictum fuit) si ill' donatio non habuisset vim actus parliamenti. 39 *E.* 3. 12. Rex anno undecimo regni sui ad parlamentum suum tentum apud Westmon' præfecit Principem in Ducem Cornubiæ, & diversos alios in Comites regni sui; inter quos fuit *Willihelmus de Monte acuto*, comes de Sarum. Et in 43 *Aff. pla.* 15. 45 *Aff. pla.* 6. Donatio & annexatio possessionum dicti Ducatus, Principi' concessarum per dictam Chartam, approbantur fore in Lege validæ. 50 *E.* 3. inter Record' in Turre de eodem anno, *Johanna* uxor dicti Principis dotata fuit in

Heirs (a) Heals, that his Sisters and other Heirs collateral, as well as Heirs Heals, shall be inheritable, because by such Gift he hath Fee simple; and it was inferred, that such Resolution might well be at the Parliament 11 *Edw.* 3. where the said Creation of the Prince, and Annexion of the said Lands, were in Consideration: And because it could not take Effect by Gift or Grant, it was advised that it should be by Act of Parliament, because there was not any such Descend or Course of Inheritance by the Common Law. 21 *E.* 3. 41. the Manor of Berkhamsted was by the said Charter annexed to the said Duchy, the Judges there allowed the Gift of the said Manor to be good to the Prince, which could not be, as it hath been often said; if it had not the Force of an Act of Parliament. 39 *Ed.* 3. 12. The King, anno 11. of his Reign, at his Parliament held at Westminster, made the Prince Duke of Cornwall, and many others, Earls of this Realm, amongst which was Will. de Monte acuto, Earl of Salisbury. And in 43 *Aff. pl.* 15. & 45 *Aff. pl.* 6. the Gift and Annexion of the Possessions of the said Dukedom, granted by the said Charter to the Prince, was allowed to be good. 50 *E.* 3. inter record' in the Tower, in the same Year Johan the Wife of the said Prince, was, by Order of Law endowed in Chan-
cery,

(a) Raymond 55. Dav. 34. b. 35. a. 43. a. 27 H. 8. 27. a. Co. Lit. 13. a. 27. a. b. Br. De-ville 1. Br. Estates 2. 32. 9 H. 6. 25. a. 18 E. 3. 45. b. 2 And. 138, 156. 1 Co. 43. b. 46. a. 49. a. 7 Co. 40. b. Moor 416. Hob. 224. Plow. 251. a. 335. a. Lit. Sect. 31. 1 Roll. 860. 1 Bullfr. 10. 222. 1 Mod. Rep. 196. B. N. C. 5. 1 Brownl. 45. 2 Brownl. 334.

cery, of the Possessions granted by the said Charter to the Prince her Husband; ergo, the Charter did convey an Estate of Inheritance to the Prince. Anno 5 H. 4. ex Rot' Parl' the same Year a Petition in Parliament was exhibited by the Commons, for the Resumption of all Grants and Letters Patents before made, of the Lands of the said Duchy of Cornwall, to which the King and the Lords with great Gravity and Justice, knowing the Danger such private Bills would introduce, although it concerned the King's eldest Son (an excellent Precedent to give a Caveat to pass few or no private Bills between Subject and Subject, but to refer them either to the Courts of Equity, or of Law, where the Cause may be duly examined, and upon Deliberation discerned and adjudged) gave such Answer, It is agreed by the King and the Lords in Parliament, that the said Lord the Prince, by Advice of his Council, may have Writs of Scire facias, or other Recovery, the best he may have by the Statutes and Laws of the Kingdom, according as the Case requires: And thereupon a (a) Scire facias was brought in the Name of the King, at the Petition of the Prince, Trin. 5 H. 4. in the Chancery, to repeal a Grant which Rich. 2. made (and which was confirmed by King the Fourth) of the Manor of Riberghe in the Count. of Ox' Parcel of the said Dut. to Sir Lewis Clifford, and

Cancellaria de possessionibus, Principi, suo marito, per dictam Chart' concessis: Ergo ill' chart' dat Principi stat' hæreditarium. Anno 5 H. 4. ex rot' Parliam. eodem an' petitio in Parliamentum exhibita fuit per communitatem, ad resumendas omnes concessiones & literas patentes ante tunc factas de terris dicti Ducatus Cornubiæ: ad quam Rex, & Domini, summa cum gravitate & justitia (scientes periculum quod bill' hujusm' privat' introducerent) quamquam spestat ad fil' Reg. natu maxim' (singulare exempl' admonition' dandæ, quod perpauca aut nulla edantur billæ privat' int' subdit' & subitum, sed poti' quod referantur ad cur' vel Equitat', vel Legis, ubi causa & recte exequi, & deliberatione discerni & adjudicari potest) responderunt, accordatum est per regem & dominos in Parl', quod dict' Dominus Princeps de advisamento concilii sui habeat breviam de Scire fac' vel aliam recuperation', optimam quam habere potest per stat' & Leges reg', secund' hoc quod casus requir'. Et sup' hoc brev' de Sci' fac' latum fuit Reg' nomine ad petitio' Principis Trin' 5 H. 4. in Cancellaria ad repellendum concessionem factam per Ric' 2. (& confirmatam per regem H. 4.) de manerio de Risberghè in com' Oxon', parcell' dicti Ducatus, Ludovico Clifford milit' & hæredib' suis,

(a) 2 Roll. 192.

fuis, qui returnat' fuit sum-
 monit', & fecit defaultam, *Per*
quod de advisament' Justicia-
riorum, &c. consideratum fuit
tunc & ibidem, quod litera
præd' præfato Ludovico ut
præfertur factæ. revocentur &
adnullentur, &c. Aliud bre-
ve de Scire fac' latum fuit
 anno 6 H. 4. Regis nomi-
 ne, ad petitionem Princi-
 pis, in Cancell', ad repel-
 lendam concessionem fac-
 tam per Regem Rich. 2. (&
 confirmatam per Reg' H. 4.)
 de manerio de Heleston in
 Kerier in comitatu Cornu-
 biæ, Nicholao Sarnfield mi-
 liti, & Margareta uxori e-
 jus, pro vita eorum; & ad
 return' brevis de Scire fac',
 scilicet, octab' Hill', Prin-
 cept per Tho. Beston Attor'
 suum apparet, & dicta etiam
 Margeret', marito suo de-
 functo, Et prædict' Princeps
 petit quod litera prædicta in
 forma prædicta factæ revocen-
 tur & adnihilentur, & quod
 prædict' manerium, &c. in ma-
 nus Domini Regis seisiatur, &
 eidem Principi tanquam mem-
 brum & parcell' Ducatus præ-
 dicti juxta formam & effec-
 tum dictorum doni, concessio-
 nis, & unionis præfati avi
 Domini Regis, habendum &
 tenendum, liberetur: Et hoc
 Recordum liberat' fuit per
 Cancellarium in bancum Reg-
 is (Gascoigne tunc temporis
 existen' capitali Justiciario)
 & super hoc Margareta pla-
 citando, producit Conces-
 sionem Reg' Ric. 2. sibi
 pro vita factam, & preca-
 tur in auxilium de Rege,
 & habuit, &c. Et Curia
 dat' diem, &c. Et Princeps

his Heirs, who was returned,
 summoned, and made Default;
 Per quod de advisament' Justi-
 ciarum, &c. consideratum fuit
 tunc & ibidem, quod Litera
 prædict' præfat' Ludovico ut
 præfertur factæ revocentur &
 adnullentur, &c. Another (a)
 Scire facias was brought An' 6.
 H. 4. in the Name of the
 King, at the Petition of the
 Prince, in the Chancery, to
 repeal a Grant made by King
 Richard the Second, and con-
 firmed by King Henry the
 Fourth, of the Manor of He-
 leston, in Kerier in the Coun-
 ty of Cornwall, to Nicholas (b)
 Sarnfield, Knight, and Marg-
 aret his Wife, for their Lives,
 and at the Return of the Scire
 facias, sc. Octa' Hill', the Prince
 by Thomas Beston his Attor-
 ney, appeared, and the said
 Margaret also, her Husband
 being dead, Et prædictus Prin-
 cept petit quod Litera præ-
 dicta in forma prædicta factæ
 revocentur & adnihilentur, &
 quod prædictum manerium, &c.
 in manus Domini Regis seisiatur,
 & eidem Principi tanquam
 membrum & parcell' Ducatus
 prædicti juxta formam & effec-
 tum dictorum doni, concessio-
 nis, & unionis præfati avi
 Domini Regis, habendum &
 tenendum, liberetur. And
 that Record was delivered by
 the Chancelloz into the King's
 Bench (Gascoigne being then
 Chief Justice) and thereupon
 Margeret pleaded the Grant of
 King Richard the Second,
 to her for Life, and prayed
 in Aid of the King, and
 had it, &c. and the Court gave
 Day, &c. And the Prince
 at

(a) 2 Sand. 25.
 Hill. 6 H. 4. in
 Banco Regis, rot.
 68.

(b) 2 Sand. 25.

at the Day brought a Proceedendo in loquela, dum tamen ad iudicium reddendum Domino Rege inconsulto nullatenus procederetur: and thereupon Margaret made Default, and thereupon Day is given by the Court to the Prince, &c. At which Day the Prince brought a (a) Proceedendo ad iudicium, upon which the Court gave Judgment as follows: Consideratum est, quod literæ prædictæ in forma præd' factæ revocentur & annullentur, & quod dictum manerium, &c. in manus Domini Regis seisiatur, & eidem Principi tanquam membrum & parcel' Ducatus præd' juxta formam & effectum dict' doni, concessionis & unionis præfati avi dicti Regis, habendum & tenendum liberetur. And in Mich. 30 Hen. 8. in memorandis Scaccarii, Rot. 16. the Record saith, Ad Parliament' 11 E. 3. ten' inter alia ordinatum & inactitatum fuit, quod Comit' Cornubiæ imperpetuum moraretur ut Ducatus fil' senior' Regum Angliæ qui essent Hæred' propinquiores Regni absque aliquo modo donari, &c. And there is no Opinion in Law against it; for the Case in 1 Mar. 94. a. b. Dyer is such (the Record of which I have seen, which is entred Trin. 7 E. 6. Rot. 303.) In Replevin by Thomas Chafine, Esq; against the Lord Stourton, for taking, &c. at Mere in the County of Wilts, the Defendant did avow for Damage Hesant; and because the Place where doth contain 200 Acres of L. whereof

(a) 2 Sand. 25,
26.

ad diem protulit breve de Proceedendo in loquela, dum tamen ad iudicium reddendum. Rege inconsulto nullatenus procederetur; & super hoc Margaret' fecit default, & inde dies dat' est per cur' Principi, &c. Ad quem diem Princeps protulit brev' de Proceedendo ad iudicium; super quo Cur' iudicium reddidit, ut sequitur: Consideratum est, quod literæ præd' in forma præd' factæ revocentur & annullentur, & quod dict' manerium, &c. in manus dom' Reg' seisiatur, & eid' Principi tanquam membrum & parcel' Ducatus præd' juxta formam & effectum dictorum doni, concessionis, & unionis præfati avi dict' Reg' habendum & tenendum, liberetur. Et in Mich. 30 H. 8. in memorand' Scaccar' rot' 16. Record' dicit, Ad parl' 11 Edw' 3. ten', inter alia, ordinat' & inactit' fuit, quod com' Cornub' imperpet' moraretur ut Ducatus fil' senior' Reg' Angliæ qui essent hæredes propinquior' reg' absque aliquo modo donari, &c. Et in lege nulla est opinio contra hoc: casus enim ante primo Mar' fol. 94. Dyer, est, (cujus record' ego vidi, quod intratur Trinitat' 7 Edw. 6. rot' 303.) In Replegiar' per Thom' Chafine armigerum versus domin' Stourton pro captione, &c. apud Mere in comitatu Wilts, defendens facit advocacionem pro damno facto, & pro eo quod locus ubi, &c. continent 200 acras terræ, de quibus Rex Henric' 8. fuit seistus in feodo, & an-

anno 35. ejusdem H. 8. dimisit cuidam Pyfter pro annis, qui eos concessit Dom' Stourton: in barram cujus advocacionis dict' Chafine quer' placitat, *Quod Dominus Edward' quondam Rex Ang' tertius, progenitor Domini Reg' nunc, fuit seifilius de manerio de Mere in comitatu præd' unde, &c. in domin' suo ut de feodo in jure Corona sue Angl', & sic inde seifilius existens, ad Parliamentum suum tentum apud Westmonast' in com' Middlesex', die Luna proxim' post fest' Sancti Mathie Apostoli, anno regni sui 11. inter cetera, per assensum omnium tam Prælatorum, Comitum, Baronum, & alior', quam genitum de communitat', accordatum fuit, quod pro honore dict' nuper regis & terr' sue, & ad fortificationem ejusdem, & pro eo quod ex antiquo esset unus Dux Cornubiæ quod dictus quondam Rex præficeret Dominum Edward' filium suum, ad tunc comitem Cestriæ, Ducem Cornubiæ, & quod filius senior Regum Angliæ, videlicet, illi qui essent proximi hæredes de regn' Angliæ, essent Ducès Cornubiæ, & quod dictus comitatus datus esset dicto Domino Edwardo in nomine Ducatus, & quod dictus Comitatus Cornub' imperpetuum moraretur ut ducatus filiorum seniorum Regum Angliæ qui essent proximi hæredes Regni absque aliter donat' existen', prout in eodem actu, inter alia, plenius continetur: Posteaque prædictus nuper Rex Edwardus tertius seifilius existens de præd' maner' de Mere*

King H. 8. was seised in Fee; and 35 H. 8. demised to one Pyfter for Years, who granted it to the Lord Stourton; In Bar of which Abovry, the said Plaintiff Chafine pleaded, Quod Dominus Edward quondam Rex Angliæ tertius, progenitor domini regis nunc, fuit seifilius de manerio de Mere in Comitatu prædicto unde, &c. in Dominico suo ut de feodo in jure Corona sue Angliæ, & sic inde seifilius existens, ad Parliamentum suum tentum apud Westmonast' in comit' Midd', die Luna proxim' post festum Sancti Mathie Apostoli ann' regni sui undecimo, int' cetera, per assensum omnium tam prælator', comitum, baronum, & aliorum, quam genitum de communitate, accordatum fuit, Quod pro honore dicti nuper regis & ter' sue, & ad fortificationem ejusdem, & pro eo quod ex antiquo esset unus Dux Cornubiæ, quod dict' quondam rex præficeret Dominum Edward' filium suum ad tunc comit' Cestriæ, Ducem Cornub', & quod filius senior regum Angl', viz. illi qui essent proxim' hæred' de Regno Angliæ, essent Ducès Cornub', & quod dictus comit' dar' esset dicto domin' Edward' in nomine ducatus, & quod dict' com' Cornubiæ imperpetuum moraretur ut Ducatus filior' senior' regum Angl' qui essent proxim' hæred' Regni absque aliter donat' existen', prout in eod' actu inter alia plenius continetur: Posteaque præd' nuper Rex E. 3. seifilius existens de præd' maner' de Mere

cum

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

cum pertinentiis unde, &c. in dominico suo ut de feodo & jure, ut in jure Coronæ suæ Angliæ, per literas suas patentes, quarum dat' est apud Westmonaster' decimo septimo die Martii anno Regni sui II. & in curia hic prolat', recitans per eandem, quod cum nuper personam dilecti & fidelis ipsius nuper Regis Edward' tunc comitis Cestriæ filii sui primogeniti honorare volens, eidem filio suo nomen & honorem Ducis Cornubiæ dederit, ipsumque in ducem Cornubiæ præfecerit, & gladio cinxerit, ut deceret, Dederit & concesserit, & per eandem literas suas patentes pro se & hæredib' suis confirmaverit, eidem filio suo sub nomine & honore Ducis dicti loci, inter alia, prædictum manerium de Mere cum pertinentiis: Habendum & tenendum eidem nuper Duci; & ipsius & hæred' suorum Regum Angliæ filiis primogenitis, dicti loci ducibus, in regno Angliæ hæreditarie successur': quod quidem manerium cum pertinentiis idem nuper Rex Edward' 3. prædicto ducati pro se & hæredibus suis per prædictas literas patent', inter alia, annexit & univit eidem imperpetuum remansur', ita quod, &c. **And further pleaded the Cause of Revivification, as in the Charter: By Force of which Act, and of the said Letters Patents, the said Edward the Prince** fuit seistus de manerio prædicto, inter alia eidem ducati unit' & annex' & ejusdem ducatus parcell' in dominico suo ut

cum pertinentiis unde, &c. in Dominico suo ut de feodo & jure, ut in jure Coronæ suæ Angliæ, per literas suas patentes, quarum dat' est apud Westmonaster' xvii. die Martii anno Regni sui undecimo, & in Curia hic prolat', recitans per eandem, quod cum nuper personam dilecti et fidelis ipsius nuper Regis Ed. tunc comitis Cestriæ filii sui primogeniti honorare volens: eidem filio suo nomen & honorem Ducis Cornubiæ dederit, ipsumque in Ducem Cornubiæ præfecerit, & gladio cinxerit, ut deceret, Dederit & concesserit, & per eandem literas suas patentes pro se & hæredibus suis confirmaverit, eidem filio suo sub nomine & honore Ducis dicti loci, inter alia, prædictum manerium de Mere cum pertinentiis: Habendum & tenendum eidem nuper Duci, & ipsius & hæredum suorum Regum Angliæ filiis primogenitis, dicti loci ducibus, in Regno Angliæ hæreditarie successur'; Quod quidem manerium cum pertinentiis idem nuper Rex Edward' tertius prædicto Ducati pro se & hæredibus suis per prædict' literas patentes, inter alia, annexit & univit eidem imperpetuum remansur', ita qd' &c. Et ulter' placitat clausulam Revivificat' prout in charta: Virtute'cujus act' & dict' literar' patent' dict' Princeps Edw' fuit seistus de manerio præd' inter alia, eidem Ducat' uniu' & an' & ejusd' Ducat' parcell' in dom' suo ut de feodo & jur' Et post' dict' Prin' Ed' obiit,

obiit, per quod Rex *Ed* 3. fuit seifit' de dicto manerio in feodo: Et quod ille obiit, & idem manerium descende-
 bat Regi *H. 8.* ut consanguineo & hæredi ipsius *E. 3.*
 Et postea Rex *H. 8.* exitum habuit *E. 6.* filium suum primogenitum; per qd' ille fuit Dux Cornubiæ &c. Et postea Rex *H. 8.* fecit dimissionem dicto *Pyfter* prout &c. Et postea Rex *Ed. 6.* an' 4. dimisit dictas 200 acras dict' *Chafine* pro 21 annis. Super quo partes morabant' in lege; & quia dict' charta Reg' *E. 3.* placitabat' sine autoritate seu vi Parliam', ibid' videbat', primo, quod Princeps non habuit nisi statum ad voluntatem: 2. qd' Rex non potuit unire & annectere dict' manerium dicto Ducatui per literas patentes absq; autoritate Parliamenti, & idem facere parcel-
 lamm Ducat', & mutare formam & cursum Ducat': 3. *Per mortem Ducis Cornubiæ*, manerium illud ad regem devenit ut eschaeta pro defectu Ducis & primogeniti filii, & deinde annexum fuit & reunit' Coronæ loco Dom', & per nativitatem alicujus alius filii non potest separari &c. Et totum hoc movebat' tantum per Jurisconsultum; liber enim est, *Et Justic' nqluerunt arguere istum casum ut credo, propter pregnantiam Mariæ Regina.* Si vero dict' opinio admit-
 teretur, nihil tamen probat cont' hanc determinatione'; dict' enim actus in placito memorat' nihil transfert, sed chartam oportet dictum

ut de feodo & jure: And afterwards the said Prince Edward died, by which King *Edw. 3.* was seised of the said Manor in Fee, and that he died, and the same descended to King Henry the Eighth, ut consanguineo & hæredi ipsius *Edw. 3.* and afterwards King Hen. 8. had Issue *Edw. 6.* his first begotten Son, by which he was Duke of Cornwall, &c. And afterwards King Hen. 8. made the Lease to *Pyfter*, prout, &c. And afterwards King *Ed. 6.* anno 4. demised the said two hundred Acres to *Chafine* for one and twenty Years, upon which it was demurred in Law. And because the said Charter of King *Edw. 3.* was pleaded (without authority or force of Parliament) there it seemed, 1. That the Prince had but an Estate at will. 2. That the King could not unite and annex the said Manor to the said Duchy by Letters Patents without authority of Parliament, and make it Parcel of the Duchy, and to alter the Form and Course of the Duchy. 3. *Per mortem Ducis Cornubiæ*, the Manor came to the King as an Escheat for want of a Duke and his first begotten Son, and was then knit and rejoined to the Crown in lieu of the Seigniorie, and by the Birth of any other Son it could not be severed, &c. And all that was moved only by one of the Council; for the Book saith, *Et Justic' nolu' arguere istu' casu'*, ut credo, propt' pregn' *M. Reg'.* But if the said Opin. should be admit. yet it proves nothing against this Resol. for the said Act
 E mentioned

mentioned in the Plea passes nothing, but the Charter ought to pass the said Manor, and the same cannot pass in such manner without Authority of Parliament, nor can the Charter alone annex the said Manor to be Parcel of the Duchy, and the Charter was not pleaded to be made by Authority of Parliament. And as to the Opinion in the Case of Alton-Woods, in the first Part of my Reports, it is there also admitted, that the said Grant was only by Charter.

4. It is proved by Judgments and Resolutions in Parliament, That the said Charter of Creation hath the Authority and Force of Parliament; 1. Ex Rot' Parliam' anno 5 Hen. 4. a Judgment given in Parliament against Sir John Cornwall and his Wife, Countess of Huntingdon, for certain Manors which she had of the Duchy of Cornwall, and which were annexed to it: Also by the Act of 33 H. 6. which see inter originalia de 35 H. 6. rot' 29. ex remem' Thesaurar' in Scaccario: Et ex Rot' Parliam' de 9 H. 5. where it is resolved and affirmed by both Acts of Parliament, that the said King Ed. 3. by his Charter 17 Mar' an. 11 E. 3. of the common Assent and Counsel of the Prelates, Bishops, Barons, and others of his Council in his Parliam. gave, &c. as in the Chart. And it is provided by the same Act of Parliam. of 9 H. 5. That the Manor of Istelworth, which was annexed by the said Char. &c. should be

manerium transferre; & tali modo transferri non potuit sine autoritate Parliam' neq; chart' tantum dictum manerium ut parcel' ducatus annexere potuit: & chart' non placitata fuit fieri autoritate Parl'. Et quoad opinionem in casu de Alton-Woods in prima mearum Relationum parte, ibidem etiam admittitur, qd' dicta concessio fuit per chartam tantum.

4. Probatum Judicii & determination' in Parliam' quod dict' charta creationis habet autoritatem & vim Parliam': Ex rot' Parl' an. 5 H. 4. Judicio in Parliam' reddito versus Johan' Cornwall mil' & uxorem ejus Comitissam de Huntingdon, de quibusdam maner' (quæ ill' habuit) Ducatus Cornubiæ pertin', & eidem annexis: Actu etiam de an. 33 H. 6. quem vide inter originalia de 35 H. 6. rot' 29. ex' remem' Thesaur' in Scaccario: Et ex rot' Parliam' de anno 9 H. 5. ubi determinatum & affirmatum fuit per utrosque Actus Parliamenti, quod dictus Rex Ed. 3. per Chartam suam 17 die Martii anno 11 Ed. 3. de communi assensu & consilio prælatorum, Comitum, Baronum, & aliorum, de suo concilio in Parlamento suo, dedit &c. prout in charta. Et provisum est per eundem Actum Parliamenti de anno 9 H. 5. qd' manerium de Istelworth, quod per dict' Chart' annexebatur &c. rursus separaretur

raretur & disjungeretur &c. in quo res duæ observatæ fuerunt: 1. quod dict' charta Regis E. 3. habet authoritatem & vim Parl': quod dictum manerium de Istellworth sic annectebatur &c. quod separari seu disjungi non potuerat, si non per actum Parliamenti. Et totum hoc affirmatur etiam per alium Parliament' Actum anno 38 H. 6. ex rot' Parl' Vide Actum de 3 E. 4. ex rot' Parliament', & actum de 22 E. 4. ex eodem rot', de excambio quodam prælongo inter Regem & Comitem de Huntingdon', qui Act' revocatur per quendam Actum anno 11 H. 7. editum. Et vide Actum de 1 H. 7. ex rot' Parliamenti de eodem anno (pars cujus placitatur per *Hele* Servientem ad legem & *Warwicum Hele*) per quem inactitatum est, quod Rex Hen. 7. haberet & teneret Ducatum Cornub' &c. sibi & hæredibus suis in tam amplo & largo modo & forma &c. prout Reges H. 6. vel E. 4. aut eorum alter, gavißi fuerunt dict' maneria &c. sed omiserunt hanc subsequentem clausulam, scil. *And be it also ordained & established by the same Authority, that whensoever our Sovereign Lord, by the Grace of God, have first a Son of his Body lawfully begotten, that the same Son and Prince have and enjoy the said Duchy of Cornwall, &c. in as ample and large Form and Manner as any Prince first begotten Son of any King hath had*

sever'd and disannexed; in which two Things were observed: 1. That the said Charter of King Ed. 3. hath the Authority and Force of Parliament. 2. That the said Manors of Istellworth was so annexed, &c. that it could not be severed or disannexed but by Act of Parliament: And all this is likewise affirmed by another Act of Parliament, anno 38 Hen. 6. ex Rot' Parliamenti. Vide the Act of 3 Ed. 4. ex Rot' Parliamenti, and the Act of 22 Edw. 4. ex eodem Rot' of a very long exchange between the King and the Earl of Huntingdon, which Act is revoked by an Act made 11 H. 7. And see the Act of 1 H. 7. ex Rot' Parliamenti of the same Year. (Part of which is pleaded by Hele Serjeant, and Warwick Hele) by which it is enacted, that Hen. 7. should have and hold the Dukedome of Cornwall, &c. to him and his Heirs, in as large and ample Manner and Form, &c. as the Kings H. 6. or Ed. 4. or any of them enjoyed the said Manors, &c. But they omitted this subsequent Clause, scilicet, And be it also ordained and established by the same Authority, that whensoever our Sovereign Lord, by the Grace of God, have first a Son of his Body lawfully begotten, that the same Son and Prince have and enjoy the said Duchy of (a) Cornwall, &c. in as ample and large Form and Manner as any Prince, first begotten Son of any King, hath had or enjoyed

(a) 1 Bulst 133

joyed before this Act. And all that which hath been said, is resolved and affirmed by Authozity of Parliament, anno 32 H. 8. mentioned in the Scire facias: Wherefoze it was resolved by the Lord Chancellor, and the said Justices, That the said Charter hath the Authozity and Foze of an Act of Parliament.

2. Point.

As to the second Point it was resolved, That the Charter having the Authozity and Foze of Parliament, is sufficient in itself, without any other Act; and if the King's Scire facias hath sufficient Matter it shall never abate for Surplussage not Material. Vide 4 Hen. 6. 16. b. 45 E. 3. 6. a. 40 Ass. pl. 26. Vide the Act of 9 Hen. 5. for there it is affirmed by the whole Parliament, That at the Parliament held at Westminster, the Monday next after the Feast of Saint Matthias the Apostle, in the 11 Year of the Reign of King Edward the Third, amongst other Things, it was agreed, That the eldest Sons of the Kings of England, scilicet, those who should be next Heirs to the Realm of England, should be Dukes of (a) Cornwall, and that the County of Cornwall should always remain as a Duchy to the eldest Sons of the Kings of England, who should be next Heirs to the said Realm, without being given elsewhere. And thereupon King Edward by his Charter 17 Martii then next following, of common

or enjoyed before this Act. Et totum hoc qd' dictum fuerit, determinatum est & affirmatum per authoritat' Parl' anno 32 H. 8. memorati in brevi de Scire facias. Unde determinat' fuit per dominum Cancellar' & dictos Justiciarios, qd' dicta charta in se habet authoritat. & vim Actus Parliamentarii.

Quoad secundum Articulum determinat' fuit, quod dict' charta, in se habens authoritat' & vim Parl' sufficiens est ex seipsa sine aliquo alio Actu; & breve hoc de Sci' fac' qd' Rex protulit, si materiam in se habeat sufficient', non cadet ob redundantia' nulli' momenti. Vide 4 H. 6. f. 16. b. 45 E. 3. 6. a. & 40 Ass. pl. 26. Vide actum antedictum de anno 9 Hen. 5. ibidem enim affirmatur per totum Parliamentum, quod ad Parliament' tent' apud Westm' die Lunæ proxim' post festum sancti Mathie Apostoli An. Regni Regis Ed. 3. 11. inter alia, accordatum fuit, quod filii seniores Regum Angl', scilicet, illi qui essent proxim' hæredes Regni Angliæ, essent Duces Cornub': & quod com' Cornubiæ imperpetuum moraretur ut Ducatus filiorum seniorum Regum Angliæ qui essent proxim' hæredes dicti Regni, absque aliter donat' existen': Et super hoc Rex idem Edwardus per chartam suam 17 Die Martii tunc proxim' sequent' de com' assensu & consilio Prelatorum,

(a) 1 Bulstr. 133.

torum, Comitum, Baronum, & aliorum, de consilio suo in dicto Parliam' existentium, dedit &c. Curia vero iudicium suum fundarunt super Chartam Regis cum autoritat' & assensu Parliamenti: quæ de se ipsa sufficit.

Quoad tertium Articulum, determinatum fuit, quod Princeps habet statum de feodo simplici in dicto ducatu: omnis enim status hæreditarius, est aut feodum simplex, aut feodum talliatum, & status hic non est talliatus, non enim limitatur vel restringitur, verb' sive expressis sive æquipollentibus hæreditibus de corpore Principis, donatio enim est, Principi & ipsius & hæredum suorum Reg' Angl' filiis primogenitis, ita quod illum, qui hæreditabit, oportet esse filium primogenitum hæred' Principis Nigri, hæres sit ille lineal' sive collateralis talem vero hæredem oportet esse Reg' Angl': qui modus limitationis status fuit brevis, excellens, & accuratus; ad creandum enim statum hæreditarium, hæc verb' essentialia (scilicet, hæredibus suis) non omissa fuerunt, quanquam subinde temperata fuerunt & modificata, ut per ipsam limitationem appareat: Et quod hic status fuit hæreditarius, per dictum Recordum anno 50 E. 3. probatur, ex quo liquet uxorem Principis Nigri fuisse dotatam. Et constat ex libro de Anno 21 E. 3. 41. quod

Assent and Counsel of the Bishops, Barons, Knights, and others being of his Council in the said Parliament, gave, &c. but the Court relied on the King's Charter, with the Authority and Assent of Parliament; for that of itself is sufficient.

As to the third Point it was resolved, That the Prince hath an Estate in Fee-simple in the said Dukedom: For every Estate of Inheritance is either Fee-simple or Fee-tail, and this is not an Estate-tail, for it is not limited or restrained either by express Words, or by any that tantamount, to the Heirs of the Body of the Prince; for the Gift is to the said Prince, & ipsius & hæred' suorum Regum Angliæ filiis primogenitis. So that he who ought to inherit ought to be the first begotten Son of the Heirs of the Black Prince, be he Heir Lineal or Collateral; but such Heir ought to be King of England. Which manner of Limitation of the Estate was short, excellent and curious; for to raise the Estate of Inheritance these essential Words (his Heirs) were not omitted, altho' afterwards they were qualified, as by the Limitation appears. And that this was an Estate of Inheritance, is proved by the said Record of 50 Edward 3. by which it appears, That the Wife of the Black Prince was endowed. And it appears by the Book of 21 Edward 3. 41. that the

Co. Lit. 27. a. b.

Prince, in the said Manor of Berkhamstead (Parcel of the said Duchy of Cornwall) had Fee. And the said Judgments in 5 and 6 H. 4. prove it; for there Judgment generally is given, That the Letters Patents should be revoked and made void, &c. which Judgment could not have been given, if any Estate of Reversion, or Possibility did remain in the Patentee, for then the Letters Patents should not be made void, but the Judgment should be, That the Letters Patents should be made void and annulled as to the Estate of the Prince. Also it appears by the Libery in 33 Hen. 6. ex Rot' Parl', and by Act of Parliament 38 Hen. 6. ex eodem Rot', that Edward, eldest Son of H. 6. had his Libery as Inheritable by Descent due to him by Birth-Right; and so had Edward, the first begotten Son of Edward 4. and Arthur, the first begotten Son of Hen. 7. to whom Libery was made of the said Duchy, which belonged to them jure hæreditario. In anno 22 E. 4. ex Rot' Parliament, in the said long Exchange between the King and the Earl of Huntingdon, there the Prince is adjudged to be seised of the Duchy of Cornwall in Fee-simple; and so it was unanimously resolved by the L. Chancelloz and the said Justices, That the Prince hath a Fee-simple by Descent, in the Honour and Possessions of the said Duchy.

As to the 4th Point it was

Princeps in dicto manerio de Berkhamstead (parcell' dicti ducatus Cornub') habuit feodum. Et dict' ill' Judicia in annis 5 & 6 H. 4. hoc probant; ib' enim generaliter Judic' redditur, quod literæ patentes revocentur & adnullentur &c. qd' Judiciu' reddi non potuerat, si aliquis status, vel reversio, vel possibilitas, manet illi cui factæ fuerunt literæ patentes; tunc enim literæ illæ patentes non adnullarentur, sed Judicium esset, quod literæ patentes vacuæ sint & adnullatæ quoad statum Principis. Liqueat etiam per liberationem in anno 33 H. 6. ex rot' Parliament', & per Actum Parliamenti. anno 38 H. 6. ex eodem rot', quod Edwardus senior fili' Regis Hen. 6. had his Libery as Inheritable by Descent, due to him by Birth-right. Et sic habuit Edw. primogenitus filius Ed. 4. & Arthurus filius primogenitus Hen. 7. quibus facta fuit liberatio dicti ducatus, qui ad illos spectabat jure hæreditario. In an. 22 E. 4. ex rot' Parliam' in dicto exchange prælongo inter Reg' & comitem de Huntingd', Princeps adjudicatur fore seistus de Ducatu Cornub' in feodo simplici. Et sic fuit unanimes assensu determinatum per Dominum Cancellarium & dictos Justiciarios, quod Princeps habet feodum simplex per descensu' in Honore, & possessionibus dicti Ducatus.

Quoad articul' 4. determinatum

natum fuit, quod contra generalem Actum Parliam' vel talem Actum, qualis notitiam Judices ex officio debent habere, pars altera non potest placitare Nullu' tale Recordum, horum enim Actuum Judices debent notitiam habere: si vero male recitatur, pars potest morari in Lege super hoc. Et in hoc casu Lex summa fundatur ratione; absit enim, si Recordu' hujusmodi statuti perderet vel consumeret' igne vel alio modo, q'd hoc tenderet in generale Reipublicæ prejudicium; immo potius, quamvis perdatur vel consumat', Judices, vel ex impressione Typographica, vel ex recordo in quo placitat' fuit, vel alio modo, possint seipfos de hoc informare. Vide casu' de *Partridge & Croker* &c. in Comentar' *Plowd.* fol. 78.

Et determinatum fuit, q'd hoc statutum de Rege, & de Principe, qui est filius primogenitus Reg' pro tempore existentis, & hæres apprensus Coronæ, perpetuis futuris temporib' est hujusmodi Act', cujusmodi Judices & totum Reg' debent habere noticiam: omnis enim subdit' interesse habet in Rege, & null' subditorum (qui infra leges ejus est) dividitur ab illo, suo capite & Domino supremo: res itaque, & negotia Regis, ad totum spectant regnum, & præcipue quando aguntur de Principe, filio primogenit' Reg', & hære-

resolved, * *That against a general Act of Parliament, or such Act whereof the Judges ex officio ought to take Notice, the other Party cannot plead Null' record; for of such Acts the Judges ought to take Notice: † But if it be misrecited, the Party ought to demur in Law upon it. And in that Case the Law is grounded upon great Reason; for God forbid if the Record of such Acts should be lost, or consum'd by Fire, or other Means, that it should tend to the general Prejudice of the Commonwealth, but rather altho' it be lost or consumed, the Judges, either by the printed Copy, or by the Record in which it was pleaded, or by other Means, may inform themselves of it. See Partridge and Croker's Case, &c. Plowd. Com. fol. 78.*

‡ And it was resolved that this Act, which concerns the King, and the Prince who is the first begotten Son of the King, and Heir apparent to the Crown for the Time being, perpetuis futuris temporibus, is such an Act whereof the Judges and all the Kingdom ought to take Notice: For every Subject hath an Interest in the King, and none of his Subjects, who is under his Laws, are divided from him, being their Head and Sovereign, so that the King's Affairs and Concerns touch the whole Kingdom, and especially * when they regard the Prince,

* 3 Inst. 98. Godb. 178. 4 Co. 76. 2. b.

† Co. Lit. 260. a.

‡ Cr. Car. 355.

‡ 4 Co. 77. 2. Hob. 226. No. 8. pla. 338. Plow. 231. 2.

* Hob. 226.

the first begotten Son of the King, and Heir apparent to the Crown, *Coruscât enim Princeps radiis Regis patris sui, & censetur una persona cum ipso Rege,* as it is said in the Act of Parliament of 38 H. 6. And therefore if any one intends the Death of the Prince, and declares it by open Act, it is High Treason, by the ancient Common Laws of England, and so declared by the Statute of 25 Edw. 3. 1 Hen. 5. 7. b. If the Prince, as Prince of Wales, hath Judgment to recover, and afterwards the Crown descends to him, he, as King, shall sue Execution. And with the Reason of this Resolution in this Point agreeth the Rule of the Court in *Plowd. Com. in the Lord Berkeley's Case, 231.* That the Act of 35 Hen. 8. which concerns the Capacity of the Queen, was such an Act whereof the Judges ought to take Knowledge, because it concerns the King's Wife, and by * the same Reason in this Case of the Prince. And it was agreed, That if Nul tiel record should be admitted to be pleaded in this Case, that the Substance and Effect of the Record sufficient to maintain the said Scire fac, appears in the Record exemplified † under the Great Seal. It was also resolved, that the Act of 43 El. of Confirmation of Letters Patents pleaded by Hele Serjeant, and War, Hele his Son, doth not extend to this Case for two main Reasons. 1. Because the Act suppl. only certain

de apparente Coronâ, *Coruscât enim Princeps radiis Regis patris sui, & censetur una persona cum ipso Rege,* ut dict' est in actu Parliam. de an. 38 H. 6. Et proinde, si aliquis intendit mortem princip', & hoc apert' declarat actione, est crimen læsæ Majestis, per antiquas communes Angl' leges, & sic declarat' per statut' de an. 25 E. 3. 1 H. 5. fol. 7. b. si princeps, ut princeps Walliæ, Judicium habet recuperandi, & postea Corona illi descendit, ille, ut rex, prosequetur executionem. Et cum ratione huj' determinat' in hoc articulo concordat regula Cur' in Comment' *Plowd'* in casu Dom' *Berkley*, f. 231. qd' statut' de an. 35 H. 8. de capacitate Reg', tal' est act', qualis Judices debent notitiam habere, quia ad uxorem regis spectat: & eadem ratione in hoc casu de principe. Accordatum etiam fuit, si Nullum tale Recordum admitteretur ut placit' in hoc casu, qd' substantia & effect' Recordi sufficiens ad manutenend' dict' breve de *Scire facius*, appareat in Recordo exemplificato sub magno sigillo. Determinat' etiam fuit, quod Actus de anno 43 *Eliz.* de confirmatione literarum patent', placitatus per *Hele Servientem* ad legem & *Warwicum* ejus filium, non se extendit ad hunc casum, duas ob rationes manifestas. 1. Eo quod act' ille supplet tantum quosdam defect' pericula

* Hob. 226.

† Co., Lit. 225. b.

ticulares, ut male nominationem maneriorum, &c. male recitationem, vel non recitationem dimissionum, &c. & alias imperfectiones speciales in ill' Actu memoratas: 2. Act' idem facit literas patent' in lege validas tantum contra Regem hæredes & successores suos, cum Exceptione omnib' aliis, ideoque sine dubio jus Principis in hoc casu ligari per hunc non potest. Et quod statutum de an' 1 H. 7. quod *Hele* serviens ad legem, & dic' *Warwicus* placitaverunt, ut amici Curie, ad informandum Curiam de veritate, non eis affert aliquod auxilii: per hoc enim inactitatum est, Quod Rex H. 7. haberit sibi & hæredibus suis dictum Ducatum &c. in tam amplo & largo modo prout H. 6. vel E. 4. habuit: quæ verba relationis præservant Ducatum Cornub' secund' limitationem creationis per dict' chartam de an. 11 E. 3. Revera autem Serviens ille ad legem & fili' ej' non functi sunt officio amici, vel probi Relator'; preterminerunt enim clausulam in eod' statuto expresse spectant' ad preservand' dict' ducat' Cornub' fil' primogenito Regis, &c. & isto modo moliti sunt in Cur' de ipeind' & veritat' supprim'd'. Determinat' denique fuit, quod (in hujusmodi brevi de Scire facias per regem lato ad repellendas literas patentes factas de aliqua parcella dicti Ducatus, ea nimirum intentione ut Rex libe-

particular Defects, as Prolongment of the Panoys, &c. Discretal or Non-recital of Leases, and other special Imperfections mentioned in the Act. 2. The Act makes the Letters Patents good only against the King, his Heirs and Successors, with a saving to all others, and therefore without Question, the Right of the Prince in this Case cannot be bound thereby: And that the Act of 1 Hen. 7. which *Hele* Serjeant, and the said *Warwick* have pleaded, ut amici Curie to inform the Court of the Truth, avails them not; for it is thereby enacted, That King Hen. 7. shall have to him and his Heirs the said Dutchy, &c. in as ample and large Panner as H. 6. or E. 4. had it; which Words of Reference preserve the Dutchy of Cornwall according to the Limitation of Creation by the said Charter of 11 E. 3. But in Truth the Serjeant and his Son have not performed the Office of a Friend or of a good Informer, for they have omitted one Clause in the same Act which expressly concerns the Preservation of the said Dutchy of Cornwall to the first begotten Son of the King, &c. and have thereby endeavoured to deceive the Court, and suppress the Truth. And lastly, it was resolved, That (in such Scire facias brought by the King, to repeal Letters Patents made of any Parcel of the said Dutchy, to the End that the King may make Liberty

Liberty to the Prince) the King or the Prince may reply to any Bar pleaded by the Defendants; and both ways are good in Law; but the better Form is, that the King's Attorney, till Liberty be made, should Reply, as he did in the Case at Bar. And as to the Clause of Non obstante in the Letters Patents of *Q. Eliz.* it was resolved, That it could not take away the Force of the said Acts of Parliament, nor Prejudice the Prince that now is of his Right in the said Dukedom.

In this Case divers Things were observed: 1. That the eldest Son of every King, after the said Creation, was Duke of Cornwall, and so allowed; as Henry of Monmouth, first begotten Son of Hen. 4. and Henry of Windsor, first begotten Son of H. 5. and Ed. of Westm', the first begotten Son of Hen. 6. and Edward of Westminster, the first begotten Son of Edw. 4. and Arthur of Winchester, the first begotten Son of Hen. 7. and Edward of Hampton, the first begotten Son of Hen. 8. And all these have enjoyed the Style, Honour and Possessions of the said Duchy of Cornwall, so that the Possession hath been always, without interruption, with the first begotten Sons of the Kings, ever since the said Creation, in 11 Edw. 3. which is about three Hundred Years: So that after the Creation there was never a first

liberationem faceret Principi) Rex vel Princeps potest replicare ad barra' aliquam 'per defendentes placitam; & utraque genera sunt in lege valida; melior vero formula est, quod Atornatus Regius, quousque liberatio facta sit, replicaret, ut in casu ad barram. Et quoad clausulam de *Non obstante* in literis patent' Reg' *El.* determinatum fuit, quod non potest vim tollere dicto' statutor' Parl' nec præjudicium esse Princ' qui nunc est, de jure suo in dicto Ducatu.

In hoc casu res diversæ observat' fuerunt. 1. Quod filius primogenitus uniuscujusq; regis post dict' creationem fuit Dux Cornub', & sic approbatus, ut *Henr' de Monmouth*, primogenitus fili' *Hen. 4.* & *Hen. de Windsor* primogenitus filius Reg' *Hen. 5.* & *Edw. de Westm'* primogenitus filius Reg' *H. 6.* & *E. de Westm'* fili' primogenit' Reg' *E. 4.* & *Arthurus de Winchester* primogenitus filius Regis *H. 7.* & *Ed. de Hampton* fil' primogenitus Reg' *Hen. 8.* Et hi omnes gavisi sunt Tutul', Honor', & Possessionibus dicti ducatus Cornubiæ; ita quod possessio semper fuerit, sine interruptione, primogenit' filius Reg', omni tempore post dictam creationem in anno undecim' *Edwar. 3.* qui circa annos est trecentos, ita quod post illam creationem non unquam fuit filius pri-

primogenitus alicujus Reg' quin Dux fuerit Cornub'. 2. Qd' Ric'us de Burdeaux qui filius fuit principis Nigri, non fuit dux Cornub' vi dict' creationis: quanquam enim post patris sui obitum hæres Coronæ fuit apparens, eo tamen qd' non fuit filius primogenit' alicujus Regis Angliæ (ejus enim pater obiit vivente Rege E. 3.) dict' ille Richardus non fuit infra limitationem de 11 Edw. 3. & hac de causa in an. 50 E. 3. charta speciali creat' fuit dux Cornubiæ. Neque Elizabetha, filia primogenita Regis Ed. 4. fuit Ducissa Cornubiæ, illa enim fuit filia primogenita reg. & limitatio est, filio primogenito. Neq; Rex H. 8. vivente suo patre post mortem Princip' Arthur' fratris sui, non fuit vi dictæ creationis dux Cornubiæ: Quanquam enim ill' fuit sol' fili' & hæres apparens regis Henrici 7. eo tamen quod non fuit fili' primogenitus non fuit infra dictam limitationem; Princeps enim Arthurus filius fuit primogenitus. 3. Magna illa uniuscujusque Regis cura & respectus (a tempore dictæ Creationis) præservandi dictum Ducatum filio suo primogenito. Et post diversas continuationes, Judicium reddebatur, prout sequitur.

begotten Son of any King but he was Duke of Cornwall. 2. That Richard de Burdeaux, who was Son of the Black Prince, was not Duke of Cornwall by Force of the said Creation; for altho' after the Death of his Father he was Heir apparent to the Crown, yet because he was not the first begotten Son of any King of England (for his Father died in the Life-time of King Ed. 3.) the said Richard was not within the Limitation of 11 Ed. 3. and therefore in an. 50 E. 3. he was created Duke of Cornwall by a special Charter: For was Elizabeth, the eldest Daughter of King Ed. 4. Dutcheß of Cornwall, for she was the first begotten Daughter of the King, and the Limitation is to the first begotten Son. Neither was King Hen. 8. in the Life of his Father, after the Death of Prince Arthur his Brother, by Force of the said Creation Duke of Cornwall; for altho' he was the sole Son and Heir apparent of Hen. 7. yet forasmuch as he was not the (2) first begotten Son, he was not within the said Limitation; for Prince Arthur was his first begotten Son. 3. The great Care and Regard of every King, from the Time of the said Creation, to preserve the said Dukedom to his first begotten Son. And after divers Continuances the Judgment was given as follows.

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

Super quo visis & plenius intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis per prædilectum & fidelem Consiliarium dicti Domini Regis nunc Thomam Dominum Elefmere Cancellarium Angliæ & per dictam Curiam hic, habitaque inde per eundem Dominum Cancellarium & dictam Curiam hic maturæ & diligenti deliberatione & advisamento cum Johanne Popham Militiæ capitali Justiciæ dicti Domini Regis nunc ad placita coram ipso Domino Rege tenendum assignatæ, Edwardo Coke Milite Capitali Justiciæ ipsius Domini Regis de Communi Banco, Thoma Flemming Milite capitali Barone de Scaccario ejusdem Domini Regis & David Williams Milite uno Justiciæ dicti Domini Regis, ad placita coram ipso Domino Rege tenendum assignatæ, videtur eidem Domino Cancellario & dictæ Curiam hic, Quod placitum prædicti Johannis Hele & Warwici Hele, per ipsos superius in barram placitatum ac materia in eodem placito contentæ minus sufficiens in Lege existunt ad manutenendum, Quod prædictæ Literæ Patentis prædictæ nuper Regine Elizabethæ de prædictis maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph prædictæ, cum pertinent, in forma prædicta factæ, revocari & cancellari, aut quod maneria illa cum pertinent in manus dicti Domini Regis nunc capi & seisciri non debeant, prout prædicti Henricus Hobart Attornatus dicti Domini Regis generalis, qui, &c. pro eodem Domino Rege superius inde allegavit. Et quod prædictum

Super quo visis & plenius intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis per prædilectum & fidelem consiliarium dicti Domini Regis nunc Thomam Dominum Elefmere Cancellarium Angliæ & per dictam Curiam hic, habitaque inde per eundem Dominum Cancellarium & dictam Curiam hic matura & diligenti deliberatione & advisamento cum Johan Popham militiæ capitali Justiciæ dicti domini Regis nunc ad placita coram ipso domini Rege tenendum assignatæ, Edward Coke milite capitali Justiciæ ipsius domini Regis de Com Banco, Thom Fleming milite capitali Barone de Scaccario ejusdem domini Regis & David Williams milite uno Justiciæ dicti domini Regis ad placita coram ipso domini Rege tenendum assignatæ, videtur eidem domini Cancellario & dictæ Curiam hic, Quod placitum prædicti Johan Hele, & Warwici Hele, per ipsos superius in barram placitatum ac materia in eodem placito contentæ minus sufficiens in lege existit ad manutenendum, Quod prædictæ literæ patentis prædictæ nuper Regine Elizabethæ, de prædictis maneriis de West Taunton, Trelowia, & Landulph prædictæ, cum pertinent, in forma prædicta factæ, revocari & cancellari, aut quod maneria illa cum pertinent in manus dicti domini Regis nunc capi & seisciri non debeant, prout prædicti H. Hobart Attor dicti domini Regis generalis qui &c. pro eod. domini Rege superius inde allegavit. Et quod prædictum placitum

placitum præd' Hen' Hobart
 Attornat' dicti domini Regis
 nunc generalis, per ipsum
 pro eodem dom' Reg' modo &
 forma præd' (ad barram præd'
 Hen' Lindley) superius rep-
 plicand' placitat', ac materia
 in eodem placito content', suf-
 ficien' in lege existunt ad præ-
 cludend' præfat' Hen' Lind-
 ley a dicendo, qd' non habe-
 tur aliquod tale record' alicu-
 jus talis Actus Parliam' præd'
 nuper Regis Edwardi tertii
 edit', nec aliquod tale record'
 præd' chartæ per eundem nu-
 per Reg' Edwardum tertium
 authoritate Parliam' prædicti
 confect', qual' in prædicto bre-
 vi de Scire facias inde supe-
 rius recitatur & specificatur,
 prout præd' Henricus Hobart
 Attornat' dicti dom' Regis
 generalis, qui &c. pro eodem
 dom' Rege superius inde alle-
 gavit. Ideo consideratum &
 adjudicatum est per dictum do-
 minum Cancellar', & per
 dictam Curiam hic, de Advi-
 samento prædicto, Qd' præd'
 literæ patentes prædict' nuper
 Regin' præfatis Gellio Mer-
 rick & Hen' Lindley, ut
 præfertur, fact' (quoad præ-
 dict' maner' de West Taunton,
 Trelowia, & Landulph præ-
 dict', cum pertinen') revo-
 centur, evacuentur, adnulle-
 rentur, ac vacuæ & invalidæ
 pro nullo penitus habeantur
 & teneantur. Ac etiam qd'
 irrotulament' earundem (quo-
 ad eadem maneria) cassetur,
 cancelletur, & adnihiletur.
 Quodq; maneria illa cum per-
 tinentiis in manus dicti dom'
 Regis nunc capiantur & seisi-
 entur, ut ea præfato nunc Duci

placitum prædicti Hen' Hobart
 Attornat' dicti dom' Reg' nunc
 generalis, per ipsum pro eodem
 domino Rege modo & forma
 præd' (ad barram prædict' Hen-
 rici Lindley) superius replican-
 do placitat', ac materia in eo-
 dem placito content' sufficien'
 in Lege existunt ad præcludend'
 præfat' Henric' Lindley a dicen-
 do, quod non habetur aliquod
 tale record' alicujus talis Actus
 Parliamenti prædicti nuper Re-
 gis Edwardi tertii edit', nec
 aliquod tale record' prædict'
 Chartæ per eundem nuper Re-
 gem Edwardum tertium, autho-
 ritate Parliamenti prædicti con-
 fect', qual' in prædicto brevi de
 Scire facias inde superius reci-
 tatur & specificatur, prout præ-
 dictus Henricus Hobart Attornat'
 dicti domini Regis generalis,
 qui, &c. pro eodem domino
 Rege superius inde allegavit.
 Ideo consideratum & adjudica-
 tum est per dictum dominum
 Cancellar' & per dict' Cur' hic,
 de Advifamento prædicto, Qd'
 prædict' Literæ Patentes præ-
 dict' nuper Regin' præfatis Gel-
 lio Merick & Henrico Lindley,
 ut præfertur fact' (quoad præ-
 dict' maner' de West Taun-
 ton, Trelowia, & Landulph
 prædict' cum pertinen') revo-
 centur, evacuentur, adnulle-
 rentur, ac vacuæ & invalidæ
 pro nullo penitus habeantur
 & teneantur. Ac etiam quod
 irrotulament' earundem (quoad
 eadem maneria) cassetur, can-
 celledur & adnihiletur. Quod-
 que maneria illa cum pertinen-
 tiis in manus dicti dom' Regis
 nunc capiantur & seisi-
 entur, ut ea præfato

Casus PRINCIPIS. PART VIII.

præfato nunc Duci tanquam
membrum & parcell' Ducatus
sui prædicti secund' formam &
effectum doni, concession', & u-
nionis prædict' habendum & te-
nendum, per dictum Dominum
Regem nunc liberentur, &c.

tanquam membrum & par-
cell' Ducatus sui prædicti,
secundum formam et effectum
doni, concession', & unionis
prædict' habendum & tenen-
dum, per dictum domin' Re-
gem nunc liberentur &c.

Paschæ

the Words of the Writ in the Register are, *infra hospitium ejusdem B.* but it is to be so intended in the Writ; for the recital of the Writ is, *hospitatores qui communia hospitia tenent, &c.* and the one Part ought to agree with the other, and the latter Words depend on the other, and the Plaintiff ought to declare that he keeps *Commune Hospitium*: And so the Books in (a) 22 H. 6. 21. (b) 11 H. 4. 45. a. b. 10 Eliz. Dyer (c) 266. &c. are well reconciled.

(a) Antea 32. 2.
Fitz. Hostler 2.
Br. Action sur le
Case, 58.

(b) Antea 32. 2.
1 Roll. 4. Br.
Action sur le
Case, 41. Br.
General Bricf, 16
Fitz. Hostler, 5

(c) Antea 32. 2.
Dyer 266. pl. 9.
Postea 33. 2.
3 Keb. 77.
(d) 1 Roll. 3 E. 4.
2 Brown. 254.

2. The Words are, *ad hospitandos homines per partes ubi hujusmodi hospitia existunt transeuntes, & in eisdem hospitantes*; by which it appears that Common Inns are instituted for Passengers and Wayfaring Men; for the Latin Word for an Inn is, *Diversorium*, because he who lodges there is, *quasi divertens se a via*; and so *diversoriolum*. And therefore if a (d) Neighbour who is no Traveller, as a Friend, at the Request of the Innholder lodges there, and his Goods be stoln, &c. he shall not have an Action; for the Writ is, *ad hospitandos homines, &c. transeuntes in eisdem hospitantes, &c.*

3. The Words are, *eorum bona & catalla infra hospitia illa existentia, &c.* So that the Innholder, by Law, shall answer for nothing that is out of his Inn, but only for those Things which are *infra hospitium*. And because the Horse, which at the Request of the Owner is put to Pasture, is not *infra hospitium*, for this Reason the Innholder is not bound by Law to answer for him, if he be stoln out of the Pasture; for the Thing with which the Hostler shall be charged ought to be *infra hospitium*; and therewith agree the Books in (e) 11 Hen. 4. 45. a. b. (f) 22 Hen. 6. 21. b. (g) 42 E. 3. 11. a. b. 42 Ass. pla. 17. where Knivet C. J. saith, That the Innholder is bound to answer for himself, and for his Family, of the Chambers and Stables, for they are *infra hospitium*: And with this Resolution in this Point agreed the Opinion of the Justices of Assise (*viz.* the two Chief Justices, Wray and Anderson) in the County of Suffolk in Lent Vacation, 25 El.

(e) 1 Rolls. 4
Supra in a.
(f) Antea 32. 2.
(g) Antea 32. 2.

(b) 1 Rolls. 3, 4
4 Leon. 96.
2 Brownl. 255.
Antea 32. 2.

(i) 1 Rolls. 3, 4
4 Leon. 96.
2 Brownl. 255.

That if an (b) Innholder lodges a Man and his Horse, and the Owner requires the Horse to be put to Pasture, and there he is stoln, the Innholder shall not answer for him. (i) But it was held by them, That if the Owner doth not require it, but the Innholder, of his own Head puts his Guest's Horse to Grass, he shall answer for him if he be stoln, &c. And it is to be observed, that this Word *Hostler* is derived *ab Hostle*; and *Hospitator*, which is used in Writs for an Innholder, is derived *ab hospicio*, and *hospes est quasi hospitium petens*.

4. The Words are, *Ita quod pro defectu hospitator, seu servientium suorum, &c. hospitibus hujusmodi damn' non eveniat,* &c. by which it appears that the Inn-holder shall not be charged, unless there be a Default in him or his Servants, in the well and safe Keeping and Custody of their Guest's Goods and Chattels within his common Inn; for the Inn-keeper is bound in Law to keep them safe without any Stealing or Purloining; and it is no Excuse for the Inn-keeper to say; that he deliver'd the (a) Guest the Key of the Chamber-door in which he is lodged, and that he left the Chamber-door open, but he ought to keep the Goods and Chattels of his Guest there in Safety; and therewith agree 22 H. 6. 21. b. 11 H. 4. 45. a. b. 42 Edw. 3. 11. a. And altho' the Guest doth not deliver his Goods to the Inn-holder to keep, nor acquaints him with them, yet if they be carried away, or stol'n, the Inn-keeper shall be charged, and therewith agrees 42 Edw. 3. 11. a. And altho' they who stole or carried away the Goods be unknown, yet the Inn-keeper shall be charged, an. 22 H. 6. 38. 8 Rich. 2. Hostler 7. Vide 22 H. 6. 21. But if the Guest's Servant, or he who (b) comes with him, or he whom he desires to be lodg'd with him, steals or carries away his Goods, the Inn-keeper shall not be charged; for there the Fault is in the Guest to have such Companion or Servant; and the Words of the Writ are, *pro defectu hospitator' seu servientium suorum.* Vide 22 H. 6. 21. b. But if the Inn-keeper appoints one to lodge with him, he shall answer for him as it there appears. The Inn-keeper (c) requires his Guest that he will put his Goods in such a Chamber under Lock and Key, and then he will warrant them, otherwise not, the Guests lets them lie in an outward Court, where they are taken away, the Inn-keeper shall not be charged, * vide Salks 28; for the Fault is in the Guest, as it is held 10 Eliz. (d) Dy. 266. (a) Moor 78; pl. 207. 158; pl. 299. 2 Brown 255. (b) Cro. El. 285; (c) Moor 158;

5. The Words are, *hospitibus damnun non eveniat:* These Words are general, and yet forasmuch as they depend on the precedent Words, they will produce two Effects, viz. 1. They illustrate the first Words. 2. They are restrained by them: For the first Words are, *Eorum bona & catal' infra hospitium illa existentia absque subtractione custodire,* &c. which Words (*bona & catalia*) by the said Words, *ita quod, &c. hospitibus damnun non eveniat,* altho' they don't of their proper Nature extend to (e) Charters and Evidences concerning Freehold, or Inheritance, or (f) Obligations, or other Deeds or Specialties; being Things in Action, yet in this Case it is expounded by the latter Words to extend to them; for by them great Damages happen to the Guest: And therefore if one brings a Bag or Chest, &c. of Evidences into the Inn, or Obligations, Deeds, or other Specialties, and by Default of the Inn-keeper they are taken away, the Inn-keeper shall answer for them, and the Writ shall be *bona & catalia* generally; and the Declaration shall be special. 2. These Words, *bona & catalia,* restrain the latter Words

(e) 2 Roll. 58; 22 E. 4. 12. a. b; (f) Dyer 5; pl. 22; 2 Roll. 58; Yelv. 68;

Words to extend only to Moveables : And therof. by the latter Words, If the Guest be beaten in the Inn, the Inn-keeper shall not anf: for it ; for the Injury ought to be done to his Moveables which he brings with him ; and by the Words of the Writ, the Inn-holder ought to keep the Goods and Chattels of his Guest, and not his Person ; and yet in fuch Cafe of Battery, *hospiti damnum evenit*, but that is refrained by the former Words, as hath been faid. And thefe Words aforefaid, *absque subtractione seu amiffione*, extend to all moveable Goods, altho' of them Felony cannot be committed ; for the Words are not, *absque felonica captione*, &c. but, *absque subtractione*, which may extend to any Moveables, altho' of them (a) Felony cannot be committed, as of Charters, Evidences, Obligations, Deeds, Specialties, &c.

(a) 3 Inft. 109.
10 E. 4. 14. a.
Fitz. endictment
19. Br. Coron. 155

 Trin. 29 Eliz. Rot. 721.

 In the Common Pleas.

PAINE'S Case.

BETWEEN (a) Paine Plaintiff in Trespass, and Sammes and Tanner Defendants, for Trespass done in Lands in B. in the County of Essex; on Not guilty pleaded, the Jurors gave a special Verdict to this Effect: A Man hath Issue two Daughters, the said Lands in which, &c. were given to the elder Daughter, and to the Heirs of her Body begotten, the Remainder to the younger Daughter, and to the Heirs of her Body, &c. The elder Daughter took to Husband the said Sammes, one of the Defendants, who had Issue, which was heard cry, and died, and afterwards the elder Daughter died without Issue; after whose Death the said Sammes held himself in, claiming to be Tenant by the Curtesy, upon whom the younger Daughter enter'd, and the said Sammes and Tanner, as Servant to him, re-enter'd; upon which Re-entry, the younger Sister brought the said Action of Trespass: And it was objected for the Plaintiff, that the Husband in this Case should not be Tenant by the Curtesy, because the Estate of the Wife was determined, and the Estate of the Husband, which was deriv'd out of the Estate of the Wife, could not continue longer than the Primitive Estate endured; for *cessante statu primitivo cessat derivatus*; and therefore if (b) Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Lives according to the Stat. of 32 H. 8. cap. 28. and afterwards dies without Issue, this Lease being deriv'd out of the Estate Tail, shall not continue longer than the Estate Tail, against the Opinion in 33 H. 8. 48. a. Dyer, *quod fuit concessum per totam curiam*. And when the Wife dies without Issue, he in Remaind. shall enter by Force of an immed. Gift to him, and his Issue shall have a Formedon in the Descender upon an immed. Gift;

(a) 1 Ander. 184
 185. 1 Leon. 167,
 168. Goldth. 81,
 82.

(b) Co. Lit. 45. b.
 Noy 6. 2 Roll.
 Rep. 491. Dyer
 49. pl. 6. 1 E. 6.
 Br. Acceptance
 19. B.N.C. 370.

and it is not like the Case of Dower ; for the Wife shall be endowed altho' she hath no Issue ; and theref. altho' the Estate determines or not for Want of Issue, yet the Wife by the Law shall be endowed ; but a Man shall not be Ten. by the Curtesy unless he hath Issue, nor if he hath Issue, unless the same Issue, or some other support the Estate which he shall hold by the Curtesy ; and Dower is more favour'd than the Estate of a Ten. by the Curtesy ; for a Wom. shall be endow'd of Lands where the Husb. had but a Seisin in (a) Law ; but a Man shall not be Ten. by the Curtesy of Land unless the Wife was (b) actually seised in Deed. To which it was answer'd and resolv'd by the whole Court, That at the Com. Law, if (c) Lands had been given to a Wom. and the Heirs of her Body, and she had taken a Husb. and had Issue, and the Issue died, and the Wife also without Issue, whereby the Inher. of the Land did revert to the Donor, in that Case the Estate of the Wife is determin'd, and yet the Husb. shall be Ten. by the Curtesy, for that is *tacite* implied in the Gift. It is adjudg'd in 21 H. 3. (d) *Dower* 198. That if a Man hath Issue by a Wom. Inheritrix, which is dead, which Issue might inherit the Land, he shall be Ten. by the Curtesy, altho' the Wife by a former Husb. have Issue inheritable, and altho' his Issue be dead. And therewith *Lit.* agrees, l. 1. c. *Dower*, fol. 10. b. (e) In every Case where a Man takes a Wife seised of such Estate of the Tenem. so that the Issue which he hath by his Wife might by Possibility inherit the same Tenem. of such Estate as the Wife hath, as Heir to the Wife, in such Case, after the Death of the Wife, he shall have the same Lands by the Curtesy of *Eng.* otherwise nor. By which Mixim it appears, that the Seisin of the Wife ought to be of such Inher. which ought to have this Incident amongst others, that the Issue, which the Husb. shall have by her, may by Possibility inherit ; and that may fail either in respect of the Issue, or in respect of the Manner of Inherit. In respect of the Issue, if it be born dead. And theref. *Glanvil*, l. 7. c. 18. *Si ex uxore sua hered' habuerit filium vel filiam clamantem & auditum infra quatuor parietes, &c. Et Braet. l. 5. de except'*, c. 30. fol. 437, 438. *Si quis uxor' duxerit habentem heredii' vel maritagium, vel aliquam terram causa donationis, si liberos int' se habuerint ex istis nuptiis procreat', si uxor premoriai', remanebit viro heredii' & terra sua, tota vita ipsius viri, sive superst' fuerint liberi sive mortui, dum tamen semel aut vocem aut clamorem dimiserint, quod audiatur int' quatuor parietes, si hoc probet' : Et licet partus moriat' in ipso partu, vel vivus nascatur, vel forte semimortuus, licet vocem non emiseric, solent obstetrices in fraud' veri hered' protestari partum vivum nasci & le. i. i. m. & ideo necesse est vocem probare ; & licet naturaliu' mutus nascatur & surdus, tamen clamorem emittere debet, sive masculus sit sive femina (unde versus) Nam dicunt E. vel A. quotquot nascuntur ab Eva. And *Fleta*, lib. 6. cap. 56. and he agrees with *Bracton* fere eisdem verbis. So that *Littleton*, lib. 1. cap. 4. fol. 7. b. might well say, (*) Some have said, That he shall not be Tenant by the Curtesy unless the Child which he*

(a) Co. Lit. 31. a.
358. b. F. N. B.
149. d. Perk.
§. 304. Dr. &
Stud. 84. a.
(b) Co. Lit. 29. a.
40. a. Perk.
§. 457.
(c) Co. Lit. 30. a.

(d) Co. Lit. 30. a.
Perk. §. 456.

(e) Co. Lit. 40. a.
Lit. §. 52.

(*) Lit. §. 17.
Co. Lit. 29. a.
30. a.

hath by his Wife be heard (a) to cry; for by the Cry it is proved that the Child was alive; *ideo quere*. But he saith before in the same Chap. If the Husb. have Issue crying or alive; so that in his Opin. the Crying is not necessary; for it is true, if the Issue be born alive, it sufficeth, and the Crying of the Child is but a Proof that it is alive: And this is well prov'd by the Form of Pleading, (which is the strongest Proof in Law) for the Pleading in such Case is, *Quod quad' A.G. fuit seiscit' de tenement' prad' in d'nico suo ut de feodo, & sic inde seiscit' cepit in vir' J. W. per quod iidem J. & A. fuer' seiscit' de tenement' prad' cum pert' in d'nico suo ut de feodo in jure ipsius A. ipsiq; sic inde seiscit' existenti' habuer' exitum inter eos, &c. posteaq; prad' A. uxor prad' J. obiit, idemq; J. ipsam supervixit, & se ten' in tenem' prad' ac inde fuit seiscit' in d'nico suo, ut de lib' tenem', ut tenens inde per leg' Angl'*. And if in that Case Issue be taken, *quod non habuer' exitum, &c.* the Effect of the Issue shall be whether they had Issue born alive, *quia mortuus exitus non est exitus*; and the Crying is but a Proof of the Life. *Vid. 28 H. 8. (c) Dyer 25.* But in the Case at Bar, to remove all Scruples, it was found that the Issue was heard cry. And in this Case it was well observ'd, that *Glanvil, Bracton, Britton, and Fleta*, may be vouched for Antiq. and Ornam. in Cases where they concur with the later Authorit. of Law, and do not impugne the com. Experience and Allowance in judicial Proceedings at this Day. 2. If the Wife be deliv. of a (e) Monster, which hath not the Shape of Mankind; it is no Issue in the Law; but altho' the Issue have some (f) Deformity or Defect in the Hand or Foot, and yet hath human Shape, it sufficeth; and therewith agrees *Bracton ubi supra. Item, si cum partum ediderit, tamen prius declin' ad monst', & cum clam' emit' deber', emit' rugit', & hinc videt' quod ten' non debet exceptio (i. e. ten' non deb' per leg' angl') quia partus monst' est cum non nascat' ut homo; Sed non dico part' monst' licet natura' memb' minuer' vel ampliaverit, minuer', ut in defect' digitor' vel hujusm', ampliaverit, ut si plur' digitos vel articulos sicut sex vel plures, ubi non debet hab' nisi quinq; si inutila natura redd' memb', ut si curvus fuer', vel gibbosus, vel memb' tortuosa habuer'.* 3. In some Case the Time of the Birth is material, and in some not; and therefore when the Lord *Dyer* was Serj, he was (as he himself said in the C. Pleas) of Counsel with this Case: One *Respes* of *Norfolk* took to Wife an Inheritrix, who was great with Child by him, and died in her Travail, and the Issue was () ripped out of her Belly alive, and by Reference out of the Chanc. to the Just. they resolv'd, That he should not be Tenant by the Curtesy, for it ought to begin by Issue and be consummated by the Death of the Wife,

(a) 1 And. 35.
Perk. S. 471.
Co. Lit. 29. b.
30. a. Dyer 25.
pl. 159. O. Bend.
25. pl. 103.

(b) Co. Lit. 29. b.

(c) Dyer 25.
pl. 159. O. Bend.
25. pl. 102.
1 And. 35.

(d) 10 Co. 73. a.
Plowd. 357. a.
(e) Co. Lit. 29. b.

(f) Co. Lit. 29. b.

(g) Co. Lit. 29. b.

- and the Estate of Tenant by the Curtesy ought to take away the immediate Descent. But if a (a) Man hath Issue by his Wife, and afterw. Land descends to the Wife, be the Issue alive or dead at the Time of the Descent, he shall be Tenant by the Curtesy, for the Time of the Birth of the Issue is not material, if it be in the Life of the Wife. 4. In respect of the Manner of Inheritance; as if (b) Lands be given to a Woman, and the Heirs Males of her Body, and she takes a Husband, and hath Issue a Daughter, the Husband shall not be Tenant by the Curtesy; for the Issue cannot by any Possibility inherit the same Lands; and so out of the Rule of *Littl.* and of the Judgment in 21 H. 3.
- (a) Co. Lit. 29. b. And at the Com. Law, (c) if Lands had been given to Husband and Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, and they had Issue, and the Husband died, and she took another Husband and had Issue, the 2d Husband should be Tenant by the Curtesy; and so is it adjudged in * 30 E. 1. Form. 66. which proves that the Issue by the 2d Husband, might possibly inherit; for at the Common Law after Issue, it was taken to (d) three Purposes that the Tenant in Tail had a full Fee-simple, 1. To alien. 2. To forfeit it by Attainder of Felony, as the Book is in 7 E. 3. 6, & 7. b. So that altho' the Tenant in Tail afterwards died without Issue, the Land should not revert to the Donor. 3. That the Tenant in special Tail, by having Issue, had a full Fee-simple to make the Lands descendable to her Issues by any other Husband; For as by her (e) Alienation, she might make Strangers to the Blood to be absolutely inheritable; so by Construction of Law, after Issue had, all lineal Heirs of her Body, by what Husband soever they were begotten, should inherit her, as a Benefit and Incident *tacite* annexed to her Estate by the Law; for it was said, that by the having of Issue, it was a Gift and Disposition in Law to the Husband for his Life; which Disposition and Alteration of the Estate, altho' it be for Life, *tacite* as an Incident to it, makes the Issue of the second Husband inheritable. As
- (b) Co. Lit. 29. b. if a (f) Man hath Issue a Son and a Daughter by one *venter*, and a Son by another *venter*, and dies, if the elder Son makes a Lease for Life, against whom the Wife of the Father recovers Dower, and afterwards the elder Son dies, the Sister shall have the Reversion in Fee, because the elder Son hath alter'd the Reversion by his Lease for Life, and the Tenant in Dower leaves the Reversion in the Lessee for Life, *Vide* 7 H. 5. 4. But that the Issue of the second Husband shall inherit in such Case, is directly prov'd by the Statute *de Donis conditionalibus*, (g) *Nec habeat de catero secundus vir hujusmodi mulieris aliquid in tenemento sic dato per conditionem post mortem uxoris sue per legem Angliæ, nec exitus de secundo viro & muliere successionem hæred^{is}*: For if the Issue of the 2d Husband shall not inherit, the 2d Husband shall not be Tenant by the Curtesy; as it was adjudged in the said Case in 21 H. 3. And *Fleta, ubi supra*, saith, *Lex iugmen ista ad secun-*
- (c) Perk. S. 465.
- (*) Postea 36. a.
- (d) Co. Lit. 19. a.
1 Roll. 840.
2 Inst. 334.
- (e) Co. Lit. 19. a.
- (f) Co. Lit. 15. a.
- (g) 2 Inst. 336.

dos viros non extenditur, eo quod palam inhibetur per statutum
 But after Issue had, the Tenant in Tail at the Com. Law had not such a Fee-simple, that his (a) collateral Heir which (a) Co. Lit. 19. a is not Heir of his Body, should inherit. And if Land were given before the Stat. to Husb. and Wife, and the Heirs of their two Bodies, and they have Issue, and the Wife dies, and the Husb. takes another Wife, the shall be endowed, as it is held in 12 H. 4. 2. *Markham's Case*; and by Consequence the Issue, which she by Possibility might have, shall inherit the Land. And *vide Fitz. tit. Tail 2.* and mark the Agreement of the Law in both the said Cases. And where *Litt. saith, (b)* (b) Co. Lit. 40. a as Heir to the Wife, these Words are very material; for that is the true Reason, that a Man shall not be Tenant by the Curtesy of a Seisin in Law, for in such Case the Issue ought to make himself Heir to him who was last actually seised, &c. *Vide 11 H. 4. 11. 40 E. 3. 9, &c.* And the Tenant by the Curtesy shall be attendant to the Lord paramount, which he cannot be, because the Wife died before she was actually seised: But Tenant in Dower shall not be (c) Attendant to the Lord paramount but to the Heir, and (c) 9 Co. 135. b. 1 Rol. 685. therefore she shall be endowed of a Seisin in Law. And the Case at bar is directly within the said Maxim, for the Issue of the Husband which he had by his Wife, might by Possibility have inherited the Wife. 2. It appears, That at the Com. Law the Husb. shall be Tenant by the Curtesy if he hath Issue, altho' afterwards the Wife dies without Issue, as it is adjudged in (d) 30 E. 1. *ubi supra*; and this Case is not (d) Antea 35. b. restrained by the Stat. aforesaid. 3. *Litt. lib. 1. c. 4. fo. 7.* agrees with this Judgment, for he saith, That (e) Tenant by the (e) Co. Lit. 29. a. Lit. S. 35. Curtesy of *England* is, where a Man takes a Wife seised in Fee-simple, or in Fee-tail general, or as Heir of the special Tail, and hath Issue by the same Woman, Male or Female, heard or alive; be the Issue afterwards dead (*note that*) or alive, if the Wife dies, the Husband shall hold the Land during his Life by the Curtesy of *Engl.* So that it appears by him that it is not material whether the Estate Tail continues or not. 4. It appears, That the true Reason of *Dower* and the Reason of this Case, (*scilicet*) the Possibility of the Issue to inherit, &c. are all one. And if (f) Tenant in tail (f) Co. Lit. 30. a. takes a Husb. and hath Issue and dies, now the Husb. is Tenant by the Curtesy; and altho' afterwards the Issue dies without Issue, so that the Estate Tail is determined; yet his Estate shall continue, for it is not deriv'd merely out of the Estate of the Wife, but is created by the Law, by Privilege and Benefit of *Law tacite* annexed to the Gift.

Pasch. 30 Eliz,

BARRETRY.

NOTA, On Evidence upon a Traverse of an Indictment of Barretry, it was held *per Curiam*, that a common (a) Barretor is a common mover and stirrer up or maintainer of Suits, Quarrels, or Parties, either in Courts or in the Country: In Courts of Record, and in the County, Hundred, and other inferior Courts: In the Country in (b) three Manners: 1. In Disturbance of the Peace. 2. In taking or detaining of the Possession of Houses, Lands, or Goods, &c. which are in Question or Controversy, not only by Force, but also by Subtilty and Deceit, and for the most Part in Suppression of Truth and Right. 3. By false Invention, and sowing of Calumny, Rumours and Reports, whereby Discord and Disquiet arise between Neighbours. And all the said Qualities of a common Barretor are prov'd by the Indictment of one for Barretry, and by our Books; For first it is said in the Indictment, *Quod est communis Barrektor*, within which Word is included a Quarreller in his own Cause, and a Mover or Maintainer of Quarrels between others, for the most Part in Suppression of Truth and Right: And this appears by the Statute of *Westm.* 1. (c) *cap.* 33. It is provided, That no Sheriff suffer any Barretors or Maintainers of Quarrels in their County-Courts, &c. In 40 *Edw.* 3. 33. b, the (d) Plaintiff counted in a *Decies tantum*, that the Recognitors in an Assise took of certain People who were Barretors and Embracers of the said Suit, *scilicet*, of every one 20 s. which was in a Cause depending in a Court of Record. The Statute of (e) *Ragman*, the King wills and enjoins the Justices, that none in complaining nor in answering, be

(a) Co. Lit. 368. a
Inst. 175.

(b) Co. Lit.
368. a. b.

(c) 2 Inst. 225.

(d) Fitz. Decies
tantum 8. Br.
Decies tantum 3.

(e) 3 Inst. 175.

be not surpris'd nor encompass'd by (a) Hockettors or Barre- (a) 3 Inst. 175. b.
 tors; that the Truth be not followed, and the Trespasses re-
 main unpunished. And the Stat. of *Westm.* 1. c. (b) 18. For- (b) Postea 39. b.
 asmuch as the common Fine and Amerciament of the whole ² Inst. 196, 197.
 County in Eyre of the Justices for false Judgments, and other
 Trespasses is unjustly assessed by Sheriffs and Barretors of the
 Counties, so that the Sum is many Times increased, and the
 Parcels otherwise assessed than they ought to be, to the Da-
 mage of the People. And that will be sufficient to excite or
 maintain Quarrels in Courts: And for moving or maintain-
 ing Quarrels in the Country, *Littleton, lib. 3. cap. Warranty,*
fol. 158. If (c) *A. de B.* be seized of a House, and *F. de G.* (c) Lit. 158. b.
 who hath no Right, enters in the same House, claiming the ^{159. a. Sect. 701.}
 House to him and his Heirs, but the said *A.* continually dwells ^{Co. Lit. 368. a. b.}
 in the said House; in that Case the Possession of the Freehold
 shall be continually adjudged in *A. &c.* But if the said *F. G.*
 makes a Feoffment to certain Barretors in the Country, to
 have Maintenance of them in the same House, by a Deed of
 Feoffment with Warranty, by Force whereof *A. de B.* dares
 not stay in the said House, but goes out of it, &c. this War-
 ranty commences by Disseisin. By all which, and by many
 other Authorities which might be cited it appears, That a
 common Barretor is a common Mover or Maintainer of
 Quarrels, either in Courts, or in the Country. If it be
 asked why this Busy Body is called Barretor? Some derive a
 (d) Barretor from the French Word (*Barrateur*) which sig- (d) Co. Lit.
 nifies a Deceiver: Others, from the Latin Word (*Baratro*) ^{368. b.}
 which signifieth a vile Knave, or Unthrif: Others, be-
 cause they maintain Pleas at Bars in Courts, or stir Causes
 of Suits, derive this Word (*Barretor*) from two legal
 Words; (*Barra*) which signifies the Bar in Courts, where
 Causes are debated, &c. and (*Retrum*) which, as appears in
 the Writ *de Homine Replegiando*, in the Register, signifies a
 Crime or Offence; and because a common Barretor is prin-
 cipally an Offender in moving or maintaining of Quarrels
 at Bars, *scil.* in Courts, or in the Country, which are Cau-
 ses of Suits in Courts, he is called a Barretor, or bar Of-
 fender. In the Civil Law, *Barrataria dicitur quando Ju-
 dex petit aliquid indebitum ut Justitiam faciat.* But in the
 Law of England, this Word (*Barrett*) doth signify a
 Quarrel, whence he who moves or maintains Quarrels
 is called a Barretor, and it is so expounded by the whole
 Parliament, in 33 E. 1. in *Stat' de Conspir'* where the Act
 saith, Stewards and Bailiffs of Great Lords, who by
 their Seigniory, Office, or Power, take upon them to
 maintain or sustain Pleas or Barrets, for other Parties than
 those

The Case of BARRETRY. PART VIII.

those which touch their Lords or themselves. Where it is manifest that Barrets signifies Quarrels; but he ought to be *Communis Barretator*, *scil.* not in one or two, but in many Causes, so that he may be proved a Common Barretor.

2. The Words of the Indictment are, *Pacis Domini Regis Perturbator*, *scil.* a common Mover or Maintainer of Brawls and Frays, by which the Peace is broken. The other Words of the Indictment are, *Communis Malefactor*, *Calumniator*, & *Seminator litium & discordiarum inter vicinos suos*: Malefactor, because he willingly and maliciously doth Wrong to his Neighbours, either openly after Warning, or secretly, as in the Night, &c. *quia (a) qui male agit odit lucem*: *Calumniator*, so called, because by false and malicious Scandals he endeavours to rob his Neighbour of his good Name, which is a great Motive of Discords and Quarrels, and is against the Law of God, *Levit. 19. Non facias Calumniam proximo tuo: Seminator litium & discordiarum inter vicinos*; and from such Seeds presently grow up many ill Herbs, *Inimicus homo superseminavit zizania*. And that is against the Commonwealth; for *(b) Expedit reipublica, ut sit finis litium*. And this Barretor is *Seminator litium*, &c. and that is likewise against the Law of God, *Levit. 19. Non eris susurro in populo*. In ancient Indictments, after these Words, *Pacis Domini Regis Perturbator*, these Words are added, & *Oppressor vicinorum suorum*, and that is either by Force, as in the Case of *Littleton*, in taking or keeping of Possession, or by Fraud and Malice, under Colour of Law, as by Multiplicity of unjust and feigned Suits, or by Information on Penal Laws, either in his own Case, or in malicious bringing of a special *Supplicavit*, or *Laiiat*, of the Peace; and all this by Fraud and Malice, to enforce the poor Party *ad redimendam vexationem*, to give him Money, or to make other Composition; and this is the most dangerous Oppressor, for he oppresses the Innocent by Colour and Countenance of the Law, which was instituted to protect the Innocent from all Oppression and Wrong: And therefore the said Words in the old Indictments (if the Truth of the Case be such) are material to be inserted in the Indictment of Barretry.

(a) 9 Co. 66. 2.
Postea 127. 2.

(b) 5 Co. 73. 2.
6 Co. 7. 2. 9 Co.
79. b. Postea
58. b. Co. Lit.
103. a. 2 Inft.
411.

Trin. 30 Eliz. Rot. 1012:

In the Common Pleas.

GRIESLEY's Case.

IN a Replevin brought by *Thomas Kingston* against *Richard Baily* the Elder, and *Richard Baily* the Younger, in a Place called *Stockings* in *Kingston*, in the County of *Stafford*; the Defendants, as Bailiffs to *Thomas Griesley*, Esq; did acknowledge the taking of the said Cattle in the said Place, where, &c. For they said, That the said Place, where, &c. contained six Acres; and that the said *Thomas Griesley* was seised of the Manor of *Kingston*, within which Manor, the said Place where, &c. is, in his Demesne as of Fee, and prescribed to have *Curiam visus franc' pleg' coram seneschallo suo infra manerium illud tenend' bis per annum, viz. semel infra mensem proximum post festum Pascha, & iterum infra mensem proximum post festum Sancti Michaelis Archangeli de omnibus inhabitantibus & residentibus infra manerium predict' tanquam ad manerium illud pertin': quodque infra manerium pred' habetur, & a tempore cujus contrarii memoria hominum non existit, habebatur talis consuetudo, quod inhabitantes & residentes infra manerium pred' ad inquirendum & presentandum ea que ad visum franc' plegii pertinent onerati & jurati, annuatim, ad Curiam vis' franc' plegii illius apud manerium illud, infra mensem proximum post festum Sancti Michaelis Archangeli teni', elegerunt & eligere consueverunt unum idoneum hominem de inhabitantibus infra manerium predict' ad essendum Constabularium de *Kingston* pro ann' tunc proximum sequen', qui quidem homo sic electus officium*

GRIESLEY's Case. PART VIII.

cium illud pro uno anno exercere per totum tempus *præd'* consuevit, & si præsens fuerit hujusmodi electioni tunc per totum tempus *præd'* jurari consuevit per seneschallum curia *præd'* in aperta curia ad officium illud exercendum. And that at the Court of View of Frankpledge held at the said Manor, 5 Octob. 28 Eliz. before John Newport, then Steward of the said Thomas Griesley of the said Court, the said Thomas Kingston being an Inhabitant within the said Manor, was, according to the said Custom, chosen to be Constable of Kingston, *præd'* pro uno an' tunc proximi sequen' by the Jurors and Presenters of the said Court, and he being present in Court was charged by the said Steward to take the said Oath, which he utterly refused to do, and departed in Contempt of the Court, ob quod *præd'* Johannes Newport, ad tunc seneschallus ejusdem Curia finem Centum solidorum super *præd'* Thom Kingston ad tunc in eadem Curia imposuit. And because the said Fine of 5*l.* was not paid to the said Tho. Griesley, the Defendants made conusans, as Bailiffs of the said Tho. Griesley, of the Distress of the Plaintiff's Cattle, in the Place where, &c. upon which the Plaintiff did demur in Law: And in this Case these Questions were moved and debated; 1. Whether the Steward might impose a Fine in this Case. 2. Whether this Fine ought to be affered or not. 3. Whether the Lord of the Leet might distrain for such Fine, without a Custom enabling him so to do. As to the first it was resolved, *per totam Curiam*, That if any Contempt or Disturbance to the Court be committed in any Court of Record, that the Judges might set upon the Offender a reasonable Fine, and a Leet is a Court of Record, and the Steward is Judge there; and therefore, if any Contempt (a) or Disturbance to the Court be made before him, he may set a reasonable Fine upon the Offenders: As if the Bailiff of a Leet refuses in Court to execute his Office, the Steward may set a reasonable Fine upon him; and therewith agrees the Book in (b) 7 H. 6. 12. b. So if a Tithingman refuses to make a Presentment in a Leet, the Steward shall set a reasonable Fine upon him, as it is held in (c) 10 H. 6. 7. a. So if one of the Jurors in a Leet departs without giving his Verdict, he shall be fined by the Steward, as appears in the Book of Entries, Title *Amerciament in Debt*, fol. 149. Et sic de Similib'. But Courts which are not of Record cannot impose a Fine, or commit any to Prison. As to the second, it was objected, That the Fine in the Case at Bar ought to be affered; and to prove that, the Statute of *Magna Charta*, cap. 14. *Liber homo non amercietur pro parco Delicto nisi (d) secundum modum illi delicti, & pro magno delicto secundum magnitudinem delicti, salvo, &c. & nulla præd' misericordia-*

(a) Dy. 211.
 pl. 31. 233. pl. 14.
 Owen 113. Moor
 470. 1 Roll. Rep.
 33. 74. 2 Roll.
 Rep. 3. Cro. El.
 241. 581. Cr.
 Car. 567.
 (b) 11 Co. 43. b.
 2 Roll. Rep. 3.
 Br. Dec. 85.
 Br. Lect. 14. 36.
 (c) Br. Lect. 36.
 Br. Leygager 99.
 2 Roll. Rep. 3.
 11 Co. 43. b.

(d) 11 Co. 43. 2.
 44. 2. 13 Co. 3.
 Selden's Table
 Talk, Fines 61,
 F. N. B. 75. 2.

rum ponatur nisi per Sacrum probor' & legal' Hom' de vicineto: Comites autem & Barones non amercientur nisi per pares suos, &c. And by the Stat. of West. 1. (a) c. 6. it is provided, No Ci-
 ty, Borough, or Town, nor any Man, shall be amerced with-
 out a reasonable Cause, and according to the Quantity of
 the Trespas; a Freeman, saving his Contenement, a Mer-
 chant saving his Merchandize, and a Villain saving his Gain-
 age, and that by their Equals. And (b) 10 E. 3. 9, and 10.
William Freeman brought a Replevin against the Abbot of
Ramsfey, and others, that they had wrongfully taken his Cat-
 tle, &c. The Abbot avowed the taking, by reason he is
 Lord of the Hundred of F. within which Hundred he hath
 a Leet in the Town of M. (where the Plaintiff is resiant)
 to hold once a Year, *scil.* every Year after the Feast of St. Mi-
 chael, when he will warn it, &c. and at the Leet warned and
 held there at such a Day, &c. twelve were sworn to present
 Things presentable, which belong'd to their Oath, and that
 the said *William* was one of them; and after they had receiv-
 ed the Articles, they were commanded to answer to the Arti-
 cles, and to present, &c. and they refused; for which Cause
 the said *William*, and the others were amerced, and the A-
 mercement of him was assented to half a Mark; and for the
 said half Mark he did avow: And there Exception is taken
 by *Ashton* to the Avowry, because the Amercement was upon
 them all in Common, and the Asserance of the Amercement
 was severall, *scil.* upon *William* half a Mark, &c. *Purning*,
 It should be thus according to Law, for because all refused all
 shall be amerced, but every one shall be assented by himself, *sc-*
cundum quantitatem delicti; as in Assise of Novel disseisin all
 the Disseisors shall be amerced, and assented each by himself.
Ashton, If a Decenary or a Town is amerced in Eyre, the A-
 sserement shall be in Common, &c. *Purning*, It is not like
 the Case, for when a Decenary or Town is amerced, there is
 no certain Persons named, as there is in this Case; and the
 Avowry was awarded to be good: By which it appears, that
 a Fine imposed for a Contempt in Court ought to be assented.
 To which it was answered and resolved, That in the Case at
 Bar, the Fine imposed by the Steward was well enough with-
 out any Asserance, and therefore a (c) Difference between a
 Fine and an Amercement; for a Fine is always imposed and
 assented by the Court, but an Amercement, which is called in
 Latin *Misericordia*, is assented by the Country. And this Word
 (*asserer*) is as much as to say, *ponere in certitudinem, seu taxa-*
ra, scil. to assent or tax, and the Asserance as much as to say
 Assesment, or Taxation. And Asserers are Assessors, or
 Taxers, and are derived from this ancient French Word (*affe-*
rer) which signifies *taxare, &c.* And this appears by the Stat.

(a) 2 Inst. 169.

(b) 11 Co. 43. a.
Postea 40. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 73.(c) 11 Co. 43. b.
Co. Lit. 126. b.
Br. Amercia-
ment 25, 65.
Kelw. 65. 2.
Palm. 7. Cro.
Car. 275.
Carr. 28. 2 Inst.
196. 10 H. 6. 7. b.
Cro. El. 141.
1. Roll. Rep. 74.

of

(a) Antea 37. 2.
2 Inft. 196, 197.

(b) 2 Inft. 27.

(c) F. N. B. 76. a

(d) 11 Co. 43. b.

of *Westm. 1. c. (a) 18.* whereby it is enacted, That Amercements before Justices in Eyre, &c. shall be assessed by the Oaths of Knights and of honest Men; where this Word assessed is as much as to say assessed. And the Statutes of *Magna Charta*, and *Westm. 1. (b)* extend to Amercements, and not to Fines; for Amercements ought to be assessed, or taxed, or assessed *per Pares*, as if the Demandant or Plaintiff be Nonsuited, or if Judgment be given against the Tenant or Defendant, or upon the Bail because the Principal doth not appear, or upon the Plaintiff *quia non est prosecutus*, or *pro falso clamore*, or the like, &c. the Justices shall never assess any Amercement, but by the said Statutes they ought to be assessed *per Pares*. But the Court in such Cases saith, *Ideo in misericordia* generally, without taxing or assessing any Sum certain; and the (c) Clerk of the Warrants in the Com. Pleas makes Executions of these Amercements, and delivers them to the Clerk of the Assize within every Circuit, to deliver them to the Coroners in every County to assess, *i. e.* to assess the Amercements, which they do accordingly; and such Assessment by the Coroners in every County hath been held a Satisfaction of the said Stat. of *Magna Charta*, by which it is enacted, *Quod nulla pred' misericordiarum ponatur nisi per Sacrum' probor' & legal' Hom' de vicineto*: And the Coroners of the County were thought most indifferent, because they are chosen by the whole County: But if a Man be Nonsuited after the Jury be ready to give their Verdict, the Court may cause the Amercement to be presently assessed in Court by the same Jury, as it is held in (d) 18 Ed. 3. 13. 4. And it seems the Stat. of *Magna Charta* was but an Affirmance of the Com. Law, for *Glanville*, who wrote in the Time of *Hen. 2. Lib. 9. cap. 11.* saith, *Est autem misericordia Domini Regis, qua quis per juramentum legalium hominum de vicineto eatenus americiandus est, ne aliquid de suo honorabili contentamento amittat.* And *Fleta, Lib. 1. cap. 48.* recites the Statutes of *Magna Charta*, and *Westm. 1. Liber Homo non americietur, &c. nisi per Sacrum' Patrium suorum, viz. probor' & legal' Hom' de vicineto, qui facultatum suarum noticiam habeant pleniorum.* And *Bracton, Lib. 3. c. 1. fol. 116. b.* says, *De illis qui sunt in misericordia Domini Regis, & non sunt americiati, ad hoc videndum qualiter quis sit americiandus. Et sciendum est, quod miles & liber homo non americiabitur nisi secundum modum delicti, secundum quod delictum fuit magnum vel parvum & salvo Contentamento suo; mercator vero non nisi salva merchandiza sua: Et villanus autem non nisi salva wainagio suo: Et hoc per iudicium proborum Hom' de vicineto qui assidabunt simulcum serviente. Comites vero, vel Barones*

*non sunt ameriandi, nisi per pares suos, & secundum modum delicti, & hoc per Barones de scaccario, vel coram ipso Rege. * Clericus vero non ameriabitur secundum beneficium suum Ecclesiasticum, sed secundum quantitatem laici feodi sui, & secundum modum delicti: Et ad hoc fideliter faciendum affidabunt Ameriatores quod neminem gravabunt per Odium, nec alicui deferent propter amorem, & quod celabunt ea qua audiverunt. Vide*

38 Ed. 3. 31. a. (a) 9 Hen. 6. 2. b. 19 E. 4. 9. a. 21 Ed. 4. (a) Br. Amerciament 2. 47.
77. b. An Earl, Baron, and Bishop shall be amerced 100 s. Br. Nonfuit 62.
and 19 Ed. 4. 9. A Duke to 10 l. Vide (b) 1 Hen. 6. 7. b. in the Br. Amercement
Earl of Northumberland's Case. Note, that altho' the Stat. 48. 6 Co. 45. a.
of Magna Charta c. 14. be in the Negative, *Comites & Barones non amercentur nisi per pares suos, & non nisi secundum* (b) Br. Amercement
modum delicti, yet (c) Usage hath reduced it to a certainty. ment 33. 11 Co.
(c) 2 Inst. 28. 43. b.

But note, Reader, as to Amerciaments, this Difference between Amerciaments in Actions real or personal, of the Demandant, or Tenant, &c. or upon a Presentment or Indictment, as for not repairing a Bridge, or a Highway, &c. and the like; for as it is aforesaid, such Amercements, according to the said Acts, ought to be assayed *per pares*; and Amercements of any who hath Administration of Justice, or of any Officer or Minister who hath the Execution of the King's Writs, &c. for such Amercements shall be assayed by the Justices or Judges of the Court where the Cause depends. And there are two Reasons of this Difference. 1. These latter Sorts of Amercements are out of the said Statutes of Magna Charta and Westm. 1. for two Reasons. 1. The Words are (d) *Liber homo non amerietur, &c.* extend to private Men, and not to those who have Administration of Justice, nor to Officers or Ministers who have Executions of the K's Writs, &c. 2. The Words are, *Per Sacrum proborum & legalium Homine de vicineto*, which may have knowledge of the Abilities of the Parties, as Fleta saith, but that doth not extend to the Offences of Commission or Omission done by those who have Administration of Justice, or by Officers and Ministers who have Execution of Writs, &c. which Offences are done to the Court itself, and therof. by the Court ought to be assayed and assayed. The 2d Cause of the said Diff. is, *Quia eventus judiciorum sunt incerti*, and the Plaintiff or Defen. may have a probable Cause of Sute or Defence 'till he hears what the adverse Party can alledge and prove to the Contrary; and therof. it is great reason, that such Amercements which arise upon such Causes should be assayed *per pares* in the Country, according to the said Statutes, and not by the Court. But the Offence of one who hath the Administrat. of Justice, or of an Officer or Minister who hath Execut. of the K's Writs, in point of his Office, is *malum in se*, and hath not any Probab. or Colour of Excuse; and yet both the Kinds of Amercements are filed with this Word, *scil. misericordia*, because whosoever hath the assaying of them, ought

to use great Moderation: And this Diff. appears in our Books, and theref. in 22 Ed. 3. 2. a. *John of London's Case*, in a falſe Judgment, if the Judgm. be reverſed, the Suiters, who were the Judges, ſhall be amerced, and this Amercement ſhall be offered by the Juſtices, for the Suiters had the Adminiſtration of Juſtice; and therewith agrees the Book of Entries, *titulo Falſe Judgment, pl. 13. Et quod ſcctatores Curia pradicta ſunt in miſericordia, qua offeratur per Curiam domini Regis hic ad, &c.* And if the Sheriff returns, *Cepi corpus*, and hath not the Body at the Day, the Entry is, *Ideo idem Vicecomes in miſericordia, & offeratur per Juſtic' hic ad, &c.* And therewith agrees the Book of Entries, *Capias 19, 20.* So if a Writ be delivered of Record to the Sheriff to be executed, & *Vicecomes non miſt Breve*, the Record ſaith, *Ideo Vicecomes in miſericordia, & offeratur per Juſticiarios ad, &c.* and this appears in the ſaid Book, *Record 2.* So if a *Habeas Corpus* be directed to a Sheriff, Gaoler, or Keeper of a Priſon, &c. and he brings not the Body, &c. the Entry is, *Ideo idem A. in miſericordia, & offeratur per Juſtic' ad, &c. Et ſic de Similib'.* And in *L. 5 E. 4. 6. a.* it is reſolved by the Juſtices, That that which is aſſeſſed on an Officer or Miniſter of the Court, is called an Amercement, and not a Fine, but on a Stranger to the Court for a (a) Miſdemeanor it is called a Fine, and not an Amercement. But upon a Nonſuit in a real or perſonal Action, or Bar to the Demandant or Plaintiff, or Judgment againſt the Ten. or Defen. the Entry is *Ideo in miſericordia* generally, and that ought to be offered *per pares, F. N. B. 76.* So if *A.* be amerced on a Preſentment, for not repairing a Bridge, or a Highway in a Leet; *Ideo A. in miſericordia, & amerciamantum inde offeratur per offeratores in eadem Curia adtunc electos & juratos ad, &c. Vide the Book of Entries, Title Treſpaſs in Amercements 2.* So if one be amerced for Default of Suit at a Leet, the Amercement ought to be offerd *per probos & legales homines*, Book of Entries, *Repl' Amercement 2.* And as to the ſaid Book of (b) *10 E. 3. 9.* it appears, That the Amercement was offerd, but it doth not appear by whom it was offerd or aſſeſſed, and therefore it ſhall be intended to be done by the Steward; for in Truth it was a Fine: And it is to be known, That if a Jury, or a Leet, tax an Amercement, it is ſufficient without other aſſerment; for the Amercement is the Act of the Court and the Aſſerment of the Jury, and therewith agrees *8 H. 7. 4. Vide 7 Ed. 3. 15. b. Aſſelie's Caſe. 45 Ed. 3. 26. b. 27. a.* But if the Steward offeres an Amercement upon the Preſentment of the Jury it is void, and ſhall not bind, *vide 45 E. 3. 27.* But the Court ſhall aſſeſs Fines, and they ſhall not be offerd by any others, unleſs it be in ſpecial Caſes; and that not only upon Contempts and Miſdemeanors done in Court, but upon Writs of *Capias pro fine*, or upon Confeſſions, &c. as appears *Trin. 22 Hen. 7. Rott. 510.*

(a) Br. Fine pour Contempt. 39.
Br. Amercement 45.

(b) 10 E. 3. 9.
10. Anra 39. 2.
21 Co. 43. 2.
2 Roils Rep. 73.

in *Communi Banco*, where he who was taken by *Capias pro fine* prayed that he might be admitted *ad finem suum cum domi reg' faciend'*, & *admittatur pro 5s. solut' hic in cur' ad manus J. R. Cler' Rob' Read capiv' Justic' domi reg' hic in partem solut' pro reparatione & emendatione cistarum pro record' de banco hic in eisdem custod' ordinat' ex precepto curie*. And *Trin. 4. H. 8. Rot. 306.* in the like Case, & *super hoc finis eorundem T. & J. occasione prad' afferatur per Justic' hic ad 2s. &c.* But if a Juror appears, and is adjourn'd upon a Pain, and makes Default, in that Case, because he shall be fined according to the yearly Value of his Land, it shall be enquired of by the other of his Companions of the Jury; for in such Case the Court cannot know it, and therewith agrees 4 *Ed. 4. G. & 9 H. 4. 5. (a) Vide 20 Ass. pl. 11.* And (b) *finis dicitur quia finem litibus imponit*, and is not traversable, as it is held in 7 *H. 6. 13. a. i. e.* the Party redeems his Offence for a Sum of Money, and which makes an End of it, and of his Imprisonment for it, and for that Reason it is called also *Redemption*, as appears in the Judicial Register 31. *ad satisfac' nobis de Redemptione sua pro quadam transgress.* &c. And this Writ is called *Capias pro fine*, which Fine is expressed in the Writ by this Word *Redemption*; and the Stat. of *Marlebridge*, cap. 3. (c) *Non ideo puniatur Dominus per redemptionem, i. e. per finem*. Another Difference is, if a Man be convicted before the Sheriff in the County of a (d) Recaption, he shall be only amerced, but if he be convicted thereof in the Com. Pleas, he shall be fined; and the Reason of this Difference is, because the County Court is not a Court of (e) Record, and therefore cannot impose a Fine; for no Court can fine but such Court which is a Court of Record. *Vide F. N. B. 73. d.* And by these Differences you will the better understand your Books, which are plentiful in these Matters. As to the third Point it was objected, that for an Amercement of Things presentable in the Leet, the Lord may (f) distrain, but not for a Fine imposed by the Steward; but of that an Action of Debt lies. To that it was answer'd and resolv'd, that there are two Manner of Offences, some done out of Court and some done in Court; of those which are done out of Court, the Jurors of the Leet have Conufance, and therefore Power to present them, and to assess an Amercement for them, but for Contempts (g) and Misdemeanours in Court before the Steward himself, he hath Conufance of them, and therefore may impose a Fine for them, and thereof need not make Enquiry; so that those who have Conufance of the Thing are fit to impose a Fine or Amercement for the same Thing; and if for the Less, *scil.* for an Amercement of Offences out of Court a (h) Distress shall be incident of common Right, *a' fortiori*, for Fines imposed for Offences done in the very Court

(a) Br. Amercement 55. 60.
Br. Challenge. 109.
in Fine.
(b) Co. Lit. 120. b
262. a. 3 Bull. 144
Hard. 121.

