#### University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law

#### **UMKC School of Law Institutional Repository**

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke

Leon E. Bloch Library Sir Edward Coke Collection

1727

The Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., in English, Compleat in Thirteen Parts: The Thirteenth Part of the Reports of Sir Edward Coke Kt., Her Majesty's Attorney General

Sir Edward Coke

Follow this and additional works at: https://irlaw.umkc.edu/coke\_reports



#### The Thirteenth PART,

#### OR CERTAIN

## Select CASES in LAW,

REPORTED BY

# Sir Edward Coke Kt.

Late Lord Chief Justice of

## E N G L A N D,

And one of

His Majesty's Council of STATE.

The Third Edition corrected, with the Addition of References.

With two exact Tables, the one of the Names of the Cases, and the other of the principal Matters therein contained.

#### In the SAVO T:

Printed by E. and R. Nutt, and R. Gosline, (Affigns of Edw. Sayer Esq.) to D. Bzowne, J. Walthoe, B. Lintot, K. Golling, W. Pears, T. Ward, W. Junys, J. Osbozn, T. Woodward, J. Yooke, F. Clay, T. Wotton, K. Williamson and A. Mard.

M DCC XXVII.

### TOTHE

# READER.

READER,

In may seem altogether an unnecessary Work to say any Thing in the Praise and Vindication of that Person and his Labours, which have had no less than the general Approbation of a whole Nation convened in Parliament: For if King Theodorick in Cassiodore could affirm, Neque emin dignus est a quopiam redargui qui nostro judicio meretur absolvi, That no Man A 2 ought

## To the READER.

ought to be reproved whom his Prince commends; how much rather then should Men forbear to censure those and their Works, which have had the greatest Allowance and Attestation a Senate could give, and to acquiesce and rest satisfied in that Judgment? Such Respect and Allowance hath been given to the learned Worksof the late Honourable and Venerable Chief Justice, Sir Edw. Coke, whose Person in his Life-time was reverenc'd as an Oracle, and his Works (since his Decease) cited as authentick Authorities, even by the reverend Judges themfelves. The Acceptance his Books (already extant) have found with all knowing Perfons, have given me the Confidence to commend to the publick

## To the READER.

publick View fome Remains of his, under his own Handwriting, which have not yet appeared to the World, yet (like true and genuine Eaglets) are well able to behold and bear the Light: They are of the same Piece with his former Works, and in Respect of their own native Worth, and the Reference they bear to their Author, cannot be too highly valued: Though, in Respect of their Quantity and Number, the Reports are but few; yet, as the skilful Jeweller will not lose so much as the very Filings of rich and precious Metals; and the very Fragments were commanded to be kept where a Miracle had been wrought, Propter miraculi claritatem & evidentiam: So these small Farcels, being

## To the READER.

being Part of those vast and immense Labours of their Author, great almost to a Miracle, (if I may be allowed the Comparison) were there no other Use to be made of them (as there is very much; for they manifest and declare to the Reader many fecret and abstruse Points in Law, not ordinarily to be met with in other Books fo fully and amply related) deferve a Publication, and to be preserved in the Respects and Memories of Learned Men, and especially the Professors of the Law; and to that End they are now brought to Light and published.

Farewel.

J. G.

THE

#### THE

# NAMES

OF THE

# CASES.

ASE of the Admiralty, 7 Jac. 51 Case of St. Alphage Parish. in Canterbury, 8 Jac. 70 Baron and Boy's Cafe. 6 Tac. т8 Case of repairing Bridges, &c. 7 Jac. Bedell and Sherman's Case, 40 Eliz. 47 Baily's Cafe, 7 Jac. 48 Case in Chancery, Hill. 27 Eliz. 19 Case in the Common Pleas, 6 Jac. 26 Collings and Harding's Cafe, 39 Eliz. 57

Case of Modus Decimandi, 6 Tac. Case of Modus Decimandi and of Prohibitions before the King, 7 Jac. 37 Disow and Bestney's Case, 8 Jac. Edward's Case 6 Jac. 9 Case in Ejectione firmæ, 58 7 Jac. Hulm's Case, 7 Jac. Hayward's and Sir John Whitebrook's Case, 64 Hughes and Crowther's Case, 7 Jac. Haidon and Smith's Cafe, 8 Jac. Mutton's

The Names of the C	ASES. PART XIII.
Mutton's Case, 7 Jac. 59	Syrat and Heal's Cafe
More and Webb's Cafe,	44 Eliz. 23
7 Jac. 65	Cale of Sewers, by Tac. of
Neale and Rowse's Case,	Spary's Cafe, 7 Jac. 40
o jac. 24	Samm's Cale, 7 Tac. ea
Porter and Rochester's	Smith and Hill's Cafe.
Cale, 6 Jac. 4	8 Jac. 71
Case of Prohibition, 6 Jac.	Taylor and Moyl's Cafe,
130	6 Jac. 11
Sir Allen Percy's Case,	Willow's Case, 6 Jac. 1
7 Jac60	Case in the Court of
Parliament's Case, 7 Jac. 63	Wards, 7 Jac. 48
Frichard and Hawkin's	Cale in the Court of
Cafe, 5 Jac. 71	Wards, 7-Tac. 40
Sir William Read and	Will's Case, 7 Jac. 50
Booth's Case, 7 Jac. 34	Westcot's Case, 7 Tac. 72

## Mich. An. 6 Jac. Regis.

#### In the Common Pleas.

#### Willowes's Cases

N Trespals brought by Richard Stallon, one of the Copyhold Fine Attornies of the Court against Thomas Brayde (which reasonable. began in Easter Term, An. 6 Jacobi Rot. 1845.) for See Moor 622, breaking of his House and Close at Fendition in the 623. Cro. Elizaberral Country of Cambridge; and the new Affignment was in an Jac 196, 07256. Acre of Pasture: The Defendant pleads, that the Place Co. Lit. 59: b. where, &c. was the Land and Freehold of Thomas Wil-Gumberh. lowes and Richard Willowes; and that he as Servant, &c. And the Plaintiff for Replication faith, that the Place where, was Parcel of the Manor of Fendition, and demisable, &c. by Copy of Court-Roll in Fee-simple: And that the Lords of the Manor granted the Tenements in which, &c. to John Stallon and his Heirs, who furrendered them unto the faid Willowes and Willowes, Lords of the faid Manor, to the Use of the Plaintiff and his Heirs, who was admitted accordingly, &c. The Defendant doth rejoin, and faith, That well and true it is, that the Tenements in which, &c. were Parcel of the Manor, and demisable, &c. And the Surrender and Admittance such, prout, &c. But the faid Thomas Brayde further faith, that the Tenements in which, &c. at the Time of the Admission of the said Richard Stallon, were, and yet are of the clear yearly Value of fifty three Shillings and four Pence; and that within the faid Manor there is such a Custom, Quod rationabilis denariorum summa legalis monetæ Angliæ super quamlibet admissionem cujustibet persona, sive quarumcunque personarum tenent' vel tenent' per Dom' vel Dominos manerii pradict' sive per Seneschallum, &c. ad aliquas terras sive tenementa Customaria Manerii pradict' secundum consuetudinem Manerii illius debetur, & a tempore quo, &c. debit' fuit Dom', &c. tempore ejustem admissionis pro fine pro ad-

missione illa, quod idem Dominus, vel iidem Dom' prædiet' vel Scneschallus suus Curiæ ejusdem Manerii pro tempore existen usus fuit, vel usi fuerunt per totum tempus supradict in plena curia Manerii illius pro admissione ejusdem personæ, seu earundem personarum sic facta assidere & appunctuare, Anglice, to affess and appoint eandem rationabilem denariorum summam pro fine pro eadem admissione sic ut prafertur facta, nec non superinde eandem denariorum summam sic assessam & appunctuatam, prafata persona sive personis sic admisse sive admissis, solveret & solverent, &c. eidem Domino, &c. pradictam rationabilem denariorum summam pro fine, pro admissione sua pradict' sic assessam & appunctuat'. And further faith, That the Steward of the faid Manor at a Court holden i OEtch. in the fourth Year of the Reign of the King that now is, admitted the Plaintiff to the Tenements, in which, &c. and affeffed and fet a reafonable Sum of Money, that is to fay, five Pounds fix Shillings, eight Pence, that is to fay, Valorem corundem tenementorum per duos annos, & non ultra pro fine pro pradiel \* admissione pradiel Ricard Stallon, to the said Lords of the Manor to be paid: And also the faid Steward at the same Court did give Notice, and fignify to the Plaintiff the faid Sum was to be paid to the faid Lords of the Manor, &c. And further faith, that the faid Willowes and Willowes, afterwards, that is to fay, the fecond Day of November, in the fourth Year aforesaid, at Fenditton aforesaid, requested the said Richard Stallon to pay to them five Pounds, fix Shillings, eight Pence there, for the Fine for his Admittance, &c. which the faid Rich. Stallen then and there utterly denied and refused, and as yet doth refuse. By which the said Richard Stallon forfeited to the aforesaid Thomas and Richard Willowes all his Right, Estate, &c. of and in the Tenements aforesaid in which, &c. The Plaintiff surjoineth, and saith, that the faid Sum of five Pounds, fix Shillings, eight Pence, &c. was not rationabilis finis, as the faid Thomas Brayde above hath alledged, &c. upon which the Defendant doth demur in Law. And in this Case these Points were refolved by Coke Chief Justice, Walmsley, Warberton, Daniel, and Foster, Justices, r. And principally, if the Fine affeffed had been reasonable, yet the Lords ought to have fet a certain Time and Place when the same should be paid, because the same stands upon a Point of Forfeiture: As if a Man bargains and affures Land to one

and his Heirs, upon Condition that if he pay to the Bargainee or his Heirs, ten Pounds at such a Place, that he