(c) 2 Inst. 105.

(d) 11 Co. 43. b.
Postea 60. b.
120. a. F. N. B.
73. d.
(e) Postea 60. b.
120. a.

(f) Cr. Jac. 382.
1 Rolls Rep. 201.
Cr. Car. 533.
1 Rolls 665.

(g) Cr. Eliz. 241
Dyer 233. pl. 14.
211. pl. 31. Owen
113. Moor 470.
Cr. El. 581.
2 Rol. Rep. 1.
Cr. Car. 567.
1 Rolls Rep. 33.
(h) 41 Co. 45. a.
1 Rolls 665.
1 Rol. Rep. 201.
Dr. & Stud. 74. a.
Cr. Jac. 382.

a Distress shall be incident, *quia quod licitum est pro minore, & pro majore licitum est*; and a Fine is more than an Amercement, and both imposed by Authority of the Leet: And as nothing is more naturally to be punished by the Court Leet than Offences committed in the Court itself, so for no Sum imposed for any Offence, by Authority of the Leet, a Distress is more incident than for such as is imposed for Offences done in the Court itself. *Vide 8 Rich. 2. Avowry 194. 41 Ed. 3. 26. 45 E. 3. 8. 47 E. 3. 12. 2 H. 4. 24. 11 H. 4. 89. 7 H. 6. 12. 10 H. 6. 7. 12 H. 7. 15. 3 H. 7. 4. 21 H. 7. 40. F. N. B. 100. 23 H. 8. Br. Leet. 37.* And it would be hard to drive the Lord to his Action of Debt for every small Fine or Pain, but the Lord may distrain and sell them, or distrain and put them in the Pound, at his Pleasure.

Note, Reader, The said Custom, &c. *Eligere unum idoneum hominem de inhabitantibus infra manerium ad essendum Constabularium, &c.* well agrees with the Law; for the Common Law requires, that every Constable should be *idoneus homo*; i. e. apt and fit to execute the said Office; and he is said in Law to be *idoneus* who has these three Things, Honesty, Knowledge, and Ability; Honesty, to execute his Office truly without Malice, Affection, or Partiality; Knowledge, to know what he ought duly to do; and Ability, as well in Estate as in Body, that he may intend and execute his Office, when need is, diligently; and not for Impotency or Poverty to neglect it; for if poor Men should be chosen to this Office, who live by the Labour of their Hands, they would rather suffer Felons and other Malefactors to escape, and neglect the Execution of their Office in other Points, than leave their Labour, by which they, their Wives and Children live: And the Commonwealth consists in the well ordering of particular Towns, and Order will not be well observ'd in them but where the Officers are *idonei*, i. e. Honest, Knowing, and of Ability. And this Word (a) *idoneus* is oftentimes in Law attributed to those who have any Office or Function; and therefore if a (b) Coroner, who is also an ancient Officer, be (c) *minus idoneus ad Officium illud exequendum*, it is a good Cause to remove him. *F. N. B. 163, 164. Register 177. i. c.* If the Coroner be (d) *senio contractus, aut morbo paralyfis percussus, aut terras & tenementa in eodem Comitatu non habet, aut electus est in officio Vicecomitis, &c.* for he ought to be chosen Coroner, *qui melius sciat, & possit officium illud intendere*, as appears by the Words of the Writ *de Coronatore eligendo, F. N. B. 163. Regist. 177.* And so he who is Constable ought to be *idoneus*, i. e. *qui melius sciat & possit Officium illud intendere.* And in Letters Patents of incorporating of Inhabitants of a Town into

(a) 5 Co. 57. b. 2 Inst. 631, 632.
 (b) 5 Co. 57. b.
 (c) F. N. B. 163.
 (d) F. N. B. 163.

into Mayor, or Bailiff and Burgeſſes; the Words are, *Quod ipſi de ſeipſis eligere poſſunt unum hominem idoneum*, or, *duos homines idoneos*, &c. and the Law requires, that he whom the Patron preſents to a Benefice be *perſona idonea*, for the Words of the Writ of *Quare impedit* are, *Preſentare idoneam perſonam ad Eccleſiam de*, &c. & *proprie dicuntur idonei, qui poſſunt & volunt in Eccleſiis deſervire*, ſcil. *qui moribus, honeſtate, & literarum ſcientia ſunt decorati*. And if one be elected Conſtable who is not *idoneus*, he by the Law may be diſcharged of his Office, and another Man who is *idoneus* appointed in his Place.

Hill. 45 Eliz.

WHITTINGHAM'S Case.

THE Case in the Star-Chamber, Hill. 45 Eliz. was, That Richard Whittingham was seised of three Messuages, &c. in Craford, in the County of Kent, held of the Queen in Socage, as of the Manor of Newbery in Craford in Fee; and by his Will in Writing devised them to Prudence, his Bastard Daughter, and her Heirs, and died. Prudence, being within Age of 21 Years, by Deed, as was pretended, did enfeoffe Stephens and others of the said Tenements in Fee, and died within the Age without Issue, and whether this Feoffment should prevent the Queen of her Escheat was the Question; and on Consideration had with the two Chief Justices, it was resolv'd, That if there be Lord and (a) Infant-tenant, and the Infant makes a Feoffment in Fee, and executes it by Livery of Seisin by his own Hands, and afterwards dies without Heir, that the Lord should not take Benefit of any Escheat in that Case. And as to that, it is to be known, that there are three Manner of (b) Privities; *scil.* Privity, in Blood; Privity in Estate; and Privity in Law. Privies in Blood are meant of Privies in Blood inheritable, and that is in three Manners, *sc.* inheritable as General Heir; inheritable as Special Heir, and inheritable as General and Special Heir. Privies in Estate are, as Joint-tenants, Husband and Wife, Donor and Donee, Lessor and Lessee, &c. Privies in Law are, when the Law without Blood, or Privity of Estate, casts the Land upon one, or makes his Entry lawful; as the Lord by Escheat, the Lord who enters for Mortmain, the Lord of a Villain, &c. And first, Privies (c) inheritable, as General Heir, shall take Benefit of Infancy; and therefore if an Infant, Tenant in Fee-simple, makes a Feoffment and dies, his Heir shall enter.

The

(a) J Dyer 10.
 pl. 38. 4 Co. 125. a
 7 Co. 7. b. Post.
 45. a. 2 Inst. 483.
 49 E. 3. 13. a.
 30 H. 6. 42. b.
 7 H. 5. 9. b.
 3 Bulltr. 272.
 (b) Co. Lit. 271. a
 1 Jones 32. 3 Co.
 23. a. 4 Co. 123. b
 124. a. 2 Inst. 516.
 517.

(c) 3 Bulltr. 272.
 2 Inst. 483.
 1 Rollis Rep. 401
 Palm. 234. 254.

The same Law of him who is Heir General and Special : As if a Man gives Land to one and the Heirs Males of his Body, and the Donee within Age makes a Feoffment in Fee, his Son, who is Heir General and Special, shall enter : The same Law of him who is special Heir, and not general ; as if in the same Case the Donee had Issue two Sons, and the elder had Issue a Daughter, and the Donee died, and the elder Son, within Age, made a Feoffment, and died without Issue Male, the younger is Special Heir *per formam doni*, and shall avoid his Brother's Feoffment, altho' he be not General Heir, because he is Privy in Blood, and has the Land by Descent : So if Lands be given to one, and the Heirs (a) Females of his Body, and the Donee having Issue a Son and Daughter, makes a Feoffment within Age and dies, the Daughter being Heir Special, (to whom the Right of Entry descends) shall enter, and not the Son, who has nothing by Descent : So of the Heir in (b) Borough *English* ; for in all Cases, when any claims by Descent, as Special Heir, he shall take Benefit of a Right of Entry, which descends to him for the Infancy of his Ancestor : The same Law if his Ancestor were *Non compos mentis* at the Time when he made the Feoffment, because in these and the like Cases the Heir General cannot enter, because no Right or Title descends to him, but the Right descends to the Special Heir. So if Tenant in Tail, within Age, makes a Feoffment in Fee, and is (c) attainted of Felony, in that Case the Issue shall enter for the Infancy, yet he is not General Heir, for the Blood is corrupted.

Also Privies in (d) Estate (unless it be in some special Cases) shall not take Advantage of the Infancy of the other. And therefore, if Donee in Tail within Age makes a Feoffment in Fee, and dies without Issue, the Donor shall not enter, because there was Privy betwixt them only in Estate, and no Right accrued to the Donor by the Death of the Donee. So if (e) two Joint-tenants be in Fee, within Age, and one makes a Feoffment in Fee of his Moiety, and dies, the Survivor cannot enter by Reason of the Infancy of his Companion, for by his Feoffment the Jointure was severed so long as the Feoffment remains in Force ; and therefore in such Case the Heir of the Feoffor shall have *Dum fuit infra atatem*, or shall enter into the Moiety : But if (f) two Joint-tenants be within Age, and they join in a Feoffment, in such Case a Joint-right remains in them, and therefore if one dies, the Right shall survive, and the Survivor shall have the Right of the Land as from the first Feoffor : And theref. I conceive with *Litt. cap. (g) Discont. 44.* that the Survivor may enter in respect of the Right accrued to him, otherwise this Mischief will follow, That the Heir of that Feoffor who died can't enter, because the Right doth survive, nor shall

(a) Co. Lit. 337. b

(b) Co. Lit. 337. b

(c) Co. Lit. 337. a
Palm. 254.(d) 4 Co. 124. a.
1 Rolls Rep. 401. a
442. 3 Bull. 272.
2 Inst. 483.(e) Co. Lit. 337. b
39 H. 6. 42. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 401. a(f) 1 Rolls Rep.
401. Lit. S. 634.
Co. Lit. 337. a.
Palm. 254.(g) Lit. S. 3. S. 64.
Lit. fol. 142. 2. b.
Co. Lit. 337. a.

WHITTINGHAM's Case. PART VIII.

the Survivor enter, because he shall not take Benefit of the Infancy of his Companion, but that the Survivor shall be driven to his Writ of Right, which without Doubt he may have, because after the Feoffment the Joint-tenants might have joined in it. And if the Husband within Age makes a Feoffment in Fee and dies, the Heir of the Husband cannot enter to avoid this Feoffment, because nothing descended to him from the Husband; for the Law doth not respect what Estate the Ancestor gives, but what Estate he had before the Gift, and what Right or Title the Ancestor leaves to descend to his Heir: and therefore if an Infant be Tenant in Tail, and makes a Feoffment in Fee, and dies without Issue; his (a) collateral Heir cannot enter to avoid this Feoffment; for altho' by his Feoffment he gave Fee-simple, yet when he died without Issue, nothing descended to the Heir, in respect of which he could enter: So if Lands be given to one and the Heirs Females of his Body, and he has Issue a Son, and makes a Feoffment in Fee, and dies within Age without Issue Female, the Son shall not enter in this Case for the said Infancy, because no Right descended to him. So if an Infant be Tenant *pur* (b) *anter vie*, and make a Feoffment in Fee, and *Cestuy que vie* dies, the Infant or his Heir shall never enter upon the Feoffee, but he in Reversion or Remainder: But so far as the Infant himself, during his Life, might have entred upon the Feoffee in the Right of his Wife only, and not in respect of any Right which he himself had, it seems reasonable with *Littleton*, fol. 43. That the Wife in the said Case, when the (c) Husband within Age makes a Feoffment in Fee, may enter in her own Right, in which Right her Husband might have entred; and *eo potius*, because the Husband's Heir cannot enter: But if the Husband within Age takes a Wife Tenant in General Tail, and makes a Gift in Tail to another, by which he gains a new Reversion in Fee, there the Entry is given to the Wife for the Cause aforesaid, *i. e.* that the Husband might have entred in her (d) Right; also the Heir of the Husband who has the new Reversion descended to him, may also enter; but if he enters, and defeats the Estate Tail given by the Infant, presently the new Reversion by Act in Law vanishes from the one, and vests in the other, (e) and the Wife by Operation in Law shall be presently seized of her ancient Estate: for when the Estate tail is defeated, which was the Cause of gaining the new Revers. the Heir cannot have the Estate which his Ancestor had before the Gift; for his Ancest. before the Gift had nothing, but in the Right of his Wife, which determined by his Death, as it is held in * 4 H. 6.2. where the Case was, That a Man seized of certain Lands in the (f) Right of his Wife, made a Feoffment thereof by Deed indent. to certain Persons, upon Condition that

(a) 1 Rol. 675, 677.

(b) Co. Lit. 336. b

(c) Lit. S. 633. Co. Lit. 336. b. 337. a. Lit. 142. a. Palm. 254.

(d) Co. Lit. 336. b 337. a.

(e) Co. Lit. 336. b 337. a.

* 4 H. 6. 2. a. b. Fitz. Entre. congeable 1. Br. Entre. congeable 38. Br. Condit. 71. Br. Discontinuance 8.

(f) Co. Lit. 202. a

that

that they should lease the Lands again to the Husband and Wife for their Lives, with divers Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to the Right Heirs of the Husband, and afterwards the Husband died, the Feoffees leased the Land to the Wife for Life, with Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Wife, where it should be to the right Heirs of the Husband : And in that Case it is resolv'd, That for the Condition broken, the (a) Husband's Heir might enter ; for altho' no Right descended to him from the Husband, whose Estate determined by his Death, yet the Title of Condition, which he himself created on his Feoffment, and reserved to him and his Heirs, should descend after his Death to his Heir ; and so a Difference between a Title of Entry by Reason of a Condition, and a Right of Entry by Reason of Infancy ; for none shall take Benefit of the Infancy of his Ancestor, but he who has a Right descended to him from the same Ancestor ; but the Heir may take Benefit of a Condition, altho' no Right descends to him from the same Ancestor. Three other Points are in a Manner resolv'd in the said Case of (b) 4 H. 6. 1. That when the Husband's Heir enters for the Condition broken, thereby the Feoffment which made the (c) Discontinuance is defeated, and by Consequence, the Discontinuance itself is defeated : 2. That after the Heir of the Husband hath entered for the Condition broken, the Estate of the Heir vanishes, and the Estate is (d) immediately re-vested in the Wife, without Entry or Claim made by her ; for the Heir enters by Force of the Condition, and not in Respect of any Right, and there two Cases are put to prove it : 1. If Tenant for Life makes a Feoffment in Fee upon Condition, who enters for the Condition broken, now the Feoffment is avoided, and by Consequence the Reversion presently by the Entry re-vested. 2. If the Husband himself had entered for the Condition broken, it had re-vested the Estate in the Wife. The third Point observable in the said Case of (e) 4 H. 6. is, That altho' the Wife had accepted an Estate for Life, and so concluded herself by Acceptance to have any *Cui in vita*, yet when the Estate which she had taken is defeated by the Condition, the Conclusion by the Acceptance is also avoided. *Vide Littleton, cap. Discontinuance 43.* Privies (f) in Law, as Lord by Escheat, &c. shall never take Benefit of the Privy of Infancy, because he is a Stranger to him ; and when the Infant dies without Heir, the Feoffment is unavoidable. The same Law of (g) Coverture, and *Non sana memorie*, and so you will better understand your Books in 14 Ed. 3. *Dum fuit infra etatem* 6. F.N.B. 192. 21 E. 3. 50. *Dum fuit infra etatem* 2. 18 E. 2. (h) *Brev.* 831. 30 E. 3. 29. 45 *Edw.* 3. (i) *Palm.* 234.

(a) Co. Lit. 336. b. 2. a. 1. *Figz.* Entry conceivable 1. *Discontin.* 38. *Br. Condit.* 71. *Br. Discontin.* 8.

(b) 4 H. 6. 2. b. 3. a. b. (c) *Br. Discontin.* 8.

(d) Co. Lit. 202. a. 336. b.

(e) 4 H. 6. 2. b. 3. a. b.

(f) *Palm.* 234. 7 Co. 7. b. 4 Co. 124. a. 1 *Rolls* Rep. 401. 442. 22 H. 6. 2. a. *Br.* Entry conceivable 129. *Palm.* 234. 3 *Bull.* 272. 2 *Inst.* 483. (g) 1 *Roll.* Rep. 401. 3 *Bull.* 272. (h) *Palm.* 234.

WHITTINGHAM's Case. PART VIII.

49 Edw. 3. 13. 39 H. 6. 42. 34 H. 6. 31. 6 H. 4. 3. 9 H. 6. 6. 7 H. 4. 5. 2 H. 4. 13. 32 H. 6. 27. *The Abridgment of the Book of Assises*, 87. b. 7 H. 5. 9.

(a) 3 Bult. 59.

(b) Hard. 11.
1 Rollis Rep. 198.

(c) Hard. 11.
Co. Lit. 233. b.

(d) Co. Lit.
233. b. Hard. 11.
Cr. Cat. 536.

(e) 1 Rol. 85.
Co. Lit. 233. b.
Godb. 345. 365.

(f) Plowd. 364. b.
Co. Lit. 233. b.
Co. Lit. 54. a.
F. N. B. 59. l.
(g) Plowd. 364. b.
2 Infr. 401.

(h) 2 Infr. 383.
Co. Lit. 233. b.

It was also resolv'd, That if the Estate of the (a) Infant had been upon Condition to be performed by the Infant, and the Condition had been broken during his Minority, that the Land had been lost for ever. Note, Reader, As to that, it is to be known, that there are (b) two Manner of Conditions, *scil.* a Condition in Fact, that is, expressed, as to pay Money, or to do, or not to do some other Act, &c. and Condition in Law, that is, implied: Also Conditions in Law are of two Natures, *scil.* (c) by the Common Law and by the Statute: And Conditions in Law by the Common Law are in two Sorts, one which is founded upon a Confidence and Skill, and the other without Confidence or Skill; Conditions in Law by Statute Law are also of two Qualities, *scil.* When the Statute for Execution of the Condition in Law gives Recovery, and when the Statute gives an Entry and no Recovery; as to the Condition in Law, which is founded upon (d) Skill and Confidence, as the Offices of Parkership, Stewardship, &c. in Fee, which descend to an Infant, or a Feme-Covert, if the Condition in Law annexed to the said Offices be broken, it shall bar the Infant and Feme-Covert for ever; the same Law of Liberties and Franchises: But if the Infant or Feme-Covert be Lessee (e) for Life, or Tenant by the Curtesy, or Tenant in Dower, and the Infant, or the Husband of the Wife makes a Feoffment in Fee, and the Lessor enters for the Forfeiture, as he may, yet it shall not bar the Infant, or Feme-Covert, but that the Infant or Feme-Covert, after the Death of the Husband, may enter, for that is by Force of a mere Condition in Law, without any Skill and Confidence annexed to the Estate. If an Infant, or a Feme-Covert, Lessee for Life, commits wast, and the Lessor recovers in an Action of (f) Wast, it shall bind the Infant and Feme-Covert; For the Statute gives the Action to recover the Land. The same Law of (g) *Cessavit*, and of other like Cases: As if an Infant be Gaoler, and suffers an Escape, there an Action lies. But if the Condition in Law be by Force of a Stat. Law, which gives an Entry, and no Action, as (h) if an Infant, or the Husb. seised in the Right of his Wife, aliens in Mortmain, there, altho' the Lord, of whom the Land is held, enters; yet the Right of the Infant or Feme-Covert is not barred, no more than in the Case of a Condit. in Law by the Com. Law, which is grounded upon the Alienation of the Infant Tenant for Life, or of the Husb. &c. where Entry to the Lessor is given by the Com. Law. And so you will better understand your Books in 31 *Ass. pl.* 17. *Br. Covert.* 71. *Plowd. Com. Stowell's Case* 355. *Doctor and Student*, lib. 2. fol. 113. *Vide* 13 *Edw.* 3. *Age* 54. 14 *Edw.* 3. 88. 28 *Edw.* 3. 99. 2 *Edw.* 2. *Age* 132. 9 *Edw.* 3.

Note,

PART VIII. WHITTINGHAM'S *Case*.

45

Note Reader, That a Condition in Law by force (a) of a Statute which gives a Recovery, is stronger than a Condition in Law without a Recovery; for (b) if Lessee for Life make a Lease for Years, and afterwards enters into the Land and commits Wast, and the Lessor recovers in an Action of Wast against the Lessee for Life, he shall avoid the Lease for Years, made before the Wast committed: (c) But if Lessee for Life makes a Lease for Years, and afterwards enters and makes a Feoffment in Fee, the Lessor shall not avoid the Lease for Years. So if the Tenant makes a Lease for Years, and afterwards is attainted of Felony, or dies without Heir, the Lord by Escheat, altho' he recovers by Writ of Escheat, shall not avoid the Term. But afterwards it appeared in the principal *Case*, that the said supposed Feoffment of the said *Prudence* was executed by Letter of Attorney made by the said *Prudence*; wherefore it was resolved, That it was void, and that the Land did escheat to the Queen.

^{233. b.}

Co. Lit.

^{233. b.}

Co. Lit.

^{333. b.}

Ant. 42. b.

² Inf. 483.

⁹ Co. 76. b.

Mich.

Mich. 6 Jacobi.

In the Common Pleas.

JEHU WEBB'S Case.

JEHU Webb brought an Assise against Sir Thomas Knyvet, Knight, Lord Knyvet, John Freeburne, and Roger Rolles, de libero tenemento suo in Westminster, and made his Plaint, de Officio Magistr. ludorum pilarum palmarium (*Anglice*, the Office of the Master of the Tennis Plays) Domini Regis nunc in Westminster; and for his Title said, *Quod Officium præd' est antiquum Officium in Westm' præd', quodq; Dominus Rex nunc 27. Nov. Anno 5. by his Letters Patents, &c. ex certa scientia & mero motu dedit & concessit eidem Jehu præd' Officium Magistr' Ludorum pilarum palmarium tam infra palatium de West' præd', quam alibi dicti Dom' Regis nunc Angliæ, habend' & gaudend' præd' Officium eidem Jehu, &c. durante Tempore vitæ ipsius Jehu &c.* by Force whereof the said Jehu was seised of the said Office, with the Appurtenances, for his Life, and that he took and received the Profits thereof to his own Use, until the Defendants wrongfully, and without Judgment, disseised him, &c. and the Defendants pleaded, No Wrong, No Disseisin. And upon the Evidence to the Recognitors of the Assise in this Term, it was held *per totam Curiam*, That where the Grant was in *English*, *Of the Office of the King's Tennis Plays in Westminster*, &c. that this Grant should be taken in a reasonable Sense; that is to say, The Tennis Plays for the King's Household, and not only for the Tennis Play when the King himself Plays in his Royal Person; for the King is the Head of his Household, and theref. *a digniori parte*, the Tennis Plays for his Household may be well called *The K's Tennis Plays*: So where a Commission is made to take Boys singing in Cathedr. Churches &c. or other Places where Children are taught to sing, to furnish the K's Chapel, these general Words

Words by Construction of Law, have a reasonable Intendment; *scil.* that such Boys as are brought up and taught to sing, to seek and get their Living by it, may be taken for the K's Service, and it will be a good Preferment for them to serve the K. in his Chappel; but the Son of a Gentleman, or any other, who is taught to sing for his Ornament, Delight, or Recreation, and not thereby to get his Living, cannot be taken against his Will, or the Consent of his Parents or Friends; and so it was resolved by the two Chief Justices, and the whole Court of Star-Chamber, *Anno 43 Eliz.* in the Case of one *Evans*, who had by Colour of such Let. Patents taken the Son of *Clifton* (a Gentlem. of Qual. of *Norfolk*) who was taught to sing for his Recreation; which *Evans* for the said Offence was grievously punished. And in the Case at Bar divers Questions were moved, in what Cases an (a) *Assize* ^{(a) Co. Lit. 159. 2.} lay by the Common Law, and in what by the Statute of *Westm. 2. c. 25.* It is to be observed, That at the Com. ^{(b) 2 Inst. 409, 410, 411, 412, &c.} Law there was but two Forms of Writs of *Assize of Novel Disseisin*, *scil.* *Assize de libero tenemento*, and *Assize de Communia Pastura* for his Cattle, &c. which was so (d) necessary, that (d) 2 Inst. 411. without it his Freehold could not be manured, and therof. it appears in 35 *Ass. p. 11.* 4 *Edw. 2. Ass. 45. 11 Hen. 6. 22. a.* and other Books, that *Assize de libero tenemento* lay of Land, Rent, and all other Things whereof a (e) *Præcipe* (e) 2 Inst. 411. *quod reddat* lay at the Common Law. But of (f) Profits ap- (f) 2 Inst. 411. prender in *certo loco*, the Statute of *Westm. 2. Cap. 25.* gave an *Assize of Novel Disseisin*, in lieu of a *Quod permittat*, which was the Remedy for them at the Common Law, before the said Statute, as is to be seen in 4 *E. 3. Ass. 449.* 8 *E. 2. Ass. 385.* 16 *E. 2. Ass. 370.* 31 *E. 1. Ass. 440.* &c. And in some Case, the Statute gives an *Assize* in Case where there was not any clear and certain Remedy at the Common Law; for if one had not such Profits apprender but for Term of his Life, it was held that he should not have a *Quod permittat* for them, because such Writ was in the Nature of a Writ of Right, as appears in 30 *E. 1. Quod permittat 9.* where in a *Quod permittat* Battle was waged. *Vide* 4 *E. 3. 38.* 32 *Edw. 1. Juris utrum, 14.* *F. N. B. 124. B. C.* And therefore the Statute saith, *Quod breve Assisa nova Dissefina locum habeat (g) in plurib' (g) 2 Inst. 411. Casib' quam prius habuit:* And first the Statute begins, *Cum proficuis capiendis, colligendis, aut recipiendis in alieno solo, &c. ut in Boscis, Scil't de Estoveriis bosci, & proficuo capiend' in Bosco. 2. De (h) nucib' & Glandib' & aliis fructib' in alieno (h) 2 Inst. 411. etiam Solo colligendis,* which are Examples of Profits to be *F. N. B. 78. f.* taken in Woods. 3. *De (i) Corrodio,* and of the Parts (i) 2 Inst. 411. thereof, *qua pertinent ad Vicium & Vestitum, Scil't Libera- ratione*

JEHU WEBB's Case. PART VIII.

ratione bladi ac aliorum Victualium ac necessariorum, as Apparel, Lodging, Washing, &c. in certo loco annuatim recipiend', which is well explain'd by this Word *recipiend'*, for a Corody is properly to be received, and Estovers, and the other Profits to be taken, *scil. capiend' & colligend'*. So the first Profits are, *proficua capiend' seu colligend'*, and the Corody, &c. is *proficuum recipiend'*.

(a) 2 Infr. 58.
219. 412. Dav-
vis 13. a. 12 Co
33. F. N. B. 178.
(c).
(b.) Moor 46.

4. De (a) Tolneto, (¶ *ut Speciebus ejusdem*) Tronagio, Passagio, Pontagio, (b) Pannagio & hiis Simil' in certis Locis capiendis. Tolnetum, i. e. Theolonium, i. e. TOLL. Grace, & Latine Vestigal, quod dicitur a vehendo, quia praestatur de rebus que vehuntur, a vehendis mercibus sic dictum, unde dicuntur Vectores qui vehunt, & Bracton, lib. 2. cap. 24. numero 3. Si cui concedatur talis libertas, &c. quod Theolonium & Consuetudines capiatur, (which is the Word that the Statute uses, *scil. Capiendis*) infra libertatem suam de ementibus & vendentibus, &c. Vide Fleta, lib. 1. cap. 47. & Nota, Bracton wrote in the End of Henry the Third, Father of King Edward 1. and Fleta wrote in the Reign of King Edw. 1. who made the said Act. And in the Gospel of St. Matthew, cap. 9. ver. 9. *Jesus transiens vidit hominem sedentem ad telonium: Mar. 2. 14. Luc. 5. 27. Vide 13 Edw. 1. Assise 401.* No Assise lies of Suit to a Mill, but a Writ de Secta ad Molendinum, but of the Toll of a Market an Assise lies; and so of the Toll of a Mill. Vide 23 H. 3. 435. iii. Assise 427. acc. So of Toll thorough, Toll traverse, and Toll turn, and all these a Man shall have in his own Land; and yet he being disseised of them, shall have an Assise of them. *Tronagium est species Tolneti & dicitur a Trona*, which signifies a Beam with which Things are to be weighed, & *proprie tronagium exigi debet de ponderatione lanarum, & pesagium exigi debet de mercibus*, as appears by a Record in the Treasury, Hill. 5 E. 3. Lincoln' numer' 32. but the one is often taken for the other. And it appears by Fleta, lib. 2. cap. 12. which was written in the Time of E. 1. in which Time the Statute was made, that *Trona* signifies a Beam. *Passagium*, that is properly a Ferry for the Passage of Men and Cattel over a Water, for which the Owner has a Toll; for if a Man has Passage in the Barge or Vessel of another to the Church, or elsewhere, it is not any Profit, but an Easement, whereof no Assise lies, as it is adjudged in 31 E. 3. Ass. 44. and 19 E. 2. Ass. 399. and 34 Ass. p. 13. an Assise de novel disseisin doth not lie of a (c) Way, because it is but an Easement, and no Profit to be taken, *nullum proficuum recipiend'*, as the Stat. speaketh. (d) *Pontagium*, *Pontage* is a Toll for Passage or Car. over a Bridge, and thereupon it is called *Pontage*, as appears 3 E. 3. Ass. 445. and F. N. B. 227. and Register 259. Rex Collectoribus, &c. *Pontag' in villa de S. &c. Pannagium,*

(c) Br. Assise
337. Br. Chini-
numi 8. Br.
Pleint in Af. 31.
Fitz. Assise 317.
(d) Davis 15. a. b.

(a) *Pannagium* is a Toll for the Paving of a City, or a Cawfey, (a) Dav. 13. 2. or a Way, as appears in 3 *Ed. 3. Assise* 445. *F. N. B.* 227. *Reg.* 259. and in old Times it was written *pavagium*, or *pawvagi-um*, or *paviagium*, and by Corruption, *pannagium*, *fc. nn.* for *uu.* &c. The Statute goes farther, & *his similibus*, as for (b) *Murage*, *fc.* Toll for making of a Wall for Safeguard of Men in Time of War or Tumult, as appears in the said Books of 3 *E. 3.* and *F. N. B.* 227. *Register* 259. (c) *Cranage* is a (c) *Dyer* 352. Toll for drawing of Merchandizes out of Vessels to the Wharf, &c. and so called, because the Instrument is in the Form of a Crane. Vide *Dyer* 18 *Eliz.* 352. and the Book of Entries 3. So of *Stallage*, (d) *Picage*, *Wharfage*, *Anchorage*, (a) Dav. 13. b. *Pedagium*, *a pede dictum*, *quod a transeuntibus solvitur.*

5. De (e) *Officiis*, *Scil't Custodiis Boscorum, Parcorum, Fore-* (c) *F. N. B.* 178. *F.*
farum, Chaccarum, Warrennarum, Portarum, & aliis Ballivis Postea 55. a.
 & *Officiis in feodo*, *jacet de catero Assisa nova Disscissina*; which 2 *Inst.* 412.

Words (*de catero*) have perswaded divers, that an Assise of an Office did not lie at the Common Law, and so are some Opinions in 16 *E. 2. Ass.* 370, and that no Assise by the Statute lay of any Office, but of an Office in (f) Fee, because the (f) 2 *Inst.* 412. Assise came in lieu of a *Quod permittat*, which none could have at the Time of making the Act, as hath been said, but Tenant in Fee. Vide 4 *E. 2. Assise* 449. and 8 *E. 2. ibid.* 385. But it appears by our Books, that an Assise lay of an Office *ut de* (g) *libero tenemento*, at the Common Law, for (g) *F. N. B.* 178. *F.*
 of all that a *Præcipe quod reddat* lay at the Common Law, an 2 *Inst.* 412.

Assise lay. 7 *E. 3. 63. b.* in *Henry de Parker's Case*, a Writ of Entry in the *Per* and *Cui*, *de Balliva custodiendi parcum de Clarkell cum pertinentiis*; and 8 *E. 3. 55. b. 56. a.* the Bishop of *Salisbury's Case*, a Writ of *Aiel de Bedelria Hundredz de Cademinstre*, & nota there *Dictum Stoufe*, 10 *E. 3. 27. b. acc.* and 19 *Ed. 3. View* 77. *Ad terminum qui præterit* brought *de Bedelria de Soke of Winchester*; and Exception was taken, That it was a Profit issuing out of no Freehold, & *non allo-* (h) *Co. Lit.* 20. a.
catur. (h) 18 *Edw. 3. 27. a.* A Formedon of the Office of the Office of Serjeanty in the Cathedral Church of *Nichol*. Vide (i) 27 *H. 8. 12. a. 7 H. 6. 8. b. 7 (k) Ass. p. 12. 10 (l) Ass. p. 11.* The (i) *Co. Lit.* 838.
 Statute saith, *Office in Fee*; yet an *Assise* lies of an Office, (j) *Co. 33. b.*
 although the Disscisee has but an Estate for (m) Life; for (j) *Nevil's Case.*
 the Statute was made as to that in Affirmance of the Com- (k) *Postea* 47. b.
 mon Law, as in 30 *Ass. p. 4. Officium (n) Messoris*; 22 *H. 49 b. Br. Præ-*
 6. 9. b. the Office of (o) Packing of Cloths, &c. and if the (k) *Præcipe quod red-*
 Office of Sheriff is granted for Life, an Assise lies of it, (l) *dat* 1.
 9 *E. 4. 6. a. b.* the Office of one of the (p) Clerks of the (m) *Br. Assise*
 Crown in the Chancery, 18 *Edw. 2. Assise* 377. *Assise* 296. *Br. Assise*
 of the Office of the Bedell of the Hundred of *Westm* (n) *Br. Assise*
 and *Midd*, and 4 *Edw. 2. Assise* 449. and *ibid.* 8 *E. 2. 307. Br. Assise*
 385. (o) *Assise* 76.
 (p) *Br. Assise* 95.
 (q) *Fitz. Assise* 29.

JEHU WEBB'S Case. PART VIII.

385. The Archbishop of *Canterbury*, by Deed *dedit Johanni porteriam Curie sue Cantuariensis*, which Grant was but for Life, and yet an Assise lay. 8 *Edw.* 4. 16. *b.* an Assise of an Office (a) in the Common Pleas, 28 *H.* 8. *Dyer* 7. and 3 *Ma.* *Dyer* 114. an Assise lies of the Office of a (b) Philizer in the Com. Pleas, and the Post where he sits shall be put in View, 5 *Ma.* *Dyer* 153. Assise lies of the Office of the (c) Register of the Admiralty, which the Plaintiff had for Life. Note, Although the Proceedings in that Court be according to the Civil Law, yet the Offices are determinable by the Common Law: So of a Register of the Consistory of a Bishop, 31 *H.* 8. *Br. Grants* 134. Assise lieth of the Office of Steward, Bailiff, or Receiver of a Mannor; and all this is meant of Offices of (d) Profit, and not of an Office of Charge and no Profit, as it is held in 27 *H.* 8. 12. and 31 *E.* 1. *Assise* 440. *Vide* 21 *E.* 3. 4. *b.* And where some say in the Time of *E.* 2. as is afores. that an Assise was given by the said Stat. in lieu of a *Quod permittat*, there is (e) no Writ in the Register of a *Quod permittat* of an Office; and yet I have a Register of *H.* 2's Time, which was long before the said Act; and I conceive, that no Writ of *Quod permittat* lay of an Office, because a *Pracipe quod reddat* lay of it, as before is said; and therefore a Man shall have an Assise of an Office *ut de libero tenemento*, at the Common Law. And the Statute, as to Offices, was but a Declaration of the Common Law, as hath been said, and to remove a Doubt which then was. And so the said Words (*jacet de cetero, &c.*) are to be intended, that *jacet de cetero absque difficultate*. And it appears by the principal Case at Bar, and by the said Books of Offices in the Chancery, King's Bench, and Common Pleas, that although the Courts are removable in which the Offices are, yet if they be in a certain Place at the Time of the Disseisin, it sufficeth: For the Words *de proficuo in certo loco capiend.* extend to Estovers, &c. and not to the Clause of Offices. *Vide* 4 *E.* 4. 2. And the Statute saith, *in omnib' Supradictis Casib' modo consueto fiat Breve de libero tenemento*: So that now of all Profits appender *in certo* (f) *loco*, the Writ shall be *de libero tenemento*: And the old Writ is given in a new Case; and therof. in 11 *Ass.* p. 13. the Writ was general *de libero tenemento*, and the Plaintiff *de quatuor acris saliceti*; that is, four Acres of (g) sawcy, and to have reasonable Estovers in 100 Acres of Wood, *scil.* Housebote and Haybote, and the Plaintiff was challenged, 1. Because the Plaintiff ought not to be *de quatuor acris saliceti*, but *terra* or *prati*. 2. Because the Plaintiff had joined in one Plaintiff two Freeholds, one *scil.* the four Acres of sawcey at the Common Law, and the other, *scil.* the Estovers

(a) Fitz. Assise 28.

(b) *Dyer* 7. pl. 10.

114. pl. 63.

Godb. 48. *Dyer*

152. pl. 9.

2 *Brownl.* 12.

(c) 2 *Inst.* 412.

(d) 2 *Inst.* 412.

(e) 2 *Inst.* 412.

(f) 2 *Inst.* 411.
Moore 46.

(g) Fitz. pleint.
14. *Br. Assise* 167.
Br. Plainr., &c.
29.

Estovers by the Statute, *scil.* the Statute of West. 2. (a) *cap.* (a) 2 Inst. 409; 25. & *non allocatur.* And there it is said, *Quod isto Termin* 410, &c.

a Plaint of two Rents Services was adjudged good, for *liberum tenement'*, although it be in the singular Number, yet is *nomen collectivum.* Vide (b) 7 Ass. 18. and the Stat. farther (b) Br. Assise 116. Br. Plaint; &c. 10.

saith, & sicut prius jacuit, & locum habuit in Com' Pastura, ita de cetero locum habeat in Com' Turbaria, Piscaria, & aliis Com' bus Similib', quas quis habet pertin' ad liberum Tenement', vel etiam sine Tenemento per Speciali factum ad minus ad Terminum vite. And the Reason wherefore an Assise lay at the Com. Law, of Common of Pasture, and not of other Commons, was, because there was a special Writ in the Register for Common of Pasture, and not for any other Common; and in dieb' illis they held themselves (c) strictly to the Form and (c) 2 Inst. 407 Order of the Register, which is mention'd in the Stat. of West. 2. made 13 E. 1. c. (d) 24. Vide temp' R. 2. Grants 104. (d) 2 Inst. 405, 406, &c.

A Man shall not have a Writ of Assise, *quod disseisavit cum de libero estoverio*, and yet Bracton held, l. 4. f. 231. *quod (e) lo-* (e) 2 Inst. 412- cum habet Assisa de qualibet communia pertin' ad liberum tenementum, *sc.* Communia pastura, turbaria, &c. and 12 H. 3. Assise 417. That an Assise lay of Common of *Piscary, &c.* 2 Inst. 412

And these Opinions had great Probability of Reason, but because there wanted a Writ for them in the Register, for that Cause before this Statute an Assise did not lie of them, but a *Quod permittat*; and it appears by Bracton, lib. 5. *Tract' de Exceptionibus, cap. 17. fol. 413.* who wrote a little before the making of the said Act, That Original Writs cannot be (f) (f) 2 Inst. 407; changed, but by Act of Parliament. For there he divides Co. Lit. 73. b.

Writs into three Branches. *Sunt quedam Brevia formata (id est originalia) seu de cursu;* (which as appears there, were first formed and made by Authority of Parliament:) *Quaedam judicialia ex cis (id est, ex originalibus) sequentia, &c. Quaedam magistralia, (qua nec sunt de cursu, nec formata, id est, de aliqua certa forma) & sapius variant, secundum varietatem casuum factorum & querelarum:* (as are Actions on the Case, Actions of Deceit, Prohibitions, &c. which have not any certain Form, &c.) And of Writs or Originals formed or of Course, Bracton wrote divers remarkable Things, (g) *Breve quidem* (g) Co. Lit. 73. b. *cum sit formatum ad similitudinem regulae juris, quia breviter & paucis verbis intentionem proferentis exponit & explanat, sicut regulae juris rem qua est breviter enarrat, &c. Sunt (h) quedam* (h) Co. Lit. 73. b. *brevia formata sub certis Casibus de cursu, & de Comuni Consilio totius regni concessa & approbata, qua quidem nullatenus mutari poterint absque consensu & voluntate eorum.* By which it appears, That Writs formed, and of Course, that is, Originals, were at first authorised by Parliament, and without Parliam. cannot be alter'd or (i) chang'd, and therewith agree (i) 2 Inst. 407. Co. Lit. 73. b.

our Books, for inasmuch as the Original Writ of *Assisa ultime presentationis*

JEHU WEBB'S Case. PART VIII.

presentationis was formed in these Words, *Quis advocatus tempore pacis presentavit ultimam personam que mortua est*, this Form shall hold, and cannot be changed, although the Incumbent resigns, as appears in 18 E. 2. *Assise de Darrien presentment*, 20. &c. and F. N. B. 31 H. Also the Writ of *Warrantia Charta* is framed in these Words, *Quod iuste, &c. Warrantizet B. unum messuagium in D. &c. unde chartam habet, &c.* And yet if he be bound to Warranty by Force of an Exchange, or by (a) Homage ancestrel, the Form of the Writ shall not be alt. 9 E. 4. 49. b. 21 (b) H. 6. 8. a. &c. Fitz. Nat. Br. 134. and many other Cases may be put upon the same Ground. Also *Bracton* further saith, *Communia Brevia inter omnes pro jure generaliter observari debent cum sunt originalia, & actionibus originem præsent*: And therewith agrees *Fitzherbert* in his Preface to his *Nat. Brevium*: " In every Art and Science there
 " are certain Rules and Fundamentals, to which a Man
 " ought to give Credit and Belief, and which he cannot deny: In like manner, there are divers Rules and Fundamentals in the Knowledge of the Common Law of the
 " Land, to which a Man ought to give Credit and Belief,
 " and not deny them, which are very necessary for those who
 " will understand the said Law, especially at the Beginning,
 " for upon those (c) Foundations the whole Law depends;
 " for which Cause in Times past, a very profitable Book was
 " composed, called the *Register*, which contains divers Principles, by which he shall be well instructed who would understand the said Laws:

And that was the Reason, that forasmuch as there was no Original Writ formed of *Assise of Novel Disseisin*, of Common of Turbary, Piscary, &c. but only of Common of Pasture, for that Reason no *Assise of Novel Disseisin* lay of them before the said Statute of 13 E. 1. And with *Bracton* agrees *Fleta*, which Book was wrote a little af. the said Act of 13 E. 1. *Dicuntur brevia cum sint formata ad similit' regule juris que brev' & paucis verbis intenti proferenti exponit & explan'*: sicut regula juris rem que est brev' enarrat, &c. Et sunt quedam Brevia formata sub certis Casibus, & quedam de cursu, que Consilio totius regni sunt approbata, que quidem mutari non poterint absque eorundem contraria voluntate. Sunt & Brevia ex eis sequentia, que dicuntur *judicialia*. And whereas afterwards, at the same Parliament of *Westm.* 2. 13 E. 1. (d) cap. 24. it is enacted, Et (e) quotiescunque de cætero evenerit in Cancellaria quod in uno casu reperitur Bre', & in consimili casu cadente sub eodem jure & simili indigente remedio non reperitur, Concordent Clerici de Cancell' in Bre' faciendo, vel atterminent querentes in proximi' Parliament', & scribantur Casus in quibus concordare non possunt,

(a) Dyer 8. pl. 16. 7 H. 7. 2. a. Br. General brief, &c. 13 in medio. Br. General brief, &c. 8. 24 E. 3. 35. b. F. N. B. 134. f. 2. And. 96. (b) Br. Warrantia Charta 18.

(c) Co. Lit. 73. b. 3 Co. 33. a.

(d) 2 Inst. 405, 406, &c. (e) 2 Inst. 407.

possunt, & referant eos ad proxim' Parliament' & de Consensu Jurisperitorum fiat Breve, ne contingat de cetero quod Curia Domini Regis deficiat Conquerentibus in Justitia perquirendq. And the Preamble of the said 24th Chapter was consonant to the Conclusion, *de cetero non (a) recedant que-* (a) 2 Inst. 406
rentes a Curia Regis sine remedio. By Force of which Act, the Writ called the Writ *de Ingressu in consimili casu*, was formed by the Clerks of the Chancery, as it is adjudged in 3 E. 2. Entry 8. which was given in the same Age that the said Act was made, and F. N. B. 206. f. Vide 38 E. 3. 13. Note, Reader, at the Time of the making of the said Act, as it appears in *Fleta, lib. 2. cap. 13.* The Clerks of the Chancery (which are meant in the said Act) were grave, wise, and circumspect Men, sworn to the King, and of profound Knowledge in the Laws and Customs of England; for the Words of the Book are, *Est inter cetera quoddam Officium quod dicitur Cancellaria, quod viro provido & discreto, &c. magna dignitatis debet committi, &c. cui associentur Clerici honesti & circumspecti, Domino Regi jurati, qui in Legibus & Consuetudinibus Anglicanis notitiam habeant pleniorum, &c.* And the Writs which they form, are called (b) (b) 2 Inst. 40.
Brevia Magistralia, because these Clerks, for their Knowledge, were called *Magistri Cancellaria*, as those who wrote *Brevia de Cursu* were, and yet are called *Cursorii*. And as the Writs which the Curfitors write are called *Brevia de Cursu*, so the Writs which the Masters draw in difficult Cafes, are called *Brevia Magistralia*. But when such Clerks, so knowing of the Law, failed, then the Judges in many Cafes, gave Allowance to ancient Forms of Writs, and drove the Party to make a (c) special Count when the Writ doth warrant the Count in Substance, although there be Variance in (c) F. N. B. 33.
 Circumstance, as in the said Cafes of *Darrien Presentment*, and (d) *Warrantia Charta*, and many others, the Substance (d) 2 And. 96.
 of the one is the Avoidance, and Death or Resignation, but F. N. B. 124. f.
 the Circumstance; and in the other Warranty is the Sub- 24 E. 3. 35. b.
 stance, and the Manner of it but the Circumstance. But when Br. Gen. Brief,
 the Case will not bear it, (as in *Assise de Communia Pastura*, &c. 8. 7 H. 7. 2. a.
 he cannot make his Complaint of common of Piscary, Turba- 21 H. 6. 8. a.
 cy, &c.) then *ultimum refugium* is to refer it *ad proximum Par-* Br. Gen. Brief,
liamentum, as the Statute speaks. *Nota*, Reader, in the said &c. 13. in Me-
 Statute of *Westm. 2. cap. 24.* a little before it is said, *Et in* dia.
Registro de Cancellaria non est inventum aliquod Breve in isto
Casu speciali: And therefore the Statute provides Remedy in
 it, by which appears also the Antiquity of the (e) Re- (e) Co. Lit. 16b.
 gister, which is as ancient as Original Writs, the Antiquity 73. b. 159. a.
 whereof see in the Preface to the Third Part of my
 Reports. And in the principal Case, Exception was
 taken to the Plaint, because in his Title he saith,

H

Quod

JEHU WEBB's Case. PART VIII

Quod Officium prædictum est antiquum Officium in Westm' prædict': quodque prædictus Dominus Rex nunc, &c. per Literas suas Patentis, &c. concessit eidem Jehu Officium Magistri Ludorum pilarum palmarium, &c. Habend' idem Officium eidem Jehu, durante vita sua, &c. And doth not shew that any Profit belongs to the said Office, and a Man shall not maintain an Assise of an Office without Profit, as the Book is in (a) 27 H.

(a) 27 H. 8. 12. a. Antea 47. a. Br. Præcipe quod reddat 1.

(b) Fitz. Assise 296. Antea 47. a. Br. Assise 307. Br. Plein; &c. 16.

(c) Cr. Car. 50. 31.

(d) Fitz. Office del Court 6. Fitz Plein 4. Br. Plein; &c. 19.

(e) Antea 47. a. Br. Assise Br. Assise 76.

(f) Br. Assise 95. Fitz. Assise Antea 47. a.

8. But it was answered and resolved, That the Plaintiff was well enough: For true it is, that of an Office of Charge no Assise lies, as it is held in 30 *Ass. pl. 4. 8 E. 4. 22. and 27 H. 8. 12. and 30 Ass. pl. 4.* There Assise was brought of the Office of Reaper of the Mannor of *D. cum pertinent'*, and there *Shard* took a Difference between an ancient Office and a new Office; For in an Assise of a new Office first erected and appointed, there the Plaintiff ought to shew what Fee or Profit is granted for the exercising of it, for it cannot have a (c) Fee and Profit belonging to it, as an ancient Office may. And there *Burton* saith, that their Opinion was, That if a Man makes Plaintiff of the Office, and of the Profit, the Plaintiff had abated; for by the Recovery of the Office, the Fee and Profit, as Parcel of it, shall be recovered; and therewith agrees the Book in 8 *E. 4. 22. b.* where in an Assise of an (d) Office in the Common Pleas, he made his Plaintiff of the Office and Fee, and Wages and Commodities; and *Choke* excepted against it, because he complained of one Thing twice, *scil.* of the Office and of the Fee: *Jenney*, who was of Counsel with the Plaintiff, we have amended our Plaintiff; For it is but of the Office, with the Appurtenances. *Pigor*, Then the Plaintiff is not good: For a Man shall not maintain an Assise of an Office without Profit: And the Court held the Plaintiff good as to that, and therewith agree 5 *Edw. 4. 3. (e) 22 H. 6. 9. b. 9 Edw. 4. 6. a. b.* And it is to be known, That if a Man be disseised of the whole Office, he shall have an Assise *de Officio cum Pertinentiis*; but if he be disseised of Parcel of the Profits, he may have an Assise of these Parcels only, 22 *H. 6. 11. b. 13 Edw. 3. Plaintiff 23.* If a Man gives me the Keeping of his Park, taking two Pence *per Diem*, and a Robe, the Plaintiff shall not be of the Office or Keeping, if I be not disseised of the whole Office: But if I be disseised of the Robe or Fee, the Plaintiff shall be only thereof. And 3 *Edw. 3. Assise 175.* An Assise of *Novel Disseisin* was brought, and he made his Plaintiff of a Profit appender, for the Keeping of the Park and Wood of *Preston*, every Day of the Year a Penny Half Penny for his Roulter, and

and a Robe, and the Assise was maintained: And there *Scrope* held, That if I have a Corody to take four Loaves, and four Flagons of Ale in the Week, although they are but one Corody and one Freehold, yet if I be disseised only of the Bread, I shall not complain of both, *scil.* of the Ale and the Bread, but only of the Bread, otherwise my Plaint shall abate: But if I be disseised of two of the Loaves only, I shall make my Plaint of all the four Loaves, with which agree (a) 22 H. 6. 9. b. and 12 Ass. pl. 23. Assise was brought of Meat and Drink, as appurtenant to an Office. And in the principal Case at Bar, the Assise found for the Plaintiff against Roger Rolls, in duobus Sphæristeriis, Anglice, Tennis-Courts, quorum unum vocatur, the Close Tennis-Court, & alterum vocatur, the Brake in Westm' præd, and assessed Damages and Costs, and acquitted the Lord Knyvet and John Freeborn, of the Disseisin of the Office aforesaid. Ideo consideratum est quod prædictus Jehu recuperet seisinam suam versus præfatum Rogerum de Officio prædicto in prædictis duobus Sphæristeriis per visum recognitorum Assise prædictæ, with Damages and Costs, & prædictus Rogerus in misericordia, & prædictus Jehu in misericordia, pro falso clamore suo versus præfat' Tho. Knyvet, & Johannem Freeborn, eo quod ipsi de disseisina prædict' superius acquietati existunt, & prædict' Thomas & Johannes Freeborn eant inde sine diez &c. And it is to be known, that at the Common Law, the Assise was *remedium maxime festinum, & maxime beneficiale: festinum*, for therein the Defendant shall not be (b) essoigned, nor cast a (c) Protes-
tion, also the Defendant shall not pray in (d) Aid of any but only of the King, neither shall he (e) vouch a Stranger, nor any Party to the Writ, unless he enters presently into the Warranty: The same Law of (f) Receipt: Also the (g) Parol shall not demur for Nonage, either of the Plaintiff nor Defendant; and in many other Respects the Assise is *Remedium maxime festinum*: it is also *maxime beneficiale*; for in no Action at the Common Law, a Man shall recover the Land it self and Damages, but only in an Assise against the Disseisor. Vide the Statute of Gloucester, cap. 1. And in some Case a Man shall have an Assise of *Novel disseisin* at the Common Law, when he himself is seised of the Freehold of the Land: As where the Lord, &c. doth often distrein, (h) that the Ter-tenant cannot manure his Land; in that Case the Ter-tenant may have an Assise, and the Writ shall be general, but he shall make a special Plaint, that the Lord, &c. did

(a) Antea 47. et 49. b. Fitz. Assise 10. Br. Assise 76.

* Postea 66. a. 2 Inst. 410.

(b) 1 Roll. 822.

(c) 14 H. 6. 22. b.

(d) 2 Inst. 410.

(e) 21 H. 6. 42. a.

(f) 21 H. 6. 42. a.

(g) 2 Inst. 410.

(h) Plowd. 85. b.

Br. Protec. 53.

Co. Lit. 131. a.

(d) 2 Inst. 410.

9 H. 5. 14. a.

14 H. 6. 22. b.

(e) 2 Inst. 410.

F. N. B. 78. e.

2 Roll. 7. 5. 748.

Plowd. 85. b.

Br. Voucher 146.

9 H. 5. 14. a.

(f) 2 Inst. 410.

(g) 2 Inst. 410.

(h) F. N. B. 171.

2 Inst. 414.

27 Af. 51. Br.

Assise 273.

28 Af. 50. Br.

Assise 290.

28 Co. 11. b.

11 Co. 44. a.

JEHU WEBB's Case. PART VIII.

did often distrain, &c. and the Judgment shall not be *quod Querens recuperabit seisinam tenementorum predictorum*, for the Plaintiff himself is seised of the Freehold; but the Judgment shall be that he shall have and hold the Land, *absque multiplici distinctione*, &c. So in *casu quo quis pascit aliterius seferale*, the Ter-tenant shall have an Assise by the Common Law. And the Stat. of *Westm. 2. (a) c. 25.* is but an Affirmance of the Common Law; for in the same Manner he shall have an Assise for Fishing in his several Piscary, or Turbary, and the Writ shall be general, as appears by the Statute. But the Plaintiff in his Plaint ought to shew, that the Defendant claiming Common of Pasture in his several with his Cattle, &c. and the Judgment shall not be that he shall recover the Seisin of the Tenements, &c. but that he shall have and hold them in Severalty; for the Plaintiff himself is in Seisin of the Freehold, and therefore he cannot make his Plaint to be disseised of his Freehold; for it is not so; and then the Judgment would not pursue it. *Vide F. N. B. 178. b. 27 Ass. pl. 30. & 51. 28 Ass. pl. 50. Westm. 2. c. 25.*

(a) 2 Inst. 409,
410, 411, 412,
&c.

Mich.

Mich. 6 Jacobi.

Which began Hill. 5 Jac. Rot.
254I.

SYMS'S Case.

*J*ames Game brought a Formedon in the Remainder a-^{Cro. Jac. 217.}gainst *William Syms* and *Mary* his Wife, and demanded ^{218.}a House, forty five Acres of Land, six of Meadow, &c. in *Wethermonford*, &c. in *Essex*, of a Gift made by *Henry Winter* to *Stephen* his Son, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, &c. the Remainder to *John* his Son, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, &c. the Remainder to *Alice Winter*, and her Heirs; and that the Demandant was Son and Heir to *Alice*, and that *Stephen* and *John* were dead without Issue, &c. The Tenant pleaded in bar a Fine levied by the said *Stephen* to *William Brown* of the Tenements aforesaid; by which he did grant, That he and his Heirs Warranti' tenementa præd' cum pertinenc', &c. contra omnes Homines; after which Fine the said *John* died without Issue, and afterwards the said *Stephen* died without Issue. Post cujus mortem Warrantia ipsius *Stephani prædicti* in fine prædict' superius specificat' descendebat præfata *Alicia* ut sorori & *Heradi prædicti Stephani*, and after the Descent of the Warranty the said *Alice* died, Post cujus mortem Warrantia prædict' descendebat præfat' *Jacobo* ut filio & *Heradi præd' Alicia*, & petit Judicium si præd' *Jacob' Actionem suam præd' contram Warran' præd'* &c. The Demandant confessed the Fine aforesaid, &c. and the Death of *John Winter* without Issue, and that the said *Stephen* died prout, &c. Sed ulterius dicit quod præd' Warrantia prædicti *Stephani* descendebat præfata *Alicia* ut sorori & uni *Heradi prædicti Stephani*, ac cuidam *Thomia Rampton* ut alteri *Cohæredi præd' Stephani*, and

shewd how, and after the Death of the said *Alice*, the said Warranty *quoad un' medietat' Tenement' præd' descendebat eidem Jacobo ut Filio & Heredi præd' Alicia, &c.* Upon which the Ten. did demur in Law, Whether the War. which descended on both the Heirs, should bar the said *Alice* and her Heir for the whole, or but for the Moiety, forasmuch as the War. descended on both, and *Alice* only had Right to the said Land, was the Quest. And it was obj. That it should be a Bar but for one Moiety, because the War. doth not only desc. on her, but on the other Sister: And the Case in (a) 45 *Edw.* 3. 23. a. b. was cited, which is abridged by *Br. * Garrantie* 14. where he abridges the Case to this Effect: Husb. and Wife Tenants in special Tail, to them and the Heirs of their Bodies, have Issue a Daughter, the Husband discontinues the Estate Tail with War. and the Wife dies; and he took another Wife, and had Issue another Daughter, the Husb. dies, the elder Daughter took Husb. and they brought a Formedon, &c. and the Tenant did rebut for one Moiety by Reason of the War. and Assets, and recov. for the other Moiety, for he could not rebut for the other Moiety, because the War. did not desc. only on her. But it was resolved by *Coke C. J. & tot' Cur'*, That in the Case at Bar, *Alice* and her Heir was barred for (b) the whole; for the War. is entire, and extends to the whole Land, and is a Bar to every Person on whom it descends of all the Right which she had in the Land; and if each of them had Right jointly or sev. each is barred; and if one only had Right, and the other nothing, she which had the only Right shall be barred of the whole, for as to that purp. the whole War. desc. to each of them. And therewith agrees a Case adj. in the Point in 5 *Edw.* 2. *War.* (c) 78. where *Sibil* brought a *Sur cui in vita*, of the Seisin of *Margery* her Grandm. the Ten. pleaded, That the said *Sibil* is Coheir with *Elin* and *Maud*, to one *Walter* their Cousin, whose Heirs they are, and that the said *Walt.* did enfeoff the Ten. with War. Judm. &c. and there it is obj. That in the manner as one shall vouch, he ought to rebut, but you can't vouch us, (*quasi diceret*, that he should not vouch one only, because the War. desc. on all, and in the like manner, that he should not rebut only against one, but it ought to be for a 3d Part, because the Demand. is not sole Heir to the War.) To which (d) *Hervy*, C. J. answ. You are three Coheirs, and the Tenant may bar you all, *ergo*, one alone; and he shews the Deed with Warranty, of *Walter*, whose Heirs you are, which you do not deny, wherefore, by Judgment of the Court, you shall take nothing, &c. And threewith agrees 4 *Hen.* 7. 18. b. where it is held, That if three (e) Coparceners alien in Fee with Warranty, every Warranty shall be collateral to the other, and yet the Warranty of her who first dies, descends on both the others. The Case in 6 *Edw.* 3. 50. a. b. was in effect, That *A.* seized

(a) Fitz. Vouch. 73. Postea 52. a. 1 Mod. Rep. 182. * Postea 52. a. b.

(b) Cr. Jac. 218.

(c) Co. Lit. 373. b. 1 Mod. Rep. 182.

(d) 1 Mod. Rep. 182, 183.

(e) Fitz. Warranty 6. Br. Warranty 50.

A. seised of three Acres, made a Feoffm. to one *B.* with War' and died seised of the other two, having Issue two Daughters, who made Partit. and each had one Acre, the Wife of *A.* purchased the Part of one Coparcener, and afterw. brought a Writ of Dower against *B.* who vouched the two Daughters and Heirs of *A.* the one (who had enfeoffed the Demand. of her Part) entered into the War. as one who had nothing by Desc. the other pleaded all the said spec. Matter, pretending, that forasm. as the Demand. had purchas'd the Part of one, that she should not recov. the whole in Value against the other, but for a Moiety, because the War. did desc. on both. But it was adj. That she should recov. the whole Value against her who had by Desc. for when two Heirs are vouched, and the one hath nothing, the other who hath, shall answer the whole in Value, and yet the War. did not desc. upon him alone. And it was lawful for the Wom. Demand. to purchase the Part of one, and therefore it shall not prej. her. *Vide* (a) 11 H. 4. 20. a. b. 41 Ed. 3. 3. (b) 10 H. 7. 13. And it was said, That the Book in (c) 45 Ed. 3. 23. a. b. doth not war. any such Opin. as hath been collected, for the princip. Case in the Book at large (which is the Foundation it self) is, that in a *Præcipe quod reddat* the Tenant did vouch two as Heirs, and said, that one was within Age, and prayed that the Parol might demur; the Demand. said, That he was full of Age, and prayed that he might be inspected in Court; upon which Process was awarded 'till *Sequatur sub suo periculo*, at which Day he came not, nor no Writ was return'd, and the Demand. prayed Judgm. for the Moiety, because one of them who was vouched made Default, and prayed *Summons ad War'* against the other; to which the Demand. said, That *Summons ad War'* he could not have, because he who is vouch'd is Demandant. To which the Ten. said, That the Ancestor of those who are vouched by Deed which here is, did enfeoff one *R.* with War. whose Est. we have, Judgm. if against the Deed, with Averm. that he had Affets by Desc. To which the Demand. said, That he had nothing by Desc. And the Court gave Judgm. for one Moiety in respect of the Default of one of the Vouchees which the Tenant had lost by his Voucher, for which Moiety he could plead nothing; and for the other Moiety, altho' he had vouched the Demand. by a strange Name, and so in a manner pleaded in chief, yet forasm. as the Demand. had toll'd him of this Voucher as to him, because he is Demandant himself, he might plead the Warranty and Affets in bar for the other Moiety. Upon which Plea no Judgment is given in the Book, and therefore the Court regarded not the said Collection or Inference of the Lord (*d*) *Brook*, forasm. as the Book is adjudged on another Point, *scil.* on Default of one of the Vouchees; but it was affirmed *per tot-*

(a) Br. Garrant-
ty 21. Br. Recov-
ery in Value 38.
(b) Br. Garrant-
ty 77.
(c) Antea 51. b.
Fitz. Voucher 73.
Br. Garrantty 14.
4 Mod. Rep. 182.

(d) Br. Garrant-
ty 14. Antea 51. b.
Postea 52.

tam Curiam, That in the said Case of War. and Affets, the lineal War. is as entire as the colat. War. but inasmuch as the

(a) *Co. Lit.* 374. b. (a) lineal War. is not a Bar to the Issue in Tail without Affets, and Affets is to be adj. according to the Value, which may be more or less; for this Reas. the lineal War. ought to follow the Affets, because without Affets the lineal War. is no Bar. As if War. and Affets be pleaded in a Formedon brought of four Acres, each of the yearly Value of twelve Pence, and Issue is taken on the Affets, and it is found that but one Acre of the Value of twelve Pence desc. it is no Bar but for one Acre, as it is held in 40 E. 3. 15. a. (b) 21 E. 3. 9. b. against the Book in 21 Edw. 3. 38. b. But otherwise it is in Debt or * Annuity brought against the Heir, because Lands of their Nature are divisible, and the Demand. in Formedon may recov. Part, and be barred of the Residue; but Debt and Annuity in such Case are entire, and not divisible, as it is said in 5 R. 2. Annuity 21. *Annua nec debitum* (c) *Judex non separat ipsum*. And the Opinion of the Lord Brook, altho' it was not well col. out of the Book, was affirmed for good Law, if the just Affets of the Land in demand, and no more descends on the Demand. and the other Daughter, for then the Demand. ought to recov. the Moiety, because she hath no Affets, but as to one Moiety, and the lineal War. only is no Bar. But if the Moiety of the Land which desc. on the Demand. be of equal Value to the Land in demand, she shall be barred of the whole, for a lineal War. when Affets to the Value of the Land in demand descend, is as entire and full a Bar as to the Demand. as a col. War', desc. the War. in the one Case or in the other, on the Demand. only, or on her and any other. And the Stat. of Gloucester c. 3. (e) enacts, That if the War. of the Ten. by the Courtesy be pleaded, the Heir of the Wife shall not be barred by the Deed of his Fath. from whom no Inherit. desc. &c. And if Inherit. doth desc. from the Part of the Fath. then he be barred of the Value of the Inherit. which descends to him. By Force of which Stat. the colat. War. which without Affets was a Bar entirely to the whole by the Com. Law, is now but a proport. Bar, having respect to the Affets desc. and the lineal War. and Affets pleaded in Formedon in Descender, is taken within the Equity of the said Act; as it is resolv'd in 11 Edw. 2. (f) *Statham Gar.* 21 Edw. 3. 28 b. 38 Edw. 3. 23. a. b. &c. *Plowd. Com. in Fulmerston's Case*, fol. 110. a. But where in the said *Fulmerston's Case* it is said, If in the one Case or the other there be no Affets descended at the Time of the Assise of *Mortdancer*, or *Formedon* brought, but (g) afterwards Affets descend, that the Tenant shall have a *Scire facias* in the Case of Warranty made by Tenant by the Courtesy, to have the Land which was of the Seisin of his Mother, and not of the Affets by the express Purview of the said Statute

(b) Plow. 440. a. b.
Postea 134. b.
Br. Warantia
Chartæ 30. In
Medio.
f. 2 Inft. 293.

(c) Hnt. 53. Lit.
247. 61. Co. Lit.
250. a.

(d) Antea 11. b.
52. a. Br. Gar-
ranty 14.

(e) Co. Lit.
365. a. b. 366. a.
2 Inft. 292, 293,
294. Plowd. 110. a.
21 H. 7. 11. a.
Wing. Max. 23.

(f) 11 Ed. 2.
Statham Gar-
ranty 24. 21 E. 3.
28. b. 29. a.

(g) Co. Lit. 366. a.
Plowd. 110. a.

Statute of *Gloucester*, and in the Case of Warran, made by Tenant in Tail, which is taken within the Equity of the said Act, the Issue in Tail shall have a (a) *Scire fac'* to have the Affets which afterwards, descend, and not the Land given in Tail; and Equity is the Reas. that the Case taken within the Equity of the form. Law shall not fol. the Purview thereof, but shall have anoth. and diverse Construkt. for Equity requires that Incertainty should be avoided, as the Author of Contention, and that there should be an End of Contro. accord. to Equity and Right, the final End of all Laws: And therof. the said Stat. of *Gloucester* well provided an absolute and just End in the Case of Ten. by the Courtesy of Land in Fee-simp.; for when the Ten. in such Case after Affets descended, recovers the Inher. of the Moth. which he hath purch. it makes an End of that Contro. so that the Demand. or his Heirs shall be by Force of the Act barred for ever to claim the Land. But if in the said Case of * Formed. the Ten. after Af. desc. shall have a *Scire facias* for the Land intailed, then if the Af. shall be aliened, the Issues inherit. to the Estate Tail may by Writ of Formed. in Desc. recov. the Land in Tail, which will be Cause of new Suit and Contention and will not answ. the final Intent. of the Purview of the said Act; and therof. accord. to the Rule of Wisd. (b) *Sapiens incipit a fine*, to make a perpet. Bar as well in that Case as in the oth. it was adj. reasonab. and conson. to the final Intent. of the Makers of the said Act, That in that Case of an Estate Tail the Ten. should have a *Sci'fa'*, to have the Af. which desc. to the Issue in Tail in Fee-simp. which as to them shall be a perpet. Bar against him and his Heirs, which in just and proportion. Equity, agrees with the oth. Case. *Vide* (c) 43 E. 3. 26. a. & (d) 40 E. 3. 39, &c. Where it is held, That if War. and Af. be pleaded against the Issue in Tail, and if the Demand. hath nothing by Desc. and Land desc. to the Issue afterw. he shall have a *Scire fac'* to have the Value. But it is in none of the said Books expressed, how the Ten. shall demean himf. in Pleading to take Adv. of the Af. which desc. afterw. And therof. if in a (e) *Mortdan*, *Cosnage*, *Aiel*, *Besaiel*, &c. the Ten. pleads the War. of the Ten. by the Courtesy with Af. or in a Formed. the Ten. pleads a lineal War. and Af. and the Demand. takes Issue on the Af. and it is found by Inq. that nothing desc. whereby the Demand. recov. and aft. that Recov. Af. desc. the Ten. shall never have a (f) *Scire fac'* to take the Benefit of the said Act, for divers Reas. 1. Because the said Trial is (g) as peremp. to him, as if Af. had been found by the Inq. it had been to the Demand. 2. There is no Record on which he can ground his *Scire fac'*. 3. By the putting himf. upon the Trial of Af. he hath waved the Adv. which he might have taken upon the said Act. 4. He who will take Benefit of that Act, ought not to begin with a Falsity. But if the Ten. will take Benefit of the said Act, he ought to plead the War. and acknow. the Demand.

(a) Co. Lit. 366. a.
Plowd. 110. 2.
2 Inst. 293.

* 2 Inst. 293.
Plowd. 110. 2.
Co. Lit. 366. 2.

(b) 10 Co. 25. b.
Co. Lit. 70. b.
127. b.

(c) Br. Scire fac
29. 17. Br. Affets per Descent
32. Br. Discontinuance de Possession 30.
(d) Statham Formedon 2. Br. Affets per Descent
17.
(e) 2 Inst. 293.
294.

(f) Co. Lit. 366. a.
Cr. Car. 373.
2 Inst. 293.
(g) 4 Leon. 136.
Noy 149.

Demand. Title, and pray that the Advant. of the Stat. when Af. shall desc. be saved to him, &c. and upon that Record, when Af. desc. he shall have (a) *Sci' fa'*, and that stands with the Let. and Intent. of the said Act; for the Words are (by Writ of (b) *Judgm. which shall issue out of the Rolls of the Justices.*) So that the *Sci' fac'* ought to be grounded on the Rolls of the Justices. Also the Stat. goes farth. To resummon the War. as it hath been done in oth. Cafes, *scil.* where the Warrantee or Vouchee comes into C. and saith, That nothing is desc. to him from him by whose Deed he is vouched, &c. which if the Ten. doth not deny, &c. he shall have the Ben. of the Af. which shall afterw. desc. to the Vouchee; but if he takes Issue that Af. desc. to him, and that by Inq. be found against him, that nothing desc. he shall never have any Ben. of the Af. which shall afterw. desc. to the Vouchee. But it was said, If Af. be found by Inq. but not to the (c) Value, there the Demand. shall have Ben. of the Af. which afterw. desc. because the Vouchee's Plea is false; and on the oth. Part, it would be hard to drive the Demand. who is a Stranger, to know the precise Value of the Land which the Vouchee hath by Desc. but he may well take Knowledge, whether he hath any Land by Desc. from the same Ancest. or not. And in this Case divers good (d) Differences were obs. which do appear in our Books. 1. Between a collat. War. and an Estoppel; for a collat. War. binds the Right of him who claims not by him who makes the War. but an Estoppel shall bind only the Heir who claims the Right of him to whom the Estop. was: As at the Com. Law, bef. the said Stat. of *Gloucester, cap. 3.* If the Husb. had aliened the Land which he had in the Right of his Wife with War. and the Husb. and Wife died, in that Case the Right desc. from the Wife, and yet that War. being collat. to the Title of the Land, should bar the Wife's Heir. But it is adj. in 18 *Edw. 3. g. b.* That where (e) Lands were convey'd to the Husb. and Wife, and to the Heirs of the Husb. and the Husb. gave them in Tail, and the Husb. died, the Wife should recov. the Land against the Donee by Writ of *Cui in vita*, supposing that she had the Lands to her and her Heirs in Fee; the Wife aft. the Recov. enfeoffed anoth. and died, the Donee in Tail died without Issue, the Issue of the said Husb. and Wife, brought a Formed. in the Reverter against the Feoffee of the Wife; and altho' the Issue was Heir to the Wife who was estopped by the said Recov. in the *Cui in vita*, to say that she had a lesser Estate than a Fee-simp. yet the Issue who claims the Revert. of the Land as Heir to the Husb. shall not be (f) bound by that Estop. made by the Wife, altho' he be Heir to her also, for then by her own Act, the Wife who had but an Estate for Life, might bar the Heir who had Right, and who claim'd as Heir to his Fath. But a collat. War. cannot take Effect without the Act of God, *scil.* the Death of him who makes it, and in the mean time the Estate to which, &c. may be debated. But in this Point the Warrantee and the Estoppel

(a) Cr. Car. 373.
Co. Lit. 366. a.
2 Inf. 293, 294.
(b) Plow. 110. a.
2 Inf. 294. Co.
Lit. 366. a. 365. b.

(c) Co. Lit. 366. a.

(d) Co. Lit. 365. b.

(e) Co. Lit. 365. b.
Fitz. Estop. 215.

(f) 1 Jones 459.
Cr. Car. 525.
Co. Lit. 365. b.

Concur, both which shall descend on the general (a) Heir, to him who made the War. or Estoppel, and not on the particular Heir, as on the younger Son, and not on the Sister of the half Blood, &c. as appears in (b) 35 H. 6. 34. and as the Heir who doth not claim the Land as Heir to him who made the Estoppel, as hath been said, shall not be bound thereby for his Disadvant. So in the same Case he shall not be capable of Advant. unless it be in special Cases; and therefore it is adjudg'd in 38 Ed. 3. 10. a. b. That if a Wom. (c) *Mesne* binds her self to acquittal to the Tenant and his Heirs, and after the Woman takes a Husb. and the Tenant by his Deed grants to the Husb. that he nor his Heirs shall be bound to the said Acquittal, and afterw. the Husb. and Wife have Issue and die, this Issue altho' he be Heir to the Husb. yet because the Line of Acquittal descends to him as Heir to his Moth. he shall not be capable of the said Advant. of the said Discharge, *quia* (d) *Hæres dicitur ab hereditate, & non hereditas ab Hærede*. But therein also there is a Difference between Advantages in gross, as in the Case of (e) 38 E. 3. and Advantages which by the Grant are made appurtenant or incident to anoth. thing: As if a Man be seised of a House in the Right of his Wife, and another grants to the Husb. and his Heirs, to have suffici. Estovers to burn in the same House, in that Case the Estovers are appurtenant to the House, and shall descend to the Issue of the Husband and Wife. So if one hath a House of the Part of his Moth. and one grants to him, that he and his Heirs shall have competent Houfbote to be burnt in the same House, this is appurtenant to the House; and altho' it be a new Purchase, yet it shall go with the House to the Heir of the Part of the Mother. The same Law if a Man hath a (f) Rent-seck by Descent of the Part of his Mother, and the Ter-tenant grants to him and his Heirs, that they shall distrein for the Rent, &c. this is as appurtenant to the Rent, and shall go with the Rent to the Heir of the Part of the Mother; and so it is held in (g) 5 E. 2. *Avowry* 207. in *Jordan's Case*. That where *Alice* was seised of a Mannor in Fee, and took to Husband one *Jordan*, and had Issue *Sibil*; and afterwards *Jordan* and his Wife died, and *Sibil* was seised of the Mannor, as Heir of the Part of her Mother, who before the Statute of *Quia Emptores terrarum* did enfeoff *P.* of Parcel of the Mannor by four Shillings Rent, and afterwards *Sibil* died without Issue: And there the Question was, Who should have this Seigniorie and Rent of four Shillings newly created, whether the Heir of the Part of the Mother, or the Heir of the Part of *Jordan's* Father? And there *Beresford* Chief Justice of the Bench, said, When *Sibil* enfeoffed *P.* of Parcel of the Mannor which descended to her from *Alice*, these Services were then appurtenant to the Remainder of the Mannor, wherefore the Death of *Sibil* without Heir of her Body, could not defeat that Appendance.

(a) Co. Lit. 12. a. 337. b. Hob. 31.

(b) Hob. 31. Br. Estoppel 23. 9. Co. 8. a. Plow. 136. a. b. 35 H. 6. 34. b. 34. a. Fitz. Estoppel 57.

(c) Co. Lit. 13. a. Fitz. Meinc 27.

(d) Co. Lit. 8. a. 3. Co. 89. a.

(e) 38 E. 3. 10. a. b. supra.

(f) Co. Lit. 12. b. 13. a.

(g) Co. Lit. 12. b. Br. Tenure 86.

pendance. So it is held in 7 H. 6. 4. b. That if a Man be seized of Land of the Part of his (a) Mother, and maketh a Gift in Tail rendring Rent, this new Rent which is incident to the Reversion, shall go with the Reversion to the Heir of the Part of the Mother. And it is to be known, that there is a (b) Difference between Estoppels or Conclusions which stand upon Recompence, and other Estoppels which stand upon Affirmance or Admittance of any Matter, by Matter of Record : As if an Abator marries with the right Heir, and has Issue by her, and the Abator makes a Lease for Life rendring Rent, and he and his Wife die, in this Case the Issue has the meer Right of the Part of his Mother ; and yet if he accepts the Rent, and makes Acquittance, it shall estop him and his Heirs to avoid the said Lease, in respect of the Acceptance of the Recompence ; and therewith agrees 39 H. 6. 27. Vide 28 H. 6. 24. But an Estoppel which accrues by Admittance, &c. of Record, shall not conclude the Heir who claims not the Right by the same Ancestor, as it is adjudged in (c) 18 E. 3. aforesaid.

(a) Co. Lit. 12. b.
1 Co. 100. b.
Br. Discent 11.

(b) 2 Bulfr. 43.

(c) 18 E. 3. 9. b.
Antea 53. b.
Co. Lit. 365. b.
Fitz. Estop. 219.

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

Roger Earl of RUTLAND's Case.

Roger Earl of (a) Rutland brought an *Affise of Novel Dis-* (a) 1 Bullf. 45.
seisin against Gilbert Earl of *Sherwobury*, and others, com- 2 Brownl. 229.
 plained he was disseised of his Freehold in *Clipson*, in the 230. Jenk. Cent.
 County of *Nottingham*, and made his *Plaint* to be disseised de 233.
 (b) *Officio Custodis parci, vocat' Clipson Par, ac de Pannagio & (b) Antea 47. 24*
Herbagio præd' parci de Clipson præd' cum pertinen', & pro Titu-
lo Liberi Tenementi de Officio præd' ac de Pannagio & Herbagio
præd', the Plaintiff said, That Queen *Elizabeth* was seised of
 the said Park in Fee, in the Right of her Crown, and
 19 March, An. 10. by her Letters Patents granted to *Thomas*
Markham, Ar' *Officium Custodis parci sui, vocat' Clipson Park,*
 (without saying *prædict'*) *ac eundem Tho' Markham præd' par-*
ci sui vocat' Clipson Park, fecit, ordinavit, & constituit per eas-
dem Literas Patentes, To have and to hold to him for Term of
 his Life, with all Fees due and accustomed: And further
 granted by the said Letters Patents, to the said *Thomas Mark-*
ham, Pannagium & Herbagium prædict' Parci sui de Clipson, To
 have and to hold the said Pannage and Herbage to the said
Thomas Markham for Term of his Life. And afterwards
 Queen *Eliz.* so seised of the said Park as is aforesaid, died
 thereof seised. After whose Death the said Park descended
 to the King that now is; *Ac præd' Tho. Markham de præd' Of-*
ficio & Pannagio & Herbagio præd' in forma præd' seisi' exi-
ssen', idem Dominus Rex 9 die Junii An. Regni sui primo per
Literas suas Patentes, &c. recitando præd' Statum præd' Tho.
Markham de & in Præmis. præd', Sic, ut præfert', Sibi concessis,
concessit eidem Com' Rutland' præd' Offic' Custodis præd' Parci, voc'
Clipson Park, To have and to hold to him for his Life, *quam*
cito Officium præd' per Mortem, Sursum redditionem, Forisfactu-
ram vel aliquo alio modo quocumq; vacaverit, with all Fees, &c.
 and further granted by the same Letters Patents, the said Herb.
 and Pan. to the said E. of *Rut.* for Term of his Life (without
 shewing when the Estate of the Herb. and Pan. (c) should begin)

(c) 2 Brownl.
 229, 230. 234-242.
 Jenk. Cent. 283.
 1 Brownl. 27.

Earl of RUTLAND's Case. PART VIII.

ad complem & integre prout pred' Tho. Markham, &c. habuit, tenuit, seu gavisus fuit, &c. And afterwards the said *Tho. Markham, & Marii, Anno quarto Regis nunc* died, after whose Death the Plaintiff was seised of the said Office, and of the Herbage and Pannage, till disseised by the Defendants. To which the Defendants pleaded the general Issue, *Nul tort, nul disseisin*; and as to the Office, the Recognitors of the Assise found for the Plaintiff; and as to the Herbage and Pannage, they found the Letters Patents at large *ut Supra*, and if the Herbage and Pannage past by the said Letters Patents to the Plaintiff, they found for the Plaintiff, &c. And the Matter in Law was such, The King grants the Herbage and Pannage of the Park of *C. to Markham* for Life, and afterwards the King reciting the Grant to *Markham*, and that he is living, grants the Herbage and Pannage of the said Park to *R. Earl of Rut.* for his Life, without shewing when it shall *(a)* begin. And if the Grant of the Herbage and Pannage to *R. Earl of R.* were good or not, was the Question. And it was objected, That the said Grant to *R. Earl of R.* was void for the Incertainty of the Beginning thereof, for it cannot begin presently, because *Markham* had it then for his Life, and it doth not appear whether the K. intended it should begin after the Death of *Markham*, or Forfeiture, or Surrender, or when it should begin, and for such Incertainty the Grant in the K's Case shall be void. And the Cases in *(b)* *3 H. 7. casu ult. (c)* *6 H. 7. 14. a.* and *(d)* *8 H. 7. 12. b.* were cited, that the K. cannot grant the *(e)* Reversion of an Office which one hath for the Term of his Life; but may recite that such a one, *habeat & teneat tale Officium pro termino vite sue*, and grant *Offic' pred' tali, habend' post mortem, &c.* but if the K. grants the Office to another (without such special Recital) for Life, the second Grant is void. *Vide 11 E. 4. 1. b. 32 E. 3. Avowry 112.* But it was resolved by *Coke* Chief Justice, *Walmsley, Warburton, Daniel, and Foster*, Justices, That the said Grant of the Herbage and Pannage was good; and in this Case divers Points were resolved: 1. That the K. has divers Manners of Inherit. 1. Some to give only in Posses. and not in Rever. as a Corody in a House of Relig. or to present one to a Church of his Patronage, as it is agreed in *39 H. 6. 48.* for in these Cases, and other like, the K. has but a Presentat, or Commendat. of a Pers. when the Corody or Church is void, and not bef. and can't give the Corody, or present to the Church in Rever. 2. The K. has some Inherit. which the K. may grant as well in Rever. as in Pos. but he cannot use or exer. them himf. as *(f)* Offices, *vide 1 H. 7. 29. b.* a Man grants an Office of Serv. as Forestership, &c. *cum omnibus terris eidem Officio pertinentibus*, the Remaind. to the K. in Fee; in this Case, altho' the K. cannot be Officer to any one, yet the K. is capable thereof, to grant the Office to anoth. which he himf. cannot use or exer. also such Office may be forfeited to the King, and the King shall have an Inheritance in it to give,

(a) 2 Brownl.
229, 230, 234.
242. Jenk. Cent.
283. 1 Brownl.
27. Postea 56. b.

(b) Br. Patent 52.
Fitz. Grant 35.
(c) Br. Patents 54.
(d) Br. Patents 57.
Fitz. Grant 42.
(e) Postea 57. a.
10 Co. 61. a.
Dyer 80. pl. 58.
11 Co. 4. a.
March. Rep. 41.
Cr. Car. 279.
Dyer 259.
pl. 18. 2 Rolls
154. Co. Lit. 3. b.
Hob. 150, 151.
4 Inst. 202.
11 E. 4. 1. b.
Cr. Jac. 17, 18.

(f) 10 Co. 61. a.
Co. Lit. 3. b.
Bridg. 30. 1 H. 7.
29. b. Br. Pre-
rogative 125. Br.
Grant 83. Fitz.
Grant 32. Plow.
382. 379. b.
10 H. 7. 18. b.

give, &c. *Vide* (a) 3. 6. (b) and (c) 8 H. 7. before. 3. Other-⁽²⁾ 3 H. 7. casu
 Inheritances the King has, as well to use and enjoy himself, ultim. Br. Pa-
 as to grant to another, *scil.* the Possession, Remainder, or Re- tent 52. Fitz.
 version; as Houses, Lands, Rents, Commons, Herbage and Grant 35. An-
 Pannage, and the like, which are Parcels of his Inheritance. tea 55. b.
 2. It was resolved, That the King was not (d) deceived in his (b) 6 H. 7. 14. 2.
 Grant, for he has recited the Estate of *Markham* which he dr. Patents 54.
 had in the Herbage and Pannage for Term of his Life, and Antea 55. b.
 that *Markham* was then living, so that the King well knew (c) 8 H. 7. 12. Br.
 that he could not grant that in Possession, which another then Patents 57. Fitz.
 held for his Life, but it ought to take Effect as it might by Grant 42.
 Law. And the Case of the Lord *Chandos*, in the sixth Part (d) 1 Co. 46. a.
 of my *Reports*, was resolved to be a stronger Case, for there 51. a. 52. b. Moor
 the King mistook the Law, thinking that by the surrender of 45. 164. 9 H. 6.
 the Letters Patents, the Estate Tail was extinct, and that he 28. b. Lane 110.
 thereupon was seised in Fee, and therefore he granted the 2 Co. 33. b. 5 Co.
 Mannor in Possession, and yet the (e) Reversion did pass with- 94. a. 6 Co. 29. b.
 out any Word of Reversion, for the Grant ought to take Ef- 55. b. 7 Co. 12. a.
 fect, as by Law it may; which Case of the Lord *Chandos* was 10 Co. 112. b.
 affirmed to be good Law, *per totam Curiam*. But in the Case 11 Co. 4. b. 90. a.
 at Bar the King mistook nothing, nor took upon him to grant Hob. 223. 229.
 that which he could not grant, nor was deceived in any Part Cr. Car. 198.
 of his Grant: And when the King's Charter may be taken (f) Yelv. 48. 2 Rolls
 to two Intents good, in many Cases it shall be taken to such 188. Dyer 339.
 Intent as is most beneficial for the King; but if it may pl. 47. 352. pl. 26.
 be taken to one Intent good, and to another Intent Co. Ent. 38. 4. a.
 void, then for the King's Honour, and for the Benefit 41 Aff. 19. Br. Pa-
 Benefit of the Subject, it shall be taken in such Manner as the tent 38. Br. A-
 King's Grant may take Effect, for it was not the King's Intent lienar. 31. Plov.
 to make a void Grant. And therewith agree the Reason and 132. a. Mod. Rep.
 Rule of the Book of (g) 21 E. 4. 44. b. where King *Richard* 190. Kelw. 8. b.
 the Second granted to the Abbot of *Walsham*, That he and 12. b.
 his Successors should not be *Collectores Decimarum, &c.* Con- (e) 6 Co. 55. b.
 cess. *Regi per Clerum Anglia, nec alicujus inde parcel.* in that 56. a. 66. b. Co.
 Case, if the Grant be taken literally *per Clerum Anglia*, Lit. 324. b. 10 Co.
 that is, *per totum Cerum Anglia*, the Grant is void; for all 107. a. b. Cr. Car.
 the Clergy of England never met at one Convocation, but 400. 5 Co. 124. b.
 every Province has a several Convocation, and therefore 4 Co. 364. Dyer
 the King's Grant shall not be taken in such Sense, for then 125. pl. 45. 233.
 the Grant will be void; but it shall be taken in such Sense pl. 10. 11. Plowd.
 as it may stand with Law, and that is by the Clergy, as 155. a. 159. a. 30 E.
 the Clergy can grant Tenths, and that is in their seve- 1. Grant 86. 7 E.
 ral Provinces; and that is also a stronger Case than the 4. 20. Fitz. Feof.
 Case at Bar, for here the Words of the Grant may well 22. Fitz. Grant
 stand with the King's Meaning, *scil.* to grant the Her- 97. 35 H. 8. Br.
 bage and Pannage in Reversion, for in Possession he Grant 90. 2 Rol.
 cannot grant it; but in the Case of 21 E. 4. the Words Rep. 180. 277. 278.
 of the Grant, and the King's Intent varying, the Letter Hob. 224. Lane
 gives Place to the King's Intention. And the Case of 37. Br. N. C. 267.
 Sir (h) *John Molyns*, in the Sixth Part of my *Reports*, Lit. Rep. 18.
 (f) 2 Brownl. 234.
 (g) 1 Co. 45. 2.
 8 Co. 167. a. 11 Co.
 11. a. b. 1 Bullst.
 6. 10 Co. 67. b.
 Kel. 175. a. 198. a.
 2 Siderst. 141.
 3 Leon. 243.
 2 Rolls 35. 4. a. b.
 200.
 (h) 3 Keb. 234.
 Dyer 269. pl. 19.
 Br. Parent 71. 90.
 1 Co. 45. a. Br.
 Exemption 9.
 8 Co. 167. a.
 11 Co. 11. b. Plowd.
 32. a. 126. a. 143. b.
 331. b. Fitz. Gr.
 29. Br. Expofit.
 28. Moor 165.
 Hard 500. 2 Rol.
 Rep. 275. 2 Siderst.
 82.
 (b) 6 Co. 6. a.
 Postea 77. a.

Earl of RUTLAND's Case. PART VIII.

was cited, in which Case also the Words were against the King's Intent, for at the Time of the Grant there was not any Chief Lord, for then all Seigniories were extinguished; but yet for (a) the King's Honour, and to make his Grant take Effect, it was adjudged, that the Tenure was revived.

3. It was resolved, That there was not any Incertainty in the said Grant of the Herbage and Pannage to the Plaintiff, when it should take Effect in Possession; for it shall (b) begin when the first Grant shall be ended or determined, and although it may determine by sundry Ways, *scil.* Death, Surrender, or Forfeiture, yet it can determine but once, and which of them first happens, then the Grant to the Plaintiff shall begin; So that there is not any Incertainty in this Grant, for it is implied in Law, that the second Grant shall begin after the Determination of the first Grant, & (c) *expressio eorum que tacite insunt nihil operatur.* And therefore, if the King reciting that such a one holds the Mannor of D. for his Life, grants the said Mannor to B. for his Life; in this Case the Law implies, that the second Grant shall take Effect after the Determination of the first Grant; the same Law of a Gift in Tail, or a Grant in Fee. And it was said by Coke (d) Chief Justice, and affirmed by the other Justices, That of late Times such nice and strict Construction hath been strained by some of Letters Patents to subvert the Force and Effect of them, that many good Letters Patents are drawn in Question, which is to the King's Dishonour, the Dishonour of the Subject, and against the true Reason and ancient Rule of the Law, as appears in all our Books, & *talis certitudo certitudinem confundit*, such nice and captious Pretence of Certainty confounds true and legal Certainty, & (e) *maledicta Expositio est qua corrumpit & confundit Textum.* And it was said, That it was resolved in Auditor King's Case, That where Queen Eliz. granted a Mannor to B. (f) and his Heirs, (in the Premises of the Letters Patents.) To have and to hold the said Mannor to B. and his Assigns (leaving out Heirs in the *Habendum.*) that the Fee of the Mannor did pass by the Premises of the Letters Patents, and the *Habendum* was void; for the Premises were certain enough to pass the Fee-simple, and the Omission of Heirs in the *Habendum* should not overthrow that which was certain in the Premises. Which Case was affirmed for good Law, *per totam Curiam*, for the Queen's Intent appeared to pass the Fee-simple by the Premises, and her Grant ought to be construed (g) *secundum intentionem Regis, & non in deceptionem Regis*; and when a literal and strict Construction is made to make his Grant void, *contra intentionem Regis*, it founds in Deceit of the King, and is a great Indignity to him; *propter apices juris* to make his Charter under the Great Seal, of Things which he may lawfully grant, void and of none Effect, *quia* (h) *apices juris non sunt jura.*

And

(a) 6 Co. 6. 2.

(b) Antea 55. a. b.
2 Brownl. 229,
230. 234. 242.
Jenk. Cent. 283.
1 Brownl. 47.

(c) 10 Co. 39. a.
Hob. 170. 1 Mod.
Rep. 190. Lit.
Rep. 111. Hard.
92. 1 Rolls Rep.
310. 2 Ro. Rep.
393. Palm. 433.
437. Wing. Max.
235. 4 Co. 73. b.
5 Co. 11. a.
8 Co. 145. a.
11 Co. 60. a.
Co. Lit. 191. a.
205. a. 2 Inst. 365.
2 Sand. 351.
3 Bult. 131.
Larch. 25.
(d) Wing. Max.
27.

(e) Wing. Max.
26. 2 Co. 24. a.
Postea 154. b.
3 Bult. 105. 107.
108. 1 Rol. Rep.
319.
(f) Jenk. Cent.
283. 2 Rol. Rep.
361.

(g) 3 Bult. 3. 14.
1 Co. 49. a.
1 H. 7. 13. 2.

(h) 2 Ro. Rep.
361. 6 Co. 65. 2.
Co. Lit. 283. a. b.
304. b. Noy 30.
10 Co. 126. 4.

And as to the Case of Office, it was said, That the said Books which were cited, prove that the King can't grant (*a*) *reversionem Officii*, for he has no Reversion, but an Inheritance grantable in Reversion. Also when one is Officer for Life, if the King, without (*b*) reciting it, grants the Office to another for Life, the second Grant is void for want of a Recital: But no Book saith, That if the King recites the first Grant of the Office, &c. and that the Officer is living, and grants the Office to another for Life, that this last Grant shall be void, for want of Certainty of the Beginning; for the Law, upon the Matter which appeareth, shall limit the Beginning, to the end the King's Grant shall not be void. And Exception was taken to the Plaintiff, forasmuch as in alledging the Grant made to the said *Tho. Markham*, the Plaintiff is, That Queen *Elizabeth* did grant *Officium Parci sui vocati Clifton Park* (without saying (*c*) *prædicti*) and therefore it was objected, It shall be intended another Park. But it was resolved, That upon Consideration of all the Parts of the Plaintiff, it appears, that it was the same Park: For the Plaintiff begins his Plaintiff, *Pro titulo liberi tenementi de Officio prædicto*: Also he shews, that Queen *Eliz.* was seised, &c. *de Parco prædicto*, and so seised, granted *Officium Parci sui de Clifton*, which ought to be intended the same Park whereof the Seisin was alledged at the Time of the Grant, and *eo potius* in respect of this Pronoun Possessory (*sui*) and in all other Parts of the Plaintiff (*prædicti*) is added: So that it is well said in *Long's Case*, in the Fifth Part of my *Reports*, That there are Three Manners of (*d*) Certainities: 1. Certain to a common Intent, and that sufficeth in Bars for those who defend themselves: 2. To a certain Intent in general, and that is sufficient in Indictments, Plaints, Counts, Replications, &c. 3. To a certain Intent to every particular Intent, and that is rejected in Law, for there it is said, *Quod talis certitudo certitudinem confundit*; and so it was adjudg'd in the Point, in the Assise brought by the Lady (*e*) *Russel*, against the Lord Admiral; and this Assise being (after a general Verdict for the Plaintiff for Part, and a special Verdict for the Residue) adjourned into the Common Pleas, Judgment was there given: But Assise ought to be brought in ** proprio Comitatu*, by the Statute of *Magna Charta*, (*f*) *cap. 12.*

And afterwards on this Judgment, a Writ of (*g*) *Error* was brought, and all that which was resolved by the Court of Common Pleas, was affirmed for good Law, by *Fleming* Chief Justice; *Fenner*, *Yelverton*, *Williams*, and *Gook*, Justices of the King's Bench. But for other Errors not assigned nor moved in the Commons Pleas, in which the Justices of the King's Bench were not unanimously agreed, the Judgment was reversed. And so this Case, as to the

(*a*) Antea 55. b.
10 Co. 61. a.
Dyer 80. pl. 58.
259. pl. 18.
11 Co. 4. a.
March. Rep. 41.
Cr. Car. 279.
2 Rolls 154. Co.
Lit. 3. b. Hob.
150. 151. 4 Inst.
202. 11 E. 4. 1. b.
3 H. 7. casu ultimo. 6 H. 7. 14. a.
8 H. 7. 12. b.
Br. Patens. 52. 54.
57. Fitz. Grant
35. 42. Cr. Jac.
17. 18.
(*b*) 2 Rolls 190.
(*c*) Cr. Eliz. 97.
2 Ventris 197.
Cr. Jac. 289.
Palm. 499.
(*d*) 5 Co. 121. a.
2 Bullf. 77. 78.
Co. Lit. 303. a.
(*e*) Cr. Jac. 173. b.
* 10 Co. 105. a.
(*f*) 2 Inst. 245. 25.
(*g*) 1 Bullf. 45.
2 Brownl. 229.
230.

Earl of RUTLAND's Case. PART VIII.

Points reported by me, was unanimously resolved by both Courts: And afterwards, on a new Affise brought before *Warburton* and *Foster*, Justices of Affise in the County of *Derby*, at the next Affises, the Plaintiff had a general Verdict, according to the Opinion of all the Justices, and Judgment also at the same Affises, and Execution awarded, &c. *Et sic finita est ista Questio.*

Mich.

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

BEECHER's Case.

Beecher brought Debt on two Bonds, one of 3000 *l.* the other of 2000 *l.* by *Quo minus* in the Exchequer, against Sir Thomas Shirley, Knight, who Trin. 41 Eliz. pleaded in Bar, Payment according to the Conditions of the Bonds, &c. and the Parties were at Issue, and tried for the Plaintiff; but for Default of a good Visne, Judgment was arrested, and afterwards, scil. Hill. 44 Eliz. the Plaintiff per Johannem Osborne, *Attornatum suum, venit hic in Curia, & fatetur se in Curia hic ulterius nolle prosequi*: Upon which Judgment was given, that the Defendant *eat sine Die*, and no Amercement upon the Plaintiff. Upon which Judgment the Plaintiff brought a Writ of Error in the Exchequer Chamber; and upon sundry Arguments by the Plaintiff's and Defendant's Counsel, at several Days, It was resolved by the Court, and the two Chief Justices, That the Judgment was erroneous, for divers Reasons. 1. It was resolved, That a (a) *Retraxit* cannot be, unless the Plaintiff or Defendant be in Court in proper Person, for the Entry is in divers Manners, (as it appears after) as, *Quod Querens in propria persona sua venit & dicit, quod ipse placitum suum pradiet ulterius prosequi non vult, sed abinde omnino se retraxit, &c.* or being present in Court and demanded, where the Entry is (b) *a secta sua pradiet in contemptum Curie se retraxit, &c.* or, *fatetur se ulterius nolle prosequi, &c.* and therewith agrees (c) 3 H. 6. 14. a. 21 E. 3. 43. a. & 4 E. 3. 23. a. where the Case was, That three Coparceners were Plaintiffs in a Writ of Deceit, and two of them did appear in Person, and the third by Attorney; and said, That they would not sue further, and could

Cro. Jac. 211

(a) 1 Roll. 584

Co. Lit. 138. b.

139. a. Cro. Jac.

211. Jenk. Cent.

283.

(b) Co. Lit. 139. a.

Postea 62. a.

(c) Fitz. Re-

traxit 4.

not, because one was by Attorney; wherefore they were Nonsuit. *Vide Lib. Inrat' 92. Title Attaint, Bre'. 5. and Title Appeal, 56. and Title Tresp. 589. a. 13, 14, 15, 16. and Title Conspiracy, 125. b. 6 Ed. 3. 30 b. and 31. a. John Fremd's Case, in a Formedon, the Attorney of the Tenant cannot depart in despite of the Court, but a Grand Cape shall be awarded.*

(a) F.N.B. 25. C. Co. Lit. 128. a. 2 Inst. 249. 10 Co. 101. a. b. Cawly 164. 2 Inst. 377, 378.

(b) W. 2. c. 10. 2 Inst. 376, 377, 378.

(c) Fitz. Attorney 20. Br. Attorney 56. Br. Error, 98. (d) F.N.B. 25. c.

(e) 1 Roll. 287, 288, 796. 1 Roll. Rep. 305, 380. Cro. El. 377, 378, 424, 541, 542, 551, 569. Cro. Jac. 420, 441, 442, 581. Poph. 130. 2 Sand. 212, 213. 1 Sid. 449. 1 Mod. Rep. 47, 72, 296. 297. Styles 318. 3 Bullstr. 180. Bridgm. 73, 74, 75. Dyer 262. pl. 63. 9 Co. 30. b. Cr. El. 551. Palm. 228, 235, 235, 245, 252. (f) 1 Roll. 584. Poitea 62. a. Cro. Jac. 211. March. 95. Br. Departure in despite, &c. 13. 21 E. 4. 39. a.

And it is to be known, that at the (a) Com. Law, when any one was, by the King's Writ, commanded to appear, it was always taken that he should appear in Person, and could not appear by Attorney; but after he had appeared, the Courts of Chancery, King's Bench, and Com. Pleas, and all other Judges who held Plea by Writ, might, after Appearance, have admitted him by Attorney: Otherwise when Plea was held without Writ, unless the King granted a Writ *De Attornato faciendo*, and that appears by *Britton, c. 126. f. 287.* But *vide* the Stat. of *Westm. 2. (b) c. 11.* and other Statutes, which gave Remedy in many Cases; but the said Case at Bar is not remedied by any Statute. But it was objected, That it is true, that *de rigore Juris*, the Plaintiff in the Case at Bar ought to have appeared in proper Person; but yet it is not Error; for if the Court admits the Plaintiff or Defen. by Attorney, where he ought to appear in Person, it is not Error; as in *37 H. 6. 27. b.* If the Court admit one upon a *Capias*, or *Exigent*, by Attorney, where *de rigore Juris*, he ought to appear in Person, it is not (c) Error, (d) *F.N.B. 25. acc.* To that, it was answered and resolved, That there is a Difference between Cases where the Defendant or Tenant cannot by the Law appear by Attorney, and Cases where the Defen. or Ten. may appear by Attorney; but upon some Process, by reason of some Default or Contempt, he ought to appear in Person. In the first Case, If the Court admit the Defen. or Ten. by Attorney, it is Error; but in the other not: (e) As if an Infant is admitted to appear by Attorney, it is Error, &c. but when the Defendant may make an Attorney, and the Reason that compells him to appear in Person, is the Contempt to the Court; there the Court may dispence with the Contempt, in their Discretion, and admit him by Attorney, and that is no Prejudice to the Plaintiff: But in the Case at Bar, the Law requires him to appear in proper Person to make the *Retraxit*, because it shall be a perpetual (f) Bar, and in a Manner as a (g) Release, and the Admittance of the Court cannot prejudice the Plaintiff in so high a Degree. But in dilatory Matters, the Admission of the Court may turn the Plaintiff or Demandant to delay, but shall never Bar the Plaintiff or Demandant: As if the Court grants Process against the (h) Witnesses, or grants View, or (i) Aid, where it is not grantable,

(c) Cro. Car. 551. March. 95. (d) 5 H. 7. 9. a. Fitz. Process 111. (i) 21 E. 4. 65. b.

grantable, it is not (a) Error, as it is held in 5 H. 7. 8. b. and (a) 5 H. 7. 8 H. 7. 9. b. and the Reason is given there, because the Demandant has not any Prejudice of his Right, but only a Delay. But if the Court admit a (b) Voucher, where it ought not to be, it is Error, as it is held 8 H. 7. 11. a. The same Law if an * Effoign be cast and allowed where it is not allowable, it is not Error: But to deny any of these † dilatories, where of Right the Court ought to grant them, is Error, as appears in the said Books, and 21 E. 4. 65. b. 22 E. 4. 15. &c. And a (c) *Retraxit* is always on the Plaintiff or Demandant's Part, and a (d) Departure in despite of the Court, is always on the Defen. or Ten. Part, and the Entry there is, *Quod Tenens recessit in contemptum Curia*, and in one Case the Plaint. or Deman. is barred, and in the other Case the Plaint. or Deman. shall have Judgment presently; (e) *Qui semel Actionem renunciavit amplius repetere non potest*. Vide for these Matters, 20 E. 2. Excommungement 28. 4. E. 3. 23. in *Formedon*. 6 E. 3. 31, 32, 34. a. in *Quare Impedit*. 8 E. 3. 3. b. and 68. 38 E. 3. 13. 16 R. 2. Tit. *Cause de remover Plea* 12. 3 H. 4. 2. 11 H. 4. 94. 3 H. 6. 13. 9 H. 6. 5^o. 39 H. 6. 16, 33. *Prisot*. 7 H. 7. 39. Vide Tit. *Departure en despite del Court*, Brooke.

2. It was resolved, That the Plaintiff in this Case ought to be (f) amerced, for it is a stronger Case than the Case of a (f) *Cr. Jac.* 211 Nonfuit, which is but a Default, or Non-appearance; but a *Retraxit* is a voluntary acknowledgment that he hath no Cause of Action, and therof. he will no farther proceed, &c. and therof. it is a Bar for ever. And it was objected, That the Plaintiff should not assign that for Error, because it was for his Advantage that he was not amerced, and a Man shall never assign that for Error which is for his (g) Advantage, 7 E. 3. 25. b. by *Herle*, 8 H. 5. 2. b. 11 H. 4. 8. *F. N. B.* 21. as to say, that he was effoigned, where he ought not to be effoigned; or that he had a longer Day than the common Day; or that Aid was granted to him where it was not grantable. To which it was answered and resolved, That it is true, that in Procefs, or Delay, which is for the Advantage of the Party, he shall not assign it for Error; but in the Case at Bar the Judgment is not * perfect, for the Amercement ought to be Parcel of the Judgment; and it is also for the King's Advantage, and therefore divers Judgments have been reversed in the King's Bench, because the Judgment was, *Idco* † *miseriordia*, where it should be, *Capiatur*; and yet it was for the (h) Parties Advantage; but because the Judgment was erroneous, and the Error of the Court in giving it; for this Cause it hath been often adjudged, that it is not amendable, but the whole Judgment shall be reversed. Vide 29 *Aff. pl.* 26. 7 E. 6. *Dyer* (i) 89. 14 *El. Dyer* (k) 315. vide now the Doubts there well explained. And because it is so

BEECHER's Case. PART VIII.

material in all Judgments to know when the Plaintiff or Demandant, and when the Defendant or Tenant shall be amerced or fined, inasmuch as the mistaking thereof makes the Judgment erroneous, it is very necessary to understand the true Sense of the Law in these Cases.

(a) Co. Lit.
126. b.

And this Word (*a*) (*Finis*) hath divers Significations in Law, *quia aliquando significat Pretium, aliquando Penam, & aliquando Pacem*. For 1. the Price, or Sum, which is the Cause of obtaining of a Benefit, is called a Fine, as Fine in the Hamper for the King's Writs, Fine for Alienation, Fine for Admission to a Copyhold, Fine for obtaining Leases, and such like. 2. That which the Offender gives in Satisfaction of his Offence, is also called a Fine, and in that Sense, *dicitur Pœna*. And 3. The Assurance which makes Men enjoy their Lands and Inheritances in Peace, is called *Finis, quia finem libibus ponit*. And all these are called Fines, because they are the End, or Causes of the End of all the said Businesses.

(b) Co. Lit.
126. b. 8 Co.
39. a.

(*b*) *Amercement* is in Latin called *Misericordia*, and the Cause thereof is, because by the Com. Law (which is a Law of Mercy) no Man ought to be amerced so much as he deserves, but less. *Vide F. N. B. 76.*

I.
Forcc.

(c) Co. Lit.
126. b.

1. In all Actions *Quare vi & armis*, as *Rescous, Tresp. vi & armis*, &c. if Judgment be given against the Defendant, he shall be fined and imprisoned, for to every Fine (*c*) Imprisonment is incident; and always when the Judgment is, *quod Defendens capiatur*, it is as much as to say, *quod Capiatur quousque finem fecerit*. *Vide 19. H. 6. 8. b. 34 H. 6. 24. 11 H. 4. 25. 30 Ass. pl. 28.* And if there be divers Defendants, they shall be severally fined. So in an *Assise*, if the Disseisin be found with Force, the Defendant shall be fined, and imprisoned; otherwise it is if the Disseisin be found without Force; for there he shall only be amerced, for the Writ of *Assise* doth not mention *vi & armis*, but, *injuste & sine judicio disseisvit*, 33 H. 6. 21. a.

2.
Fraud and Deceit
to the Court.

2. In a Writ of *Deceit* upon a real Action upon a Recovery by Default, if it be found on Examination, that the Tenant was not lawfully summoned, the Judgment shall be, *Et prædicti Defend. pro falsitate & deceptione prædicti capiatur*: And the Writ of *Deceit* is, *Ostensum est nobis ex parte A. quod B. in Curia nostra falso & in deceptione Curia recuperavit seisinam*, &c. and that is the Cause, *scilicet*, the Deceit to the Court in obtaining the said Judgment, that he shall be fined and imprisoned; but in an Action personal, the Deceit between Party and Party, which is in the Nature of an Action upon the Case, there the Defendant shall not be fined and imprisoned, but only amerced, for there is no Deceit done to the Court, but to the Party.

3. If the Defendant, or Tenant, pleads a false Deed to him, or (a) denies his own Deed, and it is found against him, or if he, (b) *relicta verificatione cognoscit Actionem*, he shall be fined for his Falsitie, *quia certi debemus esse de proprio facto*; but if he denies his (c) Ancestor's Deed, or pleads a Deed to his Ancestor, and it is found against him, yet he shall not be fined, but amerced only, *quia de alieno facto*. And so you will better understand your Books in 3 E. 6. Dy. 67. 26 Ass. p. 5. 33 H. 6. 54. b. 34 H. 6. 20. a. b. But if he denies a Recovery, or other Record to which he is Party, he shall not be fined, 10 Ass. p. 10. 16 Ass. p. 19. for it is not his Act, but the Act of the Court, and he doth not deny the Record absolutely, but, *non habetur tale recordum*.

3.
Falshood in denying his own Deed.
(a) 1 Roll. 219, 224. 33 H. 6. 54. b. Br. Amercem. 5. Br. Confession 3. Br. Fine pur Contempt 3. Fitz. Fines 16. 9 E. 4. 24. a. b. Fitz. Fines 25. Dy. 67. pl. 19. Cro. El. 844. 845. Cro. Jac. 64. 420. Kelw. 42. a.
(b) 1 Roll. 224. 33 H. 6. 54. b.
(c) 11. H. 4. 4. b. 5. a. Cro. Jac. 255. 2 Sand. 192.

2 Roll. Rep. 45. Fitz. Fines 16. 9 E. 4. 24. a. b. Fitz. Fines 25. Dy. 67. pl. 19. Noy 4, 31. Cro. Jac. 64. 420. 2 Sand. 192. Raym. 195. 202. 1 Mod. Rep. 73. (c) Br. Amercem. 5. Cro. Jac. 255. 2 Sand. 192.

4. If the Defen. in a *Replevin* claims Property falsely, and it is so found in (d) *proprietate probanda*, he shall be fined and Imprisoned, 11 H. 4. 4.

4.
False claim of Property of Cattle, &c. in voluntary delay of Justice, so that he can't have the Use of the Cattle of his Plough, or other Goods. (d) 11. H. 4. 4. b. 5. a. Br. Fine pur Contempt 14. Br. Return de Brief 108. Fitz. Proprietate probanda 1. Br. Propri prob. 14.

5. In (e) *Appeal* of Death, Robbery, or any other Appeal of Felony or Mayhem, if the Plaintiff be barred, or if he be * Non-suir, or if the Writ abate by his own Default, he shall be fined and imprisoned, 8 H. 4. 17. a. 20. for the Malice is the highest which concerns Life or Member.

5.
For malicious Suit in Law, which concerns a Man's Life. (e) Fitz. Corone 73. Br. Appeal 25. Br. Fine pur Co. Lit. 127. a.

Contempt 11. Br. Imprif. 106.

6. So in *Attaint* 32 Ass. p. 9. 42 E. 3. 26. b. if the Plaintiff be Nonsuit, or barred, he shall be fined and imprisoned. So if the *Attaint* pass against the Defen. if he were Party to the first Record, he shall be fined and imprif. but if he were not Party to the first Record, as Ten. by Receipt, or other Ter-tendant, he shall not be fined, 14 Ass. p. 2. 42 E. 3. 26. b. 9 E. 4. 33

6.
Malicious Suit in Law to attain a Jury of Perjury.

7. Where any uses the Countenance of the Law (which was instituted to make an End of Controversies and Vexation) for double Vexation, he shall be fined: As if a Man (f) sues in the C. Pleas, and afterwards, for the same Cause, sues him in *Lond.* or any such Court, the Plaintiff shall be fined for this unjust Vexation, 9 H. 6. 55. 14 H. 7. 7. a. and in a (g) *Recaption* the Plaintiff shall recover Damages, and the Defen. shall be fined and imprisoned for his double Vexation.

7.
For doub. Vexation by colour of Law. (f) Br. Fine pur Contempt 24. 7. H. 6. 36. b. (g) 11 Co. 43. b. Antea 41. a. F. N. B. 73. d.

8. For all Contempts done to any Court of Record, against the K's Command by his Writ under his G. Seal, the Offender shall be fined and imprif. as in *Quare non admist*, *Quare incumbravit*, *Attachment upon Prohibition*, &c. Vide 19 E. 3. *Quare non admist*, 7. 23. E. 3. 22. 26 E. 3. 75. 20 E. 2. Coron. 233. Stampf. 132. The Stat. of 25 E. 3. c. 6. &c. but when the Demandant, or Plaintiff, or the Tenant or Defen. *se retraxit*, or *recessit in contemptum Curia*, yet there is no Contempt

8.
Contempts against the King's Writs.

against the King's Command by his Writ. And by these Differences you will better understand your Books in Cases of Fines and Imprisonm. and learn the true Reas. and Sense of the Law.

9.
Contempnagainst
2 Statute.
(a) 2 Inst. 131.
Cro. Jac. 538.
Cro. Car. 560.
2 Bulstr. 328.
1 Sid. 233. 12 Co.
134. 2 Roll. 222.

9. In all Cases, where a Thing is forbid by any (a) Statute, the Offender shall be fined and imprisoned, 35 H. 6. 6. b. 19 H. 6. 4. in Maintenance. Vide 9 E. 4. 28. And that is the Reason, That where the Statute of Marlebridge c. 15. forbids, *Quod nulli de cetero liceat ex quacunq; causa districti facere extra feodum suum, nec in Regia via, vel communi Strata*; that the Party who is distreined in the High-way cannot plead it in Bar of the (b) Avowrie, for then the King should lose his Fine; but shall be driven to an Action on the Statute, in which the King shall have his Fine, and therewith agreeth 11 R. 2. Avowry, 87. Vide 19 E. 2. Brief. 842. 21 E. 3. 11. 39 E. 3. 20. 43 E. 3. 30. F. N. B. 90, & 173. Register 97.

(b) 2 Inst. 131.

10.

A Court which
is not of Record
shall never im-
pose a Fine.
(c) 11 Co. 43. b.
Politea 120. a.
F. N. B. 73. d.
Antea 41. a.

10. In some Action the Defendant shall be fin'd in one Court, and but amerced in another Court, and yet the Offence shall be all one; as in a Writ of (c) Recaption, if it be brought in the Common Pleas, and Judgment be there given, the Defendant shall be fined and imprisoned as hath been said, but if the Writ be brought in the County Court, and the Defendant be convict before the Sheriff in the County, the Judgment shall not be, *Quod capiatur, quia nulla Curia, qua (d) recordum non habet, potest imponere finem, neque aliquid mandare carceri, quia ista spectant tantummodo ad Curias de Recordo*, and therefore in such Case he shall be only amerced. And altho' the Writ scil. the Recaption is of Record, yet forasmuch as the Judges in the Court, scil. the Suitors are not Judges of Record, nor the Court is of (e) Record, they cannot impose a Fine, or commit any to Prison. And so in all the like Cases. Vide F. N. B. 73. d. 8 E. 4. 5. 34 H. 6. 24.

(d) Co. 10. 103. a.
Postea 120. a.
Antea 41. a.
Salk. 200.

(e) 6 Co. 11. b.
Co. Lit. 58. a.
Godb. 49. 1 Roll.
543. 4 Co. 33. b.
Cr. El. 792. Cr. Jac. 582. 4 Inst. 266, 268. 21 F. 4. 66. b. 9 Co. 48. b. 49. a. 1 Mod. Rep. 171. 12 H. 7. 16, 17. 7 E. 4. 23. a.

Amercement.

And now concerning Amercement.

1.

In all Writs
of Praecept

1. In all Writs of *Praecept quod reddat*, as Writ of Right, Formedon, or Writ of Aiel, &c. Writs of Entry, &c. *Praecept de quod permittat*, as to have Estovers, Common, &c.

On Nonfuit, Bar,
or Writ abated
for Want of
Matter or Form,
the King shall
have Amercia-
ment. Writ abate-
red by Act of
God, &c. no
Amercia-
ment. Fitz Amercia-
ment 13. Br. A-
mercia-ment 12.
Co. Lit. 127. a.

Or, *Praecept quod faciat*, as Writ of Customs and Services, &c. If the Defendant be barred, or if he be Nonfuit, or if his Writ abate because it is ill in Matter or Form, the Demandant shall be amerced. But if there be two Demandants, and the Writ abate for the Death of one of them, the other shall not be amerced, 28 E. 3. 23. a. 46 E. 3. Tit. Account 40. 5 E. 3. 3. 22 H. 6. 7. 38 E. 3. 31. 7 H. 6. 36. 41 Ass. 14. So in all the said Writs of *Praecept*, if Judgment be given against the Tenant, he shall be amerced.

2. In all Personal Actions, as Debt, Detinue, and the like Actions, without Force or Deceit to the Court, and also in Actions which comprehend Force or Deceit to a Court of Record; if the Plaintiff be barred, nonsuit, or the Writ abate because it is vicious in Matter, or Form, he shall be amerced only, and not fined; but if the Writ abate by the Death of one Plaintiff, or if one Plaintiff appeareth, and the other be (a) nonsuit, (which in Law in Personal Actions is a Nonsuit of both) he who surviveth, or appeareth, shall not be amerced; for no Default is in him, but he only who appeareth not. 47 E. 3. 6. b. & 43 Ass. pl. 3. 7 H. 6. 36. 38 E. 3. 31. 41 Ass. 14. And in the same Actions which are without Force or Fraud to the Court, the Defendant shall be amerced.

2. In Pers. Actions.
Death or Nonsuit of one of the Plaintiffs.
(a) Co. Lit. 127.
Br. Amercement
11 Fitz Amerc.
11.

3. If one Demandant in a (b) Real Action, or one Plaintiff in a Personal Action where (c) Summons and Severance lieth, as in Debt by Executors, if one Demandant or Plaintiff be nonsuit, and the other sueth forward, he who is nonsuit shall not be amerced, 28 H. 6. 11. b. 21 E. 4. 77. b.

3. One Demandant or Plaintiff nonsuited.
(b) Br. Amer. 3.
(c) Br. Am. 48.

4. In all judicial Process, if the Plaintiff be barred, nonsu. or if the Writ abate, the Plaintiff shall not be amerced, because the Process is founded upon a Judgment and Record, 11 H. 4. 55. b. in (d) *Quid Juris clamat, Scire facias, &c.* 21 E. 3. 23. 9 E. 3. 32. in *Per que servicia*, 18 Hen. 6. tit. Pledges 1. And in these Actions the Plaintiff shall not find (e) Sureties, because he shall not be amerced.

4. In judicial Process no Amercement.
(d) 11 H. 4. 7. 2.
Br. Amercement 16.
(e) F. N. B. 31. E. Cro. Jac. 414.

5. In all Actions Real and Personal, if Part be found for the Demandant or Plaintiff, and Part against him, or all or Part against one Tenant or Defendant, and nothing, or but Part, against the other, the Demandant or Plaintiff shall be (f) amerced; unless no Default be in the Demandant or Plaintiff, and therefore in Trespass of Battery against Husband and Wife, supposing the Battery to be done by them both, and the Wife is only found guilty, &c. and the Husband acquitted, yet the Plaintiff shall not be amerced, for the Plaintiff could not have another Writ in such Case, and therefore no Default in him. *Vide* 22 Ass. 8. 7 Ass. pl. 14. 31 Ass. pl. 31. Br. Amercement 42. 40 E. 3. 40. a. 21 H. 6. 41. a.

5. Part found for the Demandant or Plaintiff, &c. and where Part against one Tenant or Def. &c.
(f) Cro. Jac. 630.
1 Roll. 787.
Cro. Car. 178.
453. Cro. El. 237. 1 Sid. 232.
Br. Amercement 2, 7, 29. Br. Executors 76.

6. In all Acti. Real or Perf. where there is but one Tenant or Def. he shall not be twice amer. but there where there is but one Demandant or Plaintiff, and divers Defendants, the Plaintiff may be divers Times (g) amerced, 9 E. 3. 6. 31 Ass. pl. 31. 21 H. 6. 41. a. 40 E. 3. 40. a.

6. One Ten. or Def. shall not betwixt amerced.
(g) 5 Co. 58. b. Cro. Car. 178.

7. Upon Discon. in a Real or Perf. Acti. the Dem. or Plaintiff shall not be amerced, for that is the Act of the Court, 38 E. 3.

7. On Discontinuance, or when the Court is ousted of Jurisdiction, no Amerc.

BEECHER'S Case. PART VIII.

E. 3. 31. a. The same Law, and for the same Cause, when the Court is ousted of Jurisdiction.

8.

Tenant or Def. comes the first Day, &c. shall not be amerced unless the Action imports Force or Fraud.

(a) Cro. Car. 564. Co. Lit. 126. b. 1 Roll. 212. 8 R. 2. Amercement 26. Cro. El. 65. 14 E. 3. Amercement 16. (b) Jenk. Cent. 258. Moor 394.

8. In all Actions Real or Pers. (in which there is not contain'd any Force or Deceit to the Court) if the Ten. or Def. comes the first (a) Day, and rend. the Thing in Demand to the Dem. or Plain. he shall not be amerced; for he doth what the King commands by his Writ; for where the Writ is, *Præcipe quod reddat, &c.* that in Judgment of Law is, that he render it at the Return of the Writ in Court, and not in the Country; as it is resol'd in (b) *Vaughan's Case*, in the Fifth Part of my *Rep. fol. 49. a.* and there the Cause of the Amercement of the Ten. or Def. is well explain'd. But in Actions in which the Offence is supposed with Force, or in Deceit of the Court, if the Def. at the first Day confesses the Action, yet he shall be fined and impris. for his Appearance and Confession are a Manifestation, and no Satisfaction for his Offence.

9.

The Judgment when the Writ abates by Matter or Form, or when the Demand is barr'd.

* The Judgment on a Nonsuit.

(c) Cr. Jac. 713.

(d) F. N. B. 76. † The Judgment in a Retraxit.

10.

Persons who shall not be amerced.

(e) Co. Lit. 127. a. 133. a. Cr. Car. 161. Cr. Jac. 414. 3 Bullst. 276. 1 Roll. 214. Palm. 518, 519, 520. (f) Co. Lit. 127. a. 3 Bullst. 276. 1 Roll. 215.

(g) Co. Lit. 126, 127. a. Cr. Car. 161, 410.

9. In all Cases when a Real or Personal Writ doth abate for want of Form or Matter, or the Dem. or Plain. be barred, the Judgment is, *Quod Petens, sive Querens nihil cap. per breve suum præd' sed sit in Misericord' pro falso clamore suo inde, & præd' Ten' seu Def' eat inde sine die.* And in all such Cases the Estreat out of the Common Pleas into the Exchequer, which the Clerk of the Warrants makes in such Cases is, *De A. pro falso clamore suo versus S. in placito, &c. Vide F. N. B. 76. A.* But if the Dem. or Plain. be * nonsuit in any Action (certain special Cases excepted) the Judgment is, *Ideo considerat est quod præd' (c) Querens & Plegii sui de prosequendo sint inde in misericordia, &c. & præd. Def. eat inde sine die;* and there the Estreat is, *De Johanne N. pro se & pleg. suis, quia non est prosequutus breve suum versus B. in placito, &c. Vide (d) F. N. B. 76. † But in Case of Retraxit the Judgment is, Quod nihil cap' per breve suum præd', sed sit in misericord' pro falso clam', &c.*

10. There are some Persons who shall not be amerced, and therefore they shall not find (e) Sureties; some for the Dignity of their Persons, as the King, (f) and so the Queen, for as to that she partakes of the King's Prerogative. *Vide F. N. B. 31. f. 47. C. 101. A. 18 E. 3. 2. Br. tit. Amercement 53.* Some for Imbecility of Age, as (g) Infants, and therefore they shall not find Sureties; but the Entry is, *Ideo in Misericord', sed pardonatur quia Infans. Vide 43 Ass. 45. 44 E. 3. Tit. Amerce. 10. 3 E. 3. Infant 14. 14 Ass. pl. 17. 41 Ass. p. 14. 17 E. 3. 75. Bratt. folio 254. F. N. B. 195. h. 3.* True it is, if the Ten. (h) disclai. he shall not have a Writ of Err. against his own Disclai. because by his Disclai. he hath

barred
 Reg. Orig. 224. a. 1 Roll. 214, 215. Palm. 518, 519, 520. Mo. 394. 1 Mod. Rep. 47. 296. Dyer 338. Pl. 41. 2 Keb. 698. 1 Bullst. 172. 5 Co. 49. a. (h) Cr. Jac. 548. 2 Roll. Rep. 128. Fitz. Err. 78. postea 62. a. Jenk. Cent. 283.

barred himself of the Right of the Land, for the Words of Disclaimer of the Tenant are, *Nihil habet, nec habere clamat, in terra illa, nec die Impetrationis brevis originalis præd' &c. habuit, sive clamavit, sed aliquid in terra illa habere de-advocat & disclamat*; And against that he cannot have a Writ of (a) Error to have Restitution of the Land against this Disclaimer. *Vide 6 Edw. 3. 7. b. & F. N. B. 22. C.* And if the *Retraxit* in the Case at Bar had been duly made, it was objected, That against it no Writ of Error lay; but here the *Retraxit* itself was erroneous; because the Attorney did it where it ought to be done in (b) proper Person. Also the Plea was discontinued, as appears by the Record. And foras-
 as the Ten. or Def. after Departure in Despight of the Court might have a Writ of Error, or if he had an Estate for Life or in Tail, *Quod ei deforceat, F. N. B. 155. I.* and the Defendant or Tenant against his own (c) Confession may have (c) a Writ of Error; It was resolv'd, That altho' the Plaintiff in proper Person had made a *Retraxit*, yet he might have a Writ of (d) Error, either in the Judgment or in the Proceeding; (d) for no Imperfection is sav'd in such Case by any Statute when Judgment is given upon *Retraxit*, and it is no more than a Confession on the Part of the Defendant; for the Effect of the Entry of a *Retraxit* is, *Quod idem (e) Querens fatetur (seu (e) Co. Lit. 139. a. cognovit) se ulterius nolle prosequi versus Def. de placito præd'*, which Confession is (f) a Bar in all Actions, although the (f) Words are *de placito suo prædicto*, and therefore it is not like a Disclaimer, by which the Tenant disclaims and bars himself absolutely of all his Right in the Land. (f) Antea 58. b. 1 Roll. 584. Co. Lit. 139. a. Cro. Jac. 211. March 25. Br. Departure in Despight, &c. 13. 21 E. 4. 39. a.

4. It was resolv'd; That the Entry of the said *Retraxit* was insufficient; for it appears by the Precedents that there are sundry Manners of Entries of a *Retraxit*: After both Parties have appeared in Court, the Entry is, *Et (g) postea eodem die (g) Co. Lit. 139. a. revenit hic ad barram præd' Tenens per Attorn' suum præd' & præd' Petens tunc solemniter exactus non venit, sed a secta sua præd' in contempti' Cur' se retraxit, Ideo consideratum est quod Petens nihil capiat per breve suum præd' sed fit in misericordia pro falso clamore suo inde, & quod prædictus Tenens eat inde sine die.* And that appears, *Trin. 5. H. 6. Rot. 320. in Com' Banco.* Another Form of a *Retraxit* is, *Et super hoc idem Quer' dicit, quod (h) ipse non vult ulterius placitum suum præd' prosequi, sed (h) Antea 58. a. abinde omnino se retraxit, &c. Ideo, &c.* Another Form is, *Quod idem (i) Querens fatetur se (seu cognovit se) ulterius nolle prosequi versus præd' Defendant' &c. de placito præd'.* (i) Co. Lit. 139. a.

And the Entry of Departure in Despight of the Court on the Part of the Tenant is, (k) *Et prædictus A. licet solemniter exactus non (k) Co. Lit. 139. a. revenit, sed in contempti' Cur' recessit & defali' fecit.* And that is when in Judgment of Law he is present in Court, and being demanded

BEECHER'S Case. PART VIII.

demande, departs in Despight of the Court, that amounts to a Bar, in respect of the Despight and Contempt of the Court; and yet the Judgment is there given upon his Default, as appears before. Otherwise where he imparles to a certain Day, for there he is not, in Judgment of Law, present in Court; and so the Difference. *Vide* 20 *Ed.* 2. *Excom.* 28. and all the Books aforesaid. And if the Writ abates for false *Latin*, or other Matter of Form, upon Plea to the Writ, the Judgment is, *Quod præd' Tenens*, or *Defendens, eat inde sine die*. And also if the Demandant, or Plaintiff, be upon a Bar pleaded, &c. by Judgment to be barred, the Words of the Judgment are all one, *scil. quod præd' Tenens*, or *Defendens, eat inde sine die*; and always the Judgment shall have relation to the Plea, *scil.* if upon a Plea in Bar, then the Judgment shall be applied to it: If to the Writ, then the Judgment shall be applied to the Writ only, and not in Bar; and therewith agree the Books in 3 *H.* 4. 1. and 3 *H.* 4. 11. *Vide* upon Plea of *Excom.* which doth not

(a) Postea 69. a.
Lit. Sect. 201.
Co. Lit. 134. b.

(a) abate the Writ, 11 *R.* 2: *Excom.* 25. the Entry is, *Remaneat loquela sine die quousque, &c.*

Mich.

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

SWAYNE'S Case.

Richard Swayne, Esq; brought an Action of Trespass against *Walter Becket*, *quare Clausum fregit*, at *Hannington* in the County of *Wilts*, and lopped, &c. 10 Oaks, and 15 Ashes, &c. And upon not Guilty, the Case, as it was specially found, was such; Q. *Elizabeth* was seized of the Manor of *Hannington* in the County of *Wilts*, in Fee, in the Right of her Dutchy of *Lancaster*; and that the said Oaks and Ashes so lopped, were growing upon a Yard and half of Land, Parcel of the same Manor and Copyhold Land, &c. and demisable for one, two, or three Lives; and afterwards the Queen, by Indenture under the Seal of the Dutchy of *Lancaster*, Anno 29 Regni sui, demised the same Manor to *John Wolly*, Esq; *exceptis omnibus boscis, subboscis, arboribus, & marem*, &c. To have and to hold to him (except before excepted) for 21 Years; who, 35 *Eliz.* assigned all his Interest to *John Plummer*, and others; and afterwards the Queen died; and afterwards the King that now is, by his Letters Patents under the Dutchy Seal, granted to *Alexander*, Lord *Fivy*, *Richard Swayne*, and *Peter Whetcombe*, *reversionem prædictæ ac præmissa sic, ut præfertur, except*, to them and their Heirs, to whom the Lessees attorn'd; and afterwards the Lord *Fivy*, and *Whetcombe*, by their Deed re-leased to *Richard Swayne*, and his Heirs: And at a Court held by the Lessees, 17 *Octob.* 3 *Jac. Regis*, their Steward granted, by Copy of Court Roll, to the said *Walter Becket*, now Defendant, &c. a House, and the said Yard and a half of Land upon which the said Oaks and Ashes were growing, for term of Life, *secundum consuetudinem Maneriz*; and that within the said Manor there has been such a Custom, That every Copyhold-Tenant for Life hath used to take all Trees growing upon his Copyhold-Lands, to be employed for Fuel in his Copyhold-House, and for Bounds and Fences, and other necessary Reparations to be in and upon

Moor 811, 812.
 1 Brownl. 231.
 2 Brownl. 208.
 1 Roll. Rep. 96.
 2 Roll. Rep. 179.
 11 Co. 50. b.

SWAYNE's Case. PART VIII.

upon the Customary Lands and Tenements; and that the Defen. did lop the said Trees upon his Copyhold, and imploy'd them for Bounds and Fences in and upon his Copyhold Lands and Tenem. &c. And the Doubt was, That forasmuch as the said Lessees held the Court by virtue of the said Lease of the Manor (out of which Lease the said Trees were excepted) if the Defen. to whom they, by their Steward, granted the said Tenem. by Copy, might lop the said Trees, which, by the said Exception, were divided from the said Lease. And in this Case divers Points were resolved by Coke C. J. *Walmesley, Warburton, Daniel and Foster*, as after appears. But first it was objected, *Quod (a) nemo potest plus juris in alium transferre quam ipse habet*; and the Lords *pro tempore* who held the Court, and made the Grant to the Def. had nothing in the Trees, for they were excepted out of their Lease; *Ergo*, the Copyholder who claims by Grant of the Lessees could not meddle with the Trees. But it was answered and resolved *per totam Curiam*, that notwithstanding the Severance by the (b) Exception, and notwithstanding the Defen. came in by a voluntary Grant of the Lords for Life, and not by Surrender, yet such Grantee by Copy, should have Estovers; for the Estate of the Copyholder (who comes in by voluntary Grant) is not derived out of the Estate or Interest of the L. of the Manor, for the L. of the Manor is but as an (c) Instrum. to make the Grant: But the Custom of the Manor (after the Grant made) establishes and makes it firm to the Grantee: So that altho' the Grant be new, yet the Title of the Copyholder is ancient, and so ancient, that by Force of Custom it exceeds the Memory of Man. And theref. neither for Infancy, *Non sana memoria*, Coverture, nor other such Disabilities, neither in respect of Exility, Baseness, or (d) Incertainty of the Interests or Estates of the Lords (as at Will, or upon Condition, &c.) the Grants by Copy shall not be avoided, because they claim in, by Force of a good and ancient Custom, which hath no Disability of Person, or Defect of a perfect Interest. And *Pasch. 26 El. in Banco Regis*, it was adjudg'd, That if the L. takes a Wife, and afterw. grants the Land by Copy, according to the Custom, and dies, his Wife being (e) endowed of the said Land amongst other, shall not avoid the Estate by Copy, for altho' her Title of Dower was before the Grant, yet the Title of the Copyholder, which is the Custom, is ancientser than the Title of Dower; and so the Copyholder, who comes in by voluntary Grant, shall not be subject to the Charges or Incumbran. of the Lord before the Grant. And all that, in this Case was affirmed for good Law, *per totam Curiam*. 2. It was resolv'd, That when the Copyholders * for Life, according to the Custom, have used to have Com. in the (f) Wastes of the Lord of the Manor, or Estovers in his Woods, or any other Profit *apprender* in any Part of the Manor, and afterw. the L. aliens the Wastes, or Woods, to anothe, in Fee, and

(a) 4 Co. 24. b.
5 Co. 113. a.
6 Co. 57. b. 68. b.
Co. Lit. 309. b.

(b) Moor 812.
1 Brownl. 231.
21 Co. 40. b.

(c) 4 Co. 27. b.
Co. Lit. 59. b.
1 Roll. 503. Cro.
El. 361. Bridgm.
31. Moor 112.

(d) 1 Roll. 499.
4 Co. 23. b.
Co. Lit. 58. b.
Cr. Jac. 98, 99.

(e) Mo. 758. 812.
2 Brownl. 208.
Bridgm. 51.
1 Leon. 16.
2 Siderf. 82.

* Doct. pla. 81.

(f) 1 Brownl.
231.

and afterw. grants certain Copyhold-Houses and Lands for Lives, such Grantees shall have Com. of Pasture, or Com. of Estovers, &c. notwithstanding the Severance, for the Title of the Copyholder is paramount the Severance; and the Custom unites the Common, or Estovers, which are but Accessories, or Incidents, as long as the House and Lands, being Principal, is maintained by the Custom, which customary Appurtenances are not appertaining to the Estate of the Lord, for he is the Owner of the Freehold and Inheritance of all the Manor, but they are appertaining to the customary Estate of the Copyholder, after the Grant made unto him; which Profit appender being due by Custom to the Copyhold Tenement, notwithstanding the Feoffm. or Fine, &c. of the Wast or Woods, made by the Lord, remains and is preserved by the Custom, which is, as hath been said, the Title of the Copyholder, and is paramount the Severance: But if the Copyholder had derived his Interest from the Estate of the Lord, then clearly by the Feoffm. or Fine, &c. of the L. all those who after claim by him, shall be barred of any Profit appender in the same Wast or Woods: *Vide (a) Murrel's Case,* ^{(2) 4 Co. 21. b. Cr. El. 252. 2 Leon. 209.} in the fourth Part of my Reports, that after the Custom hath fixed a customary Interest, no Severance of the Inheritance shall overthrow the Copyhold: And *vide (b) Brown's Case,* ^{(b) 4 Co. 21. a. Moor 125.} *ibid.* And if a Man grants a Rent to another out of his Land, on Condition, and afterwards makes a Feoffm. of the Land, yet the Condition to determine the Rent remains, and is not extinct by the Feoffm. for it is collateral to the Title of the Land. And note a Difference between *(c) Prescription* which is made in the Person of any, as he and all his Ancestors, &c. or all those whose Estate he hath, &c. and Custom which lies upon the Land, as *infra Manerium talis habetur Consuetudo, &c.* and this Custom binds the Land, as *Gavelkind, Borough-English,* and the like. *(d)* But after such Severance of the Wast, or Woods, &c. the Copyholder, when he entitles himself to Common, or Estovers, &c. in pleading, shall not plead generally, *quod infra Manerium predicti talis habetur, &c. Consuetudo, &c.* for after the Severance, the Wast or Wood, &c. is not within the Manor, but absolutely divided from it; but *(e)* shall plead that until such a Time, ^{(e) Post. Pla. 81.} *scil. before the Severance, talis habebatur, & toto tempore, &c. Consuetudo, &c.* and then shew the Severance, as he ought in the said Case of *Murrell*, where the Lord of the Manor aliened the Freehold and Inheritance of the Copyhold. *Vide 2 H. 6. 9. 11 H. 6. 23. 39 H. 6. 13, 14. 7 E. 4. 32. 20 E. 4. 6. b. 22 E. 4. 44.* And *Coke C. J.* said, That the said Case at Bar was a general Case, for in all *(f) Leases of Manors* ^{(f) 11 Co. 50. b.} made by *Q. Eliz.* or the King that now is, such Exception of Trees and Timber, &c. is. And Judgment was given for the *(g) Defendant.* ^{(g) Moor 812.}

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

Sir WILLIAM FOSTER'S Case.

1 Brownl. 169,
170.

IN a *Replevin* between *Barnard Cowper* Plaintiff, and Sir *William Foster* Defendant, for taking his Cattle, *ultimo die Augusti anno quarto Jacobi Regis, apud Stratfield, in Com' Berks, in quodam loco vocat' the Rye-piddle*, the said Sir *William* avow'd the taking, as Bailiff of *Ed. Gregory, Esq;* and *Mary* his Wife, Administratrix of the Goods and Chattels which were *Tho. Foster's, Gent.* and said, That the Place where contain'd three Acres of Land, Parcel of the Manor of *Bechilwick* in the said County, whereof *Charles Foster, Esq;* was seised in Fee, and 30 *Jan. Anno 4 Ed. 6.* by his Deed indented, enfeoff'd of the said Manor *Richard Puttenham*, to him and his Heirs, yielding therefore yearly to the said *Charles*, his Heirs and Assigns, 6*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* at the Feast of *St. Michael*, and the Annunciation of our Lady, by equal Portions, with Clause of Distress; and afterwards the said *Charles Foster* died seised of the said Rent, after whose Death it descended to the said *Thomas Foster*, as to his Son and Heir; and afterwards, *scilicet 10 Julii, anno 25.* he died intestate, and because 100*l.* of the Rent aforesaid was behind, for 15 Years ended 10 *El.* he avowed the taking, as Bailiff to the said Husband and Wife, Administratrix of the said *Thomas Foster*. The Plaintiff, in bar of his Avowry, pleaded, *Quod (a) nec prædictus Tho. Foster nec antecessores sui, nec aliqui alii quorum statum præd' Tho. Foster habuit in reddit. præd' nunquam fuerunt seisis de eodem redditu infra 40 annos jam ultimos elapsos ante prædictum tempus quo, &c.* Upon which the Avowant demurr'd in Law. And this Avowry is grounded on the Statute of 32 *H. 8. 37.* which gives Distress to an Executor or Administrator, *in like Manner and Form as the Testator, &c. might or ought to have done.* But the Matter

(a) 1 Brownl.
170.

Matter in Law was founded on another Act made at the same Parliam. (a) c. 2. of Limitations, for by the same Act it is enacted, *That no Person or Persons shall hereafter make any Avowry, or Conusans for any Rent, Suit, or Service, and alledge any Seisin of any Rent, Suit, or Service in the same Avowry or Conusans; in the Possession of his or their Ancestor or Predecessor, &c. above forty Years next before the making of the said Avowry or Conusans.* And it was resolved *per totam Curiam*, That these Words ought to be intended where the Avowant was driven to alledge any Seisin by Force of any old Stat. of Limitation, and that was when the (b) Seisin was material, and of such Force that it should not be avoided in Avowry, altho' it were by Encroachment, as of Rent betw. the Lord and Ten. But in the Case of *Reservation or Grant of a Rent, there the Deed is the Title, and the Beginning thereof appears, and no Encroachments in that Case shall hurt, nor is any Seisin material: The same Law of a Gift in Tail, after the Stat. *de Donis conditionalibus* rendering Rent; there no Encroachment shall be prejudicial, or Seisin requisite, for the Reservation is the Title, and the Beginning thereof appears within Time of Memory: And this Construction stands with the Words of the said Act, for the Words are, *No Man shall make Avowry, and alledge any Seisin, &c.* By which it appears, that that Branch extends only where the Avowant ought to alledge Seisin; but when no Seisin is requisite, it is out of the Words and Intent of the Act, for it intends to limit a Time for the Seisin, when Seisin was required by the Law to be alledged, and not to compel any one to alledge Seisin where Seisin was not necessary *bes.* *Vide Plow. Com.* 94. in *Woodland's Case, acc.* And so you will better understand your Books in 22 *Aff.* 68. 22 *Ed.* 3. 18. 30 *H.* 6. 5. b. 7 *Ed.* 4. 12 *Ed.* 4. 7. 20 *Ed.* 4. 17. 11 *H.* 7. 11. b. 10 *Ed.* 3. 25. 20 *Ed.* 3. *Avowrie* 131. 10 *H.* 6. 3. 4 *Ed.* 2. *Avowrie* 204. *F. N. B.* 10. & 163. *Vide* 8 *Ed.* 3. 18. b. 1 *Ma. Br. Avowrie* 107. & 14 *El.* *Dyer* (c) 315. & 16 *El.* in (d) *Warring's Case*, in the Com. Pleas adjudg'd, That the Avowant need not in his Avowry shew Seisin within 40 Years, but the same shall come on the other Part, *scilicet*, not seised of the Services after the Limitation. Note Reader, Lessee for Life, or Donee in Tail, shall not have (e) *Ne injuste vexes* against the Donor, for inasmuch as the Reservation is the Title, no Encroachment upon them shall hurt them, but they shall avoid it in Avowry; and the Stat. of *Magna Charta*, c. 10. on which the Writ of *Ne injuste vexes* is (f) grounded, *scil.* *quod nullus distringatur ad faciend' majus servitium de libero tenemen' quam inde debetur*, doth not extend to Donee in Tail, Lessee for Life, or Grantee of a Rent-charge, which appears by these Words, *majus servitium*, which is meant between very Lord and very Tenant.

(a) *Dyer* 315. pl. 101. 330. pl. 19. 32 *H. 8.* cap. 2. 9 *Co.* 36. a. 1 *Roll.* Rep. 50.

(b) 1 *Brownl.* 170. 10 *Co.* 10. 10. Cr. Car. 81. 1 *Anderf.* 16. *Hert.* 41. 1 *Jones* 237. *Doct.* pl. 317. 318.

(c) 9 *Co.* 36. a. Cr. Car. 83. *Hert.* 41. *Dyer* 315. pl. 101. (d) Cr. Car. 83. *Hert.* 41.

(e) *F. N. B.* 11. c.

(f) 2 *Inst.* 21. 9. *Co.* 33. b. *Plowd.* 243. b.

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

LOVEDAY'S Case.

Cr. Jac. 210, 211.
Jenk. Cent. 283.
Co. Ent. 393. nu.
17.

(a) Bridg. 112,
113.

(b) Cr. Jac. 210,
211.

IN an Information in the Exchequer upon the Statute of Usury, by *C. qui tam*, &c. against Loveday, the Defendant pleaded to Issue, and a Jury was return'd who gave an imperfect Verdict, for they found an Acceptance, &c. and no (a) Loan, &c. wherefore the Court awarded a *Venire facias de novo*, and thereupon another Jury was returned and appear'd; and when they were ready to give their Verdict, the Plaintiff was nonsuit, upon which Judgment was given: And now the Plaintiff brought a Writ of Error in the Exchequer-Chamber, and assign'd for Error, that the Court of Excheq. in the said Case did err in granting a *Venire facias de novo*; but ought to have granted a new *Nisi prius*; for an imperfect Verdict is as no Verdict, as *Anno 20 Edw. 4. 6. a.* an insufficient Indictment is as no Indictment. And at the Common Law, if the Recognitors of an Assise give a Verdict which is not well examined by the Justices who take the Assise, but is imperfect, for Want of good Examination, in that Case the Plaintiff shall have a Certificate of Assise, and the first Imperfection shall be enquired by the first Jurors; and that appears in *F. N. B. 181. b. 7 H. 4. 45. a. 43 Assise pl. 5. 12 H. 4. 9.* and the Words of the Writ are, *Quia super quibusdam Articulis contingenti Assisam nova disseis. &c. quedam sunt dubitationes, &c.* But it was resolv'd by the Court, and the two Chief Justices, That the *Venire facias de novo* was well awarded: For when a (b) Jury returned by Force of any *Venire facias* to try an Issue, has given a Verdict which is accepted and recorded by the Court; be it perfect or imperf. the Jurors are discharged thereof for ever, and shall never be call'd back in the same Cause to try the same Issue; but if the Verdict be so imperfect that Judgm. can't be given

given upon it, then the Court shall award a *Venire facias de novo* to try the said Issue by others; and therewith agreeth the Book in 18 E. 3. 48. b. where it is resolv'd, that in a *Cessavit*, because the Enquest found Part to be held of the Demandant, and found not by what Services it was held, nor what Arrearages were behind, and for that Reason was not fully taken, that a *Venire facias de novo* should be awarded to return a new Jury, and not a new *Nisi prius* to try the same Issue again by the same Jury. So in (a) 27 H. 6. ^{(a) Fitz. Proceſs 94. Br. Replcad. 20. Br. Veniſc fac' 23 35.} 4. a. b. In Conspiracy against divers, all plead Not-guilty, and one *Venire facias* was awarded against all, and the Sheriff returned not the Writ; and the Plaintiff prayed several *Venire facias* against the Defendants, and had it, and it was found for the Plaintiff, and all the Justices adjudg'd it a Jeofail, so that the Plaintiff could not have Judgment upon the said Verdict, which was fully found, forasmuch as the first Award was of one Joint *Venire*, the Plaintiff could not vary, (to have several Writs *de Venire facias*) from the first Award; and therefore the Court did award *Venire facias de novo*, 21 H. 6. 21. 21 (b) E. 4. 26. b. 27. a. And as to the ^{(b) Br. Enquest 47.} Case (c) of Assise, the Recognitors are not returned to try ^{(c) Cr. Jac. 210. 211.} any certain Issue, for there is a Jury the first Day before any Plaintiff, Plea, or Issue. 2. There no new Proceſs can be awarded; for the Recognitors who are once return'd shall stand; but when a Jury is returned on a *Venire facias*, which is a judicial Proceſs for the Trial of a certain Issue, there the Court, if the Verdict be imperfect, may award a new judicial Proceſs, *ſcil. Venire facias de novo*; but the Court cannot so do in Case of Assise, for they are return'd on the Original, and because the Writ of Assise ^{(d) Anr. 50. 2.} *de nova Disseſina* is (d) *ſeſſinum remedium*, the Plaintiff shall have a Writ of *Certificate of Assise*, to supply the first Imperfections (which happen for Default of good Examination) according to the Truth of the Matter. And Judgment was affirmed.

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

EDWARD CROGATE'S Case.

Doct. Pla. 114.

*E*dward Crogate brought an Action of Trespafs against *Robert Marys*, for driving of his Cattle in *Townbarningham* in *Norfolk*, &c. The Defendant pleaded, That a House and two Acres in *Bassingham* in the said County, were Parcel of the Manor of *Thurgarton* in the same County, and demised, and demisable, &c. by Copy, &c. in Fee-simple, &c. according to the Custom of the Manor, of which Manor *William* late Bishop of *Norwich* was seised in Fee in the Right of his Bishoprick, and prescribed to have Common of Pasture for him and his Customary Tenants of the said House, and two Acres of Land *in magna pecia pastura vocat Bassingham Common, pro omnibus averiis, &c. omni tempore anni*, and the said Bishop at such a Court, &c. granted the said House and two Acres by Copy to one *Will. Marys*, to him and his Heirs, &c. and that the Plaintiff put to his said Cattle in the said great Piece of Pasture, wherefore the Defendant, as Servant to the said *William*, and by his Commandment, *molliter* drove the said Cattle out of the said Place, where the said *William* had Common *in præd villam de Townbarningham*, adjoining to the said Common of *Bassingham*, &c. The Plaintiff reply'd, *de injuria sua propria absque tali causa*: Upon which the Defendant demurr'd in Law. And it was objected on the Plaintiff's Part, that the said Replication was good, because the Defendant doth not claim any Interest, but justifieth by Force of a Commandment; to which *de injuria sua propria absque tali causa* may be fitly applied: And this Plea *De injuria sua propria*, shall

shall refer only to the Commandment, and to no other Part of the Plea, and they cited the Books in 10 H. 6. 3. a. b. 9. a. 16 H. 7. 3. a. b. &c. 3 H. 6. 35. a. 19 H. 6. 7. a. b. &c. But it was adjudged, That the Replication was insufficient. And in this Case divers Points were resolved: 1. That *absque tali causa*, doth refer to the (a) whole Plea, and not only to the Commandm. for all maketh but one Cause, and any of them, without the other, is no Plea by itself. And therefore in (b) a false Imprisonment, if the Defendant justifies by a *Ca-^(b)pias* to the Sheriff, and a Warrant to him there, *De injuria sua propria* generally is no good Replication, for then the Matter of Record will be Parcel of the Cause (for all makes but one Cause) and Matter of (c) Record ought not to be put in Issue to the Common People, but in such Case he may reply, *De injuria sua propria*, and traverse the Warrant, which is Matter in Fact. (d) But upon such a Justification by Force of any Proceeding in the *Admiral* Court, Hundred or County, &c. or any other which is not a Court of Record, there *De Injuria sua propria* generally is good, for all is Matter of Fact, and all makes but one Cause. And by these Differences you will agree your Books in 2 H. 7. 3. b. 5 H. 7. 6. a. b. 16 H. 7. 3. a. 21 H. 7. 22. a. 19 H. 6. 7. a. b. 41 E. 3. 29. b. 17 E. 3. 44. 18 E. 3. 10. b. 2 E. 4. 6. b. 12 E. 4. 10. b. 14 H. 6. 16. 21 H. 6. 5. a. b. 13 R. 2. Issue 163.

2. It was resolv'd, That when the Def. in his own Right, or as a Serv. to another. claims any (e) Interest in the Land, or any Common, or Rent going out of the Land; or any (f) Way or Passage upon the Land, &c. there *De injuria sua propria* generally is no Plea. (g) But if the Def. justifies as Servant, there *De Inju' sua prop'* in some of the said Cases, with a Traverse of the Commandment, that being made material is good; and so you will agree all your Books, *scil.* 14 H. 4. 32. 33 H. 6. 5. 44 E. 3. 18. 2 H. 5. 1. 10 H. 6. 3. 9. 39 H. 6. 32. 9 E. 4. 22. 16 E. 4. 4. 21 E. 4. 6. 28 E. 3. 98. 28 H. 6. 9. 21 E. 3. 41. 22 Ass. 42. 44 E. 3. 13. 45 E. 3. 7. 24 E. 3. 72. 22 Ass. 85. 33 H. 6. 29. 42 E. 3. 2. For the general Plea *De Inju' sua prop'* &c. is properly when the Def. Plea doth consist merely upon Mat. of (h) Excuse, and of no Mat. of Interest whatsoever; (i) & dicitur de inju' sua prop', &c. because the Injury properly in this Sense is to the Person, or to (j) the Reputation; as Battery or Imprisonment to the Person; or, Scandal to the Reputation; there, if the Defen. excuse himself upon his own Assault, or upon Hue and Cry, levied there properly (k) *De inju' sua prop'* generally is a good Plea, for there the Defendant's Plea consists only upon Matter of Excuse. 3. It was resolv'd, That (l) when by the Defendant's Plea any Authority or Power is mediately or immediately derived from the Plaintiff, there, although no Interest be claimed,

EDWARD CROGATE's Case. PART VIII.

the Plaintiff ought to answer it, and shall not reply generally *De Injuria sua propria*. The same Law of an (a) Authority given by the Law; as to view Waste, &c. Vide 12 E. 4. 10. 9 Ed. 4. 31. 20 Edw. 4. 4. 42 Edw. 3. 2. 16 Hen. 7. 3.

Lastly, It was resolv'd, That in the Case at Bar the Issue wou'd be full of Multiplicity of Matter, wherean Issue ought to be full and single, for Parcel of the Manor, demisable by Copy, Grant by Copy, Prescription of Common, &c. and Commandment wou'd be all Parcel of the Issue. And so by the Rule of the whole Court, Judgment was given against the Plaintiff.

Mich.

Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

JOHN TROLLOP'S Case.

Lancelot Richeson brought Debt on a Bond of 34 li. by *Cr. Jac. 212*
Quo minus in the Exchequer against John Trollop, Esq; and upon Issue and Verdict had Judgment against Trollop, upon which Trollop brought a Writ of Error against the said Richeson, who pleaded an Excommunication, & *profert hic in Cur' literas Clementis Colnor, Legum Doctoris, Reverendi in Christo patris, Tobia nuper Dunelm' Episcopi vic' in spiritualibus generalis, & officialis principalis legitime fulciti, sigillo confist' Dunelm', signat', viz. Clemens Colnor, &c. univ'ersis & singulis Clericis & literatis quibuscunque per totam diocesin Dunelm' ubilibet consistat' salut'*: and recited that he did proceed against him for Recusancy, and that *propter ipsi contumaciam in non comparendo, &c.* being lawfully cited and forwarn'd, he was excommunicated, *Vobis igitur tenore Presentium mandamus quatenus prefat' Joh' Trollop, sic ut pramittitur per nos excommunicatum fuisse & esse, in Ecclesia vestra Parochial' diebus Dominicis, & aliis festivis, &c. publice denunciatis, & cum effectu declaretis, &c.* and demanded Judgment if he should be answered. The Plaintiff said, That after the said Excommengment, the King by his general Pardon in his Parliament Anno 3, did pardon all Contempts, &c. and all that he might pardon; and averred that neither the Offence nor the Person &c. is excepted, &c. Upon which the Def. in the Writ of Err. did demurr in Law. And it was resol. by the Court, and the two Chief Just. that the said Plea of Excomm. was insuf. for two Causes. 1. Because the Excommeng. is certified by the Official or Commiss. of the Bish. where it ought to be certified by the (a) Bishop, who is the immediate Officer to the Court, for none shall certify an Excommu. whereby any shall be disabled, but he to whom the Court may write to absolve him who was excommunicated, as the Bishop, Guardian of the (b) Spiritualities, &c. and therewith agree the Books in the Point in 44 E. 3. Excommengment 23. 20 E. 3. Excommengement 24. 41 Ass. p. 29. (c) 20 H. 6. 1. a. (d) 8 H. 6. 3. (e) 7 E. 4. 14. a. 14 (f) H. 4. 14. a. b. Vide II H. 6. 64. a. (g) Fitz Excom. Hank. That it was so ordained by the (g) Parliament, (h) 12 E. 4. 15. b. 16. a. F. N. B. 64. c. 65. a. But
 K 4 the
 del evesque 26. (e) Br. Excom. 14. Fitz. Excom. 16. (f) Fitz. Excom. 21. Br. Excom. 5.
 (g) Fitz. Excom. 20. Co. Lit. 134. a. (h) Fitz. Excom. 17. Br. Excom. 15. Br. Nonability 33.

JOHN TROLLOP's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Reg. Orig.
67. b.

* 20 H. 6. 25. a.
Fitz. Excom. 12.
Br. Excom. 3. 13.

(b) Fitz. Excom.
15. Co. Lit. 131. a.
2 E. 4. 4. b.

(c) Br. Excom. 3.
Fitz. Excom. 12.
(d) Fitz. Excom.
15. Br. Excom. 13.

(e) Br. Excom. 12.
Swinh. 305. 3 H.
2. 3. b. Co. Lit.
134. a. Br. No-
bility 55.
Cawly 217.

(f) Fitz. Excom. 3.
23 E. 3. 97. a.
2 Bulliv. 139.
218. 293. 294.
Farr. 57. 147.

(g) Fitz. Excom.
21.

(h) F. N. B. 65. a.
Co. Lit. 134. a.

the (a) Chancel. of the Univerf. of *Cambr.* or *Oxf.* may certify an Excom. for they do it by the King's Charter, *Register*, *F. N. B.* 64. c. 2. If the Bishop himself had certified it, yet it had been insufficient, for the Direction ought to be either to * this Court in particular, or at least, *Universis sancte matris Ecclesie filiis*: But in the Case at Bar it is directed, *Universis & singu' Cler' & literat' quibuscunq' per totam dioecesim Dunelm' ubilibet constitut'*, by which partic. Direct. this Court is exclud. as a Certific. of an Excom. in the Chanc. shall not serve in any other Court; neither shall a (b) Protection directed to one Court, serve in another; for always a Certific. directed to a partic. Court, and in a partic. Manner, shall not be extended farther; and therewith agree (c) 20 *Hen. 6.* 25. a. (d) 2 *Edw.* 4. 4. And it was objected, That an Excom. is a Spiritu. Judgm. and therof. the Temp. Judges shall not dispute upon the Manner of it. To which it was answ. and resolv. That the Judges of the Common Law shall adjudge upon the Manner (and in some Cases) of the Matter also of the Certific. in Case of Excommu. as if the Bishop himf. be sued, and he pleads an Excom. (e) by himf. or his Commif. (who is as his Deputy) altho' it be for another Cause than is then in Question, it shall not disable the Plain. because he himf. is Party, and therew. agree 16 *E.* 3. *Excom.* 5. 5 *E.* 2. *Excom.* 27. 5 *E.* 3. 8. 8 *E.* 3. 69. 18 *E.* 3. 58. 9 *H.* 7. 21. b. 10 *H.* 7. 9. a. Also if a Prohibition be brought against a Bp. and he shews forth the Letters of the Abp. that the Plain. is excom. *propter (f) diversas contumacias*, without shewing any Cause in Special of the Excommu. it shall not disable the Plain. The same Law if any other be Def. in any Acti. and would disable the Plain. by Excommu. he ought to shew the Bp's Certific. contain. the Specialty of the principal Cause for which the Plain. is excommu. to the End that the Judges of the Law may know whether the Spiritu. Court have Conusans of the orig. Cause, and if the Excom. be against Law, the Court ought to write to them to absolve the Party; which they can't do if the Certific. be gen. and if the Bp. refuses it, his Temporali. at the Com. Law shall be seised, 28 *E.* 3. 97. a. 22 *E.* 4. 20. b. 20 *E.* 3. *Excom.* 9. 13 *H.* 7. 16. b. and in 14 *H.* 4. 14. b. *Hanks* there saith, That a (g) Doctor of the Civil Law told him, That no Let. of Certific. of Excom. (altho' it be of the Bp.) should be allow'd, if the princi. Cause be not cont. in the Writ; *F. N. B.* 64. f. The Bp. ought to expr. the Cause and Suit against the Plain. speci. in the Certific. *vide* 3 *H.* 4. 3. b. mistaken in the Rep. For the Opin. there is refer. in 14 *H.* 4. 14. as app. bef. *Vide Flet. lib.* 6. c. 26. *West.* 2. c. 43. Also the Bp. ought to cert. that whi. hath been senten. in his own Cou. and not in anoth. C. And therof. he can't certify, that (h) anoth. Bp. hath certified to him, or that he hath seen a Sent. of Excom. made by another Bishop; but

but the Bishop may certify an Excommungement made by his Commissary or Official, for that the Bishop's Court and his Commissary doth in his Right, *F. N. B.* 65. a. 33 *E.* 3. *Excom.* 29. 9 *H.* 7. 21. b. 10 *H.* 7. 8. b.

If the Bishop makes a Certificate, and (a) dies before it be receiv'd, it is nothing worth, but his Successor ought to certify it, *F. N. B.* 65. 8 *E.* 2. *Excom.* 26. 14 *E.* 3. *ibid.* 8. But Note, Reader, that in some Case the Vicar General may certify an Excommungement; but that is when the Bishop is in (b) *remotis agendis*, which is as much as to say, *extra Regnum* in the King's Service; But the Court will be apprized of it by Matter of Record, *scil.* by Writ out of the Chancery directed to them, and not by the Surmise of the Party, and then for Necessity (which is always the Law of Time, for *Necessitas est Lex Temporis*) the Certificate of the Vicar General shall be allow'd, because no other can make it. And therewith agree 31 *E.* 3. 10. (b) *F. N. B.* 64. And after a Bishop is elected, and before he is (c) consecrated, he may certify an Excommungement, for the Power of the Guardian of the Spiritualities * ceases after he is elected by the King's *Conge de Eslier*: And therefore for Necessity he ought to certify it. *F. N. B.* 62. *N.* and *Register*.

And it is to be known, That when a Plea of Excommungement is allow'd, the Writ shall not abate, but the Entry is, (d) *Quod remaneat loquela sine die quousque, &c.* 3 *Hen.* 6. 3. 3 *Edw.* 4. 8. 3 *Ass. p.* 12.

The Point in Law in the Case at Bar, if the Certificate had been good, was, If the general (e) Pardon discharged a Man excommunicated of the Excommunication or not, and by the Award of the Court, the Defendant was ruled to answer over.

(a) *Co. Lit.* 134. a.(b) *Co. Lit.* 134. a.*F. N. B.* 62. n.(c) 2 *Rolls Rep.*451. *F. N. B.* 62. n.*Larch.* 32.* *Palm.* 464.(d) *Lit. Sect.* 202.*Antea* 62. b.*Co. Lit.* 134. b.(e) *Cr. Car.* 199.*Cr. Jac.* 152, 212.

Hill.

Hillarii 6 Jacobi Regis,
which began Mich. 6 Jacobi.
Rot. 1316.

In the Common Pleas.

WHITLOCK'S Case.

Co. Entries 600.
 Numero 15.
 1 Brownl. 169.
 2 Roll. Rep. 274.
 3 Bulstr. 273.

IN a *Replevin* between *John Chappel* Plaintiff, and *William Whitlock* Defendant, for taking of a Gelding in *Rings-Ash*, in the County of *Devon*, in a Place called *Gunny-Park*; the Defendant avow'd the taking in the Place where, &c. as in his Freehold, for Damage Feasant. The Plaintiff in Bar of the Avowry pleaded, That one *William Whitlock* the Elder was seised of a Messuage, 20 Acres of Land, 12 Acres of Wood, and 20 Acres of Heath in *Rings-Ash* aforesaid in Fee, whereof the Place where is Parcel, and demised the said Tenements to one *John Bullhead* for his Life, by Force whereof he was seised for Life, the Reversion expectant to the said *William Whitlock* the Elder, and the said *William Whitlock* the Elder, 11 *Marci*, 18 *Elizabeth*. by his Indenture Tripartite, in Consideration of a Marriage to be solemnized between *William Whitlock* the Younger, and *Margaret* Daughter of *John Botler*, covenanted and agreed by the said Indentures, That the said *William Whitlock* the Elder, before the Feast of the Birth of Christ next ensuing, would assure and convey to *Leon. Yeo*, and *Anthony Whitlock*, and their Heirs, the Tenements aforesaid, to the Uses, Intents and Purposes expressed and declared in the said Indentures, and to no other Uses or Intents, viz. till the said Marriage, to the Use of the said *William Whitlock* the Elder and his Heirs, and after the said Marriage to the Use of *William Whitlock* the Elder for his Life, without Impeachment of Waste, and afterw. to the Use of the said *Will. Whitlock* the Young. and the Heirs of his Body, and aft. to the

the Use of J. Whitlock and his Heirs: *Et per eand' Indenturam ulterius provisum, concessum & agreeatum fuit, quod liceret & licitum foret præd' Will' Whitlock Sen' ad aliquod Temp' extunc facere dimissionem, (Anglice Lease) sive dimissiones, concessionem sive concessiones, iam in possessione quam in Reversione de Tenementis præd' cum pertin', unde &c. inter alia, sive de aliqua parte inde. Proviso semper quod præd' dimissio sive dimissiones, concessio sive concessiones non excederent sup' numerum trium vitarum ad majus vel viginti & uni annor', & ita quod super quamlib' talem dimission' & dimission' concession' & concessiones, maxime antiq' & consuet' annual' reddit', heriot & servitia sive plus redderentur & reservarentur, solubil' duran' dict' dimissione sive dimissionib' concessione sive concessionibus: And that the said Leon' and Anth' and their Heirs, should stand seised, &c. to the Use of every such Fermor, &c. And afterwards, 18 Maii, 18 Eliz. the said William the Young. and Margaret entermarried, and afterwards, Trin. 18 Eliz. William Whitlock the Elder levied a Fine of the Tenements aforesaid, according to the same Indentures, to the Uses therein contained, by Force whereof, and of the Statute of Uses, the said William Whitlock the Elder was seised of the Reversion of the said Tenements, &c. for his Life, the Remainder over according to the said Indentures. And the said William Whitlock the Elder so seised, 1 Septemb. 31 Eliz. dimisit cuidam Christian' Hearne tenem' præd' cum pertin', unde &c. inter alia, hab' & occup' eid' Christiana' & Assignat' suis pro term' 99 annor' plenarie complend' & finiend', Si præd' Christiana' & quid' Petr' Rattenbury, sive eorum alter, tam diu vivere contingeret: the said Term to commence after the Death or Determinat. of the Estate of the said John Bullhead, reddendo & solvendo proinde annuatim post inceptiorem dicta dimissionis, præfato Will' Whitlock Sen' hered' & assign' suis & tali persona & personis quib' hereditament' præmissorum post mortem præd' Will' Whitlock Sen' de jure spectaret seu pertineret durante dicto Termino 14 s. ad quatuor maxime usualia festa annuatim solvend' &c. And the Plaintiff, justified under the said Lease, and averred the Life of the said Pet. Rattenbury, and that the most antient and accustomed yearly Rents, Heriot and Services, &c. were reserved, &c. upon which the Avowant did demur in Law. And in this Case 2 Questions were moved. 1. Wheth. Will. Whitl. the Elder had pursued his Authority or not, in making the said Lease for 99 Years, determinable on the said two Lives. 2. Wheth. the said Reservation of the Rent was accord. to the said *Ita quod*, &c. And as to the 1st it was object. That the Authority was distinguish'd, sc. eith. to make a Lease not exceed. the Numb. of 3 Lives, or for 21 Years, by which*

2 Roll. Rep. 274.

2 Roll. 260.

Postea 71. 2]

2 Roll. Rep. 174.

2 Roll. 260.

1 Co. 139. 2.

Postea 71.

it

it appears that the Intention was either to make a Lease for three Lives, &c. or if he would make a Lease for Years, that it ought to be for 21 Years; but in the Case at Bar, the Lease is not for 3 Lives, &c. nor for 21 Years, but for 99 Years, if two or either of them shall so long live, and so his Authority not pursued. And if (a) one hath Power to make a Lease for three Lives, he cannot make a Lease for 99 Years determinable upon three Lives, &c. *quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam*. But it was answer'd and resolv'd by the Court, that in the Case at Bar the Lease was good, and the Power which the Lessor had was well pursued; For the Proviso of Creation of his Power to make Leases is in the Beginning absolute, affirmative and indefinite, *scil.* to make a Lease or Leases, Grant or Grants, &c. as well in Possession as in Reversion of the Tenements, or any Parcel thereof, &c. which is without any Limitation. Then the Proviso of Correction is added, *scil.* That such Lease or Leases, Grant or Grants, shall not exceed the Number of three Lives at most, or 21 Years, which Clause is negative, and qualifies the Generality of the first Proviso; So that the Power by the first is general, and by the second the Lease ought not to exceed three Lives, &c. And when the Lease is made for 99 Years determinable upon two Lives, it doth not exceed the Number of three Lives, altho' in Truth it is not a Lease for Lives. 2. The Power is to make Leases as well in Possession as in Reversion, with the Limitation aforesaid; and a Lease for three Lives cannot be made in Reversion, but a Lease for Years determinable upon Lives may, and the Lessor himf. had but a Reversion expectant on an Estate for Life at the Time of the Creation of the said Power: So that the Intention of the Parties was to make a Lease for Years absolutely but for 21 Years, but any Term of Years determinable upon 3 Lives, &c. which is in Equipage with 21 Years, he well might. And the Difference was taken and agreed between a particular Power affirmative, and a general Power restrained with a Negative: For it is true, that if one hath Power to make a Lease for three Lives, or 21 Years, he can't make a Lease for 99 Years, if three shall so long live, &c. but if he has Power to make any Lease or Grant, provided such Lease or Grant shall not exceed the Number of three Lives, or 21 Years, there he may make a Lease for 99 Years, if three shall so long live, for that doth not exceed the Number of 3 Lives, but in Truth is less; for every Term for Years, which is but a Chattel, is less in Estimation of Law than an Estate for Life, which is a Freehold. As to the second Point it was objected, That the said Reservation was such, that it was not payable during the said Lease as it ought, but only during the Life of the Lessor; for he having but an Estate for Life, reserved the Rent to him and his Heirs, and his Heirs cannot have it, and the

(c.) 2 Roll. 260.
2 Roll. Rep. 277.
2 Roll. 260.

Cre. Car. 289.

PART VIII. WHITLOCK'S Case.

latter Words, *scil.* To such Person and Persons who shall have the Inheritance of the Premises, &c. are meerly void, for no Rent can be (a) reserved but to the Lessor, Donor, or Feoffor, and his Heirs, who are Privies in Blood, and not to any who is Privie in Estate, as to him in Reversion, Remainder, &c. But it was resolved, That the *Reservation in the Case at Bar is good. For the said Lease hath not its Essence from the Estate of the Lessor, which he hath for Life, but the Lease hath its Essence out of the said Fine, and in Construction of Law (b) preceeds the Estate for Life and all the Remainders; for after the Lease made, it is as much as if the Use had been limited originally to the Lessee for the said Term, and then the other Limitations in Construction of Law follow it: And that is the Reason that the usual Clause in such Indentures is, That the Conusees and (c) their Heirs shall stand seised to the Use of such Lessees, &c. So that the Lessee in the Case at Bar derives his Estate out of the Estate which passed by the Fine. Then when the Lessor reserves Rent to him and his Heirs, it is good, for that by Construction of Law preceeds the Limitations of the Uses, and then it being well reserved, it is well transferred to every one to whom any Use is limited. So if the Reservation be to the Lessor, and to every Person to whom the Inheritance or Reversion of the Premises shall appertain during the Term, that is likewise good, for the Law will distribute it to every one to whom any Limitation of the Use shall be made. And in such Case no Rent is reserved to a Stranger, for the Reservation preceeds the Limitation of the Uses to Strangers. But it was agreed, That the most clear and sure Way was to (d) reserve Rent yearly during the Term, and leave the Law to make the Distribution, without an expresse Reservation to any Person. But it was resolved, That all the said three several Ways were good enough and effectual in Law.

(a) Hob. 139.
2 Roll. 447, 450.
Co. Lit. 47. a.
143. b. 213. a.
Cro. Car. 289.
Antea 70. a.
1 Co. 139. a.

(b) Moor. 382.

(c) Antea 70. a.

(d) 1 Inst. 4.
Hardres 90.
1 Jones 309.
Plowd. 171.
2 Sand. 369.
21 H. 7. 25. b.
Goldsb. 148.

Pasch. 7 Jacobi Regis.

GRENELEY's Case.

1 Brownl. 131.

IN an *Ejectione firma* between *Owen Greneley* Plaintiff, and *Philip Greneley* and others Defendants on a Demise made by *Stephen Greneley* of three Acres of Land in *St. Super Arrow in Com' Hereford*, and on Not Guilty pleaded, the Jury gave a special Verdict to this Effect: *William Woodhouse* and *John Badland* were seised of the said three Acres in Fee, and Octob. 20. 38 Hen. 8. did thereof enfeoff *Philip Greneley* the Elder, and *Isabel* his Wife, To have and to hold to the said *Philip* and *Isabel*, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies lawfully begotten: The said *Philip* the Elder, 1 Decemb. 17 Eliz. did enfeoff *Philip Greneley* the Younger of the said three Acres in Fee; and afterwards 20 Eliz. *Philip* the Elder died, and *Isabel* survived him; and afterwards the said *Isabel* (before any Entry made by her, viz. 26 Eliz.) died; and the said *Stephen Greneley* the Lessor of the Plaintiff was Heir of their two Bodies begotten, &c. And the only Question in this Case was, whether the said Feoffment of *Philip* the Elder to *Philip* the Younger, had tolled the Entry of the said *Stephen*, or that the Entry of *Stephen* was lawful or not. 1. It was resolved, That at the Common Law this (a) Feoffment was a Discontinuance to the Issue, for the Issue ought to claim as Heir of their two Bodies individually, and as Heir to one only he cannot inherit, and by Consequence cannot enter; for his Entry ought to ensue his Title and his Action, and the Formedon in the Descender in such Case is, *Quod C. dedit D. & E. uxori ejus & heredibus de corporibus ipsorum D. & E. exeuntibus, & quod post mortem predict' D. & E. prefato K. filio & hered' eorundem D. & E. descendere debet per formam donationis predict', &c. Regist' Orig' 238. b.* By which it appears that in his Formedon

(a) 1 Roll. 634.
Co. Lit. 326. a. b.
Plowd. 112. b.

Formedon he ought to make himself Heir to both, and not to the Survivor: So if the said Donees had been disseised, and a Descent cast, and afterwards the Fath. died, and bef. Entry the Moth. died, the Entry of the Issue is not congeable, bec. he ought to claim as Heir of both their Bodies, and as Heir to the Father he is bound by the Descent, as his Fath. himf. was, and as Heir to his Moth. only he cannot enter, for he hath not any such Title. *Vid.* 35 H. 6. 45. b. in Affise, but if the Moth. in such Case had entred, and re-contin. the Estate Tail, then the Discontinuance was purg'd and utterly removed, and the Estate tail actually vested in the Wife; which after her Death descends to the Issue. 2. It was resolved, That altho' the Husb. and Wife had a (a) joint and undivided Estate Tail, and that (a) Co. Lit. 326. the Words of 32 H. 8. cap. 28. are, *That no Fine, Feoffment, or other Act or Acts hereaft. to be made, suffered, or done by the Husb. only, of any Manors, Lands, Tenem. or Hereditam. being the Inherit. or Freeh. of his Wife, during the Coverture betw. them, &c.* That this joint Estate was within these Words, *(the Inherit. (b) or Freeh. of his Wife)* for she hath a Freeh. and Inherit. in (b) Cro. Car. 227 the Land, altho' she hath not the sole Freeh. or Inherit. So hath it been alw. taken upon the Stat. of West. 2. (c) cap. 3. *In casu (c) 2 Inst. 342, quando vir amiserit per defali' tant', quod fuit jus uxoris sue, &c. 343. propter quod Rex statuit, quod mulier post mortem viri sui habeat Recuperare per breve de Cui in vita, &c.* that a joint Estate to Husb. and Wife hath been alw. taken within these Words (*jus uxor'*) and yet *non fuit sol' aut unic' (d) jus uxor'*: and accord. to (d) 2 Inst. 342. this Resolution in the princip. agr. (f) 3 Eliz. Dy. 191. b. *Hawtry's (e) 9 Co. 138. b. Case.* 3. It was resolv'd, That by the said Act the (g) Entry of the Issue in tail was lawful in the Case at Bar; for the Words (f) Dy. 191. pl. 22. Hob. 71, 255. of the Act go farther, *shall in any wise be or make any Discontinuance, or be prejudicial or hurtf. to the said Wife, or to her Heirs, or to such as shall have Right, Title, or Interest to the same, by the Death of such Wife or Wives. But that the same Wife or her Heirs, and such other to whom such Right shall appert. after her Decease, shall or may then lawfully enter into all such Manors, Lands, Tenem. and Hereditam. accord. to their Rights and Titles therein.* So that if the Issue in Tail shall not be within these Words, (*her Heirs*) bec. he is Heir to both, sc. to Fath. and Moth. yet without Question he is within these Words, (*or to such as have Right by the Death of such Wife.*) And in this Case a Difference was tak. and agreed betw. a Discontin. which implies a Wrong, and a lawf. Bar which implies a Right; and theref. if Lands are given to Husb. and Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, and the (h) Husb. levies a Fine with Proclama- (h) 1 Co. 87. b. tions, 9 Co. 139. a. Dy. 351. pl. 24. 2 Inst. 681. Hob. 257, 333. Moor 147. 1 And. 39. pl. 101. Godb. 312. N. Bendl. 225. pl. 257. Bendl. in Ash. pl. 27. Dall. 50. pl. 16. 213. pl. 27. 1 Roll. Rep. 424. 2 Roll. Rep. 321. Mo. 28. pl. 90. 114. pl. 256. Cr. Car. 478. 1 Jones 40. Lit. Rep. 291.

1 Brownl. 140. 1 Leon. 84, 157. Dall. in Kelw. 203. pl. 7. Dall. in Ash. pl. 7. Dall. 50. pl. 16. 1 And. 39. pl. 101. Godb. 312. N. Bendl. 225. pl. 257. Bendl. in Ash. pl. 27. Bendl. in Kelw. 213. pl. 27. 1 Roll. Rep. 424. 2 Roll. Rep. 321. Mo. 28. pl. 90. 114. pl. 256. Cr. Car. 478. 1 Jones 40. Lit. Rep. 291.

GRENELEY's Case. PART VIII.

(c) 1 Co. 139. a.
140 a. Dyer
332. Pl. 227.
Hob. 257, 346.
1 And. 39. Pl. 102.
Godb. 312. Raym.
6, 7. 2 Roll. Rep.
321. Mo. 114.
Pl. 256. Moor 147.
1 Brownl. 139,
140. Cro. Car.
478. 1 Jones 40.
(b) Dyer 122.
Pl. 22.

tions, and commits High (a) Treason, and dies, and the Wife before, or after Entry dies, there the Issue is barred; and the Conusee, or the King hath Right to the Land, because the Issue cannot claim as Heir to both; and therewith agrees 18 Eliz. 351. b. adjudged. Vid. 5 Hen. 7. 32. (b) Col's Affize. And it was resolv'd, That the Stat. of 32 Hen. 8. extends only to Discontinuances, altho' the Act hath general Words, or be prejudicial or hurtful to the Wife or her Heirs, &c. But the Conclusion is, shall lawfully enter, &c. accord. to their Rights and Titles therein, which they cannot do, when they are barr'd, and have no Right, Title, or Interest; and this Stat. gives Advantage to the Wife, &c. so long as she hath Right, but it doth not extend to take away a future Bar, altho' the Stat. gives Entry without limitat. of any Time, but the Entry ought to wait upon the Right. And therof. if the Husb. levies a Fine with Proclamat. to another, and dies, now the Wife (c) may enter by Force of the Stat. For yet the Fine is not any Bar to her, but her Right remains, which she may re-continue by Ent. but if she surceases her Time, (d) and 5 Years pass witho. Ent. &c. now by Force of the Fine with Procl. and 5 years pass aft. the Death of her Husb. she is barr'd of her Right, and by Consequence she cannot enter; and the Stat. speaks of Fine only, and not of Fine with Proclamations; and therew. agrees the 6 E. 6. Dy. 72. b. And it was resolv'd, That if the Husb. be Ten. in Tail, the Remainder to the Wife in Tail, that if the Husb. makes a Feoffm. in Fee, and dies without Issue, the Wife may enter, because it was the Inherit. of the Wife; But if the Husb. suffers a Common Recovery, and dies without Issue, there the Wife is barr'd, and cannot enter by Force of this Statute: But this Statute was made to relieve him who has Right, and to suppress Wrong, and to advance Right

(c) 1 Roll. Rep.
91, 92, 160.
9 Co. 140. b.
10 Co. 49. b.
Co. Lit. 326. a.
Dy. 72. Pl. 3.
Cro. Car. 201.
(d) 2 Co. 93. a.
10 Co. 49. b. 29. a.
Dy. 224. Pl. 28.
Palm. 235.
Goldsb. 148.
3 Leon. 221.
Moor 53. 2 Roll.
Rep. 409. 3 Inst.
216. Cro. Jac.
333.

(e) 9 Co. 140. a.
Co. Lit. 19. a.

without any Respect to the Warranty of the Discontinuee, if he hath any. And if (e) before the Statute *De Donis conditionalibus* Lands had been given to Husband and Wife, and the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, and they have Issue, and the Husb. *post prolem suscitatum* aliens, and dies bef. the Stat. and the Wife survives, and dies after the Stat. the Issue shall have a Formedon: For notwithstanding the said Alienation, a Right remains, for as much as the Husb. only aliens, which Right is entailed by the Statute; and before the Statute the Issue in such Case might have a *Sur cui in vita*, and claim as Heir of the Body of both, for the Feoffment was no Bar, but a Discontinuance: And therewith agrees 21 Edward. 3. 45. a. b. 12 Hen. 4. 7. And in all Cases where the Wife might have a *Cui in vita* at Common Law, she shall enter by Force of this Statute of 32 Hen. 8. and where the Issue cannot have a *Sur cui in vita*, or Formedon, there he shall not enter within the Remedy of this Statute. And therefore if the Husband has Issue, and aliens, and the Wife dies, the Issue shall not enter (f). during

(f) Moor 58.
Co. Lit. 326. a.

the Life of the Husband, because at the Common Law he had no Remedy to recover the Land during the Husband's Life, and the Words of the Act are, *according to their Right and Title therein*. But if the Husband aliens, and afterwards the Wife (a) is divorced *causa præcontractus*, or any other Divorce which dissolves the Marriage *a vinculo Matrimonii*, there the Wife during the Husband's Life may enter, for the Words of the Act are, *no Fine, Feoffment, &c.* during the Coverture between them. And altho' afterwards the Husband and Wife are divorced, yet the Feoffment was made during the Coverture *between them*. And altho' the Statute saith, *But that the same Wife, &c.* that is to be intended of her who was his Wife at the Time of the Alienation; for when the Husband dies, she is not then his Wife, but she is called Wife to describe the Person only who shall enter; and it is not said in the Statute that the Wife shall enter after the Death of her Husb. but generally that she shall enter *according to their Right and Title*; be it in the Life of the Husband after a Divorce *a vinculo Matrimonii*, or after his Death.

(a) Co. Lit. 263
Moor 58.

L

Trin.

Trin' 7 Jacobi Regis.

The Lord STAFFORD's Case.

Co. Ent. 577.
num. 6.
2 Brownl. 248,
249.

Between *Thomas Malym* Plaintiff, and *Tho. Tully* Defendant, in a Replevin, which began in the Common Pleas, *Trin. 6 Jacobi, Rot. 2341.* upon the pleading the Parties demurred in Law, and upon the whole Record the Case was such. Queen *Mary* was seised of a Park called *Estwood Park*, within the Manor of *Thornbury*, in the County of *Gloucester*; in Fee, and by her Letters Patents, 10 *Julii, anno regni sui 2.* granted the said Park to *Henry Lord Stafford*, and *Ursula* his Wife, and to the Heirs of the Body of *Hen. L. Stafford*, by Force whereof the *L. Stafford* and *Ursula* his Wife were seised thereof accordingly, the Reversion over expectant to the said Queen *Mary*, her Heirs and Successors, which Reversion of the Fee-simple by the Death of Queen *Mary* descended to Queen *Elizabeth. Hill. 3 Eliz. Tyndal* levied a Fine to the Lord *Stafford*, and *Ursula* his Wife, *come ceo*, and to the Heirs of the Lord *Stafford*, of the said Park, by which Fine the Lord *Stafford* and his Wife render'd it to *Tyndal* for 25 Years: And afterwards *Henry Lord Stafford, ultimo Jun. 7 Eliz.* died, and *Ursula* survived him, and held in by Survivorship, and the Remainder of the said Estate tail descended to *Hen. Lord Staff.* the Son: And afterwards *Q. Eliz.* by her Letters Patents, 10 *Jul. 7 Eliz.* reciting the former Estate, the Reversion and Reversions thereof to the said *Q. Eliz.* her Heirs and Successors expectant, the said *Q. Eliz.* by the said Letters Patents, and for the Sum of 53 *lib. 18 s.* paid by *Tho. Tyndall, Gent.* did grant to the said *Thom. Tyndal* *Reversionem præd' parci, &c. habend' & tenend' sibi & hered' de corpore suo legitime procreatis: Et ulterius prædicta*

dicta nuper Regina Elizabetha voluit & declaravit per eandem Literas Patentes, q'd si prefat' Thomas Tyndal Heredes vel Assignati sui ad aliquod Tempus imposterum solverent seu solvi facerent, aut eorum aliquis solveret seu solvi faceret, ad Receiptum Scaccar' prad' nup' Regina Hered' vel Successor' suor' ad usum ipsius nup' Regi' Hered' vel Successor' suor', summam viginti solidor', legalis Moneta Angliae pro praemissis, ultra prad' summam 53l. 18s. tunc eadem nup' Regina Elizabetha de ampliori Gratia sua speciali, ac ex certa Scientia & mero motu suis voluit & per eandem Literas suas Patentes pro se Heredib' & Successorib' suis concessit & declaravit q'd extunc idem Tho. Tyndal & heredes suz haberent & tenerent, ac habere, tenere, & gaudere valerent & possent, sibi & heredib' suis imperpetuum virtute carundem Liter' Patent' suar' prad' reversionem prad' Parc' cum pertinent' inter alia: Habend' tenend' & gaudend', ea omnia & singu' Praemissa cum pertinent' prefat' Tho. Tyndal heredib' & assignat' suis: By Force whereof the said Tho. Tyndal was seised of the said Reversion in Tail, and he being thereof so seised, the Reversion over to the Queen as aforesaid, the said Tho. Tyndal, 23-Feb. 8 Eliz: at the Receipt of the Exchequer at Westminister, paid to Tho. Gardiner, then one of the Tellers of the Exchequer, to the Use of the said Queen, the Sum of 20 s. for the said Park, above the said Sum of 53l. 18s. which 20 s. were then receiv'd, prout per record' Receptionis inde in Curia Scaccarii Domini. Regis nunc apud Westm' prad' remanent plene liquet & apparet, by Force whereof he was seised of the said Reversion in Fee expectant on his Estate Tail: And afterwards Henry Lord Stafford, 8 Eliz. died; after whose Death the said Remainder descended to Edward Lord Stafford his Son, who, Pasch. 8 Eliz. levied a Fine to Clark, and others of the said Park, with Proclamations, and afterwards the said Ursula, 10 Eliz. died, and afterwards Edward Lord Stafford died, and Edward Lord Stafford that now is, his Son and Heir, pretending that the said Reversion in Fee did not accrue to Tho. Tyndal by the Payment of the said 20 s. but remain'd in the Crown, by the said Thomas Malym distrein'd the Cattle of Tully the Plaintiff, in the said Park, who claimed under the said Fine; and to enforce the Letters Patents of Q. Eliz. the Statute of Confirmation of Letters Patents made (a) 18 Eliz. was pleaded; which was not pertinent to this Case; but the principal Point of this Case was, Whether by the Payment of the said 20s. the said Tho. Tyndal had gained the Reversion of the Fee Simple, out of Q. Eliz. For if no Reversion remained in the Queen, then the (b) Fine with Proclamations barred the L. Stafford that now is, who claimed as Issue in Tail; and if the Reversion remain'd in her at the Time of the Fine, then the Fine levied by Edward Lord Stafford the Father did not bar him.

(a) 18 Eliz. cap. 2.

(b) Raym. 297.
34 & 35 H. 8. c. 20.

The Lord STAFFORD'S Case. PART VIII.

And this Case was very well argued by the Serjeants, *scil.* *Huton, Nicholl, Harris* the younger; and *Houghton*, and after this Term the Case was argued by all the Justices of the Bench, *scil.* *Coke* Chief Justice, *Walmesley, Warburton, Daniel, and Foster*. And two Objections were made against the Substance of the Grant; and two against the Form of the Grant. The Objections against the Substance were, 1. That such Condition cannot be annexed to a Thing which lies in Grant, but to a Thing which lies in Livery; and therefore it was said, that Rents, Commons, Advowsons, Reversions, &c. cannot be granted for Life or Years, with Condition to have Fee, but only Land which lies in Livery, for the Increase of such future Estate rather takes Effect by Livery, than by Grant; for without Livery such future Estate will not pass in case of Land, and it ought to pass *ab initio* by the first Livery; and a Man cannot grant a Rent *in esse*, or a Common, or Advowson, or (a) a Reversion, or any thing which lies in Grant to begin *in futuro*, as from *Michaelmas* next following, or after such an Act done, &c. And all the Books in the Law which speak of such Condition for Increase of an Estate, put the Case always of Land, to which Livery is requisite, 31 *Edw. 1. Voucher* 285. 31 *E. 1. Feoffments & Fais*, 119. 2 *E. 2. Quid juris clamat*, 38. 12 *E. 2. Voucher*, 265. 7 *E. 3. 10. 10 E. 3. 40, 55. 10 Ass. p. 15 & 19. 12 Ass. p. 5. 32 E. 3. Garr. 30. 43 E. 3. 35. 43 Ass. p. 41. 44 E. 3. Attaint* 22. 50 *E. 3. 27. 6 R. 2. Quid juris clamat*, 20. 27 *H. 6. 7. Plow. Com. Saye's Case*, 272. *Plow. Com. the Lord Lovel's Case* 487. The second Objection was, That such Condition which increases an Estate, ought always to merge the first Estate upon the Increase, and make all but as one Estate and one Grant, and therefore it ought to be annexed to an Estate for Years or Life, which so may merge on the Performance of the Condition, that all shall be but one Estate by one Grant, and not sev. and divided Estates; and theref. in all the said Books such Cond. is alw. annexed to an Estate which may merge; but in the Case at Bar the Cond. is annex. to an Estate Tail, which cannot merge (b) by the Access of the Fee simple to it; and such Condition was never annexed to an Estate Tail, in any Book or Precedent which can be shewed. As to the Objections to the Form of the Grant, the first was, That after the Queen had granted the Reversion to *Thomas Tyndal*, and to the Heirs of his Body, she now upon the *Contingit* grants to him *pradictam Reversionem* to him and his Heirs: In which it was said, the Queen was deceiv'd in her Grant, for *pradicta reversione*, is that whi. the Q. had granted bef. to *T. Tyndal* in Tail, which now she can't grant in Fee-simple, for *inten' Regin' non conv' cum lege*, for that whi. she hath granted first in Tail,

(2) *Plowd.* 155. b.
156. a. 197. a.
Cr. El. 152.
2 Leo. 171.

(3) *Cr. El.* 672.

she cannot afterwards grant in Fee, and the Estate Tail was granted absolutely, and cannot be merged or destroyed by the said Grant upon the said Contingency. The second Objection, as to the Form of the Grant, was, That the said Grant founded only in Covenant, which in the Case of Inheritance shall not transfer it in the King's Case, as it may in Case of a Chattel, and for that the Words are, That the Queen wills and declares, That if the said *Tho. Tyndal* shall pay 20 s. then the said Queen grants, That the said *Thomas Tyndal* and his Heirs shall have the said Reversion; so that the Reversion itself is not granted, but it is a Grant which sounds in Covenant, that he shall have the said Reversion. But it was resolv'd by the whole Court upon solemn Argument, That the said Grant was good. (†) And as to the two first Objections, it was resolv'd, That such Grant with a Condition precedent may be made, as well of Things which lie in Grant, as of Land which lies in Livery, and may be (‡) annexed as well to an Estate Tail, which cannot be merged, as to an Estate for Life, or Years, which may be merged by the Access of a greater Estate; but such Increase of an Estate by Force of a Condition precedent, ought to have four Incidents; 1. (*) It ought to have a particular Estate, as a Foundation upon which the Increase of the greater Estate shall be built. 2. That such particular Estate ought to continue in the Lessee or Grantee, 'till the Increase happens. (*) 3. It ought to vest at the Time the Contingency happens, or otherwise it shall never vest. 4. The Particular Estate and the Increase ought to take Effect by one and the same Instrument or Deed, or by several Deeds deliver'd at one and the same Time, and not by several Deeds deliver'd at several Times. As to the first, it is proved by all the said Books, that there ought to be a precedent Estate, upon which the Estate, as upon a Foundation, may encrease: But *Coke* Chief Justice said, That such Foundation ought to be permanent and not revocable at the Will of the Grantor or Lessor; and therefore if a Man grants an Advowson to another at Will, upon Condition that if he do such a Thing that he shall have Fee, in that Case the Estate at Will is not any such Foundation as the Law requires to support an Increase of an Estate of Freehold or Inheritance for the Grantor may determ. the Will, bef. the Condit. perfor. and so avoid his own Grant, and a Lease at Will can't support a Remainder over. And if a Man grants an Advowson, or a Rent, &c. for Years upon Condit. that if the Lessee pays 10 s. within one Year, that he shall have for Life, and if after the Year he pays 20 s. that he shall have Fee; the Lessee pays the 10 s. within the Year, and after the Year he pays the 20 s. according to the Condition, yet he shall have but for Life, for the Estate for Life at the Time of the Grant, was but in Conting. whi. is not a Founda. upon whi. a greater can incr. for a Possib. can't incr. upon a Possib. and the Est. of Fee

(†) Co. Lit. 217. b.

(‡) 2 Co. 61. a.

(*) 2 Brownl. 251.

(**) Plowd. 485. a. 489. a.

Co. Lit. 217. b.

Cr. Jac. 461.
Cr. Car. 577.
10 Co. 50. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 32. a.
Co. Lit. 25. b.
1 Co. 156. b.
1 Sid. 452.

The Lord STAFFORD's Case. PART VIII.

simple cannot increase upon the Estate for Years, for that is merged by the Access of the Estate for Life, as shall be afterwards said. As to the second, the Privy of Estate ought to

(a) continue; and that is proved by the said Case of the Lord Lovell: And therefore if the Lessee for Life, or Years, or the Donee in Tail who has such a Condition annexed to his Estate, aliens before the Condition performed; or if Lessee for Life, or Years, surrenders to the Lessor, he shall never take Benefit of the Condition afterwards; for the Privy of Estate in such Case ought to continue, for the Increase of the Estate ought to enure upon the particular Estate, as upon a Foundation; and therefore if in such Case the Lessee for Life or Years, or the Donee aliens all his Estate, and takes back an Estate, and afterwards performs the Condition; yet nothing shall thereby accrue to him, because by the absolute Alienation, the Privy was once absolutely destroyed, which can't by any Reprisal of an Estate be revived: As if one Coparcener after Partition makes a Feoffm. in Fee, and takes back an Estate to herself again, and her Heirs, yet the Privy of the Estate to have (b) Aid to de-
 raign the Warranty Paramount is destroyed, 11 H. 4. 22. b. Vide 38 E. 3. 20. b. So if Tenant by Homage (c) ancestral makes a Feoffment in Fee, and takes back an Estate to him in Fee, he shall not hold by Homage Ancestrel. Little. lib. 2. 33. b. But if Lessee for Life, &c. grants his Estate upon Condition, and enters for the Condition broken, and afterwards performs the Condition, there peradventure the Fee shall accrue to him; for the Possibility was not absolutely destroy'd; and when he enters for the Condition broken, he is in his old Estate; and that the particular Estate should continue to all Respects is not necessary; but if such a Privy of Estate continues as is capable of an Increase, it is sufficient. And therefore, if such Lessee for Life makes a Lease for Years, or if Lessee for Years makes a Lease for a lesser Term; or if such Donee makes a Lease for his own Life, or for Years, yet for the Privy of Estate which continues in them, they are capable of an Increase of an Estate: But if such Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for the Life of another, there he is not capable of any Increase because he has gain'd a new Reversion in Fee, and the first Privy doth not remain; and yet in such Case if the Lessee for Life dies, there the first Privy of Estate is revived. So if a (d) Man makes a Gift to one, to have and to hold to him, and his Heirs of the Body of his Wife begotten, with such Condition *ut supra*, and afterwards the Wife dies without Issue, so that now he is become Tenant in Tail after Possibility, in that Case, although the Estate be changed, yet forasm. as the Privy remains, he may by the Perform. of the Condit. have Fee after. So if a (e) Lease be made to two with Condit. to have Fee, and one dies, the Surv. may perform the Condit. and have Fee. But if the said

(a) 35 H. 8. Br. Exposit. de parols 44. 1 Co. 154. b. 8 Co. 145. b. Plowd. 483. b. Cr. Car. 359.

(b) Br. Aid 46. 1 Roll. 182, 183. Br. Perempt. 10. Br. Coparcen. 5. Br. Counterplea. de Aid 24. Fitz. Counterplea. de Aid 14. (c) Lit. Sect. 147. Co. Lit. 103. a. 202. b.

(d) 2 Brownl. 257. Raymond 414.

(e) 2 Brownl. 257.

Jointenants have made Partition of the Term, the Condition is destroy'd; for the Estate in Fee ought to increase to them jointly, and not in Severalty. And in the Case at Bar, altho' *T. Tyndal* had died before the Performance of the Condition, his Heir of his Body might have perform'd it, for altho' the Persons were altered, yet the same Estate continued; and this Power to perform the Condition descended (a) to his Heir, as an Inheritance annexed to the Foundation which descended to him, and he, after the Condition perform'd, should have the Reversion in Fee *quodam modo* by Descent, as in *Shelley's Case*, in the First Part of my *Reports*. And altho' it is requisite that Privy of Estate should continue; yet it is not requisite that the Increase should merge the partic. Estat. for if a Man makes a Lease for Life, the Rem. for Life, or in Tail, on Condit. that if the first Lessee doth such a Thing, that he shall have Fee; in that Case by the Performance of the Condition he shall have Fee, and yet it shall not merge the Estate for Life, *quod fuit concessum per Curiam*. And if a Man makes a Lease for Years, on Condit. that if the Lessor (b) ousts him within the Term, that he shall have Fee; in that Case if the Less. ousts him, now the Interest of the Term is turned into a Right; and yet the Lessee in such Case shall have Fee, for two Reasons. 1. Because it is the Act and Wrong of the Lessor himself, whereof he shall not take Advantage. 2. *Eo instante* that the Lessor ousts him *eo instante* the Lessee hath Fee, and the Title of the Lessee is by Force of the Condition, which is param. the Ouster: and therew. agrees 6 R. 2. (c) *Quid juris clamat*. 20. And that a Possess. in an Instant is suffici. to supp. the Increase of the Fee, appears in 12 E. 2. *Voucher* 265. A Man (d) makes a Lease for Years on Condit. that if the Lessor doth not pay to the Lessee 100 Marks at the End of the Term, that he shall have Fee, there the End of the Term consists on an Instant of Time. And if the Estate Tail of the *L. Stafford* had determ. for Want of Issue, and *Ursula* had died, so that the Revers. of *T. Tyndal* had come in Possess. yet forasm. as the Privy. of the Estate continueth, altho' the Quality of the Revers. is altered to a Possess. the Condit. remaineth. But there is a Differe. betw. the Continu. of the Privy of the Estate of the (e) Lessor or Grantor, and the Privy of the Estate of the Lessee or Grantee; for the Privy of the Estate on the Part of the Lessor needs not be continued; for although the Lessor or Grantor aliens his Reversion, or is attainted, &c. yet the Condition remains; for by no Act that he can do can he frustrate or derogate from his Grant; and therewith agree 31 *Edw. 1. Feoffments & Fairs*, * 119. 6 R. 2. *Quid juris clamat*, (f) 20. (g) *Plowden's Com.* in the said Case of the *Lord Lovel*. But if Lessee for Years with Condition to have Fee accepts a Release from the Lessor to him for his Life, or in Tail, and afterw. the Condit. is perform. he shall never have Fee, because

(a) 2 Roll. Rep. 484.

(b) Co. Lit. 217. a.

(c) Co. Lit. 217. a. 378. b. Plowd. 26 a 34 b. 487. a. Dyer 209. pl. 21. Perk. Sec. 729. 1 And. 316. Cr. Jac. 698. 1 Jones 58, 59. Godb. 105. 1 Kol. Rep. 478, 485. 21 H. 7. 11. b. Goldsb. 6. 1 Co. 84. b. 1 Brownl. 22. 294. (d) Co. Lit. 217. a.

(e) Plowd. 486. b. 487. 2.

(f) Plowd. 187. 2. Co. Lit. 216. b.

The Lord STAFFORD's Case. PART VIII.

Estate for Years, which is the Foundation upon which the Fee should increase, is, by his own Acceptance of a greater Estate, merged, and has no Continuance: As if Lessee for Years without Impeachment of Waste (a) takes Confirmation for Life, the Privilege, which was annexed to the Estate for Years, is lost, 5 H. 5. 9. a. 3 Ed. 3. 44. a. b. in *Mary de la * Idles Case.* 28 H. 8. Dyer 10. b. &c. And it was resolv'd, that if Q. Eliz. had died before the Cond. perform'd that yet Tyndal might have perfor. the Cond. and if Q. Eliz. under her Great Seal had refused to take the said Money, yet if Tyndal had tendred it at the Receipt of the Exchequer, that he had gained the Fee-simple, for the Queen by no Means could countermand or hinder the Increase of the Estate in such Case.

As to the Third, It was resolv'd, That if the Condition had been that when Tyndal should pay to I. S. 20 s. that he should have Fee, that presently by the Payment by Operation of Law, the Fee would be (c) divested out of the Queen, and vested in Tyndal, and that for Necessity, for if it should not vest at the Time of the Condition performed, it should never vest; and therefore if Office, or Petition, *Monstrans de droit*, or other thing should be requisite, it would make the Queen's Grant void, and disable the Queen to make such Grant, and therewith agrees *Plow. Com.* in the said Case of the L. Lovel; for there it is said, when the Cond. is perfor. the Fee-sim. shall be immed. out of the K. witho. Petit. or *Monstra de droit*, or oth. Circumst. for if he ought to stay to use such Circumstances, then it will not vest presently; and if it will not vest presently, ergo it will never vest; for if an Estate cannot be enlarged at the Time of the Enlargement appointed, it shall never be enlarged; and these are the Words of the Book; and therefore for Necessity the Fee-simple shall pass in the Case at Bar out of the Queen without any Circumstance; for the Law will never require Circumstance when it will subvert Substance. And therefore there is a notable Case in 49 E. 3. 16. a. b. where the Case was, That

Isabel (d) Goodcheap was seized in Fee of a cert. House in London, and by her Will in Writing and enrolled, devised the said House held of the King, to *Rich. Goodcheap*, to him, and to the Heirs of his Body: So that if he died without Issue, that the said Lands should be sold by her Executors, or Executors of Executors, &c. and made *W. D.* and *W. W.* and *I de T.* her Executors, and died without Heir; *Richard Goodcheap* died without Issue, by which the House escheated to the King, and afterwards *I* one of the Executors died, *W. W.* refused, and *W. D.* sold, &c. And there it is made a Question whether the Sale by one Executor be good, or not? But it is agreed by all, That if the Sale be good, it shall divest the Land out of the King, and the Reason is for Necessity of Law, for if the Sale doth not divest the Land at the Time of the Sale, no Sale shall be made at all, and the Execu-

(a) Dyer 10. pl. 37.
11 Co. 83. b.
5 Co. 13. a.
1 Bullst. 136. 19 H.
6. 23. a. Poph. 194.
Larch. 269.
* 11 Co. 83. a.
Poph. 194.

(b) 2 Brownl. 252.

(c) Plowd. 489. a.
Co. Lit. 354. b.
2 Co. 53. b.

(d) 2 Brownl. 252.
2 Co. 53. a. b.
Lit. Rep. 123.
Godb. 447. Cr.
Eliz. 640. Br. Es-
cheat 32. Br. Devi.
10. Fitz. Devise 8
Plowd. 259. a.
4 Co. 58. a.
Raym. 83. 29 Af.
31. Hard. 13. 14.
Swinh. 335. 2 Rol.
Rep. 351.

tors, who have but a Power, cannot have a Petition, *Manfrans de droit*, or other Remedy.

As to the fourth Incident, it was resolv'd, That it ought to be by one and the same Deed or Grant, or by two Deeds deliver'd at (a) one Time, which is all one in Effect; for, (b) *Quæ incontinenti sunt inesse videntur*; and the Reason thereof is, because the Foundation, *scil.* the particular Estate; and the Increase of the Estate thereupon is but one Grant to take Effect out of one and the same Root, altho' it vests at several Times, yet when it is vested, it has its Vigour and Force of one and the same Grant; and therefore it is well said in 27 H. 6. 7. a. (c) that when he has perform'd the Condition he has Fee from the Time of the Commencement of the Lease, as by one and the same Grant, and as one and the same Estate.

As to the first Objection against the Form of the Grant, *scil.* *prædictam reversionem*, to construe it to extend to the Estate Tail granted before to Tyndal, (which the Queen cannot grant again) and not to the Reversion of the Fee; (which the Queen may grant, and which is mention'd before) will be a (d) Construction tending in great Dishonour to the Queen, and in great Damage and Disenherisin of the Subject: In Dishonour to the Queen for three Causes; 1. To have her Grant under the Great Seal by captious and nice Constructions avoided; 2. To presume such Ignorance in the Queen (who was a wise, learned and most excellent Princess, and the Phoenix of her Sex) that she intended to grant that which she could not grant, and not that which she could. 3. That the Queen (as it was objected) could not make such Grant *in futuro*, when a Subject might do it without Question; therefore *a fortiori*, the Queen might do it in such Case. Also it would tend to the Damage of the Subject who shall have the King's Charter under the Great Seal, but by a nice and captious Construct. he shall lose the Land contained therein; which will sometimes tend to the Ruin of him and his Posterity; and the Cases of Sir John (e) *Molyns*, the L. (f) *Chandos*, and the E. of *Rutland*, were affirmed for good Law. But, Note, the Q. grants not only *prædictam reversionem* but also *omn' & sing' præmiss' &c.* which Words make this Point clear. And as to the last of the said two Objecti. it was resolv'd *per tot' Cur'*, That the Words were suffici. to pass the Inherit. of the Land, for to pass a future Estate upon a Conting. Words *in futu'* are apt and suffi. enough, as in all Chart. and Let. Patents of Lands, the Clause of Grant of Liber. and Franch. are all with such Words in Effect, as these are, because they are conting. and *de futu'*. And the (b) Invent. of this Grant to Tyndal was commend. by the Just. for when the L. *Stafford* was Ten. in Tail the (i) Reversion to Q. *Mary*, if the Queen had granted the whole Fee simple to Tyndal, then by a com. Recov. or Fine levied, the L.

Stafford

(a) Co. Lit. 217. b.
2 Brownl. 252.
(b) 2 Brownl. 252.
2 Co. 71. a.
Co. Lit. 272. a.

(c) B. Relation

(d) 11 Co. 11. b.

(e) 6 Co. 5. b. 6. a.
11 Co. 21. b.
(f) 6 Co. 55. a. b.
56. a. 11 Co. 11. b.
(g) 8 Co. 55. 56.
11 Co. 11. b.

(h) 2 Brownl. 253.
(i) 34 & 35 E. 8.
cap. 22.

The Lord STAFFORD's Case. PART VIII.