Page [2]

and his Heirs shall re-enter: In that Case; because no Time is limited, the Bargainor ought to give Notice to the Bargainee, &c. when he will tender the Money, and he cannot tender it when he pleaseth; and with that agrees. 19 Eliz. Dyer 354. For a Man shall not lose his Land, unless an express Default be in him; and the Bargainee in such Case is not tied to stay always in the Place, &c. So in the Case at Bar, the Copyholder is not tied to carry his Fine always with him, when he is at Church, or at Plough, &c. And although that the Rejoinder is, that the Plaintiff refused to pay the Fine; so he might well do, when the Request is not lawful nor reasonable, for in all Cases when the Request is not lawful nor reafonable, the Party may without Prejudice deny the Payment. And he who is to pay a great Fine as 100 l. or more, it is not reasonable that he carry it always with him in his Pocker, and presently the Copyholder was not bound to it, because that the Fine was uncertain and arbitrable, as it was resolved in Hubbard's Case in the fourth Part of my Reports amongst the Copyhold Cases. 2. It was refolved, that although the Fine be uncertain and arbitrable, yet it ought to be secundum arbitrium boni viri: And it ought to be reasonable and not excessive, for all Excessiveness is abhorred in Law, Excessus in re qualibet jure reprobatur communi; for the Common Law forbids any excessive Distress, as it appeareth in 41 E. 3, 26. Where a Man avowed the Taking of fixty Sheep for 3 ds Rent, and the Plaintiff prayed that he might be amerced for the Distress: And the Court (who is always the Judge whether the Diffress be reasonable or excessive) held, that fix Sheep had been a sufficient Distress for the said Rent; and therefore he was amerced for fo many of them as were above fix Sheep: And the Court faid, that if Vid.F.N.B. 22 a were Avowant shall have Return, he shall have a incertamental Return but of fix Sheep: And this appeareth to be the Starute of the Common Law; for the Statute of Articuli super Glanvil lib 9. Chartas extends only where a grievous Distress is taken 9. by Hill. for the King's Debt. See F. N. B. 174. a. and 27 Ass. 51. 14 H. 4. 1. 2. 28 Aff. 50. 11 H.4.2. and 8 H.4. 16, Sc. Non Capiatur gravis districtio, &c. And so if an excessive or an unreasonable Amerciament be imposed in any \* Court-Baron or other Page [3] Court which is not of Record, the Party shall have mo-lib.o.can. 8 derata misericordia: And the Statute of Magna Charta Optime, B. rais but an Affirmance of the Common Law in such Point. tionabilibus

men moderat' secundum quantitatem secodorum suorum & secundum facultates ut nemici gravidæ viderentur, &c. Vide Bracton 84. b. rationab' relev' 1. quod rationem & mensuram non excedat; and see him there 86, &c. optime.

See

See F. N. B. 75. Nullus liber homo amercietur nisi secundum quantitatem delicti. And gravis Redemptio non est exigenda. And the Common Law gives an Affife of Sovient Diffress, and Multiplication of Diffress found, which is excessive, in Respect of the Multiplicity of Vexation. And therewith agreeth 27 Ass. 30, 51. Non capiatur multi-plex districtio, F. N. B. 178. b. And if Tenant in Dower hath Villains, or Tenants at Will who were rich, and she by excessive Tallages and Fines makes them poor and Beggers, the fame is adjudged Waste. And therewith agreeth F. N. B. 61. b. 16 H. 3. Waste 135, and 16 H. 7. And see the Register judicial, fol. 25. b. Waste lieth, in exulando Henricum, & Hermanum, &c. Villeios, Quorum quilibet tenet unum Messuagium & unam virgat terre, in villinagio in pradict' villa de T. by grievous and intolerable Distresses: By all which it appeareth, that the Common Law doth forbid intolerable and excessive Oppressing and Ransoming of Villains, whereby of Rich they become Poor: And yet it may be said, that a Man may do with his Villain what he pleafeth, or with his Tenant at Will; but the Lord limits the same in a reafonable and convenient Manner: For it appeareth, that fuch intolerable Oppression of the poor Tenants is to the Disinherison of him in the Reversion. So in the Case at Bar, although the Fine is incertain, yet it ought to be reasonable; and so it appeareth by the said Custom which the Defendant hath alledged. And therefore in fuch Case the Lord cannot take as much as he pleaseth, but the Fine ought to be reasonable, according to the Resolve of the Court in the said Case of Hubbard in the fourth Part of my Reports 30. It was refolved, That if the Lord and Tenant cannot agree of the Fine, but the Lord demandeth more than a reasonable Fine, that the same shall be decided and adjudged by the Court, in which any Suit shall be, for or by Reason of the Denying of the Fine. and the Court shall adjudge what shall be said a reasonable Fine, having Regard to the Quality and Value of the Land, and other necessary Circumstances which ought to appear in Pleading upon a Demurrer, or found by Ver-Braction 12. fol. dict: And if the Fine which the Lord or his Steward af-51. Quam lon- fesseth be reasonable, let the Copyholder well advise himremousmen de felf before he deny the Payment of it: And always when finkur in jure, Reasonableness is in Question, the same shall be detersed pendet ex mined by the Court in which the Action dependeth: justiciariorum As Reasonable Time, 21 H. 6. 30. 22 E. 4. 27. & 50. 29 H. 8. 32, &c. So if the Distress be reasonable, and the like, &c.

Vide 14 H. 4. 4. by Hil.

#### PART XIII. Willowes's Cafe.

It was refolved, That the faid Fine in the Case at the Bar was unreasonable, viz. to demand for a Cottage and an Acre of Pasture, five Pounds, fix Shillings, eight Pence, for the Admittance of a Copyholder in Fee-simple upon a Surrender made; for this is not like to a voluntary Grant, as when the Copyholder hath but an Estate for Life, and leaseth, or if he hath an Estate in Fee-simple, and committeth Felony, there Arbitrio Domini res offimari debet; but when the Lord is compellable to admit him to whose Use the Surrender is, and when Cestuy que use is admitted, he shall be in by him who made the Surrender, and the Lord is but an Instrument to present the fame: And therefore in fuch Cafe the Value of two Years for fuch an Admittance is unreasonable, especially when the Value of the Cottage and one Acre of Pasture is a Rack, at fifty three Shillings by the Year.

5. It was refolved, That the Surrejoinder is no more than what the \*Law faith, For in this Case in the Judgment of the Law the Fine is unreasonable; and therefore the same is but ex abundanti; and now the Court ought to judge upon the whole special Matter; and for the Causes aforesaid, Judgment was given for the

Plaintiff.

And Coke Chief Justice said in this Case, That where the Usage of the Court of Admiralty is to amerce the Desendant for his Desault by his Discretion, as it appeareth in 19 H. 6, 7. That if the Amerciament be outragious and excessive, the same shall not bind the Party, and if it be excessive or not, it shall be determined in the Court in which the Action shall be brought for the Levying of it: And the Writ of Account is against the Bailiss, or Guardian, Quod reddat ei rationabilem Computum de exitibus Manerii. And the Law requireth a Thing which is reasonable, and no Excess or Extremity in any Thing.

Page [ 4 ]

## II. Mich. 6 Jacobi.

### In the Common Pleas.

### Porter and Rochester's Case.

23 H. 8. c. 9. of citing out of Dioceses. See & Co. 9. 12 Co. 77. Gibson's Cod. 1046, & 1050. Post. 15, &c.

The Statute of THIS Term Lewis and Rochester who dwelt in Essex within the Diocese of London, were sued for Substraction of Tithes growing in B. within the County of Esex, by Porter, in the Court of the Arches of the Bishop of Canterbury in London. And the Case was, That the Archbishop of Canterbury hath a peculiar Jurisdiction of fourteen Parishes, called a Deanery, exempted from the Authority of the Bishop of London, whereof the Parish of S. Mary de Arcubus is the Chief: And the Court is called the Arches, because the Court is holden there; and a great Question was moved, if in the said Court of Arches holden in London within his Peculiar, he might cite any dwelling in Effex for Substraction of Tithes growing in Effex; or if he be prohibited by the Statute of the twentythird Year of King Henry the Eighth, c. 9. And after that the Matter was well debated as well by Counsel at the Bar, as by Dr. Ferrard, Dr. James, and others in open Court; and lastly, by all the Justices of the Common Pleas, a Prohibition was granted to the Court of Arches. this Case divers Points were resolved by the Court.

See the Proem to the Codex 20, 21. 2 0.44,45,80. 11 Co. 25. 12 Co. 63. Post. 14, 15, \* 5 Co. 23.

1. That all Acts of Parliament, made by the King, Lords and Commons of Parliament, are Parcel of the Laws of England, and therefore shall be expounded by the 5 Ch. 9, 16,20. Judges of the Laws of England, and not by the Civilians 12 Co. 63. and Commonify although 4, and not by the Civilians and Commonists, although the Acts concern Ecclesiastical and Spiritual Jurisdiction; and therefore the Act of \* 2 H. 4. cap. 15. by which in Effect it is enacted, Quod nullus teneat, doceat, informet, &c. clam, vel publice aliquam nefandam opinionem contrariam fidei Catholicæ Seu determinationi Ecclesiæ sacrosanetæ, nec de hujusmodi secta, & nefandis Doctrinis Conventioulas faciat: And that in such Cases, the Diccesan might arrest and imprison such Offender, &c. And in 10 H. 7. the Bishop of London commanded one to be imprisoned, because that the Plaintiff said that

#### PART XIII. Porter and Rochester's Cale.

he ought not to pay his Tithes to his Curate; and the Party fo imprisoned brought an Action of falle Imprisonment against those who arrested him by the Commandment of the Bishop; and there the Matter is well argued. What Words are within the faid Statute, and what without the Statute: So upon the same Statute it was resolved in 5 E. 4. in Keysar's Case in the \* King's Bench, which you may see in my Book of Precedents: And so the Statutes of Articuli Cleri, de Probibitione regia; de circumspette agatis, of 2 E. 6. cap. 13. and all other Acts of Parlia- &c. ment concerning Spiritual Causes, have always been ex- 5 Co. 9. pounded by the Judges of the Common Law; as it was 11 Co. 10, 14, adjudged in Wood's Case, Pasch. 29 Eliz. in my Notes, fel. 22. So the Statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 13. hath been expounded by the Judges of the Realm concerning Pluralities, and the having of two Benefices: Common Laws and Diftenfations, see 7 Eliz. Dyer 233. The King's Courts shall adjudge of Dispensations and Commendams: See also 17 Eliz. Dyer 251. 14 Eliz. Dyer 312. 15 Eliz. Dyer 327. 18 Eliz. Dyer 352 & 347. 22 Eliz. Dyer 377. Construction of the Statute cap. 12. Smith's Case, concerning Subscription which is a meer Spiritual Thing. Also it appeareth by 32 Eliz. Dyer 377. That for went of Subscripti- Stat 23 El. c. on the Church was always void by the said Act of 23 El. 1, 2. and yet the Civilians say, that there ought to be a Sentence See Warson's Clergyman. Declaratory, altho' that the Act maketh it void.