Stafford might have barred his Issues ; and by a common Recovery the Reversion to *Tyndal* in Fee, which was neither the Queen's nor *Tyndal's* Intention, but the Purpose was, that it should be in the Power of *Tyndal*, and the Heirs of his Body, to hold and restrain the *L. Stafford* and the Heirs of his Body, that they should not alien or bar his Reversion in Tail, or by taking the Fee-simple out of the Crown by the Performance of the Condition to enable them to alien. But *Coke*, Chief Justice in his Argument mov'd a Question on the Statute of (a) 34 H. 8. c. 20. That if the Lord *Stafford* and his Wife had suffered a common Recovery with Voucher, if that should not bar the Reversion which *Tyndal* had in Tail, the Reversion in Fee being in the Crown: And thereupon he consider'd the Words of the said Act, The Preamble of which seems to provide for the Heirs of the Bodies of the King's Donees. And the Question mentioned in the Preamble is, *Whether such feigned and untrue Recoveries against such Tenant in Tail, &c. should after the Death of the Tenant in Tail, bind the Heirs in Tail, or not*: And the Body of the Act is, *For the plain Declaration whereof, and to avoid and extinct from henceforth Diversity of Opinions in such Cases, Be it ordained and enacted, that no such feigned Recovery, hereafter to be had by Assent of Parties, against any such Tenant in Tail of any Lands, &c. whereof the Reversion or Remainder at the Time of such Recovery had, shall be in the King, shall bind or conclude the Heirs in Tail, whether any common Voucher be had in any such feigned Recovery or not, but that after the Death of every such Tenant in Tail, &c. the Heirs in Tail may enter, &c.* So that by the Letter of the Act no Remedy is provided for him in Reversion or Remainder in Tail, altho' the Reversion of the Fee be in the King. But yet the Chief Justice held, that by necessary Consequence (b) such Reversions and Remainders in Tail are preserved by the said Act: For when there is Tenant in Tail, the Remainder in Fee, and Tenant in Tail suffers a common Recovery, the Reason of the Bar of the Estate of him in the Remainder who is a Stranger to the Recovery is, by Consequence, because the common Recovery bars the Estate of the Tenant in Tail, who is Party to the Recovery, and by Consequence, all Reversions and Remainders of common Persons expectant thereupon: But when the Act of 34 H. 8. provides, That no common Recovery had against Tenant in Tail, who is Party to the Recovery, shall bar his Issues when the King has the Reversion, &c. thereby *inclusive* the Act preserves the Reversions and Remainders in Tail of the King's Grant, for they cannot be barred, but when the Estate Tail, upon which they depend, is barred; and that is the Reason that when Tenant in Tail is in of another Estate, and suffers a common Recovery as Tenant, it shall not bar any

(a) Co. Lit. 372. b.
 2 Co. 15. b.
 34 & 35 H. 8. c. 20.
 30 Co. 37. 2.
 1 Anderl. 46, 141.
 2 Co. 52. a. 6 Co.
 55. 2. Hob. 299.
 2 Rolls Rep. 417.
 Moor 113, 195.
 1 Anderl. 46, 47.
 142, 143, 171.
 Cr. Car. 430.
 Plowd. 555. a.
 Yelv. 149. Noy 132
 Co. Lit. 335. 2.
 1 Leon. 85. 3 Leon.
 57. 4 Leon. 40.
 Benl. in Kelw.
 213. a. b. O.
 Ben. 32. Benl. in
 Ash. 26. N. Benl.
 223. pl. 254.
 2 Rolls Rep. 108.
 Raym. 349.
 Cr. Eliz. 595.

(b) Co. Lit. 372. b.
 2 Rolls Rep. 68.

any Reversion or Remainder, because the same should bar the Estate of the Tenant in Tail, who is Party to the Recovery; for, (a) *Q'd non valet in principali in accessoria seu consequenti non valebit, & quod non valet in magis propinquo, non valebit in magis remoto.* And if the Lord Stafford might have barred the Reversion in Tail of *Tho. Tyndal* before the Condition perform'd, the Invention of the said Grant had fail'd of its final Purpose: For which Cause it seem'd to the Lord Chief Justice, that the said Act preserves the said Reversion of the said *Tho. Tyndal*; *quod fuit concessum per totam Curiam.* Lastly, it was resolv'd *per totam Curiam*, That if the (b) Reversion in Fee had remained in the Crown, That the Fine levied by the said *Edward Lord Stafford*, the Father, had not barred the Lord Stafford that now is, but that he might enter according to the Resolution in (c) *Notley's Case, Pasch. 31 Eliz. in Communi Banco, & precipue* by Reason of these Words in the said Act of 34 H. 8. *The said Recovery, or any other Thing or Things hereafter to be had, done, or suffered, by or against the such Tenant in Tail, to the contrary notwithstanding.*

(a) *2 Brownl. 253.*(b) *Mo. 115, 467.**Ben. in Kel. 213.**Cr. El. 595, 612.**Cr. Car. 430.**4 Leon. 40. 1 An-**derf. 46.*(c) *Hob. 333.**Co. Lit. 373. 2.**Raym. 323, 324.**Sav. 105.*

Trin.

Trin' 7 Jacobi Regis.

WYAT WILD's Case.

† Brownl. 180.

In a *Replevin* between *William Wood*, Plaintiff, and *William Norton*, Esq; Defendant, upon taking of his Sheep at *Croydon* in the County of *Surrey*, in a Place called *Norwood*; The Defendant said, That the Place where, &c. doth contain 200 Acres, Part of the Manor of *Croydon*, and entituled himself to have Common there, and avowed for Damage feasant. The Plaintiff, in Bar of the Avowry, said That before and at the Time of the taking, he himself was, and yet is seized of five Acres of Land in *Croydon* aforesaid in Fee, and that he and all those whose Estate he has in the said five Acres *a tempore cuij* &c. have used to have Common of Past. in the said 200 Acres for all his Cattel commonable, upon the said five Acres of Land, levant and couchant at all Times of the Year, as to the said five Acres of Land appertaining; for which Cause he put in his Sheep, &c. To which the Defendant said, That before the said *William Wood* had any thing in the said five Acres of Land, one *Wyat Wild* was seized of a Messuage and 40 Acres of Land in *Croydon* aforesaid, whereof the said five Acres were Parcel, in Fee, and that the said *Wyat*, and all those whose Estate he had in the said Messuage, and forty Acres of Land, whereof, &c. *a tempore cuij*, &c. had Common of Pasture in the said 200 Acres for all his Cattle commonable upon the said Messuage, and forty Acres of Land, whereof, &c. levant and couchant, as to the said Messuage and forty Acres of Land appertaining, and the said *Wyat* so seized, of the said five Acres enfeoffed one *John Wood* in Fee, whose Estate the said *William Wood* before the Time of the taking, &c. had, *Idemque Willielmus Wood* colore *inde clam' Commun' pastu'* in the said 200 Acres, &c. *pro omnib' aver' suis Communis' sub' prad' quinq' acr' ter' lev. and couchant,*

couchant, &c. put in his Cattel, and he took them as Damage Feasant, &c. upon which the Plaintiff demurr'd in Law. And the last Term and this Term this Case was argued by the Sergeants at the Bar, and now this Term it was argued at the Bench by all the Justices, *sc.* Coke Ch. Just. *Walmesley, Warburton, Daniel,* and *Fosser*: And in this Case two Points were resolved. 1. That (be the said (a) Common appendant or appertenant) the Common in the Case at Bar is apportionable. 2. That Pleading thereof was sufficient. As to the first it was well agreed that Comm. appendant was of Common Right, and severable, and altho' the Commoner in such Case purchases Parcel of the Land in which, &c. yet the Common shall be apportioned, but in such Case Common appertenant, and not appendant, by (b) Purchase of Parcel of the Land in which, &c. is extinct for the Causes and Reasons given in *Terringham's Case*, all which was affirmed for good Law by the whole Court. And it was strongly urged, that Common appertenant shall not be severable in the Case at Bar for divers Reasons. 1. Because this Common appertenant wholly belonged to a House and 40 Acres of Land by Prescription; and he by his own Act cannot make this entire Thing severable. 2. The Feoffee of Parcel shall not have Common, because the Prescription fails, for no Common was ever appertenant to that Parcel, but to the Messuage and all the Land. 3. Common appertenant is a Thing against Common Right, and therefore by the Act of the Party shall be no more severed or divided, than a Condition or *Nomine pœna*, or any other Thing against Common Right. As to that it was answered and resolved, That it appears by the Prescription, that the said Common is severable, for the Prescription is to have Common in the Land, in which, &c. to be taken by the Mouths of his Beasts which are (c) levant and couchant on the Land, to which, &c. and that extends to the whole, and to every Parcel, and it can be no more Damage to the Tenant of the Land in which, &c. after the Severance, than it was before, for no other Beasts can pasture there, but those which are levant and couchant on the Land, to which, &c. But if he who has Common appertenant (d) purchases Parcel of the Land in which, &c. all the Common is extinct; Or, if he takes a (e) Lease of Parcel of the Land, all is suspended, because it is the Folly of the Commoner to intermeddle with Part of the Land in which &c. which belongs not to him: (e) 9 Co. 135. a. But when the Commoner intermeddles but only with his own Land, by Alienation thereof, that shall not in such Case turn to his Prejudice, for that is not against any Rule of the Law, as the other Case, when he purchases Part of the Land, in which, &c. because his Common appertenant was against

(a) Hob. 235.
Noy 30. 1 Jones
397. 1 Roll. 224.

(b) Winch. 45.
Hob. 235. 4 Co.
28. a. Co. Lit.
122. a.

(c) Cro. Car. 48.
Noy 30. Hob.
235.

(d) 1 Anderf. 159
1 Leon. 43. 44.
Goldsb. 53.
Winch. 45. Noy
30. Co. Lit. 122. a.
4 Co. 38. a.
(e) 9 Co. 135. a.
Co. Lit. 148. b.
1 Rolls 938.

Com-

WYAT WILD'S Case. PART VIII.

Common Right ; and he cannot common in his own Land which he has purchased. And it will be a great Inconvenience, If by the Alienation of Parcel the Alienee shall lose the Common which belongs to him, for then the Alienor shall lose his Common also, for by the Reason which has been made, *Wyat Wild* can't prescribe to have Common to the House and 35 Acres, because the Common was entirely appertenant to the Messuage and 40 Acres, and if the Law should be such, all Common appertenant in *England* would be (a) destroyed (which would be against the Commonwealth) for no Land continues in so intire a Manner, every Acre together with another, as it has been *ab initio*, but for Preferment of younger Sons, Advancement of Daughters, Payment of Debts, or other necessary Considerations, Part has been severed ; and therefore this Case is not like a Condition, or *Nomine pæne*, which are entire, and not severable by the Act of the Parties, but is like a Rent reserved on a Lease for Years : And therefore, If a Man makes a Lease of three Acres, each of equal yearly Value, rendring 3 s. Rent, and the Lessor grants the Reversion of one Acre, and the Tenant attorns, the Grantee shall have 12 d. Rent, for altho' it was one Lease, one Reversion, and one Rent, yet that was incident to the Reversion, which was severable, and the Rent shall wait upon the Reversion, and upon every Part of it. So in the Case at Bar, altho' at the Beginning there was but one Common attendant upon one Tenancy ; yet forasmuch as it is attendant upon the Tenancy which is severable, and upon every Part of it, the Alienee of Part of the Tenancy shall have Common. So if he who has such Comm. apperten. to Land, leases Part of the Land to another, the Lessee shall have Common for the Beasts levant and couchant ; and if an Advowson be appendant to a Manor which descends to divers Coparceners, and the Coparceners make Partition of the Manor to which, &c. without speaking of the Advowson, the Advowson, notwithstanding the Division and Severance of the Manor to which, &c. remains appendant, 13 Ed. 3. *Quare Imp.* 58. 19 Ed. 3. *ibid.* 59. 17 Ed. 38. 43 Ed. 3. 35. 13 E. 2. *Quare Imp.* 170. 2 H. 7. 5. *Vide* 4 Eliz. Dy. 213.

(a) Noy 30.
4 Co. 38. a.
Hob. 235.

Moor 114. Co.
Lit. 148.

Moor 463.

Trin' 7. Jac. Rot. 2629.

VINYOR's Case.

Willielmus Wyld nuper de Themilthorpe in comitatu Norff. ff. prædicto Yeoman, alias dictus Willielmus Wyld de Themilthorpe in Comitatu prædicto Yeoman, summon' fuit ad respondendum Roberto Vinyor, de placit' quod reddat ei viginti libras quas ei debet & injuste detinet, &c. & unde idem Robertus per Thomam Vinyor, Attornatum suum dicit, quod cum prædictus Willihelmus quintodecimo die Julii, anno regni Domini Regis nunc Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ sexto, apud Themilthorpe, per quoddam scriptum suum obligatorium concessisset se teneri eidem Roberto in prædict' viginti libris, solvend' eidem Roberto cum inde requisitus fuisset, prædictus tamen Willihelmus, licet sæpius requisitus, prædictas viginti libras eidem Roberto nondum reddidit, sed ill' ei hucusque reddere contradixit, & adhuc contradic' unde dic' quod deteriorat' est & damnum habet ad valenciam decem librarum, et inde produc' sectam, &c. Et profert hic in Cur' scriptum prædictum quod debitum præd' in forma prædicta testatur, cujus datus est die & anno supra dict' &c. Et prædictus Willihelmus per Johannem Bussel Attornat' suum ven' & defend' vim & injuriam quando, &c. & petit auditum scripti prædicti, & ei legitur, &c. petit etiam auditum conditionis ejusdem scripti, & ei legitur in hæc verba. *The Condition of this Obligation is such, that if the abovenbounden William Wyld do and shall from Time to Time, and at all Times hereafter, stand to, abide, observe, perform, fulfill, and keep, the Rule, Order, Judgment, Arbitrament, Sentence, and final Determination of Wil. Rugge, Esq; Arbitrat. indifferently named, elected, and chof. as well of the Part and Behalf of the said W. Wyld, as of the Part and Behalf of the abovenamed Robert Vinyor,*

nyor, to rule, order, adjudge, arbitrate, and finally determine, all Matters, Suits, Controversies, Debates, Grievances, and Contentions heretofore moved and stirred, or now depending between the said Parties, touching or concerning the Sum of two and twenty Pence, heretofore taxed upon the said William Wyld for divers Kind of Parish Business within the said Parish of Themilthorpe; so as the said Award be made and set down in Writing, under the Hand and Seal of the said William Rugge, at or before the Feast of St. Michael the Archangel next ensuing after the Date of these Presents, That then this present Obligation to be void and of no Effect, or else the same to stand, abide, remain, and be in full Force, Power, Strength, and Vertue. Quibus lectis & auditis idem Willihelmus Wyld dic' quod prædictus Robertus actionem suam prædictam versus eum habere non debet, quia dic' quod arbitrator prædictus post confessionem scripti & ante prædict' festum sancti Michaelis Archangeli, in conditione prædicta superius spec', non fecit aliquod arbitrium in scripto sub manu et sigillo ejusdem arbitratoris, inter ipsum Willihelmum & præfatum Robertum, de & super præmiss' prædictis in conditione prædict' superius spec', secundum formam & effectum conditionis illius: Et hoc paratus est verificare, unde petit iudicium si prædictus Robertus actionem suam prædictam versus eum habere debeat, &c. Et prædict' Robertus dicit, quod ipse per aliqua præallegata ab actione sua prædicta habend' præclud' non debet, quia dicit quod prædictus Willihelmus Wyld post confessionem scripti præd' & ante prædictum festum sancti Michaelis Archangeli tunc proxim' sequent', scilicet, vicesimo secundo die Augusti ann: reg' Domini Regis nunc Angliæ, &c. sexto supradict', apud Themilthorpe præd' per quoddam scriptum suum, quod idem Robertus sigillo præd' Willihelmi signat' hic in Cur' profert, cujus dat' est eisdem die & anno, recitando q'd cum ipse idem Willihelm' tunc stetit obligat' præfat' Roberto per nomen Rob. Vinyor, in uno script' obligator' in summa viginti lib' cum conditione in eodem scripto, pro performance & præimplemento arbitrii, regulæ, ordinis, iudicii, sententiæ, & finalis determinationis Willihelmi Rugge armigeri, arbitratoris, electi Anglice chosen, tam ex parte prædict' Willihelmi Wyld, quam ex parte supra-nominati Roberti Vinyor, prout in dicto scripto obligatorio plenius apparuit & apparere potuit, tunc idem Willihelmus intendens revocationem inde per idem script' revocationis, revocavit, & abrogavit, Anglice *did call back*, omnem autoritatem quamcunq; quam idem Willihelm' Wyld præd' script' obligator' dedisset & commisisset præfat' W. Rugge arbitrat' suo: Et extunc total' & penit' *deadvovavit, Anglice, disallowed, & vacuum tenuit tot' & quic'*

quicquid præd' *Willihelm' Ruge* post deliberationem ejusdem scripti revocationis sibi faceret, in & circa dictum arbitrium, regulam, ordinem, Judicium, arbitramentum, sententiam, & determinationem, de omnibus materiis, sectis, Controversiis, debat' gravaminib' & contentionib' tunc præantea mor' sive suscitatur aut tunc dependent' inter dictas partes, tangent' vel concernent' summ' viginti & duor' denarior' taxat' super dict' *Willihelm' Wyld*, secund' præd' script' obligator' prout fuit in eodem mentionat' & declarat', prout per script' illud revocat' plenius apparet, et hoc paratus est verific' : unde ex quo præd' *Willihelm' Wyld* post confessionem scripti, præd' & ante præd' festum sancti Mich' Archangeli tunc proxim' sequent' in form' præd' exoneravit et abrogavit arbitrator' præd' de omni aucto' arbitrandi de & in præmiss' in conditi' præd' superius spec' cont' form' & effect' condition' illius & submission' in eadem mentionat', idem Robertus petit judicium & debitum suum præd' una cum damnis suis occasione detentionis debiti illius sibi adjudicari &c. cum hoc q'd idem Robertus verific' vult, q'd præd' script' obligator' hic in Curia prolat' & præd' script' obligator' in præd' scripto revocation' spec' est unum & idem script', & non al' neq; divers' &c. Et præd' *Willihelm' Wyld* dic' q'd præd' pl'it' præd' Roberti superius replicand' pl'tat' min' sufficiens in lege existit ad ipsum Robertum actionem suam præd' versus eund' *Willihelmum* habend' manutenend' quodq; ipse ad pl'itum illud modo & form' præd' placitat' necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur respondere : Et hoc paratus est verificare, unde pro defectu sufficient' replicat' in hac parte idem *Willihelm'* pet' judicium, & q'd præd' Robertus ab actione sua præd' versus eum habend' præcludat' &c. Et præd' Robertus ex quo ipse sufficientem materiam in lege ad ipsum Robertum actionem suam præd' versus præfat' *Willihelm'*, habend' manutenend' superi' replicand' allegav', quam ipse parat' est verific', quam quidem materiam prædict' *Willihelm'* non dedic' nec ad eam aliquo modo respond' sed verification' illam admittere omnino recusat, ut prius pet' judicium & debit' suum præd', una cum damnis suis occasione detentionis debiti illius sibi adjudicari &c. Et quia Justic' hic se advisare volunt de & super præmissis priusquam Judicium inde reddant, dies datus est partibus præd' hic usque in octab' sancti Michaelis de audiend' inde Judicio suo, eo q'd iidem Justic' hic inde nondum &c.

Trin' 7 Jacobi Regis.

VINYOR's Case.

1 Brownl. 62.
2 Brownl. 290.

TRin' 7 Jacobi, Rot. 2629, Robert Vinyor brought an Action of Debt against William Wyld, on a Bond of 20 l. 15 Julii, Anno 6 Regis nunc. The Defendant demanded Oyer of the Bond and of the Condition thereon endorsed, which was, *That if the above bounden William Wyld do and shall from Time to Time, and at all Times hereafter, stand to, abide, observe, perform, fulfil and keep, the Rule, Order, Judgment, Arbitrament, Sentence and final Determination of William Rugge, Esquire, Arbitrator indifferently named, elected and chosen, as well on the Part of the said William Wyld, as on the Part of the said Robert Vinyor, to rule, order, adjudge, arbitrate, and finally determine all Matters, Suits Controversies, Debates, Grievs, and Contentions heretofore moved and stirred, and now depending between the said Parties touching and concerning the Sum of Two and Twenty Pence heretofore taxed upon the said William Wyld for divers Kind of Parish Business, within the Parish of Themilthorpe in the County of Norfolk, so as the said Award be made and set down in Writing under the Hand and Seal of the said William Rugge, at or before the Feast of Saint Michael the Archangel, next ensuing after the Date of these Presents, That then, &c.* And the Defendant pleaded, That the said William Rugge *nullum fecit arbitrium de & super Premissis, &c.* The Plaintiff replied, That after the making of the said Writing obligatory, and before the said Feast of St. Michael, scil. 22 Aug. Anno 6 *supradicto apud Themilthorpe præd' prædict' Willihel' Wyld per quoddam scriptum cujus datus est eisdem die & anno (a) revocavit & abrogavit,*

(a) March. Arbt.
267.

vit, Anglice, did call back, *omnem auctoritatem quamcunque quam idem Willielmus Wyld per præd scriptum obligatorium dedisset, & commississet præfat' Willielmo Rugge arbitratori suo, & ad tunc totaliter deavocavit, & vacuum tenuit totum & quicquid dicit' Willielmus Rugge post deliberationem ejusdem scripti sibi faceret in & circa dicit' arbitr' regulam, &c. unde ex quo præd Willielmus Wyld post confectioem præd scripti, & ante præd Festum Sancti Michaelis tunc prox' sequen' in forma præd exoneravit, & abrogavit arbitratorem præd de omni auctoritate arbitrandi de & super Præmissis in condit' præd superius specific' contra formam & effectum conditionis illius, & submissionis in ead' mention' idem Robertus petit' judicium, &c.* Upon which the Defendant demurr'd in Law. And in this Case three Points were resolv'd, 1. That altho' William Wyld the Defendant was bound in a Bond to stand to, abide, observe, &c. the Rule, &c. Arbitrament, &c. yet he might (a) counterterm it; for a Man cannot by his Act make such Authority, Power, or Warrant not countermandable, which is by the Law and of its own Nature countermandable; As if I make a (b) Letter of Attorney to make Livery, or to sue an Action in my Name; or if I assign Auditors to take an Account; or if I make one my Factor; or if I submit myself to an Arbitrament; altho' these are made by exprefs Words irrevocable, or that I grant or am bound that all these shall stand irrevocably, yet they may be revok'd: So if I make my Testament and Last Will (c) irrevocable, yet I may revoke it, for my Act or my Words cannot alter the Judgment of the Law to make that irrevocable, which is of its own Nature revocable. And therefore (where it is said in 5 Edw. 4. 3. b. (d) if I am bound to stand to the Award which I. S. shall make, I could not discharge that Arbitrament, because I am bound to stand to his Award, but if it be without Obligation it is otherwise) it was resolv'd, That in both Cases the Authority of the Arbitrator may be revoked; but then in the one Case he shall forfeit his Bond, and in the other he shall lose nothing; for, *ex (e) nuda submissione non oritur actio*: and therewith agrees (c) Mar. Arb. 164. Brooke in abridging the said Book of 5 Edw. 4. 3. b. and so the Book of 5 E. 4. is well explain'd. Vide (f) 21 H. 6. 30. a. (f) Postea 82. b. 1 Br. Arbit. 49. 29 (g) H. 6. 6. b. 49 E. 3. 9. a. 18 E. 4. 9. 8 E. 4. 10. (g) Fitz. Arb. 12. Br. Arbit. 4. 2. It was resolv'd, That the Plaintiff need not aver, that the said William Rugge had (h) Notice of the Countermand; for that is implied in these Words, *revocavit & abrogavit omnem auctoritatem, &c.* for without Notice it is no (i) Revocation or Abrogation of the Authority: And theres. (i) 1 Roll. 331. if there was no Notice, then the Def. might take (k) Issue, *quod* (k) March Arb. non 167, 168.

(a) Cro. El. 401.
Cr. Jac. 411, 637.
Cr. Car. 101, 170.
Kew. 7. a. Noy
118. Doct. Pla. 48
49, 239. Yel. 135
Br. Pleadings 145
in Fine. 22 E. 3.
15. b. per Tre-
mail. Plow. 149. b.
Co. Lit. 303. b.

(b) Antea 82. a.
Br. Arbitr. 49.

(c) Antea 82. a.
Fitz Arbit. 12.
Br. Arbit. 4.
(d) Brownl. 62.
2 Brownl. 290.
March Arbit. 165
166.

(e) Antea 82. a.
March Arbit. 164
1 Roll. 331.
Br. Arbit. 35.

(f) March Arbit.
165, 166.

non revocavit, &c. and if there was no Notice, it should be found for the Defendant; as if a Man pleads, *quod (a) feoffavit, dedit, or demisit pro termino Vita*, it implies Livery, for without Livery it is no Feoffm. Gift or Demise; But there is a Difference when two Things are requisi. to the Performance of an Act, and both Things are to be done by one and the same Party, as in the Case of Feoffment, Gift, Demise, Revocation, Countermand, &c. And when two Things are requisite to be perform'd by several Persons; as of a Grant of a Reversion, Attornment is not implied in it, and yet without Attornment the Grant hath not Perfection, but forasmuch as the Grant is made by one, and the Attornm. is to be made by another, it is not implied in the Pleading of the Grant of one; but in the other Case both Things are to be done by one and the same Person, and that makes the Difference. And therew. agrees (b) 21 H. 6. 30. a. where *W. Bridges* brought an Action of Debt for 200 l. on an Arbitrament against *William Bentley*, the Def. pleaded, that before any Judgment or Award made by the Arbitrators, the said *William Bentley* discharged the said Arbitrators at *Coventry*, in the County of *Warwick*; and it was held a good Bar, and yet he did not aver any Notice to be given. So it is adjudged in (c) 28 H. 6. 6. b. 6 H. 7. 10, &c. 3. It was resolv'd, That by this (d) Countermand or Revocation of the Power of the Arbitrator, the Obligee shall take Benefit of the Bond, and that for two Reasons: 1. Because he has broken the Words of the Condition, which are, *That he should stand to, and abide, &c. the Rule, Order, &c.* and when he counterm. the Authority of the Arbitrator, *he doth not stand to and abide, &c.* which Words were put in such Conditions, to the Intent that there should be no Countermand, but that an End should be made, by the Arbitrator, of the Controversy, and that the Power of the Arbitrator should continue till he had made an Award; and when the Award is made, then there are Words to compell the Parties to perform it, *scil. observe, perform, fulfil, and keep the Rule, Order, &c.* and this Form was invented by prudent Antiquity; and it is good to follow in such Cases the ancient Forms and Precedents, which are full of Knowledge and Wisdom: and with this Resolution agrees the said Book of (e) 5 Edw. 4. 3. b. which is to be intended *ut supra*, That the Obligor cannot discharge the Arbitrament, but that he shall forfeit his Bond, and the Book gives the Reason, which is the Cause of this Resolution, *scilicet* (f) Because I am bound to stand to his Award, *scilicet, to stand to his Award*, which I do not when I discharge the Arbitrator. The other Reason is, because now the Obligor has by his own Act made the Condition of the Bond (which was endorsed for the Benefit of the Obligor, to save him from the Penalty of the Bond) impossible

to be perform'd, and by Consequence his Bond is become
 (a) single, and without the Benefit or Help of any Condi- (a) 2 Brownl. 290.
 tion, because he has disabled himself to perform the Con-
 dition. Vide (b) 21 Edw. 4. 55. a. per Choke, (c) 18 E. 4. (b) 5 Co. 21. 2.
 18. b. & 20. a. If one be bound in a Bond with Condition that (c) 1 Brownl. 62.
 the Obligor shall give Leave to the Obligee for the Space of Br. Condit. 163.
 seven Years to carry Wood, &c. in that Case altho' he gives
 him Leave, yet if he countermands it, or disturbs the Obligee,
 the Bond is forfeited, And afterwards Judgment was
 given for the Plaintiff.

M 3

Trin.

Trin' 7 Jac. Rot. 3649.

Sir RICHARD PEXHALL's Case.

Co. Ent. 385. nu. 7.

IN a Second Deliverance brought by Euface Barton against Nicholas Moore, for taking of his Cattle the 17 April anno 5 Jacobi Regis, at Broxhead in the County of Southampton, in a Place called Gate. The Defendant avow'd the taking, because Sir Richard Pexhall, Knt. was seised of the Manor of Broxhead in the said County, whereof the Place in which, &c. was Parcel in Fee; and held it of the Bishop of Winchester in Socage, as of his Manor of Sutton, and was also seised of the Manors of Beanraper, Cranes, Chingham, Steventon, and divers other Manors, Lands and Tenements, and of a House in London devisable by Custom in Fee, and held the said Manor of Steventon of the Queen by Knights Service in capite, and so seised, made his Will in Writing, and thereby devised to Eleanor his Wife, the said House in London, and two Parts of the other Manors, Lands and Tenements for 13 Years, and added a Proviso, that the said Devise should not be prejudicial to any Estate, Title or Interest for Year or Years, Life or Lives, which should be after devised in the same Will; and after in the same Will *dedit & legavit eidem Nicholao Moore Consanguineo suo 10 l. excun' & solubil' de & ex pred' Messuag' & de & ex pred' duabus partibus manerior' & ceterorum tenementorum pred' quarterly, ad maximo usualia Festa & pro non soluzione inde, ad distring' & district' deiznend' quousq', &c.* And that the said Sir Richard so seised, dy'd seised, &c. and for 20 l. for two Years ended Anno 16 Eliz. he avowed and averred the Value of the said Manors and Tenem. afores. at the Time of the Will, &c. to be 200 l. per ann. above all Repris. and it app. by the Bar to the Avow. that the Words

of

of the Will were, *I will and bequeath to Dame Eleanor my Wife, all my Manors, Lands, Tenements, &c. for the Terms of thirteen Years next after my Decease: Provided, that this Gift, Devise and Bequest, made to the said Eleanor, shall not be prejudicial to any of the Estate or Estates, Titles, or Interests, for Year or Years, Life or Lives, that shall be hereafter in this present Will given or bequeathed.* And further in the same Will devised, *Item, I give and bequeath to my Cousin Nicholas Moore a hundred Sheep, and ten Bullocks, and 10 l. issuing and payable out of my Lands and Tenements quarterly, at the most usual Feasts, and for Nonpayment to distress, and the Distress to detain, until he be satisfied of the Arrearages, and to keep the Court and Courts of all my Manors upon lawful Request to the said Nicholas, by him or his Deputy, during his Life, and when the said Nicholas shall think it most convenient and meet to keep.* And upon the whole Record, the Questions which arose out of this Part of the Will, were two;

1. If Sir Richard Pexhall had Power, by the Act of 34 H. 8. c. 5. to devise this Rent of 10 l. to Nicholas Moore out of all his Lands? 2. What Estate Nicholas Moore had in the Rent?

As to the first, it was objected, That Sir Richard Pexhall had not pursued the Power which the said Act of 34 H. 8. gave him; for the Words of the Act are, *That every one, &c. may devise any Rent, Common, or other Profit out of the same two Parts, (viz. out of his Manors, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments in three Parts to be divided) or out of any Part thereof, or as much thereof as shall amount to the full clear yearly Value of two Parts thereof:* And the sole Question depends upon the said Clause of 34 H. 8. for the Statute of 32 H. 8. gives no Power to the Owner of the Land, to devise Rent, Common, or other Profit out of it: And in this Case, where Sir Richard had a Power to devise a Rent, &c. out of two Parts by that Act, he has devised a Rent out of all his Lands, and so has not pursued the Authority which the Act gave him, and the Clause of 34 H. 8. which follows next after the said Branch of the Act, by which it is enacted, *That by the Authority aforesaid, the said Will so declared shall be good and effectual for two Parts of the said Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, although the Will declared be made of the whole, or of more than of two Parts,* extends only to a Devise of the Land itself, and not to any Rent, Common, or Profit out of it, as appears by the Letter thereof, and by all the subsequent Branches concerning the Division; and this Clause is an Explanation of the Act of 32 H. 8. when all is devised, but, as hath been said, the Power to devise a Rent, &c. out of the Land, is only given by the Statute of 34 H. 8. And the Opinion in *Butler and Baker's Case* in the Third Part of my Reports, 33. a. was cited, where

Sir RICHARD PEXHALL's Case. PART VIII.

(a) 3 Co. 33. a.
Hob. 80.
Co. Lit. 111. b.

it is said, that if a Man (a) seised of three Acres, (held by Knights Service) each of the yearly Value of 12 d. and he devises a Rent of 3 s. out of these three Acres, this Devise is void for the whole, because he doth not pursue the Power which the Statute prescribes; but in such Case, if he devises a Rent of 3 s. which is the Value of all, out of two Parts, it is good, because in that Case, the Value extends to the Land, and not to the Rent, for the Words are, *any Rent*, without any Restraint. As to that it was answer'd and resolv'd by the Court, 1. That it is true, that the Statute of 32 H. 8. doth not extend to a Devise of any Rent, &c. out of the Land, but the Power as to that, is given by the Statute of 32 H. 8. which has four distinct Branches as to this Point: 1. That every one having a sole Estate in Fee-simple, &c. of *and in any Manors, &c. and having no Manors, &c. holden of the K. or of any other Person by Knights Service, shall have full and free Liberty, Power, and Authority to give, dispose, will, or devise, &c. all his Manors, &c. or any Rent, Common, or other Profit; out of, or to be perceived of the same, or out of any Parcel thereof, at his own free Will and Pleasure, any Clause in the said former Act notwithstanding.* By this Branch it appears, That he who has no Lands held by Knights Service, may devise any Rent, Common, or Profit out of his Lands whereof he is seised in Fee, to what Value he will, altho' the Rent, Common, or Profit exceeds the Value of the Land; for the Words are, *at his own free Will and Pleasure.* The second Branch is, When any is sole seised of any Manors, &c. held of the K. *in cap' by Knights Service shall have full and free Liberty to devise, &c. by his Last Will in Writing, &c. two Parts of all his Manors, &c. or any Rent, Common, or Profit, out of, or to be perceived of the same two Parts, or out of any Parcel thereof in three Parts to be divided, or as much thereof as shall amount to the full and clear yearly Value of two Parts thereof, in three Parts to be divided at his own free Will and Pleasure.* The third Branch is, *That all and singular Person and Persons having a sole Estate in Fee simple, &c. of and in any Manors, &c. holden of the King by Knights Service, and not in Chief, or holden of any other Person by Knights Service, shall have full and free Liberty, &c. by his Last Will and Testament in Writing, &c. two Parts of the said Manors, &c. or any Rent, Common, or other Profit out of, or to be perceived of the same two Parts, or out of any Parcel thereof in three Parts to be divided, or as much thereof as shall amount to the full and clear yearly Value of two Parts thereof in three Parts to be divided, at his free Will and Pleasure.* The fourth Branch is, *And that the said Will so declared shall be good for two Parts of the said Manors, &c. altho' it be declared of the whole.*

And

And also for the whole of all other such Manors, &c. not holden by Knights Service, and of any Rent, Common, or other Profit, out of, or to be perceived of the same, or out of any Parcel thereof, at his free Will and Pleasure. By all which Branches it appears, that it is chiefly for the Benefit of the King or Lord (of whom the Land is held by Knights Service, &c.) that the Owner of the Land has Power by his Will to charge but two Parts, and by Consequence it tends to the Benefit of the Heir; for in Case when there is not any Tenure to draw Wardship, &c. there the Owner may at his free Will and Pleasure charge the whole. Then in the Case at Bar where the Manor of *Steventon* was held of the King in Chief by Knights Service, Sir Richard had (*) Power to charge but two Parts; yet when he charged the whole, he charged two Parts and more, and therefore it shall be good, for so much as the Statute enables him, and void for the Residue, *Quia (a) quan' plus fit quam fieri debet, videtur etiam ipsum fieri quod faciendum est*; but forasmuch as Sir Richard had Power by his Will to charge but two Parts, the Charge of the whole is void for the third Part, not only as to the King, or other Lord, but as to the Heir also, because, as to the third Part, the Will remains at the Common Law, which was utterly void; and according to this Judgment, and for the same Reason it was adjudged, *M. 3 & 4 Ph. & Mar. in Com' Banco, Rot. 126.* in (b) *Umpton and Hide's Case*, That a Will in Writing after the Statute of 32 H. 8. and before the Statute of 34 H. 8. declared of the whole Land, whereof Part was held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*, was good for two Parts: And so it had been if the Statute of 34 H. 8. had never been made, which is all one in Reason with the Case at Bar; and by this Construction the true Intention as well of the Testator in his Will, as of the said Acts of Parliament, without Prejudice to any, is well observed. And there is a Difference between the common Case of Licence, and the Case at Bar. For if the King (c) licences one to alien two Parts of his Manor of *D.* which is held of him *in Capite*, and he aliens the whole Manor, he has not pursued the Licence, for by his Alienation the whole Manor passes, which is not according to his Licence: But when an Act of Parliament authorizes the Owner of Land held *in Capite* to charge two Parts thereof, which he could not do by the Common Law, in this Case, if he charges his whole Land, it is merely void for the third Part, and therefor he has well pursued the Author, which the Statute has given to him, to charge two Parts. So if a Man has the Moiety of the Man. of *D.* kno. by the Name of the Man. of *D.* and the K. licen. him to alie. the Moie. of the Man. and he alie. the Man. he has well pursu. the Licen. for in Truth noth. passed but the Moie. and so you will bettr. underst. the Law, amon. the var. Opin. *Obiter in Plow. Com. 68. b.* and in *Butler and Baker's Case*.

(*) Co. Lit. 124. b

(a) 5 Co. 115. a.

(b) 1 And. 3. 4.
 Plowd. 564. a.
 B. N. C. 486.
 3 Co. 33. b. Br.
 Testam. 26. N.
 Bendl. 49. 50. pl.
 88. Dy. 150. pl. 86
 1 Leon. 76. 3 Leon.
 29. Jenk. Cent 215

(c) Plowd. 62. b.

Sir RICHARD PEXHALL's Case. PART VIII.

And as to the second Point, it was agreed *per totam Curiam*, that he had an Estate for Life in the said Rent of 10 *l. per annum*. 1. Because if it had been in a Grant, the Grantee should have it for Life: For if a Man by his Deed grants a Rent of 10 *l.* issuing and payable out of all his Lands quarterly at the usual Feasts, and for Nonpayment to distress for the Rent, and all the Arrearages, in that Case the Grantee shall have the Rent (*a*) for Life: Also in Respect thereof he ought to hold the (*b*) Courts of all his Manors for his Life, *Et officium et feodum sunt concomitantia*, and he shall have the like Estate in the Fee, as he has in the Office. But it was objected, that then the Devisee should have also 100 Sheep and Bullocks yearly for his Life, as well as the Rent; *quod fuit negat' per tot' Cur'*, and that for two Causes: 1. the second (*c*) (*Et*) in the said Sentence disjoins and severs the Rent from the Sheep and Bullocks; as in the Case in 9 *E.* 4. 43. *b.* where two were bound to stand to the Arbitrament of *L. S. de (d) omnib' actionib' personalibus, sectis & Querelis*, this Word (*Personalibus*) shall be referred to all; But if the Words were, *de omnibus actionibus personalibus & sectis & querelis*, it shall be otherwise; for there the last (*Et*) disjoins *querelis* from the whole first Part of the Sentence, and shall be taken generally without any Reference to *personalibus*. So in the Case at Bar, when Sir *Ri.* devised 100 Sheep and 10 Bullocks, and 10 *l.* the last (*Et*) disjoins the Rent from the Sheep and 10 Bullocks: 2. These Words (*payable, (e) quarterly*) at the usual Feasts, ought to have Reference to the Rent, for 10 Bullocks *per annum* cannot be delivered quarterly. And Judgment was given for *Nicholas Moore* the Avowant.

(2) 7 Aff. pl. 1.
1 Roll. 845, 249.
Plowd. 152. b.
Cr. El. 330. Br.
Estate 30. Perk.
Secl. 104. Co. Lit.
42. a.

3 Bulstr. 194.
(b) 3 Bulstr. 194.

(c) Wing. Max. 12.
Lit. Rep. 66, 67.

(d) Lit. Rep. 63.
Fitz. Arbit. 16.
March Arbit. 172

(e) it. Secl. 66, 67

Mich. 7 Jacobi Regis.

BUCKMERE's Case.

IN a Formedon in Remainder, brought by George Buckmere, ^{2Browl. L. 274, 275} Oliver Fowler, William Buckmere, Christopher Buckmere, and Nicholas Buckmere, against Robert Sayer, and Ursula his Wife, of a House in the County of Kent, of the Nature of Gavelkind, the Case was such; Thomas Bole had Issue three Daughters, Marion, Johan, and Catharine, and gave the said House to Marion, and the Heirs of her Body begotten; the Remainder of one Moiety of the said House to Johan, and to the Heirs of her Body begotten, and the Remainder of the other Moiety to Catharine, and to the Heirs of her Body; and if the said Johan should die without Issue, the Remainder of her Moiety to Catharine and to the Heirs of her Body, with the like Remainder for want of Issue of Catharine to Johan: And afterwards Marion discontinued, and died without Issue, & de eadem Mariona eo quod obiit sine herede de corpore suo exeunte remansit jus (which proves she discontinued; for otherwise it should be tenementa præd' remans.) unius medietatis tenementorum præd' cum pertin' per formam, &c. præd' Johanna Bole: & remansit jus alterius medietatis eorundem tenementorum cum pertinentiis, per formam, &c. præfat' Catharina Bole, and afterwards Johan died without Issue, by which the Right of her Moiety remain'd to the said Catharine, and after the Death of Catharine, descendit jus integrorum tenementorum istis Georgio, &c. and the Tenants demurr'd in Law on this Count, because the Demandants demanded by one Writ of Formedon scv, Remai. where the Demandants

dants ought to have demanded the several Remainders by several Writs. And because we must shew in what Cases the Demandant or Plaintiff shall join divers Causes of Action in one and the same Writ against the Tenant or Defendant, it must be observed, That there is a Difference between Actions real, and Actions personal, and between Actions real which are founded on a Title in the Writ, and Actions real merely founded upon a Tort or Deforcement. Real Actions, which

(a) F.N.B. 144.
e. f. Reg. ORIG.
164. b.

(b) F.N.B. 208. h.
2 Inst. 401, 402.

(c) 2 Inst. 500,
501, &c.

(d) Br. Escheat
13. Br. Joynder
in Action 46.

(e) F.N.B. 209. b.
Fitz. Cessavit 40.
* 18 E. 3. 48. b.
Cessavit 20.

(f) Br. Cessavit
24. Fitz. Cessavit
3.

(g) Br. Cessavit
42.

(h) Fitz. Mesne.
6.

(i) 11 H. 7. 39. b.
Br. Escheat 13.
F. N. B. 209. b.
Br. Joynder en-
gion 46.

(k) 3 E. 3. 47. a. b.
10 E. 4. 1. b.
2. a. 10 H. 7. 24. a.
Br. Cessavit 24. 42.
Fitz. Cessavit 3.
18 E. 3. 48. b.
Cessavit 20.

are founded upon Title are, as in a Writ of (a) Escheat. *Præcipe A. quod reddat B. 10 acras terræ, &c. quas C. de eo tenuit, & quæ ad ipsum B. reverti debent tanquam escacta sua, eo quod præd. C. obiit sine hæredæ.* So the (b) *Cessavit*, *Præcipe A. quod reddat B. unum messuagium quod idem A. de eo tenet per certâ servitiâ, & quod ad ipsum B. reverti debet, &c. eo quod idem A. in faciendâ servitiâ per biennium jam cessavit.* So the Writ of Ward, and the Writ of Mesne, and the Writs of *Formedon* in Descender, Remainder, and Reverter. Then suppose, that

B. before the Statute of (c) *Quia Emptores terrarum*, had by one Deed enfeoffed *A.* of *Black Acre*, to hold by Fealty and 3 *d.* and by another Deed had enfeoffed him of *White acre*, to hold of him by Fealty and 6 *d.* and afterwards *A.* had died without Heir, *B.* should not have one Writ of (d) Escheat of these two Acres; for the Writ shall say, *Præcipe C. quod reddat B. duas acras, &c. quas A. de eo tenuit, which shall be intended one intire Tenure; and therefore in such Case the Demandant is driven to several Writs upon the two several Tenures; and therewith agrees 21 H. 7. 39. b.* So, and for the same Reason, upon a Cesser in such Case the Lord ought to have

(e) several Writs of *Cessavit*: And therewith agrees 3 *Ed. 3. 47. a. b.* * 18 *Ed. 3. Cessavit 20.* (f) 10 *Ed. 4. 1. b. & 2. a. (g) 10 Hen. 7. 24. a.* So upon several Tenures the Tenant shall have several Writs of Mesne against the Mesne, and shall not join them in one Writ; and therewith agrees (h) 2 *H. 5. 2. b.* And one shall not have one Writ of Ward of the Body and Land upon several Tenures, as it is held in 6 *Edw. 3. 48. a. b. 3 Hen. 6. 53. 17 H. 6. Gard. 117.* But a Writ of Ward of Land was founded upon two several Tenures in 46 *E. 3. Tit. Brief 619.* but there it is held, that if he had demanded the Body, the Writ should have abated. Then suppose *B.* by one Deed gives the Manor of *D.* to *A.* and to the Heirs of his Body, and afterwards *B.* by another Deed gives 50

Acres of Land to *A.* and to the Heirs of his Body, and afterwards *A.* dies without Issue, The Donor upon these two distinct Gifts shall not have one Writ of *Formedon* in Reverter, supposing *Quod idem B. dedit prædicti Manerium & quinquaginta acras terræ cum pertinentiis eidem A. & quæ ad præfat. B. reverti debent, &c.* no more than upon several Feoffments, *ut supra*, and Tenures reserved, he shall have one Writ of (i) Escheat, or one Writ of (k) *Cessavit*; both which

Writs

Writs suppose, *quod tenementa prædicta reverti debent.* So of two several distinct Gifts to one with several Remainders over to one, he shall not have one Writ of Formedon in Remainder, nor the Issue of such Donee one Writ of Formedon in Descender, for the Foundation of these Writs is the Gift which is distinct and several; and therefore in such Cases, upon the several Foundations, there ought to be several Writs founded. But if Land be given to a Man, and to his Sister, and to the Heirs of their Bodies issuing, in that Case they are Joint-tenants for Life, and have (a) several Inheritances, *sc.* (a) Co. Lit. 184. a. the Brother one Moiety to him and to the Heirs of his Body, 18 E. 3. 39. a. b. and the Sister the other Moiety to her and to Heirs of her Body; in that Case, altho' the Inheritances were several, yet because the Foundation was one, and at one Time, and as out of one Root, for that Reason the Donor shall have one Writ of Formedon in the Reverter, and therein shall shew the Gift as it was to the Brother and Sister, &c. and conclude in the Close of the Writ, *Quia uterque eorum obiit sine hærede de corpore, &c.* which Words prove that each of them had a several Right; and so is the Book adjudged in *Roger Darderne's Case*, in * 17 E. 3. 51. a. & 78. a. b. & 18 E. 3. 39. a. b. which * 1 Leon. 213. is all one Case. So, if Lands be given to (b) Father and Son, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, the Remainder over in Fee, and afterwards the Father dies without any Issue but the Son, and afterwards the Son dies without Issue, and a Stranger abates, he in the Remainder shall have one Formedon in the Remainder, although the Estates Tail were several; yet forasmuch as well the several Estates in Tail, and the Remainder also depend upon one joint Estate in the Father and Son for their Lives, and all begin at one Time, for this Reason one Formedon in the Remainder lies. So in the said Case of (c) 17 E. 3. If Land had been given to Brother and Sister, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, the Remainder over in Fee, if the Brother dies without Issue, now the Sister has an Estate for Life in one Moiety, the Remainder over in Fee, and for the other Moiety an Estate Tail, the Remainder in Fee, and afterwards the Sister has Issue and dies, and a Stranger abates, now for one Moiety the Remainder begins, and after the Issue dies without Issue, altho' the Remainder falls at several Times, yet he in Remainder shall have one Formedon for both Remainders, which depend upon one and the same Estate, come to one and the same Person. And so is the Book to be intended in (d) 31 Hen. 6. 14. b. where it is said, a Man may have one Formedon of divers Gifts. *Vide* 44 E. 3. Tit. Tail 13. a good Case, and 50 E. 3. Tit. Feoffments & Feils, 97. & 7 H. 4. 88. (c) 17 E. 3. 51. a. b. (d) Fitz. Brief 113. Postea 87. b.

So

(a) 2 Brownl.
275.

So it was (a) resolved in the Case at Bar, That when the whole is devised to *Marion* in Tail, altho' the Devisor divides the Remainders by Moieties, yet, when the whole Land remains to *Catharine*, and all the Remainders depend upon one Estate, and begin by Devise at one Time; the Heirs of the Body of *Catharine* shall have one Formedon in the Remainder, in the same Manner as if the Remainder had been limited to *Catharine* and *Johan*, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies, the Remainder for Default of Issue of *Johan* to *Catharine*, and to her Heirs for ever.

But such Actions real, which are founded upon a Tort or Deforcement, and do not comprehend any Title in them, there the Demandant may demand in one Writ divers Lands and Tenements, which come to him by several Titles: As if divers Manors descend to me from several Ancestors, and I am disseised, or deforc'd of them, I may have a Writ of Right, or a Writ of Entry in the Nature of an Assise, or a Writ of Assise, and comprehend all these Rights in one and the same Writ, because in these Cases no Title is made in the Writ. *Vide L. 5 Ed. 4. 80. 12 Ed. 4. 1. a. 17 Ed. 3. 52. 17 Ass. 10. 12 E. 3. Ass. 112. 22 Ass. p. 52. 66. 7 Ass. p. 18. 7 E. 3. Assise 138. 15 Edw. 3. Charge 9. 15 Ass. pl. 11.* But if I bring a Writ of Entry on a Disseisin done to my Mother and Aunt, Coparceners in Fee-simple, the Writ shall abate, for here Title is made in the Writ, and it appears that there were several Causes of Action, because the Title is by several Ancestors, and therewith agrees the Book in (b) 31 H. 6. 14. b. and 43 E. 3. 17. a. So if an Estate Tail descends to two Daughters Coparceners, and one enters into the whole, and the other has Issue, and she who enters dies without Issue, in that Case the Issue shall have several Writs of Formedon in the Descender of one Moiety of the Possession of his Mother, *quam insimul tenuit*, and of the other Moiety of the Possession of his Grandmother; and therewith agrees (c) 43 E. 3. 16, & 17.

(b) Antea 87. a.
Fitz. Brief 113.

(c) Fitz. Formed.
24. Br. Formed.
54. Br. Brief
50+

(d) Fitz. Brief

113.

(e) Fitz. Tresp.

116.

(f) P.N.B. 60. f.

Cro. Jac. 330.

Popham 25.

And in personal Actions the Plaintiff may comprehend several Wrongs, and several Causes of Actions, as one Action of Tremps for several Trespasses committed at several Days, and in several Places. *Vide (d) 31 H. 6. 14. b. (e) 8 E. 4. 5. a. & 44 E. 3. 34. 14 H. 8. 12. b. F. N. B. 60.* An Action (f) of Wast upon several Leases, for in the Prohibition of Wast at the Common Law against Tenant in Dower, &c. Damages were only recovered which

was

was in the Personalty : So of Debt (a) upon several Leases. (a) 33 H. 6. 14. b. Yelv. 63. Fitz. Br. 113. 8 E. 4. these Differences ; 1. If a Remainder be executed in a Writ of Formedon in the (b) Descender he shall never speak of this Remainder, but the general Writ of Formedon in the Descender shall serve in that Case, and he shall count of an immediate Gift ; for he cannot have a Formedon in the Remainder, when the Remainder is once executed. But if a Lease for Life be made, the Remainder in Tail to A. the Remainder in Tail to B. if A. dies without Issue in the Life of the Tenant for Life ; if B. be driven to his Formedon in the Remainder, in his Formedon he ought to mention the Remainder to A. altho' it was determined and spent, as is aforesaid. For the Demandant in the Formedon in the Remainder ought to make (c) mention of all the precedent Remainders in Tail, 8 E. 3. 19. a. b. 38 E. 3. 26. a. b. * 44 E. 3. 8. a. 50 E. 3. 1. b. 11 H. 4. 39. a. 18 H. 8. 4. F. N. B. 219. Vide Register 239. b. & 243. b. & 244. a. *brevia nunquam faciunt mentionem de remanere quando breve est* in the Descender. 2. If a Man brings a Formedon in (d) Reverter or Remainder as Heir, the Omission of the eldest Son who survived the Father, or the like in the Pedigree, on the Part of the Donor, or of him in the Remainder, shall abate the Writ ; but of the Part of the Donee, altho' the Donee had many Issues in lineal Descent inheritable to the Estate Tail, and who held the Estate, the Demandant need not name any of the Issues in the Clause & *que post mortem* ; but shall say, & *que post mortem* of the Donee *ad ipsum reverti debet, eo quod* the Donee died without Issue ; and that for two Reasons : 1. Because the Demandant is a Stranger to the Pedigree of the Donee. 2. Because if the Issue shall be supposed by the Writ to die without Issue, yet it may be that the Estate Tail is not spent, for the Issue may have Brothers, or Cousins, inheritable to the Donee, and the Land ought not to revert to the Donor so long as the Estate Tail continues. And in some old written Registers the Clause is, *eo quod* the Issue died without Issue. But the printed Register, which imitates the most antient and most true Precedents ; & *quod post mortem* of the Donee *reverti debet, eo quod* the Donee died without Issue, and therewith agree 22 Hen. 6. 36. by the Justices, 4 Eliz. * Dyer 216. by the Justices, * Dy. 216. pl. 56. & 28 Hen. 8. Dyer 14, 16. Vide 18 Ed. 3. 42. 26 Ed. 3. 75. 25 Edw. 3. 44. 42 Edw. 3. 20. 44 Edw. 3. 40. 10 E.

(a) 33 H. 6. 14. b.
Yelv. 63. Fitz.
Br. 113. 8 E. 4.
5. a. Mo. 914.
Fitz. Tresp. 116.
Cr. Jac. 68. Noy
3. i Brownl.
86, 87.
(b) 1 Mod. Rep.
219. 220. Palm.
224. F. N. B.
219. a. b. d. Reg.
Orig. 244. 2.

(c) Hob. 283.
Fitz. Formed.
265. 28. Br.
Formed. 14.

(d) F. N. B. 220. d.
18 E. 2. Fitz.
Formed. 59.

10 E. 3. 35. E. 3. droit 30, 47. 18 E. 2. Formedon 58, 59. Register. 239. a. b. 3. In a Formed. in the (a) Descender, the Demandant (because he is Privy, and ought to know his Pedigree and Descent) ought to make mention of every one to whom any Right did descend; as after a Discontinuance made by the Father, if the elder Son survived his Father and died without Issue, yet the younger Brother ought to make mention of him in a Formedon in the Descender, otherwise if the elder Brother died without Issue in the Life of the Father: *a fortiori* he ought to make mention of every Heir in Tail who held the Estate; that is, who was seised by Force of the Tail. But observe also therein a Difference; for if any of the Heirs in Tail survive their Father, and hold the Estate Tail, he ought to be named in the Writ Son and Heir; but if he survives, and dies before he was seised, he need not name him Heir, but may name him Son only, *Et quod post mortem præd. D. & E. filii ejusdem D. & E. filii & hæredis præd. D. &c.* So is the Writ when the elder Son doth not enter, and when the younger Son enters and is seised. *Vide Register* 238. b. F. N. B. 212. f. 10 E. 3. 49, 50. 44 E. 3. 21, 40. 4 E. 2. Formedon 48. 11 E. 2. *ibid.* 56. 46 E. 3. 9. 6 E. 3. 261. 8 E. 3. 379. 11 H. 4. 72. b.

4. In a Formedon in the Descender the Demandant (b) ought always to make himself Son and Heir, or Cousin and Heir to him who was last seised by Force of the Tail, for a later Seisin of any Heir in Tail after shall abate the Writ. 2. He who was last seised ought to be made Heir in Tail to the Donee, or otherwise the Writ is vicious, and it is not sufficient that he be named Son, for he may be Son and not Heir. But the surest Way for the Demandant is to make every one he names in the Writ to be Son and Heir in the Writ altho' they were never seised by Force of the Tail, and it is not material altho' he names them Heirs, whether they were seised or not, and thereby the Demandant will be certain to make himself Heir as well to the Donee *per formam doni*, as to him who was last seised. And therewith agree (c) 22 H. 6. 36. a. 8 H. 6. Formed. 4. 11 H. 6. 20. 8 E. 3. 11. 10 E. 3. 49. 27 E. 3. 81. 38 E. 3. 29. 48 E. 3. 7. 49 E. 3. 21. Reg. 238. F. N. B. 212. And, Note, in the Form. in Descend. there is the Clause, *Et quod post mori*, &c. and then the Descent, and no Clause, *De eo quod*, &c. for that serves most convenient when the Estate Tail is spent, which serves well in a Formedon in the Reverter and Remainder, but not in Descender, unless in special Cases. And so by these Differences, and the Reason

(a) Cr. El. 842.
Cr. Car. 435.
Cr. Jac. 412.
Hob. 51, 52, 282.
F. N. B. 220. d.

(b) Hob. 51, 52.
F. N. B. 212. b.

* F. N. B. 212. f.

(c) Br. Form. 37.

son of them, you will better understand your Books. Note in the Case at Bar, altho' the Heirs of the Body of *Catharine* claim the Remainder by Descent, yet forasmuch as *Catharine* was never seised in Possession of the Estate Tail, but only of the Remainder; for this Cause the Writ shall say, *remanere debet*, and not, *descendere debet*; for the Writ shall never say, that the Thing ought to descend to one as Son and Heir, if the Ancestor was not seised, by the Rule in the *Register*, 241. a. & b.

N

Mich.

Mich. 7 Jacobi Regis.

FRAUNCES'S Case.

² Brownl. 277.

³ Sulfr. 327.

⁴ Cart. 172.

¹ Rolls 430, 431.

IN a *Replevin* between *Richard Milner* Plaintiff, and *Tho. Fraunces* Defendant, which began *Mich. 6 Jacobi, Rot. 2220.* of his Cattle taken at *Bloxwich* in the County of *Stafford*, in a Place called *Newland*, the Defendant did avow the taking of the Cattel in the Place where, which contained six Acres, &c. because it was his Freehold, and so did avow for Damage feasant. The Plaintiff in Bar to the Avowry pleaded, That one *Richard Fraunces* was seised of the said six Acres in Fee, and held them in Socage, and 7 December 45 *Eliz.* by his Will in Writing (whereof he made *Richard, Edward, and James* his Sons, his Executors) devised them to *John Fraunce* his eldest Son for the Term of sixty Years, if the said *John* should so long live, the Remainder thereof to the said *Thomas Fraunces* for his Life, the Remainder to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *John Fraunces*, the Remainder to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Tho.* with divers Remainders in Tail to his other Sons, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Devisor: And afterw. 30 *Jan.* next follow. the Devisor died, by which *John Fraunces* entered into the said six Acres, and was thereof possessed, and 25 *Martii, 5 Jac.* demised the said six Acres to the Plaintiff for one Year next ensuing, wherefore he put in his said Cattle, &c. (a) In Answ. to the Bar of the Avowry the said *Tho. Fraunces* said, That

That the said *Richard Fraunces* the Father was so seised, &c. and made his Will, as in the Bar to the Avowry is alleged. And further, That the said *Richard Fraunces* the Father was seised in Fee of twenty Acres of Pasture in *Tibbington*, and of a House and five Acres of Land in *Dorlaston* in the said County, and also of the said six Acres, in which, &c. And the said *Richard* the Father, after the making of the said Will, 8 Jan. 45 Eliz. by his Deed of all the said Lands, did enfeof *Richard Fraunces* his Son, and *John Alport*, and their Heirs, to the Uses and Intents following, scil. to the Use of *Richard Fraunces* the Father for his Life; and after his Death, of the Lands in *Tibbington*, to the Use of the said *Thomas Fraunces* and his Heirs; and of the Lands in *Dorlaston*, to the Use of the said *James Fraunces*, his Heirs and Assigns; and of the said Lands in *Bloxwich*, in which, &c. to the Use of the said *John Fraunces* for sixty Years after the Death of the said Devisor, if the said *John* so long should live; and after to the Use of the said *Thomas* for Life, and after to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *John*; and afterwards to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *Thomas*, with divers other Remainders in Tail to his other Sons; the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Feoffor, with this Proviso in the Deed: *Et ulteriu' per Script' prad' (a) provisum fuit, quod si prad' Johan' Fraunces disturbaret eund' Thomam Fraunces, vel prad' Jacobum Fraunces vel Hared' vel Assign' eorum vel alicuj' eorum &c. Sic quod non poterint quiete habere, tenere & gaudere talia Terras, Tenementa & Hereditamenta, qualia ipse idem Richardus Fraunces pater conviciasset eis & cuilibet vel alicui eorum, vel aliquam partem & parcellum hujusmodi terrarum tenementorum & hereditamentorum, vel si prad' Johan' Fraunces, Executores, Admores sive Assign' sui, &c. non permitter' Executores ipsi Rich' Fraunces vel eorum Assign' quiete habere, removere, cupere & asportare omnia & qualibet bona & catalla ipsi Rich' Fraunces Patris que essent & remanerent tunc in sua domo mansionali &c. vel facerent aliquam rem ad impedendum vel deteriorandum Intentionem ipsi Richardi Fraunces Patris concernen' eadem in ejus ultima voluntate & Testamento expressa, quod tunc prad' usus limitat' presato Johanni Fraunces & heredib' suis cessarent & penitus vacui essent ad omnes Intentiones:* And afterwards, 30 Jan. 45 Eliz. *Richard* the Father died, and the said *John* entred into the said six Acres, and was thereof possessed, the Remainder over as aforesaid; and that the said *Richard* the Father, at the Time of the said Feoffment, did dwell in a House in *Bloxwich* afores. which is the Messuage mentioned in the said Proviso. And that the said *Rich.* the Fath. was possessed of a Bedstead, a Table-board, and a Cup-board, as of his proper Goods, and died thereof possessed in the said House:

(a) Co. Lit.
203. b.
2 Brownl. 277.
Cart. 172.
Cr. Car. 129.
1 Rolls 430, 431.

after whose Death the said John entred into the said House where the said Goods were, and took them, and was thereof possessed; and the said Executors 12 Feb. 45 El. came to the said House, and there then would have taken and carry'd away the said Goods, and requested the said John, *ad permittendum ipsos bona & catalla præd' capere & asportare, prædict' Johan' Fraunces adtunc & ib' non permisti ipsos Execut' capere & asportare bona & catalla præd' secund' formam & effectum præd' scripti Feoffamenti, sed idem Johan' ne ipsi bona & catalla præd' in eod' messuagio, ut præfertur existent' caperent vel asportarent penitus prohibuit & impedivit*; by which the said Term of 60 Years limited to the said John ceased; whereupon the said Thomas, as in his Remainder, enter'd, and was thereof seised for Life, &c. Upon which the Plaint. demurr'd in Law. And it was objected on the Plaint. Part, That the said Proviso was utterly void to cease the Use limited by the said Deed to the said John, for nothing by the same Deed is limited to him but for 60 Years, for inasmuch as he took but a Lease for Years, the Remaind. limited to his Heirs Males of his Body, after the Death of Tho. did not vest in him, but remained in contingency: Otherwise if John had taken an Estate for Life, and the Proviso made void the Use and Uses limited to the said J. Fraunces and his Heirs, where no such Use was limited to him; and theref. the Proviso void: And Provisoes and Condit. which go in Destruction and Deceazance of Estates are odious in Law, and shall be taken strictly, and shall not be construed to make void any other Use or Estate, which is not within the Words of the Proviso; for, (a) *Conditio beneficialis que stat' construit, benigne secund' verbor' intentio est interpretanda, odiosa autem que statum destruit, stricte secund' verbor' proprietatem est accipienda*: As if a Feoffm. be made on such (b) Condit. that the Feoffee shall give the Land to the Feoffor, and to the Wife of the Feoffor, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, the Remaind. to the right Heirs of the Feoffor, if the Husb. dies, living the Wife, the Feoffee by the Law ought to make the Estate to the Wife, &c. as near the Condit. and also as near the Intent of the Condit. as he can make it (as Littleton holds, lib. 3. cap. Condition, fol. 82.) scil. to demise to the Wife for Term of her Life, without (c) Impeachment of Wast, and after her Decease to the right Heirs of her Husb. and her-begotten, the Remainder to the right Heirs of her Husband: So Littleton saith there, If the Husband and Wife die before the Deed made, &c. And therewith agrees the Book in (d) 2 H. 4. 5. by all the Justices, although it was said, (e) 18 Ass. pl. ult. & 19 E. 3. Entre congeable 39. are contrary: But when Conditions enure in Destruction of Estates, then they shall be taken strict; as if a Man makes a Feoffment in Fee of certain Lands, upon Condition that

(a) Co. Lit. 218. a.

(b) Lit. 82. a. b. Co. Lit. 218. b. 219. a. b. Lit. Sect. 352.

(c) 11 Co. 82. b. 83. a. 2 Co. 23. a. 72. a. 82. a. 4 Co. 63. a. 1 Rolls Rep. 182. Moor 18, 327. 2 Inst. 146. Hob. 132. Poph. 193. 194, 195. Latch. 269, 270. Bridg. 102. Dyer 47. pl. 11. Plowd. 132. b. Cr. Jac. 216. Co. Lit. 219. b. 220. a. (d) 1 Co. 137. b. Br. Condition 33. 2 H. 4. 5. b. Fitz. Condition 6.

11 Co. 83. b. 2 Co. 81. a. b. 1 Jones 181. (e) 18 Ass. 18. 2 Co. 80. a. 81. a. Br. Conditio 107. Poph. 191. a. Co. Lit. 222. a. b. 6 Co. 74. a. 1 Roll 132.

that the Feoffee shall not give the Land to Husband and Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, if the Husband dies without Issue, and the Feoffee makes a Lease for Life to the Wife, without Impeachment of Waste, &c. *ut supra*, it is no Breach of the Condition, for it is taken strict, because it extends to the Destruction of the Feoffment. So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as the said Proviso extends to the Destruction of a former Use and Estate, it shall be taken strictly, and shall not destroy another Use by Construction, than that only to which in Words it extends. To which it was answered and resolved by the whole Court, That it is true, that Conditions or Provisoes which will destroy former Estates, shall be taken (a) strictly; but in this Case the Words of the Proviso were sufficient to cease the Use limited to John for Years, and the Use limited to the Heirs Males of his Body; for the Words of the Proviso are *quod tunc prædicti usus limitati præfato Johan' Fraunces & Hæredib' suis cessarent*, and therefore, if it be asked what Uses shall cease? The Proviso will answer, *prædicti Usus*: If it be further asked, To whom are *prædicti Usus* limited? The Proviso will answer, To the said John, and to his Heirs. And if it be further asked, What Use expressed before in the Indenture is limited to John? The Deed will answer, To John for 60 Years. And What Use beforementioned in the Indenture to his Heirs? The Deed will answer, A Remainder to the Heirs Males of his Body: So these Words (*prædicti Usus*) by Reference to the Uses before expressed in the same Deed, make the Words of the Proviso to be sufficient to cease the Use before limited to the said John, and the said Use before limited to his Heirs to cease: And it is all one to say *prædicti Usus* before limited to his Heirs, and to say the Uses before limited *prædictis Hæredibus*: In both which Cases this Word (*prædicti*) has as strong a Reference, as if the Uses before limited had been particularly recited in the Proviso; and *verba accipienda sunt cum effectu*. But note Reader, the said Books in (b) 18 Aff. pl. ult. & 19 E. 3. Entr. Cong. 39. are not contrary to Littleton, & (c) 2 H. 4. in the Case aforesaid, but are ruled upon another reason, & *hæc sunt diversa, & non adversa*, as it appears in the second Part of my Reports, in the Lord Cromwell's Case, fol. 80. a. where the said Cases are very well and at large explained; and therefore the outward semblance of Discord between our Books, in this and other Cases, doth arise from the Ignorance of the inward understanding of the said Cases, and of the true Reason and Rule of them; for, for the most Part, every particular Case is adjudged upon a particular Reason. 2. It was resolved, That denial by Parol is not (d) any Breach of the Condit. but there ought to be some Act done; as aft. Request made by the Execut. to (e) shut the Door again, or to lay his Hands upon them, and to have resisted them, or such

(a) Co. Lit. 205. b. 218. a. 219. b.

(b) 18 Aff. 18. Antea 90. b. 2 Co. 80. a. 81. a. 6 Co. 74. a. Co. Lit. 222. a. b. Br. Condition 105. 1 Rolls 438. (c) 2 H. 4. 5. b. 1 Co. 127. b. Br. Condition 33. Fitz. Condit. 5. 11 Co. 83. b. 2 Co. 81. a. b. 1 Jones 182. Antea 90. b. (d) 9 Co. 51. a. 1 Rolls 430, 431. 1 Anderson 137. 16 E. 4. 10. b. 11 a. 1 Jones 169. 2 Brownl. 277. Cr. El. 219. Cr. Jac. 679. Cr. El. 694. 1 Leon 230. (e) 1 Rolls 430. 431. Cr. Jac. like 279.

FRAUNCES's Case. PART VIII.

like Acts, so that by reason of some such Act, he would not suffer them to take or carry away the said Goods, according to the said Proviso. And *Coke C. J.* held, That in such Case it is not sufficient to say, *qd' prad' Johan' non (a) permitt' prad' Ex-torres, &c. quiete habere, remov' & cap' prad' bona*, or that *prad' Jo-han' imped' illos, &c.* but he ought to alledge a special Breach, by reason of some special Distur. or Interrup. in such Case by some Act, to which the other Party may have a certain Answer, and upon which a certain Issue may be taken, of which the Jury may inquire, and the Court may judge if it be a sufficient Breach of the Proviso, or not; and he cited the Book in 10 E. 3. 40. *Roger Fannell's Case*, in an Assise brought by *John* against *Rog. Fannell* of 20 Acres of Land, and upon *nul tort* pleaded, the Assise found this special Matter, That *Rog. Fannell* leased by Deed to *John* the Plaintiff. a House and the 20 Acres of Land for 12 Years, and in Surety of his Term made him a Charter of Feoffm. (b) upon such Condit. That if the Lessee was disturbed within the Term, that he could not have the Tenem. till the End of the Term, that he should hold the Tenem. to him and his Heirs for ever: And furth. said, That *John* was disturbed of the Tenem. by which he was seised by the Manor, and prayed the Opinion of the Court, and this finding was insufficient for three Causes. 1. Because the Recognitors have found a Disturbance, and have not found by whom the Dis-turbance was made; for if the Disturbance was made by a Stranger, without the Assent or Procurement of the Lessor, the Lessor shall not lose his Inheritance. 2. They have not found how the Disturbance was made, but generally, that the Lessee was disturbed; so that the Court cannot judge that it was a Disturbance to make the Lessor lose his Inheritance. 3. Whether and how Livery and Seisin were made, &c. and theref. it appears in the Book, that *Shard* asked the Recogni-tors, 1. By whom he was disturbed? 2. How he was disturbed? 3. If Livery was made on both Charters? To the 1st they an-swered, By the Lessor. To the 2d, By the Sale, *sc.* by Feoffm. in Fee. To the 3d, On both. And thereupon *John* recover'd *quod nota*, a very good Case to this Purpose. And he cited also the Case in 35 H. 6. *Burr.* 162. (c) the Master of *St. Ca-tharine's* leased three Houses to one by Indenture, on Condit. that he should not permit or harbour any lewd Woman within the said Houses, if he be warned thereof by the Master, or his Servant, and if he did not turn her out within six Weeks after Warning by the Master or his Serv. that then the Master and his Successors should re-enter: And it was shewed, that the Lessee did suffer such a lewd Wom. to dwell there, wheref. such a Servant of the Master gave Warning to him, that he should turn her out; and he would not, but suffered the said Woman to continue there by the Space of six Weeks, where-fore the said Master ousted him, &c. To which the Lessee said,

(a) 2 Ventr. 139.

(b) Co. Lit.
217. a. Plowd.
34 a. 135. a. b.
Fitz. Ass. 161.
Br. Condit. 101.
10 Ass. 15.
Plowd. 482. b.
10 E. 3. 39. b.
40. a.

(c) 2 Brownl.
277. Godb. 70.
Moor 404.
Owen 66. Co.
Lit. 206. b.
1 Rol. 454.

said, That after the said Warning giv. the Master commanded the said lewd Woman to be in one of the said Houses, and continue there for six Weeks after; without that, that she continued there by the said Plaintiff: and it was held by the whole Court, that that Replicat. was not good, because the Indent. is, *Quod non permittat* any lewd Woman to continue there: As if I am (a) bound to you, to enfeoff you of one Acre of Land before such a Day, within which Time you disseise me, that is not to the Purpose, for you had no Colour to enter upon me, and I may re-enter and make the Feoffm. So in the same Case, the Master had no Colour to put the lewd Wom. into Possession; for which Cause the Lessee might well put her out. So it is no Plea, without (b) special Matter shewed: Wherefore the Lessee said, That the Master ousted him, and with Force, and against the Will of the Lessee, put her in Possession, and made her continue there with Force, against the Lessee's Will, for six Weeks, &c. and that was held a good Plea. And so it is in the Case of the Feoffm. before, such special pleading is good, *sc.* that he disseised him, and kept it with Force, so that he could not Enter: And these are the Words, Word for Word of the said Case: *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar, the said Prohibi. by Words, without an Act done by which the Executors cannot take the Goods, is no Breach of the Proviso. 3. It was resolved, That altho' the Defen. in the Case at Bar had particularly shewed a special Act of Disturb. against the Words of the Proviso, That yet the said John should not lose his Term; for none shall lose any Estate, or Interest which he lawfully has, without some Act or Default in himself; and therof. in this Case, forasmuch as John the eldest Son was a Stranger to this Feoffm. he shall not lose his Estate, without (c) Notice given him of the Proviso, and of the Will of his Fath. (d) *Qd' nostrum est sine facto sine defectu nostro amitti seu ad alium transferri non potest.* And the Opin. of (e) Popham, C. J. in (f) Mallorie's Case, in the fifth Part of my Reports, f. 113. That the (g) Feoffee of Land, or (h) Bargainee of a Rever. by Deed indent'd and enrolled, shall not take advan. of a Condit. for non-payment of Rent reserved on a Lease, on a demand by them, without (i) Notice thereof given to the Lessee; nor shall the Lessor, by acceptance of Rent, dispence with a collateral Condit. broke by the Lessee, without Notice giv. to the Lessor, as it is adjudg'd in Penant's Case, in the third Part of my Reports, 64. a. b. and the Judgm. Hill. 1 Jac. in Tresp. betw. Baconshaw Plain. and Southcote and others Defendants, in this Court, that if the Estate of the Lord of the Manor ceases by Limitation of an Use, and the Use and Estate thereof are transferred to another who demands the Rent of a Copyholder, who denies to pay it him, it is no Forfeiture, without Notice given the Copyholder of the Alteration of the Use and Estate: and another

(a) Cr. El. 694.
374. Moor 404.
Owen 65, 66.
Co. Lit. 206. b.
1 Rolls 453.

(b) Cr. Jac. 679.
Cr. El. 374.

(c) 1 Mod. Rep. 87.
Palm. 164.
2 Bulst. 144, 277.
1 Jones 390.
Cart. 93, 172.
Winch. 126, 128
3 Keb. 19. Mart.
Par. f. 246.
3 Mod. 28, 29.
(d) Cro. Argu-
ment. 19.

(e) Cr. Jac. 193.
(f) Cart. 93.
172. Gr. Jac. 617
Mod. Rep. 87.
Palm. 207, 210.
Goodb. 162.
Bridgman 130.
5 Co. 113. a. b.
(g) Co. Lit.
215. b. Cr. Jac.
392. 5 Co. 113.
a. b.

(h) Co. Lit.
215. b. Cr. Jac.
392, 476. 2 Rolls
Rep. 143. 5 Co.
113. b. Poph.
105. Larch. 15.
Palm. 434.
3 Keb. 19.

(i) Mod. Rep.
88. Palm. 434.
Moor 426, 456.
Cr. El. 553, 572,
528. 2 And. 90.
91. Hard. 48.
Doct. pl. 189.
(k) Co. Lit. 59a.

another Judgment in this Court, That the Bargainee of a Manor by Deed indented and enrolled, shall not take Advantage of a Forfeiture of (a) a Copyholder for denial of Payment of Rent to him, without Notice given him of the Bargain and Sale, were all affirmed for good Law by the whole Court. And this agrees with the Reason of *Barrough's Case*, Mich. 18 & 19 El. Dy. (b) 354: a. where Uses were limited by Fine under this Proviso, *That if B. at any Time during his Life, &c. do pay, or cause to be paid to the said A. 20 l. at the Fensstone within the Cathedral Church of Sarum, that then, &c. the Conusees in the said Fine, and their Heirs, should stand seised to the Use of B. and his Heirs.* And it was resolved by *Wray, Dyer, and Manwood*, that Tender of the 20 l. at the Place, according to the Proviso, in the Absence of A. and no Notice of the Time of the Tender before given by B. to A. is not good in Law to make the first Uses cease, for the incertainty of Time during the Life of B. and therefore in such Case Notice of the Time of the Tender ought to be given, altho' he to whom the Payment should be made was Party to the Conveyance; But in such Case, when B. will make a Tender, he ought to give Notice to A. that he will at such Time make the Tender to him, and require him to be there to receive it; and then if he, at the Time appointed makes a Tender, altho' A. absents himself, it is a good Performance of the Proviso to make the Uses cease. But if a Man binds himself in a Bond to perform the Award of J. S. and J. S. makes an Award, the Obligor ought to take (c) Notice thereof at his Peril, for he has bound himself thereto; and in such Case no Notice is requisite to be given him, as it is held in (d) 1 H. 7. f. 5. a. b. A Man was bound in a Bond, on Condition that if he should accompt before an Auditor by the Obligee to be assigned, when he should be by him required, of certain Receipts of the Manor of D. and should pay him the Arrearages that should be found on his Accompt before the said Auditor; that then the Obligat. should be void: In Debt on that Bond, the Defendant, That the Plain. did assign him such an Auditor, before whom he accompted, and that he has been always ready to pay the Arrearages found before the Auditor, if the said Auditor had given him Notice; and it was held by the whole Court, in (e) 18 E. 4. 18. a. & 24. a. that the Plea was insufficient, for inasmuch as he has bound himself thereto, he has taken upon him to take Notice at his Peril; and there *Brian, Vavafor, and Catesby* Justices agreed, That in the said Case of Arbitrament, the Obligor ought to take Notice at his Peril, and so they said it was adjudged in the same King's Time in the King's Bench; and so is the Law without Question, against a sudden Opinion in (f) 8 E. 4. 1. a. So nota a good Difference, when a Man binds himself to do or perform any Thing to be awarded, &c. by a Stranger,

(a) Co. Lit. 59. a

(b) 3 Bulstr. 326. 1 Mod. Rep. 88. Cart. 93. Palm. 434. Dyer 354. Pl. 32. Cr. El. 299. 13 Co. 2. 2 Keb. 816. 2 Bulstr. 143. 1 Rolls. 449. 3 Keb. 19. Cr. El. 298. Co. Lit. 111. 2.

(c) Cr. Car. 133. 4 Co. 82. b. 2 Bulstr. 144. Cr. El. 97. Owen 7. Cr. Jas. 191. (d) Br. Condit. 124. 4 Co. 82. b. March. Arbitr. 191.

(e) Br. Det. 169. Br. Notice 13. Cr. Jac. 391. March. Arbitr. 191.

(f) Cr. Jac. 146. Br. Notice 12. 18. Fitz. Arbitr. 15. Br. Arb. 15. Br. Arb. 37. March. Arb. 190. 4 Co. 82. b. Hutt. 81.

ger, he thereby takes upon himself to take Notice at his Peril of all Things incident thereunto for the saving of his own Bond; but, as appears in the Principal Case, and in the other Case before said, the Law will not compel one to take (a) Notice of Acts done between Strangers, or of any Incertainty on Pain of Forfeiture of his Estate, or Interest; but in such Case Notice ought to be given them who are to have the Loss. 4. It was resolved, That altho' now it (b) appears, that the Title by which the Plaintiff claims in his Bar to the Avowry was utterly destroyed, (for the Plain. claims by the Will of *Rich. Fraunces* the Father, which Will appears was afterw. countermanded by the said Feoffm. which the Avowant after pleads, and which the Plaintiff confesses by his Demurrer) yet the Plaintiff shall have Judgment, because his Count is good, and the Avowant in his Replication to the Bar of his Avowry has done two Things; One, he has destroyed the Title which the Plaintiff made by the Will; the other, he has given the Plaintiff another Title, *scil.* to have the Land for 60 Years, &c. by Force of the Uses declared on the Feoffment. So that upon the (c) whole Record it appears (upon which the Court ought to judge) that the Plaintiff has a lawful Term in the Land; and that the Defendant has taken his Cattle wrongfully, and therefore Judgment ought to be given against the Avowant, and for the Plaintiff, altho' the Title which he made for himself was destroyed. And Judgment was entred accordingly.

(a) Cr. Jac.
146. Cr. Car.
577. Winch. 118
1 Mod. Rep. 87.

(b) Wing. Max.
238. 7 Co. 25. 2.
Postea 120. b.
3 Co. 52. b.
11 Co. 85. b.
Hob. 14.

(c) Winch. 75.

Hill. 7 Jacobi Regis.

EDWARD FOX'S Case.

2 Brownl. 291.
Hard. 49^a Lit.
Rep. 279.

IN a Writ of *Second Deliverance* by *Eliz. Smalman* Widow, and *Tho. Powys* Defendant, which began in *Communi Banco*, 7 Jac. Rot. 1546. the Defendant demurr'd on the Bar to the Avowry; and on the Record the Case was such, *Edward Fox* seized of 4 Acres of Meadow, 50 Acres of Pasture, and 10 Acres of Underwood, in *Snitton* in the County of *Salop*, Anno 31 *Eliz.* demised them to *Gilb. Smalman*, and to the said *Elizabeth* his then Wife, and to *Thomas Smalman*, *Habendum* to *Gilbert* and *Elizabeth* for their Lives, the Remainder to the said *Thomas* for his Life, yielding during their Lives, the yearly Rent of four Marks, at the Feasts of the Annunciation of our Lady, and *St. Michael* the Archangel, by equal Portions; and afterwards the said *Gilbert Smalman* died; after whose Death, *Scilicet* 20 Sept. Anno 3 *Regis Jacobi*, the said *Edward Fox* by Indenture, for the Consideration of 50 *l. præd'* by the said *Tho. Powys* to the said *Edward Fox* paid, * demised, † granted, set, and to farm let to the said *Tho. Powys* the said Tenements aforesaid; To have and to hold to the said *Tho. Powys* from the Day of the Date of the said Indenture, for the Term of 99 Years, yielding and paying therefore during the said Term, to the said *Edw. Fox* and his Heirs, the yearly Rent of 40 *s.* at the Feasts of the Annunciation of our Lady, and *St. Michael* the Archangel, or within 28 Days after every of the said Feasts, and that the said *Eliz.* did never Attorn. And the only Point in this Case was, Whether the said Demise and Grant to *Tho. Powys* should amount to a Barg. and Sale, so that the Revers. with the Rent should pass to *T. Powys* by the Stat. of Uses without any (a) Attornm. And it was adjudg. that this

* 1 Mod. Rep. 87.
† 1 Roll Rep.
73.

(a) Cr. El. 166.

this Demife and Grant upon Consideration of 50 l. amounts to a (a) Barg. and Sale for the said Years; for in Case when a Freeh. or Inherit. shall pass by Deed indented and inrolled, it need not have the precise Words of Bargain and Sale, but Words (b) equipollent, or which do tantamount, are sufficient; as if a Man (c) covenants in Consideration of Money to stand seized to the Use of his Son in Fee; if the Deed be enrolled, it is a good Bargain and Sale, and yet there are not any Words of Bargain and Sale, but they amount to so much, as it is held in *Bedel's Case*, in the Seventh Part of my *Reports*, 40. b. So if a Man for Money (d) aliens and grants Land to one and his Heirs, or in Tail, or for Life, by Deed indented and enrolled, it amounts to a Bargain and Sale, and the Land shall pass without any Livery and Seisin. And at the Common Law before the Statute of (e) 27 H. 8. of Uses, If a Man for Money had aliened and granted Lands to one and his Heirs, &c. by that the Use of the Lands should pass, for it is a full Bargain, and all this was unanimously agreed; but forasmuch as the (f) Intention of the Parties is the Creation of Uses, (g) if by any Clause in the Deed it appears, that the Intent of the Parties was to pass it in Possession by the Common Law, there no Use shall be raised; and therefore if any Letter of (h) Attorney be in the Deed or Covenant to make Livery of the Lands, according to the Form and Effect of the Deed, or otherwise such like, there it shall not pass by Way of Use; *quia verba intentioni non e contra debent inservire: & verba debent intelligi, ut aliquid operentur.* But in the Case at Bar, the Intent of the Grantor may be well collected, that he did intend that the Grant should take effect presently, and should not depend upon any subsequent Attornment; for the Rent reserved thereupon was payable presently; and therefore it will be reasonable, that *Tho. Powys* the Lessee should have the Rent reserved on the first Lease for Lives presently; and that he cannot have before Attornment (which peradventure will never be made) and *eo potius* because the said *Tho. Powys* has no Means to compel the first Lessees to attorn; But if it shall pass as a Bargain and Sale, it shall be presently executed by the Statute of 27 Hen. 8. for there needs no (i) Inrollment in this Case, because but a Term for Years passes, and no Estate of Freehold, and there needs no (k) Attornment, because it is executed by the Statute. And by this Construction every one will have Remedy for that which he ought to have. *Vide Sir Rowland (l) Heywood's Case, in the Second Part of my Reports, fol. 35. b.*

Hob. 159. Poph. 95. 2 Anderf. 202, 203. 2 Inst. 671, 672. Yelv. 123, 124. 1 Mod. Rep. 176.

Trin. 7 Jacobi Regis.

MATTHEW MANNING'S Case.

Co. Ent. 149. b.
 Num. 29. Swinb.
 134. 135.

IN Debt for 200 Marks by *William Clark* Plaintiff, and *Matthew Manning* Administrator of *Edward Manning* deceased, upon *plene administravit* pleaded, the Jury gave a special Verdict to the Effect following, which Plea began *Mich. 4 Jacobi, Rott. 1829. Edward Manning* the Intestate *Anno 30 Eliz.* was possessed of the Moiety of a Mill in *Clifton*, in the County of *Oxford*, for the Term of 50 Years, of the clear yearly Value of 40 *l.* and afterwards the said *Ed. Manning, 30 Eliz.* made his Will in Writing, and thereby devised his Indenture and Lease of the Farm and Mill in *Clifton*, and all the Years therein to come to *Matthew Manning* after the Death of *Mary Manning* my Wife, (which Farm and Mill my Will is, that *Mary Manning* my Wife shall enjoy during her Life,) conditionally, that the said *Matthew* shall not demise, sell, or give the said Lease, but to leave it wholly to *John* his Son, &c. *In the mean time my Will and Meaning is, That Mary Manning my Wife shall have the Use and Occupation both of the Farm and Mill, &c. during her natural Life: Yielding and paying therefore yearly to the said Mat. Manning, &c. during her natural Life seven Pounds at the Feasts of St. Michael the Archangel, and the Annunciation of our Lady, and made Mary his Wife his sole Executrix, and died; Mary took upon her the Charge of the Will, and had not sufficient to pay the Debts of the said Ed. Manning above the said Term; but she entred into the said Farm and Mill, and paid to Mat. Manning the yearly Sum of 7 *l.* accord. to the said Will; and (a) said, That if she died, the said *Mat. Manning* should have the Farm and Mill aforesaid; and afterwards the said *Mary, 16 Years* after the Death of her Husband died intestate, after whose Death the said *Mat. Manning* entred into the said Farm and*

(a) = Brownl.
 173.

and Mill, and was thereof possessed *prout Lex postulat*; and afterw. Administration of the Goods of the said *Edw.* by the said *Mary* nor administr'd was committed to the said *Matth.* and that none of the Profits of the said Farm and Mill, which accrüed in the Life of the said *Mar.* came to the Hands of the said *Mat.* besides the said 7 l. yearly as afores. And the Doubt of the Jury was, If the Resid. of the said Term in the said Farm and Mill should be Assets in the Hands of the said *Mat.* But I conceived on the Trial of the Issue at *Guild-hall* in *London*, That the Devise to *Matt.* was good, and that there was sufficient * Assent to the Legacy, by the said Paym. of the Rent of 7 l. But yet upon the Motion of the Plaintiff's Counsel, I was contented, that the whole special *Matt.* should be found as is afores. And the Case was argued at the Bar, and at divers sever. Days debated at the Bench, and *prima facie* *Walmesley* Just. conceived, That the Devise to *Matt. Manning* after the Death of the Wife was void, for the Wife having it devised to her during her Life, she had the whole Term, and the Devisor could not devise the (a) Possibility over, no more than a Man can do by Grant in his Life; for that which the Testator cannot do by no Advice of Counsel in his Life, (b) the Testator, who is intended to be *inops consilii*, shall not do by his Will; but by Grant in his Life he could not grant the Land unto the Wife for her Life, the Remaind. over to another, for by the Grant the Wife had the whole Term at least if she so long lived, and a Possibility cannot be limited by Way of Remainder; and altho' the later Opinions in the Case (where a Man possessed of a Lease for Years, devises it to one for Life, the Remaind. to anoth.) have been, that the Remaind. was good; yet he said that the old Opinion, which hath more Reason, as he conceived, was, that the Remainder in such Case was void, 28 H. 7. (c) 7 *Dyer*, *Baldwyn* and *Shelley*, that the Remainder is void, *Englefield* contrary. (d) 6 E. 6. 74. acc. by *Hales* and *Mountague*: 2 E. 6. Tit. *Devise*, *Brook* 13. that the Remaind. is void, for the Devise of a Chatt. for one Hour is good for ever. But *Coke* Ch. Just. *Warburton*, *Daniel*, and *Foster* contrary, that the Devise was good to *Mat. Manning*; and five Points were by them resolved. 1. That *Matt. Manning* took it not by Way of Remainder, but by Way of an (e) executory Devise, and one may (f) devise an Estate by his last Will in such Manner, as he can't do by any Grant or Conveyance in his Life, as if a Man is seized of Lands in Fee held in Socage and devises, that if *A.* pays such a Sum to his Executors, that he shall have the Land to him and his Heirs, or in Tail, or for Life, &c. and dies, and afterw. *A.* pays the Mon. he shall have the Land by this executory Devise, and yet he could not have it by any Grant, or Conveyance executory at the Comm. Law; but it stands well with the Nature of a Devise; So in the Case

1 Lev. 25.

(a) Cro. El. 9.
217. Cro. Jac.
198.

(b) 1 Co. 85. b.

(c) Dy. 7. pl. 89.

1 Bulstr. 191.

192. MO. 758.

Poitica 97. 2.

Wentw. 334.

Palm. 334.

(d) Dy. 74. pl. 11.

1 Bulstr. 195.

(e) 2 Bulstr. 28.

1 Jones 15.

1 Mod. Rep. 51.

115. Moor 635.

748, 758. 4 Co.

66. b. 2 Rol. Rep.

218; 220; 427.

Cr. El. 796. Cr.

Jac. 198; 460, 461.

Cr. Car. 230.

B. N. C. 209.

10 Co. 47. 2. b.

Swinb. 134; 135.

2 Brownl. 173.

33 H. 8. Br. Char.

23. 1 Bulstr.

191, 192, &c.

1 And. 122.

c. 170.

(f) Swinb. 135.

2 Brownl. 379.

at

MATTHEW MANNING's Case. PART VIII.

at Bar when the Wife dies it shall vest in *Matt. Manning* as by an executory Devise, as if he had devised that after his Son has paid such a Sum to his Executors, that he shall have his Term; or that after the Death of *A.* that *B.* shall have the Term; Or that after his Son shall return from beyond the Seas, or that *A.* dies, that he shall have it, in all these Cases and other like, upon the Condition or Contingent performed, the Devise is good, and in the mean Time the Testator may dispose of it, and therefore in Judgment of Law, (a) *ut res magis valeat*, the executory Devise shall precede, and the Disposition of the Lease, 'till the Conting. happen, shall be subsequent, as in the Case at Bar it was, and so all shall well stand together; For when he made the executory Devise he had lawful Power, and might well make it; and afterwards in the same Will he had lawful Power, and might well devise the Lease 'till the Contingent happen'd, and therefore it is as much, (b) as if the Testator had devised, that if his Wife died within the Term, that then *Matt. Manning* should have the Residue of the Term; and farther devised it to his Wife for her Life. 2. The Case is more strong, because this Devise is but of a Chattel, whereof no *Præcipe* lies; and which may (c) vest, and revert at the Pleasure of the Devisor, without any Prejudice to any. And therefore if a Man makes a Lease for Years, on Condition, that if he do not such a Thing, the Lease shall be void, and afterwards he grants the Reversion over, the Condition is broken, the Grantee shall take (d) Benefit of this Condition by the Common Law, for the Lease is thereby absolutely void; but in such Case, if the Lease had been for (e) Life, with such Condition, the Grantee should not take Benefit of the Breach of the Condition; for a Free-hold (of which a *Præcipe* lies) cannot so easily cease; but is voidable by Entry, after the Condition broken, which can't by the Common Law be transferred to a Stranger; and therewith agrees (f) *11 H. 7. 17. a. & Br. Conditions* 245. 2 *Maria*, by *Bromly* the same Difference. 3. There is no Difference when one devises his Term for Life, the Remainder over; and when a Man devises the Land, or his Lease, or Farm, or the Use (g) or Occupation, or Profits of his Land; for in a Will the Intent and Meaning of the Devisor is to be observed, and the Law will make Construction of the Words to satisfy his Intent, and to put them into such Order and Course, that his Will shall take Effect. And always the (h) Intent. of the Devisor expressed in his Will, is the best Expofitor, Director, and Disposer of his Words: And when a Man devises his Lease to one for Life, it is as much as to say, he shall have so many of the Years as he shall live, and that if he dies within the Term, that another shall have it for the Residue of the Years; and altho' at the Beginning it be uncertain how many Years he shall live, yet when he dies it

is

(a) 1 Co. 76. a.
3 Keb. 288. 2 Jo.
49. 5 Co. 55. b.
1 Mod. Rep. 109.

(b) Swinb. 135.

(c) Swinb. 135.

(d) 3 Co. 64. b.
65. a. 10 Co. 48. b.
Co. Lit. 214. b.
219. a. Plowd.
135. b. 136. a.
Cr. El. 649, 650.
3 Roll. 473, 474.
1 Leon. 61.
(e) 10 Co. 48. b.
Co. Lit. 214.
Plowd. 135. b.
(f) 3 Co. 65. a.

(g) 1 Bulstr. 192.
2 Bulstr. 28.
March 106.
Postea 96. b.
Godb. 26. Swin.
135. Jenk. Cent.
264. 10 Co. 47.
a. b. Plow. 524.
Cro. El. 190.
Co. Lit. 4. b.
(h) Plow. 522. b.
523. a. Bridgm.
135. Co. Lit.
112. b. Cro.
Car. 9.

is certain how many Years he has lived, and how many Years the other shall have it, and so by a subsequent Act all is made certain. 4. That, after the Executor has assented to the first Devise, it lies not in the Power of the first Devisee to (a) bar

him who has the future Devise, for he can't transfer more to another than he has himself. 5. In many Cases a Man by his Will may create an Interest, which by Grant or Conveyance

at the Common Law he cannot create in his Life; And therefore when Sir Will. (b) Cordell Master of the Rolls devised his Manor of *Melford*, &c. in the County of *Suffolk*, to his Executors for the Paym. of his Debts, and until his Debts should be paid, the Remainder to *Edward* his Brother, &c. and made

George Carey and others his Executors, and died, and after his Death the Debts were paid; and his Wife demanded Dower, and one Question amongst others was moved, What Interest or Estate the Executors had? for if they had a Freehold, then

the Wife should not have Dower, and if they had but a Chattel determinable upon the Payment of the Debts, then she should be endowed; and this Case was referred to *Anderson* Ch. Just. of the Common Pleas, and *Francis Gawdie* Just. of the King's Bench, before whom the Case was at several Days debated *Pasch. 36 Eliz.* and I was of Counsel with the Executors; And it was Resolved by them, that the (c) Executors

had but a Chattel, and no Freehold, for if they should have a Freehold for their Lives, then their Estate would determine by their Death, and not go to the Executors of the Executors,

and so the Debts would remain unpaid; but the Law adjudges it a particular Interest in the Land, which shall go to the Executors of the Executors, as Assets for Payment of his Debts.

But if such Estate be made by Grant, or Conveyance at the Common Law, the Law will adjudge it an Estate of Freehold, and so a more favourable Interpretation is made of a Will in Point of Interest or Estate to satisfy the Will of the dead for the Payment of his Debts, than of a Grant or Conveyance in his Life; which he may enlarge, or make other Provision at his Pleasure. And so was it resolved in the Beginning of the

Reign of *Q. Elizabeth*, that where a Man had Issue a Daughter, and devised his Lands to his Executors for the Payment of his Debts, and until his Debts were paid, and made his Executors and died, the Executors entred, the Daughter married, and had Issue and died, and after the Debts were paid,

it was Resolved in the Case of one *Guavarra*, that he should be Tenant by the Courtesy, *Vide 13 H. 7. 13. 27 H. 8. 5.*

21 *Aff. p. 8. 14 H. 8. 13.*

Note Reader, it has been of late oftent. adjudg'd accord. to these Resolutions, *sc.* in *Weldon's (d) Case*, *Plow. Com. in Comuni Banco*. In *Paramour's (e) Case*, *Plow. Com. in the K's Bench*, *Mich. 26 & 27 Eliz.* in a Writ of (f) Error in the K's Bench;

on a Judgment given in the Com. Pleas, the Case was such, *Tho.*

(a) *Amner*

(a) 10 Co. 47. 2. b.
Dy. 74. pl. 18.
140. pl. 41. Cr.
Jac. 460, 461.
Moor 748.
2 Brownl. 173.
Cro. Car. 293.
1 Bulstr. 194.
3 Bulstr. 123.
1 Roll. 620.
(b) Co. Lit. 42. a.
Cro. El. 315, 316.
1 Jones 25.
1 Roll. 829, 830.
Raym. 136, 137.
1 Sid. 224.
2 Bulstr. 773.
Aley 47.

(c) 1 Roll. 830.
Co. Lit. 42. a.
Aley 47.
Cr. El. 315, 316.

(d) 2 Brownl.
309. Plowd. 516.
519. 2 Leon. 92.
3 Leon. 89.
(e) 2 Brownl.
309. Plowd. 539.
540. 2 Leon. 92.
3 Leon. 89.
(f) 2 Leon. 92.
3 Leon. 89.
Godb. 26.
1 And. 60.
1 Roll. 612.

MATTHEW MANNING's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Jenk. Cent. 264. Moor 758.
 1 Bulstr. 192.
 1 Roll. 612.
 2 Leon. 92.
 1 Leon. 89.
 Co. Lit. 351. a.
 Godb. 26.
 1 And. 60, 61.

(a) *Amner* brought an *Ejectione firma* against *Nich. Loddington* on a Demise made by *Alice Fullesthorst* for 7 Years of certain Houses in *London*, and on Not guilty pleaded, the Jury gave a Spec. Verd. *Hugh Weldon* was seized of the said Houses in Fee, and 24 H. 8. demised them to *Tho. Perpoint* for 99 Years, who by his Will in Writing 1544. devised his said Lease in these Words, *I devise my Lease to my Wife during her Life, and aft. her Death I will it go to her Children unpreferred*, and made his Wife his Executrix, and died, his Wife entred and was possessed *ratione doni & legationis*, and married with *Sir Thom. Fullesthorst*, and afterwards 2 and 3 *Phil. & Mar. Bestwick* recover'd against *Sir Tho.* 140 l. Debt in the Common Pleas, and by Force of a *Fi. fa.* directed to *Alibam* and *Mallory* Sheriffs of *London*. the said

* Co. Lit. 351. a.

* Term was sold to *Nich. Loddington* the Defend. and afterw. the Judgm. against the said *Sir Tho. F.* was reversed in a Writ of Error in the King's Bench, & *quod ad omnia que amittit ratione judicii prad' restituitur*, and afterw. *Alice* the Wife and Executrix died, *Alice Fullesthorst* being then the only Daughter who was unpreferred, entred, and made the Lease to the Plaintiff *Tho. Amner*. And this Case was often argued at Bar by the Sergeants in the Common Pleas, and at last by the Judges, and in this Case three Points were by them resolved. 1. That the said

(b) 1 Roll. 612.
 2 Leon. 92.
 3 Leon. 92.
 Godb. 28.
 1 And. 61, 62.
 Jenk. Cent. 264.
 Cro. El. 796.
 (c) Antea. 95. b.
 1 Bulstr. 192.
 2 Bulstr. 28.
 March 106.
 Godb. 26.
 Swinb. 135.
 10 Co. 47. a. b.
 Plowd. 524. a.
 Cro. El. 190.
 (d) 1 Roll. 937.
 1 Bulstr. 192.
 2 Leon. 93.
 1 And. 61, 62.

(b) Executory Devise of the Lease after the Death of the Wife to the Daughter unpreferred, was good; and there is no Difference when the Term, or Lease, or Houses, (c) and when the Use, or Occupation, &c. is devised, and that in all these Cases the executory Devise is good. 2. That the Sale either by *Alice* the Wife, or by the Sheriff on the *Fieri facias*, after the Wife was possessed as Legatory, should not (d) destroy the Executory Devise, altho' the Pers. to whom the executory Devise was made, was then uncertain, as long as *Alice* the Wife lived; for the said *Alice* the Daughter might have been preferred in her Life, and then she should take nothing, so that such Executory Devise which has Dependance on the first Devise may be made to a Person incertain, and this Possibility cannot be defeated by any Sale made by the first Devisee, &c. 3. That the Sale by the Sheriff by Force of the *Fieri facias* (e) should stand, altho' the Judgment was after reversed, and the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error restored to the Value, for the Sheriff who made the Sale, had lawful Authority to sell, and by the Sale the Vendee had an absolute Property in the Term during the Life of *Alice* the Wife; and altho' the Judgment, which was the Warrant of the *Fieri facias*, be afterwards reversed, yet the Sale which was a collateral Act done by the Sheriff, by Force of the *Fieri facias*, shall not be avoided; for the Judgment was, that the Plaintiff should recover his Debt, and the *Fieri facias* is to levy it of the Defendant's Goods and Chattels, by Force of which the Sheriff sold the Term which the Defendant

(e) Postea. 143. a.
 1 Roll. 778. Mo.
 573. Dy. 363. pl.
 24. Cr. El. 278.
 Cro. Jac. 246.
 5 Co. 90. b. Jenk.
 Cent. 264. Yelv.
 180. 2 Leon. 92.
 3 Leon. 89, 90.
 Godb. 27, 28.
 Postea 143. a.

Defendant

Defendant had in the Right of his Wife, as he (a) well might, and the Vendee pay'd Money to the Value of it. And if the Sale of the Term should be avoided, the Vendee would lose his Term, and his Money too, and thereupon great Inconvenience would follow, that none would buy of the Sheriff Goods or Chattels in such Cases; and so Execution of Judgments (which is the Life of the Law in such Case) would not be done. And according to these Resolutions Judgment was given in the Common Pleas for the Plaintiff, and in the King's Bench upon a Writ of Error the Case was often argued at the Bar before Sir *Christopher Wray*, and the Court there, and at length the Judgment was affirmed, and so the said three Points were adjudged by both Courts: And by these latter Judgments you will better understand the Law in the Books, in which there are Variety of Opinions. 37 H. 6. 30. 33 H. 8. Br. Tit. Chattels 33. 2 E. 6. Tit. Devise. Br. 13. 28 H. 8. (b) Dyer, 7. 10 Eliz. Dyer, 277. Plow. Com. in *Weldon's & Paramor's Case*, &c. *Quia judicia posteriora sunt in lege fortiora.*

(a) Co. Lit. 351. b.
Postea 171. a.

(b) Dy. 7. pl. 8. 9.
Antea 95. a.
1 Bullst. 191, 192.
Moor 758.
Wentw. 334.
Palm. 334.

O

Hill.

Hill. 7 Jacobi Regis.

BASPOLE's Case.

2 Brownl. 309,
310. Cr. Jac. 285,
286. 1 Bulstr.
144, 145.

William Freeman brought an Action of Debt on a Bond of 25 *l.* bearing Date 9 Aprilis An. 6 Jac. against John Baspole, The Defen. demanded Oyer of the Condit. which was, That if the within bounden John Baspole, &c. shall well and truly stand to, abide, fulfill, and keep the Award, Arbitrament, Order, Rule, Judgment, and final Determination of Francis Theobald, Genl. indifferently named, elected and chosen. as well on the Part and Behalf of the said John Baspole, as of the said William Freeman, for to order, judge, rule, and final Determination to make of all Matters, Suits, Debts, Duties, Actions and Demands whatsoever had, made, or depending between the said John and William from the Beginning of the World until the Day of the Date hereof, so as the said Award, Order, and final End be made and given up under the Hand and Seal of the said Francis Theobald, to either of the said Parties, at or befoze the Feast of Saint James the Apostle, next ensuing, that then this present Obligation to be void; and pleaded, That the Arbitrator *nullum fecit Arbitrium, &c. de & super Præmissis in Conditione præd' specificat'*: The Plaintiff replied, that the Arbitrator 25 Junii an. 6. Reg. nunc, by his Writing made an Order and Award between the said William and John, *de & super Præmissis prædict' modo & forma sequentibus, viz.* That whereas a Suit was depending between the said John Baspole and William Freeman, for a Debt due by William Baspole, Father of the said John Baspole, deceased, to Robert Freeman, Father of the said William Freeman deceased, which just Debt was 20 *l.* to be paid by 7 Years then past to the said Rob. Freeman, and now due to Wil. Freeman, as Administrator of his Father, which Debt the said J. Baspole, *pro bona consideratione* promised

promised to pay to the said *Will.* as upon good Proof appear'd to the Arbitrator; That the said *J. Baspole* should pay to the said *W. Freeman* in Consideration of the said Debt long due, and for his great Costs in that Part sustain'd, the Sum of 22 *l.* and that aft. he requested the said *J. Baspole* to pay the said Sum of 22 *l.* which he refused, &c. up. which the Defend. demurr'd in Law. And 2 Object. were made aga. this Arbitram.; 1. Bec. the Arbitram. was made of (a) the one Part, and not of the oth. as in 7 *H. 6. 40. b.* that one Party should go quit of all Actions had by the oth. against him; and noth. is spok. of the Actions which he had against the oth. and therof. void. 2. It doth not appear that this Matt. of which he makes the Arbitram. was the Matt. only which was betw. 'em, for the Submission is general of all Actions and Demands, (b) so as the said Award be, &c. So that if he doth not make the Award of all Matters in Controv. the Award is void. To which it was answer'd and resolv'd, That as to the first the Award was sufficient (c) and good; for here the Award is as well of the one Part as of the oth. for the one receives Mon. and the oth. is discharged of the Debt, and of his Prom. to pay it, and is not like the said Case of 7 *H. 6.* for there one would be discharged of the Actions, and the other would receive noth. in Satisfact. thereof. *Vid. (d)* 22 *E. 4. 25. b.* As to the 2d. Object. it was answer'd and resolv'd, 1. That it appears by the Award, that it was made, (e) *de Præmissis præd' in conditione præd' specificat'*, which Words imply, that he had made an Arbitram. of all that which was refer'd to him, and so shall it be intended 'till the contra. be shew'd and alledg'd by the oth. Party; For when the Submission is gener. of all Actions (f) *generale nihil certi implicat*, and therof. it may well stand with the Generality of the Words, that there was but one Cause depending in Controv. betw. 'em; but where the Submission is of (g) cert. Things in special, and with a Proviso or Condition, that the Aw. be made, *de Præmiss'* &c. or Words which tantamount, there the Arbitrat. ought to make the Award of all, otherwise it is void. But if diverse Things in special are submitted (h) without such condit. Conclus. the Arbitrat. may make the Aw. of any of them. And as it is of diverse partic. Things, so it is of diverse partic. Persons. And therof. if two on the one Part, and one on the oth. Part submit themf. the Arbitrat. may make an Arbitram. betw. one of the two of the one Part, and the oth. of the oth. Part, and it will be good. 2. Altho' there were many Matters in Controversy; yet if one only be (i) signified to the Arbitrator he may make an Award of that, for the Arbitrator is in Lieu of a Judge, and his Office is to determine *secund' allegata & probata*, and the Duty of the Parties who are griev'd, and know their particular Grievs, is to signify their Causes of Controversy to the Arbitrator, for they are privy to them, and the Arbitrator a Stranger, and each ought to do

(a) 1 Sand. 327.
1 Roll. Rep. 12.
270. Cro. Jac.
200, 286, 354, 355.
447, 448, 664.
Cr. El. 904.
7 H. 6. 40, 41. a.
Hob. 49. Fitz
Arbitrem. 2.
Br. Arbitrem. 17.
Popli. 134.
1 Leon. 72, 73.
1 Roll. 253.
17. 336. pl. 39.
(b) Cr. El. 838.
839, 858.
Cr. Jac. 200, 278.
231, 333, 378.
Cr. Car. 216.
March. Arbitre.
177. Noy 2.
1 Brownl. 58, 112.
21 R. W. 11, 309.
310. 1 R. L. 256.
257. 1 Roll. Rep.
43. 1 Bulltr.
123. Aleyn 52.
1 Sand. 32, 33.
1 Sid. 232. Hutt.
9, 29. Yelv. 203.
Hard. 45.
4 Leon. 94.
(c) Dyer 356.
pl. 39. 1 Bulltr.
145. Hob. 49.
1 Roll. 253.
1 Brownl. 58.
March. Arbitre.
210. 2 Brownl.
310. Cro. Jac.
447, 448.
(d) 2 Roll. Rep. 12.
1 Bulltr. 123.
(e) 1 Sid. 252.
Hutt. 9.
1 Roll. Rep. 437.
Hob. 191.
Cr. El. 839, 858.
Cr. Jac. 200,
278, 378, 664.
(f) Cr. Car. 216, 217.
(g) 2 Co. 33. b.
2 Roll. Rep. 360.
3 Keb. 414.
2 Sid. 36.
(h) Cr. El. 839.
858. Hob. 49.
Hardr. 45. Dy.
242. pl. 52.
March. Arbitre.
183, 184, 185.
Cr. Jac. 200, 355.
4 Leon. 94.
(i) Cr. El. 839.
Cr. Jac. 200,
333, 664.
Hob. 49.
(j) Cr. Jac. 200.
Hob. 49. March.
Arbitrem. 180.

that which lies in his Knowledge, and if other Construction should be made, many Arbitraments might be avoided; for one might conceal a Trespas committed, or other secret Cause of Action given him, and so avoid the Award, *Et expedit Reipublicæ (a) ut sit finis Litium.* And this is like *Cullamor's Case* on the * Statute of Bankrupts, in the Second Part of my *Reports*, fol. 25 & 26. b. which provides, that equal Distribution shall be made of the Bankrupt's Goods between all the (b) Creditors, but that is, (as it is there resolved) to be intended of those who will come in and signify their Debts. And hereby you will better understand your Books in 39 H. 6. 9. 22 E. 4. 25. 19 H. 6. 6. b. 2 R. 3. 18. 4 Eliz. Dyer 216. 8 Eliz. Dyer 242.

(a) 6 Co. 7. a.
 2. a. 45. a.
 8 Co. 37. b.
 9 Co. 79. b.
 11 Co. 69. a.
 3 Bulstr. 98.
 Godb. 142.
 Hard. 128.
 Co. Lit. 103. a.
 * 13 El. cap. 7.
 (b) Cro. Jac. 200.
 a Brownl. 210.
 111. Hob. 287.
 Hutt. 37, 38.

Hillarri 7 Jacobi Regis.

Sir RICHARD LECHFORD's Case.

IN an Ejectment in the King's Bench by *Thomas Undrell*, Cr. Jac. 2263227. Plaintiff, and *Bristow Elsey* Defendant, on a Demise made by *Will. Copley*, 2 N. Anno 2 Jac. Reg. of a House, and 20 Acres of Land, &c. in *Lee* in the County of *Surrey*, for the Term of one Year; the Defendant pleaded, that *R. Lechford* Knt. was seised of the Tenements in which, &c. in his Demesne as of Fee, and leased them to the Defendant for his Life, and that *Will Copley* disseised him, and made the Lease to the Plaintiff, and that the Defendant re-enter'd, &c. and the Plaintiff reply'd and said, that the Tenements in which, &c. are, & a tempore cuius &c. were Parcel of the Mannor of *Sherwood* in *Lee* afore said, whereof *Henry Lechford*, Esq; was seised, and that the said Tenements in which, &c. are, & a tempore cuius &c. were demised and demisable, &c. by Copy of Court-Roll, &c. And that the said *Henry Lechford* at the Court of his Manor, Anno 2 Eliz. granted the said Tenements to *Tho. Copley*, Father of the said *William Copley*, to have and to hold to him and his Heirs, by Copy of Court Roll, &c. And afterwards the said *Thomas Copley* dy'd seised thereof of such Estate, which descended to the said *William Copley* his Son and Heir, the Lessor, &c. the Estate of the said *Henry Lechford* in the said Manor the said *Sir Rich. Lechford* Knt. now has, who entred upon the Possession of the said *William Copley* the Son, and ousted him of the Tenements, in which, &c. and demised them to *Bristow Elsey*, as is afore said, upon whom the said *William Copley* re-enter'd and demised them to the Plaintiff, &c. To which the Defendants rejoyn'd and confess'd, that the Tenements in which, &c. were Parcel of the Manor, and demised and demisable, &c. and the Grant by Copy made to the

Sir RICHARD LECHFORD's Case. PART VIII.

the said *Thomas Copley*, and the Descent to *William Copley*, *prout*, &c. But further he said, That within the said Manor there is, & a *tempore cujus* &c. was such a Custom, That if any customary Tenant of the said Manor dies seised of any Lands, or Tenements, held by Copy, &c. in Fee-simple, &c. that every Heir of such Tenant so dying seised, shall

(a) Cr. Jac. 226. pay a reasonable (a) Fine for his Admittance to be in full Court of the said Manor by the Lord or his Steward imposed and assessed; and further within the said Manor there is another Custom a *tempore cujus*, &c. That if any Copyhold Tenant, seised of any Lands or Tenements held by Copy, &c. of the said Manor in Fee-simple dies thereof seised, and his Heir doth not come at the next Court of the said Manor, and claim the said Tenements, and pray to be admitted to them, &c. then a (b) publick Proclamation shall be made in full Court that the said Heir come at the same Court to claim the same Lands, and to pray to be admitted, and so at two other Courts following of the said Manor, the like Proclamation shall be made; and if such Heir at any of the said Courts in which Proclamation shall be so made, comes not to claim the said Tenements, and pray to be admitted to them, then the Lord of the Manor has always used and accustomed to seise them into his Hands, as forfeited to him, and pleaded, that three Proclamations were made at three several Courts, &c. according to the Custom; and the said *William Copley*, Son and Heir of the said *Thomas Copley*, came not to any of them to claim the same Lands, and pray to be admitted to them; wherefore the said Sir *Richard Lechford* then and yet Lord of the said Manor,

(b) Cr. Jac. 226. seised the Tenements aforesaid, in which, &c. as (c) forfeited to him, and leased them to the Defendant, as aforesaid. To which the Plaintiff said, That the said *Thomas Copley primo Julii*, 27 *Eliz.* dy'd, as is aforesaid, seised of the said Tenements, after whose Death the Tenements aforesaid, in

(c) Co. Lib. 59. a Bridgm. 52. which, &c. (d) descended to the said *Will. Copley*, as aforesaid; and that at the Time of the Death of the said *Thomas Copley*, and at the Time of the said several Proclamations, the said *William Copley* was Resident at *Bruxels* beyond the Seas, *extra quatuor maria*, & *ibi per totum tempus remanebat*, and there for all the said Time remained 'till the first Day of *September*, Anno 1 *Jac. Reg.* which Day he returned from *Bruxels* aforesaid, into *England*; and that immediately after his Return to *Lee* aforesaid, then having Notice, and not before, of the Death of the said *Thomas Copley*, the said *William* 30 *Sept.* 1 *Jac.* came to the said Sir *Richard Lechford*, then Lord of the said Manor, and prayed to be admitted to the Tenements aforesaid, in which, &c. and offer'd the said Lord any reasonable Fine for his Admittance to them, which the said Lord utterly refused, &c. Upon which the Defendant demurred in Law; and it was adjudged,

(d) Cart. 74.

that this Custom and Non-Claim * should not bar him, who * Cr. Jac. 226. was beyond Sea (*extra maria*) at the Time of the Proclamations made of his Inheritance, because he who is out of the Realm could not have (a) Knowledge by Intend- (a) Cr. Jac. 226. ment of Law of the Death of his Father, nor of the Proclamations made, to warn him to come to claim his Inheritance, and pray to be admitted to it. And it appears by the Statute *de modo levandi fines*, made (b) 18 E. 1. That a Fine (b) 2 Inst. 510, 511. levied of Lands in the Common Pleas, is as high a Bar, and of as great Force, and of so high Nature in itself, that it bars not only those who are Parties and Privies to the Fine and their Heirs, but all other People of the World who are of full Age, out of Prison, of good Memory, and within the four Seas the Day of the Fine levied, if they do not make their Claim within the Year and Day, &c. And it appears also by the Statute *de Donis Conditionalibus*, made (c) 13 E. 1. (c) Co. Lit. 262. upon which it was concluded, That if the Sublimity of a Fine, which is so high a Bar, and of so great Force, and of so puissant a Nature, and which hath such Solemnity in so high a Court of Record, shall not bar him who is out of the Realm of his Right; *a fortiori* Proclamations made in a base Court in a private Corner, shall not bar him. So Judgment final in a Writ of (d) Right in the Common Pleas shall (d) Co. Lit. 254. b. bind all Strangers, if they make not their Claim within the Year and Day after the Judgment and Execution; and yet the Common Law excepts Infants, those who are out of the Realm, or are imprisoned, &c. and therewith agree 5 E. 3. 222. and 7 E. 3. 335. that the Year and Day shall be accounted after the (e) Execution, for by the Transmutation (e) 1 Co. 96. b. 97. a. of the Possession, the Country has Notice of it. *Vide* 4 E. 3. Plowd. 357. b. 46. & 11 R. 2. *Escheat* 13. *Plow. Com.* 356. And the Reason why a Recovery in a Writ of Right where the Trial was by Battle or Grand Assise, was final to all Strangers, is, for the grand Notice that Men have of Battle, and of the Trial by Grand Assise, their Proceedings and Performance are with so notorious, famous, and publick Solemnities, and if such Recovery with such Solemnities should not bar him who was out of the Realm, nor Infants, nor a Man *non sana memoria*, nor a Man in Prison; *a fortiori* Custom and Proclamations in a private Court of a Manor shall not bind them. And the Law in these Cases was grounded upon great Reason, for he who is out of the Realm, in another Country, can't by Intendment of Law have Notice of Things done within this Realm; an Infant has not Understanding, nor can know his Right either to follow it by Entry, or Action; so of a Man *non sana memor'* who wants Reaf. and Sense to make a Claim; so of him who is impris. who by Judgm. of Law ought to be in *salv'* (f) & *arēt' Custod' sc. Salv'* as to the Party at whose Suit

O 4

Sir RICH. LECHFORD's Case. PART VIII.

is imprison'd, bec. he ought to be imprison'd so strong that he may not escape; and *arbitra*, in Respect he ought to be kept close, with. Confer with others, or (a) Intelligence of Things abroad; and he ought not to go out of the Prif. to make his Claim. And that is the Reaf. that a Recovery (b) by Default againft him in a real Action fhall not bind him, but he fhall reverse it by Error, as it appears in 5 *Ed.* 3. 50. b. 4 *Ed.* 2. *Disceit* 51. *Lit.* 102. b. *Nota*, Reader, that a (c) Feme Covert is not mentioned in any of the faid A&ts, but was bound at Com. Law by Non-claim, bec. she had a Husb. who might make it, and that was one of the Reasons that the Stat. of 34 *Ed.* 3. c. 16. ousted Non-claim: but the Stat. of 4 *H.* 7. *cap.* 24. excepts (d) Feme Coverts who are Strangers to the Fine, fo that she makes her Claim within 5 Years aft. the Death of her Husb. And at the Com. Law, Men out of the (e) Realm, Infants, Men *non sana memoria*, and in Prifon, who were not bound to make Claim with. the Year and Day, were perpetually for them and their Heirs exempt. from making Claim. And therof. it was resolv'd, That the faid Custom in the Cafe at Bar is fo to be intended, that it fhall bind him who doth not make his Claim, &c. if he be within the Realm, * of full Age, of perf. Memory, and out of Prifon; for no Custom by the Law can extend to bar thofe, who by Judgm. of Law are not bound to make Claim. But it was resolv'd, that if *Will. Copley* had been with. the Realm at the time of the firft Proclamation, and afterw. (f) gone out of the Realm, that the Proclamation fhould bind him, altho' he be *extra quatu' maria*, at the Time of the oth. Proclamations, for he fhall not defeat the Lord of his Fine or Forfeit. by his own A&t. *Vide* 9 *H.* 7. 24. a. and the Reaf. of *Litt. lib.* 3. fol. 104. a. was well observ'd, who hav. put the Cafe of Non-claim of him who is out of the Realm that it fhall not hurt him in the Cafe of Fine, he faith as follows, by greater Reaf. &c. (g) That a Disseif. and a Descent, which is Matt. in Fact, fhall not fo much grieve him who is fo disseif. when he was out of the Realm at the Time of the Disseifin, and alfo at the Time that the Disseif. died seifed, but that he may well ent. norwithft. the Descent; upon which 2 Things were collected. 1. That as at the Com. Law, If a Man was with. the Realm at the Time of the Fine levied, and with. the Year went bey. the Seas he fhould be bound; So if a Man be disseif'd, and afterw. goes bey. the Seas, a Desc. cast afterw. fhall toll the Entry, as appe. alfo by *Litt.* 103. b. (h) The 2d Thing is, That if he who is out of the Realm fhall not be bound by Non-claim on a Fine, which is a Matter of Record, *a fortiori* he fhall not be bound by Non-cl. on a Desc. which is a Matt. in *Pais.* (i) If a Man be disseifed and afterwards is imprison'd, and afterwards a Descent is cast, it fhall toll his Entry, and therewith agrees 9 *H.* 7. 24. a. And (k) some say in this Cafe, that if a Man be seifed of Land, and has Issue two Sons, Bastard eigne and Mulier pure, and the Fath. dies seifed, the Mulier being beyond

(a) 9 Co. 87. b.
Co. Lit. 259. a.
Plowd. 360. a.
(b) Lit. Sect. 438.
Co. Lit. 259. b.
Lit. 102. b.
7 H. 6. 38. a. b.
Fitz. Default 1.
Br. Default 33.
Plowd. 360. a.
(c) Hob. 95.
Plowd. 360. a.
Co. Lit. 262. b.

(d) 9 Co. 140. b.

(e) 1 Roll. 567.
Plowd. 360. a.
Hob. 95.

* 3 Mod. 221.
&c. 1 Show. 31;
83. Salk. 386.
Comp. 118.
Lutw. 765.

(f) Cr. Jac. 101.

(g) Co. Lit. 262. b.
Lit. Sect. 441.

(h) Co. Lit. Sect. 440.

(i) Lit. Sect. 437.

(k) Plow. 372. a.

beyond Sea, or within Age, or imprison'd, or *non sane memorie*, and the Bast. eigne enters, and continues in peaceable Possession of the Lands, and has Issue, and dies, and the Lands descend to his Iss. the Right of the Mul. in all the said Cafes is bound for ever; and some hold the contrary. 2. It was resolved, That forasmuch as *Will. Copley* was out of the Realm at the Time of the Death of his Father, altho' he was not in the King's Service, yet he shall not be (a) barred by the said Custom and Proclamations, because he could not by Intendment of Law have notice; and at the Comm. Law he should not be barred by Non-claim on a Fine, or Writ of Right, *Bract. lib. 5. tract. de Exception. Cap. 29. fol. 43b.* (b) *Excusatur quis quod clameum non apposuerit, ut si in toto tempore litigii fuit ultra mare quacunq; occasione: Littl. acc. fol. 103. u. Vide 9 H. 4. 3. a. 26 H. 6. Error, 27. 33 H. 6. 1. 1 Ass. p. 2. 21 H. 6. 24. 2 E. 3. Coron. 153. The Preamble of the Statutes of 26 H. 8. cap. 13. & 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 11.* Note, Reader, as to those Cafes which have been put of Bastard eigne and Mulier puisne, I conceive that the better Opinion is, That in such Cafes the (c) Mulier shall be barred for ever; and the Reason is, because the Continuance in Possession, and dying seised in Peace, and Descent to his Issue makes him Heir, and his Issue shall inherit as Heir, because he was legitimate by the Law of holy Church; for (as *Bracton, lib. 2. fol. 63.* saith) (d) *Matrimonium subsequens legitimus facit quoad sacerdotium* (because they are legitimate by the Canon Law) non (e) *quoad successionem, propt' consuetudinem regni quae se habet in contrarium.* And by the Law of England by the Continuance in Possession, and dying seised in Peace and Descent, &c. he is adjudged (f) Heir to his Father, for altho' the Bastard dies seised without Issue, so that the Land doth not descend, the Mulier shall have it; and therewith agrees *Abridgm. Assis. fol. 3.* and the Rule in *13 E. 1. Bastardy 28.* is so to be intended, (g) *Iustum non est aliquem antenatum mortuum facere Bastardum, quz toto tempore suo pro legitimo habebat.* (h) *Justum non est aliquem antenatum mortuum facere Bastardum, quz toto tempore suo pro legitimo habebat.* (i) *Justum non est aliquem antenatum mortuum facere Bastardum, quz toto tempore suo pro legitimo habebat.*

(a) Cr. Jac. 226. Palm. 533.
 (b) Co. Lit. 260. b. 261. a.
 (c) Co. Lit. 244. a.
 (d) Co. Lit. 245. a.
 (e) Co. Lit. 245. a.
 (f) Co. Lit. 244. a.
 (g) Co. Lit. 244. a.
 (h) Plowd. 372. a. Br. age 37. Br. discent 26. Br. entre congeable 68.
 (i) Plowd. 372. a. Fitz. Bastard. 17. Br. discent 29. Br. entry congeable 75.
 (b) Co. Lit. 244. a. Plowd. 372. a.
 (c) Co. Lit. 244. a.

shall

Sir RICH. LECHFORD's Case. PART VIII.

- (a) Co. Lit. 15. a. shall bar (a) the Right of the Mul. as appears in 18 E. 2. *Bast.* 26. but fifth Desc. shall not take away the Entry or Claim of the Disseisee; and so in man. oth. Cases, as appears by the Books
- (b) Co. Lit. 244. a. afterw. cited in this Case. And if a Man has two (b) Daughters, Bastard eigne, and Mul. puisne, and they enter and occupy as Heirs, now the Law in Favour of Legitimat. will not adjudge the whole Possession in the Mul. who then had the sole Right, but in both: So that if the (c) *Bast.* dies seised, her Issue shall inher. and therew. agrees 17 E. 3. 59. abridg. by *Fitz. tit. Bast.* 32. And if in the same Case the Daughters, *sc.* the *Bast.* eigne and Mulier puisne enter, and make (d) Partit. this Partit. shall bind the Mulier for ever, 2 E. 2. *Bast.* 19. 21 E. 3. 34. b. 30 *Aff.* p. 7. but if Partition be made betwixt two Daughters, where one has no Colour, as to a special Estate Tail, there the Partition is void, 11 *Aff.* p. 23. *Hugh de Wimondham's Case.* And an Affise of (e) *Mortduncestre* doth not lie betw. the Mul. and the *Bast.* eigne, no more than betw. 2 Brothers; and therew. agrees *Britton cap.* 70. and if the Bastard eigne enters, and is seised of Lands as Heir, he shall be vouched as Heir, and if he be with. Age the Parol shall demurr, 20 *Edw.* 3. *Voucher* 129. And if a Man has Issue *Bast.* eigne and Mulier puisne, and dies, and the *Bast.* with. Age enters and is impleaded, he shall have his (g) Age; and therew. agrees the Book in 11 E. 3. *Age* 3. Which Cases prove, That when the *Bast.* eigne enters into the Lands, until he be interrupted, he is accounted Heir, altho' the Mulier be with. Age, for when the Bastard eigne is within Age, of Necessity the Mul. puisne also ought to be within Age. *Vide* 5 H. 7. 2. And if a Man has Issue *Bast.* eigne and Mul. puisne, and the Bastard has Issue, and dies in the Life of his Fath. and afterw. the Fath. dies, and the Issue of the *Bast.* enters and dies without Interruption; some say it shall bar the Mulier. So if a Bastard born before Marriage enters, and has Issue, and dies seised, it shall bar (b) the collat. Heir, and the Lord by Esch. as well as the Mulier puisne; So if the *Bast.* eigne enters and dies seised, his Wife with Child with a Son, and afterw. the Son is born, he shall inherit the Land, for in as much as his Father died in Possession without Interruption, the Mulier shall not allege against the Issue Bastardy in his Father who is dead. And if the Bastard eigne dies seised, and his Issue (i) endows the Wife of the Bastard; yet the Mulier shall not enter upon the Tenant in Dower, for the Right of the Mulier was barred by the dying seised and the Descent: Otherwise it is of a Descent which tolls Entry only, and not the Right. The same Law in the Case aforesaid, if the Wife of the Father of the *Bast.* eigne and Mul. puisne be endowed, yet the Iss. of the *Bast.* shall have the Reversion of it, *Caus. qua sup.* *Vid.* 10 E. 3. *Wasse* 142. 20 H. 3. *Bast.* 29. 2 *Aff.* p. 9. 14 E. 2. *Bast.* 26. 13 E. 1.
- (c) Co. Lit. 244. a.
- (d) Co. Lit. 170. b. 244. b.
- (e) Co. Lit. 244. b. 2 Inft. 97.
- (f) Br. voucher 154. Co. Lit. 244. b. 2 Rolls 747. 21 E. 3. 46. a. 5 H. 7. 2. a.
- (g) Co. Lit. 244. b. 7 Rolls 145. Co. Lit. 244. a.
- (h) Co. Lit. 244. a.
- (i) Co. Lit. 244. a.

13 E. 1. *Bastardy* 28. 32 E. 1. *Bastardy* 31. 39 E. 3. *The last Case.* 36 *Aff. p.* 2. 6 E. 3. 54. Abridg. tit. *Faux Recov.* 2. 10 E. 3. 2. *Plow. Com. Talbois's Case*, 57. and *Stowell's Case*, 373, 374. Note, Reader, the Reason why the younger Son who is born during the Marriage of a lawful Wife is called *Mulier puisne*, seu *Filius Mulieratus*, is, because this Word (*a*) (*Mulier*) in (*a*) *Co. Lit.* 243. b. Latin has three Significations. 1. *Sub nomine Mulieris continetur qualibet Femina.* 2. *Proprie continetur Mulier qua Virgo non est.* 3. *Appellatione Mulieris in Legibus Angliæ continetur* (*b*) *Co. Lit.* 243. b. *Uxor.* (*b*) So that, *Filius natus ex justa Uxore appellatur in Legibus Angliæ, Filius mulieratus*; (*c*) *sicut Bastardus dicitur a* (*c*) *Co. Lit.* 243. b. *Græco vocabulo, Bassaris, i. e. Meretrix, seu Concubina, quia procreatur ex Meretrice sive Concubina.* And therewith agrees *Glauvil. l. 7. c. 1. Quod si verum est, &c. tunc melioris conditionis est in hoc bastardus Filius quam mulieratus, &c.* where he makes an Opposition of a Son *Mulier*, to a *Bastard Son*, and there *Mulier* is taken for *Legitimate, procreat. de Uxore, & Bastardus ex Meretrice sive Concubina.* And therewith agrees *Britton, cap. 70. of Mortdancester, fol. 81. b.* where he saith, in the same Manner, of two Brothers of divers Mothers, and between a Brother *Mulier* and a Brother *Bastard, &c.* And the Books in 32 E. 1. *Bastardy* 31. 6 E. 2. *Bastardy* 24. 39 E. 3. 14. 39 *Aff. p.* 10. 44 E. 3. 12, &c. *Littleton ubi supra, &c.*

Trin' 7 Jac. Rot. 3661.

JOHN TALBOT's Case.

Salog.

Johannes Pendleton attachiatus fuit per breve Domini Regis de Secunda deliberatione ad respondend' Johanni Chapman de placito quare cepit averia ipsius Johannis Chapman & ea injuste detinuit contra vad' & pleg' &c. Et unde idem Johannes Chapman per Tho. Salter Attornat' suum queritur, quod præd' Johannes Pendleton secundo die Septembris Ann' regni Domini Regis nunc Angliæ &c. sexto apud Albrighton in quodam loco vocat' Bromley, cepit averia, viz. duas juvenecas ipsius Johannis Chapman, Et ea injuste detinuit contra vad' & pleg' quousque &c. unde dic' quod deteriorat' est & dampnum habet ad valentiam vigint' librar', Et inde produc' sectam &c. Et prædict' Johannes Pendleton per Nich. Gibbens Attornat' suum venit & defend' vim & injur' quando &c. Et ut Ballivus Johannis Talbot Armigeri bene cogn' captionem averiorum prædict' in præd' loco in quo &c. Et juste &c. Quia dic' quod idem locus in quo supponitur captionem averiorum prædict' fieri continet & prædict' tempore captionis illius superius fieri supposit' continebat in fectres acras pasturæ jacer' in prædicto campo voc' Bromley in Albrighton prædicta, Quodque diu ante prædictum tempus quo supponit' captionem averiorum prædictorum fieri quidam Johannes Chapman pater jam queren' fuit seifitus de prædictis tribus acris pasturæ cum pertin' in quibus &c. in dominio suo ut de feodo, & easdem tres acras pasturæ cum pertin' in quibus &c. tenuit de præfato Johanne Talbot ut de manerio suo de Albrighton in comitatu prædicto per fidelitatem ac servitium faciend' sectam ad cur' ipsius Joh. Talbot manerii sui prædicti de tribus septimanis in tres septima-

nas, apud manerium illud annuatim tenend', necnon per servitium reddendi post mortem cujuscumque tenentis earundem trium acrarum pasturæ cum pertinentiis, in quibus, &c. deceden' inde seisit' optimum animal quod fuerit hujusmodi tenen' tempore mortis suæ nomine Heriotti, de quibus quidem servitiis prædictus Johannes Talbot fuit seisitus per manus prædicti Johannis Chapman patris, ut per manus veri tenentis sui, viz. de fidelitate & festa Curie prædicti' ut de feodo & jure, Ac de Heriotto prædicti' in dominico suo ut de feodo: prædictusque Johannes Chapman pater de prædictis tribus acris pasturæ cum pertinentiis in quibus, &c. in dominico suo ut de feodo in forma prædicta seisit' existen', postea & ante prædictum tempus quo &c. apud Albrighton prædictam de tali statu suo obiit inde seisit': Et idem Johannes Pendleton ulterius dicit, quod prædictus Johannes Chapman pater tempore mortis suæ apud Albrighton prædicti' fuit possessionat' de uno bove (a) pretii centum solidorum ut de bove suo proprio, qui quidem bos fuit optimum animal prædicti Johan' Chapman patris tempore mortis suæ, per quod accidit inde Heriot' præfato Johanni Talbot, Et quia Heriottum prædicti' post mortem prædicti Johannis Chapman patris dicto tempore quo &c. præfato Johanni Talbot aretro fuit minime deliberat', idem Johannes Pendleton ut Ballivus præd' Johannis Talbot bene cogn' captionem averiorum prædictorum in prædicto loco in quo &c. Et injuste &c. pro Heriotto prædicto non deliberat' ut infra feodum & dominium sua &c.

(a) Cro. Car.
260. Plow. 94. 2.

Et prædictus Johannes Chapman jam querens, dicit, quod prædictus Johannes Pendleton ut Ballivus prædicti Johannis Talbot ratione præ-allegata captionem averiorum prædictorum in prædicto loco in quo &c. justam cogn' non debet, Quia dicit, quod diu ante prædictum tempus captionis prædictæ factæ, & antequam prædictus Johannes Chapman pater aliquid habuit in prædicti' trib' acris pasturæ cum pertin' in quibus &c. quid' Johannes Barny fuit seisitus de uno messuagio & dimid' unius virgat' terræ, prati, & pasturæ cum pertinent', contin' per æstimat' quinquaginta acras in Albrighton præd', unde præd' tres acræ pasturæ cum pertinentiis in quibus &c. fuerunt parcell', in dominico suo ut de feodo, Et eadem messuagium & dimid' unius virgat' terræ, prati, & pasturæ integr' cum pertinen' unde &c. tenuit de præfato Johanne Talbot ut de manerio suo de Albrighton prædicta per fidelitatem, Ac per servitium faciendi festam ad curiam ipsius Johannis Talbot manerii sui præd' de trib' septimanis in tres septiman' apud manerium illud annuat' tenend', necnon per servitium reddendi post mort' cujuscumque tenentis eorund' messuagii & dimid' virgat' terræ, prati, & pasturæ integr' cum pertinentiis unde &c. decedentis inde seisit' optim' animal quod
fuit

JOHN TALBOT's Case. PART VIII.

fuit hujusmodi tenentis tempore mort' suæ nomine Heriotti, prædictusque Johan' Barney de prædict' messuagio & dimid' unius virgat' terræ, prati, & pasturæ integr' cum pertinentiis unde &c. in forma prædict' seisit' existen' diu ante prædict' tempus captionis &c. scilicet, primo die Maii, Anno regni Domina' Elizab' nuper Regina' Angliæ, tricesimo secundo, de tribus acris terræ parcell' præd' dimid' unius virgat' terræ, prati, & pasturæ cum pertinentiis unde &c. feoffavit prædict' Johannem Talbot, habend' & tenend' eidem Johanni Talbot & hæredibus suis imperpetuum: virtute cujus feoffamenti præd' Johannes Talbot fuit & adhuc est seisit' de eisdem trib' acris terræ parcell' &c. in dominico suo ut de feodo, ipsoq; Johanne Talbot sic inde seisit' existen' ac prædict' Johanne Barney de messuagio prædict' ac resid' prædict' dimid' unius virgat' terræ, prati, & pasturæ cum pertinentiis unde &c. in forma prædicta seisit' existen', idem Johannes Barney postea & ante prædictum tempus captionis prædict' sc'æ, scilicet, primo die Maii, anno regni dictæ nuper Regina' Elizab. tricesimo sexto de prædict' tribus acris pasturæ cum pertinentiis in quib' &c. feoffavit prædictum Johannem Chapman patrem, habendum & tenendum eidem Johanni Chapman patri & hæredibus suis imperpetuum, ad opus & usum dicti Johannis Chapman patris & hæredum suorum imperpetuum: virtute cujus quidem feoffamenti idem Johannes Chapman pater fuit seisitus de eisdem tribus acris pasturæ cum pertinentiis in quibus &c. in dominico suo ut de feodo, Et sic inde seisitus existens idem Johannes Chapman pater postea & ante prædict' tempus captionis &c. apud Albrighton præd' de tali statu suo de & in eisdem tribus acris pastur' cum pertinen' in quibus &c. obiit inde seisitus, post cujus mortem prædict' tres acra pastur' cum pertinen' in quibus &c. descend' eidem Johanni Chapman jam querent' ut filio & hæredi prædicti Johannis Chapman patris, per quod idem Johannes Chapman jam querens in prædict' tres acras pastur' cum pertinentiis in quibus &c. intravit, & fuit, & adhuc est inde seisit' in dominico suo ut de feodo, Et sic inde seisitus existen' idem Johannes Chapman jam querens ante prædict' tempus captionis posuit averia sua præd' in prædicto loco in quo, &c. ad herbam in eodem tunc crescent' depascend', prout ei bene licuit, quæ quidem averia fuerunt in prædicto loco in quo &c. herbam in eodem tunc crescent' depascen' quousque prædictus Johannes Pendleton prædict' secundo die Septembris anno regni domini Reg' nunc Angl', &c. sexto supradicto apud Albrighton prædictam in prædicto loco vocat' Bromley cepit averia ipsius Johannis Chapman prædict' & ea injuste detinuit contra vad' & pleg' quousque &c. prout ipse superius versus eum queritur: Et hoc paratus est verificare, unde ex quo prædict' Johannes Pendleton captionem averiorum prædictor' in præd' loco

PART VIII. JOHN TALBOT's Case.

loco in quo &c. præcludend', quodque ipse ad placitum illud modo & forma prædictis placitat' necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur respondere, unde pro defectu sufficient' placiti in barr' in hac parte, idem Johannes Pendleton petit judicium & retorn' averiorum prædictorum una cum dampnis &c. sibi adjudicari. Et prædict' Johannes Chapman jam querens ex quo ipse sufficientem materiam in lege ad prædictum Johannem Pendleton a juste cognoscend' captionem averiorum prædict' in prædicto loco in quo &c. præcludend' superius allegavit, quam ipse paratus est verificare, quam quidem materiam prædictus Johannes Pendleton non dedic', nec ad eam aliquammodo respond', sed verificationem illam admitt' omnino recusat, ut prius petit judicium & damna sua occasione captionis & injuste detentionis averiorum illorum sibi adjudicari &c. Et quia Justic' hic se advisare volunt de & super præmissis priusquam judicium inde reddant, dies datus est, &c.

Hill.

Hill. 7 Jacobi Regis.

JOHN TALBOT's Case.

2 Brownl. 293.
294. 10 Co.
208. a. b.

JOHN Chapman brought a Writ of *Second Deliverance* against John Pendleton, of two Heifers taken at *Albrighton*, in a Place called *Bromley* in *Albrighton*, in the County of *Salop*, &c. The Defendant made Confessans as Bailiff to John Talbot, Esq; and said, That the Place where, &c. contain'd three Acres of Pasture, lying in a Field called *Bromley*, in *Albrighton*; and that one John Chapman, Father of the said John Chapman, whose Heir he is, was seised of the said three Acres of Pasture in Fee, and held them of the said John Talbot, as of his Manor of *Albrighton* in the said County by Fealty, and Suit of Court to the Manor from three Weeks to three Weeks, and by the Service to render after the Death of every Tenant of the said three Acres of Pasture, in which, &c. dying thereof seised, *optimum Animal* such Tenant had at the Time of his Death *nomine Heriotti*, of which Services he was seised by the Hands of the said John Chapman the Father, as by the Hands of his very Tenant, &c. and the said John Chapman the Father so being thereof seised, died seised thereof, and that at the Time of his Death he was possessed of an Ox, of the (a) Price of 100 s. as of his proper Ox, which Ox *fuit optimi Animal* of the said J. Chapman the Fath. which he had at the Time of his Death, &c. And because the said Heriot was behind, and not delivered, the Defendant, as Bailiff of the said John Talbot, acknowledg'd the taking of the Cattle aforesaid, in the Place where, &c. as *infra feodum & dominium suum*; &c. In Bar of which the said J. Chapman, now Plain. said, That bef. the said J. Chapman his Father had any Thing in the said three Acres of Pasture, one John Barny was seised of a Houfe, and a half Yard

(a) Antea 103. 2.
Cr. Jac. 260.
Plowd. 94. 2.

of

of Land, Meadow and Pasture, containing by estimation 50 Acres, in *Albrighton* aforesaid, whereof the said 3 Acres of Pasture in which, &c. were Parcel, in his demean as of Fee, and the said House and half yard of Land, Meadow and Pasture intire held of the said *John Talbot*, as of his said Manor by Fealty, Suit to Court, and Heriot Service, after the Death of every Tenant dying thereof seised; and the said *John Barny* being so seised of the said Messuage and half yard intire, *Anno 32 Eliz.* of 3 Acres of Land, Parcel of the said half yard Land, enfeoffed the said *John Talbot*, to have and to hold to him and his Heirs: And afterwards, *Anno 36 Eliz.* of the said 3 Acres of Pasture in which, &c. enfeoffed the said *John Chapman* the Father, to have and to hold to him and his Heirs, who died thereof seised, and they descended to *John Chapman* the now Plaintiff, &c. Upon which the Avowant demur'd in Law. And the only Question in this Case was, If the Lord had, by the Purchase of the said 3 Acres of Pasture, in which, Parcel of the Tenements held of him by Heriot Service, extinguished his Heriot or not. And the Avowant's Council did insist strongly upon the Words of *Littleton lib. 2. cap. ult. fol. 49.* where he saith, That notwithstanding the Purchase of Parcel, &c. by the Lord, the (a) Homage and Fealty remain intire to the Lord; for the Lord shall have the Homage and Fealty of his Tenant for the Remainant of the Lands and Tenements held of him, as he had before, because such Services are not Annual Services, and can't be apportioned; which Reason proves (as it was urged) that the Heriot Service in the Case at Bar continues, for this Service is not Annual, being due only on the Death of the Tenant, and can't be apportioned because it is intire; *scil. optimum Animal.* So they said, That it appears by *Littleton, lib. 2. cap. 3. & (b) 7 E. 3. 29. a. b.* That he who holds by Knight's Service, ought to go in Person, or find another able Person for him, properly arrayed for the War, &c. which is an intire Service: And yet *Littleton* saith, *ubi supra, fol. 49.* That if the Lord Purchases Part of the Land so held the Escuage shall be apportioned, which proves that the Tenure by Knight's Service remains for the Residue, and the Reason is, as it was urged, because the entire Service was not Annual, and the Service by the Body of a Man can't be apportioned. Also they cited the Book in *34 E. 3. (d) Heriot 1.* where it is held, That if my Tenant, who holds of me by a Heriot, aliens Parcel of his Land to another, each of them is chargeable to me of a Heriot, because it is intire; and altho' the Tenant Purchases the Land again, &c. I shall have of him for each Portion a Heriot. So in the Case at Bar, altho' the Lord purchases Parcel

(a) Lit. Sect. 223. Co. Lit. 149. a. b. Lit. 49. a. 2 Brownl 294. 295.

(b) Lit. Sect. 96. Lit. 20. a. 9 Co. 49. b. Co. Lit. 70. a.

(c) Lit. Sect. 223. Co. Lit. 149. b. Lit. 49. a.

(d) 6 Co. 7. a.

TALBOT's Case. PART VIII.

cel of the Land, yet he shall have a Heriot for the Residue, for it is entire, and not Annual. But it was answered and adjudged, *per totam Curiam*, That in the Case at Bar, the Heriot (a) Service was extinct. And first, the Resolutions in (b) Bruerton's Case in the sixth Part of my Reports were affirmed to be good Law by the whole Court. And in this Case these Points were resolved. 1. That some entire Services by alienation of Parcel of the Tenancy shall be (c) multiplied, *scil.* that every several Alienee shall pay several entire Services; and some entire Services, by Alienation of Parcel shall not be multiplied, but the Lord shall be content with one amongst all the several Alienees: And as to that, it must be known, that there are four manner of entire Services: 1. When a Thing entire, be it a Chattel valuable, or a Thing of Pleasure, shall be rendred and paid by the Tenant to the Lord, a Chattel valuable, as an Horse, an Ox, gilt Spurrs, a Bow or Arrows, a Sword, a Gauntlet, or such like; Things of Pleasure, as a Faulcon, or other Bird, a Dog, or such Things of Pleasure: All such entire Services by Alienation of Parcel of the Tenancy shall be multiplied, and every Alienee shall render the entire Service: And yet by the Purchase of Parcel by the Lord the whole is (d) extinct, as it is resolved in the said Case of Bruerton. The 2. is a personal Service to be done by the Tenant to the Person of the Lord, as Homage, Fealty, Knights Service, to be (e) Carver, Sewer, Butler, or such personal Services to the Lord, and some of these shall multiply, and some not; and therefore Homage and Fealty by Alienation of Parcel shall multiply, because when the Tenant doth Homage or Fealty, he doth them for all the Tenements which he holds of the Lord, so that these Services extend to the entire Tenancy, and every Parcel of it, and altho' the Lord purchases Part, yet the Homage and Fealty remain for the Residue: So that altho' Littleton, *ubi supra*, holds, That the Homage and Fealty by purchase of Parcel shall not be extinct, but shall remain for the Residue, because such Services are not Annual, and can't be apportioned, that is (f) *ratio una, sed non unica*, as appears before. So Knights Service, which is an entire Service to be performed by the Body of a Man, shall be also multiplied by the Alienation of Parcel; and although the Lord purchases Parcel, the Knights Service shall not be extinct, but shall remain for the Residue, *quia* (g) *pro bono publico & pro Defensione Regni*, and the Escuage shall be apportioned. But the personal Service of Sewer, Carver, Butler, &c. or when the Tenant is bound by his Tenure *ad convivendam Dom' suam & familiam suam semel in anno, & ad equitandum*

(a) Co. Lit.
149. b.
(b) 6 Co. 1, 2.

(c) Co. Lit. 149. a

(d) 6 Co. 1. b.
Moor 203. Co.
Lit. 149. a.

(e) 6 Co. 2. a.

(f) Go. Lit.
149. a.

(g) Co. Lit.
149. a. b.
6 Co: 2. a.

equitandum cum Domino suo in Com' N. Sumptibus suis propriis, &c. (vide 10 E. 3. 23. in *John de Brompton's Case*) by Alienation of Parcel shall not be apportioned nor multiplied: For such Services which are for the private Benefit of the Lord, and are personal to be done by a Man, shall not be multiplied, because they are to be personally done by one Man only, and Multiplication of them would be a Prejudice, and chargeable to the Tenant, and the Purchase of Parcel of the Tenancy by the Lord will extinguish all such personal private Service. The 3. is, When the Tenant is to exercise a personal Office, as to be Steward of the Court of the Manor, or Bailly of the Manor, or Collector of the Rents of the Manor, or Woodward of the Manor, or the like Offices, they, by Alienation of Parcel of the Tenancy, shall not be multiplied, but the Lord may disfrain each of them in these Cases to do it, but one only shall exercise it: And if the Lord purchase any Part of the Tenancy, the whole Service is extinct. The 4. is, When the Tenant by his Tenure is to do manual Labour, or Work touching Houses, Lands or Tenements; as to cover or repair the (a) Hall of the Lord's House, or to make or repair his Park Pale, or to plough or sow the Lord's Demans, &c. or to reap his Corn, or to cut his Grass, or *molere blada sua*, or such like; these or other Services by Alienation of Parcel shall not be multiplied; for these Works are to be done on a certain Thing, which can't be multiplied.

(a) 6 Co. 2. 2.

2. It was resolved, That there is no difference betw. intire annual Services, be they Valuable, or Things of Pleasure, and which shall be multiplied, as aforesaid, and between such entire Services not Annual: As if Lord and Tenant be to render a Horse every three, four or five Years, or upon Alienation, or Death; in these and the like Cases, if the Lord purchases Parcel of the Tenancy, such entire Services, not Annual, shall be as well extinct as the like annual Services.

3. It was resolved, That if *John Barny* had first enfeoffed *John Chapman* the Father, of the said three Acres of Pasture, and afterwards had enfeoffed the Lord of the said three Acres of Land, that notwithstanding the Heriot Service had remained for the Land which *John Chapman* the Father held; and the Reason is, because *John Chapman*, by his Purchase of three Acres, held by one several and distinct Tenure of Heriot Service, and therefore the Purchase of the Lord of any Part of the Residue which *John Barny* held, would extinguish only the Heriot which he ought to render, and not the Heriot which *John Chapman*, by reason of his distinct and separate Tenure ought to pay.

4. There is a diffe. betw. Heriot Service, and Heriot (b) Cust. (b) Co. Lit.

TALBOT's Case. PART VIII.

as to the Extinguishment thereof, when the Lord purchases Parcel of the Tenancy: For if the Lord purchases Parcel of the Tenancy, the Heriot Service is extinct: But if the Custom of the Manor be, That upon the Death of every Tenant of the Manor, who dies seised of any Lands held of the same Manor, the Lord shall have a Heriot; although the Lord purchases Part of a Tenancy, yet the Lord shall have a Heriot by the (a) Custom of the Manor for the Residue, for he remains Tenant to the Lord, and the Custom extends to every Tenant: *Vide* Reader, the Books cited in the said Case of *Bruerton*, by which these Differences, with the Reason of them appear.

(a) Co. Lit.
149. b.
2 Brownl. 296.

De

De termino sancti Michaelis anno regni domini Jacobi nunc Regis Angliæ &c. sexto.

HENRICUS Atkins de London in medicinis Doctor, Georgius Turner de London in medicinis Doctor, Thomas Moundeford de London in medicinis Doctor, Johannes Argent de London in medicinis Doctor, Johannes Taylor de London Yeoman, & Willihelmus Bowden de London Yeoman; attachiat fuerunt ad respondend' Thomæ Bonham de London in Philosophia & medicinis doctori, de placito quare ipsi simul cum Willihelmo Dun de London in medicinis doctore & Richardo Ware de London pellipario vi & armis ipsum Thomam Bonham apud London ceperunt, imprisonaverunt, & male tractaverunt, & ipsum sic in priso- na contra legem & consuetudinem hujus regni Angliæ diu detinuerunt, Et alia enormia ei intulerunt ad grave damnum ipsius Thomæ Bonham, & contra pacem domini Regis nunc &c. Et unde idem Thomas Bonham per Richardum Cooke attornatum suum queritur, quod prædicti Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus Bowden simul &c. decimo die Novembris, anno regni dicti Domini Regis nunc quarto, vi & armis ipsum Thomam Bonham apud London in Parochia beate Mariæ de Arcubus in warda de Cheape ceperunt, imprisonaverunt, & male tractaverunt, & ipsum ibidem sic in priso- na diu, videlicet, per spatium septem dierum, contra legem & consuetudinem hujus regni Angl' detinuerunt, & alia enormia &c. ad grave damnum &c. Et contra pacem &c. unde dicit quod deterioratus est & damnum habet ad valentiam prescentarum librarum, & inde producit sectam &c.

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

Et prædicti Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus Bowden, per Franciscum Barker attornatum suum, venerunt & defend' vim & injuriam quando &c. & quoad venire vi & armis dicunt, quod ipsi in nullo sunt inde culpabiles, Et de hoc ponunt se super patriam, Et prædictus Thomas Bonham similiter. Et, quoad resid' transgressionis & imprisonment' prædictorum superius fieri supposit', iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus Bowden dicunt, quod prædict' Thomas Bonham actionem suam prædictam versus eos habere non debet, quia dic', quod ante prædictum tempus quo supponitur transgr' & imprisonmentum prædict' fieri, dominus Henricus nuper Rex Angliæ octavus vicesimo tertio die Septembris, anno regni sui 10 per literas suas patentes quas iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus Bowden, magno sigillo suo Angliæ sigillat', gerent' dat' apud Westmonaster' eisdem die & anno, hic in Curia proferunt, recitando: Cum regii officii sui munus arbitrabatur ditionis hominum felicitati omni ratione consulere, id autem vel imprimis fore si improborum conatibus tempestive occurreret, apprime necessarium duxit improborum quoque hominum, qui medicinam magis avaritiæ suæ causa quam ullius bonæ conscientiae fiducia profitebuntur, unde rudi & credulæ plebi plurima incommoda oriuntur, audaciam comescere: Itaque partim bene institutarum civitatum in Italia & aliis multis nationib' exempl' imitati, partim gravium virorum Doctorem Johannis Chambre, Thomæ Linacre, Ferdinandi de Victoria, medicorum suorum, Nicholai Halfswell, Johannis Francisci, & Roberti Yaxley medicorum, ac præcipue Reverendissimi in Christo patris ac dom' Dom' Thomæ tituli sanctæ Ciciiliæ trans Tiberim Rom' sacrosanctæ ecclesiæ præbyteri Cardinalis Eboracensis Archiepisc', & reg' sui Angl' Cancell' chariss' precibus inclinat', collegiu' perpetu' Doctor' & gravium virorum qui medicinam in urbe sua Lond' & suburb', intraque septem millia passuum ab ea urbe quaquavers', publice exercerent, institui voluit & imperavit; quibus tum sui honoris, tum publicæ utilitatis nomine, curæ, ut speravit, esset, malitiosorum quorum meminerit inscitiam temeritatemque, tam exemplo gravitateque sua deterrere, quam per leges suas dudum editas, ac per constitutiones per idem collegium condendas punire, quæ quo facilius rite peragi potuissent, memoratis Doctoribus Johanni Chambre, Thomæ Linacre, Ferdinando de Victoria, medicis suis, Nich' Halfswell, Johanni Francisco & Roberto Yaxley medicis, concessit, quod ipsi omnesque homines ejusd' facultatis, de & in civitate prædicta essent in re & nomine unum corpus & commu' perpetua sive collegium

collegium perpetuum, & quod eadem communitas five collegium singulis annis in perpetuum eligere possent & facere de communitate illa aliquem providum virum & in facultate medicinæ expertum in præidentem illius collegii five communitatis, ad supervidendum, recognoscendum, & gubernandum, pro illo anno, collegium five communitatem prædictam, & omnes homines ejusdem facultatis, & negotia eorundem. Et quod idem præsidens & collegium five communitas haberent successionem perpetuam, & commune sigillum negotiis prædictæ communitatis & præidentis in perpetuum serviturum: Et quod ipsi & successores sui in perpetuum essent personæ habiles & capaces ad perquirend' & possidend' in feodo & perpetuitate terras, tenement', redditus, & alias possessiones quascunque. Concessit etiam eis & successoribus suis pro se & hæredibus suis, quod ipsi & successores sui potuissent perquirere sibi & successoribus suis, tam in dicta urbe quam extra, terras & tenementa quæcunque ad annum valorem duodecem librarum non excedent', statuto de alienatione ad manum mortuam non obstant': Et quod ipsi per nomina præidentis & collegii five communitat' facultatis medicinæ London placitare & implacitari potuissent coram quibuscunque iudicibus in curiis & actionibus quibuscunque: Et quod prædictus præidens & collegium five communitas, & eorum successores, congregationes licitas & honestas de seipsis, ac statuta & ordinationes pro salubri gubernatione, supervisu, & correctione collegii seu communitatis præd', & omnium hominum eandem facultatem in dict' civitate seu per septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem civitatis exercentium, secundum necessitatis exigentiam (quoties & quando opus fuerit) facere valeant licite & impune sine impedimento dict' nuper Regis, hæredum vel successorum suorum, Justiciarium, Escaetorum, vicecomitum, & aliorum ballivorum, vel ministrorum suorum, hæredum vel successorum suorum quorumcunque: Concessit etiam eisdem præidenti collegio five communitati & successoribus suis, quod nemo in dicta civitate aut per septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem exercent dictam facultatem nisi ad hoc per dictum præidentem & communitatem, seu successores eorum qui pro tempore fuerint admissus sit per ejusd' præidentis & collegii literas sigillo suo communi sigillat', sub pœna centum solidorum pro quolibet mense, quo non admissus eandem facultatem exercuerit, dimidium inde domino Regi & hæred' suis, & dimid' dict' præident' & collegio applicand': Præterea voluit & concessit pro se & successor' suis (quantum in se fuit) quod per præident' & collegium præd' communitatis pro tempore existent' & eorum successores imperpetu', quatuor singulis annis per ipsos eligerent' qui haberent supervisum & scrutinium, correctione,

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

& gubernationem, omnium & singulorum dictæ civitatis medicorum, utentium facultat' medicinæ in eadem civitate, ac aliorum medicorum forinsecorum quorumcunque facultatem illam medicinæ aliquo modo frequentantium & utentium infra eandem civitatem & suburbia ejusdem, sive infra septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem civitatis, ac punitionem eorundem pro delictis suis in non bene exequend', faciend', & utend' illa: Necnon supervisum & scrutinium omnium medicinarum & earum receptionem per dictos medicos seu aliquem eorum hujusmodi ligeis dicti nuper Regis pro eorum infirmitatibus curand' & sanand', dand', imponend', & utend', quoties & quando opus fuerit, pro commodo & utilitat' eorundem ligeorum dicti nuper Regis: Ita quod punitio eorundem medicorum utentium dicta facultate medicinæ sic in præmissis delinquentium per fines, amerciamenta, & imprisonment' corporum suorum, & per alias vias rationabiles & congruas exequeretur: Voluit etiam & concessit pro se hæredibus & successoribus suis, quantum in se fuit, quod nec præsidens nec aliquis de collegio prædict' medicorum, nec successores sui, nec eorum aliquis, exercens facultatem illam quoquomodo in futur', infra civitatem prædictam & suburbia ejusdem seu alibi, summoneretur aut poneretur, neque eorum aliquis summoneretur aut poneretur in aliquibus Affisis, Juratis, inquestis, inquisitionib', attinctis, & aliis recognitionibus infra dictam civitatem & suburbia ejusdem in posterum coram Majore vel vicecomit' seu coronatoribus dictæ civitatis pro tempore existent' capiend', aut per aliquem officiarium seu ministrum, vel officarios sive ministros suos, licet iidem Juratæ, inquisitiones, seu recognitiones summonit' fuerunt super breve vel brevibus dicti nuper Regis, vel hæred' suorum de rec', sed quod dicti magistri sive gubernatores ac communitas facultat' prædict' & successores sui & eorum quilibet, dictam facultatem exercens versus eundem nuper Regem hæredes & successores suos, ac versus Majorem & vic' civitatis prædict' pro tempore existent', & quoscunque officarios & ministros suos, forent inde quieti & exonerarentur in perpetuum, prout per easdem literas patentes inter alia plenius apparet. Et iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus, ulterius dicunt, quod virtute literarum patentium prædictarum prædicti Johannes Chambre, Thomas Linacre, Ferdinand de Victoria, Nicholaus Halfwell, Johannes Franciscus, & Robertus Yaxley, medici, & omnes homines ejusdem facultatis in civitate prædicta fuerunt unum corpus & communitas perpetua sive collegium perpetuum. Posteaq; per quendam Actum in Parlamento dicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi tent' apud London quinto decimo die April' anno Regni sui quarto decimo, & abinde adjournat' usque
Westmon'

Westmon' in com' Middlesex ult' die Julii anno regni ejusd' nuper Regis quinto decimo, & ibidem tunc tent', inter alia inactat' fuit autoritate ejusd' Parliamenti, quod pro eo quod confectio prædict' corporationis medicor' fuit meritoria & valde bona pro repub' hui' regni Angl', & præterea expediens & necessarium fuit providere, quod nulla persona prædicti corporis politici & communitatis prædict' permetteretur exercere & præficare medicinam, Anglice *to practice Physick*, sed tantummodo tales personæ quæ essent profundæ & modestæ, Anglice *sad and discreet*, profunde literar' & maxime studiosæ in arte medicinæ, Anglice *groundly learned and deeply studied in Physick*: In consideratione cui', & pro ulteriori autoritat' prædictar' literarum paten', ac etiam pro amplificatione & elargiam'to ulterior' articulorum pro prædicta repub' habend' & fiend', per dictum nuper regem cum consensu dominor' spiritualium & temporalium & communit' in eod' Parlamento assemblat' inactat' existit, inter alia, quod prædicta corporatio prædict' communitat' sodalitat' facultatis artis medicinæ præd', & omnis & quælibet concessio, articulus, & alia res, content' & specificat' in prædictis literis patent', approbarentur, concederentur, ratificarentur, & confirmarentur, in prædicto actu, & clare autorisarentur & admitterentur per eundem, bona, legal', & valid' Anglice *available*, prædicto corpori incorporat' & suis successor' in perpetuum, in tam amplo & largo modo prout poterit acceptari, cogitari, & construi per easdem literas patentes: Et ulterius inactat', ordinat', & stabilit' existit per dictum actum, quod prædictæ sex personæ in prædict' literis paten' nominat' ut principales & primæ nominat' de prædict' communitat' & sodalitate eligerent eisdem duos alios ejusdem communitatis, qui extunc imposterum vocarentur & nominarentur electi: Et quod prædict' electi annuatim eligerent unum eorundem fore præfident' præd' communitatis, ac quoties aliqui loci prædictorum elect' contingerent fore vacui per mortem aut aliter, tunc superius prædict' elect', infra trigint' vel quadraginta dies proxim' post mortem eorundem, aut alicujus eorum, eligerent nominarent & admitterent unum vel plures, prout necessitas requireret, de maxime eruditis & expertis hominib' de & in præd' facultate in London supplere, Anglice *to supply*, locum & numerum octo personarum, ita quod ipse vel ipsi qui sic eligeretur pri' examinaretur stricte per prædictos supervisors secundum formam devisatam per prædict' elect', ac etiam per prædictos supervisors approbarentur, prout per eundem actum, inter alia, plenius apparet. Et iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johan' Argent, Johan' Taylor, & Willihelmus, ulterius dicunt, quod postea & ante præd' tempus quo &c. per quend' alium actum in Parlamento dominæ *Maria* nuper Regina Angliæ, vicesimo quarto die Octobris anno regni sui primo,

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

primo, apud Westm' prædict', recitando qd' cum in Parliam' tent' apud London quinto decimo die Aprilis, an. Regni domini H. nuper Reg' Angliæ octavi quarto decimo, & ab inde adjournat' usque Westm' ultimo die Junii ann' Regni ejusdem regis quinto decimo, & ibidem tent', inactitat' fuit, quod quædam concessio per literas paten' de incorporatione fact' & concess. per prædict' nuper Regem medicis London & omnes clausulæ & articuli content' in ead' concessione approbarent', concederentur, ratificarentur, & confirmarentur per præd' Parliament', in consideratione cujus inactitat' fuit auctoritat' ejusdem Parliam', quod prædict' statut' & act' Parliam' in omnib' articulis & clausulis in eod' content', extunc impostera starent & continuarent in pleno robore, vi & effect', aliquo statut', lege, consuetudin', aut aliqua re fact', habit', vel nstiat' in contrarium in aliquo non obstante. Et pro meliori reformatione diversorum enormium contingent' reipublicæ per malum usum & indebitam administrationem medicinarum, Anglice *Physick*, & pro amplificatione & elargitione, Anglice *the enlarging*, ultimorum articulorum, pro meliori executione rerum in prædict' concessione contentarum, ulterius inactitat' fuit, quod quocumque præsidens Collegii aut communitas facultat' medicin' London pro tempore existen', vel tales quos prædictus præsidens & Collegium annuatim secundum tenorem & intentionem ejusdem actus autorisarent scrutare, examinare, corrigere, & punire omnes offensores & transgressores in prædicta facultate infra prædict' civitat', & præcinct' in præd' act' express. mitterent vel committerent talem offensorem vel offensores, pro ejus vel eorum offens. vel inobedientia, Anglice *disobedience*, contra aliquem articulum vel clausulam content' in præd' concess. vel act', alicui Gardo, Anglice *to any Ward*, Gaol', vel Prisonæ infra prædict' civitat' & præcinct' prædict' (Turre London except') quod tunc & de tempore in tempus Gardiani, Gaolatores, & Custodes gard', gaolæ, & prisonarum infra civitat' aut præcinct' prædict' (except' præexcept') reciperent in ejus vel eorum prisonas omnes & quemlibet talem personam & personas sic offenderent' quæ sic mitterentur vel committerentur eo vel eis, ut præfertur; & ibidem salvo custodirent personam vel personas sic commiss. in aliquam eorum prisonam, ad propria custagiâ & onera prædict' personæ vel personarum sic commiss. sine ballio vel manucaptione, quousque talis offensor & offensores vel inobedientes, Anglice *Disobedients*, exonerarentur de prædict' imprisonament' per prædict' præsident' & tales personas qui per prædictum Collegium autorisarentur, sub pœna quod quilibet talis gardianus, gaolator, vel custos, in contrarium faciens, perderet & forisfaceret duplicem talis finis & amerciamenti, qual' talis offensor, offensores aut inobedientes assessarentur solvere per tales qual'

qual' præd' præfidens & Collegium authorisarentur ut præferatur: Ita quod iidem finis & amerciamen' non esset ad aliquod tempus ultra summam viginti librarum, medietas quarum applicaretur, Anglice *to be employed*, ad usum dictæ nuper Reginæ hæredum & successorum suorum, & altera medietas prædict' præfident' & Collegio: quæ omnia forisfact' recuperentur per actionem debit', billam, querelam, vel informationem, in aliquibus dict' nuper Regin' hæredum & successor' suorum cur' de record' vers' aliquem talem gardianum, gaolatorum, aut servatorem sic delinquent', in qua fact' null' effson', legis vadiacio, vel protectio allocaretur, nec admitteretur pro defendent'. Et ulterius inactitat' fuit autoritate ejusdem Parlamenti, quod omnes Justiciarii, Majores, vicecom', ballivi, constabularii, & alii ministri, & officarii infr' civitat' & præcinct' prædict', super requisitionem eis fiendam, adjuvant, auxiliarent, & assisterent præfident' prædicti Collegii & omnes personas per eos de tempore in tempus autorisat', pro debit' executione prædicti actus vel statuti, sub pœna pro non dand' auxilium currere in contempt' dictæ nuper Reginæ hæred' vel successor' suor', prout per eundem actum inter alia plenius apparet. Et iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus, ulterius dicunt, quod virtute literarum paten' prædictarum ac vigore statutorum prædictorum, quidam Thomas Langton in medicinis Doctor, vir providus & in facultate medicinæ expertus, & adtunc unus de communitate Collegii medicorum in London prædict', & unus adtunc octo elector' Collegii prædicti adtunc existent', ante prædict' tempus quo &c. scil' tricesimo die Septembris anno domini Millesimo Sexcentesimo quinto, apud Collegium medicor' scituat' in London in Parocia sanctæ Benedictæ Paules Wharfe in Ward' de Baynards Castle, præfident' Collegii præd' debit' elect' & præfict' fuit in Officium præfident' Collegii prædicti, & officio præfident' Collegii prædict' adtunc & ibidem fungebatur: ipsoque Thom. Langton præfident' Collegii prædicti existent', iidem præfident' & communitas Collegii prædicti, eodem tricesimo die Septembris an' domini Millesimo sexcentesimo quinto supradict', apud Collegium prædict', elegerunt Radulphum Wilkenfon, Willihelmus Dun, Rich. Palmer, & Johannem Argent, viros providos & in facultate medicinæ expert' & adtunc quatuor de Collegio prædicto existen' doctores, fore quatuor censes sive gubernatores communitatis prædict' ad supervidend' & scrutand' corrigendum & gubernandum omnes & singulos dictæ civitatis medicos utentes facultate medicinæ in eadem civitat', ac alios medicos forincecos quoscunque facultatem illam medicinæ aliquo modo frequentant' & utent' infra eandem civitatem & suburbia ejusdem, sive infra septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

ejusdem civitatis, ac ad puniendum eorum delicta in non bene exequendo, faciendo, & utendo illa, Nec non supervidend & scrutand' omnimodas medicinas, & earum receptiones peccat' medicos seu aliquem eorundem pro infirmitatibus curand', quoties opus fuerit, & ad puniend' eosdem medicos utentes dicta facultate medicinæ in præmissis delinquentes per fines, amerciamenta, & imprisonamenta corporum suorum, & alias vias rationabiles & congruas, secundum formam & effect' literarum patentium prædictar' & statutorum prædictor': Ipsoque Thomas Langton præfidente Collegii prædict' existens, ac prædict' Radulpho Wilkenfon, Willihelmo Dun, Rich. Palmer, & Johanne Argent, quatuor censoribus five gubernatoribus Collegii præd' similiter existens, prædictus Tho. Bonham infra præd' tempus quo &c. scil. decimo die Aprilis an. domini Millefimo sexcentesimo sexto infra London prædict' in prædict' Parochia beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Ward' de Cheape prædict', contra formam & effect' literarum patent' prædict' & prædict' statutorum fact' in prædict' parlamento prædicti Regis Henrici octavi, exercebat artem medicinæ, Anglice **did practice Physick**, non admissus per literas prædict' præfident' & Collegii sigillo eorum communi sigillat', ubi revera prædictus Tho. Bonham fuit minus sufficiens ad artem medicinæ exercend', Anglice **was insufficient to practice Physick**, ratione cujus prædictus Thomas Bonham postea, scil. tertiodecimo die Aprilis an. domini Millefimo sexcentesimo sexto, apud London in parochia & Ward' prædict', summ' fuit per prædictos censes five gubernatores Collegii prædict' ad comparand' coram præfidente & censoribus five gubernatoribus Collegii prædicti apud Collegium præd', in parochia & Ward' præd', quarto decimo die Aprilis an. domini Millefimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict' tunc proxim' sequen' super præmis. examinand'; ad quem quid' quartum decimum diem April' an. dom' Millefimo sexcentesimo sexto suprad' apud Collegium præd' venit præd' Thomas Bonham in propria persona sua coram præfat' præfident' & censoribus five gubernatoribus prædictis, & adtunc & ibidem examinatus fuit de scientia sua in facultate sua in medicinis administrand' per prædictos censes five gubernatores Collegii prædicti, & quia prædictus Thomas Bonham sic examinatus minus apte & insufficienter in prædicta arte medicinæ respondebat, adtunc & ibidem super examinationem prædict' invent' fuit per prædict' præfident' & censes five gubernatores Collegii prædict' min' sufficiens & inexpert' ad artem medicinæ administrand', ac pro eo quod prædictus Thomas Bonham multocius examinatus & interdicit' per præfident' & censes five gubernatores prædict' existens ex causis prædictis ad artem medicinæ administrand', per unum mensem & amplius post talem interdictionem facultatem illam infra London prædict'

prædict' in præd' parochia beatæ Mariæ de arcubus in Ward' de Cheape præd' sine licentia prædictor' præsent' & Collegii sub eorum communi sigillo, contra formam literarum patentium & Statut' prædict', exercebat, adtunc & ibidem conf. fuit per prædictos Præsentem & censores sive gubernatores Collegii prædicti, quod prædict' Thomas Bonham pro inobedientia & contemptu suis prædict' amerciaretur ad centum solidos in proximis comitiis prædictorum præsentis & Collegii apud Collegium prædictum perfolvendos: Et adtunc & ibid. conf. & mandatum fuit eidem Thomæ Bonham per eosdem præsent' & censores sive gubernatores Collegii prædicti, quod prædict' Thomas Bonham deinceps abstineret a praxi, Anglice *practising Physick*, infra prædict' civitat' London & suburbia inde & septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem civitatis quousque prædict' Tho. Bonham inventus foret sufficiens & admissus foret ad præctizand' prædictam artem medicinæ infra civitatem & circuitum prædict' per præsentem & Collegium præd', sub pœna conjiciend' in carcerem, si in præmissis, ut prædictum est, delinquerit. Et iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thom. Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus Bowden, ulterius dic', qd' postea & ante prædict' tempus quo &c. scil. primo die Octobris an. domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradicto, apud Collegium prædict' in London prædict' in parochia & Ward' prædict' prædictus Thomas Langton in medicin' doctor vir providus & in facultate medicinæ expertus, & adtunc unus de communitate Collegii medicorum in London prædict' & unus adtunc elect' Collegii præd' adtunc existen', apud Collegium prædict' elect' & præfect' fuit in officium præsentis Collegii præd' pro uno anno tunc proxim' sequen', & officio præsentis Collegii præd' adtunc & ibidemungebatur. Ipsoque Thom. Langton præsent' Collegii præd' existen' iidem præsentis & Communitas Collegii præd' eod' primo die Octob. an. domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto suprad' apud Collegium præd' eligerunt præd' Georgium Turner, Tho. Moundeford, Will' Dun, & Johan' Argent doctores, viros providos & in facultate medicinæ expertos & adtunc quatuor de Collegio præd' existen', fore quatuor censores sive gubernatores Collegii præd' ad supervidend' & scrutand' corrigend' & gubernand' omnes & singulos dict' civitatis medicos utentes facultate medicin' in ead' civitat' ac alios medicos forinsecos quoscunque facultat' illam medicin' aliquo modo frequentant' & utent' infra eandem civitat' & suburbia ejusd' civitatis sive infra septem milliaria in circuitu ejusd' civitatis, ac puniend' eorum delicta in non bene exequendo faciend' & utendo illa, nec non supervidend' & scrutandum omnimodas medicinas & receptiones per dictos medicos exercen' præd' facultat' medicinæ infra civitat' London præd' & circuit' præd'

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

præd', seu aliquem eorundem, pro infirmitatibus curandis, quoties opus fuerit, administrand', ac ad puniend' eisdem medicos utent' dicta facultate medicinæ in præmiss. delinquent' per fines, amerciamenta, & imprisonament' corporum suorum & alias vias rationabiles & congruas secundum form' & effect' literarum patent' & statut' præd': Ipsiq; Thoma Langton prædict' Collegii prædict' existen', ac prædict' Georgio Turner, Thoma Moundeford, Willihel' Dun, & Johanne Argent, quatuor censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii prædict' similiter existen', præd' Tho. Bonham ante prædict' tempus quo &c. scil. vicesimo die Octobris an. domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict' infra London prædict' viz. in præd' parochia beat' Mariæ de arcub' in Ward' de Cheap prædict' exercebat artem medicinæ, Anglice *did practise phisick*, contra formam prædictarum literarum patent' & prædict' statut' & prædict' interdictionem & mandat' prædictorum præsident' & censorum: posteaque, scil. eodem vicesimo die Octobris an. domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict', prædict' Thomas Bonham apud London in prædicta parochia beatæ Mariæ de arcubus in Ward' de Cheape prædict' summonit' fuit per præfat' censesores sive gubernatores Collegii prædicti ad comparend' coram prædict' & censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii prædict' apud Collegium prædictum in parochia & Ward' prædict' vicesimo secundo die ejusdem mensis Octobris super præmiss. examinand', ad quem quidem vicesimum secundum diem Octobris an. domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict', ad comitia Collegii prædicti tent' apud Collegium illud apud London prædict' in parochia & Ward' prædict', postea scil. eod' vicesimo secundo die Octobris anno domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict' coram prædictis Georgio Turner, Willihelmo Dun, Thoma Moundeford, & Johanne Argent, adtunc censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii prædict', pro eo quod prædictus Thomas Bonham, per prædictos censesores sive gubernatores Collegii prædicti ut præfertur admonitus ad comparend' ad Collegium prædict' coram prædict' & censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii prædict' ad prædict' vicesimum secundum diem Octobris eod' die non comparuit, adtunc & ibidem conf. fuit per eisdem censesores sive gubernatores Collegii prædicti, qd' præd' Thomas Bonham pro inobedientia & contemptibus suis amerciaretur ad decem libras, & quod prædict' Thomas Bonham ex causis prædict' arrestaretur & in custod' traderet. Et iidem Henricus, Georgius, Thomas Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus, ulterius dic', quod postea & ante præd' tempus quo &c. scil. vicesimo quarto die Octob' an. dom' Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict', prædictus Thomas Langton præsidens Collegii præd' apud London in prædict' parochia beatæ Mariæ de arcubus

in Ward' de Cheape prædict' obiit, post ejus mortem, & ante præd' tempus quo &c. scilicet, vicesimo quinto die Octobris Anno Domini millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradict', idem Henricus Atkins vir provid' & in facultate medicinæ expertus, & unus de communitate Collegii præd', & unus adtunc octo electorum Collegii præd', adtunc existen', apud Collegium præd' infra London præd' in parochia & ward' præd' debite elect' & præfect' fuit in officium præfidentis Collegii præd' pro uno anno integro tunc proxime sequen', & officio præfident' præd' Collegii adtunc & adhuc fungebatur: Ipsaque Hen' Atkins præfident' Collegii præd' existen', ac præd' Georgio Turner, Willihelmo Dun, Thom' Moundeford, & Johanne Argent, censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii prædict' existen', ad comitia Collegii præd' tenta apud Collegium prædict' infra London prædict' in parochia & ward' prædict' septimo die Novembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo sexto supradicto coram præf Hen' Atkins, adtunc præfident' Colleg' præd' & præd' Georg' Turner, Will' Dun, Tho' Moundeford, & Johann' Argent, adtunc censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii præd', ven' præd' Tho' Bonham in propria persona sua; de quo quidem Tho' Bonham, cum præd' Henricus Atkins, adtunc præfidentis Collegii, & prædict' Georg' Turner, Will' Dun, Tho' Moundeford, & Jo' Argent, adtunc censores sive gubernatores Collegii præd' quærebant num vellet Collegio præd' satisfacere pro inobedientia & contemptibus suis præd' & iterum se examinand' subjicere & Collegii præd' censuræ parere, Et prædict' Tho' Bonham, adtunc & ibidem respondebat, se ante tunc infra London prædict' fecisse & exercuisse, & extunc imposterum infra London prædict' facere & exercere velle medicinam, nulla de Collegio prædicto petit' venia, neque se in ulla re præfident' & censoribus sive gubernatoribus Collegii prædict' obtemperare velle, & adtunc & ibid' affirmans prædict' præfident' & censores sive gubernatores prædict' nullam habere autoritat' in eos qui Academia doctores facti essent; per qd' iidem censores sive gubernatores, pro offensis & inobedientia præd', adtunc & ibid' ordinaverunt & decreverunt, qd' præd' Tho. Bonham in carcerem mandaretur ib' remansurus quousq; abinde per præfident' & censores sive gubernatores Collegii præd' pro tempore existen' deliberaretur, prout per præd' literas paten' & statut' ordinat' & stabilit' est; & adtunc & ibid' quoddam warrant' suum sigillo communi Collegii sive communitatis præd' sigillat' & custod' prisonæ dom' Regis in Computatorio Lond' in le Poultrie in parochia sanctæ Mildredæ in Ward' de Cheape directum fecerunt, mand' per idem warrant' præfat' custodi' prisonæ præd', qd' idem custos prisonæ præd' reciperet corpus præd' Tho. Bonham, & eum in prisona dicti dom' Regis
ibidem

ibidem salvo custod' absque ballio five manucaptione, ad ipsi' Tho. Bonham propria custag' & onera donec prædictus Thomas Bonham, per præcept' eorundem præsidens & censorum five gubernatorum prædict' aut successorum eorum, liberatus esset; quem quidem Tho. Bonham pro offensis & inobedientiis suis prædict' una cum warrant' prædict' in forma prædict' fact' idem Henricus Atkins, adtunc præsidens Collegii prædicti existens, & prædicti Georgius Turner, Willihelmus Dun, Tho. Moundford, & Johannes Argent, adtunc quatuor censores five gubernatores Collegii prædicti existens, virtut' literarum paten' ac statutorum prædictorum, ac prædicti Willihelmus Bowden & Johannes Taylor, ut servientes prædictorum Hen. Atkins, præsidens, & Georgii, Willihelmi Dun, Thomæ Moundford, & Johannis Argent, & per ipsorum præsidens & quatuor censorum five gubernatorum prædictorum præcept' præd' tempore quo &c. cuidam Ric. Ware adtunc custodi prisonæ dicti domini Regis computatorii prædict' apud London in prædicta parochia sanct' Mildredæ virginis in Poultry in Ward' de Cheape prædict' commiserunt & deliberaverunt salvo custod' secund' formam & effect' literarum paten' & statutorum præd', prout eis benelicuit, quæ quidem commissio prædict' Thomæ Bonham ex causis prædict' in form' prædict' fact' est ead' transgressio & imprisonamentum unde prædict' Tho. Bonham superi' se modo queritur. Et hoc parati sunt verificare, unde petunt iudicium si præd' Thomas Bonham actionem suam prædict' versus eos habere debeat &c.

Et prædictus Thomas Bonham dic', quod ipse per aliqua præallegat' ab actione sua prædicta habend' præcludi non debet, quia protestand' quod ipse idem Thomas Bonham, non fuit min' sufficiens, nec fuit inventus per prædictos præsidens & censores five gubernatores Collegii prædicti minus sufficiens ad artem medicin' exercend' vel administrand', Anglice *to practice Physick*, nec minus apte & insufficient' præfat' præsidens & censoribus five gubernatoribus prædict' Collegii in prædicta arte medicinæ respondebat, prout præd' Henricus Atkins, Georgius Turner, Thomas Moundford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelm' Bowden, superius allegaverunt, pro placito idem Thomas Bonham dic', quod per prædictum actum in prædicto Parlamento prædicti nuper Regis Henrici octavi tent' apud London prædict' quinto decimo die Aprilis anno regni sui quarto decimo, & abinde adjournat' usque Westm' in prædict' com' Middlesex usque ultimum diem Julii an. regni ejusdem Regis quinto decimo, & ibid' tunc tent' ulterius inactitat' fuit autoritate ejusdem Parl' quod cum in diocef. Angl' extra Lond' tunc non fuit verisimile invenire semper homines habiles ad sufficient' examinand' secund' præd' statut' tales qui admitterentur ad exercend' medicin'

cin' in eisd', Nulla persona imposterus permetteretur exercere in medicin' per Angliam, Anglice *through England*, quousq; eadem person' examinaretur apud London per prædict' præfident' & tres præd' elector', & haberet de præd' præfident' & elect' l'teras testimoniales de eorum approbatione & examinatione, nisi ipse foret Graduat'us, Anglice a *Graduate*, Oxoniæ vel Cantabridgiæ qui adimpleviffet omnia, Anglice *had accomplished all Things*, pro forma sua absque aliqua gratia. Et ulterius idem Thomas Bonham dicit, quo ipse idem Thomas secundo die Julii anno dom' Milleffimo quingentefimo nonagesimo quinto, in alma Academia Cantabr' præd', fufcepit gradum & dignitatem doctoris in medicinis, & adtunc & ibid', fcilicet, eodem secundo die Julii anno domini Milleffimo quingentefimo nonages. quinto fuprad' in Academia prædict' apud Cantabridg' præd' in Com' Cantabr' rite & legitime ordinatus & factus fuit graduatus, Anglice a *Graduate*, Academiae prædictæ, viz. doctor in medicin', fecund' leges, ftatuta, constitutiones, & ordinationes dictæ Academiae Cantabr' præd', quodque ipse idem Thomas Bonham adtunc & ibidem adimpleviffet omnia, Anglice *had accomplished all Things*, concernen' gradum fuum præd' pro forma sua absque gratia de tempore in tempus fecund' leges, ftatuta, constitutiones, & ordinationes dictæ Academiae Cantabridg' prædict', quorum prætexu idem Tho. Bonham graduatus Academiae Cantabr' præd', viz. Doct' in medicin' in forma prædict' existens, qui adimpleviffet omnia, Anglice *had accomplished all Things*, concernen' gradum fuum præd' pro forma sua absq; aliqua gratia, dict' facultat' medicin' de tempore in tempus in dicta civitat' London, viz. in præd' parochia beatæ Mariæ de arcub' in Ward' de Cheape prædict' exercuit, prout ei bene licuit, quousque prædict' Hen' Atkins, Georgius Turner, Tho. Moundeford, Johan' Argent, Joh' Taylor, & Willihel' Bowden fimul &c. præd' decimo die Novembris an. quarto fupradict' vi & armis ipfum Thom. Bonham, apud Lond' præd' in præd' parochia beatæ Mariæ de arcubus in Ward' de Cheape, ceperunt, imprifonaverunt, & ipfum ibid' fic in prifona diu, videlicet, per spatium feptem dierum contra legem & conf. hujus. regni Angl' detinuerunt, prout præd' Thomas Bonham fuperius verfus eos queritur. Et hoc parat' est verifcare, unde ex quo præd' Hen' Atkins, Georgius Turner, Tho. Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihel' Bowden, tranfgr' & imprifonamentum præd' fuperius cogn', idem Thomas Bonham petit judicium & damna fua ratione tranfgr' & imprifonamenti prædictorum fibi adjudicari &c. Et præd' Hen' Atkins, Georgius Turner, Tho. Moundeford, Johan' Argent, Johan' Taylor, & Willihel' Bowden dic', qd' præd' placit' præd' Tho. Bonham fuperius replicand' placitat' minus fufficiens in lege exiftit ad action' præd' Tho. Bonham præd' verf. ipfos Hen.

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

Atkins, Georgius Turner, Thom. Moundeford, Johan' Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelm' Bowden manutened', quodq; ipsi ad placitum illud modo & forma præd' replicand' placitat' necesse non habent, nec per legem terræ tenentur respondere. Et hoc parati sunt verificare, unde per' judicium, & quod præd' Tho. Bonham ab actione sua præd' vers' eos habend' præcludat' &c. Et præd' Tho. Bonham, ex quo ipse sufficient' materiam in lege ad actionem suam præd' versus præd' Henricum, Georgium, Tho. Moundeford, Johan' Argent, Johannem Taylor, & Willihelmum Bowden, manutened' superi' allegavit, quam ipse paratus est verificare, quam quidem materiam præd' Henricus, Georgius, Tho. Moundeford, Johannes Argent, Johannes Taylor, & Willihelmus Bowden non deducunt, nec ad eam aliquo modo respond', sed verificationem illam admittere omnino recusant, ut pri' petit judicium & damna sua occasione transgressionis & imprisonmenti prædictor' sibi adjudicari &c. Et quia Justiciarii hic se advisare volunt de & super præmis. prædict' unde partes præd' posuerunt se ad judicium curiæ præd' priusquam judicium inde reddant, dies dat' est partib' præd' hic usq; in Oñabis sancti Hillarii ad audiend' inde judicium suum, eo quo iidem Justic' hic inde nondum &c.

Hill.

Hill. 7 Jacobi Regis.

Dr. BONHAM's Case.

THOMAS Bonham, Dr. in Philosophy and Physick, ^{4 Inst. 257.} brought an Action of false Imprisonment against Henry Atkins, George Turner, Thomas Moundeford, and John Argen- ^{2 Brownl. 255.} gent, Drs. in Physick, and John Taylor, and William Bowden Yeomen; for that the Defendants, the 10 Nov. Anno 4 Jacobi, did imprison him, and detain him in Prison seven Days. The Defendants pleaded the Letters Patents of King H. 8. bearing Date 23 Septemb. Anno 10 of his Reign, by which he recites, (a) *Quod cum regii officii sui munus arbitrabatur ditionis suae hominum felicitati omni ratione consulere, id autem vel imprimis fore si improborum conatibus tempestivo occurreret, &c.* And by the same Letters Patents, the King granted to John Chambre, Thomas Linacre, Ferdinando de Victoria, John Halswel, John Frances, and Robert Taxley, quod *ipsi omnesque homines ejusdem facultatis de & in civitate London sint in re & nomine unum corpus & communitas perpetua, per nomen Presidentis & Collegii, sive communitatis facultatis medicinae London, &c.* And that they might make Meetings and Ordinances, &c. But the Case at Bar doth principally consist on two Clauses in the Charter. The first, *Concessimus etiam eisdem Presidenti & Collegio seu Communitati & successoribus suis, quod nemo in dicta Civitate, aut per septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem, exerceat dictam facultatem Medicinæ, nisi ad hoc per dicti Presidenti & Comuniti seu successores suos, qui pro tempore fuerint, admittus sit per ejusdem presidentis & Collegii Literas sigillo suo communi sigillat sub pœna centum*

tum solidorum pro quo libet mense quo non admissus eandem facultatem exercuerit, dimid' inde Dom' Regi & heredibus suis, & dimidium dicti Praesidenti & Collegio applicand' &c. The second Clause is, which immediately follows in these Words, *Præterea volui & concessit pro se & successoribus suis, quantum in se fuit, quod per præsentem & Collegium Prædicti Communitat' pro tempore existit & eorum successores imperpetuum, quatuor singulis annis per ipsos eligerent' qui haberent supervisum & scrutinium, correctionem & gubernationem omnium & singulorum dicti Civitatis Medicorum, utentium facultat' medicina in eadem Civitate, ac aliorum Medicorum forinsecorum quorumcunque facultatem illam Medicina aliquo frequentantium & utentium infra eandem Civitatem & suburbia ejusdem, sive infra septem milliaria in circuitu ejusdem Civitatis, ac punitionem eorundem pro delictis suis in non bene exequend' faciend' & uten' illa: necnon supervisum & scrutinium omnium medicinarum, & earum receptionem per dictos Medicos seu aliquem eorum hujusmodi legent' dicti nuper Regis pro eorum infirmitatibus curand' & sanand' dand' imponend' & utend' quoties & quando opus fuerit, pro commodo & utilitat' eorundem ligoorum dicti nuper Regis: Ita quod punizio eorundem Medicorum utentium dicta facultate Medicina sic in præmiss' delinquentium per fines, amerciamenta & imprisonament' corporum suorum, & per alias vias rationabiles & congruas exequeretur, prout by the said Charter plenius liquet. Et quod virtute præd' literarum patentium præd' Johan' Chambre, Tho. Linacre, &c. & omnes Homines ejusdem Facultatis in Civit' præd' fuer' unum Corpus & communitas perpet' sive Collegium perpetuum. And afterwards, by Act of Parliament made An. (a) 14 H. 8. It was enacted, That the said Corporation, and every Grant, Article, and other Things in the said Letters Patents contained and specified, should be approved, granted, ratified and confirmed, &c. in tam amplo & largo modo prout poterit acceptari, cogitari, & construi per eandem Literas Patentes. And further it was enacted, That the said six Persons named in the said Letters Patents, as Principal of the said College, should elect to them two others of the said College, who should be named Electi, and that the said Elects should choose one of them to be President, as by the said Act appears: And further, they pleaded the Act of (b) 1 Maria, by which it is enacted, *Quod quedam concessio per Literas Patentes de incorporatione facta per prædicti nuper Regem Medicis London. Et omnes clausulae & articuli contenti in eadem concessione approbarentur, concederentur, ratificarentur & confirm' per prædicti Parliam'; in consideratione cujus tractatae fuit auctoritate ejusdem Parliamenti. Quod præd' statut' & Actum Parliamenti in omnibus articulis & clausulis in eodem contenti extunc impostertum farent & continuarent in pleno robore, &c.* And further it was enacted,*

(a) 14 & 15 H. 8. cap. 5. 1 Roll. 598. 4 Inst. 251. Rastal's Physicians 3. 2 Bullt. 185. Lit. Rep. 168, 169, 172, 212, 213, 246, 247, 248, 249. 1 Jones 261. Cr. Jac. 121, 159. 160. Cr. Car. 256. Palm. 486.

(b) 1 Mar. c. 9. Rastal's Physicians 7. Lit. Rep. 169, 172, 173, 212, 213, 215, 246, 249, 350, 351. 1 Jones 263. Cr. Car. 237. Cr. Jac. 121. 4 Inst. 251. 2 Brownl. 257, 262, 263, 266.

ed, That whensoever the President of the College, or Commonalty of the Faculty of Physick of London for the Time being, or such as the said President and Collège shall yearly, according to the Tenor and Meaning of the said Act, authorize to search, examine, correct and punish all Offenders and Transgressors in the said Faculty, &c. shall send or commit any such Offendor or Offendors for his or their Offence or Disobediencie, contrary to any Article or Clause contained in the said Grant or Act, to any Ward, Gaol or Prison within the same City (the Tower of London except) that then from Time to Time the Warden, Gaoler or Keeper, &c. shall receive, &c. such Person so offending, &c. and the same shall keep at his proper Charge, without Bail or Mainprize, until such Time as such Offender or Disobedient be discharged of the said Imprisonment by the said President, and such Persons as shall be thereunto authorized, upon pain that all and every such Warden, Gaoler, &c. doing the contrary, shall lose and forfeit the double of such Fines and Amerciaments as such Offender and Offenders shall be assessed to pay, by such as the said President and Collège shall authorize as aforesaid, so that the Fine and Amerciament be not at any one Time above the Sum of 20 l. the one Moiety to the King, the other Moiety to the President and Collège, &c. And further pleaded, That the said Thomas Bonham, 10 April 1606, within London, against the Form of the said Letters Patents, and the said Acts, *exercebat artem Medicinæ non admissus per Litteras præd' Presidentis & Collegii sigillo eorum communi sigillat' ubi revera præd' Tho. Bonham fuit minus sufficiens ad artem Medicinæ exercend'.* By force of which, the said Thomas Bonham 30 April 1606. was summoned in London by the Censors or Governors of the Collège, *ad comparand' coram præd' President' & Censor' sive Gubernatorib' Collegii præd' at the Collège, &c. the 14 Day of April next following, super præmissis examinand'.* At which Day the said Thomas Bonham came before the President and Censors, and was examined by the Censors *de scientia sua in facultate sua in Medicin' administrand'.* Et quia præd' Thomas Bonham *se examinatus minus apte & insufficienter in præd' arte medicina respondebat, & inventus fuit super examinationem præd' per præd' President' & Censores minus sufficiens & inexperi' ad artem Medicinæ administrand' ac pro eo quod præd' Tho. Bonham multoties ante tunc examinatus, & interdictus per President' & Censores, de causis præd' ad artem medicina administrand' per unum mensem & amplius post talem interdictionem facultatem illam in Lond' præd' sine licentia, &c. ideo ad tunc & ibid' consideratum fuit per præd' President' & Censores, quod præd' Tho. Bonham pro inobediencia & contempti' suis præd' amerciaretur to 100 s. in proximo comitiis præd' President' & Collegii persolvend' & deinceps abstineret, &c. quousque inventus fuerit sufficiens, &c. sub pena conjiciend'*

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

consciendi in Carcerem si in pramissis delinqueret. And that the said *T. Bonham* 30 Octo. 1606. within *London* did practise Physick, and the same Day he was summoned by the Censors to appear before the President and them, 22 Octob. then next following, at which Day *Bonham* made Default: *Ideo consideratum fuit per præd' Censores*, that for his Disobedience and Contempt he should be amerced to 10 l. and that he should be arrested and committed to custody; and afterw. 7 Novemb. 1606. the said *T. Bonham*, at their Assembly came before the President and Censors, and they asked him if he would satisfy the College for his Disobedience and Contempt, and submit himself to be examined, and obey the Censure of the College, who answered, That he had practised and would practise Physick within *London nulla a Collegio petita venia*, and that he would not submit himself to the President and Censors, and affirmed, that the President and Censors had no Authority over those who were Doctors in the University; for which Cause, the said 4 Censors, *sc. Dr. Turner, Dr. Moundford, Dr. Argent,* and *Dr. Dun*, then being Censors, or Governors, *pra offensis & inobedientia præd' adtunc & ib' ordinaverunt & decreverunt, qd' præd' T. Bonham in carcerem mandaretur ib' remansur' quousque abinde per President' & censores, seu gubernatores Collegii præd' pro tempore existen' deliberaretur,* and there then by their Warrant in Writing, under their Common Seal, did commit the Plain. to the Prison of the Compter of *London*, &c. *absq; ballivo sive manucapti ad Custagia & onera ipsius T. Bonham, donec præd' T. Bonham per præcepti President' & Censor' Collegii præd' sive successor' suor' liberatus esset;* and *Dr. Atkins* then President, and the Censors, and *Bowden* and *Taylor* as their Servants, and by the Commandment of the said President and Censors, did carry the Plain. with the Warrant, to the Gaol, &c. which is the same Imprisonm. The Plain. replied and said, That by the said Act of 14 H. 8. it was further enacted, *And where that in the Diocess of England, out of London, it is not like to find alway Men able sufficiently to examine (after the Statute) such as shall be admitted to exercise Physick in them, that it may be enacted in this present Parliam. That no Person from henceforth be suffered to exercise or practise Physick through England, until such Time that he be examined at London by the said President and three of the said Elects, and to have from them Letters Testimonial of their approving and examination, Except he be a Graduate of Oxford or Cambridge, which have accomplished all Things for his Form without any Grace: and that the Plaintiff, Anno Dom. 1595. was a Graduate, sc. a Doctor in the University of Cambridge, and had accomplished all Things concerning his Degree for his Form without (a) Grace, by Force whereof he had exercised and practised Physick within the City of London until the Defend. had imprison'd him, &c. upon which the Defen. demur'd in Law. And this Case was often argued*

(a) = Brownl.
261, 262.

argued by the Serjeants at Bar in divers several Terms; and now this Term the Case was argued by the Justices, and the effect of their Arguments who argued against the Plaintiff (which was divided into three Parts) shall be first reported. The first was, Whether a Dr. of Physick of the one University or the other, be by the Letters Patents, and by the Body of the Act of 14 H. 8. restrained from practising Physick within the City of London, &c. The second was, If the Exception in the said Act of (a) 14 H. 8. has excepted him or not. (a) 14 & 15 H. 8. cap. 5. The third was, That his imprisonment was lawful for his said Disobedience. And as to the first, they relied upon the Letter of the Grant, ratified by the said Act of 14 H. 8. which is in the Negative, *sc. Nemo in dicta civitate, &c. exerceat dictam facultatem nisi ad hoc per predicti Presidentem & communitatem, &c. admissus sit, &c.* And this Proposition is a general Negative, and (b) *Generale dictum est generaliter intelligendum;* (b) 11 Co. 59. a. and *nemo* excludes all; and therefore a Doctor of the one University or the other, is prohibited within this Negative word *Nemo*. And many Cases were put where negative Statutes shall be taken *stricte & exclusiue*, which I do not think necessary to be recited here. Also they said, that the Statute of (c) 3 H. 8. c. 11. which in Effect is repealed by this Act of (c) Rastal. Physicians, 1. (d) 14 & 15 H. 8. Cambridge and Oxford, which being here left out, doth declare the Intention of the Makers of the Act, that they did intend to include them within this general Prohibition, *Nemo in dicta Civitate, &c.* As to the second Point they strongly held, That the said latter Clause, *And where that in the Diocess of England, out of London, &c.* this Clause, according to the Words, extends only to places out of London, and so much the rather, because they provided for London before, *Nemo in dicta Civitate, &c.* Also the Makers of the Act, put a Distinction betwixt those who shall be licenced to practise Physick in London, &c. for they ought to have the Admittance and Allowance of the President and College in Writing, under their common Seal; but he who shall be allowed to practise Physick throughout England, out of London, ought to be examined and admitted by the President and three of the Elects, and so they said, that it was lately adjudged in the King's Bench, in an Information exhibited against the said Dr. Bonham for practising Phys. in Lon. for divers Months. As to the third Point they said, That for his Contempt and Disobedience bef. them at their Assembly in their College, they might well commit him to pris. for they have Authority by the Let. Pat. and Act of Parl. and therof. for a Contem. or Misdem. bef. them they may commit him. Also the Act of (e) 1 M. has giv. them Power to commit them for every Offen. or Disob. *contrary to any Artic. or Clause contained in the said Grant or Act.* But there is an expre. neg. Artic. in the said Grant, and ratified by the Act of 14 H. 8. (e) 1 Mar. c. 9. Rastal's Physician 7. Lit. Rep. 169. 173, 173, 212, 213, 213, 248, 249, 350, 351, 1 Jones 263. Cr. Car. 257. Cr. Jac. 121. 4 Inst. 251. 2 Brownk. 257, 262, 263, 266. Carr. 115.

Quod Nemo in dicta Civitate, &c. exerceat, &c. and the Defendants have pleaded, that the Plaintiff had practised Physick in *London* by the Space of one Month, &c. and therefore the Act of 1 *Maria* has authorised them to imprison him in this Case; wherefore they concluded against the Plaintiff. But it was argued by *Coke* Chief Justice, *Warburton* and *Daniel* Justices of the Common Pleas, to the contrary. And *Daniel* Justice conceived, That a Doctor of Physick, of the one University or the other, &c. was not within the Body of the Act, and if he was within the Body of the Act, that he was excepted by the said latter Clause; but *Warburton* argued against him for both the Points; and the Chief Justice did not speak to those two Points, because he and *Warburton* and *Daniel* agreed, that this Action was clearly maintainable for two other Points, and therefore in this Action the Chief Justice omitted to speak to the said two Points; but to two other Points, he and the said two other Justices, *Warburton* and *Daniel*, did speak, *sc.* 1. Whether the Censors have Power, for the Causes alledged in their Barr, to fine and imprison the Plaintiff. 2. Admitting that they have Power to do it, if they have pursued their Power. But the Chief Justice, before he argued the Points in Law, because much was said in Commendation of the Doctors of Physick of the College in *London*, and somewhat (as he conceived) in Derogation of the Dignity of the Doctors of the Universities, he first attributed much to the Doctors of the said College in *London*, and confess'd that nothing was spoke in their Commendation which was not due to their Merits; but yet that no Comparison was to be made between that private College, and any of the Universities of *Cambridge* and *Oxford*, no more than between the Father and his Children, or betw. the Fountain and the small Rivers which descend from it; the University is *Alma (a) mater*, from whose Breasts those of that private College have sucked all their Science and Knowledge (which I acknow. to be great and profound) but the Law saith, *Eru. bescit lex filios castigare parentes*: The University is the Fountain, and that and the like private Colleges are *tanquam rivuli*, which stow from the Fountain, & *melius est petere fontes quam sectari rivulos*. Briefly, *Academia (b) Cantabrigia & Oxonia sunt Athenae nostra nobilissima, regni soles, oculi & anima regni, unde Religio, humanitas, & doctrina in omnes regni partes uberime diffunduntur*: But it is true, *Nunquam sufficet copia laudatoris, quia nunquam deficiet materia laudis*; and therof. those Universities exceed and excel all private Colleges, *quantum inter viburna cupressus*. And it was observed that *K. H. 8.* in his said Let. Pat. and the K. and the Parliam. in the Act of 14 *H. 8.* in making of a Law concer. Physicians, for the more Safety and Health of Men, therein follow the Order of a good Phyfic. (*Rex (c) enim omn' artes censetur habere in s'rinio pect' sui*) for *medicina*

(a) = Brownl.
264.

(b) = Brownl.
264.

(c) = Brownl.
264.

est duplex, removens, (a) & promovens; removens morbum, & (a) 2 Brownl. promovens ad salutem: And therefore five manner of Persons ^{264.} (who more hurt the Body of Man than the Disease itself, one of which said of one of their Patients, *fugiens morbum incidit in medicum*) are to be removed; 1. *improbi*. 2. *avari, qui medicinam magis* (b) *avaritia sua causa quam ullius bonæ conscientie fiducia profitentur*. 3. *malitiosi*. 4. *temerarii*. 5. *inscii*. And of the other Part, five manner of Persons were to be promoted, as appears by the said Act, *sc.* those who were, 1. *profound*. 2. *sad*. 3. *discreet*. 4. *groundly learned*. 5. *profoundly studied*. And it was well ordained, That the Professors of Physick should be profound, sad, discreet, &c, and not Youths, who have no Gravity and Experience; for as one saith, (c) *In juvene Theologo conscientia detrimentum, in (c) 2 Brownl. juvene legisla burse detrimmentum, in juvene Medico camiterii incrementum*. And it ought to be presumed, every Doctor of any of the Universities to be within the Statutes, *sc.* to be *profound, sad, discreet, groundly learned, and profoundly studied*, for none can there be Master of Arts (who is a Doctor of Philosophy) under the Study of seven Years, and cannot be Doctor in Physick under seven Years more in the Study of Physick; and that is the Reason that the Plaintiff is named in the Declaration Doctor of Phylosophy, and Doctor of Physick, *quia oportet Medicum esse Philosophum, (d) ubi enim (d) 2 Brownl. Philosophus desinit, Medicus incipit*: As to the two Points upon which the Chief Justice, Warburton and Daniel, gave Judgment. 1. It was resolved by them, That the said Censors had not Power to commit the Plaintiff for any of the Causes mentioned in the Barr, and the Cause and Reason thereof shortly was, That the said Clause, which gives Power to the said Censors to fine and imprison, doth not extend to the said Clause, *sc.* *Quod nemo in dicta Civitate, &c. exerceat dictam facultatem, &c.* which prohibits every one from practising Physick in London, &c. without License from the President and College; but extends only to punish those who practise Physick in London, *pro delictis suis in non bene (e) exequendo, (e) 2 Brownl. faciendo & utendo facultate Medicina, by Fine and Imprisonment*: So that the Censors have not Power by the Letters Patents, and the Act, to fine or imprison any for practising Physick in London, but only *pro delictis suis in non bene exequendo, &c.* for ill, and not good Use and Practice of Physick. And that was made manifest by five Reasons, which were called *vivida rationes*, because they had their Vigour and Life from the Letters Patents, and the Act itself; and the best (f) Expositor of all Letters Patents, and Acts of Parliament, are the Let. Pat. and the Acts of Parliam. themselves, (g) by construction, and conferring (g) all the Parts of them together, ^{(f) Godb. 418. 2 Rolls. Rep. 336. Wing. Max. 239. 2 Co. 55. a. 3 Co. 59. b. Godb. 324. Co. Lit. 381. 5 Co. 99. 2.}

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Wing. Max.
239.
(b) Wing. Max.
239.

together, (a) *Optima Statuti interpretatrix est (omnibus particulis ejusdem inspectis) ipsum Statutum*; and (b) *Injustum est nisi tota lege inspecta una aliqua ejus particula proposita judicare vel respondere*. The first Reason was, that these two were two absolute, perfect and distinct Clauses, and as Parallels, and therefore the one did not extend to the other; for the second begins, *Præterea voluit & concessit, &c.* and the Branch concerning Fine and Imprif. is Parcel of the 2d Clause. 2. The first Clause prohibiting the Practice of Physick, &c. comprehends four Certainities: 1. Certainty of the Thing prohibited, *sc.* Practice of Physick. 2. Certainty of the Time, *sc.* Practice for one Month. 3. Certainty of Penalty, *sc.* 5*l.* 4. Certainty in Distribution, *sc.* one Moiety to the King, and the other Moiety to the Colledge; and this Penalty he who practises Physick in *London* incurs, although he practises and uses Physick well, and profitably for the Body of Man; and on this Branch the Information was exhibited in the King's Bench. But the Clause to punish *delicta in non bene exequendo, &c.* on which Branch the Case at Bar stands, is altogether uncertain, for the Hurt which may come thereby may be little or great, *leve vel grave*, excessive or small, &c. and therefore the King and the Makers of the Act could not, for an Offence so uncertain, impose a certainty of the Fine, or Time of Imprisonment, but leave it to the Censors to punish such Offences, *secundum quantitatem delicti*, which is included in these Words, *per fines, amerciamenta, imprisonmenta corporum suorum, & per alias vias rationabiles & congruas*. 2. The Harm which accrues by *non bene exequendo, &c.* concerns the Body of Man; and therefore it is reasonable that the Offender should be punished in his Body, *sc.* by Imprisonment; but he who practises Physick in *London* in a good Manner, altho' he doth it without License, yet it is not any Prejudice to the Body of Man. 3. He who practises Physick in *London*, doth not offend the Statute by his Practice, unless he practises it by the Space of a Month. But the Clause of *non bene exequendo, &c.* doth not prescribe any certain Time, but at what Time soever he ministers Physick *non bene, &c.* he shall be punished by the said second Branch: And the Law hath great Reason in making this Distinction, for divers Nobles, (c) Gentlemen and others, come upon divers occasions to *London*, and when they are here they become subject to Diseases, and thereupon they send for their Physicians in the Country, who know their Bodies, and the Cause of their Diseases; now it was never the Meaning of the Act to bar any one of his own Physician; and when he is here he may practise and minister to another by two or (d) three Weeks, &c. without any Forfeiture; for any one who practises Physick *bene, &c.* in *London* (altho' he has not taken any

(c) 2 Brownl.
264. Cart. 115.