2. It was refolved by Coke Chief Justice, Warberton, 4Inst. 323, 324. Daniel and Fester Justices, That the Archbishop of Canterbury is restrained by the Act of 23 H. 8. cap. 9. to cite any one out of his own Diocese, or his peculiar Jurisdiction, altho' that he holdeth his Court of Arches, within London.

And first it was objected.

That the Title of the Act is, An Act that no Person shall be cited out of the Diocese where he or she dwelleth, except in certain Cases: And here the Archbishop doth not cite the faid Party dwelling in Effex, out of the Diocese of London, for he holdeth his Court of Arches within London.

2. The Preamble of the Act is, Where a great Number of the King's Subjects dwelling in divers Dioceses, &c. And here he doth not dwell in divers Dioceses.

3. Far out of the Diocese where such Men, &c. dwell,

and here he doth not dwell far out, &c.

4. The Body of the Act is, No manner of Person shall be cited before any Ordinance, &c. out of the Diocele or peculiar Jurisdiction where the Person shall be inhabiting, So. And here he was not cited out of the Diocese of LonFage [5]

1, 2 Co: 44.45,

11, 10 02:52.

Porter and Rochester's Case. PART XIII.

don. To which it was answered and resolved, That the same was prohibited by the said Ast for divers Causes.

See Wesenbeck's OE cono. mies, fo. 264. Calepine in yerba.

1. As to all the faid Objections, one Answer makes an End of them all: For Dixcesis dicitur distinctio, vel divisio. sive gubernatio, quæ divisa, & diversa est ab Ecclesia alterius Episcopatus, & Commissa Gubernatio in unius; and is derived a Di quod est duo, & electio, id est, separatio, quia separat duas Jurisdictiones: So Diocese fignifies the Jurisdiction of one Ordinary separated and divided from others; and because the Archbishop of Canterbury hath a peculiar Jurisdiction in London, exempt out of the Diocese or Jurisdiction of the Ordinary or Bishop of London: For that Cause it is fitly said, in the Title, Preamble, and Body of the Act, That when the Archbishop sitting in his exempt Peculiar in London, cites one dwelling in Effex, he cites him out of the Diocese or Jurisdiction of the Bishop of London, ergo he is cited out of the Diocese: And in the Clause of the Penalty of ten Pounds, it is faid, out of the Diocese or other Jurisdiction where the Party dwelleth, which agreeth with the Signification of Diocese before. And as to the Words, Far off, &c. they were put in the Preamble, to shew the great Mischief which was before the Act: As the Stat. of 32 H. S. c. 33. in the Preamble, it is Disseisins with Strength, and the Body \* of the Act faith, such Diffeisor, yet the same extendeth to all Diffeifors, but Diffeisin with Force was the greatest Mischief, as it is holden in 4 & 5 Eliz. Dyer 219. So the Preamble of the Statute of West. 2. cap. 5. is, Heirs in Ward, and the Body of the Act is, Hujusmodi præsentat. as it is adjudged in 44 E. 3. 18. That an Infant who hath an Advowson by Descent, and is out of Ward, shall be within the Remedy of the faid Act, but the Frauds of the Guardians was the greater Mischief. So the Preamble of the Act of 21 H. 8. cap. 15. which gives falfifying of Recoveries, recites in the Preamble, That divers Leffees have paid divers great Incomes, &c. Be it enacted, That all fuch Termors, &c. and yet the same extends to all Termors: and yet all these Cases are stronger than the Case Bar, for there that Word (fuch) in the Body of the Act referreth the same to the Preamble, which is not in our Cafe.

2. The Body of the Act is, No manner of Person shall be benceforth cited before any Ordinary, &c. out of the Diocese or peculiar Jurisdiction where the Person shall be dwelling: And if he shall not be cited out of the Peculiar before any Ordinary, a Fortiori, the Court of Arches which sits in a Peculiar, shall not cite others out of another Dio-

Page [6]

#### PART XIII. Porter and Rochester's Cafe.

cese: And these Words, Out of the Diocese, are to be meant out of the Diocese or Jurisdiction of the Ordinary, where he dwelleth; but the exempt Peculiar of the Archbishop is out of the Jurisdiction of the Bishop of London, as S. Martins, and other Places in London, are not Part of London, although they are within the Circumference of it.

3. It is to be observed, That the Preamble reciting of the great Mischief, recites expressly, That the Subjects were called by compulsory Process to appear in the Arches, Audience, and other high Courts of the Archbishoprick of this Realm; so as the Intention of the said Act was to reduce the Archbishop to his proper Diocese or peculiar Jurisdiction, unless it were in five Cases.

1. For any Spiritual Offence or Cause committed or omitted contrary to the Right and Duty by the Bishop, &c. which Word (omitted) proves that there ought to be a De-

fault in the Ordinary.

2. Except it be in case of Appeal, and other lawful Cause wherein the Party shall find himself grieved by the Ordinary after the Matter or Cause there first begun; ergo the same ought to be first begun before the Ordinary.

3. In case that the Bishop of the Diocese, or other immediate Judge or Ordinary dare not, or will not convent the Party to be fined before him; where the Ordinary is called the immediate Judge, as in Truth he is; and the Archhishop, unless it be in his own Diocese (these special Cases excepted) mediate Judge, scil. by Appeal, &c.

4. Or in Case that the Bishop of the Diocese, or the Judge of the Place within whose Jurisdiction, or before whom the Suit by this Act should be begun and prosecuted, be Party directly or indirectly to the Matter or Cause of the same Suit; which Clause in express Words is a full Exposition of the Body of the Act, scil. That every Suit (others than those which are expressed) ought to be begun and prosecuted, before the Bishop of the Diocese, or other

Judge of the same Place.

5. In case that any Bishop, or any inserior Judge, having under him Jurisdiction, &c. make Request or Instance to the Archbishop, Bishop, or other inserior Ordinary or Judge, and that to be done in Cases only where the Law Civil or Common doth affirm, &c. By which it fully appeareth, That the Ast intendeth, That every Ordinary and \* Ecclesiastical Judge should have the Conusance of Causes within their Jurisdiction, without any concurrent Authority or Suit by way of Prevention: And by this, the Subject hath great Benefit as well by saving of Travel and Charges to have Justice in his Place of Habitation, as to

Page [7]

Porter and Rochester's Case. PART XIII.

be judged where he and the Matter is best known; as also that he shall have many Appeals as his Adversary in the highest Court at the first. Also there are two Provisoes which explain it also, scil. That it shall be lawful to every Archbishop to cite any Person inhabiting in any Bishop's Diocese within his Province, for Matter of Heresy, (which were a vain Proviso, if the Act did not extend to the Archbishop: But by that special Proviso for Heresy, it appeareth, that, for all Causes not excepted, is prohibited by the Act) then the Words of the Proviso go further, if the Bishop or other Ordinary immediately hereunto confent, or if the same Bishop or other immediate Ordinary or Judge do not his Duty in Punishment of the same; which Words immediately and immediate expound the Intent of the Makers of the Act.

2. There is a Saving for the Archbishop, the Calling a-

ny Person out of the Diocese where he shall be dwelling to the Probate of any Testaments; which Proviso should be also in vain, if the Archbishop notwithstanding that A& should have concurrent Authority with every Ordinary through his whole Province: Wherefore it was concluded that the Archbishop out of his Diocese, unless in the Cafes excepted, is prohibited by the Act of 23 H. 8. to cite any Man out of any other Diocese. And in Truth the Act of 22 H. 8. is but a Law declaratory of the ancient Canons. and of the true Exposition of them: And that appeareth by the Canon, Cap. Romana in sexto de Appellationibus, old Canon Law, and Cap. de Competenti in sexto. And the said Act is so expounded by all the Clergy of England, at a Convocation in London, An. 1 Fac. Regis 1603. Canon 94. Where it is decreed, ordained and declared, That none should be cited to the Arches or Audience, but the Inhabitants within the Archbishop's Diocese, or Peculiar, other than in such particular Cases only as are expresly excepted and reserved in and by Canon I Jacat a Statute, Anno 23 H. 8. cap. 9. And the King by Letters Patent under the Great Seal hath given his Royal Affent Vi.Linwood de to this amongst others from Time to Time to be observed.

> ral Callings, Offices, Functions, Ministeries, Degrees and Administrations; as also by all and every Dean of the Arches, and other Judge of the faid Archbishop's Courts. Guardians of Spiritualities, Chancellors, &c. So the same is also expresly confirmed under the Great Seal. And altho the Archbishoprick of Canterbury was then void, yet the Guardian of the Spiritualties was there, and the

> > Arch-

The Act of 23 H. 8. is a Deciuration of the Girlon's Cod. **\$**246, 1050.

the Synod at Lordon. excusationibus, fulfilled and kept, as well by the Archbishop of Canterbu-200. Lit. m. s. ry, the Bishops and their Successors, and the rest of the whole Clergy of the Province of Canterbury, in their feve-

#### PART XIII. Porter and Rochester's Case.

Archbishop of Canterbury that now is, and then Bishop of London, was by Letters Patent, President of the said Council in the Place of the Archbishop then deceased: And the King gave his Royal Affent to the same, and the said Canon is of as full Force as if the faid late Archbishop of Canterbury had been then alive. And whereas it is faid in Archbishops the Preamble of the Act, in the Arches, Audience, and Aere Legari other high Courts of the Archbishop of this Realm; is Legatine to be known. That the Archbishops of this Realm before Power, which that Act had Power Legatine from the Pope, by which is now abolifuthey pretended to have not only supereminent Authority wood. over all, but concurrent Authority with every Ordinary in his Diccese, not as Archbishop of Canterbury, &c. but by his Power and \* Authority Legatine: For Sunt tria general Legatorum. 1. Quidam de latere Dom. Papæ mittuntur. ut Cardinales quos appellant fratres. 2. Alii sunt Dativi. & non de latere, qui simpliciter in Legatione mittantur. Ec. 2. Sunt Nati, five Nativi, qui suarum Ecclesiarum prætextu legatione fungantur, & Tales sunt quatuor, scil. Archiepiscopus Cant. Ehoracensis, Remanensis, & Pisanis. So as before that Act, the Archbishop of Canterbury, was Legatus Natus, and by Force of his Authority Legatine usurped against the Canons upon all the Ordinaries in his Precinct. and by Colour thereof claimed current Authority with 'em. which altho' they held in the Courts of the Arbhbishop. the same was remedied by the Act of 23 H. 8. cap. 9. and all that which he usurped before, was not as he was Archbishop, for as to that he was restrained by the Canons, but as he was Legatus Natus, which Authority is now taken away and abolished utterly-

Lastly, If the said Act of 23 H. 8. cap. 9. should not be Vi. lib. Arch. fo expounded, Then the Act which is principally made (as Cant.p.39 that it appeareth by the Preamble against the Courts of the of Cant. hath a Archbishopricks) should be as to them illusory; for if the Peculiar in ma-Bishop of Canterbury, in respect of his exempt Peculiar in ny Dioceses.

Bishop of Canterbury, in respect of his exempt Peculiar in ny Dioceses.

Gold Cod. 417. London, may draw to him all the Diocese in London; so & 1050. might he at Newington which is a Peculiar in Winchester Diocese, draw to him the whole Diocese of Winchester; and at Totteridge near Bornet, the whole Diocese of Lin-

coln, and fo of the like.

3. It was refolved, That when any Judges are prohibited by any Act of Parliament, that if they do proceed against the Act, there a Prohibition lieth. As against the Steward and Marshal of the Houshold. Quod Seneschallus & Mariscallus non teneant Placit. de libero tenem. de De-Dito, de Conventione, &c. So in the Statute of Articuli super chartas, cap. 3. Register fol. 185. inter Brevia super statuta. So against the Constable of the Castle of Dover:

Page [8]

Porter and Rochester's Case. PART XIII.

Quod non tangit Custodiam Castri. So to Tuffices of Affife Quod Inquisitiones que sunt upon the Statute

magnæ exactionis non capiantur in Patria.

Vi. Palc. 42 El. Rot. 139. Rudd's Cafe, a Prohibition for citing out of the Diocefe. Tr. 44 El.Rot. 1073. the like īn an Information upon the Starute against Zachary Babington. Wilf any one in the Spiritual Court appeals Stature of 24 H. 8. cap. 12. aithough the Spiritual, a Prohibition Beth. So upon the Statute of 2 H. 5. cap 2.

Alfo to the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer, upon the Statute De Articul. super Cartas, cap. 4. The Statute of Rutland, cap. ultimo. Quod communia Placit. non teneantur in Scaccario. All which, and many more, you may see in the Register inter Brevia super Statuta. F. N. B. 45 & 56, &c. 17 H. 6. 54. vi. 13 E. 3. to Probibition: A Prohibition to the Chancellor, and Diversity of Courts in the Title of Chancery. So against all Ecclesiastical Judges upon the Statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 3. If the Judges there will not give or deliver to the Party a Copy of the Libel, although that the Matter be meer Ecclefiastical: and therewith agreeth 4 E. 4. 37. and F. N. B. 43. c. contrary to the Case upon the Statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 15. If the Ecclesiastical Judges in Cafe of Herefy, and other Matters of meer Spiritualty do not proceed according to the Intention of the Matter bemeer same Statute; as it appeareth by the Precedent in 5 E. 4. Kerson's Case, 10 H. 7. 17. See the Opinion of Paston, 9 H. 6. 2. A Man excommunicated by the Bishop of London, for a Crime done in another Diocese, shall not be grieved thereby; so as the Common Law takes Notice of the Canons, in fuch Case, as Coram non Judice. And although the Statute of 23 H. 8. inflicts a Penalty, yet a Prohibition lieth, for the Inflicting of the Penalty doth not take away the Prohibition of the Law: and therefore, Cap. which inflicts Punishment if the Sheriff doth not put his Name unto the Return; yet the same is Error if he doth not put to his Name. See 35 H. 66. when any thing is prohibited by a Statute, if the Party be convicted, he shall be fined for \* the Contempt to the Law: and 19 H. 6. 4. agrees in See 2 H. 4. 10. Maintenance: And if every Person should be put to his A. by Hawkford, and so affirmed ction upon the Statute, the same would be Cause of Suits and Vexation, and the shortest and more easy is to have a when one who Prohibition: See the Statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 6. of Mortuaries, by which it is enacted, That no Parson, Vicar, Curate, &c. demand any Mortuary but in such Manner as is mentioned in the Act, upon Pain of Forfeiture of so much in Value as they take, more than is limited by the Act, and forty Shillings over to the Party grieved. Yet it appeareth by Doctor and Student, lib. 2. cap. 55. fol. 105. That if the Parson, &c. sueth for Mortuaries otherwise than the Act appointeth, that a Prohibition lieth; yet there is a Penalty added, which is an Authority expressy in the Point: And the Case at Bar is a more strong Case, and that for three Regions.

Page [9] by the Court, hath nor Autho. rity, holdeth Plea in Spiritual Things, whereof the Jurifdiction doth not belong to him, yer no Confulration shall be granted, hecause a Confultation shall not be granted to one that hath not Power, &c.

#### PART XIII. Edwards's Case.

I. It was made an Affirmance of the Canon Law.

2. It was made for the Ease of the People and Subjects. and for the Maintenance of the Jurisdiction of the Ordinary, so as the Subjects have Benefit by the Act; and therefore although that the King may dispence with the Penalty, yet the Subject grieved shall have a Prohibition. the Rule of the Court was, Fiat Prohibitio Curie Cantuar. de Arcub. inter partes prædict' per Curiam. And Sherley, and Harris junior, Serjeants at Law, were of Counsel in the Case.

## III. Mich. 6. Jac. Regis.

#### Edwards's Case.

THE High Commissioners in Causes Ecclesiastical ob- High Commissioners jected divers Articles in English, against Thomas Ed- sion.

wards dwelling in the City of Exeter.

1. That Mr. John Walton hath been many Years trained up in Learning in the University of Oxford, and there See Gibson's worthily admitted to feveral Degrees of Schools, and defer- Codex 36, 50, vedly took upon him the Degree of Doctor of Physick.

2. That he was a Reverend, and well practifed Man in

the Art of Physick.

3. That you the faid Thomas Edwards are no graduate.

ت وي

4. That you knowing the Premisses, notwithstanding you the faid Edwards, &c. of Purpose to disgrace the said Dr. Walton, and to blemish his Reputation, Learning and Skill, with Infamy and Reproach, did against the Rules of Charity write and fend to the faid Mr. Doctor Walton, a lewd and ungodly, and uncharitable Letter, and therein taxed him of Want of Civility and Honesty, and Want of Skill and Judgment in his Art and Profession, &c. And you fo far exceeded in your immoderate and uncivil Letter. that you told him therein in plain Terms, He may be crowned for an Ass, as if he had no Manner of Skill in his Profession, and were altogether unworthily admitted to the faid Degrees, and therein you purposely and advisedly taxed the whole University of Rashness and Indiscretion for admirting him to that Degree without Sufficiency and Defert. 5. And

Post. 47. 12 Co. 19, 47.

54, 56, 58, 800. 219, 309, 396.

And further to difgrace the faid Mr. Doctor Walton: in the faid University, did publish a Copy of the faid Letter to Sir William Courtney and others, and in your Letter was contained, Sipfilam licheneu mentegram, Take that for your Inheritance, and thank God you have a good Fa-Page [ 10] ther: And did not you thereby covertly \* mean and imply. That the Father of the faid Dr. Walton (being late Bishop of Exeter, and a Reverend Prelate of this Land) was subiest to the Diseases of the French Pox and Leprosy, to the Diffike of the Dignity and Calling of Bishops.

> 6. That in another Letter you fent to Mr. Doctor Maders. Doctor of Physick, you named Mr. Doctor Walton and made a Horn in your Letter: And we require you upon vour Oath to fet down, whether you meant not that they were both Cuckolds, and what other Meaning you

had.

7. You knowing that Dr. Walton was one of the High Commission in the Diocese of Exeter, and having obtained a Sentence against him in the Star-Chamber, for contriving and publishing of a Libel, did triumphingly say, That you had gotten on the Hip a Commissioner for Causes Ecclesiaflical in the Diocese of Exeter, which you did to vilify and differace him, and in him the whole Commission Ecclesiastical in those Parts.

Lastly, That after the Letter missive fent unto you, you faid arrogantly, That you cared not for any Thing that this Court can do unto you, nor for their Censure, for that you

can remove this Matter at your Pleasure.