(d) 2 Brownl.
264.

any

any Degree in any of the Universities) shall forfeit nothing, unless he practises it by the Space of a Month; and that was the Reason, that the Time of a Month was put in the Act.

4. The Censors can't be (a) Judges, Ministers, and Parties; (a) Co. Lit. 141. 2. Hob. 87. Judges to give Sentence or Judgment; Ministers to make Summons; and Parties to have the Moiety of the Forfeiture, *quia* (b) *aliquis non debet esse Judex in propria causa, imo iniquum est aliquem sua rei esse Judicem*; and one cannot be Judge and Attorney for any of the Parties, *Dyer* 3 E. 6. 65. 38 E. 3. 15. 8 H. 6. 19. b. 20. a. 21 E. 4. 47. a. &c. And it appears in our Books, that in many Cases, the Common Law will (c) controll Acts of Parliament, and sometimes adjudge them to be utterly void: For when an Act of Parliament is against Common Right and Reason, or repugnant, or impossible to be performed, the Common Law will controll it, and adjudge such Act to be void; and therefore in 8 E. 3. 30. a. b. *Thomas Tregor's Case* on the Statute of W. 2. c. 38. & *Arizé super Chartas cap. 9. Herle* (d) saith, (d) 8. E. 3. 30. b. Some Statutes are made against Law and Right, which those who made them perceiving would not put 'em in Execution: The Statute of W. 2. (e) c. 21. gives a Writ of *Cessavit* (e) 2 Inst. 401, *heredi petenti super heredem tenent' & super eos quibus alienatum fuerit hujusmodi tenementum*: And yet it is adjudged in 33 E. 3. (f) *Cessavit* 42. where the Case was, Two Coparceners Lords, and Tenant by Fealty and certain Rent, one Coparcener had Issue and dyed, the Aunt and the Niece shall not join in a *Cessavit*, because the Heir (g) shall not have a (g) 2 Brownl. *Cessavit* for the Cesser in the Time of his Ancestor, *F. N. B.* 209. F. and therewith agrees *Plow. Com.* 110. a. and the Reason is, because in a *Cessavit* the Tenant before Judgment may render the Arrearages and Damages, &c. and retain his Land, and that he can't do when the Heir brings a *Cessavit* for the Cesser in the Time of his Ancestor, for the Arrearages incurred in the Life of the Ancestor do not belong to the Heir: and beca. it would be against Common Right and Reason, the Common Law adjudges the said Act of Parliament as to that Point void. The Statute of (h) *Carlisle*, (h) 2 Inst. 580, made *anno* 35 E. 1. enacts, That the Ord. of the *Cisterians*, 581, 582, &c. and *Augustines* who have a Covent and common Seal, that the common Seal shall be in the keeping of the Prior, who is under the Abbot, and 4 others of the most grave of the House, and that any Deed sealed with the common Seal, which is not so in keeping shall be void: and the Opinion of the Court, (*in An. 27 H. 6. Annuity* 41.) was, that this Statute was (i) void, for it is impertinent to be observed, (i) 2 Inst. 588. for the Seal being in their keeping, the Abbot can't seal any 2 Brownl. 198, thing with it, and when it is in the Abbot's Hands, it is out 265. of their keeping *ipso facto*; and if the Statute should be (k) (k) 2 Brownl. observed, every Common Seal shall be defeated upon a simple 265. 2 Inst. 587. surmise, which can't be tried. Note Reader the Words

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

of the said Statute at Carlisle, anno 35 E. I. (which is call'd Statutum Religiosorum) are, *Et insuper ordinavit Dominus Rex & statuit, quod Abbates Cisterc. & pramonstraten' ordin' religiosorum, &c. de cetero habeant sigillum Commune, & illud in Custodia Prioris Monasterii seu domus, & quatuor de dignioribus & discretioribus ejusdem loci conventus sub privato sigillo Abbat' ipsius loci custod' depon', &c. Et si forsan aliqua scripta obligationum, donationum, emptionum, venditionum, alienationum, seu aliorum quorumcunque contractuum alio sigillo quam tali sigillo communi sicut pramittit' custodit' inventiant' a modo sigillata, pro nullo penitus habeantur omnique careant firmitate.* So the Statute of 1. E. 6. c. 14. gives Chaurtries, &c. to the King, saving to the Donor, &c. all such Rents, Services, &c. and the Common Law controlls it, and adjudges it void as to Services, and the Donor shall have the Rent, as a Rentseck, distrainable of common Right, for it would be against common Right and Reason that the (a) King should hold of any, or do Service to any of his Subjects, 14. Eliz. Dyer 313. and so was it adjudged Mich. 16 & 17 Eliz. in Com' Banco in (b) Strowd's Case. So if any Act of Parliament gives to any to hold, or to have Conufans of all manner of Pleas arising before him within his Manner of D. yet he shall hold no Plea, to which he himself is party; for, as hath been said, *iniquum est aliquem sua rei esse Judicem.* 5. If he shou'd forfeit 5 l. for one Mo. by the first Clause, and shou'd be punished for practising at any Time by the second Clause, two Absurdities should follow, 1. that one shou'd be punished not only twice, but many times for one and the same Offence, And the Divine faith, *Quod (c) Deus non agit bis in idipsum*; and the Law faith, *Nemo debet bis puniri pro uno delicto.* 2. It would be absurd, by the first clause to punish practising for a Month, and not for a lesser time, and by the 2d. to pun. practising not only for a Day, but at any time, so he shall be punisht. by the first branch for one Month by the Forfeit. of 5 l. and by the 2d. by Fine and Imprisonment, without Limitation for every Time of the Month in which he practises Physick. And all these Reasons were proved by two grounds, or Maxims in Law; 1. (e) *Generalis Clausula non porrigitur ad ea quæ specialiter sunt comprehensa*: And the Case between Carter and (f) Ringhead, Hill. 34. Eliz. rot. 120. in Communi Banco, was cited to this Purpose, where the Case in Effect was, That A. seised of the Manor of Staple in Odiham in the County of Southampton in Fee, and also of other Lands in Odiham aforesaid in Fee, suffered a Com. Recovery of all and declared the Use by Indenture, That the Recoverer should stand seised of all the Lands and Tenements in Odiham to the Use of A. and his Wife, and to the Heirs of his Body begotten; and further, that the Recoverer should

(a) Dy. 313. pl.
91. 1 Co. 47. a.
Dav. 2. a. Co.
Lit. 1. b. Cro.
Car. 82, 83.
2 Roll. Rep. 246.
247. 1 Jones 234.
Lit. Rep. 43.
(b) 1 And. 45.
3 Leon. 58.
4 Leon. 409. 41.

(c) 4 Co. 43. a.
(d) 2 Venur.
170. 4 Co. 43. a.
5 Co. 61. a.
11 Co. 59. b.
1 Roll. Rep. 95.
Cawly 78. Noy
82. Bridgm. 122.
Cro. Jac. 481.
Wing. Max. 695.

(e) Postca 154. b.
Raymond 330.
Hawkes's Max.
21. Styles 391.
(f) Cr. El. 208.
2 Leon. 47.
Owen. 84. 85.
1 And. 245.
6 Co. 64. b.
3 Bulstr. 66. 185.
2 Roll. Rep.
276. Winch. 92.
Lane 69. Lit.
Rep. 64, 67, 289.
Styles 391.

should stand seized to the Use of him, and to the Heirs of his Body, and dyed, and the Wife survived, and entred into the said Manor by Force of the said general Words; But it was adjudged, That they did not extend to the said Manor which was specially named: And if it be so in a Deed, *a fortiori*, it shall be so in an Act of Parliament, which (as a Will) is to be expounded according to the Intention of the Makers. 2. (a) *Verba posteriora propter certitudinem addita ad priora quæ certitudine indigent sunt referenda.* 6 E. (b) 3. 12. a. b. Sir Adam de Clydrow Knight, brought a *Fræcipe quod reddat* against John de Clydrow, and the Writ was, *Quod juste, &c. reddat Manerium de Wicomb & duas carucatas terra cum pertinentiis in Clydrow*, in that case the Town of Clydrow shall not relate to the Manor, *quia non indiget*, for a Manor may be demanded without mentioning that it lyes in any Town, but *cum pertinentiis*, although it comes after the Town, shall relate to the Manor, *quia indiget.* Vide 3 E. 4. 10. the like case. But it was objected, That where by the second Clause it was granted, that the Cenfors should have *supervisum & scrutinium, correctionem & gubernationem omnium & singulorum Medicorum*, &c. they had Power to fine and imprison. To that it was answered, 1. That that is but Part of the Sentence, for by the entire Sentence it appears in what Manner they shall have Power to punish, for the Words are, *ac punitionem eorum pro delictis suis in non bene exequendo, faciendo, vel utendo illa facultate*; so that without Question all their Power to correct and punish the Physicians by this Clause is only limit. to these three Cases, *sc. in non bene exequendo, faciendo, vel utendo, &c.* Also this Word *punitionem*, is limited and restrained by these Words, *Ita quod punitio eorundem Medicorum, &c. sic in præmissis deliquentium, &c.* which Words, *sic in præmissis deliquentium*, limit the former Words in the first Part of this Sentence, *ac punitionem eorum pro delictis suis in non bene exequendo, &c.* 2. It wou'd be absurd, That in one and the same Sentence the Makers of the Act shou'd give them a general Power to punish without Limitation; and a special Manner how they shall punish in one and the same Sentence. 3. Hill. 38 Eliz. in a *Quo Warranto* against the Mayor and Commonalty of London, it was held, That where a Grant is made to the Mayor and Commonalty, that the Mayor for the Time being should have (c) *plenum & integrum scrutinium, gubernationem, & correctionem omnium & singulorum Mysteriorum, &c.* without granting them any Court, in which should be legal Proceedings, that it is good for Search, whereby a Discovery may be made of Offences and Defects, which may be punished by the Law in any Court, but it doth not give, nor can give them any irregular or absolute Power to correct or punish any of the Subjects

(a) Wing. Max.

67. Lit. Rep. 66.

(b) Lit. Rep. 66.

Wing. Max. 67.

Styles 78.

(c) Cart. 120,

121.

Dr. BONHAM's Case. PART VIII.

Subjects of the Kingdom at their Pleasure. 2. It was Object-
ed, That it is incident to every Court created by Letters
Patents, or Act of Parliament, and other Courts of Record,
to punish any Misdemeanor done in Court, in Disturbance
or Contempt of the Court, by Imprisonment. To which
it was answered, That neither the Letters Patents nor the
Act of Parliament has granted them any Court, but only
an (a) Authority, which they ought to pursue, as it shall
be afterwards said. 2. If any Court had been granted them,
they could not by any incident Authority *implicite* granted
them, for any Misdemeanor done in Court, commit him
to Prison without Bail or Mainprize, till he should be by
the Commandment of the President and Censors, or their
Successors, delivered, as the Censors have done in this Case.
3. There was not any such Misdemeanor for whi. any Court
might imprison him, for he only shewed his Case to them,
which, he was advised by his Counsel, he might justify,
which is not any Offence worthy of Imprisonment. The
Second Point was, Admitting that the Censors had Power
by the Act, If they had pursued their Authority, or not?
And it was Resolved by the Chief Justice, *Warburton*, and
Daniel, that they had not pursued it for six Reasons. 1.
By the Act, the Censors only have Power to impose a Fine,
or Amercement; and the President and Censors imposed
the Amercement of 5 l. upon the Plaintiff. 2. The Plain-
tiff was summoned to appear *coram Presidente & Censoribus*,
&c. & *non comparuit*, and therefore he was fined 10 l. where-
as the President had no Authority in that Case. 3. The
Fines or Amercements to be imposed by them, by Force of
the Act, do not belong to them, but to the King, for the
King had not granted the Fines or Amercements to them,
and yet the Fine is appointed to be paid to them, *in pro-*
ximis Comitibus, and they have imprisoned the Plaintiff for
Non-payment thereof. 4. They ought to have committed
the Plaintiff presently, by Construction of Law, although
that no Time be limited in the Act, as in the Stat' of W.

(a) Postea 121.
2.

The Second
Point.

(b) 2 Inst. 379,
380. W. 2. c. 11.
Plowd. 17. b.
Rast. Account 2.

2. cap. 11. (b) *De Servientibus, Ballivis, &c. qui ad compo-*
tum reddend' tenentur, &c. cum Dom' hujusmodi servientium
dederit eis auditores compoti, & contingat ipsos in arrearagiis
super compotum suum omnibus allocatis & allocandis, arresten-
tur corpora eorum, & per testimonium auditorum ejusdem com-
poti mittantur & liberentur proxima gaola Domini Regis in
partibus illis, &c. In that Case, although no Time be li-
mited when the Accomptant shall be imprisoned, yet it
ought to be done (c) presently, as it is held in 27 H. 6. 8. a.
and the Reason thereof is given in *Fogassa's Case*, *Plow. Com.*
17. b. that the Genera. of the Time shall be restrain. to the
pre. Time, for the Ben. of him upon whom the Pain shall be
inflicted, and therewith agrees *Plow' Com' 296. b. in Stradling's*
Case.

(c) Postea 120. b.
2 Brownl. 266.
2 Inst. 380.
2 Bullstr. 139.
Fitz. Barr. 44. Br.
Account 6. Br.
Det. 16. Br. Exe-
cution 135. Br.
Faux Impri-
sonment 32.

Cafe. And a Justice (a) of Peace upon View of the Force, ought to commit the Offender presently. 5. For as much as the Censors had their Authority by the Letters Patents and Act of Parliament, which are high Matters of Record, their Proceedings ought not to be by *Parol*, & *eo potius*, because they claim Authority to fine and imprison, and therefore if Judgment be given against one in the Common Pleas in a Writ of (b) Recaption, he shall be fined and imprisoned, but if the Writ be Vicontiel in the County, there he shall not be fined nor imprisoned, because a Writ of the Court is not of Record, *F. N. B. in Recaption*; so in *F. N. B. 47. a.* A Plea of Trespas *vi et armis* doth not lie in the County Court, Hundred Court, &c. for they can't make a Record of Fine and Imprisonment; and regularly they who cannot make (c) a Record cannot fine and imprison. And therewith agrees 27 *H. 6. 8.* Book of Entries, Tit. Account, fol. — The Auditors make a Record when they commit the Defendant to Prison; A Justice of Piece upon View of the Force may commit, but he ought to make a Record of it. 6. Forasmuch as the Act of 14 *H. 8.* has given Power to imprison till he shall be delivered by the President and the Censors, or their Successors, Reason requires that it shou'd be taken strictly, for the Liberty of the Subject (as they pretend) is at their Pleasure: And this is well proved by a Judgment in Parliament in this very Case; For when this Act of 14 *H. 8.* had given the Censors Power to imprison, yet it was taken so literally, That the Gaoler was not bound to receive such as they shou'd commit to him, and the Reason thereof was, because they had Authority to do it without any Court: And thereup. the Stat. of 1 *Ma. (d) cap. 9.* was made, that the Gaoler should receive them upon a Penalty, and yet none can commit any to Prison, unless the Gaoler receives him: But the first Act for the Cause aforesaid, was taken so literally that no necessary Incident was implied. And where it was objected, that this very Act of 1 *Mar. cap. 9.* has enlarged the Power of the Censors, and they urg'd it upon the Words of the Act; It was clearly resolved, that the said Act of 1 *Mariae* did not enlarge the Power of the Censors to fine or imprison any Person for any Cause for which he ought not to be fined and imprisoned by the said Act of (e) 14 *H. 8.* For the Words of the Act of Queen *Mary* are, according to the Tenor and Meaning of the said Act: Also, shall send or commit any Offender or Offenders for his or their Offence or Disobedience, contrary to any Article or Clause contained in the said Grant or Act, to any Ward, Gaol, &c. But in this Case *Bonham* has not done any thing which appears within this Record, contrary to any Article or Clause contained within the Grant or Act of 14 *H. 8.* Also the Gaoler who refuses shall forfeit the double Val. of

(a) 2 Brownl. 266. 15 R. 2. cap. 2. 8 H. 6. cap. 9.

(b) Antea 60. b. 41. a. 11 Co. 43. b. F. N. B. 73. d.

(c) Antea fo. 38. b. 41. a. 60. b. F. N. B. 73. d. 10 Co. 103. a.

(d) 2 Brownl. 257. 262. 263. 266. Raft. Phys. 7. Lit. Rep. 169. 172. 173. 212. 213. 248. 249. 350. 351. Cr. Jac. 121. Cr. Car. 257. 1 Jones 263. Car. 115. 4 Inst. 251.

(e) 14 & 15 H. 8. cap. 5. Roll. 598. 4 Inst. 251. Raft. Physicians 3. 2 Bulltr. 185. Lit. Rep. 168. 169. 172. 212. 213. 246. 247. 248. 249. 1 Jon. 261. Cr. Jac. 121. 159. 160. Cr. Car. 256. Palm. 486. Carr. 115.

the Fines and Amerciaments that any Offender or disobedient shall be assessed to pay; which proves that none shall be received by any Gaoler by Force of the Act of 14 H. 8. but he who may be lawfully fined or amerced by the Act of 14 H. 8. and that was not *Bonham*, as by the Reasons and Causes aforesaid appears. And admitting that the Replication be not material, and the Defendants have demurred upon it; yet forasmuch as the Defendants have confessed in the Barr, that they have imprisoned the Plaintiff without Cause, the Plaintiff shall have Judgment: And the Difference is, when the Plaintiff (*a*) replies, and by his Replication it appears that he has no Cause of Action, there he shall never have Judgment: But when the (*b*) Barr is insufficient in Matter, or amounts (as this Case is) to a Confession of the Point of the Action, and the Plaintiff replies, and shews the Truth of the Mat. to enforce his Case, and in Judgment of Law it is not material, yet the Plaintiff shall have Judgment for it is true that sometimes the Declaration shall be made good by the Barr, and sometimes the Barr by the Replicat. and sometimes the Replication by the Rejoinder, &c. but the Difference is, when the Declaration wants Time, Place, or other (*c*) Circumstance, it may be made good by the Barr, so of the Barr, Replication, &c. as appears in 18 E. 4. 16 b: But when the Declaration wants Substance, no Barr can make it good, so of the Barr, Replication, &c. and therefore with agrees 6 E. 4. 2. a good case, and *nota* there *Dictum Choke*. Vide 18 E. 3. 34. b. 44 E. 3. 7. a. 12 E. 4. 6. 6 H. 7. 10. 7 H. 7. 3. 11 H. 4. 24. &c. But when Plaintiff makes Replication, Sur-rejoinder, &c. and thereby it appears, that upon the † whole Rec. the Plaintiff has no Cause of Action, he shall never have Judgment, although the Barr or Rejoinder, &c. be insufficient in Matter, for the Court ought to judge upon the whole Record, and every one shall be intended. to make the best of his own Case. Vide (*d*) *Ridgeway's Case*, in the 3d part of my Reports 52 b. and so these Differences were resolved and adjudged between * *Kendal* and *Helyer*, Mich. 25 & 26 Eliz. in the King's Bench, and Mich. 29 & 30 Eliz. in the same Court, between (*e*) *Gullys* and *Burbry*. And *Coke* Chief Justice, in the Conclusion of his Argument observed seven Things for the better Direction of the President and Commonalty of the said College for the future. 1. That none can be punished for practising Physick in *London*, but by Forfeiture of 5 l. by the Month, which is to be recovered by the Law. 2. If any practise Physick there for a less Time than a Month, that he shall forfeit noth. 3. If any Person prohibited by the Stat. offends in *non bene exeq*, &c. they may pun. him accord. to the Stat. within the Mo. 4. Those who they may com. to pri. by the Stat. ought to be comm. (*f*) presently. 5. The fines whi. they

(a) Cro. Jac. 133. Doct. Pla. 70. 325. Lit. Rep. 172. Moor 464. 1 Sid. 336. Dyer 79. pl. 62.
(b) Cr. Jac. 133. Cr. Car. 5. M. 464. Postea 133. b. 9 Co. 110. b. Doct. pla. 70. 325. Palm. 287. Lit. Rep. 172. 252. 2 Bulfr. 94. Antea 93. a. 1 Sid. 336.

(c) 7 Co. 25. a. Dyer 15. pl. 78. Cr. Car. 209. Co. Lit. 303. b.

† Hob. 199. Hard. 38.

(d) Styles 354.

* 3 Co. 52. b.

(e) 3 Co. 52. b. Cro. El. 62. 1 Leon. 242.

(f) Antea 119. b. b. 2 Brownl. 266. 2 Inst. 380. Fitz.

Bar. 44. Br. Account 6. Er. Det. 16. Br. Exec. 135. Br. Faux Imprisonment 32. 2 Bulfr. 139.

set,

set, according to the Statute, belong to the King. 6. They can't impose a Fine, or Imprisonment without a Record of it. 7. The Cause for which they impose Fine and Imprisonment ought to be certain, for it is (a) traversable; For although they have Letters Patents, and an Act of Parliament, yet because the Party grieved has no other Remedy, neither by Writ of Error, or otherwise, and they are not made Judges, nor a Court given them, but have an (b) Authority only to do it, the Cause of their Commitment is traversable in an Action of false Imprisonment brought against them; as upon the Statute of (c) Bankrupts, their Warrant is under the Great Seal, and by Act of Parliament; yet because the Party grieved has no other Remedy if the Commissioners do not pursue the Act and their Commission, he shall traverse, that he was not a Bankrupt, although the Commissioners affirm him to be one; as this Term it was resolved in this Court, in Trespass between *Cutt* (d) and *Delabarre*, where the Issue was, whether *Will. Cheyny* was a Bankrupt or not, who was found by the Commissioners to be a Bankrupt *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar, the Cause of the Imprisonment is traversable; for otherwise the Party grieved may be perpetually, without just Cause, imprisoned by them: But the Record of a Force made by a Justice of Peace is not traversable; because he doth it as Judge, by the Statutes of (e) 15 R. 2. and 8 H. 6. and so there is a Difference when one makes a Record as a Judge, and when he doth a Thing by a special Authority, (as they did in the Case at Bar) and not as a Judge. And afterwards, for the said two last Points, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff, *nullo contradicente*, as to them. And I acquainted Sir *Thomas Fleming*, Chief Just. of the King's Bench, with this Judgment, and with the Reasons and Causes of it, and he well approved of the Judgment which we had given: And this is the first Judgment on the said Branch concerning Fine and Imprisonment which has been given since the making of the said Charter and Acts of Parliaments, and therefore I thought it worthy to be reported and published.

(a) 2 Brownl: 266. Hardr. 482.

(b) Antea 119.

(c) 13 Ed. cap. 7. 1 Jac. cap. 15.

(d) 4 Inst. 277. & 278.

(e) 15 R. 2. cap. 2. 8 H. 6. cap. 9.

Hill. 7 Jacobi Regis.

The CASE of the City of London.

2 Brownl. 278,
284. Raymond
394. 2 Sid. 120.
121. 3 Bulstr.
190. 2 Roll.
Rep. 158. Styles
479. 480.

AN *Habeas Corpus* was directed Mich' 7 Jacobi, out of this Court, to the Mayor, Aldermen, and Sheriffs of London, to have the Body of James Wagoner, who was arrested in London, and remained in the Custody of them, or some of them: Sir Thomas Campbel Knight, Mayor of London, and the Aldermen, and Sebastian Harvy and William Cokein, Sheriffs of London, make such a Return, That the City of London est antiqua Civitas, quodque in eadem Civitate talis habetur, & a toto tempore cujus contrarium memoria hominum non existit habebatur consuetudo, usitata & approbata, viz. quod si aliqua consuetudines in dicta Civitate obtent' & approbat' in aliqua parte difficiles sive defectiva existant, seu extiter' aut aliqua in eadem Civitate de novo emergentia ubi remedium prius non existit seu extiterit ordinat' emendatione indigeant sive indigerunt, Major & Aldermanni Civitatis praed' pro tempore existent' de assensu Communitatis ejusdem Civitatis remedium (a) congru' bonae fidei & rationi consonum, pro communi utilitate Civium dicti Civitatis, & aliorum fidelium Domini Regis nunc & progenitorum suorum ad eandem confluent' apponere possent & potuerunt ordinari quovis & quando eis videbitur expediri, dum tamen ordinatio hujusmodi Domino Regi nunc & progenitoribus suis, & populo suo utilis, & bonae fidei & consona sit rationi. Et ulterius significamus quod dicta consuetudo, & omnes aliae consuetudines Civitatis praed' a tempore

(a) 2 Brownl.
284, 285. Raym.
326, 327.

tempore præd', &c. usitata, Authoritate Parlamenti Domini Richardi nuper Regis Angliæ Secundi post Conquestum, apud Westm' anno regni sui (a) septimo tenet tunc Majori & (a) 2 Brownl. Communitati ejusdem Civitatis & successoribus suis ratificat' & 284, 285. confirmat' fuer'. Et nos præfat' Major & Aldermanni ac Vic' Civitat' Lond' præd' ulterius certificamus, quod in Communi Concilio tenet' secundum consuetud' Civit' Lond' in Camera Guild-hald' ejusdem Civitat' decimo quinto die April' anno regni Domini nostri Jacobi nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. quarto, per Leonardum Halliday, Militem, nuper Majorem Civitatis Lond' præd', & ejusdem Civitatis Aldermannos, de assensu Communitatis ejusdem Civitatis in eodem communi concilio assemblat' existit secundum præd' consuetudinem Civitatis præd' ordinat', inactitat' & stabilit' fuit, modo & forma, prout in Angl' verbis sequit', viz. Where by the ancient Charters, Customs, Franchises, and Liberties of the City of London, confirmed by fundry Acts of Parliament, no Person, not being free of the City of London, may or ought to sell or put to Sale any Wares or Merchandizes within the said City, or the Liberties of the same, by Retail, or keep any open or inward Shop, or other inward Place or Room, for Shew, Sale, or putting to Sale of any Wares or Merchandizes, or for Use of any Art, Occupation, Mystery, or Handicraft within the same. And whereas also Edward, sometime King of England, of famous Memory, the Third of that Name, by his Charter made and granted to the said City in the fifteenth Year of his Reign, confirmed also by Parliament, amongst other Things granted, That if any Customs in the said City before that Time obtained and used, were in any Part hard or defective, or any Things in the same City newly arising, where Remedy before that Time was not ordained, should need Amendment, the Mayor and Aldermen of the said City, and their Successors, with the Assent of the Commonalty of the same City, might put and ordain thereunto fit Remedy, as often as it should seem expedient to them, so that such Ordinance should be profitable to the King, for the Profit of the Citizens, and other his People repairing to the said City, and agreeable to Reason. And whereas by Force of the said Customs, Franchises, and Liberties, and of the Chart. last before mentioned, confirmed as is aforesaid by Parliament, The Lord Mayor, Aldermen and Commons of the said City did the 12 Day of October, in the Third Year of the Reign of Edward, sometime King of England the Fourth, as a Thing thought fit and convenient for that Time (amongst other Things) agree and ordain, That the Basket-makers, Gold-wyer-drawers, or other Foreigners, contrary to the Lib. of the said City, holding open Shops in div. Places of the City, and using (b) Myst. within the (b) Cart. lxx.

The City of *London's* Cafe. PART VIII.

said City, should not from thenceforth hold Shops within the Liberty of the City aforesaid; but if they would hold any Shop, or dwell in the same Liberty, they should dwell at *Blanch-Appleton*, and there hold Shops, so as they might have sufficient dwelling there. And where also the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Commons of the same City did afterwards, the 16 Day of *May*, in the 17 Year of the Reign of our late Sovereign Lord of famous Memory, King *Hen. 8.* as a Matter thought fit and agreeable for that Time, ordain, establish, and enact, That no manner of Person or Persons being estrange from the Liberties of the said City, from thenceforth should hold and keep any open Shop within the said City or Liberties of the same, neither with any Lattesses before, nor yet without Lattesses (certain numbers of poor Men occupying the feat of Botchers, Taylors, and Coblers only excepted) upon Pain of Imprisonment, and also to forfeit and to pay 40 s. to the Use of the Commonalty of this City, as often as he or they should do the contrary. And where also the Lord Mayor, Aldermen and Commons of the same City did afterwards the 20 Day of *January*, in the said 17 Year of King *Hen. 8.* (reciting that where at a Common Council holden the 16 Day of *May* in the 17 Year of the Reign of King *H. 8.* it was ordained and enacted, That no manner of Person or Persons, being estrange from the Liberties of this City, from thenceforth should hold or keep any Shop or Shops within this City or Liberties of the same, neither with any Lattesses before, nor yet without any Lattesses, upon Pain of Imprisonment) further ordain and establish, That if any Person or Persons, being Foreigners, should hold and keep open any open Shop or Shops, as is aforesaid, he should forfeit for every time so doing 40 s. to be levied by Distress, to the Use of the Commonalty of the said City, by the Chamberlain for the Time being, or oth. Officer of this City, and also have Imprisonment by the Discretion of the Mayor and Aldermen for the Time being. Now forasmuch as divers and sundry Strangers and Foreigners from the Liberties of the said City (nothing regarding the said antient Charters, Franchises, Customs or Liberties of the said City, and Acts and Ordinances heretofore made according to the same, but wholly intending their private Profit) have of late Years devised and practised by all sinister and subtil Means how to defraud the said Charters, Liberties, Customs, good Orders and Ordinances, and to that End do inwardly, in private and secret Places, usually and ordinarily shew, sell, and put to sale their Wares and Merchandizes, and use Arts, Trades, Occupations, Mysteries, and Handicrafts within the said City and Liberties of the same, to the great Detriment
and

and Hurt of the said City, who pay Lot and Scot, bear Offices, and undergoe other Charges which Strangers and others not free are not chargeable withal, nor will perform. For Reformation of which Disorders, and for avoiding of such Prejudice and Damage as thereby groweth to the Freemen of the said City, and is now more of late than was in any Time heretofore suffered, and to provide for the common Profit and Good of the Freemen and Citizens of this City; it is therefore by the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Commons in this Common Council assembled, ordained and established, That no Person whatsoever (not being free of the City of London) shall at any Time after the Feast of St. *Michael* now next ensuing, by any Colour, Way, or Mean whatsoever, either directly, or indirectly, by himself, or by any other, shew, sell, or put to Sale, any Wares or Merchandizes whatsoever, by Retail, within the City of London, or the Liberties or Suburbs of the same, upon Pain to forfeit to the Chamberlain of the City of London for the Time being, to the Use of the Mayor and Commonalty, and Citizens of the said City, the Sum of 5 *li.* of lawful Money of *England*, for every Time wherein such Person shall shew, sell, or put to Sale any Wares or Merchandizes by Retail, within the said City, Liberties, or Suburbs thereof, contrary to the true Intent and Meaning hereof; and it is further ordained and established, That no Person whatsoever (not being free of the City of London) shall at any Time after the said Feast of St. *Michael* now next ensuing, by any Colour, Way or Mean whatever, directly or indirectly, by himself or other, keep any Shop or other Place whatsoever, inward or outward, for Shew or putting to Sale of any Wares or Merchandizes whatsoever by Way of Retail, or use any Art, Trade, Occupation, Mystery, or Handicraft whatsoever, within the said City, or the Liberties or Suburbs of the same, upon Pain to forfeit the Sum of 5 *li.* of lawful Money of *England*, for every Time wherein such Person shall keep any Shop or other Place whatsoever, inward or outward, for Shew, Sale, or putting to Sale of any Ware or Merchandizes whatsoever by Way of Retail, or use any Art, Trade, Occupation, Mystery, or Handicraft whatsoever within the said City, or Liberties or Suburbs of the same, contrary to the true Intent and Meaning hereof: All which Pains, Penalties, Forfeitures and Sums of Money to be forfeited by virtue of this Act and Ordinance, shall be recovered by Action of Debt, Bill, or Plaint, to be commenced and prosecuted in the Name of the Chamberlain of the City of London for the Time being, in the King's Majest. Court to be holden in the Chamber of

The City of London's Case. PART VIII.

the *Guild-Hall* of the City of *London*, before the Lord Mayor and Aldermen of the said City, wherein no *Essoin* or *Wager* of Law shall be admitted or allowed for the Defendant; And that the Chamberlain of the said City for the Time being shall in all Suits to be prosecuted by virtue of this Act or Ordinance against any Offender, recover the ordinary Costs of Suit to be expended in and about the Prosecution thereof: And further, that one equal third Part of all Forfeitures to be recovered by virtue hereof, (the Costs of the Suit for the Recovery of the same being deducted and allowed) shall be after the Recovery and Receipt thereof paid and delivered to the Treasurer of *Christ's Hospital*, to be employed towards the Relief of the poor Children to be brought up and maintained in the said Hospital. And one other equal third Part, to him or them which shall first give Information of the Offences for which such Forfeitures shall grow, and prosecute Suit in the Name of the Chamberlain of the said City for Recovery of the same (any Thing in this Act to the contrary notwithstanding.) Provided always, That this Act or Ordinance, or any Thing therein contained, shall not extend to any Person or Persons for bringing or causing to be brought any *Viſtuals* to be sold within this City and the Liberties thereof, but that they and every of them may sell *Viſtuals* within the said City and the Liberties thereof; as they might lawfully have done before the making hereof; any Thing herein contained to the contrary thereof in any wise notwithstanding. *Uteriusque nos presat' nunc Major & Aldermanni ac Vicecom' Civitatis presat' certificamus, quod ante adventum Brevis dicti Domini Regis nobis directi, & hic huic schedula annex' Jac' Wagoner in Brevi illo nominat' captus fuit in Civitat' presat' & in prisona dicti Dom' Regis nunc sub custod' nostrum presat' Vic' detent' fuit virtute cujusd' Bille original' de pl' debiti super demand' quinque librarum legalis moneta Angl' versus ipsum, 9 die mensis Sept' anno regni Domini Regis nunc septimo, ad Cur' presat' Dom' Reg' coram Humfredo Weld Milite, nuper Majore, & Aldermannis Civitat' presat' in presat' Camera Guildhal' ejusd' Civitatis, secund' consuet' Civitat' presat' tunc teni' ad sectam Cornelii Fish, Camerarii Civitat' Lond' super Act' Communis Concilii presat' presat' 15 die Apr' an' 4. presat' ut presat' confect' affirmat', cujus quidem billa orig' tenor sequitur in hac verba, scil' Cornel' Fish, Camerarius Civitat' Lond' qui 7 die Sept' anno regni Dom' nostri Jacobi nunc Regis Anglia, &c. septimo, & semper postea hucusque fuit & adhuc existit Camerarius dicta civit', per Robertum Smith attornat' suum petit versus Jac' Wagoner quinque libras legalis Moneta Anglia quas ei debet & injuste detinet, &c. eo quod cum in communi concilio secund' consuet' civitat' presat' in camera Guid-*

hald'

hald' dicta civitat' situat' in parochia sancti Michael' in Bassieshaw in Warda de Bassieshaw Lond' præd' decimo quinto die Aprilis, an' regni Dom' nostri Jacobi nunc Regis Angl', &c. quarto, vigore & auctoritate communis concilii illius ordin' & stabilit' extitit, quod nulla persona quacunque non existens liber civitat' Lond' ad aliquod tempus post festum sancti Mich' tunc prox' sequen' per aliquem colorem, viam aut modum, quacunque sive directe vel indirecte, per se vel per aliquem alium, ostenderet, venderet, aut ad venditionem poneret, aliqua mercimonia aut merchandisas quacunque per retail' infra civitat' Lond' aut libertates aut suburb' ejusd' sub pœna forisfacere Camerario civit' Lond' pro tempore existen' ad usum Majoris & Commun' ac Civium dict' civitat' summam quinque librarum legalis moneta Anglia pro quolibet tempore quo talis persona ostenderet, venderet, aut venditioni exponeret, aliqua mercimonia aut merchandisas per retail' infra dict' civitat' libertat' aut suburb' ejusdem, contra veram intentionem actus communis concilii præd'. Cumque tunc & ibid' auctoritate præd' ulterius ordinat' & stabilit' extitit, quod nulla persona quacunque non existens liber civit' Lond' ad aliquod tempus post dictum Festum sancti Mich' tunc prox' sequen' per aliquem colorem, viam aut modum, quacunque directe vel indirecte, per se vel per aliquem alium, teneret aliquam shoppam aut alium locum quemcunque intra vel extra, Angl' inward or outward, pro ostensione, venditione, aut positione aliquorum mercimioniorum aut merchandisarum quorumcunque ad venditionem per viam retail', Angl' by way of Retail, aut uteretur aliqua arte, artifice, occupation', myster', aut manuali occupatione, quibuscunque, Angl' any Art, Trade, Occupation, Mystery, or handicraft whatsoever, infra civitat' Lond' aut libertat' aut Suburb' ejusd' sub pœna forisfacere summam quinque librarum legalis moneta Anglia, pro quolibet tempore quo talis persona teneret aliquam shoppam, aut alium locum quemcunque, infra vel extra, Angl' inward or outward, pro ostensione, venditione, aut positione aliquorum mercimioniorum aut merchandisarum quorumcunque ad venditionem per viam retail', aut uteretur aliqua arte, artificio, occupatione, mysterio, aut manuali occupatione, quibuscunque Angl' any Art, Trade, Occupation, Mystery, or Handicraft whatsoever, infra dict' Civitatem, aut libertat' aut suburb' ejusdem, contra veram Intentionem actus præd'. Cumque tunc & ibidem Auctoritate præd' ulterius inactitatum extitit, quod omnes que quidem pœna, pœnalitates, forisfactura & pecunia summa forisfacienda virtute dicti actus sive

The City of London's Case. PART VIII.

ordinis, Angl' Ordinance, recuperarentur per actionem debiti, bill', sive querel', commensand' & prosequend' nomine Camerarii Civit' Lond' pro tempore existentis, in curia regia Majestatis tenend' in camera Guildhald' civitat' Lond' coram Domino Majore & Aldermannis ejusdem civitat' in quibus null' esson' aut legis vadiatio admitteretur aut allocaretur pro defend'. Et quod Camerarius dicta Civitatis pro tempore existens in omnibus Sectis prosequend' virtute dict' act' sive ordinis, Angl' or Ordinance, contra aliquem offensorem, recuperaret ordinari' custag' Secta, expendend' in & circa prosecutionem ejusdem. Et ulterius, quod una equalis tertia pars omnium forisfactur' recuperand' virtute dict' act' (custag' Secta pro recuperatione earum existent' deduct. & allocat') post recuperationem & receptionem inde solveretur & deliberaretur Thesaurario Hospitalis Christi disponenda, Ang' to be employed, erga opem pauper' puerorum educandorum & manutenendorum in dicto Hospitali: Et una alia equalis tertia pars illi vel illis qui primum daret information' de offensis pro quibus tales forisfactura surgerent, Angl' should grow, & prosequer' Sectam in nomine Camerarii dicta civitatis pro recuperatione earund', aliquo in dicto actu in contrar' non obstante, prout per praed' actum communis concilii praed' plene liquet. Praed' tamen defendens, actum communis concilii praed' minime ponderans, nec penam in eodem contentam aliquo aliter verens post dict' festum Sancti Michaelis in actu praed' mentionat' & ante affirmationem hujus billa originalis, scil. dicto septimo die Septembris, anno regni Domini nostri Jacobi nunc Regis Angliae, &c. septimo praed', infra dict' civitatem London, viz. in parochia Sancti Christophori London' non existens persona liber dicta civitatis usus est manuali occupatione of a Tallow Chandler contra veram Intentionem actus communis concilii praed' per quod actio accrevit praefato querenti ad petend' exigend' & habend' de praefato defend' dict' quinque libras modo petii' quas dict' defend' praefato querenti nondum solvit, licet sapius, &c. ad damnum dicti querentis quinque solidorum & inde producit Sectam, &c. super quam quidem billam original' partes praed' placitaverunt, & sic indeterminat' dependit, &c. Et haec est unica causa captionis & detentionis praed' Jacobi Wagoner in prisona & sub custodia praed' quam una cum corpore suo coram dict' Justiciariis dicti Domini Regis apud Westmonaster' ad diem in brevi praed' content' parat' habemus, una cum dicto brevi, prout nobis interius per idem Breve praecipitur.

Cro. El. 352,
353.

In this Case it was resolved, That the said Custom of London, That no Person whatsoever, not being free of the City of London, shall by any Colour, Way, or Mean whatsoever, directly or indirectly, by himself or any other, keep any Shop or any other Place whatsoever, inward
or

or outward, for shew or putting to Sale of any Wares or Merchandizes whatsoever by way of Retail, or use any Trade, Occupation, Mystery or Handicraft, for hire, gain or sale, within the City of London, is, upon the whole Matter disclosed in the Return, a good (a) Custom; and that such Constitution made according to the Custom alledged in the Return, upon Pain of Forfeit. of 5 li. was also good. And as to that,

1. It was resolved, That there is a Differ. between such a Cust. within a City, &c. and a Chart. grant. to a City, &c.

to such Effect; for it is good by way of Cust. (b) but not by Grant: and therof. no Corpor. made with. time of Memory can have such Priv. unless it be by Act of Parl. So a

Cust. that Goods foreign bought (c) and foreign sold within a City shall be forfeit. is good, as app. *Dyer, Mich. 10 & 11 Eliz. 279.* But such Privil. can't begin by Chart. And therof.

in the 5 Part of my *Rep. Trin. 41 Eliz. Betw. Waltham and Austin in Com' Banco*, the Case was, That K. H. 6. grant.

to the Corpora. of (d) *Dyers in London*, Power to search, &c. and if they found any Cloth died with *Logwood*, that

the Cloth should be forf. and it was adjudged, That by the Patent no Forfei. can be imposed on the Goods of a Subj. and therof. in *hujusmodi Casibus fortior & potentior est vul-*

garis Consuetudo, quam regalis Concessio. So it app. by the *Reg. 105. b.* the Custom of *Rippon* is, *Quod Archiepiscopus (e) E-*

borum ratione Domini sui de Ripon talem Libertatem in Villa prae

debeat seu consuevit officio sine mysterio Tinctoris sine licentia ipsius Archiepiscopi. But *Trin. 44. Eliz.* in an Action on the

Case between *Edw. Darcy, Esq; Plaintiff*, and *Thomas Allen* Defend. the Case was, That Queen *Eliz.* granted to the

Plaintiff, that he should have the sole Traffick with (f) Playing-cards, and should only import them from beyond

the Sea into this Kingdom, also that he should have the sole making of Playing-cards in this Realm, in such ample

Manner as *Ralph Bows* had it before; and it was adjudg. that that Grant to make Playing-cards only, and to restr.

Trade and Traffick was void, because Trade and Traffick is the Life of every Commonwealth, and especially of an

Island. And it is true, Trade and Traffick can't be maintained or increas'd without Order and Government; and therof. the King may erect *Guildam mercatoriam, i. e.* a Fraternity or Socie. or Corpor. of Merch. to the End that good

Order and Rule should be by them obser. for the Encrease and Advance. of Trade and Merchand. and not for the Hindrance or Diminu. of it. And it is to be known That (g) *Guildan* is a *Sax.* word, and sig. *solvere, i. e.* That all of

such Frater. shall be subj. to pay Scot and Lot: And therof. at this day such part of the Coun. wh. is contrib. amon. them-

themf. to pay comm. Char. is called the *Guildable*; and if there be any special Liberty, it is called the Franchise. 8.

E. 3. (h) 37. a. b. Jefferey at Hay brought an Action of

(a) *Bridgman*
140. 4 *Inst.* 249.
Cart. 169.

(b) *Lutw. 564,*
Salk. 204. 2 Rol.
Rep. 203. Mod.
Rep. 18. 11 Co.

54. a. 87. b.
Bridgman 140.
Cart. 115. Cr.
El. 803.

(c) *Moor 581.*
2 *Keb. 397.*
2 *Rol. 597. Postea*
126. a. 128. a.

2 *Brownl. 287.*
1 *Jones 162.*
Dy. 279. pl. 10.

(d) 2 *Inst. 47.*
Postea 127. b.
Palm. 5.

(e) 2 *Brownl.*
178, 179. *Owen*
67.

(f) 2 *Inst. 47.*
2 *Brownl. 287.*
Hob. 212.
11 *Co. 84. b.*

86. a. *Moor 671.*
672, &c. *Noy.*
173, 174, &c.

3 *Inst. 182.*
1 *Keb. 269.*
Hard. 55. 2 Rol.
214.

(g) 2 *Brownl.*
286.

(h) 2 *Brownl. 287,*
178. 2 *Bul. 195.*

The City of London's Case. PART VIII.

Trespafs against *William at Ford* and *Robert Gray*, that they wrongfully with Force had broken his Fold at *Hastings*: The Defend. pleaded, That *Johan de Frichborn* was and yet is seised of the Manor of *Hastings* in Fee, and that the said *Johan* and her Ancestors, and all the Lords of the said Man. whose Estate she has, *a tempore cuius* &c. have used to have this Franchise, *i. to have a free Fold, (i. liberam Faldam)* thro' the whole Town of *Hastings*, and to have a Lock of Wool of the Sheep, so that none in the Town of *Hastings* ought to have free Fold with. Agreem. made with her; and if any did erect a Fold without Agreement, &c. that the Lords for the Time being had used to abate it, and that *Jeffery at Hay* the Plaintiff, set up a new Fold with. Agreem. wherof. the Defendants, as Serv. to the said *Johan*; came and disjoined the Hurdles, and abated them, &c. And there *Parning* Serjeant took two Exceptions to this Prescrip. 1. That it is in the Negat. *scil.* That none ought to have a Fold. 2. Because every one of comm. Right might have a Fold in his own Land, and therof. it would be aga. Reason to oust him of that which the Com. Law gives him; and although he said that the Lords have used to have a Free Fold, that is of common Right also, which can't take from a Man that which common Right gives him: & *non allocatur*, because the Prescription contains an Affirmative with a Negative, and every Prescription is against common Right: then the Plaintiff replied and said, that the Defendants have justified the abating of the Fold, by Reas. of the Seigniori of *Johan*: to which we say, that the Place where the Fold is set, is out of the Lordship of *Johan*, &c. and no Plea, because the said *Johan* claims the said Franchise through the whole Town of *Hastings*, as well out of her Lordship as within; whereupon the Plaintiff made another Replication, By which Case it appears that although folding of Sheep is for the Maintenance of Tillage (which is so much esteemed and favoured in Law) yet by Custom and Usage a Man may be barred thereof upon his own Land, and another than he, of wh. the Land is held, may have it; and therewith agr. 3 E. 3. 3. *a. John de Sedgford's (a) Case*, where the Prior of *Trinity* of *Norwich* Lord of the Manor of *Sedgford*, made the like Prescripti. *Mich. 32 & 33 Eliz. in Banco Regis*, Sir *George (b) Farnour* bro. an Acti. on the Case aga. *Brook*, and shewed that he was sei. of the Man. of *Torcester* in the County of *Northamp.* in Fee, and that all the Tenem. of the said Town are held of his said Man. and shew'd that *a temp' cuius* &c. he, and all those, &c. had had a Bake-houfe Parcel of the said Man. maintain. at their Cha. and that this Bake-houfe was suffi. to bake Bread for all the Inhab. and for all Passen. thro' the same Town; and the Bre. so bak. had used, &c. to be sold at reasona. Prices, and that no other Person within the said Town has used to bake any Bread to sell to any Person; And it was adjudged

(a) 2 Brownl. 287.

(b) 1 Rol. 559.
1 Leon. 142.
Owen 67. Ray.
327. Bridg. 140.
2 Brownl. 179.
2 Bulstr. 195.
Cr. El. 203, 204.
Styls 421. 2 Ro.
Rep. 201. Litt.
Rep. 250.

a reasonable Custom by Sir *Chr. Wray*, & *totam Curiam*, and yet this Custom restrains a Man from exercising his Trade within a certain Place. *Vide Regiff'* 105, 127. 11 *H. 6. 19. 9 E. 3. 4.* There are divers Cust. in *London*. which are against com. Right, and the Rule of the com. Law, and yet they are allowed in our Books, and *eo potius*, because they have not only the Force of a Cust. but are also support. and fortifi. by Authority of (a) Parliament. 1. They have a Cust. concern. the Arrest and Imprison. of the Body of a Man, as the Creditor may arrest the (b) Debt. before the Day of Paym. to drive him to find Sureties, L. 5 *E. 4. 30. a. 11 H. 6. 3. a.* and 2 *Hen. 7. 15. 2 Hen. 4. 12. b.* 2. They have a Cust. to enter the House of ano. which is his (c) Castle; and thereof. the Cust. of *London*. is, That when a Chaplain or a Priest has a Wom. in his House or Chamb. and one hath an ill Suspicion thereof, he who hath such Suspicion may come to the Constable of the Ward (d) or Beadle, and with him may enter into the House or Chamber of the Chaplain or Priest, and commit the Offend. to Pri. 2 *H. 4. 12. b. 2 H. 7. 15.* 3. By their Custom the Goods of a Man in which he hath an absolute Property may be forfeited, as in the Case before of Foreign bought and Foreign sold. 4. They have a Custom which alters the Course of Justice, *scil.* where an Action is brought bef. one Judge, to remove it pending the Plea before ano. as 10 *H. 6. 15. a.* In an Acti. of Debt on an Escape of a Man taken by *Capias* on a Stat. Merch. at the Plaintiff's Suit, The Defend. said, That the Cust. of *London*. is, that where a Plaint is affirmed bef. the Sher. of *London*. (e) that the Mayor at the Suggestion of the Plaint. or Defend. may send for the Parties, and if it be found on Examination before the Mayor, that the Plaint. is satisfi. he may award that the Plaint. shall be barred; and that the Plaint. affir. a Plaint of this Matter, and was examined before the Mayor, and on Examination it was found that the Part was paid, and that the Plaintiff had taken a Bond for the Residue, *ideo* the Mayor awarded that he should be barred, and it was adjudged, that the Custom was good, for that Examination was pending the Action; and *e contra*, if they prescribe to examine it after (f) Judgment. *Vide 1 E. 4. 6. b.* Executors charged in *London* on a simple (g) Contract; 15 *Eliz. Dyer*, in *London* the Mayor (h) who is the Coroner shall not pronounce the Judgment upon the Outlawry, but the Record. And many Except. were taken to the Return, beca. the Cust. alledged in the Begin. of the Return was not pursued. For the Cust. there alled. consists upon two general Parts, *scil.* the Misch. and the Remedy; the Misch. were three. 1. If any were diffi. 2. If defect. 3. If a new Case arise which *emend' indigeat*; the Reme. is That the Ma. and Ald. with the Cons. of the Commonal. have pow. by the Cust. *apponere*

(a) Cr. Car. 347. Hard. 303.

(b) Hard. 303. Br. London 24.

(c) 2 Co. 32. 2. 5 Co. 91. b. 7 Co. 6. 2. Cr. Eliz. 753. 1 Bul. 146. 11 Co. 82. 2.

(d) Hard. 303. Br. Trespass 74. Br. London 5. Br. Custom 10.

(e) 4 Inst. 248. 10 H. 6. 14. b. 15. 2. Fitz. Prescription 4. Br. London 30. Br. Custom 60.

(f) Hard. 303. 4 Inst. 248.

(g) 5 Co. 82. b. Cr. Eliz. 409. Noy 53. Swinb. 328. 329. Postea 133. 2.

(h) Dy. 317. pl. 6. Co. Lit. 286.

The City of London's Case. PART VIII.

ponere remedium, which Remedy ought to have five Qualities; 1. *Remedium debet esse congruum*, It ought to have fit Proportion and Congruity. 2. It ought to be *bona fidei consensum*. 3. It ought to be *rationi consonum*. 4. *Pro com' utilitate Civium & aliorum fidelium ad eandem Civitatem confluentium*. 5. *Quod sit utile Regi & populo*. And it was objected, that the said Constitution appoints a Remedy which has but one of the said five Qualities; for it provid. only, as app. by the exprefs Words of the Consti. for the Bene. of the Freemen of the said City, *scil. for avoiding of such Prejudice and Damage as groweth to the Freemen of the said City, &c. and to provide for the common Profit and Good of the Freemen and Citizens of this City, It is therefore, &c.* by which it appears that this Remedy was only made for the Freemen of the City, and so not pursuant, & *non allocatur*, because it appears to the Court, that this Remedy has all the said five Qualities, and therefore it was resolved, that it need not be averr'd by the Party. *Vide* 46 E. 3. 16. b. no Price (a) of Money shall be expressed in the Writ, because it appears of it self, 12 H. 4. 17. the Son (b) within Age brings an Assise of Mortdancester, he need not averr, that it is within the time of Limitation, for it appears. *Vide* *Flow' Com' (c) Partridge's Case*, 87. the same Ground, *Vide* 26 H. 6. Gard (d) 58, &c. But for the bet. Understand. of the true Rea. of the Resolu. in this Case; First, It was observed, That one may be *Liber (e) homo*, that is, a Freeman of *Lond.* by three Ways, *scil. 1.* By Service, as he who serves his Apprenti. 2. By Birthright, as he who is the Son of a Freeman of *London*; 3. By Redemption, that is, by Allowance of the Court of Mayor and Aldermen, and all these three Ways are allowed by the Custom of the City of *London*, and by no other Means can a Man become a Freeman of *London*: for none can be made free of the City of *London* by Chart. and therefore it appears in *Rot' Pat' 32 E. 3. in Turri London, That King Ed. 3. granted to John (f) Falcourt de Luca* Apothecary Citizen of *London*, *Quod ipse omnibus Libertatibus quas Civis Civitatis prad' habent in eadem Civitate & alibi infra regnum nostrum Anglia, habeat, gaudeat, & utatur, & quod de tribus denariis de libra, & omnibus aliis prestationibus & custumis quas alienigena de bonis & merchandis suis infra reg' Anglia solvere tenentur, de propriis bonis & merchandis ipsius Johannis infra idem regnum ad totam vitam suam sit quietus, & quod plus quam alii Civis nostri London indigena pro custumis merchandisarum & aliorum bonorum suorum nobis solvant solvere non teneatur, nec ad hoc aliquo modo compellatur*. But all these Words do not make him a Freeman of *Lond.* for he ought to att. unto it by one of the said three Ways, accord. to the said Cust. And it was said, that he was the first Apo. that ever was in this Kingdom.

And

(a) 9. Co. 54. b. Doct. pla. 86. Fitz. brief. 602. Br. faux Latin &c. 13. 46 E. 3. 15. a.
 (b) 9 Co. 54. b Doct. pla. 86. 13 H. 4. 17. a. Br. mort. dauncester 8. 7 Co. 39. b. 11 Co. 25. a.
 (c) 9 Co. 54. b. (d) 9 Co. 54. b. (e) 2 Brownl. 286. 4 Inst. 250. 2 Anderl. 276. 277 2 Bullfr. 189, 190. 3 Keb. 225.

(f) 2 Brownl. 286, 287.

And it was resolved, That it appears that the Remedy appointed by the said Constitution has all the said five Qualities. 1 *Quod Remedium præd. fuit congruum*, that is, apt and proportionable to the Offence, for it appears by Act of Common Council in 3 E. 4. which inflicts the Penalty of 40 s. upon a Foreigner who keeps an open Shop, &c. that he who keeps an inward Shop is a greater Offend. than he who keeps an open Shop; for London is a Market (a) overt every Day, except only the (b) Sabbath-day, but secret places in Corners, as the Case of the said James Wagoner is, is more dangerous and offensive than outward Shops, for there he may use Deceit, and is not sub. to any Search; (c) *Qui male agit odit lucem*, and, *omnia delicta in aperto leviora sunt*. In 11 H. 7. 19. a. b. The Prior of Dunstable brought an Action on the Case aga. J. B. Butcher, and declar. that he was Lord of the Town of D. and that he, &c. had a Market twice in the Week, and the Correcti. of the said Market, and that all Butchers, and all oth. who sell Meat or any other Commo. which came to the said Market, that they ought to sell them in the High-street of the said Town, upon the Prior's Stalls, pay. 1 d. to the Prior; and that the Defend. is a Butch. and sold his Meat such a Market-day within his own House *occulte*, and had procured others so to do, by which, &c. the Defend. pleaded that he was a Houfholder in the said Town of D. and all those who are Houfhold. in the said Town have used *a tempore cujus*, &c. to sell their Wares, &c. ev. Market-day in their own Hou. or where ever else they pleased; and there *Cotesmore* who gave the Rule in the Case, and the Reason of it, said, this Prescrip. is not to the Purp. For if the Prior had a Market within the Town, and is Lord of the Town, you can't prescribe to sell Meat in your own House on the Market Day; For the Market can't be but in an open Pla. and the Prior then wou'd lose the Bene. of his Market, if they might sell their Wares in their Hou. and also where he has the Correct. of the Mark. and to see if the Things wh. shall be sold are lawful and vendible, which can't be tried by his Offi. if it be not in open Mark. and also he wou'd lose his Toll of the Things sold; so that when the Market belongs to the Prior, which ought to be held in the Market-place appoin. for that Purpose, he can't hold a Market in his own House, but in the common Place, upon the Market-day; wherefo. &c. And as it hath been said, London has a Market every Day in the Week, Sunday only excepted. *Vide Mich. 32 & 33 Eliz.* in the Fifth Part of my Reports, 63. a. a Constitution (d) in London, That all Broad-cloth by Cit. or For. shall be put to Sale at *Blackwell-hall*, so that it may ap. to be saleable And note there a Pena. infli. for the Restra. of a lawf. Act, but here, of an unlaw. And theref. if a Foreigner who keeps an open Shop shall for. 40 s. he who is a Foreigner

(a) 2 Brownl. 288. 5 Co. 83. b. Dyer 122. pl. 16. Cr. Eliz. 454. 35 H. 6. 29. b. Moor 260. 625. 2 Inst. 713. Poph. 34. 1 Andersonf. 344. 2 Andersonf. 115. 3 Co. 78. b. 9 Co. 66. (b) 9 Co. 66. b. Cr. Jac. 280. 496. Jenk Cent. 291. 1 Jones 156. 157. Cawly 78. Dy. 168. pl. 17. Hales pl. Co. 47. 2 Brownl. 288. (c) 8 Co. 37. b. 9 Co. 66. 2. 2 Brownl. 288. 1 Leon. 143. Br. prescription 98.

33. Pollexfens Argument in quo Warranto 81. Hard. 56. 210. Lanc 24. Bridgm. 140. 141. 2 Rol. Rep. 115. 1 Rolls 366. 2 Brownl. 287, 288.

The City of London's Case. PART VIII.

and offends in secret Corners is worthy to forfeit 5 li. And it was also observed, That the Value of an Ounce of Silver since 3 E. 4. has been raised. Also *remedium fuit congruum* in Respect of the Manner of Punishment, *scil.* by imposing a pecuniary Pain, and not a corporal Pain *scil.* Imprisonment; and therewith agrees the said Case of *Blackwell-hall*, Mich' 32 & 33 Eliz. and Trin. 38 Eliz. in the Fifth Part of my Reports fo. 64. a. *Clark's Case*, (a) A Constitution can't be made on Pain of Imprisonment; and the Case cited before of Trin' 41 Eliz. *inter Waltham* (b) & *Austen*, that a Constitution can't be made on Pain of Forfeiture of Goods, therefore it ought to be on a reasonable pecuniary Pain, or not at all. 2. *Remedium fuit bonæ fidei consonum*; for the Remedy is to suppress that which is done *mala fide* and in Deceit to defraud the said Custom. 3. *Remedium fuit rationi consonum*; for it is the Rule of Law and Reason, *quod* (c) *clam delinquens magis punitur quam palam*. 4. *Remedium fuit pro utilitate Civium & aliorum*, 1. *Civium*, for Foreigners are not subject to Scot and Lot, &c. 2. *Aliorum*, for the Confluence of People from all the Parts of the Realm to London produces three great Inconveniences; 1. *Depauperationem*, *scil.* impoverishing of all the good Towns in England. 2. *Depopulationem*, Depopulation of Towns in every Country. 3. *Destructionem*, Destruction in the End of all Trades and Tradesmen in every Part of the Realm. 4. *Civium & aliorum*, by the Pestilence, by Reason of the Multitude of People, and pestring of the Air, whereby it is dangerous, not only to the Subjects, but also to the King himself, and the great Lords who attend upon his Royal Person. 5. *Remedium fuit utile Regi & Populo*; not only for avoiding the Pestilence as before is said; but also, if London should daily increase, it would be in Time so populous, that it would become ungovernable by the Magistracy of the City: And as, when the City of London (which is *tanqu'* (d) *Epitome totius Regni*) is not well governed, all the Parts of the Kingdom find the Inconvenience thereof; so when this City is well governed, all the Parts of the Kingdom are kept in better Order, *quod utile est Regi & Populo*. Also the City would become so populous, that it would not be subject to search, &c. whereby Fraud and Deceit would increase in all Wares and vendible Commodities, not only to the Prejudice of the City it self, but also of the King and the whole Realm. Secondly, It was objected, That the said Return consists much in Recital, which ought to have been directly and certainly alledg'd. To which it was answ. and resol. that this is not on a Demurer in Law, but a Return on a Writ of Privilege, upon which no Issue can be tak. or Demur. join. neith. upon our Award herein doth any Writ of Error lie, and therof. the

Return

(a) 2 Inst. 54.
1 Rol. 363; 366;
367. Moor 411,
380, 412. 2 Bul.
328. Stiles 85.
1 Rolls 599.
1 Jones 162. Cr.
Argument 22.
2 Brownl. 288.
Bridg. 141, 142.
(b) Antea 125. a.
Palm. 5.
2 Inst. 47. Dyer
279. b. in Marg.
(c) 2 Brownl.
288.

(d) Postea 130.
a.

Return is no other, but to inform the Court of the Truth of the Matter, in which such a (*) precise Certainty is not required as in pleading. Thirdly, It was objected, That by the Statutes of 9 E. 3. c. 1. & 2. 25 E. 3. c. 2. 27 E. 3. c. 11. &c. it was enacted, That every one might sell any Commodities, or Things saleable in any City, &c. in Grofs, or by Retail, and that every Statute, Charter, Letters Patents, Proclamations, Usage, Allowance, or Judgment to the contrary are void. To which it was answered and resolved; 1. That the Statutes extend only to Merchants Aliens, and Denizens, which import and export vendible Things, and do not extend to take away the Custom of a City of foreign bought (a) and foreign sold, as it was resolved, *ut supra*, Mich. 10 & 11 Eliz. Dyer, in the Case of the City of York; and *vide* the Statute of 2 R. 2. cap. 1. which restrains the Sale of Wares by Retail, &c. by Merchants, Aliens, &c. 2. These Statutes do not extend to Tallow-Chandlers, or other such like Artificers, nor to any Manufactures made by them within the Realm. 3. It appears by the Judgment of the whole Parliament, Anno (b) 7 H. 4. cap. 9. That notwithstanding all the said Statutes, it was not lawful within the City of London (the Charters whereof are established and confirmed by many Parliaments) for any, be he Merchant Alien, Denizen, or other Leige Man whatsoever, who was a Stranger or Foreigner to the Liberty of the City of London, *scil'* who was not a Freeman of the said City, to sell any Merchandizes by Retail, &c. within the said City: and by the same Act it was ordained and established, That as well the Drapers and Sellers of Cloths, as other Merchants with other Merchandizes, as Wine, Iron, Oyl, Wax, and other Things appertaining to Merchandize, be free, to sell in grofs their Merchandize, *scil'* their Cloths, Iron, Oyl, Wax, and other their Merchandizes, as well to any of the King's Subjects as to the Citizens of London, notwithstanding any Liberty or Franchise granted to the contrary, which Act had been made in vain, if the City of London had been restrained by the said former Acts: But because the said Act did tend to the great Hindrance of the Mayor and Citizens aforesaid, and very like to be the Destruction of the Citizens, and against their Grants and Confirmations, at the next Parliament, *scil'* Anno (c) 9 H. 4. an Act of Parliament not printed was made, (which is to be seen in *Rot' Parliamenti apud Glouc'* 28 Octobr' anno 9 H. 4.) in these Words following. *Item*, The Commons pray, That as by diverse Kings of England, your Progenitors and Predecessors, our Sovereign Lords, by their Chart. confirmed by you by Authority of Parl. amongst other Franchises and Liberties to

(*) 2 Rollis Rep. 158.

(a) Antea 125. 2. Brownl. 287. Dy. 279. pl. 10. Moor 582. 2 Rol. 597. 1 Sand. 312. Cr. El. 110.

(b) 4 Inst. 249.

(c) 4 Inst. 249. Cotton Records 466.

the

The City of *London's* Case. PART VIII.

the Mayor and Citizens of *London*, and their Successors, it was granted, That no Merchant Estranger to the Liberty of the said City should sell any Merchandizes within the Liberty of the said City to other Merchant Stranger, nor such Merchant Stranger should buy of other Merchant Stranger any Merchandizes, under Forfeiture of the said Merchandizes: which Franchises and Liberties the said Mayor and Citizens of *London* which now are, and their Predecessors, by Authority of the said Grants and Confirmations have had and enjoyed ever since till at your last Parliament holden at *Westminster*, in the which by Authority of the same Parliament the said Article of their said Lib. was revok'd and annull'd by Statute, So that as well Drapers and Sellers of Cloth, as other Merchants, with their divers Merchandizes, as Wine, Iron, Oil and Wax, and other Things belonging to Merchandizes, are free to sell, in gross their Cloths and other their Merchandizes aforesaid, as well to any the King's Liege People, as to the said Citizens of *London*, notwithstanding any Franchise or Liberty granted to the contrary, to the great Prejudice of the Mayor and Citizens aforesaid, and the likely Destruction of the said Citizens against the Grants and Confirmations aforesaid: That it would please you our Sovereign Lord, with the Assent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in this Parliament, to repeal and annull the said Statute in your said last Parliament touching that Article: So that the said Mayor and Citizens and their Successors, be entirely restored to their said Liberties and Franchises by Statute: So that from henceforward no Merchant being a Stranger to the Liberty of the said City sell any Merchandizes within the Liberty of the said City to other Merchant Stranger; nor that such Merchant Stranger buy of other Merchant Stranger any such Merchandizes within the Liberty of the said City under Forfeiture thereof. Saving and reserving to all Lords, Knights, Esquires, and all other Liege Denizens of our Sovereign Lord the King, Power at their Will to buy within the Liberty of the said City of any Merchant Stranger, Merchandizes in gross to their own Use, so that they do not sell them again to any other. The King wills, That the Citizens of *London* have their Liberties and Franchises touching this Article, as entirely as they had before the last Parliament held at *Westminster*, the Statute made at the said Parliament notwithstanding. *Nota*, Reader, this Act is not only a good Exposition and Explanation of the former Statutes touching

touching this Matter; but also a good Demonstration of the Custom and Liberty of the City of London in these Points. In London a Citizen and Freeman may, by their Custom, devise in a (a) Mortmain, notwithstanding the Statute of Mortmain be to the contrary; and so in other like Cases. *Vide* 8 H. 7. 4. b. 9 H. 6. 58. 7 H. 6. 1. a. 45 E. 3. 26. b. 28 Ass. 25. 5 H. 7. 10. a. 11 H. 7. 21. a. 23 Eliz. Dyer 373. for all the Customs of London are established and confirmed by Act of Parliament, as appears by this Return. And it was observed, That in the Case at Bar, in the said Return there are five general Parts; 1. The Custom. 2. The general Act to enable and preserve this Custom, which was before all the said Statutes. 3. A particular Charter, Anno 15 Ed. 3. which of itself was not sufficient, and therefore it was confirm'd and established by Act of Parliament. 4. Former Precedents of Constitu. in the like Cases, viz. in 3 E. 4. & 17 H. 8. 5. The Constitution upon which the Action was brought in London. But the Court took Advise-ment upon one Part of the Return, by which it is averred *Quod* Jacobus Wagoner *usus est manuali occupatione de Tallow Chandler*, and doth not shew that he sold any Candles, &c. for if he made them for his own Use, (b) without selling any for Lucre or Gain, he might well do it, as every one may Bake or Brew, &c. for his own Use, without selling Bread or Beer: But it seems that is implied by the said Averment, that it is his Trade, by which he lives by Sale of his Commodities of his Trade, and not only to make them for his own Use, for it is not properly said, that one uses a manual Occupation; when he makes no more than for himself, as he who Brews or Bakes for his own Use, it is not properly said, that he uses the manual Occupation of a Brewer or Baker, and that appears by the Statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 4. (c) for there it is enacted, *That every Person being an Householder and four and twenty Years Old, &c. and using and exercising any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation, shall, &c. have and retain, &c. an Apprentice, &c.* But without Question, he who uses the making of any Manufacture for his own Use, as making of Candles, &c. can't retain any Apprentice within the Statute of 5 Eliz. So in another Part of the Act it is enacted, *That it shall not be lawful to any Person or Persons, &c. to set up, occupy, use or exercise any Craft, Mystery, or manual Occupation, except he shall have been brought up therein seven Years at the least, as an Apprentice, &c.* And yet he who Bakes, Brews, makes Candles, &c. for his own Use, is not said in Law to use any manual Occupation: And upon this Branch, and much to this Purpose, a Judgment was given in the Court of Exchequer, and afterwards affirmed in a Writ of Error in the Exchequer-chamber, *Mich.*

(a) 2 Bulstr. 189.
1 Rolls 556.
Dyer 255. pl. 3.
373. b. pl. 13.
Br. Custom. 41.
7. Br. Devise
51. 22. 5 H. 7.
19. b. 7 H. 6. 1. a.
28 Ass. 24.

(b) Bridg. 140.
141. 11 Co. 54. a.
Hob. 211, 183.
Moor 886. Cr.
Car. 499. Jenk.
Cent. 284.
13 Co. 12. Palm.
544. Lit. Rep.
251.

(c) 11 Co. 54. a.
Moor 869.
Godb. 253.
Hard. 56. Carr.
119. Palm. 396.
Hob. 183, 211.
2 Rolls Rep. 395.
1 Sid. 303. 2 Keb.
125. 2 Bulstr.
186. 1 Rolls Rep.
10. Calthorp. 9.
3 Bulstr. 179.
Stylus 223, 383.
479. Cr. Jac. 85.
179. Cr. El. 737.
Cr. Car. 316.
347. 499. 516.
2 Rolls 579.
1 Jones 412.
Nov. 5. Hurt.
99. 132. 5 Co.
63. b.

The City of London's Case. PART VIII.

6 Jacobi, and the Case, worthy to be known, was such. *Taylor* did inform in the Exchequer, on the Stat. of 5 Eliz. c. 4.

(a) Cr. Jac. 178,
179. Jenk. Cent.
284. 13 Co. 11,
12. Shoile's
Case. M. 6. Jac.
* Salk. 611.
Palm. 393.

tam pro Dom' Rege, quam pro seipso, against (a) *Shoile*, that he had exercised the Art and Mystery of a Brewer, &c. for divers Months, aga. the said Act, and * averred, that the Defendant did not use or exercise the Art or Mystery of a Brewer at the Time of the making of the said Act, nor had been an Apprentice for seven Years at the least, in the Art and Mystery of a Brewer, according to the said Act, &c. The Defendant demurr'd in Law upon the Information, and Judgment was given against him by the Barons of the Exchequer, on which Judgment a Writ of Error was brought in the Exchequer-Chamber, and *Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis*, the Matter was argued by Counsel on both Sides; and two Errors were assigned, one, that a Brewer is not within the said Branch of the said Act, on which the Information is conceived, for the Words are, *That it shall not be lawful to any Person or Persons, other than such as now do lawfully use or exercise any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation, to set up, use or exercise any Art, Mystery or Occupation, except he shall have been brought up therein seven Years at the least as an Apprentice*: And it was said, that the Trade of a Brewer is not any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation within the said Branch, because it is easily and presently learned, and need not have seven Years Apprenticeship to be instructed in it, for every Housewife in the Country can brew; and the Stat. of (b) 22 H. 8. cap. 13. declares, that a Brewer is not an Handicraft Artificer. The other Error was, That the said Averment was not sufficient, for the (c) Averment ought to be as general as the Exception in the Statute is, *sc.* That the Defend. did not use any Art, Mystery or Occupat. at the Time of the making of the Act; for by their Pretence, if he exercised any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation then, as a Taylor, Carpenter, &c. he might now use any oth. Art, Mystery, or manual Occupa. whatsoever. As to the first, it was resolved, That the Art of a (d) Brewer, *sc.* to keep a common Brew-houise to sell Beer to any other, is an Art, Mystery, and manual Occupation within the said Branch of the Act; for in the Beginning of the Act it is enacted, *That no Person shall retain for less Time than a whole Year in any of the Sciences, Crafts, Mysteries, or Arts of Clothing, &c. Bakers, Brewers, &c. Cooks, &c.* So that by the Judgment of that very Parliament, the Trade of a Brewer is an Art and Mystery: Which Words are in the said Branch upon which the said Information is grounded. And it was resolved, That he who brews or bakes, &c. for his own (e) Use, doth not use or exercise any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation against the said Act, for the said Words imply, that he

(b) 13 Co. 12.

(c) 13 Co. 12.

(d) Palm. 542.
Cr. Jac. 178.
13 Co. 12. Hct.
102. 2 Bullstr.
189, 190. Jenk.
Cent. 284.

(e) Lit. Rep. 251.
11 Co. 54. 2.
Bridg. 140, 141.
11 Co. 54. 2.
Hob. 183, 211.
Moor 886. Cr.
Car. 499. Jenk.
Cent. 284.
13 Co. 12.
Palm. 544.

fo.

PART VIII. The City of London's Case.

122

fo use or exercife the Art, Myftery, or manual Occupation, that by Sale of the Commodities of his Occupation he get his living, but to fay, that it is not any Art, Myftery, or manual Occupation, becaufe every Houfewife brews for her (a) private Ufe, fo likewise ſhe bakes and dreſſes Meat, &c. (a) Lit. Rep. 251⁷ and yet none can keep a common Bake-houſe, or Cook's-
 Cro. Jac. 178.
 Cro. Car. 499.
 11 Co. 54. 2a
 13 Co. 12.
 Bridgm. 140.
 141. Hob. 183.
 211. Moor 886.
 Jenk. Cent. 284.
 Palm. 544.
 (b) 13 Co. 12.

ſhop to ſell to others, unleſs he has been an Apprentice, &c. according to the ſaid Act, for they are expreſly named alſo in the Act, as Arts and Myſteries: And the Act of 22 H. 8. explains, That a Brewer, Baker, Chirurgion, (b) or Scrivenor alien, are no Handicraftsmen within the Purview and Intention of certain Penal Laws; but that doth not prove that they are not Arts or Myſteries; for Art or Myſtery is more general than Handicraft, for that is reſtrain'd to Manufactures, but not within the Penalty of the ſaid Statutes, and it is no Queſtion, that in Truth they all are Arts, Myſteries, or manual Occupations. As to the ſecond it was reſolved, That (c) the Intent of the Act was, That none ſhould take (c) 13 Co. 12⁷ upon him any Art, Myſtery, or manual Occupation, but ſuch in which he had Knowledge: And therefore the Statute intended, That he who uſed any Art, Myſtery, or manual Occupation at the Time of the ſaid Act, might uſe the ſame Art or Myſtery; for (d) *quod quiſque norit in hac ſe exercent*; (d) Co. Lit. 125. a. 9 Co. 13. a. 12 Co. 66² and the Words of the ſaid Branch are, *as now do lawfully uſe*, &c. And it was ſaid, That it was very (e) neceſſary (e) 13 Co. 12. that Brewers ſhould have Skill and Knowledge in brewing good and whoſome Beer, for that doth much conduce to Mens Health. And ſo the firſt Judgment was affirmed. And in this Caſe at the Bar, as well by the Serjeants, as by the Juſtices in their Arguments, much was ſaid of the Antiquity of the City of London. *Ammianus Marcellinus*, who Wrote about 1200 Years paſt, ſaith, That then it was (f) (f) 4 Inſt. 247⁷ *Oppidum vetuſtum*. *Cornelius Tacitus*, (who married the 2 Brownl. 286² Daughter of *Cneius Lucius Agricola*, and who was in this Kingdom with *Agricola* ſeven Years) ſaith, *Quod Londinum tempore Neronis* (which is above 1500 Years ago) was (g) (g) 4 Inſt. 249⁷ *Copia negotiatorum & comœatu maxime celebre*. And (g) 4 Inſt. 249⁷ omitting all that (h) *Stephanides* (who Wrote in the Reign 2 Brownl. 286² of H. 2.) has ſaid of the Honour and Antiquity of this City, I ſay, *Quod hac eſt Camera Regis, Cor Republica, & tantam* (i) *Epitome totius regni*. (i) 4 Inſt. 247⁷

(i) Antea 127. b.

Paschæ 7 Jacobi Regis.

The Case of THETFORD SCHOOL, &c.

Popham 67.
Moor 594. Cr.
El. 288. Duke
sur Charitable
Uits 78, 79. 80.

UPON a private Bill exhibited in the Parliament for Erection of a Free-School, Maintenance of a Preacher, and of four poor People, *scil.* two poor Men, and two poor Women, according to the Will of Sir *Thomas Fulmerstone*, Knight, a Question was moved by the Lords, and was such, Land of the Value of 35*l.* *Anno 9 Eliz. Reginae*, was devised by Will in Writing to certain Persons and their Heirs, for the Maintenance of a Preacher four Days in the Year, of a Master and Usher of a Free Grammar-School, and of certain poor People; and a special Distribution was made by the Testator himself, in the same Will, amongst them, of the Revenues, *sc.* To the Preacher a certain Sum, and certain Sums to the School-master and Usher, and to the poor People, amounting in the whole to 35*l. per Annum*, which was the yearly Profit of the Land at that Time; and afterwards the Lands became of greater Value, *viz.* of the Value of 100*l. per Annum*. Now two Questions were moved, 1. Whether the Preacher, School-Master, Usher and Poor, should have only the said certain Sums appointed to them by the Founder, or that the Revenue and Profit of the Land should be employ'd to the Increase of the Stipend of the Preacher, School-Mast. Usher and Poor? 2. If any Surplusage remain'd,

remain'd, How it should be employ'd? And it was resolv'd, on hearing of Counsel learned on both Parts, several Days at *Serjeants-Inn*, by the two Chief Justices, and *Walmesley* Justice (to whom the Lords referred the Consideration of the Case) That the Revenue and Profit of the said Land should be employed to the Encrease of the Stipend of the Preacher, School-Master, &c. and Poor, and if any Surplussage remain'd, it should be expended for the Maintenance of a greater (a) Number of Poor, &c. and noth. should be converted by the Devisees to their own Uses. So in the Case in Question, Where Lands in *Croxton*, in the County of *Norfolk*, were devised by *Sir Richard Fulmerstone*, to his Executors, to find the said Works of Piety and Charity, with such certain Distribution as is aforesaid; and now the Value of the Manor was greatly encreased, that it shall be employed in Performance and Increase of the said Works of Piety and Charity instituted and erected by the Founder. For it appears by his Distribution of the Profits, that he intended the whole should be employed in Works of Piety and Charity, and nothing should be converted to the private Use of the Executors, or their Heirs. And this Resolution is grounded on evident and apparent Reason; for, as if the Lands had decreased in Value, the Preacher, School-Master, &c. and poor People should lose, so when the Lands increase in Value, *pari ratione* they shall gain. And they said, That this Case concern'd the Colleges in the Universities of *Cambridge* and *Oxford*, and other Colleges, &c. For in antient Time, when Lands were of small yearly Value (Viſtuals then being cheap) and were given for the Maintenance of poor Scholars, &c. and that every Scholar, &c. should have 1 d. or 1 d. ob. a Day, that then such small Allowance was competent in respect of the Price of Viſtuals, and the yearly Value of the Land; and now the Price of Viſtuals being encrea. and with them the annual Val. of the Lands, it would be now Injurious to allow a poor Scholar 1 d. or 1 d. ob. a Day, which can't keep him, and to convert the Residue to private Uses, where in right the whole ought to be employed to the Maintenance or Increase (if it may be) of such Works of Piety and Charity which the Founder has expressed, and nothing to any pri. Use; for every College is seized *in jure Collegii, scilicet*, to the Intent that the Members of the College, according to the Intent of the Founder, should take the Benefit, and that nothing should be converted to private Uses. *Panis e-*
gentium

The Case of Thetford School. PART VIII.

(2) Duke sur
Charitables U-
fes 72. Hen sur
charitable U-
fes 80. Co. Lit.
342. 2. 4. Co.
106. 2.

genium (a) vita pauperum, & qui defraudat eos homo sanguinis est. And afterwards, upon Conference had with the other Justices, they were of the same Opinion: and according to their Opinions the Bill passed in both Houses of Parliament, and afterwards was confirmed by the King's Royal Assent. Note, Reader, there is a good Rule in the Act of Parliament called, *Statutum Templariorum: Ita semper quod pia & celeberrima voluntas Donatorum in omnibus teneatur & explicatur, & perpetuo sanctissime perseveret.*

Paschæ

Paschæ 8 Jacobi Regis.

In Communi Banco.

TURNOR'S Case.

TErmino Michaelis anno 6 Jacobi Rot 1811. Edward Turnor, Gent' Executor of E. Turnor brought an Action of Debt against Ed. Lawrence and others, Administrators of Richard Booker, on a Bond of 100 li. made by the said Rich. Booker to E. Turnor the Testator: the Defendants pleaded in Barr a former Judgment in the King's Bench upon several Bills, which amounted to 60 li. &c. *Et ulterius dic' quod alias scilicet ad Curiam Domini Regis tent' apud Civitatem Cicestr' in Guildhaldia Civit' præd' coram Roberto Adams tunc Majore dictæ Civit' die Luna, videlicet 23 die Febr' anno Regni ipsius Dom' Regis quarto, Thomas Billet querebatur versus ipsos Edw' & alios Defendentes Administratores dicti Richardi Booker de placito quod iidem Edward', &c. redderent ei centum libras quas ei ad tunc injuste detinuerunt, super quo ad eandem Curiam iidem Edw' Lawrence, &c. Solemniter exacti fuerunt, & per Leonard Smith Aitor'n suum comperuerunt & tunc dixerunt quod ipsi non potuerunt deducere Actionem præd' Thomæ Billet præd', nec quin Scriptum obligator' virtute cuj' idem Thomas Billet debitum præd' de eisdem Edwardo, &c. exigebat, fuit Factum præd' Rich' Booker, nec quin præd' Richard' Booker in vita sua debuit præd' Thomæ Billet præd' debitum centum librarum, modo & forma prout præd' Thomas Billet ad tunc versus eos querebatur: whereupon Judgment was given in the same Court for the said Tho Billet: and pleaded another Judgment for 60 li. in the same Court at the Suit of John Gibbens: and pleaded divers other former Recoveries in Actions of Debt in the same Court against the same Ad-*

TURNOR'S Case. PART VIII.

ministrators, amounting in the whole to 514 li. 8 d. and that they have not Goods or Chattels of the Intestate in their Hands to be administer'd, *præterquam Bona & Catalla*

(a) Vaugh. 104.
1 Roll. Rep. 30.
9 Bridgm. 80.
Co. 108. b.

que (a) non attingunt ad valentiam p̄ 514 li. 8 d. versus ipsos in forma præd' recuperat' which are chargeable and liable to the said several Executions: The Plaintiff replied

(b) Bridgm. 80.

and said, That the said Recov. of the said *John Githens (b) habita fuit per Fraudem & Covinam, &c. ad ipsum Edw. Turnor de debito suo præd' defraudand' & decipiend'* upon which they were at Issue to be tried by the Country: And as to the said Recovery of the said 100 l. against the Defen. that the Def. after the Death of the said *Rich. Booker*, and after the said Recovery, and before the Purchase of the said original Writ, 24 Feb. Anno 4. Reg' nunc, have paid to the said *Tho. Billet* 60 li. Parcel of the said 100 li. recovered by him as is aforesaid, in full Satisfaction and Discharge of the said Judgment, with which Payment the said *Thomas Billet* held, and yet holds himself contented and satisfy'd, and then and there offered, and yet offers to release the said *Ed. Lawrence*, &c. the said 100 li. or to acknowledge Satisfaction thereof in the said Court of our Lord the King at *Chichester*, at the Charges of the said Administrators: But

(c) 3 Keb. 577.
1 Roll. Rep. 504
Litch. 111.
9 Co. 109. 2.
1 Jones 92.

the said *Edward*, &c. deceitfully, and to the Intent to (c) defraud and deceive the said *Ed. Turnor Executorem de justo debito suo, Cognitionem Satisfactionis de præd' centum libris, &c. Sive de judicio præd' relaxari, &c. disfulerunt & adhuc differunt & Judicium præd' inde in suo robore & vigore permanere sinunt ad Intentionem prædictam*. And made the like Replicat. to the other Recoveries: whereup. the Def. demurr'd in Law; And the Point in Law was, When a Judgment is given against an Administrator or Executor, for a just Debt. due by the Intestate or Testa. if the said subsequent Agree- ment as is before allעד'd shall avail the Plaintiff or not? And it was objected, That sofarasmuch as the Judgment was obtain- ed *bona fide* for a just and true Debt, the subsequent Agree- ment can't make the Recovery covenous, and so long as it remains in Force, unless the Executors have Goods and Chattels in their Hands above that Judgment, they are not bound by Law to pay any other Debt, and Covin. can't be alledged in doing of a lawful Act; As in a Writ of Dower

(d) Plow. 43. b.
44. 2. 48. 2. Br.
collusion 20.

(d) against a Disseisor, if the Tenant pleads in Abatement of the Writ an Entry by the Disseisee, the Demandant shall not be receiv'd to averr the Entry to be by Covin. to abate his Writ, because the Entry is congeable and lawful, and mixt with no Wrong, as it is held in 15 E. 4. 4. b. but if a Woman has lawful Title (e) of Dower, and causes another to disseise the Tenant, against whom she recovers upon a good Title, it shall not bind the Disseisee,

as

(e) Plowd. 51. 2.
Fitz. Dower 42.
Br. Dower 15.
Br. fauxifier de
Recovery 6. Br.
collusion 10.
Plowd. 54. b.
5 Co. 78. 2.

5 Co. 31. 2. 11 E. 4. 2. 2. 6 Co. 58. 2. 44 E. 3. 45. b. 46. 2. Co. Lit. 35. 2. 357. b. 1 Siderf. 21.
anc 44. 1 Roll. 549. 2 Roll. Rep. 17. Pirik. Sect. 396. 44 Ass. 25. 18 H. 8. 5. 2.

as it is held in 44 E. 3. 45. b. The same Law of him who is put to his *Formedon*, or any other real Action; and the Rea. is, because the Demandant's Right is mix'd by Covin with a Tort, whi. is an ill Herb, and makes the whole Act tortious. *Vide* 25 Ass. p. 1. 22 Ass. p. 92. 27 Ass. p. 74. 41 Ass. p. 28. 44 Ass. p. 29. 11 H. 4. 60, 61. 15 E. 4. 4. b. 11 E. 4. 2. 7 H. 7. 11. 1 H. 8. 5. 19 H. 8. 13. But it was answered and resolved, and so adjudged, That the Plaintiff should (a) recover; For an Exe. or Admini. ought to exe. (a) Bridgm. 80. his Office, and admin. the Goods of the decea. (b) lawfu. (b) 5 Co. 28. b. truly, and diligently: Lawfully, in paying of all Duties, Debts and Legacies, in such Precedency and Order as they ought to be paid by the Law: Truly, to (c) convert noth. to (c) Cart. 127. his own Use; for an Exec. or Admi. hath not the Deceased's Goods to his own Use, but in ano. (d) Right, and to ano. (d) Cart. 134. Use, and ought not, by any Pra. or Device, to barr or hin. any Credi. of his Debt, but ought truly to exe. his Office accord. to the Trust which is repo. in him: Diligently, *quia* (e) *negligentia semper habet Comitem infortunium*. Then in (e) 3 Bulfr. the Case at Barr, when the Admi. compound with one who 110. has a Judgment of 100 l. for 60 li. and the Plaintiff offers to (f) rele. or to ackno. Satis. and they deferr it to the Intent (f) 1 Jones 91. that the Judgm. may stand in Force, by which the Plaintiff will be defrau. of his true Debt, and the Admini. convert the Deceased's Goods to their pri. Use, wh. is alto. aga. their Off. and the Trust repo. in them; And therof. be such Agreem. eith. precedent bef. the Reco. or subse. aft. the Reco. it's all one as to the Cred. who is a third Person, for he is defrau. as well by the subse. Agreem. as by the Agreem. precedent, and thereby the Admini. aga. their Offi. and the Trust repo. in them, wou'd make a priv. Gain, where they ought not, and the Cred. who is a Stran. wou'd lose his Debt, whi. is by the Law due to him: And an Agreem. betw. two shall not hurt or preju. a third Per. And (g) *Goodale's Case, in the 5th* (g) Poph. 99. Part of my Reports fo. 95. was cited and well applied to this 100. Cr. El. Case. And if any Prejudice accrues to the Admini. in this 383, 384. Jenk. Case, it is their own Fault, for *Billet* the Plaintiff would ha. Cent. 261. Goldsb. 176, 177. Co. Lii. 209. b. Moor 708, 709. 1 Rol. 421. Hob. 72. released to them or acknow. Satis. but they deferred it, to the End by this Means to barr the Plaintiff. of his just and true Debt. 2. It was resol. That the Barr as to all the Reco. pleaded in the Court of *Chichester* was insufficient, for the Validity of the said Reco. was all the Life and Force of the Barr: and, 1. It doth not ap. that the Mayor had Jurisd. or Power (b) to hold a Court, eith. by Prescrip. or Patent. 2. It (b) Vaugh. 93. ap. by the Decla. in the said Court, that the Action of Debt 94. 1 Jones 451. Cro. El. 489. was bro. for 100 l. without making Mention of any Bond, Cro. Jac. 184. and therefore it ought not to be intended, that there was 493. 532. Cro. any Bond, and then the said Administra. were not charge- Car. 46. Yelv. 46. able in an Action of Debt with a simple (i) Contract, (i) Anrea 126. 2. and Hard. 303. Yelv. 20. Vaugh. 93.

94, 95. 5 Co. 82. b. 9 Co. 86. b. 87. 1. Cro. El. 127, 409. Noy 53. Poph. 32. Swinb. 378, 329. 1 And. 282, 183. 1 Leon. 165. Mo. 366. 1 Sid. 333. Goldsb. 106, 107.

TURNOR'S Case. PART VIII.

and altho' the Defen. in his Barr doth confes that the Debt was due by Bond, yet that will not make the Declaration good: For when the Declarat. wants (a) Circumstance of Time, or Place, &c. it may be made good by the Barr: But when a Declarat. Bar or Replicat. &c. wants (b) Substance, it can't be made good by the other's Plea: And so you will better understand your Books in 18 E. 4. 16. b. 22 E. 4. 2. b. 6 E. 4. 2. 11 H. 7. 24. 6 H. 7. 6, 10. 5 H. 7. 12. 38 H. 6. 17, 18, 19. 18 E. 3. 30. 38 E. 3. 34. b. *Plow. Com.* 229. *Vide F. N. B.* 21. 3. It was resolved, That in the Case at Bar, if the (c) Replication had been insufficient, *sc.* that the said Agreement subsequent should not avail the Plaintiff; yet upon the whole Record the Plaintiff should have Judgment, because the Barr was insufficient in Matter: And a Difference was taken, when by the Replicat. it appears that the Plaintiff has no Cause of Action, there the Plaintiff shall never have Judgment, altho' the Barr be insufficient; as in Debt on a Bond, with Condition to perform (d) Covenants in an Indent. the Defendant pleads Performance of all the Covenants generally, where it appears that divers of them are in the Negative or Disjunctive, and so the Plea in the general Affirmative is insufficient; yet if the Plaintiff replies, and shews a Breach of one of the Covenants, which on his own shewing is no Breach, upon which the Defendant demurs, Judgment shall be given against the Plaintiff, because upon the whole (e) Record it appears that the Plaintiff has no Cause of Action; for the Bond is endorsed with Condition to perform the Covenants, so that the Plaintiff has no Cause of Action 'till there be a Breach of Covenant, and on the Plaintiff's own shewing there is not any Breach, which is sufficient in Law to give the Plaintiff Cause of Action; and it shall be always intended, that every Man will shew the best of his Case: But when the Defendant's (f) Barr is insufficient in Substance, and the Plaintiff replies, and shews the Truth of his Case, whereby he shews no Matter against himself, but Matter explanatory, or perhaps not material, there the Court shall adjudge upon the whole Record, and (the Declaration being good) for the insufficiency of the Barr, without any regard to the Replication, Judgment shall be given for the Plaintiff: As if a Man pleads a Grant by Letters Patents in Barr, which are not sufficient, the Plaintiff by Replicat. shews another Clause in the said Let. Pat. which Clause is not material, and the Defendant demurs in Law, in this Case Judgment shall be given against the Defendant, & *sic in similib'*. And so you will better understand your Books in 7 E. 4. 28. *Tilly* (g) and *Woody's Case*, 11 H. 7. 28. and the Books aforesaid; and other Books vouched in *Dr. Bonham's Case*.

(a) Co. Lit. 303. b. Doct. pla. 69. 7 Co. 25. a.
(b) Cro. Car. 209. Co. Lit. 303. b. Doct. pla. 69. 7 Co. 25. a.

(c) Cr. Jac. 221, 233. Cro. Car. 6. Doct. pla. 70, 325. Lit. Rep. 130, 172. Mo. 464. 2 Sid. 336. Dyer 39. pl. 62. Antea 120. b. 9 Co. 53. a. 110. b. Godb. 138. Hob. 14. Yelv. 152, 153. Palm. 287. Winch. 37. (d) Cro. El. 232. Cro. Jac. 560. Cro. Car. 422. Co. Lit. 303. b. 2 Roll. Rep. 159. Hob. 14.

(e) Postea 163. 2. 3 Co. 52. b. 1 Sand. 285. Hob. 199. Cro. Jac. 133, 221, 312. Hard. 32. 2 Bulstr. 94. Styl. 354. Palm. 287. Lit. Rep. 372.

(f) Cro. Jac. 233. Cro. Car. 5. Pop. 42. Antea 120. b. 9 Co. 110. b. Doct. pla. 70, 325. Lit. Rep. 341. Godb. 138. Palm. 287. Winch. 37.

(g) Hob. 14. Plowd. 66. b. 7 Ed. 4. 31. a. b. Fitz. Judgment 50. Moor 105. Dyer 119. pl. 6. 1 And. 158.

Paschæ 8 Jacobi Regis.

MARY SHIPLEY'S Case.

TRinit. 4 Jacobi rot. 28. in the King's Bench, Mary Shipley brought an Action of Debt on a Bond of 200 l. against Christopher Beane and Ann his Wife, Executrix of Francis Haslwood the Obligor; the Defendants pleaded fully administered, and so nothing in their Hands. The Plaintiff replied, that they had Assets. The Jurors found Assets to the Value of 172 l. and Judgment was given to recover the (a) whole Debt of 200 l. and Damages and Costs of the Goods of the Testator, *Si, &c.* (b) & *si non, tunc* the Damages of their own proper Goods. Upon a Writ of Error brought upon the same Judgment in the Exchequer Chamber, the said Judgment was affirmed *Hill. 7 Jac.* For upon the Bar, the Effect of which is, nothing in their Hands, the Plaintiff might have prayed her Judgment (c) presently; for thereby he confesses the Debt, but that he cannot have Execution till the Defendants have Goods of the Deceased: as in a Writ of (d) *Mefne*, if the Defendant pleads, not distreined in his Default, he may pray Judgment presently, for this Plea will serve him only to save him from Damages. *Vid.* 11 H. 4. 52. a. So in a (e) *Warrantia Chartæ* aga. the Heir, the Defend. pleads nothing by Descent, &c. the Plaint. shall thereupon recover *pro loco & tempore*. So in Debt against (f) the Heir, if he pleads noth. by Def. the Plaint. may have Judgment. pres. and a *scire facias* when

(a) Allen 37. 38. Scyles 88. 1 Vent. 94. 9 H. 7. 15. a. Raft. Ent. 328. b. Cro. Eliz. 592. Cr. Car. 167. 373. 1 Roll. 929. 1 Leon 68. (b) Cro. Jac. 647. 648. Palm. 314. Dyer. 185. pl. 67. 1 Roll. 928. 932. Noy 120. 2 E. 4. 4. 2. 7 E. 4. 9. 2. Kelw. 61. 2. 11 H. 4. 5. 2. Br. Exec. 51. Fitz. Judgment. 68. (c) Hob. 199. 1 Sid. 448. 1 Sand. 226. Office Exec. 274. Co. Lit. 366. 2. Moor 246. Swinb. 329. Cr. El. 592. 887. 1 Vent. 94. 95. 96. (d) Co. Lit. 100. 2. Hob. 39. 217. Br. Mefne 5. Fitz. Mefne. 23. (e) F. N. B. 134. K. 1 Vent. 94. Noy. 149. Hob. 39. 199. 217. Co. Lit. 266. 3. (f) Ventr. 95. 96. Dyer 373. pl. 14.

MARY SHIPLEY's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Antea 52. b.
Plowd. 440. a. b.

when Affets descend. *Vide Vet' N. B. in Warrantia Charta* & 21 (a) E. 3. 9. b. Br. iii. *Warrantia Charta* 30: But the Tryal in the Case at Barr is a good Direction to the Sheriff what he is to do; but yet that doth not alter the Judgment of the Law; and it is the better Form, and more agreeable to Law to have Judgment for the whole, than for Part of the Debt, according to (b) the Affets found.

(b) Co. Lit. 366.
b. Antea 53. 54.

Vide Syms Case before for this Matter.

Pasch.

Paschæ 8 Jacobi Regis. In Communi Banco.

Sir JOHN NEDHAM's Case.

A Rthur Post and Katherine his Wife Administratrix of ^{Swinb. 355. 357.} Eliz. Weldish, brought an Action of Debt against Sir John Nedham (which Plea began Mich. 7. Jacobi, rot. 332) on Bond of 200 l. made to the said Eliz. *Cui quidem Kath' administratio omnium & singulorum bonorum, &c. qua fuer' præfat' Eliz. tempore Mortis sue per Willihel' permissione divina Rossens' Episcopum apud Rossen post Mortem præd' Eliz. commissa fuit 5 Febr' 1605.* The Defendant pleaded, *quod post Mortem dictæ Eliz. & ante Commissionem Administrationis præd' scilicet, 13 Maii 1604.* The Dean and Chapter of Canterbury being Guardians of the Spiritualties ^(a) *sede vacante* of the Archbishop of Canterbury, committed Administration of the Goods, &c. of the said Eliz. to the Defendant, *eo quod eadem Eliz. tempore Mortis sue habuit bona notabilia in divers' Dioces' Provincia Cant'*, which Administration committed by the said Dean, &c. doth yet remain in Force, and demanded Judgment of the Action. The Plaintiff replied, That after the said Administration granted by the said Dean, &c. to the Defendant, and before the Purchase of this original Writ, *scil. 4 No. 1607* before Dr. Bennet Commissary of the Prerogative Court of Canterbury, at the Suit of the said Katherine against the said Defendant, the Administration granted to the Defend. was pronounced and decla. *pro nulla & invalida ad omnem juris effectum*: upon which the Def. demurr'd in Law. And in this Case 3 Points were resol. 1. For as much as the Def. has not shewed in his Barr, that the Intestate had *bona notabilia* in ^(b) certain, for this Cause it shall be taken that ^(b) the Admini. was grant. where the Intestate had not ^(b) *bona notabilia* in sev. Dioces: But yet it was agr. That such Administration. was not void, ^(c) but voida. as it was adju. in ^(d) *Hugh Vere's Case*, as ap. *in the 5th Part of my Reports. fol. 29 & 30.* ^(c) Cr. El. 282. 437. Hob. 185. ^(d) Swinb. 355. 1. It Rol. Rep. 423.

^(a) Swinb. 357. Moor 145. 5 Co. 30 a. 2 Jones 78. 3 Bulstr. 176. Dar. 44. a. 47. a. 4 Leon. 212.

Sir JOHN NEDHAM's Case. PART VIII.