And this Term it was moved to have a Prohibition in this Cafe. And the Matter was well argued; And at last it was resolved by Coke Chief Justice, Warberton, Daniel, and Foster Justices, That the first fix Articles were meerly Temporal concerning Doctor Walton in his Profession of Physick, and so touched only the temporal Person, and a temporal Matter, and in Truth, it is in the Nature of an Action upon the Case for Scandal in his Profession of Phyfick: And yet the Commissioners themselves do proceed in the same Ex Officio. And it was resolved, that as for them, a Prohibition doth lie for divers Causes.

1. Because that the Matter and Persons are Temporal.

2. Secondly, Because it is for Defamation, which if any fuch shall be for the same, it ought to begin before the Ordinary, because it is not such an enormous Offence, pertinet in Cu- which is to be determined by the High Commissioners: And for the same Reason Suit doth not lie before them, for in placita. Vi. Stat. Circumspecte agaris, An. 13 É. 1 Episcopus tenear placita in Curia Christianiraris de his quæ sunt mere Spiritualia. Er vi. Lindwood, fol. 70. Lir. m. dicuntur mere Spiritualia quia non habent mixturam Temporalem. vi. 22 E. 4. I. Consultar. vi. 22 E. 4. the Abbot of Sion's Case.

See Book of Entries 444. & 4+7. Non est Juri consentaneum quod quis fuper lis quorum cognitio ad nos ria Christiani. tatis trahatur

calling the Doctor Cuckold, as it was objected in the feventh Article: And it was faid, that the High Commissioners

ought to incur the Danger of Premunire.

2. It was refolved, That the Ecclefiaffical Judge cannot examine any Man upon his Oath, upon the Intention and thought of his Heart, for Cogitationis panam nemo emeret. And in Cases where a Man is to be examined upon his Oath, he ought to be examined upon Acts or Words, and not of the Intention and Thought of his Heart; and if every Man should be examined upon his Oath, what Opinion he holdeth concerning any Point of Religion, he is not bound to answer the same; for in Time of Danger, Quis modo tutus erit, if every one should be examined of his Thoughts. And so long as a Man doth not offend neither in Act nor in Word any Law established, there is no Reason that he should be examined upon his Thought or Cogitation: For as it hath been faid in the Proverb, Thought is free; And therefore for the fixth and feventh Articles, they were refolved as well for the Matter as for the Form in offering to examine the Defendant upon his Oath, of his Intention and Meaning, to be fuch, to which the Defendant was not to be compelled to answer: Ergo. it was resolved, that as to the Article, he might justify the fame, because as it appeareth upon his own Shewing, that \* the Doctor was fentenced in the Star-Chamber: Also the Page [11] Libel is Matter meer Temporal, and if it were meer Spiritual, such a Defamation is not examinable before the High Commissioners.

As to the last Article, It appeareth now by the Judg- Judex non po-As to the last Article, it appeared now by the justingurian in ment of this Court, that he might well justify the faid bi datam punished Words: Also the High Commissioners shall not have Conu-re, fance of any Scandal to themselves for that they are Parties; Vi. the Stat. of and such Scandal is punishable by the Common Law, as it 23 H. 8. c. 9. was refolved in Hale's Cafe, which fee in the Book of the Lord Dver's Reports, and fee in my Book of Precedents, the Copy of the Indictment of Hales, for scandalling of the

Ecclefialtical Commissioners.

Note, The Bishop of Winchester being Visitor of the School of Winchester of the Foundation of Wickham Bishop of Winchester; and the Bishop of Canterbury, and other his Colleagues, An. 5 Car. cited the Usher of the said School, by Force of the faid Commission to appear before them, and proceeded there against him, for which they incurred the Danger of a Premunire. And so did the Bishop of Canterbury and his Colleagues, by Torce of a High Commission to them directed, cite one Homphrey Frank Matter of Arts, and Schoolmafter of the School of Sevencek,

Taylor and Shoile's Cafe. PART XIII.

(of the Foundation of Sir William Sevenock, in the Time of King Henry the Sixth) to appear before the High Commiffioners at Lambeth the fixth Day of December last past, which Citation was subscribed by Sir John Bennet Doctor of Law, Doctor James, and Doctor Hickman, three of the High Commissioners: And Sir Christopher Perkins procured the faid Citation to be made, and when the faid Frank appeared, the Archbishop being affociated with Sir Christopher Perkins, and Doctor Abbot Dean of Winchester. made an Order concerning the faid School, (scil.) That the faid Frank shall continue in the same School until the Annunciation, and that he should have twenty Pounds paid to him by Sir Ralph Bosoile Knight, who it seems was never cited; but Quære, If he was not Plaintiff.

# IV. Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

Taylor and Shoile's Cafe.

Brewer, a Trade within 5 Eliz. Indictments, Star. See 1 Salk. 370, 373, 382. 5 Mod. 180. pl. 6. Cumb. 179 288,

Taylor informed upon the Statute 5 Eliz. cap. 4. Tam pro Domino Reg. quam pro seipso in the Exchequer, That the Defendant had exercised the Art and Mystery of Ecc. on the faid a Brewer, &c. and averred that Shoile the Defendant did not use or exercise the Art or Mystery of a Brewer, at the Time of the Making the Act, nor had been Apprentice by 2 Rol. Abr. 81. feven Years at least, according to the said Act, &c. The Defendant did demur in Law upon the Information, and 212, 254, &c. Judgment was given against him by the Barons of the Exchequer. And now in this Term upon a Writ of Error, the Matter was argued at Serjeants Inn, before the two Chief Justices, and two Matters were moved; The One, That a Brewer is not within the said Branch of the said Act: For the Words are, That it shall not be lawful to any Person or Persons, other than such as now lawfully use or exercise any Art or Mystery, or manual Occupation, to set up, use or exercise any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation, except he shall have been brought up therein seven Years at the least, as an Apprentice. And it was faid, That the Trade of a Brewer is not any Art, Mystery, or manual Occupation within the faid Branch, because the Page [12] fame is easily and presently learned, and he \* needs not to

#### PART XIII. Taylor and Shoile's Cafe.

have seven Years Apprenticeship to be instructed in the same, for every Housewise in the Country can do the same. And the Act of Henry the Eighth is, That a Brewer is not

a Handycraft Artificer.

2. It was moved, That the said Averment was not sufficient, for the Averment ought to be as general as the Exception in the Statute is, (soil) That the Defendant did not use any Art, Mystery, or Occupation at the Time of the Making the same Act; for by this Pretence if any Art, &c. then as a Taylor, Carpenter, &c. he may now exercise any other Art whetherers.

cife any other Art whatfoever.

As unto the first, It was resolved, That the Trade of a Brewer, (scil.) to hold a common Brew-house, to sell Beer or Ale to another, is an Art or Mystery within the said Act; for in the Beginning of the Act, It is enacted, That no Person shall be retained for less Time than a whole Year in any of the Services, Crafts, Mysteries, or Arts of Cloathing, &c. Bakers, Brewers, &c. Cooks, &c. So as by the Judgment of the same Parliament. The Trade of a Brewer is an Art and Mystery; which Words are in the faid Branch upon which the faid Information is grounded. Also because that every Housewife brews for her private Use; so also she bakes, and dresseth Meat: And yer none can hold a common Bake-house, or a Cook's Shop to fell to others, unless that he hath been an Apprentice, &c. for they are expresly named also in the Act as Arts and Mysteries: And the Act of 22 H. 8. cap. 13. is explained, That a Brewer, Baker, Surgeon, and Scrivener Alien, are not Handicrafts mentioned within certain penal Laws: But the fame doth not prove, but that they are Arts or Mysteries. for Art or Mystery is more general than Handierasts, for the same is restrained to Manufactures.

As to the fecond Point, It was resolved, That the Intention of the Act was, That none should take upon him any Art, but he who hath Skill or Knowledge in the same. And therefore the Statute intendeth, That he who useth any Art or Mystery at the Time of the Act, might use the same Art or Mystery; for Quod quisque norit in hoc se exerceat: And the Words of the Act are, As now do lawfully Use, &c. And it was said, That it was very necessary, that Brewers should have Knowledge and Skill in Brewing good and wholesome Beer and Ale, for that the same doth greatly conduce to Mens Healths: And so the first Judgment

was affirmed.

## V. Mich. 6 Jacobi Regis.

#### In the Common Pleas.

#### The Case of Modus Decimandi.

De non Pecimando Silvæ cæduæ. Vide post. 23, 37, 58, &c. 12 Co 63, 64. Watfon's Clergyman 546. 547, &cc. Farrell. 137.

Ibid. 515. 516. Decimando, where good, or not. See Watfon's Clergyman 502, 503, 506, 526, 544, &c. 568, &c. Selden of Tithes, ch. 14. fect. 2, 3, &c.