2. It was objected, That forasmuch as the Administ. grant. to the Def. was not void, but (a) voidable, so long as it was in Force the inferior Ordinary ought not to have committed Administration, for the prerogative Administ. granted by the Archb. and the Administ. granted by the inferior Ordinary can't (b) stand and be both of Effect together; for thereupon Confusion will ensue; and therefore the Administration granted by the inferior Ordinary was utterly void; And although the said prerogative Administration be afterwards revoked, that shall not make the other Administration of any better Effect than it was at the Time it was granted, *quia (c) quod in initio non valet tractu temporis non convalescit*. But it was answer. and resolved, That now in as much as the Ecclesiast. Judge has pronoun. and declar. the Letters of Administ. grant. to the Defend. *pro nulla & invalida ad omnem juris effectum*, we must give Credit to them, that it was for Causes not appearing to us void *ab initio*. Vide 17 Eliz. Dyer (d) 339. the like Judgm. upon the same Rea. Also the Administration is but an Authority, because he has noth. to his (e) own Use, but all to the Use of ano. and an Autho. may expect and commence *in futuro*, and therof. it shall be suspended 'till the other be repealed or declared void. And it was said, that there are *tria genera Executorum, primus a Lege constitutus, & ideo dicitur legitimus, ut Episcopus; secundus a Testatore constitutus, & ideo dicitur testamentarius, ut Executor; tertius ab Episcopo constitutus, & ideo dicitur dativus, ut Administrator*. And it is to be observed, That the Bishop who is Execu. appointed by the Law, is not permitted by the Law to make a Release of any Debt, (f) or Gift of any Goods; for he has a special Property in the Deceased's Goods for the Ben. of the dead, and nothing to his own Use; and it appears in 9 Eliz. Dyer 255. that the Ordinary has not (g) Power to give Autho. to ano. to sell the Deceased's Goods, because he himself has no such Autho. and the Stat. of West. 2 Cap. 10. (h) *Bona deven' ad manus Ordinarii disponenda*, that is, for the Good of the Decea. and as to this Purpose he is like an Administrator, *durant' (i) min' astate*, who has a special Pow. commit. to him to dispose of the Decea. Goods for his Ben. and noth. in Prejudice of the Execu. as it is held in *Prince's Case in the 5th Part of my Reports, 29. b.* So the Lord who takes a Surrender of Lands held by Copy of Court Roll, to the Use of ano. has Power (k) only to grant it according to the Use of the Surrend. and not to any Stran. as it is held in the 4th part of my Reports in *Westwick's Case. Vide 7 H. 4. 18. b.* the (l) Ordi. shall not have an Acti. of Tresp. for carry. away the Goods before an actual Possession of them (as Executors or Administ. may have) but before Possession the Ordinary shall sue for them in the Spiritual Court; and *Fitzherbert* in abridging the Case, *tit. Trespasse 97. ex hoc sequitur,*
- (a) Cr. El. 283. 457. Hob. 185. Swinb. 355. Moor 693. 1 Rol. Rep. 423.
- (b) Plo. 281. a.
- (c) 2 Co. 55. b. 4 Co. 2. b. 90. a. Cro. Eliz. 585. Co. Lit. 35. a. 10 Co. 62. a. Hurr. 51. Dav. 32 a. Cawly 214. 2 Bullstr. 304. 305. 3 Bullstr. 392.
- (d) 3 Co. 78. b. 6 Co. 19. a. Dyer 339. pl. 46. 1 Sid. 21. 2 Keb. 12. Postea 143. b. Cro. El. 460.
- (e) Antea 133. a. Cart. 134.
- (f) 9 Co. 39. a. Swinb. 351. Co. Lit. 292. a.
- (g) 5 Co. 34. a. 9 Co. 39. a. 1 Rol. 918. Swinb. 351. 352. Dyer 355. 356. pl. 8. 1 Keb. 854. Wentworth 250. 2 Inst. 398. Kelw. 81. a. b. (h) West. 2. cap. 19. 2 Inst. 397. (i) 5 Co. 67. b. Cro. El. 678. 679. 718. 719. 2 And. 132. Raym. 484. Swinb. 288. 3 Leon. 278. March 138. 2 Inst. 398. Owen 35. Dall. 85. (k) Co. Lit. 59. b. (l) P. N. B. 120. d. Br. Trespasse 83. Br. Jurisdiction 24. Br. Ordi. 5.

sequitur, That the Ordinary shall not have an Action of Debt, (a) as Ordinary. 3. It was resolved, That the committing of (b) Administration by the Archbishop to the Obligor, shall not extinguish the Debt, but the Debt remains; But if the Obligee makes the Obligor his Executor, it is a Release in Law of the Debt, for it is the Act of the Obligee himself; and therewith agrees 8 E. 4. 3. a. 21 E. 4. 2. b. &c. in the same Manner as if a Woman (c) Obligee marries the Obligor, or one of the Obligors, it is a Release in the Law of the Debt, for it is by the Act of the Obligee her self, and therewith agree 11 H. 7. 4. & 21 H. 7. 29. But if a Woman (d) Executrix marries the Debtor, it is no Release in Law, because she has the Debt in another Right, and if it should amount to a Release in Law, it would amount to a *devastavit*, which is a Wrong, which the Law will not suffer. And so it was adjudged in the King's Bench, *Mich.* 30. & 31. *Eliz.* where in Debt against a Woman (e) Executrix, she pleaded fully administrated, and it was found that the Defendant had married the Obligor, and that the Husband was dead, and it was adjudged to be no Release in Law, nor the Debt extinct, but only suspended during the Coverture, and so a Difference. Note, that *Fleta lib. 2. cap. de Testamentis, De bonis defuncti trina debet esse Dispositio; 1. Necessitatis, ut (f) funeralia; 2. Utilitatis, that every one shall be paid in such Precedency as he ought to be. 3. Voluntatis, as Legacies, &c.*

(a) 1 Rolls 906.
(b) 1 Siderf. 79.
1 Leon 90, 91.
1 Roll. 934.
Swinb. 325, 300.
301. Salk. 303.

(c) 1 Brownl. 76.
Cr. Car. 373.
Co. Lit. 264. b.
1 Rolls 934.
Plowd. 184. b.
186. a. Hob. 10.
Cr. Car. 373.
Went. 45. Yelv.
160. Moor 236.
855. 1 Jones 345.
Hutt. 128. 1 Roll.
940. Br. Execu.
112. 8 E. 4. 3. a.
Swinb. 300, 301.
Hutt. 17.

(d) 1 Roll. 934.
Co. Lit. 264. b.
(e) Cr. El. 114.
1 Roll. 934, 935.
Co. Lit. 264. b.
Moor 236.
1 Leon 320.

(f) 20 H. 7. 5. a.
3 Inst. 202.

Paschæ 8 Jacobi Regis. In Communi Banco.

Sir FRANCIS BARRINGTON's Case.

2. Brownl. 289,
322, 323, 324, 8cc.
Godb. 167, 168.

Between Richard Chalke Plaintiff in Replevin, and Will. Peter and Nicholas White Defendants, which began *Hill. 6 Jacobi, rot. 157.* on a long and impertinent Pleading, the Case was such; Sir Robert Rich Lord Rich was seised of the Forest or Chase of Hatfield (whereof the Place where, &c. was Parcel) in Fee, and by his Deed indented, bearing Date 30 Jan. 19 Eliz. for good Consideration granted to Sir Thomas Barrington Knight, and his Heirs, *omnes boscos arbores tam marem' subboscos & spinas quam alia genera quorumcunque, ad tunc crescent' stant' & existent' simul cum omnibus arboribus, vocat' Timber Trees, boscis, subboscis, & spinis quibuscunque, quæ ad aliquod tempus extunc imposterum forent crescent' stant' renovant' sive existent' in & super illis partibus foresta præd' communiter vocat' Bushend Quarter, & Quarterium vocat' Takely Quarter* (except the Land and Soil of the same Wood) with Liberty to enclose them, and to hold them inclosed for the Preservation of the Spring of Wood which should be for such Time, as by the Laws and Statutes of the Realm is appointed and enacted, and not otherwise *absque molestatione seu interruptione* of the said Lord Rich his Heirs or Assigns, and to exclude the Deer and all other Catel out of the Wood so inclosed, and to have the Herbage and Feeding thereof as any Owner of the Wood might do by the Laws and Statutes of this Realm, without

without Interruption of the said Lord Rich, his Heirs or Assigns. And that the Plaintiff is seised of a House and six Acres of Land in Hatfield in Fee, to which he has common Appurtenant for all Cattel levant and couchant, &c. in and through the whole Forrest or Chase of Hatfield; which Grant made by the Lord Rich, was in Performance of an Award made between the said Lord Rich, and Sir Tho. Barrington, by the Lord Burgbley Lord Treasurer of England, Thomas Earl of Sussex, Sir William Cordel Master of the Rolls, and Sir Gilbert Gerrard Attorney General, which Arbitrament and Grant was confirmed and established by (a) Act of Parliament, Anno 27 Eliz. with a * saving to all Strangers, &c. And that the said Sir Tho. Barrington died, after whose Decease the said Wood descended to Sir Francis Barrington, as to his Son and Heir, who, 1 Feb. 1 Jacobi, fell'd the Wood in the Place in which, &c. and took it to his own Use, and 10 Mar. following inclosed it for the Preservation of the Spring, and so has maintain'd it, according to the Laws and Statutes of this Realm: And the Parties demurr'd in Law: And if the Pl. was barred of his Common or not was the Question. And in this Case the Defendants pleaded the said Arbitrament, and the said Act of Parl. at large; but to no Purpose, for without Question, neither the Arbitrament nor the Act (in Respect of the saving) can barr the Pl. of his Common: but all the Doubt of the Case arises upon 2 Acts of Parl. not pleaded, *sc.* the Act of (b) 22 E. 4. cap. 7. and the Act of 35 H. 8. cap. 17. And 1. it was objected, That the said Act of 22 E. 4. has barred the Pl. of his Common; for by the same Act it is enacted, That if any of the King's Subjects having Woods growing in his own Ground, within any Forrest, Chase, or Purlieu, &c. causes the same Wood, or any Part thereof to be fell'd by Licence of the King, or his Heirs, in his Forests, Chases, or Purlieus, or without Licence, in the Forrest, Chase or Purlieu of any other Person, or make Sale of the same Wood; Be it lawful for the said Subjects Possessors of the same Ground whereupon the Wood grew, and to other Persons to whom such Wood shall be sold, immediately after the Wood so fell'd, to coppice and enclose the same Ground with sufficient Hedges able to keep out all manner of Beasts and Cattle out of the same Ground, for the Preservation of their young Spring: and the same Hedges so made, the said Subjects may keep continually by the space of 7 Years next after the same inclosing; and there is no Saving in the Act for the Commoners; and therefore (as it was urged) they shall be excluded of their Common during the 7 Years; for every one is party and privy to an Act of Parliament And the Rights and Interests of those which are not saved by the Act of Parliament are bound; And the Makers of the Act have greater Regard to the Preservation of the Spring of Woods for the Common-
T
Wealth

(a) 2 Brownl.
289, 323, 324
325, Godb. 167
168.
* 1 Jones 235.

(b) 2 Brownl.
290, 322, 323,
324, 325, 326,
327, 328. Godb.
167, 168, 169,
170, 171. 1 Inst.
304. 1 Rolls.
Rep. 92.
1 Jones 235.

Sir Fra. Barrington's Case. PART VIII.

Wealth in Maintenance of Timber and Woods, than to Common in the Woods of Subjects within Forreſts or Chafes after a reaſonable Time, till the Spring be of ſuch Growth that Beaſts or Cattel cannot hurt or hinder it. And theſe Words, To incloſe the ſame Land with ſufficient Hedges able to exclude all Manner of Beaſts or Cattel out of the ſame Ground, were ſtrongly urged to prove the Intent of the Makers of the Act, to exclude not only the Beaſts of the Forreſt, or Chafe, but alſo Cattel of Commoners; for Beaſts of the Forreſt are not called Cattel; and the Words are, able to exclude all manner of Beaſts and Cattel out of the ſame Ground; which general Words extend to Beaſts and Cattel of the Commoner. To which it was answered by the Plaintiff's Council, 1. That the ſaid Act of 22 E. 4. doth not extend to this Caſe, becauſe the Statute extends only to thoſe who are Owners of the Ground; for the Words of the Act in divers Parts thereof are, growing on their proper Ground, and in this Caſe Sir Francis Barrington has but a Profit apprender in another's Ground, for the Ground remains to the Lord Rich. 2. It was answered, That the Stat. of (a) 35 H. 8. cap. 7. which is in the Negative, *That it ſhall not be lawful to any Perſons which have or ſhall have any Woods wherein any ought to have any Common, &c. to fell and cut down the ſame Woods (except it be to his own Uſe and Occupation) untill ſuch time as the 4th part of the ſaid Ground or Soil, &c. be divided, &c. fenced and incloſed, &c.* Et (b) *leges poſteriores, priores contrarias abrogant.* To which it was answered by the Defendant's Council, That Sir Francis was out of this Prohibition, and within the Exception of this Branch; for he has taken the ſaid Wood to his own Uſe; and he who takes Wood wherein others have Common is out of the Prohibition of this Act. And now this Term the Caſe was argued at the Bench by the Judges, and in this Caſe theſe Points were reſolved. 1. That Sir Francis Barrington has an (c) Inheritance as Profit apprender *in alieno ſolo*, and that the Soil remains to the Lord Rich. 2. That the Statute of 22 E. 4. ſhall extend to Sir Francis Barrington, notwithstanding he has not the Soil; for the Words are, that it be lawful for the ſaid Subjects Poſſeſſors of the ſame Soil, or to other Perſons to whom ſuch Wood ſhall be ſold; and the ſaid Grant for the Conſiderations in the ſaid Award mentioned to Sir Thomas Barrington and his Heirs, was a perpetual Sale to him within the Words and Intention of the Act. 3. The ſaid Act doth not extend to the Wood of a Subject, in which any other has Common, but only to a ſeveral Wood: For by the Common Law, he who has a Wood in which another has Common cannot encloſe it to exclude the

(a) 35 H. 8.
c. 17. 2 Brownl.
290, 322, 324,
325, 328. Godb.
167, 169, 171.

(b) 11 Co. 59. 2.
62. b. 64. b.
1 Jones 186.
1 Co. 25. b. Cr.
Jac. 121. 12. Co. 8.
2 Brownl. 324.
Godb. 169.
2 Roll. Rep. 410.
423. Stanf. Pref.
69. b. Hawks
Max. 452.
2 Inlt. 685.

(c) 2 Brownl.
324, 325. 11 Co.
49. b. 1 Rolls
Rep. 96, 97, 137.

the Commoner of his Common, be it in Forreſt or Chafe, or out of Forreſt and Chafe. But he who has a ſeveral Wood in a Forreſt, may after the Fall incloſe it for 3 Years *parvo foſſato* (a) & *baſſa haia ſecundum aſſiſam foreſte*, as appears by the *Ad quod Damnum* in the Register 257. Then it appears by the Preamble of the ſaid Act, that it extends only to a ſeveral Wood; for it recites, That where Subjects have ſell'd their Woods, &c. they could not heretofore encloſe their Ground to preſerve the Germens longer than 3 Years, which proves what the common Law was before in ſuch Caſe; but without Queſtion that extends only to a ſeveral Wood; for none could incloſe a Wood wherein another had Common for a Day, &c. But now this Statute has enlarged the Time to 7 Years, and has alſo raiſed the Hedges; for where he might before encloſe it *parvo foſſato* (b) & *baſſa haia*, he may now make *magnum* & *altam* (b) ^{2 Brownl. 326. Godb. 170.} *haiam*, ſufficient to exclude all manner of Beaſts and Cat-^{326.} tel. 4. It appears by the Preamble, between what Perſons, and for and againſt what Perſons this Act was made; and the Parties to this great Contract by Act of Parliament are; the Subjects having Woods, &c. within Forreſts, Chafes, or Purlieus of one Part, and the King and other Owners of Forreſts, Chafes and Purlieus of the other Part, ſo that the Commoners are not any of the Parties between whom this Act was made: And therefore the Caſe well argued in 21 H. 7. 1. a. b. *inter the Prior of* (c) *Caſtleacre, and the Dean of* (c) ^{2 Brownl. 326. 327. Godb. 170. 1 Jones 235. 236.} *St. Stephens*, and left at large in the printed Report, was afterwards adjudged, as appears by the Record thereof, which began *Pafch. 18 H. 7. Rot. 416.* that the Act of * 2 H. 5. * being made between the Kings and Priors Aliens, by which the Priors Aliens were given to the King, did not extinguiſh the Annuity of the Prior of *Caſtleacre* which he had out of a Rectory Parcel of a Priory Alien, although there was not any ſaving in the Act. And *Mich. 25 & 26 Elis. (d) Boſwel's Caſe in Curia Wardorum*, where it was reſolved, That when an Act makes any Conveyance good againſt the King, or any other Perſon or Perſons in certain, it ſhall not take away the Right of any other, altho' there be not any Saving in the Act. 5. If the Act had extended to Wood in which others had Common; yet the Concluſion refrains the Generality of the precedent Words; for the Statute doth not give an abſolute and ind ſinite Power to the Owners of Woods to encloſe, &c. but to encloſe the Ground, to keep it encloſ'd, and to repair it, without (e) ſuing the King's Licence, or other Perſons, or their Offi- (e) ^{2 Brownl. 326.} cers, of the ſame Forreſts, Chafes, or Purlieus, ſo that this Concluſion limits the precedent Words only againſt the King, and other Owners of Forreſts, Chafes, and Purlieus; but no Word in the whole Act gives Authority to them to encloſe againſt any Commoner And where it was ſaid, that this Word Cattel cannot be applied

Sir FRA. BARRINGTON's Case. PART VIII.

to Beasts of the Forreft; it was answered, That they might fitly and properly be called Beasts and Cattle of the Forreft. And so it is said in the Preamble of this very Act, Beasts and Cattle of the Forreft. And it is to be known, that there are five manner of Beasts of the Forreft, *sc.* Hart, Hind, Hare, Wild Boar, and Wolf; (a) and five manner of Beasts of Chase, *sc.* Buck, Doe, Fox, Martin, and Roe; and Beasts and Fowls of Warren are, Hare, Coney, Feasant, and Partridge. *Vide Regiff' 96. 43 E. 3. 13. 38 E. 3. 10. 3 H. 6. 12, 15. Liber Intr' Trespass in Hunting, 585. 12 H. 8. 9. b. (b) Holinshed's Chronicle 306. num. 30. 6. it was resolved, That the said Clause of the Act of 35 H. 8. in the Negative, restrains only the Owner of the Wood from felling his own Wood on a Penalty, which without Question doth not exclude the Commoner of his Common: And the said Words (c) (except it be to his own Use or Occupation) serve to exclude the Owner out of this Penalty, and are to be intended of his own proper necessary Use; as to repair his House, or to burn in his House, &c. Then there is another Clause which prohibits the Commoner that after the said felling (that is to say, after the Division and Felling, for the Division of the fourth Part ought to precede the Felling) in any such Part, i. e. in the fourth Part, so divided, no Beasts or Cattle, during seven Years, shall be suffered to feed there, upon Penalty, &c. and the Lord is excluded to feed with his Cattle in the three Parts during the seven Years, and after the seven Years (until a new felling, according to the Act) the Commoner shall have his Common again. And it is to be observ'd, That by the Statute of 22 E. 4. the Owner of the Wood ought first to fell the Wood, and after enclose, and by the Statute of 35 H. 8. he ought first to enclose, and after, within four Months, fell the Wood. 7. It was resolved, That the Statute of West. 2. * *de malefactoribus in parcis, Charta de Foresta*, and these Acts of 22 E. 4. and 35 H. 8. are generally Laws concerning all Persons, whereof the Court *ex Officio*, ought to take Notice, and *co potius*, because this Act of 22 E. 4. concerns the King.*

(c) Co. Lit. 233. a.

(b) 2 Brownl. 327.

(c) Hard. 368.

* West. 1. c. 20.
 2 Inst. 198, 199.
 (d) 2 Brownl.
 322, 324, 325,
 327. Godb. 168,
 169, 171. 4 Co.
 76. a. 77. a.
 2 Rolls. 465, 466.
 8 Co. 28. a. Doct.
 pla. 336, 337.
 Yelv. 106.
 Hob. 310.

Pasch.

Pasch. 8 Jacobi Regis.

Dr. DRURY's Case.

ALIAS prout patet Termino Sanctæ Trinitatis, Anno Regni Domini Regis nunc Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hybernæ septimo, & Scotiæ quadragesimo secundo, Rot' 3642. continent sic. Surr' ff. Præceptum fuit Vic', cum ex gravi querela Owyni Bray de Cobham in comitatu prædicto generosi, dom' Regi esset graviter conquerendo monstratum, qd' cum quidam Johannes Drury legum Doctor nuper in Cur' domini Regis de Banco hic, scil. Termino Sancti Michaelis, anno regni dicti dom' Regis Angliæ quinto, coram Justiciar' dicti dom' Regis de Banco præd' hic, scil. apud Westmonasterium, per considerationem ejusdem Curia: recuperasset versus præfatum Owynum tam quoddam debitum ducentarum librarum, quam triginta tres solidos & quatuor denarios, qui eidem Johanni in eadem curia dicti dom' regis hic adjudicat' fuerunt pro damnis suis quæ habuit occasione detentionis debiti illius, unde convict' est: Cumque etiam idem Owynus, pro eo quod non venit in eadem Curia dom' Regis hic ad satisfaciendum præfato Johanni de debito & dampnis prædictis in exigend' posit' fuisset in com' dom' Regis Suffex' ad utlagand', & ea occasione postmodum, scil. decimo nono die Maii, anno regni domini Regis nunc sexto, utlagat' fuit, ac licet idem Owynus in executione pro debito & damnis prædictis virtute cujusdam brevis dicti dom' regis de Capias utlagat' inde nuper vic' præd' comit' Surr' per Harbertum Morley armigerum tunc Vic' præd' com' Surr' ad sectam præd' Johan' Drury capt' & imprisonat' fuit: Et postqu' sic capt' & imprisonat'

Dr. DRURY's Case. PART VIII.

prisonat' fuit per eundem vic' ext' prisonam illam ad largum quo voluit libere & voluntarie ire permissus, & ab executione prædict' deliberat' fuit, prout idem Owynus viis & modis quib' convenit parat' fuit docerè: prædictus tamen Johannes executionem de debit' & dampnis præd' versus ipsum Owynum occasione Recuperationis prædict' jam tarde profecut' est, ac ipsum Owynum ea occasione capi & in prisona dicti domini Regis detineri conatus & machinat' est minus iuste, in ipsius Owyni dampnum non modicum & gravamen, unde dicto domino Regi supplicasset, sibi per eundem dominum Regem de remedio congruo in hac parte provideri: Idem dominus Rex volens eidem Owyno in hac parte fieri quod foret iustum, Justiciariis suis hic mandaverat, quod audita querela ipsius Owyni in ea parte, vocatisque coram eis partibus prædictis aliisque quos in ea parte viderint evocand', auditisque hinc inde earum rationibus, eidem Owyno plenam & celerem Justiciam fieri facerent in ea parte, quod de jure & secundum legem & consuetudinem regni Dom' Regis Angliæ foret faciend': Quod venire fac' hic ad hunc diem, scil. a die sancta Trinitatis in quindecim dies prædictum Johannem de & super præmissis responsur', ulteriusque factur' & receptur', quod curia Domini Regis hic de eo conf. in ea parte. Et modo hic ad hunc diem venerunt tam prædictus Owynus per Othonem Gayer Attornatum suum, quam prædictus Johannes per Johannem Nye Attornatum suum: Et super hoc idem Owynus dicit, quod cum prædictus Johannes nuper in Curia dicti domini Regis hic, scil. Termino sancti Michaelis anno regni domini Regis nunc Angliæ &c. quinto, coram Edwardo Coke milite, & sociis suis tunc Justic' dicti domini Regis de eodem Banco hic, scil. apud Westmonasterium, per considerationem ejusdem Curia recuperasset versus præfatum Owynum, tam prædictum debitum ducentarum librarum, quam prædictos triginta tres solidos & quatuor denarios qui eidem Johanni in eadem Curia dicti dom' Regis hic adjudicat' fuerunt pro dampnis suis que habuit occasione detentionis debiti illius unde convict' est: Cumque etiam idem Owynus pro eo quod non venit in eadem Curia dict' domini Regis hic ad satisfaciend' præfato Johanni de debito & dampnis suis in exigend' posit' fuisset in prædicto comitatu Suffexiæ ad utlagand', & ea occasione postmodum, scil. nono die Maii, anno Regni dicti Domini Regis nunc sexto, utlagat' fuit, super quam quidem utlagarium prædictus Johan' Drury, postea, scil. Termino sanctæ Trinitatis an' reg' dom' Regis nunc sexto supradictò profecut' fuit extra Curiam dom' Regis de Banco hic quoddam brevi dicti dom' Regis de Capias utlagat' vers' ipsum Owynum tunc vicecomiti præd' Comitatus Surr' directum, per quod quidem breve idem do-

minus

minus Rex eidem tunc vic' Surr' præcepit, quod non omit-
tat propter aliquam libertat' com' sui quin caperet prædict'
Owynum utlagat' in com' Suff. præd' decim' nono die Maii,
anno regni dicti domini regis nunc sexto supradict' ad festam
præd' Johannis Drury de placito debiti, unde convict' est, si
&c. Et salvo &c. ita quod haberet corpus ejus coram Justic'
dicti dom' regis hic in crastino Animar' tunc prox' sequent'
ad faciend' & recipiend' quod curia dicti dom' regis hic tunc
de eo conf. in ea parte: virtut' cuj' quidem brevis idem O-
wynus postea, scil. 7 die Octob. anno 6. supradicto, apud
Guilford in præd' com' Surr', per præd' Herbertum Morley,
tunc vic' prædicti com' Surr' existen' in executione pro debi-
to & dampnis præd' ad festam prædicti Johannis Drury cap-
tus & imprisonatus fuit, ac postquam sic captus & impriso-
natus fuit idem Owynus per eundem vic' eisdem die & an-
no apud Guilford prædictam extra prisonam illam ad largum
quo voluit libere & voluntar' ire permissus, & ab executione
præd' deliberat' fuit: Et hoc paratus est verificare, unde pe-
rit judicium, Et quod prædictus Johannes ab executione sua
prædicta prætextu judicii prædict' habend' præcludatur, & qd'
idem Owynus inde exoneretur &c. Et prædictus Johannes
petit licentiam inde interloquendi hic usque in Octab' sancti
Michaelis, & habet &c. Idem dies datus est præfato Owyno
hic &c. Ad quem diem loquela prædict' adjornata fuit per
breve dom' regis de communi adjornamento hic usque a die
sancti Mich' in unum mensem tunc proxim' sequen': Ad
quem diem hic venit tam prædictus Owynus quam prædictus
Johannes per Attornatos suos prædict': Et super hoc idem
Johannes ulterius petit licentiam inde interloquendi hic us-
que in Octabis sancti Hillarii. Et habet &c. Idem dies da-
tus est præfato Owyno hic &c. Ad quem diem hic veni' tam
prædictus Owynus quam prædictus Johannes per Attornatos
suos prædictos: Et super hoc idem Johannes ulterius petit li-
centiam inde interloquendi hic usque a die Paschæ in 15
dies, Et habet &c. Idem dies datus est præfato Owyno hic
&c. Ad quam quidem quindenam Paschæ hic venerunt tam
prædictus Owynus quam prædictus Johannes per Attornatos
suos prædictos: Et super hoc idem Owynus petit quod præ-
dictus Johannes ad breve & narrationem sua prædicta res-
pond'. Et prædictus Johannes Drury dicit, quod ipse per
aliqua præallegata ab executione sua prædicta de debito &
dampnis prædictis versus ipsum Owynum habend' præcludi
seu retardari non debet, quia dicit, qd' post præd' tempus quo
supponitur præd' Owynum extra custodiam præd' vicecomitis
Surr' evasisse, & ante aliquam ulteriorem executionem versus
præf'at' Owynum per ipsum Johannem prætextu judicii præd'
prosecut' & habit', scil. termino sancti Michaelis, anno regni
dicti

Dr. DRURY's Case. PART VIII.

dicti domini Regis nunc sexto supradicto, extra prædictam Curiam dicti domini Regis de banco hic super utlagaria prædicta, ut præfertur, promulgata emanabat quoddam breve ipsius domini Regis de Capias utlagat' versus ipsum Owynum ad sectam ipsius Johannis tunc vic' comitat' Midd' directum, per quod quidem breve idem dominus Rex præfat' tunc vicecom' Midd' præcepit, quod non omitteret propter aliquam libertatem comitat' sui quin caperet prædictum Owynum per nomen Owyni Braye nuper de Cobham in comitatu Surr' generos. utlagat' in prædicto comitatu Suffex prædict' decimo nono die Maii, anno regni domini Regis nunc sexto supradicto, ad sectam ipsius Johannis per nomen Johannis Drury legum doctor' de placito debet', unde convict' fuit, si invent' esset in balliva sua, & eum salvo custodiret, Ita quod haberet corp' ejus hic, scil. apud Westmonasterium prædict', in præd' crastino Animar' illo eod' termino sancti Mich' an' sexto suprad', ad faciend' & recipiend' quod cur' dicti domini regis de eo conf. in ea parte: Ad quem quidem crastinum Animarum hic, scil. apud Westmonaster' præd' ven' prædict' Owynus per Willihelm' Browne tunc Attornatum suum: Et vicecom', viz. Georgius Bolles & Richardus Farringdon tunc' vic' præd' com' Midd' tunc hic mand', quod prædict' Owynus non fuit invent' &c. Et super hoc idem Owynus tunc petiit auditum brevis de Exigi fac' super quo idem Owynus ad sectam prædicti Johannis Drury in forma prædicta utlagat' extitit: Et ei tunc legebatur in hæc verba. *Jacobus Dei gratia Anglia, Scotia, Francia, & Hibernia, Rex, fidei defensor &c. vicecomiti Suffex salutem. Præcipimus tibi, quod exigi facias Owynum Braye nuper de Cobham in comitatu Surr' generosum de comitat' in comitat', quousque secundum legem & consuetudinem Regni nostri Anglia utlagatur, si non comparuerit, Et si comparuerit, tunc eum capias & salvo custodire facias, Ita quod habeas corpus ejus coram Justiciariis nostris apud Westmonasterium in Crastino sanctæ Trinitatis ad satisfaciendum Johanni Drury legum Doctori, tam de quodam debito ducentarum librarum quod idem Johannes in eadem Curia nostra coram Justiciariis nostris apud Westmonasterium recuperavit versus eum, quam de triginta tribus solidis & quatuor denariis qui eidem Johanni in eadem Curia nostra adjudicat' fuerunt pro dampnis suis que habuit occasione detentionis debiti illius, unde convictus est: Et unde mand' Justic' nostris apud Westmonasterium in Octabis sancti Hillarii, quod præd' Owynus non est inventus in balliva tua: Et habeas ibi hoc breve. T. Edw. Coke apud Westm' viceesimo quinto die Januarii, Anno Regni nostri Anglia, Francia, & Hibernia, quinto, & Scotia, quadragesimo primo. Quo lecto & audito idem Owynus tunc dixit, quod ipse de utlagat' præd' onerari non deberet: Eo quod prædict' breve de*

de exigend' non habuit aliquem certum diem return', his literis (sanct') inter verba crastino & Trinitatis non habentib' aliquam significationem, prout per breve prædictum tunc apparebat: Et ea de causa idem Owynus tunc petiit iudicium, Et quod utlagaria prædict' in forma prædicta promulgat' & habit' adnullaretur, evacuaretur, & pro nullo penitus teneatur. Super quo tunc viso brevi prædicto & per Justic' hic tunc plene intellecto, eisdem Justic' hic tunc constabat, quod allegatio prædict' Willihelm' Browne in exonerationem præd' Owyni de utlagaria præd' vera extitisset: Ideo tunc conf. fuit in eadem cur' hic quod idem Owynus occasione utlagar' præd' in aliquo non molestaretur nec gravaretur, sed iret inde quietus &c. prout per recordum inde in eadem curia hic residen' plenius liquet. Et sic idem Johannes Drury dicit, quod non habetur aliquod tale record' utlagariæ præd' quale præd' Owynus per breve & narrationem sua præd' superi' supponit: Et hoc paratus est verificare: unde petit iudicium si ipse ab executione sua præd' de debit' & dampnis præd' vers. præfat' Owynum habend' præcludi debeat &c. Et præd' Owynus dicit, quod præd' placitum præd' Johannis modo & forma prædictis superius placitat' min' sufficiens in lege existit ad præd' Johannem executionem suam prætextu iudicii præd' versus ipsum Owynum habend' manutenend', quodq; ipse ad placitum illud modo & forma præd' superius placitat' necesse non habet, nec per legem terræ tenetur respondere: Et hoc paratus est verificare: unde pro defectu sufficien' placiti præd' Johannis in hac parte idem Owynus ut pri' petit iudicium: Et quod præd' Johannes ab executione sua prætextu iudicii præd' habend' præcludatur: Et quod idem Owynus inde exoneretur &c. Et præd' Johannes ex quo ipse sufficien' materiam in lege ad ipsum Johannem executionem suam prætextu iudicii præd' versus præfat' Owynum habend' manutenend' superius allegavit, quam ipse parat' est verificare, Quam quidem materiam idem Owynus non dedit nec ad eam aliqualiter respond', sed verificationem illam admittere omnino recusat, ut prius petit iudicium & executionem de debito & dampnis præd' versus præfat' Owynum sibi adjudicari &c. Et, quia Justic' hic se advisare volunt de & super præmiss. priusquam iudicium inde reddant, dies dat' est partib' præd' hic usq; in crastino sanctæ Trinitat' de audiend' iudicio suo, eo quo iidem Justic' hic inde nondum &c.

Pasch. 8 Jacobi Regis.

Dr. DRURY's Case.

OWYN Bray brought a Writ of *Audita Querela* against John Drury, Doctor of the Civil Law, setting forth, That whereas the said Dr. Drury, *Mich. 5 Jac. Regis*, in this Court, had recovered against the said Owyn a Debt of 200 l. and 33 s. 4 d. for Damages and Costs, and whereas the said Owyn, because he came not into Court to satisfy the said Debt and Damages, was put in Exigent, and thereupon 19 *Maii, Anno 6 Regis nunc*, was outlawed: And that the said Owyn, by Force of a Writ of *Capias Uilagatum, ad sectam præd' Johannis Drury capt' & imprisonatus fuit*, and in Execution for the said Debt and Damages, and so being in Execution, was delivered out of Prison by the Sheriff from the said Execution, and suffered to go at large, &c. The Defendant pleaded, That after the said Escape, and before the Purchase of the said Writ of *Audita Querela, sc. Mich. 6 Jac.* The said Writ of *Capias Uilagat'* was awarded out of this Court returnable *Craftino animarum*, at which Day the Sheriff return'd *Non (a) est inventus*; and thereupon the same Day the said Owyn appeared, and demanded Oyer of the Exigent, which was read to him; and thereupon it appeared, that the Return of the said Writ of Exigent was uncertain; and thereupon he prayed, *quod uilagaria prædicta in forma præd' promulgata & habita adnullaretur, evacueretur, & pro nullo penitus teneretur: super quo viso brevi præd' & per Justiciar' hic tunc plene intellecto, eisdem Justiciar' hic tunc constabat, quod allegatio præd' Owyni in exonerationem suam de uilagar' præd' vera extitisset; Ideo tunc confid' fuit in eadem Curia*

(a) Vaugh. 158.

hic qd' idem Owynus occasione ulagar' prad' in aliquo non molestatur, nec gravaretur, sed iret inde quietus prout per record' inde in eadem Curia hic residen' plenius liquet: Et sic idem Johan' Drury dicit quod non habetur aliquod tale recordum ulagaria prad' quale prad' Owynus per breve & narrationem suam prad' superius supponit: & hoc paratus est verificare: unde petit iudicium si ipse ab executione sua prad' de debito & dampnis prad' versus prad' Owynum habend' precludi debeat, &c. And thereupon the Plaintiff demur'd; and it was objected that the said Outlawry was not void, but (a) voidable, and that at the Time of the Escape (by which the said *Dr. Drury* was entitled to an Action of Debt, upon the Escape, against the Sheriff) the Outlawry was in Force, and the Sheriff * shall never take Advantage of Error in the proceeding against the Defendant, but shall be charged for the Escape, notwithstanding the Record be erroneous. And so was it adjudged in the Exchequer, *Trin. 31 Eliz.* by Sir *Roger Manwood*, and the other Barons, where the Case was, that *Edward Clere*, *Francis Woodhouse*, and *William Gervys*, 18 Aug' 28 *Eliz.* acknowledged a Recognizance of 200 *l.* in the Chancery, to *George* (b) *Ognell*, upon which Recognizance *George Ognell*, 16 Jan' 29 *Eliz.* sued a *Scire facias* out of the Chancery against the said Recognizers, upon which *Nihil* was returned; and so upon another *Scire facias*, whereupon *Mense Pascha 29 Eliz.* Judgment was given, *sc. Ideo consideratum fuit per Curiam, quod prad' Georgius recuperaret versus predictos* *Ed. Fr. & Will.* 200 *l.* &c. And that the said *George* have Execution against them, whereupon he sued forth a *levari facias*, upon which it was returned, that they had nothing, for which the Court did award a *Capias ad satisfaciend'* Returnable *Octob' Hill'* directed to the Sheriff of *Norfolk*, *Clement Paston* Esq; then Sheriff of the same County; by Force whereof the said Sheriff arrested the said *Francis Woodhouse*, and *William Gervys*, 16 Jan. 30 *Eliz.* And that the said *Francis Woodhouse*, at the Time of the said Arrest, was in Prison under the Custody of the said Sheriff, being attainted of Felony; and afterwards the said *Francis* and *William* escaped out of the Custody of the said Sheriff; upon which Escape *G. Ognell* brought an Action of Debt against the said *Clem. Paston*, being an Accomptant in the Exchequer. And in that Case it was resolved, that the Award of the (c) *Cap' ad satisfac'* was Erroneous; for by the Law the Bodies of the Recognizers were not liable to Execution, and yet, because the Sheriff could not take Advantage of the (d) Error, it was adjudg'd, that he should answer for the Escape; and therewith agrees 21 *E. 4. 23. b.* But it was adjudg'd, that in the Case at Bar, the *Audita Querela* doth not lie; and in this Case 2 Points were resolv'd. I. (e) A Difference was taken and agreed between a Thing Collateral

(a) *Hard. 27.** *Cro. Eliz. 893.*
Poph. 205. Saak.
273. Farsfl. 29.
Comb. 69.(b) 2 *Leon. 84.*
85. &c. Moor
274. Cr. El. 213.
214. 707. Yelv.
42. Godb. 403.
2 Bullstr. 64, 65.(c) 1 *Roll. 897.*
Yelv. 42. Mo.
274. Cr. El. 32.
Cr. Jac. 3.
(d) *Cr. El. 165.*
376. 707. Cr.
Jac. 3. 280, 289.
2 Leon. 85. Dyer
67. pl. 16. Moor
273, 276. 9 Co.
68. a. 2 Roll.
Rep. 212. Godb.
403. Hard. 85.
(e) 2 *Roll. Rep.*
254.

Collateral executory, and executed; for when an erroneous Judgment is given, and afterwards the Judgment is reversed by a Writ of Error, collateral Acts executory are barred thereby, as if a Man has Judgment in a *Quare Impedit*, and has a Writ to the Bishop, and the Bishop refuses to admit the Plaintiff's Clerk, now the Pl. upon this collateral Refusal, may have a Writ of *Quare non admisti*; but if the Defend. reverses the Judgment in a Writ of Error, and afterwards the Plaintiff in the *Quare impedit* brings a Writ of *Quare non admisti*, the Defend. may plead *nul tiel Record*: Vide 26 E. 3. 75. b. by Wilby and Hill. So it was resolved, if A. be in Execution at the Suit of B. upon an erroneous Judgment, and afterwards escapes, and afterwards the Judgment is reversed by a Writ of Error, the Action upon the Escape is gone; for he may plead *Nul tiel (a) Record*, because without a Record the Action is not maintainable; but yet it is true, that 'till the erroneous Judgment, or Execution, is reversed by a

(a) 1 Sand. 38.
Kelw. 18. b.

(b) Hardress 85.
Cr. Jac. 3, 280,
289. Cro. El.
165, 576, 707.
2 Leon. 85.
Dyer 67. pl. 16.
Moor 275, 276.
9 Co. 68. a.
2 Roll. Rep. 212.
Godb. 403.

Writ of Error, the Sheriff, or Gaoler, shall not take (b) Benefit thereof; for there he cannot plead *Nul tiel Record*, because 'till it is reversed, it remains of Force, altho' there is apparent Error, as it is held in 34 H. 6. 2. b. and 22 E. 4. 23. b. But in the same Case, If the Plaintiff brings an Action of Debt against the Sheriff, or Gaoler, upon the Escape, and has Judgment and Execution, and afterwards the first Judgment is reversed; yet forasmuch as this Judgment upon this

(c) Cr. Jac. 646.

(c) collateral Thing is executed, notwithstanding the Reversal of the first, it remains in Force, as appears in the like

(d) Postea 143. b.
5 Co. 90. b.
1 Roll. 777, 778.

Case (d) 7 H. 6. 42. a. where the Case in effect is, That the Conusee of a Statute Staple in a Writ of Detinue of the said Statute upon Garnishment, recovers by erroneous Judgment against the Garnishee, and has the Statute delivered to him; the Garnishee brings a Writ of Error, and the Conusee sues Execution upon the Statute and has it; there it is held, that altho' the Garnishee doth reverse the Judgment, yet forasmuch as the Statute is now executed, this Execution shall not be avoided by the Reversal of the Judgment; for the Judgment was only to have the Statute delivered, and the Execution on the Statute is a Thing executed, not depending upon the Judgment. So if a Man recovers by erroneous Judgment, and presents to a Benefice, or enters into the Perquisite of a Villein, and afterwards the Judgment is reversed by Writ of Error, because these collateral Things are executed, they shall not be vested, as it is held in 4 H. 7. 11. and there fol. 12. a. Brian saith, that it was adjudged, That if the Defendant pleads that the Plaintiff is outlawed, and the Plaintiff replies, *quod non habetur aliquod recordum*, &c. and the Def. has a Day to bring in the Record, and before the Day the Record is reversed, the Justices should certify *Nul tiel Record*: and therewith agrees 6 El. Dyer (e) 228.

(e) Cro. Jac.
484. Yelv. 36.
1 Brownl. 83.

So in the Case at Bar, forasmuch as the Record is defeated and avoided before the Def. is obliged to plead, he may well plead *Nul tiel* (a) record, *Vide* 13 E. 3. Barr 253. But if two Judg- (a) Cro. El. 270.

ments are giv. and the last depends meerly upon the first as upon its Foundation, there if the first fundamental Judgm. is (b) reversed by Writ of Error Attaint, the latter (which appears in the Record to be dependent upon it) shall be reversed (b) Cro. Jac. 646. Plowd. 266. 2 Bullstr. 175.

also, as in *Assise* & (c) *Redisseisin*: So of a Judgm. upon the Original, and another Judgm. in a (d) *Scire fac.* the same Law (c) 1 Roll. 777. Yelv. 155. 9 Co. 119. b. 43 E. 3. 3. a. Palm. 187. Br. Error 233. 47.

of one Judgm. given against the Tenant and another against the Vouchee and the like, 43 E. 3. 3. a. 13 E. 4. 4. a. 8 H. 4. 4. 4 E. 3. 36. 8 H. 7. 10. a. 11 H. 4. 6. a. b. 4 E. 4. 29. a. 6 E. 4. 9. b. 9 H. 6. 38. b. 10 H. 6. 6. And *vide* * 26 E. 3. 57. a. b. that by the Reversal of the Judgm. given in a *Quare Impedit*, the Judgm. given in a *Quare non admittit* is also reversed. 2. There is a Difference between mean Acts done in the Execut. of Justice, which are Compulsive, and Acts which are Voluntary: And therefore, if an Erroneous Judgm. is given in Debt, and the Sheriff, by Force of a *Fi fac.* (e) sells a Term of the Def. and afterwards the Judgm. is reversed by a Writ of Error, yet the Term shall not be restored, but only the Sum, &c. because the Sheriff was commanded and compelled by the K's Writ to sell it, &c. (e) Antea 96. b. 1 Roll. 778. 5 Co. 90. b. Dy. 363. pl. 24. Moor 573. Cro. El. 278. Cro. Jac. 246. Jenk. Cent. 264. 2 Leon. 92. 3 Leon. 89. 90. Godb. 27. 28. Yelv. 180. Goldsb. 103. 104.

But if a *Capias* (f) *Utlagat'* is awarded, whereby the Sheriff is commanded to take the Body, &c. & *bona* & *cutalla*, *qua per inquisition' invenerit in manus nostras capias ut de vero valore*, &c. and by Force of that Writ, the Sheriff, by Inquisition takes the Goods and Chattles of the Man Outlaw'd and sells them, and afterwards the Outlawry is reversed the Party shall be restored to his Goods and Chattels, because the Sheriff was not commanded nor compelled by the K's Writ to sell them; and therewith agrees 5 El. Dy. 223. (g) *Proctor's Case.* And according to this Resolution it was adjudged in *C. B.* betw. *Amner* (h) and *Leddington*, and afterwards *Mitch'* 26 & 27 El. in a Writ of Error affirmed in the K's Bench. 3. There is a Difference between a Recovery upon an elder Title, and a Reversal of a Record by a Writ of Error: And therefore if *A.* recovers Dower in ancient demesne against *H.* and has Execution the Tenant reverses the Judgm. in a Writ of false Judgm. and because the Woman has held the Land for 2 Years, between the first Judgm. and the Reversal, it was inquired of the yearly Value of the Land, and the two Years tax'd to 20 Marks; and thereupon the Tenant sued a *Scire fac.* against the Woman to have Execution of the said 20 Marks: In bar of which she pleads, That she has brought a Writ of Right close, in the Nature of a *Cui in vita*, against the said *H.* the now Pl. and Procces continued till she recovered the Land by elder Title, and demands Judgm. if of the Issues of the same Lands by any Judgment recover'd since, *H.* ought to have Execution: But it was adjudged, That forasmuch as the Judgment given for *H.* remain'd in Force, by Force of which he should have the said 20 Marks as Damages (f) Cr. El. 270. Moor 270. 1 Roll. 778. Dy. 223. pl. 26.

severed from the Land, and the Recovery in the *Cui in vita* doth not refer to them, for this Reason, altho' *A.* had recovered by elder Title, *H.* should have Execution of the Damages, 20 *E. 3. Scire fac'* 123, * in *Herbert's Case*. 4. The Case at Bar is stronger, because the Judgm. to avoid the said Outlawry is declaratory, which declares the Outlawry to be null, and the Proceeding therein to be without Warrant, and void, and all that without a Writ of Error, because there is a nullity in the Outlawry, forasmuch as the Writ of Exigent, which is the Warrant to proceed to Outlawry, wants Substance, and by Consequence no Warrant in Law; and therefor when it is so declared by the Judgm. of the Court, it is void *ab initio*.

* Palm. 302.

(a) Antea 143. a.
1 And. 36. pl.
91. O. Bendl. 27.
pl. 108. Co. Lit.
128. b. Godb. 84.
(b) Br. Privi-
lege 28, 29.
Fitz. corpus cum
causa, 8, 9.
(c) 2 H. 5. c. 2.
Cro. Car. 261.
(d) Cro. El. 33.
Cro. Jac. 43.
Yelv. 57.

Vide (a) Proctor's Case, 5 *El. Dy.* 223. In 38 *H. 6. 4. b. & 12. b.* One who had Cause of (b) Privilege in the Com. Pleas was arrested in *London*, and bef. Judgm. the Defend. deliver'd a Writ of *Supersedeas* in the inferior Court, and notwithstanding that, the Recorder proceeded to Judgment, and thereupon his Body was taken in Execution, and afterwards his Body was brought into *C. B.* by a Writ of (c) *Corpus cum causa*; and because after the (d) *Supersedeas* there was a Nullity in the Proceeding as well to Judgm. as in Execution, the Court awarded, that the Party should be discharged of the Execution; and so he was dismissed without suing any Writ of Error; for by this declaratory award, the Judgm. and Execution are utterly void. And *vide 17 El. Dyer 339.* (e) Administration is committed to the Wife of the Goods, &c. of the Husband who recovers Debt as Administratrix, depending which Suit, the Son of the Intestate, by Covin betwixt him and the Defend. procures new Administration to him and his Mother, no Cause of Revocation of the first expressed in the second, and after Judgment Releases to the Def. the Wife sues Execution, the Def. upon this Release brings an *Audita Querela*, and the Def. pleads the Matter *supra*, and Sentence declaratory that the second Administration was void, and adjudged *pro Defendente*. But if the Letters of Administration had been good, and the Administrator makes a Release, and afterw. the Letters of Administration are repealed, there the Release is good: So there is a Difference between a Sentence declaratory, by which Letters of Administration are declared to be void, and a Sentence of Repeal, which allows them good till they are repealed. *Nota* Reader, where it is said before in the first Difference, That collateral Things executed by Execution upon Escape, or upon the Statute recovered in the Writ of *Detinue*, in (f) 7 *H. 6. 42. a. b.* shall not be avoided by the Reversal of the first Judgment, the same is true; and yet I conceive, That he shall have Remedy upon the Reversal of the Judgment, by (g) *Audita Querela*, because the Cause and Ground of the Collateral Action is disproved and avoided by the Reversal of the first

(e) Dyer 339.
pl. 16. Antea
135. b. 3 Co.
78. b. 6 Co. 19. a.
1 Sid. 21. 2 Keb.
12. Cro. El. 460.

(f) Antea 142. b.
3 Co. 90. b. Br.
Error 66.
1 Roll. 308, 777,
778.
(g) Cro. Jac.
640.

Judgm. entri

PART VIII. *Dr. DRURY's Case.*

144

Judgment, and the first Plaintiff restored to his former Ac-
tion, upon which he may have his just and due Remedy. Dyer 203, 204.
Pl. 75. Wentw.
21. Swinb. 47.
And it is like the Case in *Dyer 3 Eliz. 203.* Executors have
Judgment in Accompt, and have the Defendant in Execu- Yelv. 83. 2 Sand.
149. Orph. Leg.
26. 1 Brownl.
91. Co. Ent. 89,
90. Noy. 15.
tion for the Arrearages; now the Will is annulled, *quia*,
the Testator an Ideot, and the Record Spiritual was remov-
ed into the Chancery by Writ, and sent into the King's
Bench, where the Action was brought; and thereupon the
Defendant brought an *Audita Querela*, because the Will was
disapproved: And it was resolved, in *Camera Scaccarii, An-*
no 35 H. 8. (as I have heard, it appears by the Record) that
the *Audita Querela* did well lie.

Trin.

Trin. 8 Jacobi Regis.

DAVENPORT'S Case.

Robert Bradshaw brought a *Quare Impedit* against John Davenport, George Wood, Clerk, and the Bishop of Lincoln, of the Vicarage of the Church of Orton *super Monton*, in the County of Leicestershire (which began *Trinit' 7 Jacobi, Rot' 1008.*) And the Case was such, George Hastings Earl of Huntingdon, was possessed of the Rectory of Orton, to which the Advowson of the said Vicarage was appendant for 15 Years; and by his Deed, 18 *Maii 19 Eliz.* granted to Robert Bradshaw now Plaintiff, as he alleged in his Declaration, *Primam & (a) proximam advocacion' & donationem præd' vicaria de Orton cum eadem prim' & proxim' extunc vacare contingeret per aliquas vias seu media quacunq[ue], si ead' contingeret fore vacua durante termino annorum adtunc in esse de rectoria de Orton concess. præfato Comiti per præfatum nuper Episcopum Oxonien'.* The Defendants pleaded, That after the said Grant of the next Avoidance, the said Earl so being of the said Rectory, to which, &c. possessed, died thereof possessed, intestate, *post cujus mortem, scil' primo die Maii 1605,* Administration of his Goods, &c. was committed to Henry now Earl of Huntingdon; and afterwards the said now Earl, 9 *Feb. 3 Jacobi,* (b) surrender'd his said Term in the said Rectory, to which, &c. to the Bishop of Oxford then in Rever- sion, which he accepted, *per quod præd' terminus annorum de & in rectoria præd' cum pertin' ad quam &c. determinavit,* and the Bishop demised the said Rectory, with the Appurtenances, to Davenport, one of the Defendants, for his Life; and afterwards the said Vicarage became void, &c. upon which the Plaintiff demur'd; and the sole Question

(a) Cro. Jac.
491.

(b) Palm. 484.

of this Case was, if by the said Surrender the said Grant of the next avoidance was void or not. And it was objected, That the said Grant of the next Avoidance was upon express Limitation. If the Vicarage became void during the Term then *in esse*, and by the Surrender the Term was merg'd and extinct: so that the Vicarage became not void during the Term, because that happen'd after the Surrender. But if the Limitation had been during the Years, there it had been otherwise, for the Years could not determine but by (a) Effluxion of Time. But it was answer'd and resolv'd, That notwithstanding the Surrender, yet the Pl. should have the next (b) Avoidance, and that for 3 Reasons 1. The said Limitation implies no more, than the Law would have said; for if Tenant for Years grants the next (c) Avoidance, the Law implies this Limitation, If the Church becomes void during the Term, and therefore (d) *Expressio eorum que tacite insunt nihil operatur*. 2. The Grantor himself wou'd derogate from his own Grant, and wou'd make it void at his Pleasure, and that is against the Rule of Law, *sc.* That the Grant of every one shall be taken * strong against himself, and most beneficially for the Grantee. And as to that, the notable Case of (e) *Imrston de Holland*, Parson of *Preston*, in 6 E. 3. 54. b. & 55. a. was cited, where the Case was; That in the Time of R. 1. Debate was between the Abbot of S. and *Theoband C.* upon the Advowson of the Church of *Preston*, and thereupon they agreed, and a Fine was levied 15 Mich. 6. R. 1. before the Archb. of *Canterbury*, the Bishop of *Rochester*, &c. then Justices C. B. between the aforesaid Abbot and the said *Theoband*; by which Fine the Abbot granted the Advowson to T. and to his Heirs for ever, so that at every Presentation by T. or his Heirs, the Clerks so presented, &c. should pay to the said Abbot and his Successors for ever 10 Marks *per An.* which Accord was made in the Presence of the Ordinary of the Place, who assented to it, at which Time the Church of *Preston* was void; and upon that Fine the Successors of the Abbot of S. brought a Writ of Annuity against the said T. *de Holland* Parson of P. And there *Parning* Serjeant took Exception to the Declaration, that for as much as the Grant of the Annuity is in a special Manner to be paid by the Clerks who should be presented by T. or his Heirs, that the Pl. ought to have shewed in his Declaration, that the Def. was presented by the said T. or his Heirs. To which *Sadler* said, we intend that the Parson shall be charg'd by whomsoever he is presented. And *Herle C. J.* who gave the Rule, said, That altho' had T. aliened the Advowson, the Annuity wou'd not be thereby extinct: wherefore *Parning* pass'd over to another matter. By which Case it appears that altho' T. had aliened the Advowson, and altho' the Annuity in the Body of the Grant is limited to be paid by the Presentees of T. or his Heirs, yet the Presentee of his Alienee shou'd pay it, for otherwise

(a) Co. Lit. 45. b. 1 Co. 154. a.

(b) Palm. 484. (c) Cr. Jac. 691. Co. Lit. 379. a. Palm. 484. Hob. 41.

(d) 1 Roll. Rep. 310. 2 Roll. Rep. 393. Larch. 25. Palm. 433. 437. Antea 56. b. Wing. Max.

(e) 235. 4 Co. 73. b. 5 Co. 11. a. 11 Co. 60. a. 10 Co. 39. a. Co. Lit. 191. d. 205. a. Lit.

Rep. 111. 2 Inst. 365. 2 Sand. 351. 2 Bulstr. 131. 1 Mod. Rep. 190. Hard. 92. Hob. 170.

* Winch. 96. (e) Hob. 41.

DAVENPORT's *Case*. PART VIII.

by his own Grant he wou'd defeat the Annuity which he himself had granted. Note Reader, in this *Case* of 6 E. 3. there are divers Things worthy Observation, and amongst them the Antiquity of the (a) Common Pleas; for it appears thereby that it was a Court long before the Statute of *Magna Charta* made in 9 H. 3 as appears also in (b) 26 *Aff. p.* 24. where it appears that King *Hen. 1.* made Letters Patents of Confirmation to the Abbot of B. of all Usages; and that they should have Conufans of all Manner of Pleas, so that the Justices of one Bench, nor the other, nor Justices of Assise, should nothing intermeddle, &c. which is a direct Proof, that in the Time of King *Hen. 1.* there were Courts of the one Bench and the other, and also Justices of Assise, &c. 3. The Term for the Benefit of the Grantee has to some Respect Continuance, and therefore if Lessee for Years grants a Rent-charge, and afterwards surrenders, yet for the Benefit of the Grantee the (c) Term has Continuance; although *in rei Veritate* it is determined: and therewith agrees 5 H. 5. 10. a. But it is true, that the Lessee himself by his Surrender may prejudice himself of an (d) Encrease of an Estate to be made to himself, as it is resolved in 35 H. 8. (e) *Exposition de parols* 44. Vide the *Rector of Cheddington's Case*, M. 38. *Eliz. in the First Part of my Reports*, fol. 154. a.

(a) Co. Lit. 71. b.

(b) Praef. 3. Rep. p. 5. Fitz. Conufans 57. Br. Conufance 40.

(c) 1 Jones 62. Cro. Car. 102. Lit. Rep. 336. Dall. 65. Poph. 40. 1 Co. 67. a. 9 Co. 107. b. Plowd. 198. a. Co. Lit. 338. b. (d) Antea 75. b. (e) Br. Exposition de parols 44. 1 Co. 154. a. Bridgm. 102. Co. Lit. 45. b.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

The Six Carpenters CASE.

IN Trespafs brought by *John Vaux* against *Tho. Newman*, Carpenter and five other Carpenters, for breaking his House, and for an Assault and Battery, 1 Sept' 7 Jac. in London in the Parish of St. Giles's extra Cripplegate, in the Ward of Cripplegate, &c. and upon the (a) new Assign-^{(a) 2 Co. 6. 2d} ment, the Plaintiff assign'd the Trespafs in a House called ^{18. b.} the *Queen's Head*. The Defendants to all the Trespafs *preter fractionem Domus* pleaded Not guilty; and as to the breaking of the House, said, That the said House *præd' tempore quo, &c. & diu antea & postea* was a Common Tavern of the said *John Vaux*, with a Common Sign at the Door of the said House fixed, &c. by Force whereof the Defendants, *præd' tempore quo, &c. viz. hora quarta post meridiem* into the said House, the Door thereof being open did enter, and did there buy and drink a Quart of Wine, and there paid for the same, &c. The Plaintiff by way of Replication did confess, that the said House was a Common (b) Tavern, and that they enter'd into it and bought and drank a Quart of Wine and paid for it, but further said, that one *John Ridding*, Servant of the said *John Vaux*, at the Request of the said Defendants, did there then deliver them another Quart of Wine, and a Pennyworth of Bread, amounting to 8 d. and there they then did drink the said Wine, and eat the Bread, and upon Request did refuse to pay for the same. Upon which the Defts. did demurr in Law: And the only Point in this Case was, If denying to pay for the Wine, or Non-payment which is all one, (for eve. non-paym. upon Request is a denying in Law) makes the Entry into the Tavern tortious. And first, it was resolv'd when Entry, Authority or (c) Licence is given to any one by the Law, and he doth abuse it, he shall be a Trespasser *ab ini-*

(c) 2 Roll. 566
Yelv. 96, 97. 1.

The Six Carpenters CASE. PART VIII.

titio: But where an Entry, Authority, or Licence is give by the (a) Party, and he abuses it, there he shall be punished for his Abuse, but shall not be a Trespassor *ab initio*. And the Reason of this Difference is, That in the Case of a general Authority or Licence (b) of Law, the Law adjudges by the subsequent Act, *quo animo*, or to what Intent he enter'd for *acta exteriora indicant interiora secreta*. Vide 11 H. 4, 75. b. But when the Party gives an Authority or Licence himself to do any thing, he can't for any subsequent Cause punish that which is done by his own Authority or Licence, and theref. the Law gives Autho. to enter into a com. Inn, into a Tavern, to the Lord to distrein; to the Owner of the Ground to distrein Damage feasant, to him in Reverfion to see if Waste be done; to the Commoner to enter upon the Land to see his Cattel, and such like: Vide 12 E. 4. 8. b. 21 E. 4. 19. b. 5 H. 7. 11. a. 9 H. 6. 29. b. 11 H. 4. 75. b. 3 H. 7. 15. b. 28 H. 6. 5. b. But if he who enters into the Inn or Tavern doth a Trespass, as if he (c) carries away any thing; or if the Lord who distreins for Rent, or the Own. for Damage feasant, works or kills the (d) Distress; or if he who enters to see wast breaks the House, or (e) stays there all Night; or if the Commoner cuts down a Tree, in these and the like Cases the Law adjudges that he entered for that Purpose, and because the Act which demonstrates it is a Trespass, he shall be a Trespasser *ab initio*, as it appears in all the said Books. So if (f) a Purveyor takes my Cattel by Force of a Commission, for the King's House, it is lawful; but if he sells them in the Market, now the first taking is wrongful; and therewith agrees, 18 H. 6. 19. b. *Et sic de similibus*.

(g) Cr. Car. 196. 2 Bullfr. 312. 1 Roll. Rep. 130.

2. It was resolved *per totam Curiam*, That (g) Not doing can't make the Party who has Authority or Licence by the Law, a Trespasser *ab initio*, because not doing is no Trespass; and therefore if the Lessor distreins for his Rent, and thereup. the Lessee tenders him the Rent and Arrears, &c. and requires his Beasts again, and he will not deliver them, this not doing can't make him a Trespassor *ab initio*; and therewith agrees 33 H. 6. 47. a. So if a Man takes Cattel Damage feasant, and the other offers sufficient Amends, and he refuses to redeliver them, now if he sues a *Replevin*, he shall recover (h) Damages only for the detaining of them, and not for the taking, for that was lawful; and therew. agrees *F. N. B. 69. g. Temp' E. 1. Replevin 27. 27 E. 3. 88. 45 E. 3. 9.*

(h) Lit. Rep. 34. Dr. & Stud. lib. 2. 112. b. Heul. 16.

(i) 1 Roll. Rep. 60. 2 Bullfr. 312.

So in the Case at Barr, for not (i) paying for the Wine the Defend. shall not be Trespassors, for the denying to pay for it is no Trespass, and therefore they can't be Trespassors *ab initio*; and therewith agrees directly in the Point, (k) 12 Edw. 4. 9. b. For there *Pigot* Sergeant puts this very Case, If one comes into a Tavern to drink, and when he has drunk he goes away, and will not pay the Ta-

(k) 1 Sid. 5. 12 E. 4. 9 a. b.

verner, the Taverner shall have an Action of Trespass against him for his Entry. To which *Brian* Chief Justice said, the said Case which *Pigot* has put, is not (a) Law, for (a) 12 E. 4. 9. b. it is no Trespass, but the Taverner shall have an Action of Debt: And there before (b) *Brown* held, That if I bring (b) 12 E. 4. 9. b. Cloth to a Taylor to have a Gown made, if the Price be not agreed in certain before how much I shall pay for the making, he shall not have an Action of Debt against me; which is meant of a general Action of Debt; but the Taylor in such a Case shall have (c) a special Action of Debt; *sc.* that (c) 1 Sid. 5. *A.* did put Cloth to him to make a Gown thereof for the said *A.* and that *A.* would pay him as much for making, and all Necessaries thereto, as he should deserve, and that for making thereof and all Necessaries thereto, he deserves so much for which he brings the Action of Debt: in that Case the putting of his Cloth to the Taylor to be made into a Gown is sufficient Evidence to prove the said special Contract, for the Law implies it: and if the Taylor over-values the making, or the Necessaries to it, the Jury may mitigate it, and the Plaintiff shall recover so much as they shall find, and shall be barred for the Residue. But if the Taylor (as they use) makes a Bill, and he himself values the making and the Necessaries thereof, he shall not have an Action of Debt for his own Value, and declare of a Retainer of him to make a Gown, &c. for so much, unless it is so especially agreed. But in such Case he may (d) detain (d) Hob. 42. the Garment till he is pay'd as the Hostler may the Horse. Yelv. 67. Cro. Car. 271, 272. *Vide Br. Distress.* 70. and all this was resolved by the Court. Br. Distress 71. *Vide the Book in 30 Ass. p. 38. John Matrevers Case,* it is held Palm. 223. Hutt. 101. 22 E. 4. by the Court, that if the Lord, or his Bailiff comes to distress, and (e) before the Distress the Tenant tenders the 49. b. Moor 877. Arrears upon the Land, there the Distress taken for it is 5 Ed. 4. 2. b. tortious. The same Law for Damage feasant, if before the 1 Roll. Rep. 449. Distress he tenders sufficient Amends; and therewith agrees 2 Roll. Rep. 438. 3 Roll. 83, 92. 3 Bull. 269. 7 E. 3. 8. b. in the *Mr. of St. Marks Case*, and so is the O- (e) Br. Distress 37. pinion of *Hull* to be understood in 13 H. 4. (f) 17. b. which Br. Tender, &c. 13. (f) 2 Roll. 561a. Opinion is not well abridged in *Title Trespass* 180. Note Reader this Difference, That tender upon the (g) Land (g) 2 Sid. 40. before the (h) Distress, makes the Distress tortious, tender (h) 5 Co. 76. a. after the Distress and before the impounding makes the De- 2 Inst. 107. teiner, and not the taking wrongful; tender after (i) the (i) 2 Roll. 561a. impounding makes neither the one nor the other wrongful; 1 Brownl. 173. for then it comes too late, because then the Cause is put to 2 Inst. 107. the Tryal of the Law, to be there determined. But after 5 Co. 76. a. the Law has determin'd it, and the Avowant has Return irreplevisable, yet if the Pl. makes him a sufficient Tender, he may have an Action of *Detinue* for the Detainer after: or he may upon Satisfaction made in Court have a Writ for the Re-delivery of his Goods: and therewith agree the said

The Six Carpenters CASE. PART VIII.

Book in 13 H. 4. 17. b. 14 H. 4. 4. Registr' Judic' 37.
45 E. 3. 9. and all the Books before. Vide 14 E. 4. 4. b.
2 H. 6. 12. 22 H. 6. 57. Dr. and Student, lib. 2. cap. 27.
Br. Distress 72. and Pilkington's Case, in the Fifth Part of
my Reports, fo. 76. and to all the Books which *prima facie*
seem to disagree, are upon full and pregnant Reason well
reconciled and agreed.

Trinit

Trinit. 8 Jacobi Regis.

EDWARD ALTHAM'S *Case.*

Thomas Lawrence & Marcia uxor ejus per Carolum Cardinall Attorn' suum petit versus Edwardum Altham Generosum & Margaretam uxorem ejus tertiam partem centum acrarum terræ, decem acrarum prati, & sexaginta ac' pasturæ cum pertin' in Gosfield ut dot' ipsi' Marciz ex dotatione Thomæ Nash senioris quondam viri sui &c. Et prædict' Edwardus & Margareta per Johannem Rowley Attorn' suum ven' & dicunt, quod prædict' Thomas Lawrence & Marcia dotem ipsius Marciz de tenementis prædictis cum pertin' unde &c. ex dotatione prædict' Thomæ Nash, quondam viri &c. versus eos habere non debent, quia dic' quod prædictus Thomas Nash quondam vir &c. fuit seisit' de tenementis prædictis cum pertin' unde &c. In dominico suo ut de feod', Et illa tenuit de Johanne Wentworth Armigero ut de manerio suo de Gosfield cum pertin' in com' prædicto in libero socagio, videlicet, per fidelitatem tantum pro omnibus serviciis & demand': prædictusque Thomas sic de tenementis prædict' cum pertin' unde &c. seisitus existens decimo die Aprilis, Anno dom' Millesimo quingentesimo nonagesimo secundo apud Gosfield prædictam condidit testamentum & ultimam voluntatem sua in scriptis, & per eandem ultimam voluntatem suam voluit & legavit tenementa prædicta cum pertinen' unde &c. Cuidam Zachariæ Nash filio juniori ejusdem Thomæ Nash, habend' & tenend' eid' Zachariæ pro termino vitæ suæ, ac postea ibid' obiit de tali statu inde seisit', post cujus mortem præd' Zacharias in tenem' præd' cum pertin' unde &c. intrav' & fuit inde seisit' in dominico suo ut de libero tenemento pro termino

EDW. ALTHAM's Case. PART VIII.

termino vitæ suæ virtute legationis præd', ac reversio tenementor' prædict' cum pertin' unde &c. Post mortem prædicti Thomæ descendebat cuidam Thomæ Nash, ut filio & hæredi prædicti Thomæ Nash quondam viri &c. Per quod idem Thomas filius fuit feisit' de eadem reversione tenementorum prædictorum cum pertin' unde &c. ut de feodo & jure, ipsoque Thoma sic inde feisit' existen' ac prædicto Zacharia de tenementis præd' cum pertin' unde &c. Sic ut præfert', feisit' existen' prædicta Marcia post mortem prædict' Thomæ Nash quondam viri &c. In viduitate ipsius Marciaæ dum ipsa sola fuit, scilicet, vicefimo septimo die Aprilis, anno regni dom' Eliz. nuper Regina Angl' tricesimo quinto apud Gosfield prædict' per quoddam scriptum suum relaxationis quod iidem Edward' & Margareta sigillo præd' Marciaæ signat' hic in Cur' proferunt, cuj' dat' est eisdem die & anno, per nomen Marciaæ Nash relictae Thomæ Nash nuper de Feringe in com' Essex defunct' remisit, relaxavit, & omnino pro se hæred' executorib' & administr' suis imperpetuum quiet' clam' præf. Thomæ Nash filio & hæred' prædict' Tho' Nash quondam viri ipsius Marciaæ per nomen Tho' Nash de Whethersfield in com' præd' Yeoman, filii & hæred' dicti Thomæ nuper viri sui, omnes & omnimod' actiones, tam reales quam personales, sectas, querelas, & demanda quacunque quæ ipsa Marcia vel execut' sui vers' præfat' Thomam Nash filium vel executores suos unquam habuisset seu habuissent, tunc habuit vel habuerunt, seu quovismodo tunc in futuro habere potuisset vel potuissent ratione alicuj' rei, causæ, vel facti cujuscunque, ab origine mundi usque diem dat' ejusdem scripti relaxationis, post quod quidem scriptum relaxationis præfat' Thomæ filio per prædict' Marciam, ut præfertur, fact' prædictus Thomas filius de præd' reversione tenementorum prædictorum cum pertinen' unde &c. In forma prædicta feisit' existen', apud Gosfield præd' obiit de tali statu suo inde feisitus, post cujus mortem ead' reversio tenemen' præd' cum pertin' unde &c. Descendebat præfat' Margareta ut filia & hæredi prædict' Thomæ filii, per quod eadem Margareta fuit feisit' de eadem reversione tenementorum prædictorum cum pertin' unde &c. ut de feodo & jure, ipsaque Margareta sic de reversione illa, ut præfert', feisit' existen', ac prædicto Zacharia de tenementis prædictis cum pertin' unde &c. In forma præd' feisit' exist' idem Zacharias postea apud Gosfield prædictam obiit de tali statu suo inde feisit', post cujus mortem ead' Margareta in ten'ta prædict' cum pertin' unde &c. Intravit & fuit inde feisita in domin' suo ut de feodo. Et sic inde feisit' existen' ead' Margareta postea & ante diem impetrationis' brevis orig' præd' Tho' Lawrence & Marciaæ apud Gosfield præd' cepit in virum præd' Edw' Altham, per quod iid' Edw' & Margareta fuerunt

fuerunt & adhuc sunt feiftri de tenementis pertin' cum pertin' unde &c. in dominico suo ut de feodo in jure ipsius Marg'. Et hoc parat' sunt verificare: unde per' judicium si præd' Tho' Lawrence & Marcia dotem ipsius Marc' de tenementis præd' cum pertin' unde &c. Ex dotatione præd' Tho. Nash quondam viri &c. versus eos habere debeant &c. Et præd' Tho. Lawrence & Marcia per' auditum præd' scripti relaxationis, Et eis legit' in hæc verba: Omnibus Christi fidelibus ad quos hoc præfens scriptum pervenerit Marcia Nash, reliet' Thomæ Nash nuper de Feringe in comitat' Effex defuncti, salutem in Domino sempiternam: Sciatis me præf' Marciam in pura viduitate & plena potestate mea existen' remiffisse, relaxasse, & omnino de & pro me hæred' executoribus & adminiſtr' meis imperpetuum quiet' clamasse, Tho. Nash de Wethersfield in comitatu prædict' yeoman filio & hæred' dicti Thomæ nuper viri mei, omnes & omnimodas action', tam reales quam personales, sectas, querelas, & demanda quacunque, Necnon totam dotem meam ac titulum & actionem dot' mihi contingent' per mortem dicti Thomæ viri mei de aliquibus terris & tenementis suis in Wethersfield prædict', quæ vel quas ego præfata Marcia vel executores mei versus psum Thomam Nash filium vel executores suos unquam habui, habeo, seu quovismodo in futuro habere poterò, habemus, vel habere poterim', ratione alicuj' rei, causæ, vel facti cujuscunque ab origine mundi usque diem datus hujus præfentis scripti relaxationis: Et insuper noverit' me præfata Marciam dedisse, & remiffisse eidem Thomæ Nash filio omnia hujusmodi bona nuper dicti Thom' viri mei quæ fuerunt in possessione ipsius Thom' filii vel Assign' suorum temp' confessionis hujus cartæ relaxationis. In cujus rei testimon' huic præfenti scripto meo figil' meum apposui. Datum vicef' septimo die Aprilis anno Regni dominæ nostræ Eliz' dei gratia Angliæ, Franciæ, & Hiberniæ, Reginæ, fidei Defen' &c. tricesimo quinto. Quo lecto & audit' iidem Thom' Lawrence & Marcia dic', quod ipsi per aliqua præallegat' ab dote ipsius Marcie habend' præcludi non debent, quia dic', quod prædictus Thomas Nash quondam vir &c. in vita sua, ac tempore mortis suæ, fuit feifitus tam de tenementis prædictis cum pertinentiis unde &c. in Gosfield prædict', quam de duobus Messuagiis & ducentis acris terræ cum pertinent' in Wethersfield prædict' in dominico suo ut de feodo. Et sic inde feifit' existen' apud Gosfield prædict' per ultimam voluntat' suam in scriptis legavit ten'ta præd' cum pertinent' unde &c. In G. præd' præfat' Zachariæ Nash, juniori filio jusdem T. Nash, quondam viri &c. Ac postea apud G. prædictam

EDW. ALTHAM's Case. PART VIII.

dictam obiit: post cuj' mortem præd' Thomas Nash junior, ut filius & hæres præd' Tho. Nash quondam viri &c. In tenementa prædicta cum pertinentiis in Wethersfield præd' intravit, & fuit inde seisit' in Dominico suo ut de feodo. Et prædict' Zacharias in tenementa præd' cum pertinentiis unde &c. In Gosfield præd' intravit, & fuit inde seisitus in dominico suo ut de libero tenemento pro termino vitæ suæ: Et iidem Thom' Lawrence & Marcia ulterius dicunt, quod tempore mortis præd' Tho. Nash quondam viri &c. prædict' Zacharias fuit infra ætatem viginti & unius annorum (videlicet) trium annorum, per quod præd' Marcia, dum ipsa sola fuit, ut gardian' & pro nutritura ejusdem Zachariæ in tenementa præd' cum pertinentiis unde &c. In Gosfield præd' intravit, & fuit inde possessionat' prædictoque Thoma Nash filio de tenementis prædictis cum pertinentiis in Wethersfield præd' seisit' existen', Ac prædicto Zacharia de tenementis prædictis cum pertinen' unde &c. In Gosfield prædict' in forma prædicta seisit' existen', Ac eadem Marcia inde in form' præd' possessionat' existen', postea, & ante confectionem præd' scripti relaxationis hic in Cur' prolat', apud Gosfield præd', concordat' & agreat' fuit inter eandem Marciam dum ipsa sola fuit, & prædict' Thomam Nash filium, quod eadem Marcia relaxaret eidem Thomæ Nash filio totam dotem suam sibi contingen' per mortem præd' Thomæ Nash quondam viri &c. De omnibus terris & tenementis ejusdem Thomæ in Wethersfield prædicta, Et quod prædictus Thomas Nash, filius feoffaret quosdam Johannem Tyler sen' Johannem Tyler juniorem & hæredes suos de tenementis prædictis cum pertinentiis unde &c. In Gosfield prædicta ad usum prædicti Zachariæ & hæred' de corpore suo legitime procreat'. Et iidem Tho. Lawrence & Marcia ulterius dicunt, quod prædictus Tho. Nash filius de tenementis præd' cum pertinentiis in Wethersfield prædict' in forma prædicta seisitus existens, & prædicta Marcia de tenementis prædict' cum pertinen' unde &c. In Gosfield prædicta possessionat' existen' eadem Marcia postea, scilicet, prædicto vicesimo septimo die Aprilis anno Regni dictæ Dominæ Elizabethæ, nuper Reginæ Angl' Tricesimo quinto supradicto dum ead' Marcia sola fuit, apud Gosfield prædictam prædictum scriptum relaxationis præfat' Thomæ Nash filio sigillavit & deliberavit, Ac prædictus Thomas Nash filius vicesimo octavo die Apr' anno Regni dictæ nuper Reginæ tricesimo quinto supradicto apud Gosfield præd' feoffavit præfat' Johannem Tyler seniore & Johannem Tyler juniorem & hæredes suos de tenementis prædict' cum pertinentiis unde &c. In Gosfield prædicta

ad

ad usum prædicti Zachariæ & hæred' de corpore suo legitime procreat', ac postea idem Zacharias apud Gosfield præd' obiit sine hærede de corpore suo legitime procreat': Et hoc parati sunt verificare: unde petunt Judicium & seisinam de tertia parte tenementorum prædictorum cum pertin' unde &c. In Gosfield prædicta sibi adjudicari, &c. Et præd' Edwardus & Margareta dic', quod præd' placitum præd' Tho. Lawrence & Marcia modo & form' præd' supe ius replicand' placitat' materiaque in eodem content' minus sufficien' in lege existunt ad ipsos Thomam & Marciam ad dotem ipsius Marcia de tenementis prædictis cum pertin' unde &c. Versus eosdem Edwardum & Margaretam habend' manutenend', ad quod ipsi necesse non habent nec per legem ter' tenentur respondere: Et hoc parat' sunt verificare: Unde pro defectu sufficien' replication' prædict' Thomæ Lawrence & Marcia in hac parte iidem Edwardus & Margareta ut prius petunt Judicium: Et quod præd' Tho. Lawrence, & Marcia a dote ipsius Marc' de tenemen' præd' cum pertin' unde &c. versus eos habend' præcludantur &c.

Et præd' Tho. Lawrence & Marcia, ex quo ipsi sufficien' materiam in lege ad ipsos Thom' & Marciam ad actionem suam præd' versus præd' Edward' & Margaretam habend' manutenend' superi' replicando allegaverunt quam ipsi parat' sunt verificare, quam quidem mater' præd' Edward' & Margareta non dedic' nec ad eam aliqualit' respond' sed verificationem illam admittere omnino recusant, ut prius pet' Judicium & seisin' de tertia parte præd' sibi adjudicari &c. Et quia Justic' hic se advisare volunt de & super præmiss. priusquam Judicium inde reddant, dies data est partibus præd' hic usque in octab' sancti Mich. de audiend' inde Judicio suo, eo quod iidem Justic' hic inde nondum &c.

Mich.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

EDWARD ALTHAM's Case.

1 Brownl. 62, 63.
20 Co. 51. a.

T *Thomas Lawrence*, and *Marcy* his Wife, brought a Writ of Dower against *Edw. Altham*, and *Margaret* his Wife, and made Demand to be endowed of the third Part of 100 Acres of Land, 10 Acres of Meadow, and 60 Acres of Pasture, with their Appurtenances, in *Gosfield*, in the County of *Essex*, as the Dower of the said *Marcy*, of the Endowment of *Thomas Nash* the elder, her late Husband: The Tenants pleaded in Bar, that the said *Thomas Nash* was seised of the Tenements aforesaid in Fee, and held them in Socage, and afterwards 10 *Aprilis* 1592, by his Will in writing devised the said Tenements whereof, &c. to *Zachary Nash* his younger Son for the Term of his Life, and afterwards died thereof seised, after whose Death the said *Zachary* enter'd, and was thereof seised for the Term of his Life, and the Reversion of the same Tenements descended to *Tho. Nash* Son and Heir of the said *Thomas* the Husband, and afterwards the said *Marcy* one of the Demandants in her Widowhood when she was sole, scil' 27 *Aprilis* 35 *Eliz.* by her Deed did remise, release, and for her, her Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for ever quit claim to the said *Thomas Nash* the Son, *omnes & omnimodas Actiones, tam reales quam personales, Seclas, Querelas & Demanda quaecunque qua ipsa Marcia vel Executores sui versus prefat' Thomam Nash Filium vel Executores suos unquam habuisset seu habuissent, tunc habuit vel habuerunt seu quovismodo tunc in futurum habere potuissent vel potuissent, ratione alicuj' rei, Causa vel Facti cujuscunque ab Origine Mundi usque Diem Dat' ejusdem scripti Relaxationis,* And afterwards the said *T.* the Son died seised of the said Reversion after whose Death it descended to the said *Margaret*,

Margaret, Wife of the said *Edw. Altham*, the other of the Tenants, and afterwards the said *Zachary* died, and the said *Margaret* enter'd, &c. And the Demandants demanded Oyer of the said Deed, which was read to them in these Words, *Omnibus Christi fidelibus ad quos, &c.* as in the Record here before at large. And the Demandants reply'd and said, That the said *Tho. Nash* the Father was seised in his Demefn as of Fee, as well of the Tenements whereof, &c. in G. aforesaid as of two Messuages, and 200 Acres of Land in *Wetherfield* aforesaid, and by his Will in writing devised the said Tenements whereof, &c. In G. to the said *Zachary Nash* as is aforesaid, and afterwards died, after whose Death the said *T. Nash* the Son entered into the said Tenements in *Wetherfield* as Son and Heir, and was seised thereof in Fee, and the said *Zachary* enter'd into the said Lands in *Gosfield*, &c. And that the said *Zachary* was at the Time of the Death of the said *Tho.* the Father, of the Age of three Years, wherefore the said *Marcy*, as Guardian by Nurture, enter'd into the said Tenements in G. and that afterwards and before the said Release, it was concluded and agreed by the said *Marcy*, when she was sole, and the said *Tho. Nash* the Son, That the said *Marcy* should release to the said *Tho. Nash* the Son all her Dower of the Tenements in *W.* aforesaid, &c. and that the said *Thomas* the Son so seised of the Tenements in *W.* and the said *Marcy* so possessed of the Tenements in G. she made the said Release, &c. and afterwards the said *Zachary* died, &c. upon which the Tenants demurr'd in Law. And in this Case two Questions were moved and argued at the Bar and Bench. The first was, whether the said Release made by the Wife to him in Reversion expectant on an Estate for Life, shou'd extinguish her (a) Dower? The 2d, whether the said foreign Concord and Agreement of the Parties shou'd qualifie the Force of any of the Words of the Release. As to the first, The said Deed of Release was divided into 3 parts; in the first was consider'd the Words of the Release; in the second, the Words of Qualification; and in the 3d, the Words of Relation. As to the Words of the Release they appear to be of two Sorts, the one general, the other special: The general contains 4 Words, *Actiones, Sectas, Querelas & Demanda*: The special contains three, *Dotem, Titulum, & Action' Dotis*: The Words of Qualification are, *Mibi contingent' per mortem dicti Thoma nuper viri mei, de aliquibus terris & tenementis suis in W. pred'*. The Words of Relation or Relative Words are, *que vel quas ego presai' Marcia, vel executores mei versus ipsum Tho. &c. unquam habui, habeo, seu quovismodo in futurum habere potero ratione alicujus rei, &c.* As to the first word (*Actiones*.) It was resolv'd, That in this Case the Release of all Actions real to *Tho.* the Son, having but a Reversion expectant on a Freehold did not extinguish the Dower, because

(a) 1 Co. 112. b.
Co. Lit. 265. a.
5 Co. 71. a.
16 E. 3. Bar.
245. Postea
151. b. 154. a.
Doct. pla. 149.

(b) *Actio est jus prosequendi in judicio quod alicui debet'* as it is

(b) Co. Lit.
285. a. Dyer 217.
pl. 2. 10 Co.
51. b. Postea
152. a. 2 Inst. 40.

(a) 4 & 5 Eliz. Dyer 217. pl. 2. Moor 34 Postea 153. b. 1 Anderl. 8. 5 Co. 71. a. N. Benl. 126. pl. 190. Co. Ent. 115. pl. 5.
 (b) Lit. Sect. 495. Co. Lit. 286. 2. Lit. fo. 116. a.

described in (a) *Dyer* 4 & 5 *Ph. & Mar.* 217. out of *Bracton*, lib. 3. cap. 1: And the Wife can't sue *Tho.* the Son, to recover her Dower by Judgm. because he is not Ten. to the *Præcipe*, nor can render Dower to her, for at the Time of the Release *Zachary* was Ten. of the Freeh. and *Litch. Release* fo. 115. holds, That in Actions real, which (b) ought to be sued against the Ten. of the Freeh. if the Ten. has a Release of Actions real of the Demandant made to him before the Writ purchased, and he pleads it, It is a good Plea for the Demandant to say, that he who pleads the Plea had nothing in the Freeh. at the time of the Release made, for then he had no Cause to have any Action real against him. And therefore *Coke C. J.* said, the Opinion in 14 *H. 6.* 11. a. was of great Difficulty, *sc.* If one releases to him in the Reversion expectant on an Estate for Life all Actions real, and afterwards Tenant for Life is impleaded, and prays in Aid of him in Reversion or vouches him, or he is receiv'd in these Cases (as it is there said) altho' the *Præcipe* be not begun against him, yet forasm. as by the Receipt or Voucher, he is become Tenant to the *Præcipe* of him who made the Release, and shall be bound by the Judgm. he shall have Advantage to plead the Release of all Actions real: But the Doubt is, because at the time of the Release made he had no Cause (as *Lit.* saith) to have any Action against him: But doubtless after Receipt or Entry into the Warranty by the Vouchee, a Release by the Demandant to the Tenant by Receipt, or the (c) Vouchee, is good, because both at the Time of the Release made were Tenants in Law to the Demandant, but a Release to them by any Stranger is not good: *Vide* 7 *E.* 3. 46. 18 *E.* 3. 12. 8 *H.* 4. 5. a. 7 *E.* 4. 13. b. 20 *H.* 6. 29. 22 *H.* 6. 12. 5. *H.* 7. 41. a. *Litt.* 114. b. *Lib.* 1. in my Reports, fol. 87. & lib. 3. fol. 29. But if the Wife had released totum jus, all her Right to him in the Reversion, her (d) Dower had been extinct, because her Dower would accrue to the Demandant, not only out of the Estate for Life, but also out of the Reversion, and that was affirmed for good Law, as well at the Barr by both Parties, as at the Bench, according to the Book of (e) 16 *E.* 3. cited in *Hoe's Case*, in the 5 Part of my Reports, fol. 70, 71. a. For when the Right which is the Foundation and Principal is released by Consequence the Action, which is but the Mean to recover it, *i. Jus prosequendi*, is also released: and that appears in 9 *H.* 6. 47. 10 *H.* 4. 6. 21 *H.* 7. 23. 19 *H.* 6. 4. and therefore *Jus* is well divided in *Plow' Com'* in *Nichols Case* 487. b. where it appears that *Jus est sextiplex*, *scil.* 1. (f) *Jus recuperandi*, *i. e. prosequen'*: 2. *Jus intrandi*: 3. *Jus habendi*: 4. *Jus retinendi* 5. *Jus percipiendi*: 6. *Jus possidendi*: and therefore when a Man releases totum Jus generally all his Rights are thereby released. But if the (g) Disseisor releases to the Disseisor, *omnes Actiones*, *i. Jus recup' sive prosequen' in Judic'*, his Right of Entry is not

(c) 3 Co. 29. b. Hob. 223. 1 Co. 87. b. Lit. Sect. 191. fo. 115. b. Co. Lit. 265. b. 284. b. 10 Co. 48. b. 9 H. 7. 26. a. 7 E. 4. 13. b. 2 Rolls Rep. 125. Br. release 95. 43. 53. 20 H. 7. 10. a.
 (d) Antea 151. a. 1 Co. 112 b. Co. Lit. 265. a. 5 Co. 71. a. 16 E. 3. Fitz. Bar. 245. Postea 154. a. Doct. pla. 149.
 (e) Fitz. Bar. 245.

(f) Co. Lit. 345. b.

(g) 4 Co. 63. a.

thereby released: For when a Man has (a) divers Means (a) Co. Lit. to come to his Right, he may release one of them specially, 286. b. 4 Co. 63. a. and yet take Benefit of the other; and therewith *Littl. a. fo. 116. a. b.* grees fol. 115. b. and 19 *Aff. p. 3.* 19 *H. 6. 4.* 21 *H. 6. 23.* 21 *H. 7. 23.* But when a Man has not any Means to come to the Land (b) but by way of Action, there if he releases (b) Co. Lit. all Actions, thereby his Right inclusive by Judgment of 286. a. Law is gone, because by his own Act he has barred himself of all Means and Remedies to recover or attain to it: For a Release of all Advantages upon the Account is a good Barr in an Action of Debt upon the Account, 9 *E. 4. 49.* And therefore if the Disseisor releases all Actions (c) to the Heir of the Disseisor, thereby his Right in Judgment of Law is gone. But if the Heir of the Disseisor makes a Lease for Life, the Remainder in Fee, and the Disseisor releases to the (d) Tenant for Life all Actions which he has against him, and afterwards Tenant for Life dies, the Disseisor notwithstanding this Release shall have an Action against him in Remainder, for he releases but the Action, and the Act in Law never extends the Act of the Party farther than his express Words, as if the Lord disseises his Tenant, and makes a Lease for Life, this Release in Law shall be but for the Life of the Lessee, for it is true, that (e) *Fortior & potentior est dispositio Legis quam hominis*, and so it is true, that (f) *Fortior & aequior est dispositio Legis quam hominis*. (g) *Ipsa etiam Leges cupiunt ut jure regantur*. But if the Disseisor releases all Actions to the Disseisor, and afterwards the Disseisor dies seised, (h) and afterwards the Disseisor dies, there a Right descends to the Heir of the Disseisor, because notwithstanding the Release, a Right remained. *Vide (i) 21 H. 6. 1.* the Opinion of *Newton*: And it was observed, (k) *Actio est Jus Prosequendi in Judicio*, and therefore by the (l) Judgment the Action is determined, for the Judgment is the End of the Action (*jus prosequendi in judicio*) and therefore a Release of all Actions is no Bar (m) of Executions; and therefore agrees 26 *H. 6. Execution 7.* and *Br. Releases 87.* and 19 *H. 6. 4.* and *Littl. 116. b.* But in a (n) *Scire facias* grounded upon a Judgment a Release of all Actions is a good Plea, because he shall have a new Judgment; and therefore there it may be well call'd *jus prosequendi in judicio* and therewith agrees, 18 *E. 4. 7. b.* Then the Words farther are, *quod alicui debetur*, i. e. which is due to any, so that by release of all Actions (o) real and personal, such Actions are only released in which the Pl. should recover any Thing in the Realty or the Personalty which is due to him, which is included in these Words, *quod sibi debetur*. And therefore if a Man is outlawed in a personal Action by Process upon the Original, and brings a Writ (p) of Error, and he pleads against him a Release of all Actions personal, that is no

(a) Co. Lit. 286. b. 4 Co. 63. a. Littl. a. fo. 116. a. b.

(b) Co. Lit. 286. a.

(c) Co. Lit. 286. a.

(d) Co. Lit. 275. b. 285. b.

(e) 10 Co. 67. b. 6 Co. 64. a.

(f) 2 Rolls Rep. 315. Hurst. 18. 2 Siderf. 59.

(g) Co. Lit. 234. a. (h) Co. Lit. 338. a. 6 Co. 69. b.

(i) 2 Co. 25. b. 5 Co. 100. a.

(j) 9 Co. 123. b. 9 Co. Lit. 10. a.

(k) 143. a. 166. b. 174. b. 271. b.

(l) Co. Lit. 285. b. (m) 10 Co. 51. b.

(n) Antea 151. a. Co. Lit. 285. a. Dyer 217. pl. 2.

(o) 10 Co. 51. b. 2 Inst. 40. (p) Co. Lit. 289. a.

(q) Postea 153. a.

(r) Co. Lit. 290. b. Lit. Sect. 305. Br. Scire

fac' 188. Br. release 57.

(s) Co. Lit. 288. b.

(t) Co. Lit. 288. b. Lit. Sect. 303.

EDW. ALTHAM's Case. PART VIII.

Plea; for by the said Action he shall recover nothing that is due to him, but he shall only reverse the Outlawry to discharge himself of that Disability. So that the said Writ of Error doth not agree with the said Descrip. of an Action; for it is not (a) *jus prosequen' in judic' quod sibi debet'*: But in such Case a Release of Writ of Error is a good Plea: and therewith *Littleton* agrees, 116 b. and the Book in (b) 11 Hen. 4. 6. a. b. where the Case was, *Trescollard* brought a Writ of Error against *T. Son and Heir of John Penros*, upon a Judgm. against him in a Writ of Redisseisin, at the Suit of the said *J. Penros*, and also of an Outlawry thereup. against him pronounc'd for that Cause, and assigned 2 Errors, one because the Sheriff took the Enquest in the Town, and not on the Land, according to the Statute. 2. Because the Sheriff made a Precept to a Bailly to summon the Jury, who returned a Pannel, which was remov'd hither as Parcel of the Record and the Sheriff took the Enquest by some who were not returned by the Bailly. And there *Huls*, as to the first Error said, if the Sheriff cause the Jury to view the Waste, he may take the Enquest at another Place, so here. And as to 2 Error he said, That the Sheriff may vary from the Return of the Bailly; for the Sheriff himself is the Person who makes the Array, who is also a Judge in the Case: *Gascoign*, If the Sheriff had not made the Precept, and the Return by the Bailly had not been made Parcel of the Record it wou'd be as you say; but he has sent this Return as Parcel of the Record whereby he affirms the Return of the Bailly; and if he had made Process against the Jury by *Hab. cor.* and had taken the Enquest by others, 'tis Error, *quod Huls concessit*: and *Rolfe* of Coun. with the Def. in the Writ of Error pleaded, that the Pl. should not be receiv'd to assign Error, for after the Judgm. the Pl. in the Writ of Error, by his Deed which is here, released to the said *J. Penros* who recovered in the Writ of Redisseisin all his Right in the Land, and all Actions and Demands; and altho' both the Errors were assigned in the principal Record and thereof by the said Release he is stopped to assign Error, and altho' the Outlawry was good in Process, yet because the Record and Judgm. are the Original of the Process of the Outlawry; therefore if there be Defect in the original Judgm. the Outlawry which is depending upon it is reverfible by *Gascoigne*, *quod Huls affirmavit*: wherefore he revers'd the Utlagary, notwithstanding the Release. Which Judgm. agrees with *Lit.* and is worthy Observation in the principal Point of Judgm. So if the Body of a Man condemned in Debt be in Execution, and the Pl. releases the Debt, and all Executions, and the Def. releases to the Pl. all Actions, yet upon the Release of the Pl. he shall have an (c) *Audita querela*; for thereby he shall recover nothing, but discharge his Body of Imprisonment.

But

(a) Co. Lit. 288. b. Lit. 117. b. Lit. Lect. 503.
 (b) Postea 154. a. Fitz. Error 64. Antea 143. a. Ex. Error 47.

(c) Co. Lit. 289. a.

But if the Pl. after Judgment releases to the Defendant all Actions, and afterwards his Body is taken in Execution, he shall not have an *Audita querela* thereupon, for (a) an Execution is no Action, as has been said before; and there- with agrees 13 H. 4. Release 53. Also it was observed, upon these Words (*quod alicui debetur*) That in some Case a Debt or (b) Duty shall be barred by a Release of (c) all Actions, altho' no Action at that Time lies for the Debt: As if a Man be bound to another in a certain Sum to be paid at the Feast of Saint (d) Michael next following, if the Obligee before the Feast releases to the Obligor all Actions, he shall be barred for ever of the Duty, for it is * *debitum* presently, altho' it be not presently *solvend'*: And therefore if one be bound to another in 40 *li.* to be paid at 4 usual Feasts of the Year, and three of the Feasts are past, in that Case for 30 *li.* there is *debitum* and *solvend'* also, and yet the Obligee shall not have an Action of Debt till the last Feast be past, and notwithstanding that a Release of all Actions before the last Feast discharges all; (f) But if a Man leases Land to another for the Term of a Year, rendring 40 *li.* Rent, to be paid at the Four usual Feasts by equal Portions, in this Case if one Feast be past, he shall have an Action of Debt presently, and shall not tarry till all the Days be past, for there the Duty accrues upon the receiving of the Profits of the Land, and till the Feast incurred in which it is to be paid, there is neither *debitum* nor *solvend'*, and therof. there a Release of all Actions before the Feast is no Bar, but in Respect of the sev. Perception of the Profits of the Land, the Rent aft. every Feast is demandable by Action of Debt: And so *stude* *Causam Diversifatis* made in (g) Littl. 117. (which was never added by Litt. himself) is well explain'd. And therof. agree, 7 H. 6. 26. a. 45 E. 3. 8. a. L. 5 E. 4. 41. b. 13 H. 4. Avowrie 240. Vide 3 Ma. Dyer (h) 113. 5 Ma. (i) Dyer 108. upon *Assumpsit* or Covenant in which Damages are to be recover'd an Action lies after the 1 Day, & F.N.B. 267. & 16 E. 3. *Fieri fac.* 4. That upon (h) a Recognizance which is a Judgm. in Law, Execution lies after the 1 Day, and so all the Books are well reconciled and agreed; wherof. it was concluded in the Case at Bar, That by the Release of all Actions made to him in Rever. the Dower of the Wife was not barr'd, because at the Time of the Release she had no Cause of Action against him. As to this Word (*Querelas*) (l) it is to be known, that *Quarrels* extend not only to Actions as well real as personal, as it is held in 9 E. 4. 44. a. but also to Causes of Action and Suits, as it is held in 39 H. 6. 9. b. So that by Release of all *Quarrels*, not only Actions depending in Suit, but Causes of Action and Suit also are released. And so it is where one releases to another all Actions, not only Actions depending, but also (n) Causes

X

10 Co. 128. b. 2 Inst. 395. F. N. B. 267. b. (l) Co. Lit. 292. a. Lit. Sect. 511. (m) Co. Lit. 292. a. (n) Co. Lit. 285. a.

(a) Lit. Sect. 504. Co. Lit. 285. a.

(b) Cr. El. 370. Co. Lit. 285. a.

(d) 1 Brownl. 62.

* 1 Buller. 67. 178. Co. Lit. 292. b.

(e) 1 Brownl. 62. 63. 5 Co. 81. b. Co. Lit. 47. b. 292. b.

F. N. B. 131. a. 10 Co. 128. b.

2 Leon. 107. 108. 131. Ow. 42.

F. N. B. 130. 131. h. Benl. in

Alh. pl. 10. O. Benl. 3. pl. 8.

Yelv. 67. Cr. Eliz. 118. 119.

776. 807. 4 Co. 94. b. N. Benl.

57. pl. 93. 3 Leon. 4.

4 Leon 13. Moor 13. Benl.

in Kelw. 208. 209. 3 Co. 229. 2.

Cr. Car. 241. Cr. Jac. 507. 2 Sands.

337. 1 Roll's 292. 601. 1 Roll's

Rep. 221. 2 Roll's

Rep. 47. Br. Action Sur le

Cauc 108. in anc. 1 Leon 300.

(f) 1 Brownl. 62. 63. 5 Co. 81. b. o. Lit.

47. b. o Co. 128. b. 2 Leon. 108. Owen 42.

Cr. El. 116. 119. 3 Co. 22. a.

1 Roll's Rep. 221. (g) Lit. Sect. 113.

Co. Lit. 292. b. Lit. 118. b.

(h) Dyer 113. pl. 55. 56.

(i) Br. Action sur le cauc 108. 1 Leon 300.

(k) Co. Lit. 47. b. F. N. B. 130. b.

1 Roll's Rep. 221. Co. Lit. 292. b.

Cr. Jac. 507. (m) Co. Lit. 292. a.

EDW. ALTHAM's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Co. Lit. 285. a.
 (b) 10 Co. 51. b. Dyer 57. a. b. Co. Lit. 285. a. (c) 1 And. 8. 4 & 5 Eliz. Dyer 217. pl. 2. 5 Co. 71. a. N. Benl. 126. pl. 190. Co. Ent. 115. pl. 5. Antea 151. b. Moor 34. (d) Co. Lit. 292. a. (e) Co. Lit. 291. a. 2 Rolls 404. 26 H. 6. Fitz. Execut. 7. (f) 2 Rolls 404. Fitz. Execut. 7. Co. Lit. 291. a. (g) Co. Lit. 292. b. 5 Co. 71. 2. 1 Co. 112. b. 2 Rolls 404. 10 Co. 51. b. Cr. Jac. 170. Mo. 34. Hurr. 17. 2 Bullstr. 231. 1 Anderf. 8. Poph. 136. Lit. R. 87. Yelv. 156. (h) Co. Lit. 345. b. 292. (i) Co. Lit. 345. b. (k) Co. Lit. 345. b.

(l) Co. Lit. 345. b.

(m) 1 Brownl. 63.

(n) 1 Brownl. 63. 80. 115. Co. Lit. 291. b. Lit. Sect. 508. Poph. 136. 2 Rolls Rep. 20. Cr. Jac. 170. 300. 486. 487. 2 Rolls 406. 407. 408. Bridg. 124. 125. 20 Aff. 5. Fitz. Release 39. Br. Release 36. Long. quinto E. 4. 42. a. 40 E. 3. 48. a. 34 H. 8. Br. Release 90. Yelv. 156. 214. 215. Hob. 216. Noy 26. Hurr. 17. 1 Bullstr. 178.

of (a) Actions are released. *Vide* 35 H. 8. 57. 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. (c) 217. b. *Trinit* 4 Eliz. Rot. 1027, &c. And this Word *Querela* is deriv'd a *querendo*, unde etiam *Querens* who is the Pl. and *Quarels*, Controversies and Debates, are (d) *Synonima*, and of one and the same Signif. And for this Word (*Señtas*) it is to be known that by Release of all (e) Suits, Executions are barr'd for none shall have Execut. without Suit or Prayer; and therewith agree, 9 H. 6. 4. 26 H. 6. Execution 7. and Br. Releases 87. So by Release of all (f) Duties, as well Executions as Actions are released. But a Release of Suit or Quarrel is not in this Case any Barr of Dower, no more than by a Release of them, a (g) Cov't before the Breach thereof is releas'd, because the Covenantee has no Cause of Action or Suit before the Cov't broke. As to this Word (h) (*titulum*) (which is mentioned in the particular Clause) it has 2 Significations one properly and strictly, as for a Title for which no Action lies, as for a Condition broke, or upon Alienation in Mortmain, &c. and so it is taken in *Plow' Com* in *Nichols Case*, fol. 484. In another Signification it is taken largely, and in this Sense, *Titulus est. justa causa possidendi quod nostrum est*, and signifies the Title which one has to Land, as by Fine, Feoffment, &c. or by Descent, &c. and therefore when the Pl. makes a Title in an Affize, the Tenant may say, let the Affize come upon the Title, which is as much as to say, upon the particular Conveyance, &c. which he makes to the Land, &c. and it is called (k) *Titulus a tuendo, quia* thereby he defends his Land, & *plerumque constat ex munimentis que muniunt & tuentur causam*. By Release of all Title to Land, &c. all his Right is extinct, for it shall be taken strongly against him, and in the largest Sense. So when a Man has Title in Mortmain, the Release of all his Right will extin. this Title, for he has *Jus Possidendi*, and therew. agrees 6 H. 7. 8. a. And the *English* Poet saith, *For true it is, that neither (m) Fraud nor Might, Can make a Title where there wanteth Right*. The last of the four general Words in the Deed is (n) (*demanda*) *quod est vocabulum artis*: And if one releases to another all Demands, it is (as *Littleton* saith, 117. a.) the best Release to him to whom the Release is made, that he can have, and shall enure most to his Advantage; for thereby not only all Demands, but also all (o) Causes of Demand, are released. And there are (p) two manner of Demands, *scil.* in Deed, and in Law; in Deed; as in every *Præcipe* there is an exprefs Demand, and thereupon in real Actions he is called Demandant; in Law, as every Entry into Land, Distress for Rent, taking or Seifure of Goods, and the like Acts in *Pair*, which may be done without any Words, are

Cr. El. 552. Lit. Rep. 87. 11 Co. 52. b. (o) 1 Brownl. 63. (p) Co. Lit. 291. b.

are Demands in Law. And as a Release of Suits is (a) (a) 1 Brownl. 63. larger and more beneficial than a Release of Quarrels, or of Actions; so a Release of Demands is more large and beneficial than any of them, for thereby is released all that is by the others released, and more: By Release of all Demands, all Freeholds and Inheritances executory are released, as (b) Rents, and the like, 20 *Aff. p. 5.* 14 *H. 8.* 9, 10. (b) Cro. Jac. 487. Bridgm. 124. Co. Lit. 291. b. 1 Brownl. 63. 2 Roll. 407. (c) 1 Brownl. 63. Cro: El. 40. Lit. Sect. 508. (d) 11 Co. 82. b. Co. Lit. 292. a.

By Release of all Demands, all (c) Executions are released, 26 *H. 6.* Execution 7. 19 *H. 6.* 4. *Litt. 117.* 40 *Edw. 3.* 51. by Release of all Demands, to the Disseisor, the Right of Entry to the Land, and all that is contained in it is released, 6 *H. 7.* 15. So it is resolved by all the Justices in (d) *Chauncy's Case*, 34. *H. 8.* *Br. Release* 90. that he who releases all Demands excludes himself from all Actions, Entries and Seisures. *Litt. cap. Warranty* 170. a. holds, That if Tenant in Tail enfeoffs his (e) Uncle, who enfeoffs another in Fee with Warranty, if afterwards the Feoffee by his Deed releases to his Uncle all Manner of Warranties, or all Manner of real Covenants, or all Manner of Demands, by such Release the (f) Warranty (which is a Covenant real and executory) is extinct; and the Reason of all this was, because by Release of Demands, all the Means and Remedies, and the Causes of them, which any one has to Lands, Tenements, Goods, Chattels, &c. are extinct; and by Consequence the Right and Interest it self to the Thing. Wherefore it was resolved, that in the Case at Barr by the Release of all Demands to him in the Reversion, if the Deed of Release had not gone farther, the (g) Dower of the said *Marcy* had been barred. Note, Reader, altho' a Release of all Demands be of so great Extent, yet it doth not extend to such Writs, by which nothing is demanded neither in Fact, nor in Law, but lie only to relieve the Plaintiff by Way of Discharge, and not by Way of Demand, as appears before, by the Judgment in (h) *Trescollard's Case*, in (g) 1 Co. 112. b. 5 Co. 71. a. Antea 151. a. b. Co. Lit. 265. a. 16 E. 3. Fitz. Bar. 245. Doct. pl. 149. (h) Antea 152. b.