Herley Serjeant moved to have a Prohibition, because that a Person sued to have Tithes of Silva Cædua under twenty Years Growth in the Weild of Kent; where, by the Custom of it, which is a great Part of the County, Tithes of any Wood was never paid. And if fuch a Custom in non Decimando for all Lay-people within the faid Weild, were lawful or not, was the Question; and to have a Pro-hibition it was said, That although one particular Man shall not prescribe in non Decimando, yet such a general Custom within a great Country might well be, as in 43 E. 3. 32. and 45 E. 3. Custom 15. It was presented in the King's Bench, That an Abbot had purchased Tenements after the Statute, &c. And the Abbot came and faid, That he was Page [13] Lord of the \* Town, &c. And the Custom of the Town Custom de non was, That when the Tenant cesseth for two Years, that the Lord might enter until Agreement be made for the Arrearages; and that he who held thefe Tenements was his Tenant, and ceffed for two Years, and he entred: And the Rule of the Court is, because it was an Usage only in that Town, and not in the Towns, that is, in the Country adjoining, he was put to answer. So as by the same it appeareth, that a Custom was not good in a particular Town, which perhaps might be good and of Force in a Country, &c. See 40 Aff. 21. and 27. 39 E. 3. 2. A Custom within a Town, that an Infant, &c. might alien, is not good; but yet such a Custom within Kent hath oftentimes been adjudged to be good. See 7 H. 6. 26. b. 16 E. 2. Prescription 53. Dyer 363. 22 H. 6. 14. 21 E. 4. 15. and 45 Ass. 8. See Doctor and Student, lib. 2. cap. 55. A particular Country may prescribe to pay no Tithes for Corn, Hay, and other Things, but that is with this Caution, so as the Minister hath sufficient Portion besides to maintain him, to celebrate Divine Service: And fol. 172. it is holden, That where Tithes have not been paid of Under-woods under twenty Years Growth, that no Tithes shall be paid for the lame,

#### PART XIII. The Case of Modus Decimandi.

fame, because that they do not renew nor increase from Year to Year, fo as they are not due to the Parson but by Custom. And he saith, fol. 174. That such a Custom of a whole Country, that no Tithes of a Lordship shall be paid, is good; and it is to be observed, that in all Libels for Tithes of Woods, they alledge a Prescription to have Tithes of them: But the Court would advise, whether such a Custom for a Town or Country should be good: But in antient Times, The Parishioners have given or procured to the Parson a Wood or other Lands, &c. to have and to hold to him and his Successors in Satisfaction of all Tithes of Wood in the same Parish; and the Parson is now seised of the same Wood, and that without Question is a good Discharge of his Tithes; and that in such Case, if he sueth for Tithes of Wood, a Prohibition lieth: And therefore it hath been faid now of late, That fuch Opinions were new and without any Antiquity, unto the great Prejudice of the Church: I will cite you an antient Judgment many Years past, Mich. 25 H. 3. Wilts. Rot. 5. before the King at Westminster. Samson Foliet brought an Attaint upon a Prohibition, against Thomas Parson of Swynden, because he fued him in the Spiritual Court for a Lay Fee of the faid Sampson, in Draycot, contrary to the King's Prohibition, &c. The Defendant pleaded, Quod coram Judicibus Delegatis petiit de eodem Decimas fani de quodam prato ipsius Samsonis in Walcot unde est in possessione per sententiam Judicum suorum, & fuit antequam Probibitio Dom. Regis ad eum pervenerit, & quod pratum frædict. cst in Walcot unde ipse est Persona, & non in Draycot: Towhich the said Samson replied and said, Quod antecessores sui antiquitus dederunt Duas acras prati Ecclesiæ de Draycot pro decimis fæni quam prædict. Thomas modo petit in eodem prato, quas quidem duas acras prati eadem Ecclesia adhuc habet, & semper hucusque habuit, unde videtur ei quoad illud quod prædict. Thomas ultra petit, est de laico feodo suo, & dicit quod pratum illud in quo idem Thomas petit Decimas est in Draycot sicut Breve dicit, & non in Walcot, & de hoc ponit se super Patriam: And the Jury found, Quod pradict. Thomas Persona de Swyndon secutus fuit placita in Curia Christianitatis de Laico seodo prædict. Samsonis contra Probibitionem Dom. Regis, petendo ab ipso Decimas fani de quodam prato ipsius Samsonis in Draycot unde Antecessores sui antiquitus dederunt Ecclesiæ de Draycot duas acras prati pro Decima \* fæni quam præd. Page [ 14] Thomas modo petit. & quas eadem Ecclesia adbuc habet & semper hucusque habuit, Esc. Et quod praum pradict. in quo idem Thomas petiti Decimas est in Draycot, & non in Walcot.

The Case of Modus Decimandi. PART XIII.

Walcot, &c. Ideo consideratum est quod prædict. Thomas sit inde in misericord. & reddat præd. Samsoni 20 Marcas quas versus eum pro Damnis, &c. Which antient Judgment I have recited at large, because that the same agrees with the Rule and Reason of the Law continued until this Day: For Judgments or Precedents in the Time of Ed. 2. E. I. H. 3. John, R. 1. and more antient are not Authorities or Precedents to be now followed, unless that they concur and agree with the Law, and common Experience and Practice at this Day; for many Acts of Parliaments (and some of them not extant) have changed the antient Laws in divers Cases: And Desuetude hath antiquated, and Time and Cufrom hath taken away divers others; so as the Rule is good, Quod Judiciis posterioribus fides est adhibenda; Et a communi observantia non est recedendum. There are two Points adjudged by the faid Record.

Vide post, 15, 16. 46. Discharge of Tithes to be try'd at Common Law. See and Note the Introduc-Codex 20, 21. Anrea 4,8cc. ib. 2 Co. 38, 44, to 48. 4 Co. 74. Co. 9, 13, 15, &cc.

1. That Satisfaction may be given in Discharge of Payment of Tithes; And if the Successor of the Parson enjoyeth the Thing given in Satisfaction of the Tithes, and sueth for Tithes in Kind, he shall have a Prohibition, because that he chargeth his Lay Fee with Tithes, which is discharged of them. By which it appeareth that Tithes may be diftion to Gibson's charged, and altogether taken away and extinct: And herewith agreeth the Register which is the most antient Book of the Law, fol. 38. Rex, &c. tali Judici, &c. salutem. Monstravit nobis A. tenens quandam partem Manerii de D. quod licet E. nuper Dominus Manerii præd. per quoddam scriptum Indentat. dedisset & concessisset F. nuper Persone Ecclesiæ de D. quatuor acras terræ cum pertin. in eodem Manerio habend. & tenend. eidem F. & Juccessoribus suis Persone Ecclesia prad. in perpetuum. Et idem F. per præd. scriptum de assensu & voluntate Episcopi Lincoln. Diecesani loci pred. & J. tunc Patroni Eccleste pred. concessit pro se & successoribus suis quod idem E. hæredes & assignati sui essent quieti de Decimis vitulorum, &c. in Manerio præd. pro præd. quatuor acris sibi datis, &c. Et tamen nunc Persona Ecclesia prad. tenens prad. quatuor acras terræ præd. præd. A. assignat. præd. E. super decimam hujusmodi vitulorum, &c. in eodem Manerio, sibi præsentand. trahit in placitum coram, &c. in Curia Christianitatis, &c. Et quia discussio bujusmodi Donationis de laico feodo in regno nostro in Curia nostra, & non alibi tractari & fieri debet, vobis probibemus, Quod placitum aliquod super laicum feodum in Regno nostro non teneatis in Curia Christianitatis, nec quicquam in hac parte quod in enervationem dicti scripti aut Donationis, & concessionis-præd. que in Curia nostra & non alibi tractari sicut prad. est cedere poterit attentetis, sive attentim faciatis quovismodo; By which alfor

#### PART XIII. The Case of Modus Decimandi.

also it appeareth. That Tithes may be discharged, and that the Matter of Discharge ought to be determined by the Common Law, and not in the Spiritual Court: And it is to be observed, That in the said Judgment, nor in the Re-Post. 38. gifter any Averment is taken of the Value of the Thing given in Satisfaction of the Tithes. Also by the Act of Circumspecte agatis made 13 E. 1. it is said, S. Rector petat versus parochianos oblationes, & decimas debitas, scu consuetas, &c. which proves that there are Tithes due in Kind, and other Tithes due by Custom, as a Modus Decimandi, &c. And yet it is refolved in 19 E. 3. Furisdiction 28. That the Ordinance of Circumspette agatis is not a Statute; and that the Prelates made the same, and yet then, the Prelates acknowledged, \* That there were Tithes due Page [15] by Custom, which is a Modus Decimandi. By which it appeareth alfo, That Tithes by Custom may be altered into another Thing: So where a Man grants a Parcel of his Manor to a Parson in Fee to be quit of Tithes, and makes an Indenture, and the Parson with the Assent of the Ordinary (without the Patron) grants to him that he shall be quit of Tithes of his Manor for that Parcel of Land: Afterwards if he or his Assignee be sued in the Spiritual Court for Tithes of his Manor, he or his Assignee shall have a Prohibition upon that Deed. And if that Deed was made before Time of Memory, and he hath so continued to be quit of Tithes, he shall have a Prohibition upon that Deed, if he be fued for the Tithes of that Manor, or of any Parcel of the same upon that Matter shewed. See 8 E. 4. 14. F. N. B. 41. G. Vide 3 E. 3. 17. 16 E. 3. t. Annuity 24. 40 E. 3. 3. b. and F. N. B. 152. And therefore if the Lord of a Manor hath always holden his Manor discharged of Tithes, and the Parson had before Time of Memory, or in antient Times divers Lands in the fame Parish, of the Gift of the Lord, of which the Parson is feifed at this Day in Fee, in respect of which, the Parson nor any of his Predeceffors ever had received any Tithes of the faid Manor; if the Parson now sueth for Tithes of the Manor, the Owner of the Manor may shew that special Marter, and that the Furson and his Successors Time out of Mind have holden those Lands, &c. of the Gift of one who was Lord of the faid Manor, in full Satisfaction of the Tithes of the faid Manor; and the Proof that the Lord of the Manor gave the Lands, that Tithes should never be paid, at this Day is good Evidence to prove the Surmise of the Prohibition. And so of the like; and 19 E. 3. t. Juris- Prescriptions diction 28. it is adjudged, That Title of Prescription, shall to be adjudged be determined in the King's Court: And therefore a Mo- in the King's Courts Vide

dus 15, 16.

The Case of Modus Decimandi. PART XIII. dus Decimandi which accrueth by Custom and Prescription in the King's Court. And it appeareth by the Statute of

Ibid. 518, 519, 526, &cc. 529.

Ibid. 578, 584,

Watson's Cler- 7 H. 4. c. 6. That the Pope by his Bulls discharged divers from Payment of Tithes, against which the Act of Parliament was made; and by Stat. 31 H. 8. c. 13. That the Pos-

fessions of religious Persons given to the King, were discharged of Payment of Tithes in certain Cases: And by Statuto 32 H. S. c. 7. it is provided, That all and fingular Persons

shall divide, set out, yield, and pay all and singular Tithes and Offerings aforesaid, according to the lawful Customs and Usages of the Parishes and Places where such Tithes or Duties shall come, or immediately rife or be due: Provided always, and be it enacted, That no Person or Persons skall be sued or otherwise compelled to pay any Manner of Tithes, for any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, which by the Laws or Statutes of this Realm are discharged, or not chargeable with the Payment of any such

\$64, 571, 586, &cc. 598, &cc. 614, 623, 632, &.c.