11 *H. 4.* 6. where a Release of all Demands is no Barr in a Writ of Error to reverse an Outlawry, *Et sic in similib'* *Vide* 40 *E. 3.* 22. 13 *R. 2.* *Avow* 39. 18 *E. 3.* 59. 14 *H. 4.* 4. &c. where by Release of all Demands future (i) Incidents (i) 1 Brownl. 63. are released, and where not. And *Vide Plov' Com'* 484. in *Nichols Case* for this Word (*interesse.*) Now as to the 2d Part of the Deed, *scil'* to the Words of Qualification, it was resolved, That as well the first Words, as the subsequent Words special, extend only to release all Actions, Suits, Quarrels, Demands, Title and Dower, &c. *de aliquibus terris & tenementis suis* in *Wethersfield*, (k) and not to any Lands and Tenements in *Gosfield*, for the said latter Words, *mibi contingenti per mortem dicti Thome*, &c. qualify the said general Words and restrain all the first Words to the Lands or Tenements in *Wethersfield*, (k) 1 Kcb. 580.

EDW. ALTHAM's Case. PART VIII.

and that for three Reasons: 1. Because all the said three Parts of the Deed, *scil.*, the Words of Release, the Words of Qualification, and the Words of Relation are but one Period and one Sentence; for this Conjunction (*necnon*) conjoins the general Words to the Words of Qualification, and the relative Words refer to all the Words, as (a) well general as special, and also to the Words of Qualification, as shall hereafter appear, and therefore the whole is but one and the same Sentence. 2. If the general Words should stand without any Qualification, then the special Words

would be altogether vain and of no Effect, & (b) *male dicta expositio est qua corrumpit textum*. The third and the principal Reason is upon a Maxim and Principle of the Law, *sc.* (c) *Quando carta continet generalem clausulam, posteaque descendit ad verba specialia, qua clausula generalis sunt consentanea, interpretanda est carta secundum verba specialia*. The same Rule almost Word for Word is put and agreed on both Sides in 7 E. 3. 10. a. *Margery* (d) *Mortimer's Case*, *sc.* where a Deed speaks by general Words, and afterwards descends to special Words, if the special Words agree to the general Words, the Deed shall be intended according to the special Words: As if a Man grants a Rent *in manerio de D' percipiend'* in 100 Acres of Land, Parcel of the same Manor, with Clause of Distress in the 100 Acres, the Rent shall issue out of the 100 Acres only, and the general Words shall be construed according to the special Words: So it is there also said, If a Man grants a Rent, (and goes no farther) these (e) general Words shall create an Estate for Life, but if the *Habendum* be for Years; it shall qualify the general Words; and all this appears in the said Book of 7 E. 3. So if a Man (f) gives Land to one and his Heirs, *Habendum* to him and the Heirs of his Body, he shall have but an Estate in Tail, and no Fee expectant; for the *Habendum* qualifies the general Words precedent; and there-

with agree 35 *Aff.* p. 14. 37 *Aff.* p. 5. & *Perkins* 35. b. against the Opinion *obiter* in 21 H. 6. 24. But if a Man gives Lands in the Premises to one and (g) the Heirs of his Body, *Habendum* to him and his Heirs, he has an Estate tail, and a Fee-simple expectant; for that stands upon another Rule or Principle in Law, *scil.* (h) *generalis clausula non porrigitur ad ea qua antea specialiter sunt comprehensa*: And therefore when the Deed at the first contains special Words, and afterwards concludes in general Words, both Words as well general as special shall stand: And it is well said in 35 H. 8. *Dyer* 56. subsequent Words may qualify and (i) abridge, but not destroy the generality of the Words precedent. *Vide Dyer* 33 H. 8. fol. 50. 21 *Aff.* p. 10. & 2 E. 3. 33. b. To the last Part of the Deed, *scil.* the relative Words, (*qua vel quas, &c.*) It was resolved, that they refer

(a) Wing. Max. 15.

(b) 1 Roll. Rep. 219. Wing. Max. 26. 2 Co. 24. a. 4 Co. 35. a. 8 Co. 56. b. 3 Bulltr. 105. 107. 108. (c) Winch. 92. 2 Roll. Rep. 279.

(d) Lit. Rep. 345. Hob. 172.

(e) 2 Co. 24. 2. 55. a. Winch 92. Perk. Sect. 167. 174. Co. Lit. 183. a. 190. b. (f) 2 Roll. Rep. 19. 23. 2 Roll. 66. 68. Godb. 272. 2 Sid. 78. Poph. 138. Lit. Rep. 260. 345. Perk. Sect. 170. 1 Brownl. 45. Co. Lit. 21. a. Cro. Jac. 476. Dyer 126. pl. 50. 1 Sid. 78. 3 Bulltr. 195. (g) Lit. Rep. 245. Co. Lit. 21. a. 2 Jones 4. (h) Antea 118. b. Raymond 330. Hawk's Max. 21. Styles 391. 2 Roll. Rep. 279. Lit. Rep. 345. 4 Co. 80. b. Hard. 108. (i) Co. Lit. 209. a. 1 Sid. 137. Dyer 56. Pl. 21.

refer as well to Actions, &c. and Demands, as to the special Words, for it would be against Reason that they should refer to general Words, which are more remote, and not to the Words of Qualification which are immediate, and next to them: And that is so clear and perspicuous of it self that it is not worthy any Argument, or Proof, to confirm it. For the second Point of the Case it was resolved, That the said foreign or collateral Averment out of the said Deed was not of any Force or Effect in Law; for every Deed consists upon two Parts, *scil.* Matter in Fact, and upon the Construction in Law. Matter of Fact is to be averr'd by the Party, and triable by the Jurors: The other, being Matter in Law, is to be discussed by the Judges of the Law, and *quemadmodum (a) ad questionem facti (a)* 9 Co. 13. a. *non respondent Judices; ita ad questionem juris non respondent* 25. a. 11 Co. 10. b. 2 Bullstr. *Juratores.* And therefore if *A.* levies a Fine to *William* his Son, to have and to hold to him and his Heirs, upon this Fine the Judge can't make Question for any Matter of Law; but now the Party comes and averrs Matter in Fact; and saith, That *A.* had two Sons named *William*, (*b*) an elder, and a younger, and his Intent was to levy the Fine to *William* the younger; this (*c*) Averment out of the Fine is good of this Matter of Fact, which well stands with the Words of the Fine, and shall be tried by the Country; and therewith agrees 47 E. 3. 16. b. But if a Man by Deed gives Goods to (*d*) one of the Sons of *I. S.* who has divers Sons, here he shall not averr which Son he intended; for by Judgment in Law upon this Deed, this Gift is void for the Incertainty, which can't be supply'd by Averment. *Vide* 11 E. 4. 2. a. So if a Man levies a Fine of the Manor of *Soure*, or of the Manor of *Dirtleby*, to two & *Hereditib*, and in Truth there is the (*e*) Manor of North *Soure* and South *Soure* or great *Dirtleby* and little *Dirtleby*, in this Case Issue may be taken *dehors* which Manor the Conusor intended to pass, for that is Matter of Fact not apparent in the Fine, whereof the Judge can't take Conusans; but it stands well with the Fine, and shall be tried by the Jury, and therewith agree 12 H. 7. 7. 26 H. 8. 6. a. 19 E. 2. Grants 93. (*f*) 1 Co. 85. a. but where the Words are in the Limitation of the Estate to two (*f*) & *hereditibus*, that is apparent in the Fine, and by Judgment of Law, these Words & *hereditibus* are uncertain and void, as it is adjudged in 22 H. 6. 15. b. *Vide* 19 H. 6. 73. b. 20 H. 6. 35. b. 36. 22 E. 4. 6. b. and no Averment *dehors* can make that good, which upon Consideration of the Deed is apparent to be void. So if a Man makes a Feoffment to one and his Heirs, no Averment can be taken that the Intent of the Parties was, that the Feoffee should have but an Estate to him and the Heirs of his Body, for

(a) 9 Co. 13. a.
25. a. 11 Co.
10. b. 2 Bullstr.
204. 251. 305.
314. 1 Sid. 127.
Co. Lit. 125. a.
155 b. 226. a.
1 Roll. Rep. 132.

(b) Br. Nofme.
23. 5 Co. 68. a. b.
Br. Fine 28.
Fitz. Feoffment
56.
(c) Moor 105.
Hob. 32.
Styles 293.

(d) Br. Dont 31

(e) Kelw. 49. 2.

(f) 1 Co. 85. a.
Hob. 174. Co.
Lit. 8. b. Br.
N. C. 156. Br.
Estate 4. 18. 73.
Fitz. Feoff-
ments &
Fairs 8. Plowd.
28. b. Bridgm.
134. 135. 1 Roll.
133. P. R. K. Sett.
187. Kelw. 108.
pl. 26. 1 And. 1
225. 2 And.
142. 142. 3 Bullstr.
126. 4 Leon. 246.
Godb. 121. 223.

EDW. ALTHAM'S *Case*. PART VIII.

for such Averment would be against the Judgment of the Law, which appears to the Judges upon the view of the Deed; so in the Case at Bar, if the general Word (*demanda*) had in Law, by the Judgment of the Judges, upon the Consideration of the whole Deed of Release barred her of her Dower, no foreign or collateral Averment *dehors* could qualify or abridge the Force and Operation of the said Word, but it ought to be qualified by apt Words contained in the Deed itself, as in this Case it was. And afterwards Judgment was given for the Demandant.

Mich.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE'S Case.

AN Original Writ was brought in London: *Jacobus Dei* 1 Roll. 198.
gratia Anglia, &c. Præcipe Leventhorp Franke *nuper*
de Hatfield Brodock in Comitatu Essex generoso, alias dicto Le-
venthorp Franke de Hatfield Brodock in Comitatu Essex gene-
roso, quod reddat Arthuro Blackamore, & Johanni Whitting-
 ham, 100 li. *quas eis debet & injuste detinet*, returnable *mense*
Michaelis; the Entry of the *Capias, Alias, & Pluries*, was
 according to the said Original; but in the Exigent and Pro-
 clamation, and the Entry thereof, the Defendant (as the
 Truth was) was named *Knight*, and in Easter Term, *Anno*
8 Jac. he put in a *Supersedeas* by the Name of *Knight*, and so
 the Plaintiff declared against him: And the Defendant im-
 parl'd till *Trinity* Term following, in which Term Judgment
 was given against him by Default, by the Name of *Knight*.
 And this *Mich. Term, Anno 8 Jacobi Regis* a Writ of Er-
 ror was brought, and it was moved by *Houghton* Serjeant,
 that the said Original might be amended, because *John Bun-*
bery, the Plaintiff's Attorney, drew a Note or Title of the
 Writ in this Form; London: *Leventhorp Franke nuper de* 1 Roll. 198.
Hatfield in Comitatu Essex militi, alias dicto Leventhorp Franke
de Hatfield Brodock in Comitatu Essex generoso, &c. ut supra,
 and delivered this Note or Title to the Curfitor of London,
 and he mistook it *in hoc*, that where *in primo nomine* he ought
 to be named *Militi, in primo nomine* the Curfitor named
 him *Generoso*, as he was named in the Obligation, and this
 was the true Case, as appears on the Examination of the
 Curfitor, and of the said Attorney, upon their Oaths, and

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE's Case. PART VIII.

upon View of the Note or Title in full Court. And whether this was amendable or not by this Court, the Original being purchased out of another Court, *scil.* the Chancery, was the Question. And the Case was well argued at the Bar by Counsel on both Sides; and at last it was resolved, *per totam Curiam*, That the Record should be (a) amended by the said Curfitor, and made according to the Note or Title delivered him by the Attorney. And for the better understanding of the Law, and of the true Reason of the Rule of our Books in this and other Cases of Amendments, 1. We must consider, if in this Case the said Original Writ was amendable by the Common Law, or by any Statute, and by what Statute? And it was resolved, That an Original Writ was not amendable by the Common Law in the Case of a Common Person: *Vide* 13 E. 3. *Amendment* 63. which was before any Statute made concerning Amendments, &c. and 16 E. 3. *Variance* 59. 29 E. 3. *Amendment* 68. But in the King's Case, in a *Quare Impedit*, where the Writ of *Quare Impedit* was (b) *presentere* for *presentare*, after Exception taken to it, and before Answer, by the Advice of the Chancellor (out of which Court this Writ issued) and of the Judges of the King's Bench, the Writ was amended in the Chancery, and the Defendant was put to answer it by Award. *Vide* (c) 4 H. 6. 16. b. & (d) 40 *Ass. p.* 26. And where there appears in (e) 20 E. 4. 7. 10 H. 7. 25. a. b. a diversity of Opinions, Whether there were any Amendment at the Common Law, or not? It is without Question, That at the Common Law, a Fault of Entry of a Continuance, or of an Essoign, which was the Misprision of the Court itself, in the Form of Entry was amendable by the Court; as appears by 5 *Ed.* 3. 25. (f) where W. brought a *Præcipe* against B. who vouched to warrant C. who entred into the Warranty and pleaded to issue, a *Venire fac'* issued, &c. and the Jury was respited, and in the Roll it was entred, *Jur' inter B. & C.* (which was between the Tenant and the Vouchee) in such a Plea, *ponitur in respect'* where the Entry ought to be, *Jur' inter W. & C. quem B. vocavit ad warrant' & qui ei warr'*, and because this Misprision of the Entry in the Roll was taken to be the Default of the Court, it was (as in the Case of an Essoign) amended by the Court. So in 10 E. 3. 20. a. The Misprision of the Court, in the Entry of an Essoign, was amended by the Court. And 12 E. 3. *Amendment* 62. acc. which Books were before any Statute of Amendment. *Vide* (g) 2 H. 4. 4. a. 18 E. 3. *Amendment* 56. 19 E. 3. *tit. Amendment* 65. And at the Common Law, Variance in any Part of the Record of the Original was amendable by the Common Law, as it is said in (h) 7 H. 6. 45. a. So at the Common Law, the Judges might amend as well their Judgment, as any other Part of the Record, &c. in the same (i) Term, for

(a) 1 Roll. 198. Popham 203.

(b) Fitz. Amendment 19. 45 E. 3. 6. b. 8 Co. 26. b.

(c) 8 Co. 26. b. 9 Co. 48. a. Fitz. Amendment 22. Br. Essoign 67. Br. Brief 212. Br. Office del Court 6. Br. Faux Latin 96. (d) Antea 26. b. Br. Amendment 59. Br. Faux Latin 74. Postea 162. b. (e) Br. Amendment 74. (f) 6 E. 3. 25. a. Fitz. Amendment 73.

(g) Br. Amendment 26. Fitz. Amendment 7.

(h) Br. Amendment 34. Postea 158. a.

(i) Co. Lit. 260. a 5 Co. 74. b.

for during the Term the Record is in the Breast of the Judges, and not in the Roll, *Vide* 7 H. 6. 29. a. b. (a) 9 E. 4. (a) Fitz. A. 3. b. 2 R. (b) 3. 11. a. b. But at the Common Law, the Misprision of Clerks in another Term in the Process was not amendable by the Court, for in another Term the Roll is the Record, and therefore by the Statute of (c) 14 E. 3. (c) 5 Co. 45. a. cap. 6. (which was the first Act of Amendment) it is enacted, by the Misprision of Clerks in every Place wheresoever it be, no Process shall be annulled or discontinued by mistaking in writing one Letter, or one Syllable too much, or too little, &c. but shall be hastily amended in due Form, but this Statute extends only to the Amendment of the Mistake of the Clerk in Process to be amended in due Form, for Anno 15 E. 3. Amendment 58. which was the next Year after the Statute made, in *Detinue* of three Writings, by Omission of one Writing in the Continuance, all the Proceeding was discontinued, notwithstanding the new Statute, (*sc.* 14 E. 3.) which gave that the Process should be amended, *Vide* (d) 45 E. 3. 19. b. And this Statute extends to a Writ Judicial, or Process, as in Trespas the *Nisi prius* was *ad damnum* 100 s. where the Record was 100 l. and the Jury at the *Nisi prius* found 20 l. and the Writ of (e) *Nisi prius* was amended by Force of this Statute, and made 100 l. according to the Record 2 H. 4. 6. a. *vide* 45 E. 3. 19. And in 44 E. 3. 18. it is observed, That a Man has often seen the judicial Writs amended by the Roll, but the Roll never (before the same Case, as it is there said) was amended, *vide* 40 E. 3. 15, 36. 19 H. 6. 15. 3 H. 4. 8. & 11. 47 E. 3. 14. 7 E. 4. 15. b. 9 H. 7. 8. 4 H. 6. 6. But this Statute doth not extend to an Original Writ, nor to a Writ which is in the Nature of an Original, for that is not included within this Word *Process*. And therefore (g) *Finchden* saith, in 41 E. 3. 14. a. if an Original Writ wants Form it is abateable, because an Original is made in one Place, and pleadable in another, and by Consequence can't be amended; otherwise it is of a Writ Judicial, *Vide* 11 H. 4. 70. a. (h) A Protection shall not be amended in the Common Pleas, because made in another Court. So it is held in 4 H. 6. 4. a. Every Original Writ shall abate for want of Form (as if the Wife be named before (i) the Husband) as well as if it wants Matter, without any Amendment: But a Judicial Writ shall not abate for want of Form, if it has sufficient Matter, (k) 3 H. 4. 4. a. An Original, or that which is in the Nature of an Original, shall not be amended, and therewith agree 20 E. 3. Amendment 68. in *Wagam's Case*, 22 E. 4. 47. *vide* 8 H. 6. 37. a. So in (l) 46 E. 3. Amendment 53. in a Writ of Entry *sine assensu Capituli* brought by an Abbot against R. who pleads *Non dimisit*, &c. & *de hoc ponit se super patriam*, & *prædict R. similiter*; where it should be, & *præd Abbas similiter*, and the Jury was discharged, and it was not amended, for it was

(a) Fitz. A. mendm. 2.
(b) Br. A. mendm. 87.

(c) 5 Co. 45. a.

(d) Fitz. A. mend. 52. Br. Amendm. 24.

(e) Br. Amend. 27. 1 Roll. 202. Fitz. Amend. 8. Postea 162. a.

(f) 2 Vent. 171.

(g) Br. Amend. 20. Br. Faux Latine 9.

(h) Br. Amend. 101. Postea 158. a. 2 Roll. 329. Br. Protection 35. Br. Misnomer 22. Br. Variance 33. Fitz. Variance 45.

(i) 4 H. 6. 3. b. 4. a. Palm. 33.

(j) 2 Leon. 59. Br. Brief 208. Br. Faux Latin 34. 49. Br. Scire facias 129. Fitz. Brief 24.

(k) Br. Misnomer 18. Br. Variance 26. Fitz. Variance 29.

(l) Postea 157.

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE's *Case*. PART VIII.

not within the Statute which gave, that Proceſs ſhould be amended in due Form, and therefore the Parties re-pleaded. And it is to be known, That this Word (*Proceſs*) which is the only Word in this Statute which is to be amended, is taken in Law in two Significations, in one largely, and in the other ſtrictly; and in the large Senſe it is taken for all the Proceedings in all Real and Perſonal Actions, and in all Criminal and Common Pleas: & *proceſſus derivatur a procedendo ab originali uſque ad finem. Vide Britton* 138. And in this Senſe it is taken in the *Register Original* 128. *a.* in the Writ *De continuando proceſſum poſt mortem Capitalis Juſtici* in a Writ of Oyer and Terminer, within which Words (*Proceſſus*) as it there appears, is included not only the Judicial Proceſs, but alſo the Commiſſions, Indictments, Rolls, & *alia memoranda*: And in *alio ſenſu*, this Word (*Proceſſus*) is taken more ſtrictly, *ſc.* for the Proceeding after the Original out of the Plea-Roll before Judgment, and that appears in the Writ of Error in the *Register* 216. and (a) *F. N. B.* the Words of which are, *Quia in recordo & proceſſu, ac etiam in redditioe Judicii, &c.* where *recordum* contains the Plea-Roll, *Proceſſus* all the Proceeding out of it till the Judgment. See the Writ of *Certiorari* in the *Register* 167. *a.* And in this Senſe, in all Actions real, perſonal, and mixt, and not in Pleas of the Crown, is the ſaid Act of 14 *E. 3.* to be intended. And this appears by the ſaid Book in (b) 46 *E. 3. Amendment* 53. for the Miſpriſion was in the Plea-Roll, and theref. it was not amended, and 46 *E. 3. 19. a. b.* in *Treſpas*, Diſtreſs iſſued (c) *Quindena Trin'* returnable *Quind' Mich'* and the Roll was, *de quinden' Trin' ad Quinden' Hillar'* and at *Quindena Mich'* it was pleaded to Iſſue, and found for the Pl. and the Def. ſhewed this Matter in arreſt of Judgm. and the Juſtices would not amend the Roll (which there is called the Original) but awarded the Parties to replead. But in 18 *E. 3. Amendment* 56. the Miſtake was in the Entry of the Eſſoign, which was out of the Record or Plea-Roll, and that was Part of the Proceſs, *i.* proceeding amendable by the ſaid Act; and that appears more fully after. But upon this Stat. there was a diverſity of Opinions in divers Points, *ſc.* If the Juſtices before whom the Plea ſhould be depending by Adjournment, Error, or otherwiſe (*Vide 17 Aff. p. 2.*) ſhould have Power to amend the Miſtake of the Clerk in Proceſs in Writing a Letter or Syllable, &c. alſo, if they might amend it as well after Judgment as before; and theſe Doubts were explained and declared by the Stat. of 9 *H. 5. c. 4.* & 4 *H. 6. c. 3.* to extend to all the Juſtices, and as well after as before Judgment. And alſo a great Doubt was conceiv'd on theſe Words, *Writing a Letter or Syllable too much or too little*, if a Word might be amended; and (d) 40 *E. 3. 34. b.* *Belknap* ſaith, That the Stat.

(a) *F. N. B.* 22. i. 24. d.

(b) *Antea* 157. 2.

(c) *Br. Amend-*
ment 110.

(d) *Fitz. A-*
mendment 15.
Br. Amendm. 18.

of 14 E. 3. *cap.* 6. that a (a) Letter or Syllable too much or too little in a Word may be amended, but where there wants a Word, of that the Statute speaks nothing. *Thorpe*, It was heretofore debated here before us, If a Word fail in the Record, if it might be amended, as if it had failed but in a Syllable or Letter; and Sir *Hugh Green* and I went together to the Counsel, and they were 24 of the Bishops and Earls, and we (b) demanded of them who made the Statute, if the Record might be amended; and the Archbishop, or Metropolitan said, That it was a nice Demand, and a vain Question of them, if it might be amended or not; for he said, that it might be as well amended in this Case, as if it were but one Letter, for if a Letter or Syllable fail in a Word, it is no Word, wherefore if all the Word fail it may be amended as well as if it failed but of a Letter, or of a Syllable, for there is no more Difference in the one Case than in the other. And 39 E. 3. 21. a. the Question also was, if a Word might be amended by the Statute of 14 E. 3. and there *Thorpe* said, That it shall be amended by the Statute, for heretofore we were in doubt of it; and because there was diversity of the Sirname in a Writ, it was brought for the same Cause into a Parliament; and the Lords who made the Statute said, Their Meaning was, that in all these Cases the Process should be amended. *Note*, where it is said in 40 E. 3. 34. b. That the Justices went to Counsel; it appears by 39 E. 3. 21. a. that they went to the Parliament to know the Opinion of those who made the Law, 11 H. 4. 70. a. In a *Præcipe* the Original was, *Mich. de T.* and the mean Process was, *Mich. T.* and (c) (*de*) omitted, and a Protection was cast by the Name of *T.* and the Mesne Process was amended by the Statute of 14 E. 3. and that a Word shall be amended, within these Words Letter or Syllable, and *co potius*, because (*de*) is a Word and Syllable also; but the Protection was not amended, because it was made in another Court. 7 H. 6. 45. it seems that a (d) Title shall be amended within these Words, Letter or Syllable. To take away all which Doubts, and to enlarge the Power of the Justices in Amendments, the Statute of 8 H. 6. *cap.* 12. was made, and that stands upon two general Parts, *sc.* 1. Against corrupting and falsifying of Records, by rasing, interlining, &c. which Clause doth not concern the Case in Question. 2. Against the Mistake of Clerks (by Force of which the Amendment was in the Case at Barr) the Words of which Branch are, *And that the King's Judges of the Courts, and Places in which any Record, Process, Word, Plea, Warrant of Attorney, Writ, (Original, or Judicial, for so the Statute speaks in the first Clause) Pannel, or Return, which for*

(a) Br. Amend-
ment 18. Fitz.
Amendm. 15.

(b) 1 Mod. Rep.
153.

(c) 2 Roll. 329.
Antea 157. a. Br.
Protection 35.
Br. Misnomer
22. Br. Variance
33. Fitz. Vari-
ance 45. Br.
Amendm. 101.

(d) Antea 156. b.
Br. Amendm. 34.

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE's Case. PART VIII.

the Time shall be, shall have Power to examine such Record, Process, Word, Plea, Warrant of Attorney, Writ, Pannel and Return by them, or by their Clerks, and to reform and amend in Affirmance of the Judgment of such Records and Processes, all that to them, in their Discretion, seemeth to be the Misprision of the Clerk in such Records, Process, Word, Plea, Warrant of Attorney, Writ, Pannel, and Return, &c. So that by such Misprision of the Clerk, no Judgment shall be reversed, or annulled.

(a) 14 E. 3.
cap. 6.
(b) 8 H. 6.
cap. 12.

Note Reader, Where the Act of (a) 14 E. 3. speaks only of Process, this Act of (b) 8 H. 6. is of far greater Extent, for it extends to Process, and to seven other Things, *scilicet*, 1. To any Record: 2. Word. 3. Plea. 4. Warrant of Attorney. 5. To a Writ Original and Judicial, as appears by the first Branch of the Act. 6. Pannel. 7. Return. So that the Power of the Justices, as to Amendment, is by this Statute greatly enlarged. Also, 1. This Statute gives them Power of Examination. 2. Of Reformation and Amendment. 3. The Statute expresses the Matter which they shall reform and amend; *sc.* all that which to them, in their Discretion, seems to be the Misprision of the Clerk in such Records, Process, Word, Plea, Warrant of Attorney, Writ, Pannel and Return: As to the first, they have Power to examine such Records, Process, &c. in two Manners: 1. By themselves. 2. By their Clerks. As to the Power of Reformation and Amendment, they have Power only to do it in Affirmance of the Judgments of such Records, and Processes; but although their Power be thus enlarged, yet the Misprision of the Clerk (as it was in the Act of 14 E. 3.) is only to be amended. And because there appears *prima facie*, great uncertainty in our Books concerning Amendments (whereas in Truth there are not any more certain Rules in the Law, if they are well observed and understood, than in Case of Amendment) it will be necessary briefly to collect them out of the Books at large, touching the Construction of this Statute. And because this principal Case was of the Amendment of an Original; 1. It shall be shewed in what Cases the Misprision of the Clerk in Original Writs, shall be amended within this Statute, and in what not. Every Original Writ stands upon two Parts, one upon an Artificial Form, according to the *Register*, and that the (c) Clerk ought *ex Officio* to do by his Knowledge and Skill, without any Instruction of the Party: The other upon the true Instruction by the Party, of the Truth and Particularity of his Case, requisite to the Composing of the Writ, and that the Clerk cannot do

(c) 2 Roll. Rep.
285.

do without the Party: So that an Original Writ may be vicious, by Misprision either of the Clerk, or of the Party: By Misprision of the Clerk in 5 Manners: 1. By mistaking the legal Form; 2. By mistaking of one Word which is not any Latin for another; 3. By Omission or Addition of Words; 4. By mistaking the Record, Speciality, Writing, Copy, Instruction, Note or Titling of the Writ delivered to the (a) Clerk, or taken by the Clerk for framing the Writ; 5. By Misprision of the Clerk, or Officer, in negligent keeping, or voluntary defacing, &c. of a Record, &c. And because the Case of Amendment in the Case at Barr was not for any Misprision of the Bond on which the Writ was grounded (for he has pursued it in all) in which Bond the Defendant was named *Generosus*, as he was in the Writ, But the Misprision of the Clerk of the Chancery was in this, that he did not pursue the Note or Instruction in Writing delivered him, *sc.* to name the Defendant Knight *in primo nomine* because after the making of the Bond, he was made Knight: This Differ. is first to be observed, That if the original Writ wants legal Form, it is such a (b) Misprision which is not amendable by this Act, for the Officers and Clerks of the Chancery are bound by the Duty of their Offices to have Skill and Knowledge in the true Form of Original Writs (which are the (c) Foundations upon which the whole Law depends) and therefore if Want of Form of Original Writs shall be neglected, Ignorance, the Mother of Error and Barbarousness, will follow, and in the End all will be involved in Confusion, in Subversion of the antient Law of the Land, for in this Case it is true that *forma dat esse*, and therefore it was never the Meaning of the Makers of the Act within these general Words, (Misprision of the Clerk in Original Writ) to (d) extend it to Misprision of the Form of the Original Writ, which would introduce so great Inconvenience; and therefore agrees a Notable Judgment, in 22 E. 4. 21. b. & 22. a. in *Eliz. Hatley's Case*, where a Writ of Debt was brought against Executors for a Debt due by the Testator in the (e) *Debet & Detinet*, where by the Form of the Register it ought to be in the *Detinet* only, and there it is resolved by the whole Court, that it shall not be amended, for there a Difference is taken and resolved, between (f) Negligence, and Ignorance of the Clerk; for Negligence, that is, the Oversight of the Clerk in mistaking, as if he has the Bond or a Copy of the Bond, and doth not follow it, (g) the mistaking, that is Oversight and Negligence in this Case, and in all like Cases, shall be amended by the Statute of 8 H. 6. But (h) Ignorance, or not knowing, (for *scientia Scolorum est mixta ignorantia*) of the Clerk in

(a) Cro. El. 79.

(b) Cr. El. 170.
5 Co. 45. a. b.(c) 3 Co. 38. 2.
Co. Lit. 73. b.
Pract. F. N. B.(d) Cr. El. 119.
462.(e) Br. Amendm.
78. Fitz. Amend.
4. 5 Co.
35. b.

(f) Cr. El. 170.

(g) Cro. El. 79.
258. 435.

(h) Cr. El. 119.

the

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE's Case. PART VIII.

the legal Form, and Course of the Original, is not a Misprision amendable by the said Statute. So if the Writ be *Præcipe quod solvat*, for (a) *Præcipe quod reddat*, or *Warr' Charta unde pactum habet*, for *unde Chartam habet*, these are Faults of Form, and therefore are not amendable by this Act. And for the first Part of this Difference, as to the Copy of the Bond, it is held, in 38 H. 6. 4. a. That where the Clerks of the Chancery use to take Titling of the Matter which the Party shews them, If the Party to have a *Formedon in Descender* shew the Clerk that the Land descended to one as Son and Heir of the Donees, &c. and the Clerk draws the Writ, that the Land descended to him as Son, (and omits (b) Heir) if the Clerk shews his Titling and will testify it, it shall be amended in the Common Pleas, and that is, by the said Statute of (c) 8 H. 6. Vide 22 E. 4. 48. b. 38 H. 6. 39. a. b. & 11 H. 7. 41. b. agree to the Case of a Copy. But if the Writ wants legal Form, it is not amendable, Vide 14 H. 4. 10, 11. (d) 27 H. 6. 6. b. 11 H. 6. 14. 34 H. 6. 26. 28 H. 6. 11. and upon this Reason it has been often adjudged since this Stat. of (e) 8 H. 6. that false Latin in an original Writ shall not be amended, because it wants legal Form, and is to be imputed to the Ignorance of the Clerk, 9 H. 7. 16. b. as (f) *hos breve*, for *hoc breve*: And the Common Law is curious in observing the Form of the *Register*, and therefore it is adjudged in 6 E. 3. 36. b. 37. a. That where a Trespass done by divers is joint or several, at the Will of the Pl. yet in an Action against *John* Guardian of the Hospital of *B.* and Brother *Rob. L.* and Brother *Rich. F.* inas much as this Default of the Clerk for Want of Form, that these Brethren are not named Confrerers, as it ought to be by the Form of the *Register*, the Writ shall abate against all, altho' the Guardian be well named. But in Trespass against two, Misnomer of one of the Def. shall not abate the whole Writ, but it shall stand against the other who is well named; for there *Herle* took the Difference, when the Writ abates by the Plea of the one for Want of Form, altho' the others have pleaded to Issue, the Writ shall abate against all; but altho' one may abate the Writ for Matter in Fact, as by Reason of the Misprision of his Name, nevertheless the Writ shall stand against the others. Vide 2 H. 7. 16. 11 H. 7. 5, 6. 21 H. 7. 31. 7 E. 4. 10. 5 E. 4. 2. 11 Ass. 15. 12 Ass. p. 14. 27 Ass. p. 45. 9 H. 6. 36. 12 E. 3. Brief 670. 12 E. 3. Brief 481. 27 H. 8. 26. b. *Flow. Com. in Assise of Fresh Force.*

But as to the 2d Manner of Misprision in negligent writing of a Word which is not any Latin Word, that is amendable, as (g) *imaginavit*, for *imaginatus est*, it shall be amended, as it is adjudged in 11 H. 6. 3. 17. So was it adjudged in 3 E. 6. as *Rendloes* Serjeant reports, That where in a Writ of *Aiel* the Writ was *ava*, (h) for *avia*, it was amended.

As

(a) 22 E. 4. 21. b. Br. Amendm. 78.

(b) Fitz. A-mendm. 44. Br. Amendm. 56. (c) 8 H. 6. cap. 12.

(d) Fitz. Amend. 35. Br. Amendm. 6. Br. Brief. 519. Postea 161. b. (e) 8 H. 6. cap. 12.

(f) Br. Faux Latin 78. 2 Vent. 173. 2 Sand. 39.

(g) 2 Vent. 173. Fitz. Amendm. 24. Br. Amendm. 81. (h) 5 Co. 45. b. Moor 5. 1 And. 24. N. Bendl. 33. pl. 53.

As to the third Manner of Misprision in negligent Omission or Addition of a Thing which it appears he himself ought to have added, or omitted of Course; as by the Omis. of *Dei gratia* in the King's Stile, it shall be amended. 22 H. 6. 8. So 3 E. 6. as *Bendloes* Serj. reports, these Words in a *Partitione faciend.* (a) (*ostensur' quare non fecerit*) were left out, and it was amended. *Vide* 35 H. 6. 6. 10. a. 2 H. 7. 11. b. 9 H. 7. 19. for Addition of that which is apparent ought to be omitted. But the (b) Omission, or Addition of any Thing which alters the Form of the Writ, is not amendable, as the Addition, or Omission of (c) *Detinet*, as appears in 11 H. 6. 14. a. b. or the Addition of *debit*, as appears in 22 E. 4. 21. b. 22. a.

As to the fourth Manner of Misprision, *sc.* of the Record or Speciality, &c. *Vide* 21 H. 6. 8. 22 H. 6. 43. 37 H. 6. 34. 19 H. 6. Amendment 47. 8 E. 4. 4.

As to the fifth Manner of Misprision, in Negligence of a Clerk or Officer, not in Writing, &c. but in negligent keeping of the Records, or in voluntary defacing of them, whereby the Record becomes imperfect, or erroneous, in *Trinit.* 24 Eliz. the Case was, that *Henry Fitz-allein* late Earl of (d) *Arundel*, in the Reign of Queen *Mary* suffered a common Recovery of divers Manors, and of Lands and Tenements in the County of *Suffex*, and the original Writ upon which the Recovery was had, being greater and broader than the other Writs of the same File, by the Negligence of the Officer by continual handling of it, a great Part of this Writ, which was more spacious than the rest was obliterate, and worn out, so that but one Letter of many of the Names of divers of the said Manors could be perceived, but the Names of the Manors were truly recited as well in the Count (which always briefly recites the Writ) as in the *Habere facias seisinam*: and whether this Original was amendable, or not, was a great Question between *P. Howard* Earl of *Arundel*, Cousin and Heir of the said *Henry* Earl of *Arundel*, and the Lord *Lumley*, to whom the said *Henry* Earl of *Arundel* had conveyed divers of the said Manors, &c. And to resolve this Question, Sir *Christopher Wray* Chief Justice of *England*, Sir *Ed. Anderson*, Ch. Justice of the Com. Pleas, Sir *Roger Manwood*, Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and all the Justices of *England*, assembled themselves together. And it was resolved by them all *una voce*, That the Original Writ should be amended according to the other Parts of the Record, *scil.* the Count, and the *Habere facias seisinam*; and that this Misprision and Negligence of the Clerk in keeping of the original Writ should be amended by this Statute of 8 H. 6. for here doth not appear any Want of Knowledge in the Clerk, but Misprision and Negligence in keeping of the Writ, which is a Misprision within the Letter and

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE's Case. PART VIII.

and Meaning of the Act; and *eo potius* in this Case, because it was in a common Recovery suffered by Assent of the Parties for Assurance of Lands. And although it is enacted, That if any Record, or Parcel thereof, Writ, Return, Pannel, Process, or Warrant of Attorney in the King's Courts, &c. are voluntarily (a) stolon, carried away, withdrawn, or avoided by any Clerk, that it shall be Felony, that doth not prove, That if the original Writ, or other Part of the Record be voluntarily stolon, &c. that it can't be supplied and amended by the other Parts of the Record: For it was resolved that in both Cases, as well where the Record becomes imperfect and erroneous by voluntary Offence of the Clerk, as by his careless Negligence that it should be amended, for all is within this general Word, Misprision of the Clerk, But if such Part of the Record which is so stolon, &c. or which appears not, can't be supplied by the other Parts of the Record, nor by any Exemplification made of the Record, then it can't be amended; and *vide* the first Clause of this Act of 8 H. 6. gives Remedy amongst others, where any Substraction or Diminution is of any Record, Process, Warrant of Attorney, Original Writ, &c. And according to this Resolution a Fine was amended of Mich. 8. Jac. as appears by the Order and Rule of the Court following.

(a) Palm. 199.
11 Co. 34. b.
3 Inst. 70, 71, 72,
73. Standf. Pl.
Cor. 36. b. Dalt.
Just. 386.

Crompton.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis:

Lincoln' ff. *In fine levat' in Cur' hic in Octab' Sancti Hilarii, Anno Regni Dom' Eliz' nuper Regina Anglia 16. inter Robertum Tyrwhite Militem & al' Quer' & Edmund Dighton, Armiger' & al' deforc' de Maneris de Magna Sturton, Parva Sturton, & al' in Com' prad'; Quia constat Cur' super visu pedis ejusdem Finis, quod per humiditatem aeris, & pluviam super illam descenden' idem pes Finis adeo obliteratedus est, & multa linea ejusdem totalit' delicta, ita ut legi non possunt; tamen per Breve de Conventione, & Dedimus potestatem de cognitione inde capiend' ac per concordiam & notam ejusdem Finis satis liquet & apparet que fuerunt verba in eodem pede prius script'. Ideo ordinat' est, quod prad' pes Finis cum Proclam' superinde indorsat' per Chirographar' de novo rescribatur, ita quod concord' cum prad' Brevis de Convent', Dedimus potestatem, Concordia, & nota ejusd' Finis, & cum aliis Proclam' indorsat' super pedes Finium ejusd' Term' Et quod prad' pes Finis sic obliteratedus a filac' inde abstrahatur, Et prad' pes Finis sic de novo rescript' in loco ejusdem affiletur.*

And this briefly shall suffice for Amend. of the Misp. of the Clerk in an Original Writ. And as to the Case at Bar, the Rule

Rule of the Court was in these Words: *Crompton. Ordinatum est per Cur' hic super auditu Concilii utriusque partis & examinatione Clerici Curfflar' London & attornat' Quer' super Sacramenta sua in Cur' hic, quod hæc additio (Generoso) nomini Defend' in priori parte Brevis original' de debito 100 li. inde return' & affilat' in Banco hic mense Michaelis anno Regni Regis nunc septimo, & omnes misprifiones in Recordo & process' ejusd' placii proinde subsequen' emendentur, & fiant (Militi) secundum instructiones in script' prius deliberat' pres' Curfflar' viz. prad' Breve Originale per presat' Curfflar' & Recordum, & process' prad' per Philizar' hujus Curie.*

The next Word in the Act of (u) 8 H. 6. is (Record) (d) 8 H. 6. and the first Part of the Record is the Count; and briefly a Count which wants Substance shall not be amended in another Term, as appears (b) 7 H. 6. 26. a. (c) 35 H. 6. 37. b. (d) 38 H. 6. 1. a. (e) 7 Ed. 4. 26. b. 9 Ed. 4. 5. (f) 33 H. 6. 2. a. Vide 38 H. 6. 33. and 30 H. 8. Br. Amendment 80. for the King's Case.

But it is enacted by the Statute of (g) 36 E. 3. cap. 15. That by the ancient Forms and Terms of Pleadings no Man be prejudiced, so that the Matter of the Action be fully shewed in the Declaration and in the Writ. Vide *Eveleigh's Case*, 13 *Elix. Dyer* 299. by the Stat. of 36 E. 3. c. 15. the Declaration having Substance shall (h) not abate for Form. Vide 28 H. 6. 8. a. In a Writ brought by *John Gargrave* against *Tho. Beaumont* on a Bond, and the Bond was, *Novcrint, &c. me Tho. Beaumont, teneri, &c. Jo. Gargrave* (without Addition) and the Writ was, *Præcipe, &c. quod reddat Joh. Gargrave* (i) *Armig.* with Addition and it was moved, that it might be amended by the Stat. for it is the Misprifion of the Clerk: But it was adjudged, That the Writ should abate for this Variance and shou'd not be amended, as it shou'd if it was on the Def. Part; For where the Surplusage is on the Pl's Part, as well in the Writ as in the Count, a Man cannot amend his own Count. And this Judgm. was after the said Stat. of (k) 8 H. 6. which proves that the said first Clause of this very Act, which speaks of Addition or Diminution, &c. extends only to Corruption, and Misdemeanor in Addition or Diminution and in violating of a Record, and not where it is done in *rei veritate*, altho' it be by Misprifion. Vide 4 E. 4. 14. b. (l) A Space in the Declaration for the Place where the Obligation was made, was not amendable in another Term. And this which has been said of the Count shall suffice. Other Parts of the Record are, Plea in Bar, Replication, &c. and regularly Matter of Substance in them, and especially Mitters of Fact shall not be amended in another Term, as Omission of Averment, *Et hæc parat' est verificare, &c.* for in some Cases as in Avowry, &c. it is not of Necessity, but Colour which is of Course, and in which there is a Misprifion of the Clerk, shall

ARTHUR BLACKAMORE's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Cr. Eliz. 258. Salk 50, 51.

(b) Fitz. Amend-ment 35. Br. Amendm. 6. Br. brief 519. Antea 159. b.

(c) Br. Amend-ment 112.

(d) Br. Amend-ment 5. Fitz. Amend. 28.

(e) Br. Amend. 1.

(f) Cr. Car. 38. Cr. Jac. 64, 65.

(g) Cr. Jac. 587. 1. Rolls 200.

(h) Fitz. Amend-ment 25. Br. Amend. 82. Salk 48, 49.

(i) 1 Rolls 202. Dyer 260, 261. pl. 24, 25. Cr. Car. 54, 278.

be amended. And the Record in another Term may be amended by the (a) Paper Book of the Office, for it was the Misprision of the Clerk in the entering of it, and no Fault in the Party or his Counsel (b) 27 H. 6. 6. b. (c) 10 H. 7. 23. a. b. 25 a. 11 H. 7. 2. a. b. (d) 20 H. 6. 18. a. (e) 27 H. 8. 1. b. the Misprision of a Certificate of a Record on a Writ of Error shall be amended according to the Record, 22 E. 4. 46. & 21 H. 7. 41. but that is by the express Provision of the said Statute of 8 H. 6. for it was the Act of the Judge, which was not amendable by the said Branch of the Act, as shall be said after. A Thing apparent to be a Misprision, which the (f) Clerk of Course ought to have added, without any Instruction of the Party, altho' it be in a material Point, shall be amended in another Term. As if in Debt brought, the Def. pleads *nil debet*, & *de hoc ponit se super Patriam*, & *præd' Defendens similiter*; where it (g) ought to be, & *præd' Querens similiter*, it shall be amended by this Act of 8 H. 6. 11 H. 7. 2. acc. which Case was not amendable by the Act of 14 E. 3. as appears by the Book in 46 E. 3. before, for the first Act speaks only of Process, and this Act speaks of the Record and Plea. So in an Action brought against Sir Rog. Townsend, he pleaded in Bar, and concluded, which Matter *præd' Johan'* is ready to averr, where it should be *Rogerus*, and it was amended by the Advice of all the Justices; as it is reported in 11 H. 7. 25. a. And as to the Writ of *Nisi prius*, it is to be known, That the Misprision of the Clerk of the Treasury, who writes it, is also therein amendable by this Statute, and to be made according to the Record, but with this Caution, *scil.* That the Record of *Nisi prius* have sufficient Matter in it either expressed or implied to give Authority to the Justices of *Nisi prius* to try the Issue; for they can't try any Issue by Force of the Stats. made thereof, without Authority given to them by Writ of *Nisi prius*, and so it is adjudg'd in 11 H. 6. 11. a. b. in Debt against J. S. Husbandman Issue was taken, if he was Husbandm. *die impetrati' Brevis*; and the Writ of *Nisi prius* was, whether he were Husbandm. (omitting these Words, *die (h) impetrationis Brevis*,) which was the material Point of the Issue; but the Roll was well, and the Jury passed for the Pl. and found that the Def. was Husbandman *die Impetrationis Brevis*, and the Writ of *Nisi prius* could not be amended by the Stat. of 8 H. 6. because the Justices of *Nisi prius* have no Power to try the Issue contained in the Record, because *die impetr' Brevis* was omitted in the *Nisi prius*; and if the Justices of *Nisi prius* had taken the Verdict according to the Issue in the Writ of *Nisi prius* that he was Husbandm. generally, without saying *die impetrat' Brevis*, it had been contrary to the Roll; wherefore it was awarded, That the Plaintiff should sue a *Venire facias de novo*. But 9 Eliz. Dyer 260, 261. in *partitione fac'* by Wotton against (i) Anthony Cook and Temple; who appeared, and Temple

2 Brownl. 47. 3 Bulstr. 161. Yelv. 109. Cr. Eliz. 776. Palm. 524. Moor 681.

confessed the Partition, and Judgm. given accordingly *sed cesset executio*. Cook conveyed Title in fealty, and traversed the Supposal of the Writ and Count by *absque hoc*, the Pl. maintained the Writ and Count, & *hoc petit quod inquiratur per Patriam*, & *præd' Anthonius similiter, ideo* 12, &c. And in the Record of *Nisi prius* the Issue was well recited and no Part of it omitted; But where the Pl. concluded, & *hoc petit quod inquiratur per Patriam*, by the Negligence of the Clerk of the Treasury, the Writ of *Nisi prius* was, & *præd' similiter*, omitting this Word *Anthonius* in the Close, and joyning of the Issue. And farther, the Jury entred in the Record of *Nisi prius* was, *Inter Wotton Pl. and Cook and Temple Def. where Temple had made a Confession of the Partition before, and so a Stranger to the Issue: But the Record which warranted it was well enough; And notwithstanding these Faults and Misprisions, the Issue was tried at Nisi prius, and afterw. by the Rule of the Court of Com. Pleas, the Verdict was well taken, and the said Misprisions were amended; for sufficient Authority was given by the Writ of Nisi prius (which is but the Transcript of the Record) to try the Issue, and to take the Verdict. If a Man declare of Damages of 100 li. and the Record of Nisi prius is 100 s. and the Jury give Damages*

20 li. the (a) *Nisi prius* shall be amended and made 100 li. according to the Roll; for it is the Misprision of the Clerk, which doth not change the Issue. *Vide* 11 Hen. 7. 1. b. 10 H. 7. 25. a. b. and so was it adjudged in 2 H. 4. 6. a. *Vide* 39 E. 3. Br. 105. (b) 7 E. 4. 15. *vide* after, when Misprision of the Clerk in the Entry of the Verdict or Judgment, which are other Parts of the Record, shall be amended. As to (Word) that has been explained before.

As to this Word (Plea) that has been explained before in the Word (Record) which includes it.

As to (c) (Warrant of Attorney) See * 23 Hen. 8. Amendment 85. 24 Hen. 8. Amendment 47. 2 Ma. Dyer (d) 105. 2 Eliz. Dyer (e) 180. 5 Eliz. Dyer (f) 225. 6 Eliz. Dyer (g) 231. But when no Warrant of Attorney is put in, it is not remedied by this Act. As to Pannel and Return, in what Cases Misprisions of them shall be amended within this Statute. *Vide* (b) 2 E. 4. 7. a. b. 9 E. 4. 14. a. 33 H. 6. 4. 2. after the Sheriff is removed, or dead, &c. 37 H. 6. 12. 3 H. 7. 14. 12 H. 7. 19. But no Return is not helped by this Stat.

And it is to be observed, That (i) those Things which are amendable before the Writ of Error brought, are amendable after the Writ brought; and if the (k) inferior Court doth not amend them, the superior Court may amend them.

It is necessary now to shew two Things, 1. What Things are not amendable by this Act of 8 H. 6. 2. How many of them, not remedied by this Act, are remedied by other Stat. As to the 1st, This Act of 8 H. 6. c. 12. nor the Act of 8 H. 6. c. 15. do not extend to 14 Misprisions. 1. They do not extend to Want of an Orig. but to Misprision of the Clerks, as

(a) Antea fol. 157. a. Dyer 261. pl. 25. Br. Amendment 27. 1 Rolls 202. Fitz. Amendm. 8. Moor 681. (b) Antea 157. a. Fitz. Amendm. 51. Br. Amendment 71. (c) Palm. 231. B. N. C. 43. * 23 Hen. 8. Br. Amendment 85. in medio. 24 H. 8. Br. Amendment. 47. in fine. (d) Dyer 105. pl. 16. 1 Rolls 289. 1 Rolls Rep. 16. (e) Dyer 180. pl. 48. 1 Roll. 290. (f) Vide 32 H. 8. cap. 30. & 28 Eliz. cap. 14. (g) Dyer 225. pl. 34. 1 Rolls 290. (h) Dyer 230. pl. 58. 1 Roll. 290. 1 Rolls Rep. 305. (i) Fitz. Amendment 48. Br. Amend. 67. (j) 2 Rolls Rep. 253. (k) 2 Rolls Rep. 253.

ARTHUR BLACKMORE'S *Case*. PART VIII.

- is aforesaid in an Original. 2. They do not extend to Misprision of (a) Form in the Original, either False Latin, or Variance from the Register. 3. They do not extend to a material Variance betwixt the Original and the Count. 4. They do not extend to Insufficient Trial, *scil.* when the Venue is mistaken; but Misprision of the Clerk in the Entry of the (b) Verdict shall be amended in another Term, according to the Note found by the Jurors: so was it adjudged in *Rawlin's Case*, in the 4th Part of my Reports 29 & 30 Eliz. 52. b. 5. They do not extend to a Jury returned by the Coroners, where the Sheriff ought to return it, or *e contra*. *Vide Bainham's Case*, in the 5th Part of my Reports, fol. 36. b. *Vide* 21 & 22 Eliz. Dyer 367. 6. They do not extend to a Trial where no Return is endorsed on the *Venire facias*, (c) *Rowlan's Case*, in the 5th Part of my Reports Mich. 35 & 36 Eliz. fol. 41. b. 7. They do not extend to a Trial, where one appears who was not returned on the *Venire facias*. The Countess of *Ruiland's Case*, in the 5th Part of my Reports, fol. 42. 8. They do not extend to a Return of the *Venire facias* without the * Name of the Sheriff. 9. They do not extend to a Jeofail, Want of Colour, insufficient Pleading, or to any other Default of the Party, or of his Counsel. 27 H. 6. 10. 18 E. 4. 3. 20 E. 4. 6. 11 H. 6. 28. For the Statute extends only to Misprision of Clerks. 10. For the same Reason they do not extend to any Error or Misprision of the Judges in any Term past. 2 R. 3. 11. 9 E. 4. 3. *Vide* 30 H. 6. 1. But Misprision of the Clerk in the Entry of the Judgment of a Thing which is apparent, (d) and not of Necessity, is amendable, as the Misprision of the summing of the Arrerages before and pending the Writ of Annuity, shall be amended, 35 H. 8. Dyer 55. 11. They do not extend to that, where the Justice of *Nisi prius* takes the Verdict *post ipsum diem* in Bank, 1 Ma. Dyer 97. & 33 H. 6. 25. 12. They do not extend to Want of Warrant of Attorney. 13. This Statute nor the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 30. do not extend to help any of the Imperfections or Misprisions, where a Verdict is given on an Issue joined betwixt the Demandant and the (e) Vouchee, or the Tenant and the Vouchee, as it was resolved Mich. 1 & 2 Phil. & Mar. *Bendloe*. But if any Error in Law be in the Judgment, as *ideo in misericordia*, for *pro capiatur*, or *e contra*, or the like; that is not amendable in another Term, as it has been oftentimes adjudged. 14. Nor do they extend to an Appeal, nor to Pleas of the Crown, nor to any Proceeding upon them, for they are excepted, nor to the Amendment of any Exigent, to make any one to be outlawed, &c. 20 H. 6. 18. 7 E. 4. 16. 22 E. 4. 7. 38 H. 6. 3. 21 H. 7. 34. *Vide* 7 H. 4. 27. Now Misprisions not remedied, neither by the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 30. nor by the Statute of 18 El. c. 14. 1. All the said Misprisions not remedied by the said Statute of 8 H. 6. remain yet not remedied by any Law or Statute where no Verdict is given upon Issue joined: As if Judgment
- (a) 5 Co. 45. a.
16, 17 C. 2. c. 8.
(b) Palm. 260.
Salk. 53.
(c) 5 Co. 41. b.
42. a.
* Palm. 151,
152.
5 Geo. cap. 13.
(d) 2 Roll. Rep.
253, 254.
(e) 11 Co. 6. b.
5 Co. 36. b.
1 Anderf. 26.
27. pl. 60. O.
Benl. 12. N.
Benl. 37. Benl.
in Kelw. 207. b.
Benl. in Ash.
pl. 5. Hob. 281.
21 Jac. cap. 13.

be given upon Confession, Demurrer, *Nilil dicit, non sum informatus*, or otherwise than by Verdict of twelve Men upon Issue joined. 2. When a Verdict upon Issue tried is given, ten Misprisions are not remedied by the Statutes of 32 H. 8. 18 Eliz. or any other Statute, but yet remain not amendable. 1. Material Variance betwixt the Original and the Count, as it is resolved in *Bishop's Case*, in the Fifth Part of my Reports 57. 2. When the Original or Count wants Substance: *Vide Freeman's Case Pasch'* 41 Eliz. in the Fifth Part of my Reports, fol. 45. 3. Insufficient Tryal, *scil.* when the (a) Venue is mistaken, and Verdict passes. 4. When the Return of the Jury is by the Coroner, (b) where it ought to be by the Sheriff, or *e converso*. 5. When the Sheriff doth not put his * Name to the Return of the Jury. 6. Where on the *Venire facias*, &c. no Return is endorsed, altho' Verdict passes. 7. When one appears, and is sworn, and amongst the others gives Verdict who is not (c) returned on the *Venire facias*. 8. In an Appeal, or Plea of the Crown, as Indictments, &c. or any Proceeding upon them; for they are excepted in the Acts of 8 H. 6. and 18 Eliz. and the Statute of 32 H. 8. doth not extend to them. 9. Although Verdict on Issue tried be given for the Plaintiff, yet if on (d) the whole Record it appears to the Court, that the Plaintiff has no Cause of Action, he shall never have Judgment, and that is not remedied by any Statute, as it has been oftentimes adjudged. 10. An Error in Law by Misprision of the Judges in the Judgment entred in another Term is not amendable by any Statute. If the Plaintiff in an Assize recovers, and has not put in any Warrant of Attorney, this Error was not remedied by the Statute of 32 H. 8. as appears 20 Eliz. *Dyer* (e) 363. for the Words of that Act are, *for lack of any Warrant of Attorney of the Party against whom the Issue shall be tried*: So that when the Verdict passes for the Plaintiff, the Lack of Warrant of Attorney for the Plaintiff is not aided by that Statute, nor *e contra*, but it is helped by the Statute of (f) 18 Eliz. for there the Words are general, *for Want of any Warrant of Attorney*, so that these Words extend as well to lack of Warrant of Attorney of the Party for whom as against whom the Verdict passes.

(a) 21 Jac. c. 13.
Hob. 77.
(b) 5 Co. 36. b.

* Palm. 151, 152.

(c) Cr. Car. 278,
279. 1 Jones 302.

(d) Antea 120. b.
133. b. 3. Co.
52 b. Wing.
Max. 240.

(e) Dyer 363.
Pl. 23. Bridg. 73.
1 Rolls Rep. 305.
2 Buller. 245.

(f) 18 Eliz.
cap. 14.

Cases in the Court of Wards.

Trin. 7 Jacobi Regis.

MYGHT's Case.

IN *Curia Wardorum hoc Termino*, It was resolved by the two Chief Justices, and the Chief Baron, and by the Court of Wards, That where *Jeffery Myght* with his own proper Money purchased 12 Acres of Land, late *Lumners* to him and *Simon Mond*, an Infant, to them and their Heirs, held of Queen *Eliz.* by Knights-service; That this original Purchase could not be averred to be by Collusion, to take away the Wardship which might accrue after the Death of *Jeffery Myght*, because the said *Jeffery* was never sole Tenant to the King, nor by the Death of *Jeffery* in Respect of the Survivor, by Force of Jointenancy, any Wardship or primer Seisin could accrue to the King. 2. It was resolved, That no Feoffment which *Jeffery* could make of his Moiety could be averred to be by Collusion, to take away the Wardship or Primer Seisin due by his Death; for if no Feoffment was made, no Benefit could accrue to the Q. by his Death. Also he is out of the Stat. of (a) 32 and 34 H. 8. for the Stat. of 34 H. 8. which is a Stat. of (b) Explanation speaks only of a (c) sole Seisin in Fee; for the Words are, *having a sole Estate or Interest in Fee-simple, &c.* 3. It was resolved, That when the Father makes a Feoffment for the Advancement of his Wife, Preferment of his Children, or Paym. of his

Godb. 293.
8 Co. 76. a.
Co. Lit. 78. a.
76. a. b. 2 Rolls
Rep. 303.

(a) 32 H. 8. c. 1.
34 H. 8. cap. 5.
(b) 3 Co. 31. 2.
Cr. Car. 34.
(c) Cr. Car 33;
34.

his Debts, that that can't be averred to be upon Covin or Collusion, to give Cause to the Queen to seise all the Land; for the Statute of 32 gives Power to the Tenant to dispose two Parts to such Uses; and the Statute of 34 H. 8. explains, That if all be disposed, it shall be good for two Parts; and so upon the Consideration of both the Statutes, no Averment can be of any Covin in such Cases, for the Clause of 34 H. 8. concerning Covin is: *If any Person, &c. having Estate of Inheritance, of or in any Lands, &c. holden of the King by Knights-service in chief, or otherwise of the King by Knights-service, hereafter shall give, will, devise, or assign, by Will, or other Act executed in his Life, his Manors, &c. by Fraud or Covin to any Person for Years, Life, or Lives, with one Remainder over in Fee, or with divers Remainders over for Term of Years, Life, or in Tail, with a Remainder over in Fee-simple, &c. or shall convey or make by Fraud or Covin, contrary to the true Meaning of this Act, any Estates, Conditions, Mesnalties, Tenures, or Conveyances, to the Intent to defraud or deceive the King of his Prerogative, Primer seisin, &c. which should or ought to accrue or grow, &c. by or after the Decease of his or their Tenant by Force, and according to the former Statute, and of this present Act and Declaration, and the same Estates, and other Conveyances, being found by Office to be so made and contrived by Covin, Fraud and Deceit, as 'is' above said, contrary to the true Meaning of the said former Act, and of this Act, that then the King shall have as well the Wardship of the Body, and Custody of the Lands, &c. as Livery, primer Seisin, &c. which should or ought to appertain to the King, according to the true Intent and Meaning of the said former Act, and of this present Act, as though no such Estates or Conveyances by Covin had never been had or made. So that this Branch oftentimes refers this Clause of Covin to be contrary to the true Intent and Meaning of the former Act, and of this Act: And therefore it is first to be known, what is the true Intent and Meaning of the Act of 32 H. 8. And that appears by the Words thereof, scil. *That all and singular Person and Persons having, &c. shall have full Power and Authority, to give, dispose, will, or assign, by his last Will in Writing, or otherwise, by any Act or Acts lawfully executed, two Parts, &c. to or for the Advancement of his Wife, Preferment of his Children, and Payment of his Debts, or otherwise, at his Will and Pleasure. And the Words of the Statute of 34 Hen. 8. shall have full and free Liberty, Power and Authority to give, dispose, will, or assign to any Person or Persons, &c. two Parts, &c.* Upon which Acts being considered together in all their Parts, it was resolved by the two Chief Justices, and the Chief Baron, and the Court of Wards, That in all Cases when the King's Tenant conveys his Land for*

Co. Lit. 78. a.
10 Co. 83. a.
2 Inst. 110.

MYGHT'S Case. PART VIII.

Life, Years, or in Tail to his Children, or when a Stranger was joined with the Heir in Estate, or when a Remainder was limited in Fee, or when an Estate was declared by Will (all which Cases were out of the Stat. of *Marlbridge*, and in which Cases no Collusion could have been averred, as appears by *Sir George Curson's Case*, in the 6th Part of my *Reports*, and by our Books there cited) that in all such Cases, now by the express Letter of the Clause of 34 H. 8. concerning Covin and Collusion, Covin may be averred for the King but only for a third Part, and not for the two Parts, for both the Acts have enable the King's Tenants to dispose of two Parts at their Wills and Pleasures, as appears by the express Letter of both the Acts; and therefore where no Covin could have been alledged for the King in the Cases aforesaid, before this Act, now Covin may be alledged for the third Part only, and therefore of the Act of 32 H. 8. puts Examples, *sc.* That he may convey two Parts for the Advancement of his Wife, Preferment of his Children, and Payment of his Debts (against which no Collusion could be averred at the Common Law) and concludes with general Words, *or otherwise at his Will and Pleasure*: And it was objected, That in Case where the King may take Advantage of Collusion apparent, or averrable within the Statute of *Marlbridge*, c. 6. *de his qui primogenitos & heredes infra aetatem existentes feoffare solent, &c. sc.* to advance only his Son and Heir of an Estate in Fee-simple, if such Covin be found by Office, the King shall take Benefit thereof. To which it was answered and resolved, That by the Express Letter of 32 H. 8. which enables the Tenant to convey two Parts for the Preferment of his Children, the Queen shall have but the third Part in such Case, for the said Branch of 32 H. 8. concludes, *any Law, Statute, Custom, or other Thing to the contrary notwithstanding*. And so the Statute gives in many Cases remedy to the King, where he had not any before; and in some Case more Benefit to the Subject than he had before. And the Case of *Myght* as to this Point was such, That *Jeffery Myght* being seised of divers Lands and Tenements in the County of *Norfolk*, in Fee, some of them held of Queen *Elizabeth in Capite*, made Feoffments of divers Parts of them to divers particular Uses, to his Brother and others of his Blood, to some of them for Life, and to some for Years, the Remainder to *Tho. Myght* his Son and Heir apparent in Tail, the Remainder to *Jeffery* his younger Son in Tail, the Remainder to *Anne* his Daughter in Tail, the Remainder to *Ed. Money* in Tail, the Remainder to *Jeffery* his Son in Tail, with several Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to *Thomas Myght* in Fee. And it was found by Office after the Death of *Jeffery*, that all the said Estates and Feoffments were made by Fraud and Covin, to deceive

and

6 Co. 76. a.
Co. Lit. 78. a.

Co. Lit. 78. a.

7 Co. 94. a.
2 Inst. 109, 110.

and defraud the late Queen of her Prerogative, Ward and Marriage, and that *Thomas* was within the Age of 21 Years, and afterwards accomplished his Age of 21 Years, and before Livery *Thomas* the Son died without Issue; after whose Death another Office was found, *Anno tertio Jacobi*, with all the special Matter, and Queen *Eliz.* granted the Ward and Marriage of *Thomas* the Son, to *R. Chamberlain, &c.* and that *Thomas* the Son, after his full Age, died without Issue of his Body, before any Livery prosecuted, and that *Jeffery* the Son is his Brother and Heir, and within Age, and also next Heir to the said *Jeffery* the Father. And it was resolved by the Court of Wards, in the Time of Queen *Eliz.* That altho' the Covin was found by Office, that yet the Queen should have but a third Part of the Profits, and not the whole, for the Causes and Reasons aforesaid, and accordingly the Queen had but the third Part of the Profits, which concurs with the Resolution before. It was also resolved by the Justices, That after the Death of *Thomas* without Issue, *Jeffery* should not be in Ward, for the Covin could not extend to *Jeffery* as Heir to *Jeffery* the Father, for at the Time of the Feoffment he was the youngest Son, and the Remainder was limited to him to take Effect after the Death of *Thomas* the eldest Son without Issue, and the Queen had once taken Advantage of the Statute after the Death of *Jeffery*, and after the Death of *Thomas* the Son, he could not be in Ward; for *Jeffery* the Son claimed by force of a Remainder, and *Thomas* had nothing but in Tail, and he is dead without Issue. And it was also resolved by the said Justices, That if the King's Tenant leave a third Part to the King, that no Covin or Collusion can be averred for the two Parts, for the Letter and Intent of both the Acts was, that the King should be satisfied with the third Part; and therewith agrees *Dyer* 10 *Eliz.* in the Lord *Dacres* Case, where it is said, That this is the very Meaning of both Statutes joined together.

Co. Lit. 78. a.

2 Co. 93. b.

10 Co. 80. b.

Dyer 378. pl. 74.

Dyer 276. pl. 50.

Mich.

Mich. 8 Jacobi Regis.

DIGBY'S Case.

SIR Everard Digby seized in Fee of the Manor of *Stoke* in the County of *Rutland*, and of the Manor of *Tilton* in the County of *Leicester*, held (by way of Admittance) of the King by Knights-service in *Capite*, by Act executed in his Life-time, and before any Treason by him committed, convey'd the said Manors to the Use of himself for Life, and afterwards to the Use of his Eldest Son and Heir apparent in Tail, with divers Remainders over to his other Issues; and afterwards the said Sir *Ev. Digby* was attainted and executed for the Heinous and Horrible (a) Powder Treason, committed after the said Conveyance, his eldest Son being then within Age. The Question was, Whether the Wardship of the Body, or of the third Part of the said Manors should be to the King, by Force of the Statutes of 32 & 34 *H. 8.* And it was resolved by the two Chief Justices, the Chief Baron, and the whole Court of Wards, That the King shall never have (b) Wardship or Primer-seisin, but where there is an Heir general or special. For the said Statutes of (c) 32 & 34 *H. 8.* give Wardship or Primer-seisin to the King in divers Cases where there is no Descent; as if the King's Tenant conveys his Land for the Advancement of his Wife, Preferment of his Children, or Payment of his Debts; but doth not give Wardship or Primer-seisin in any Case where there is not any Heir general or special; because Wardship or Primer-seisin ought to be of the Land of some Ancestor who has an Heir. And the Words of the Writ of *Diem clausit extremum*, or *Mandamus*, as well after as before the said Statutes are, *Et quis propinquior Heres ejus sit.* Also Livery ought to be sued in the Name of the Heir: But it was agreed, that in some Case the King shall have Wardship, or Primer-seisin

(a) Baker's
Chron. 433.
Wilson's Hist.
29.

(b) 10 Co. 85. a.

(c) 32 H. 8. c. 1.
34 H. 8. cap. 5.
Co. Lit. 78. a.

Co. Lit. fol. 78. a.
10 Co. 85. a.

F. N. B. 251.
252. k. 353. b.
Dy. 155. pl. 22.

feisin of the Son, altho' the Father be attainted of Treason ;
 and thereby the Blood corrupt. And therefore, if (a) Tenant (a) 2 Roll. 38.
 in Tail of the King's Gift, to hold by Knights-service in Capite,
 had been attainted of Treason before the Stat. of (b) 26 H. 8. (b) Co. Lit.
 and died, his Son within Age, in that Case, altho' the Blood 392. b. 3 Inft.
 is corrupt, yet, because by force of the Statute de Donis con- 19. 4 Inft. 42.
 ditionalibus, the Land notwithstanding such Attainder de- 1 Co. 22. a.
 scends to the Son, he shall be in Ward to the King, because 7 Co. 33. a. 34. b.
 he is a special Heir in such Case, and that is proved by the 9 Co. 140. a.
 Book of 7 H. 4. 32. a. b. where the Case was, King R. 2. by 12 Co. 6. 3 Co.
 his Letters Patents granted divers Manors, &c. to Thomas 17. b. 26 H. 8.
 Holland Earl of Kent, to have and to hold to him and the c. 13. 1 Leon. 21.
 Heirs of his Body ; and afterwards the Earl, 1 H. 4. made 1 Roll. Rep. 162.
 an Insurrection, and levied War against the King, and com- 2 Roll. Rep. 314.
 mitting the said Treason, was killed without Judgment, his 315, 318, 319,
 Son Ed. within Age ; after whose Death the said Thomas Earl 320, 323, 323,
 of Kent was attainted by Parliament of High Treason: And 324, 325, 340,
 farther it was ordained, That all his Lands in Fee-simple, 374, 416, 418,
 and no other should be forfeited ; By reason of which Act of 420, 504, 503,
 Parliament the King seized the said Manors, and they were 507, 508. 1 Jones
 in the King's Hands during the Nonage of Ed. the Son, and 70, 71, 75, 76, 77,
 afterwards the said Ed. at his full Age, sued out of the Chan- 80. Cro. Car.
 cery a Writ of Mandamus, by which the said Gift and Te- 428. 2 And. 34.
 nure, and that the said Ed. was Heir per formam doni was Palm. 439. Herl.
 found ; and thereupon the said Ed. came into the Chancery, 151, 157. Godb.
 and prayed to have Livery: And there it was resolved by 300, 303, 307,
 Norton, Tremain, Hulls, Hanford and Thirning, That in such 308, 309, 311,
 Case the Son shall be in Ward, and altho' the King seized as 313, 315, 321,
 for Forfeiture of Treason, yet when the King is in Possession, 322, 323, 324.
 the Law will adjudge him in by reason of Wardship, because Co. Lit. 372. b.
 that is his best Right ; for the Law more respects a small Es- Plowd. 552. b.
 tate during the Minority of the Issue by right, than a great Hob. 334, 339,
 Estate in Fee-simple by wrong. But there Markham held, 340, 341, 343,
 That when the King seises any Land by Forfeiture of Treas- 344, 346, 347,
 on, or other Cause claiming Fee, altho' one who claims a 348. Dyer 332.
 Right in the Land comes into the Chancery, and prays a Mandamus, those of the Chancery shall not grant it: And pl. 27. 345. pl. 46.
 Gascoign said, That all the Matter in this Case is, If the Writ of Mandamus issued well out of the Chancery, or not ; Co. Ent. 422. a.
 and all this appears by the said Book. And it was agreed,
 That if there be (c) Grand-father, Father and Son, and the (c) 3 Co. 10. b.
 Grand-father is Tenant in Tail, and the Father is attainted Godb. 315, 316.
 of High Treason, and dies, and afterwards the Grand-father 2 Roll. Rep. 321,
 dies seized, altho' the Blood be corrupt, yet the Land shall 325, 418, 428,
 descend to the Son per formam doni, and this Descent shall 496, 504, 508.
 toll an Entry, for the Son is in by Descent. 2 And. 34. Hob.
 343. Cro. El. 28.
 1 Jones 82.
 1 Sid. 199.

Mich. 7 Jacobi Regis.

The Earl of CUMBERLAND's Case.

Lanc 39, 40, 41.

IN the great Case of the Earl of *Cumberland*, heard in the Court of Wards this Term, before the Earl of *Salisbury* Master of the Wards and Liveries, with the Assistance of the two Chief Justices and Chief Baron, for the Castle and Manor of *Skipton in Craven*, &c. in the County of *York*: It was held by the two Chief Justices and Chief Baron, That where King *E. 2.* by his Letters Patents had granted the said Castle and Manor of *Skipton in Craven*, &c. to *Robert de Clifford in Tail*, King *H. 6. concessit* (as it was found by Office) *Tho. Domino Clifford* (Cousin and Heir of the Body of the said *Robert de Clifford*) *reversion' prad' Castri & Mancrui de Skipton in Craven, &c. cum suis pertin' necnon Castrum & Manerium prad'*, that admitting that the said Grant in Tail to the said *Robert de Clifford* was void (which was only put by way of Admittance, without prejudice to any of the Parties) that yet the said Castle and Manor did pass by the later Grant to *Thomas Lord Clifford* in Fee-simple in Possession. For the Intent of King *H. 6.* was, that be it in Possession or Reversion, it should pass, and his Words agree with his Intent; for first, he grants the Reversion, if the first Estate Tail was good; and if the Estate Tail was not good, then he farther grants the said Manor in Possession, and so his Words in his Letters Patents agree with his Intention, and both agree with the Law. As many Leases made by the King, and other his Progeniters before him, to one to begin after the Determination of such a Lease, if the Lease be good, and if the Lease be not good, from such a Feast, and such Leases are good. Note Reader, As to the

Lanc 39, 40.

the Recital of an Estate Tail, or Lease for Life or Years; by these Words, *mentionatur fore concedi, or dimitti, &c.* it was but of late, (and yet of good) Invention, but the antient Form was to recite the first Grant, and to grant the Reversion, and farther to grant the Lands in Possession, and these several Grants in (a) a Patent are as good and strong in Law as if the King by a Patent had recited the Estate Tail, and granted the Reversion, and by another Patent had granted the Land in Possession; without Question the one or the other will be good; so is it when the several Grants are contained in one and the same Patent; for (b) *frustra fit per plura, quod fieri potest per pauciora.* (b) 9 Co. 95. b. And the Opinion of (c) *Hussey C. J.* in 9 H. 7. 2. a. b. is good Law, if it be well understood, for *non in legendo, sed in intelligendo leges consistunt.* For where he saith, It is clear, if the King intending to ratify and confirm a Grant made by his Predecessor of a Franchise by his Letters Patents, and he ratifies and confirms the Estate, and farther adds this Clause, *Damus & Concedimus*; this is but a void Grant, which ought to be thus intended, that for fear of a Forfeiture of the Franchise, the Patentee took a Confirmation of the Successor which is sufficient (before Office found of the Forfeiture) to discharge the Patentee of any Forfeiture; and therefore he well said, that the subsequent Words, *Damus & concedimus*, are but void; for the King in such Case has not any Estate grantable in Law before Office found, and therefore of Necessity it ought to take Effect by way of Confirmation, and can't enure by way of Grant, and all this appears by *Hussey's* Reason expressed in the said Book, why *Damus & concedimus* in such Case are void: for (as he saith) it shall not pass by this Confirmation and by Grant, then it shall enure by way of Confirmation, and not by way of Grant, *Vide 11 E. 4. 1 b. 7 H. 7. 14. a. b. Plow. Com. 397.* But in the Case at Bar there is not any Confirmation which presupposes that the King has but a Title or Right not grantable, but both Estates as well of the Reversion as of the Possession are grantable Estates, and so not like the said Case put by *Hussey*. And when the King's Charter may be taken to (d) two Intents, and both Intents are of Effect and good, in many Cases it shall be taken to such Intent which is most beneficial for the King, but if it may be taken to one (e) Intent of Effect and good, and to another Intent void and of none Effect, it shall be taken and construed according to such Intent, that the King's Grant shall take Effect, and which in Judgment of Law stands with the King's Intent, for it was not the King's Intent to make a void Grant, and therewith agrees the Book in (f) 21 E. 4. 44. in the Abbot of *Walsham's* Case: the Reason and Rule of which

(a) Cart. 140

(b) 9 Co. 95. b.

Co. Lit. 302. b.

3 Bulltr. 170.

Noy. 164. 1 Roll.

Rep. 85. Hard.

113. (c) Plowd. 397. b.

(d) 1 Co. 45. 2.

8 Co. 56. 2.

10 Co. 67. b.

11 Co. 11. a. b.

Bulltr. 6. Kelw.

175. a. 198. a.

2 Sid. 141. 3 Le-

on. 243. 2 Roll.

200. 2 R. 3. 4. a. b.

(e) Antea 56. 2.

6 Co. 56. a.

11 Co. 11. a. b.

(f) 1 Co. 45. 2.

8 Co. 56. 2.

11 Co. 11. b.

3 Keb. 234. Dyer

269. pl. 10. Br.

331. b. Fitz.

The Earl of Cumberland's Case. PART VIII.

Book over-rule the Case now in Question: *scil.* either to make the Grant good, either of the Reversion, if the former Grant in Tail was good, or of the Possession, if the former Grant was void, or if no Grant was made *omnino*, but not to make the King's Grant utterly void, as well of the Reversion as of the Possession, when the King has either the Reversion or the Possession in him. So it was held, If the King grants *totum illud Manerium sive firmam de D.* or *totam illam Rectoriam sive Advocationem de D.* that if the King has a Manor or Farm, and no Manor, or a Rectory Improprate or Advowson, and no Rectory, that which the King has shall pass; for the Effect of the Grant is, be it Manor or Farm; Rectory improprate or Advowson, that which the King in Truth has, shall pass.

Hill.

Hill. 7 Jacobi Regis.

PARIS STOUGHTER's Case.

Paris Stoughter was seised of the Manor of *Over-stoughter* Ley. 34. in the County of *Gloucester*, to him and the Heirs Males of his Body, and had Issue *Chambers Stoughter*, and died: By Writ of *Mandamus*, Anno 2 Jac' it was found, That the said *Paris* was seised of the said Manor, as is aforesaid, and died seised the 22d Febr' Anno 40 Eliz. and that *Chambers* was his Son and Heir; and that the said Manor was held at the Time of the Death of the said *Paris* of Queen *Eliz.* as of her Manor of *Nether-hall* in the said County, by Fealty and Rent, in free and common Soccage, &c. Trin' 7 Jacobi a *Melius inquirendum* was awarded, reciting the former Office to enquire only of this Point, whether the said Manor of *Over-stoughter* at the Time of the Death of the said *Paris* was held of our Sovereign Lord the King *in Capite* by Knights-service, or otherwise by Knights-service. By virtue of which Writ it was found, that the said Manor was held, at the Time of the Death of the said *Paris*, of the late Queen *Elizabeth* by Knights-service, as of her Manor of *Nether-stoughter*, and that at the Time of the taking of the same Inquisition, the said Manor of *Over-stoughter* was held of the King which now is, by Knights-service, as of his said Manor of *Nether-hall*. And it was clearly resolved by the two Chief Justices and the Chief Baron, That the said Writ of *Melius inquirendum* was repugnant in itself, and gave not Authority

PARIS STOUGHTEY'S Case. PART VIII.

rity to find such Office as was found. For the said *Paris* died
 40 *Eliz.* and the Writ of *Melius inquirendum* was to enquire
 whether at the Time of the Death of the said *Paris* the said
 Manor of *O.* was held of our Lord the King which now is,
 which was impossible and repugnant, that in *an. 40 Eliz.* the
 said Manor of *O.* should be held of our Lord the K. who then
 was King only of *Scotland*, and not of *England*. And altho'
 the finding was well, yet forasmuch as it was without the
 Warrant of the Writ, all was insufficient and void, and a
 new Writ of *Melius inquirendum* ought to be awarded. *Vide*
F. N. B. 255. D. that a *Melius inquirendum* shall be awarded
 on a surmise in Court that the Lands are of greater (a) year-
 ly Value than is declared in the Office, and by the like Reason
 on a Surmise that they are held by other Services; or
 that he is seised of another Estate than is recited in the Office.
 But it was resolved, That if on the Writ of *Melius inquiren-*
dum in these Cases it be again found against the K. the K. shall
 not have a new Writ of *Melius inquirendum*, and that for
 three Reasons; 1. Because then there would be no End there-
 of; but such Writs would issue infinitely, & * *infinitum in*
jure reprobatur; 2. As if a Writ of *Diem clausit extremum*,
 or *Mandamus*, &c. is found against the King, there shall
 not be a new Writ of *Diem clausit extremum*, or *Mandamus* a-
 warded; so if upon the *Melius* it be found against the King,
 no *Melius* shall be farther awarded. *Vide 12 Eliz. Dyer (b)*
292. the *Melius* is in the Nature of the first Writ of *Diem*
clausit extremum. 3. If Office be found for the King, the
 Party grieved may traverse it, and if the Traverse be found
 against him, it makes an End of the Business. So if it be
 found for him who tenders the Traverse, it shall bind the
 King as to this Matter. And so when the first Office is found
 against the King, and the *Melius inquirendum* also, the King
 thereby is bound from having another *Melius inquirendum*
 for the same Matter, for the Comparative Degree is above the
 Positive, and the Superlative above the Comparative, and
 not Comparative upon Comparative, no more than Superlative
 upon Superlative. But if upon the *Melius inquirendum*
 it be found for the King, yet the Party grieved may traverse
 it: And it stands with Reason, That when Office is found
 for the King, that forasmuch as the Party grieved is not con-
 cluded by it, but may traverse it; so when it is found against
 the King, forasmuch as the King can't traverse it, that he
 should have a Writ of *Melius inquirendum*, and yet the *Re-*
gister has not any Writ in such Case. Note Reader, It
 appears by the Statute of (c) *Lincoln*, made 29 *E. 1.* that
 at the Common Law, the Escheators used to seise the Lands
 of the King's Tenant before Office; and therefore it is
 enacted

(a) *Dyer 155.*
pl. 22. F. N. B.
255. b. d. 13 Co.
72e

* *6 Co. 45. 2.*
7 Co. 44. b.
12 Co. 24. 2 Inft.
340. 2 Bulstr. 99.
Hob. 159.

(b) *Co. Lit. 77. b.*
Dyer 292. pl. 71.

(c) *Ley. 28.*
2 Inft. 206, 689.
28 H. 6. 9. b.
Standf. Pra. 81,
82e

enacted by the Statute, That when Inquisitions are found by Writ before Escheators, *quod nihil tenetur de ipso Dom. Rege, &c. quod statim absque dilatione mandetur per breve Dom. Reg. de Cancell. precipiend. quod Escheatores de terris & tenementis sic in manus Dom. Regis per ipsos capt. manus suas amoveant omnino, &c. salvo semper Domino Regi quod si postea Escheatores suas manus amoverint per breve ipsius Domini Regis, ut præd. est, aliquid contigerit inveniri in Cancellaria vel ad Scaccarium, vel alibi in Cur. ipsius Dom. Regis per quod custodia terrarum aut tenementorum eorundem, de quibus Escheatores manus suas amoverint in forma præd. Dom. Regi pertineat, quod statim præmuniatur ille per breve de Cancellaria, &c.* And this Act doth not make against the said Resolution, for two Reasons. 1. It is a Rule in Law, and affirmed by this Act, that where the King's Hands are once removed by Matter of Record, there for any Title anterior than the Amover, the King shall not re-seise upon any new Office found without a *Scire facias*; and that appears in (a) 28 H. 6. 9. b. (b) 9 E. 4. 51. b. Vide 5 H. 5. 2. a. But in the Case at Bar there was never any Seizure into the King's Hands, and by Consequence there could be no *amoveas manus*, and therefore this Case is clearly out of that Statute. 2. At this Day the Escheator (c) before Office found can't seise any Lands into the King's Hands, but the Seizure ought to be after Office found, and that appears by the Statute of W. 1. cap. 24. Vide 5 E. 6. Br. Office 55. and many other Books, and so is the common Experience at this Day; and therefore the Case at Bar is out of the said Statute. And true it is, that after *Diem clausit extremum*, or *Mandamus*, &c. awarded and found against the King, no new Writ of *Diem clausit extremum*, or *Mandamus* shall issue, as it is held in (d) 4 Hen. 7. 15. b. & 16. a. 14 Ed. 4. 5. a. 15 Ed. 4. 11. 8 Eliz. Dyer (e) 248. & 249. But in such Case a Writ of *Melius inquirendum* shall issue, as is aforesaid, and as the common Course in the Court of Wards is, and always has been: and with this Difference all the Books are well reconciled, and agreed & sic interpretare & concordare *Leges Legibus est optimus interpretandi modus*. But in good Discretion no *melius inquirendum* shall be awarded after Office found against the King, without Sight of some Record, or other pregnant Matter for the King, for avoiding of Vexation of the Subject. Vide 12 Eliz. Dyer (f) 292. It is found by Office, on a *Diem clausit extremum*, that the Land was held *de Domina Regina, sed per que servilia Juratores ignorant*, whereupon a *Melius inquirendum* was awarded, by which the Tenure was found of a Subject, and all other Points certainly found, by that the first Office is void in all *per Sensum Statuti de 2 E. 6. (g) c. 8.* And all these Resolutions were affirm'd for good Law, (h) 4 Inst. 688. Mich. 689.

(a) Br. Scire facias 9. Br. Re-feiler 1.
(b) Br. Scire fac. 135. Stanf. præ. 82. b.
(c) 2 Inst. 206; 573. Plowd. 486. a. Br. Office, &c. 55.

(d) Br. Office 8c. 33.
(e) 2 Inst. 572; Dyer 248, 249; pl. 81; 82.

(f) Hob. 508; Dyer 292. pl. 71; Co. Lit. 77. b.

(g) 4 Inst. 688; Mich. 689.

PARIS STOUGHTER's Case. PART VIII.

(a) Ley 27, 28.
Mich. 8 Jac. by the said Chief Justices and Chief Baron in *Stephen (a) Garner's Case in Curia Wardorum*, for Lands in *Fursey* and *Steeping* in the County of *Lincoln*, as to the said Writs of *Melius inquirendum* where it was found by the first Office, that the Lands were held of *Eustace Hart Esq;* and his Wife, as in the Right of his Wife of their Manor of *Steeping*: and upon the *Melius* it was found that the said Lands were held of *Sir Ed. Carre Knight*, as of his Manor of *Monkthorp*, and notwithstanding this Variance of the Offices, yet it was resolved *ut supra*, That no new Writ of *Melius* should issue, for the Reasons and Causes before alledged.

Paschæ

Pasch. 8 Jacobi Regis.

TOURSON'S Case.

William Tourson, an Idiot *a Nativitate*, by Force of a Remainder after the Death of his Father, was jointly seised with his elder Brother for Term of their Lives, the Lessor purchased the Estate of the elder Brother, and took the Body of the Idiot, and all the Profits of the Land, and afterwards William Tourson was found an Idiot *a Nativitate* by Inquisition; The Question was, Whether the King should have the mean Profits of the Moiety from the Time of the first Seisin of the Idiot, or from the Time of the Office: and it was resolved, that the King should not have the Profits, but after the Office: and yet to some Intent the Office shall have Relation from the Time of the Birth, *scil.* to avoid all mean Acts done by the Idiot; and therewith agree *F.N.B.* 202. c. and (a) 18 E. 3. *scire fac.* 10. 32 E. 3. *Scire* (a) 4 Co. 116. b. *fac.* 106. 50 *Aff. p.* 2. &c. *vide Beverley's Case, in 4 Part of my* Co. Lit. 247. a. *Reports* 124, 125. But for the mean Profits, it shall not have Stand. Prae. 33. b. 34, 35. 2 Infr. 14. Relation, but from the Time of the Office found; for thereby it appears of Record, that the King has Right to seise the Lands, as if the King's Tenant commits Felony, *An. 1 Jac.* and afterwards *Anno 3.* he is attainted for the same Felony, and afterwards *Anno 4.* all is found by Office; Now this Office shall have Relation to the Time of the Felony to avoid all mean Alienations and Incumbrances; but for the mean Profits, it shall have Relation to the Time of the Attainder, for then the K's Title appeared of Record; and therewith agree *Plow' Com'* 488. & 38 E. 3. 31, 32. by *Kerton.* *Vide 29 H. 8. Br. Chart' de Pardon* 52. and there is a Difference when the K. shall have the Custody; by Reason of a Seignior, as in Case of Wardship, there the King after Office found shall have the mean Profits from the Time of the Death of the Ancestor, for there the King has the Custody

dy, by Reason of his Seigniori, and he loses his Rent and Services in the mean Time. But the King has the Custody of an Ideot not in Re'pect of any Seigniori, but *Jure Protectionis sua regia*, because his Subject is not able to govern himself, nor the Lands or Tenements which he has; and this Protection begins by the Office found. And the Statute *de Prarogativa Regis, cap. 9.* saith, *quod Rex habebit Custodiam terrarum faluorum, capiendo Exitus, &c. & inventiet eis Necessaria sua, &c.* by which it appears that the King shall take the Profits from the Time that he is charged with the finding of the Ideot, and his Family Necessaries, and that is after the Office found; so that when the King seises *Jure Protectionis regia*, as in the Case at Bar, or *nomine districtionis*, as in Case of Alienation of Land *in Capite* without Licence, or of Marriage of his Widow without Licence, there, after Office found, the King shall not have any mean Profits before the Office, as it is held in 8 E. 4. 4. 40 *Aff. p. 36.* But when the King seises *Ratione prioris Recli seu Tituli*, by Reason of a former Right or Title, there the K. shall have the mean Profits from the Time of his Right or Title first accrued, as 18 *Aff. p. 18.* from the Time of the Condition broke, 41 E. 3. 21. from the Time of the Alienation of his Tenant in Mortmain; and if the Lands are held of others, from the Time of the Title devolv'd to him, 46 E. 3. *Forfeiture* 18. upon the Statute of *Westm. 2. cap. 45.* which gives the *Contra formam Collationis*, from the Time of the Alienation; for by those Acts the King's Title and Right accrues: *Vide* 35 E. 3. *Vill* 22. 40 *Aff. p. 36.* 9 E. 4. 5. And in the principal Case no Precedent can be found, that the King was answered the mean Profits before the Office found, but only after the Office, and so the *Quare* in *Stamford's Prarogativa Regis*, 34. b. is well resolved.

1 And. 23.
Moor 4. Dyer 25.
46. pl. 164.

Stanf. præ.
33. b. 343 &c.

1 Leon. 4.
pl. 50. 3 Leon.
175. pl. 226.

Moor 293.

Paschæ 8 Jacobi Regis.

Sir GERARD FLEETWOOD'S Case.

SIR *William Fleetwood*, Anno 35 *Eliz.* was possessed of an House and certain Lands in *Pynner* in the Parish of *Harrow*, in *Harrow* in the County of *Middlesex*, for certain Years yet enduring, and *An. 36 El.* he became Receiver General of the Revenue of the Court of Wards, &c. and entred into 20 Bonds, each of them of 200 *l.* with Condition to make a Yearly perfect Account before the 20th of *June*, &c. and afterwards upon several Accounts, in the Years 36, 37, 38, & 39 *Eliz.* he became indebted to the Queen in great Sums of Money; and he being so indebted, by his Indenture, 10 *Feb. 40 Eliz.* in Consideration of 1100 *l.* bargain'd and sold the said Lease to *James Pemberton*, by Force whereof he entred, and was thereof possessed; which mean Conveyance, and in Consideration of 1300 *l.* was sold to Sir *Gerard Fleetwood*. The Question was, Whether the said Messuage and Lands are now extendable, or liable to the King's Debt? And altho' it is at the Election of the Sheriff, either to extend or to sell a Lease, so long as it remains in the Debtor's Hands, as appears in the Books of (a) 31 *Ass. p. 6.* 38 *Ass. p. 4.* 44 *E. 3. 16.* 7 *H. 6. 2.* &c. yet it was resolved, That the said Sale of the Term should bind the King, because the Term was but a Chattel, and there was no Covin in the Case, and a Sale (c) *bona fide* of Chattels is good after Judgment, but not (d) after Execution awarded, as appears in 2 *H. 4. 14.* *per Curiam*, 9 *H. 6. 58.* 11 *H. 4. 7.* and of the Freehold or Inheritance which he has at the Time of the (e) Judgment in Case of a Common Person, and from the Time one becomes the King's Debtor, 5 *El. Dyer* 224, 225. Sir *Will. Cavendish's* Case. And the Case in (g) 50 *Ass. p. 4.* was urged to the contrary, where the King's Debtor took a Lease to him and his Wife for Years, and before Execution the Husband died, Execution was sued against the Wife, for it was the Act of the Husband, and he had Power of the Term

(a) Br. Execut. 84.
 Br. Assise 318.
 Br. Extinguishment. 61.
 (b) Br. Assise 347.
 (c) 2 Roll. 157.
 (d) Cro. Jac. 451.
 Cro. El. 174, 181, 440.
 2 Roll. 157. Cro. Car. 149. 1 Leon 145, 301. Kelw. 87. a. 29 Car. 2. c. 3. Moor 352, 873. 1 Roll. 893.
 (e) Cro. Jac. 451, 452. Cr. Car. 149. Kelw. 87. a.
 29 Car. 2. cap. 3. at (f) 3 Co. 12. b. 11 Co. 93. a.

Plowd. 321. a. Godb. 292, 297. 2 Roll. Rep. 300, 302. Harl. 25, 26. Dyer 224, 225. Pl. 32, 33. (g) 1 Roll. 346. 2 Roll. 156, 157. Plowd. 261. a. 50 Ass. [pl. 3. Fitz. Execut. 113. Br. Execution 148.

Sir G. FLEETWOOD's Case. PART VIII.

at the Time of his Death, and the Wife came to it without valuable Consideration, and *quodammodo* continued the Interest of her Husband. And *Coke*, Chief Justice, said, That a Receiver, or other Accountant who is indebted, shall not be in a worse Case than a (a) Felon or Traytor, who may, after the Felony or Treason, and before Conviction, sell *bona fide* for his Sustenance, &c. his Chattels, be they Real or Personal.

(a) Co. Lit.
391. a. 'Plowd.
68. a. Antca
370. a.

Pasch.

Pasch. 8 Jacobi Regis.

HALE's Case.

BY Office after the Death of Sir *James Hale*, it is found 1 Leon 137. 156
Rep. 340. that he died seised of divers Lands in the County of *Kent* in Fee-simple, whereof Part was held of Queen *Eliz.* by Knight's Service *in capite*, and that *Cheyney Hale* was his Son and Heir, and within the Age of 21 Years, and in Ward to the Queen; and afterwards *Cheyney Hale* accomplish'd his full Age, as appeared by the Computation of the first Office, and so he was in Truth, and he tendred his Livery, and was admitted to it, and within the usual Time given him for the Prosecution of the Livery, by Deed indented and inrolled, he bargained and sold Part of his said Lands to another in Fee, and died also within the said Time. And two Questions were moved; 1. If the Livery of the said *Cheyney Hale* be lost by his Death? 2. Whether the Issues and Profits of the Lands so aliened, after the Tender of the Livery and Death, are to be answered to the King for want of Livery, and for what Time? And it was agreed, That at the Common Law, the King shall have Primer Seisin when the Ancestor dies, his Heir within Age, and was in Ward, and that the Statute of *Prærogative Regis, cap. 3.* is but an Affirmance of the Common Law, *scilicet Rex habebit primam seisinam, post mortem* Lit. Rep. 340.
Stanf. Præf.
11. b. 12, &c. *eorum qui de eo tenent in capite de omnib' Terris de quibus, &c. Cujuscunq; ætatis Heredes fuerint, cap' Exitus, &c. donec, &c. ceperit homagium hujusmodi Heredis.* For when he has been in Ward, he upon his Livery shall pay but half a Year's Profit; and when he is of full Age a whole Year's Profit; Co. Lit. 77. a. and that was the Common Law, for no such Distinction is made by the said Act. And it appears by the said Act, That Primer Seisin is, That the King shall take the Profits of the Land, *donec ceperit homagium*, which is as much as

to say, until he has sued Livery, for upon his Livery he doth Homage; so that the King's Interest is subject to this *donec*, which is a Limitation in Law; then when the Heir, at his full Age tenders his Livery, which includes tendring of his Homage, it is all that the Heir can do; and therefore if no Laches be afterwards in the Heir in the Prosecution of his Livery, he shall have as much Advantage by his Tender, as if he had done Homage, and had had his Livery when he made his Tender, and the convenient Time which the Law gives the Heir to prosecute his Livery out of the King's Hands after Tender, is three (a) Months, because many Things are requisite and necessary, with great Care and Diligence, to be well and duly pursued in the prosecution thereof, than when the Heir dies within the said Time: So that the doing of his Homage, and suing out of his Livery without Fault in him, is become impossible by the Act of God, (b) *impotentia in hoc casu excusat legem*, and in Judgment of Law, (c) the King's Interest by the said Limitation is determined, as if the King had taken the Homage of the Heir when he made his Tender. And with this Resolution agree all the Precedents of the Court, and therefore when the Heir renders his Livery, the Court of Wards, for fear of Death, have used to take collateral Assurance for that which is due to the King. But if the Heir, after he comes of full Age, or if he be of full Age at the Time of the Death of his Ancestor, dies without any Tender, the Livery is gone, as is aforesaid. But the King shall have all the mean Profits till the Death, for default of Tender; but the Tender, if it be pursued, or if within the Time it becomes impossible by the Act of God, *sc.* the Death of the Heir, there the King by Law is barred from having the mean Profits, as is aforesaid. *Vide Stamford prerog' 12, 13, &c.* And it was resolved, That in this Case the King's Interest, by the said Limitation and Act in Law, was determined by the Death of the Heir, so that the Bargainee might enter and occupy the Land without any *Monstrans de droit*, or *Amoveas manum*, &c.

(a) 6 Mois Lit. Rep. 340.

(b) 4 Co. 11. a.
5 Co. 22. a.
6 Co. 21. b. 68. a.
9 Co. 73. a.
10 Co. 139. b.
Hard. 387. 1 Co.
98. a. Co. Lit.
29. a.
(c) 9 Co. 132. a.

Co. Lit. 77. a.
9 Co. 132. a.

Pasch. 8 Jacobi Regis.

Sir HENRY CONSTABLE'S Case.

SIR *Henry Constable* Knight, 15 Decembris, Anno 5 Jac. died seised of an Estate in Fee-simple of Lands held of the King by Knights Service in capite, *Henry Constable* his Son and Heir being within Age, and made a Knight in the Life of his Father, 1 Julii, Anno 7 Jac. *Henry* the Son accomplish'd his Age of 21 Years. *Henry* the Son tender'd his Livery after the Death of his Father before his Age of 21 Years, and continued it: And the Questions were, 1. Whether the Profits of the Lands should be saved to him by the said Tender and Continuance? 2. Whether the King should have the Rates within the Age of 21 Years? And both Questions were clearly resolv'd against the King, and that the King should have Primer Seisin, as if he had been of 21 Years at the Time of the Death of his Father, because the King, being the Sovereign of Knighthood, has adjudged him of full Age to (a) do Knight's Service in the Life of his Father, for the Reasons reported at large in *Sir Druce Drurios Case*, in the Sixth Part of my Reports, fol. 73. All which were affirmed for good Law by the Chief Justices, and Chief Baron.

(a) Lit. Sec.
103. 6 Co. Lit.
73, 74. b. 75. b.
78. b. Cr. Jac.
156, 189. 3 Co.
34. b. Hob. 46.
91. 6 Co. 74. a.
Plowd. 268. 2.

Trin. 8 Jacobi Regis.

VIRGIL PARKER'S Case.

(a) 10 Co.
84. a. b.

Virgil Parker, (a) seized of the Manor of *Fushil* in Fee, held of the King by Knight's Service, as of his Duchy of *Lancaster*, Anno 27 *Eliz.* made a Feoffment of one half of the said Manor to *John Coxwell*, and others, before Marriage, to the Use of himself for Life, and afterwards to the Use of *Mary Coney*, whom he then intended to marry, for Life, for her Jointure, and afterwards to the Use of himself in Tail, with divers Remainders over, and afterwards he married the said *Mary*; after which Marriage, *sc.* 39 *Eliz.* the said *Virgil* leased the other half of the said Manor to the said *John Coxwell*, and *Edward Long*, for divers Years, for Payment of his Debts and Legacies, and afterwards made his Will, and thereby devised 1000 *l.* in Portions to his younger Children, and died, his Son and Heir within Age. And the Question was, If the King should have the third Part out of the half of the Manor in Lease only, or out of the Wives half and this half also? And it was resolved, That forasmuch as the Advancement of his Wife is as well within the Statute, as (b) Payment of his Debts, and Pre-ferment of his Children, and forasmuch as the Operation of the Statute principally takes its Effect by the Death of the K's Tenant; for this Reason, altho' the Estate of the Wife has the Precedency, it was resolved, That the King's third Part should be taken (c) equally out of both Halves, and not out of the Half so leased only. And so was it resolved in *Mich.* 41 & 42 *Eliz.* betwixt *Remington* and *Savage*, and 23 *Eliz.* in *Thynne's* Case; and therewith agrees the Common Experience of the Court of Wards.

(b) Co. Lit.
76. b. 78. a.

(c) 10 Co.
84. a. b. 9 Co.
133. b. Moor
745, 746.

F I N I S.

Casuum istius Libri series

		Page
1	T HE Prince's Cafe <i>Hill. 3 Jac.</i>	31
2	Caly's Cafe <i>Pasch. 26 El.</i>	32
3	Payne's Cafe <i>Trin. 29 Eliz.</i>	34
4	Cafe of Barretry <i>Pasch. 30 Eliz.</i>	36
5	Greisly's Cafe <i>Trin. 30 Eliz.</i>	38
6	Whittingham's Cafe <i>Hillar. 45 Eliz.</i>	42
7	Jehu Webb's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	45
8	Sym's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	51
9	Earl of Rutland's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	55
10	Beecher's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	58
11	Swayn's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	63
12	Sir Will. Forfter's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	64
13	Loveday's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	65
14	Edward Crogate's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	66
15	John Trollop's Cafe <i>Mich. 6 Jac.</i>	68
16	Whitlock's Cafe <i>Hill. 6 Jac.</i>	69
17	Greneley's Cafe <i>Pasch. 7 Jac.</i>	71
18	Stafford's Cafe <i>Trin. 7 Jac.</i>	73
19	Wiat Wield's Cafe <i>Trin. 7 Jac.</i>	78
20	Vinyor's Cafe <i>Trin. 7 Jac.</i>	80
21	Sir Rich. Pexhal's Cafe <i>Trin. 7 Jac.</i>	83
22	Buckmere's Cafe <i>Mich. 7 Jac.</i>	86
23	Frances's Cafe <i>Mich. 7 Jac.</i>	89
24	Edward Fox's Cafe <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	93
25	Mat. Manning's Cafe <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	94
26	Baspole's Cafe <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	97
27	Sir Ri. Lechford's Cafe <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	99
28	John Talbot's Cafe <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	102
29	Doctor Bonham's Cafe <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	107

Casuum istius Libri series.

		Page
30	City of London's Case <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	121
31	Thetford School's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	130
32	Turnor's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	132
33	Mary Shipley's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	134
34	Sir Joh. Nedham's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	135
35	Sir Fr. Barrington's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	136
36	Doctor Drury's Case <i>Trin. 8 Jac.</i>	139
37	Davenport's Case <i>Trin. 8 Jac.</i>	144
38	The 6 Carpenters Case <i>Mich. 8 Jac.</i>	146
39	Edward Altham's Case <i>Mich. 8 Jac.</i>	148
40	Arth. Blackmore's Case <i>Mich. 8 Jac.</i>	156

The Case of the Court of Wards.

41	Myght's Case <i>Trin. 7 Jac.</i>	163
42	Digby's Case <i>Mich. 7 Jac.</i>	165
43	E. of Rutland's Case <i>Mich. 7 Jac.</i>	166
44	Paris Stoughter's Case <i>Hill. 7 Jac.</i>	168
45	Tourfon's Case. <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	170
46	Sir Ger. Fleetwood's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	171
47	Hale's Case. <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	172
48	Sir Hen. Constable's Case <i>Pasch. 8 Jac.</i>	173
49	Virgil Parker's Case <i>Trin. 8 Jac.</i>	173

F I N I S