Ibid. 102.

Ibid. 536, 560, Tithes: And the Stat. 2 E. 6. c. 13. enacts, That every of the King's Subjects shall from henceforth justify, and truly, without Fraud or Guile, divide, set out, &c. all Manner of their predial Tithes in their proper Kind as they will rife and happen, in such Manner and Form as hath been of Right yielded and paid, within forty Years next before the Making of this Act, or of Right or Custom ought to be paid. So as it appeareth by this, that Tithe is due of Right, and by Custom: And also in the same Act there is a Proviso in these Words; Provided always and be it enacted, That no Person shall be sued, or otherwise compelled to yield, give, or pay any Manner of Tithes for any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, which by the Laws and Statutes of this Realm, or by any Privilege or Prescription, are not Page [ 16 ] \* chargeable with the Payment of any such Tithes, or that he

be discharged by any Composition real: so as it appeareth by that Act, that one may be discharged from the Payment See Watfon 593, 512, &cc. of Tithes five Manner of Ways. to 558.

1. By the Law of the Realm, that is, the Common Law; As Tithes shall not be paid of Coals, Quarries, Brick, Tiles, Ibid. 546, &c. &c. F. N. B. 53. and Register 54. Nor of the after Passure of a Meadow, &c. nor of Rakings, nor of Wood to make

Pales, or Mounds, or Hedges, &c.

2. By the Statutes of the Realm: As by the Statute of 31 H. 8. cap. 13. the Statute of 45 E. 3. &c. Ibid. 526, &c.

3. By the Privilege, as those of St. John's of Jerusalem in England; The Ciftertians, Templars, &c. as it appear-

eth by 10 H. 7. 277. Dyer.

4. By Prescription, As by Modus Decimandi, or an annual Recompence in Satisfaction of them, as appeareth before by the Authorities aforesaid.

5. By

#### PART XIII. The Case of Modus Decimandi.

5. By real Composition, as appeareth by the said Writ cited out of the Register: And so you have one or two Examples (for many others which may be added) of these five Manners of Discharges of Tithes. And by them all it appeareth, Tnat a Man may be discharged of the Payment of Tithes, as before it is faid: So as now it apparently appeareth by the Laws of England, both ancient and modern, That a Layman ought to pre- See Selden of scribe in Modo decimandi, but not in non Decimando; and Tiples, ch. 12. that in Effect agrees with the Opinion of Thomas Aqui- sect. 3. ib. 507. nas in his Secunda secunda, Quast. 86. art. ultimo. For there he faith, Quod in veteri lege praceptum de solutione Decimarum, partim erat morali inditum ratione naturali qua dictat quod iis qui divino cultui ministrant ad salutem totius populi necessaria victui debent ministr. juxta illud, 1 Cor. 9. Quis militat, &c. Who goeth to War at his own Charges, &c. Partim autem erat judiciale ex divina institutione robur habens, (scil.) Quantum ad determinationem certa partis. And all that agrees with our Law; and he goeth further, In tempore vero nova Legis etiam oft determinatio partis solvend' authoritate Ecclesia (that is by their Canons) Instituta secundum quandam humanitatem, ut scilicet non minus populus nova legis ministris Novi Testamenti exhibeat, quam populus veteris legis ministris Veteris Testamenti exhibebat, prasertim cum ministri novæ legis sunt majores dignitate, ut probat Apostolus, 2 Cor. 3. Sic ergo patet quod ad solutionem Decimarum tenentur homines partim quidem ex jure naturali, quantum ad hoc quod aliqua portio data est ministris Ecclesia, partim vero ex institutione Eccles. quantum ad determinationem decima partis. See Doctor and Student, lib. 2. cap. 56. fol. 164. That the tenth Part is not due by the Law of God, nor by the Law of Nature, which he calleth the Law of Reafon: And he cireth John Gerson, who was a Doctor of Divinity, in a Treatise which he calleth Regula morales (scil.) Solutio decimarum sacerdotibus est de jure divino, quatenus inde sustententur, sed quoad tam hane vel illam assignare aut in alios redditus commutare, positivi juris est. And afterwards, Non vocatur portio curatis debita propterea decima, eo quod est decima pars, imo est interdum vicesima aut tricesima. And he hold-Selden of eth, That a Portion is due by the Law of Nature, which Tithes. ch. 6. is the Law of God, but it appertaineth to the Law of Watfon 431. Man to assign Hanc vel illam portionem, as Necessity re- 506, 511, quireth for their Sustenance. And further he saith, That Tithes may be exchanged into Lands, Annuity, or C 4

The Case of Modus Decimandi. PART XIII

Rent, which shall be sufficient for the Minister, &c. And there he faith, That in Italy, and in other the East Countries, they pay no Tithes, but a certain Portion according Page [17] to the Custom, &c. And all this is true, if \* not, that Tithes be discharged or changed by one of the said five Ways: And forafmuch as it appeareth by themselves, that the tenth Part or Value was Part of the Judicial Law, and certainly the same doth not bind any Christrian Commonwealth, but that the same may be altered by Reason of Time, Place, or other Confideration, as it appeareth in all Punishments inflicted by the Judicial Law, they do not now bind any, for Felony is now punished by Death, &c. which was not so by the Judicial Law, Ec. Also forasmuch as now it is confessed, that the tenth Part is now due, ex institutione Eccles. that is to say, by their Canons, and it appeareth by the Statute of 25 H. Canons against 8. cap. 19. That all Canons, &c. made against the Prerogative of the King in his Laws, Statutes, or Customs of the Realm, are void, and that was but a declaratory Law; for no Statute or Custom of the Realm can be taken away or abrogated by any Canon, &c. made out or within the Realm, but only by Act of Parliament; and that well appeareth by 10 H. 7. f. 17. c. 18. That there is a Canon or Constitution, That no Priest ought to be impleaded at the Common Law. And there Brian saith, that a grave Doctor of the Law once said unto him, that Priests and Clerks might be sued at the Common

Law well enough; for he faid, that Rex eft persona mixta, and is Persona unita cum Sacerdotibus Statutis Ecclesia. In which Case the King might maintain his Jurisdiction by Prescription; by which it appeareth, that Prescription doth prevail against express Canons or Constitutions, and is not taken away by them, which proves that the Statute of 25 H. 8. was but a Declaration of the ancient Law before: And there is an express Prohibition in Numb. 18. Nihil aliud possidebunt, decimarum oblatione contenti quas in usus eorum & necessaria separavi: Which was not Part of the Moral Law, or Law of Nature, but Part of the judicial: And therefore Men of the holy Church at this Day do possess Houses, Lands and

the Prerogative Statutes, Cuscription are yoid. Vide post. 47. 2 Inst. 599, &c.

Tenements, and not Tithes only. 2d Point. The fecond Point which agrees with the Law at Limits and this Day, which was adjudged in the faid Record of rishes, &cc. to 25 H. 3. is, That the Limits and Bounds of Towns be try'd by and Parishes shall be tried by and Parishes shall be tried by the Common Law, and Common Law. not in the Spiritual Court; and in this the Law hath Vide Farr. 63. QuareGibson's great Reason, for thereupon depends the Title of Inhe-Codex 239,8cc. 2 Init. 599.

#### PART XIII. The Case of Modus Decimandia

ritance of the Lay-fee, whereof the Tithes were de-manded for Fines, and Recoveries are the Common Affurances of Lay Inheritances; and if the Spiritual Court should try the Bounds of Towns, if they determine that my Land lieth in another Town than is contained in my Fine, Recovery, or other Affurance, I shall be in Danger to lose my Inheritance, and therewith agreeth 39 E. 3. 29. 5 H. 5. 10. 32 E. 4. t. Consultation, 3 E. 4. 12. 19 H. 6. 20. 50 E. 3. 20. and many other Precedents until this Day. And note, there is a Rule in Law, that when the Right of Tithes shall be tried in the Spiritual Court, and the Spiritual Court hath Jurifdiction thereof, that our Courts shall be ousled of the Jurisdiction. 35 H. 6. 47. 38 H. 6. 21. 2 E. 4. 15. 22 E. 4. 23.38 E. 3.36.14 H. 7.17. 13 H. 2. Furisd. 19. but that is when Debate is between Parson and Vicar, or when all is in one Parish, but when they are in several Parishes. then this Court shall not be ousted of the Jurisdiction. See 12 H. 2. Tit. Jurisdiction 17. 13 R. 2. ibid. 19. 7 H. 4. 34. 14 H. 4. 17. 38 E. 3. 56. 42 E. 3. 12. And yet there is a Canon expressly against this, which see in Linwood titulo de panis 55. And fo fol. 227, 228. amongst the Canons or Constitutions of Boniface, Anno Dom. 1277. And the Causes wherefore the Judges of the Common Law would not permit the Ecclesiastical Judges to try Modum Decimandi, being pleaded in their Court is, because that if the Recompence \* which is to Page [18] be given to the Parson, in Satisfaction of his Tithes doth not amount to the Value of the Tithes in Kind, they would overthrow the fame: And that also appeareth by Linwood amongst the Constitutions Simonis Mephum, Tit. de Decimis, cap. Quoniam propter, fo. 139. 6. verbo consuetu- Note this Difdines, cap. Quoniam propter, Jo. 139. 6. verbo conjuetudines, consuetudo ut non solvantur, aut minus plane
forence; altosolvantur Decime, non valet, and ibidem secundum aties do admit
lios, Quod in Decimis realibus, non valet consuetudo the Jurisdiction
of the Court,
ut solvatur minus decima parte, sed in personalibus, &c. yet upon the

Pleading, if

the Right of the Tithes shall come in Debate, there this Court shall be ousled of the Jurisdiction, and the Spiritual Court shall have Jurisdiction. But when the Right of Tithes cometh in Debate, and the Spiritual Court cannot have Jurisdiction or Conusance of it; as where a Lay-man is Plaintist as Faimor, or Defendant as Servant of the Parson, as a Lay-man Farmor cannot sue there, nor he who justifies a Servant cannot be sued in Trespals; but if the Suir be between Parson and Vicar, or Parson and Parson, and other Spiritual Persons, if the King's Court be oussed of the Jurisdiction after Severance of the ninth Parr; yet the Libel ought to be for Substraction of Tithes, for of that they have jurisdiction, and not of Tithes severed from the nine Parts; for that shall be in Case of a Premunire, and it appertaineth to the Common Law. See 16 H. 2. in the Case of Mortuary. Vide Decretalia Sexti, lib. 3. Tit. de Decimis, cap. 1. so. 130. Col. 4. Et summa Angelica, so. 72. the Right of the Tithes shall come in Debate, there this Court shall be ousted of 19. 72.

And

Baron and Boys's Case. PART XIII.

And ibidem Lit. M. verbo, integre, faciunt expresse contra opinionem quorundam Theologorum, qui dicunt suf-ficere aliquid dari pro Decima. And that is the true Reason in both the said Cases, scil. de Modo Decimandi, & de Limitibus Parochiarum, &c. that they would not adjudge according to their Canons; and therefore a Prohibition lieth; and therewith agreeth 8 E. 4. 14. and the other Books abovefaid, and infinite Precedents; and the rather after the Statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 13. And alfo the Customs of the Realm are Part of the Laws of the Realm, and therefore they shall be tried by the Common Law, as is aforesaid. See 7 Ed. 6. Dyer 79. and 18 Eliz. Dyer 349. the Opinion of all the Tuffices.

## VI. Mich. 6 Jac.

# In the Exchequer.

#### Baron and Boys's Cafe.

& fect. 15, 16. 17, &c.

Sur Stat. 2 E 6.

cap. 14. of Ingroffers.

I N the Case between Baron and Boys, in an Information upon the Statute of 5 E. 6. cap. 14. of Information upon the Information upon the Statute of 5 E. 6. cap. 14. of Information upon the Statute of 5 E. 6. cap. 14. of Information upon the I That the Defendant had ingroffed Apples against the said Act: The Barons of the Exchequer held clearly, That Apples were not within the faid Act, and gave Judgment against the Informer upon the Matter apparent to them, and caused the same to be entered in the Margent of the Record where the Judgment was given; and the Informer brought a Writ of Error in the Exchequer-chamber, and the only Question was, Whether Apples were within the said Act? The Letter of which is, That whatsoever Person or Persons, &c. shall ingross or get into his or their Hands, by Buying, Contracting, or Promise, taking (other than by Demise, Grant, or Lease of Land, or Tithe) any Corn growing in the Fields, or any other Corn or Grain.

#### PART XIII. Baron and Boys's Cafe.

Grain, Butter, Cheese, Fish, or other dead Victual with. in the Realm of England, to the Intent to fell the same again, shall be accepted, &c. an unlawful Ingroffer. And although that the Statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 15. made against Sellers of Victual, which for their great Gain conspire, &c. numbereth Butchers, Brewers, Bakers, Cooks, Costermongers and Fruiterers, as Victuallers: Yet Apples are not dead Victuals within the Statute of 5 E. 6. for the Buyers and Sellers of Corn and other Victuals have divers Proviso's and Qualifications for them, as it appeareth by the faid Act. but \* Costermongers and Fruiterers have not any Pro- Page [19] viso for them: Also, always after the said Act they have bought Apples and other Fruits by Ingross, and fold them again, and before this Time no Information was exhibited for them, no more than for Plumbs or other Fruit, which ferveth more for Delicacy than for necessary Food. But the Statute of 5 E. 6. is to be intended of Things necessary and of common Use for the Sustenance of Man; and therefore the Words are Corn, Grain, Butter, Cheese, or other dead Victual; which is as much to fay, as Victual of like Quality, that is, of like necessary and common Use: But the Statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 15. made against Conspiracies to enhance the Prices, was done and made by express Words, to extend it to Things which are more of Pleafure than of Profit: So it was faid, That of those Fruits a Man cannot be a Forestaller within this A& of 5 E. 6. for in the same Branch the Words are, any Merchandize, Victual, or any other Thing. But this was not refolved by the Justices, because that the Information was conceived upon that Branch of the Statute concerning Ingroflers.

# VII. Hill. 27 Eliz.

## In the Chancery.

Fine. Dower. St.4H.7.c.24. St. 18 E. 1. de 5 Co. 2. Part

Hillary Term, the 27 of Eliz. in the Chancery the Cafe was thus: One Ninian Menvil seifed of cer-See 2Co.74,78. tain Lands in Fee, took a Wife, and levied a Fine of 6 Co. 79.
7 Co. 38, 40. the faid Lands with Proclamations, and afterwards was 7 Co. 38, 40. the laid Lands with Proclamations, and atterwards was Sr. 27 H.8.c.10. indicted and outlawed of High Treason, and died: The Conusees convey the Lands to the Queen, who is now Modo levandi, seised; the five Years pass after the Death of the Husband: The Daughters and Heirs of the faid Ninian in a Writ of Error in the King's Bench, reverse the faid Attainder, M. 26 and 27 Eliz. last past; and thereupon the Wife sueth to the Queen, (who was seised of the faid Land as aforesaid) by Petition containing all the special Matter, scil. the Fine with Proclamations, and the five Years passed after the Death of her Husband. the Attainder and the Reverfal of it; and her own Title, scil. her Marriage, and the Seisin of her Husband before the Fine: And the Petition being endorfed by the Queen, Fiat droit aux parties, &c. the same was fent into the Chancery, as the Manner is.

And in this Case divers Objections were made against

the Demandant.

1. That the faid Fine with Proclamations should bar the Wife of her Dower, and the Attainder of her Hufband should not help her; for as long as the Attainder doth remain in Force, the same was a Bar also of her-Dower, so as there was a double Bar to the Wife, viz. the Fine levied with Proclamations, and the five Years past after the Death of her Husband, and the Attainder of her Husband of his Treason. But admit that the Attainder of the Husband shall avail the Wife in some Manner, when the same is now reversed in a Writ of Errror, and now upon the Matter is in Judgment of Law, as if no Attainder had been: And against that a Man might plead, that there is no fuch Record, because that the first Record is reversed, and utterly disaffirmed and annihilated, and now by Relation made no Record ab initio, and

and therewith agreeth the Book of 4 H. 7. 11. for the Words of the Judgment in a Writ of Error are, Quod Judicium prædict & Errores prædict & alios in Recordo, Ec. revocetur & adnulletur, &c. & quod ipsa ad possessionem suam sive seisinam suam (as the Case requireth) tenementorum \* suorum prædict' una cum exitibus Es proficuis inde a tempore judicii predict reddit percept Page [20] E ad omnia que occasione Judicii illius amisit restituatur. By which it appeareth, that the first Judgment, which was originally imperfect and erroneous, is for the fame Errors now adnulled and revoked ab initio; and the Party, against whom the Judgment was given, restored to his Possession, and to all the mean Profits, from the Time of the erroneous Judgment given, until the Judgment in the Writ of Error, so as the Reversal hath a Retrospect to the first Judgment, as if no Judgment had been given: And therefore the Case in 4 H. 7. 10. b. the Case is, A. feised of Land in Fee, was attainted of High Treason, and the King granted the Land to B. and afterwards A. committed Trespass upon the Land, and afterwards by Parliament A. was restored, and the Attainder made void, as if no Act had been; and shall be as available and ample to A. as if no Attainder had been: And afterwards B. bringeth Trespass for the Trespass Mesne; and it was adjudged in 10 H. 7. fol. 22. b. That the Action of Trespass was not maintainable, because that the Attainder was disaffirmed and annulled ab initio. And in 4 H. 7. 10. it is holden, that after a Judgment reversed in a Writ of Error, he who recovered the Land by erroneous Judgment shall not have an Action of Trespass for a Trespass Mean, which was faid, was all one with the principal Cafe: in 4 H. 7. 10. and divers other Cases were put upon the fame Ground.

It was fecondly objected, That the Wife could not have a Petition, because there was not any Office by which her Title of Dower was found, scil. her Marriage, the Seifin of her Husband, and Death: For it was faid, That although she was married, yet if her Husband was not seised after the Age that she is dowable, she shall not have Dower; as if a Man seised of Land in Fee. taketh to Wife a Woman of eight Years, and afterwards before her Age of nine Years, the Husband alieneth the Lands in Fee, and afterwards the Woman attaineth to the Age of nine Years, and the Husband dieth; it was faid, that the Woman shall not be endowed. And that the Title of him who fueth by Petition ought to be found by Office, appeareth by the Books in 11 H. 4. 52. 29 Aff. 31.

30 Ass. 28. 46 E. 3. bre. 618. 9 H. 7. 24, &c.

Limitations. Vide 2 Co. 93. 3 Co. 87. 8 Co. 72, 100. 9 Co 140, &c. 10 Co. 49,99. Cumb. 70. Farr. 5,12, &c.

As to the first Objection, it was resolved, That the Wife should be endowed, and that the Fine with Proclamations was not a Bar unto her, and yet it was resolved that the Act of 4 H. 7. cap. 24. Shall bar a Woman of her Dower by a Fine levied by her Husband with Proclamations, if the Woman doth not bring her Writ of Dower within 5 Years after the Death of her Husband, as it was adjudged, Hill. 4 H. 8. Rot. 344. in the Common Pleas, and 5 El. Dyer For by the Act, the Right and Title of a Feme Covert is faved, so that she take her Action within five Years after she becomes uncovert, &c. but it was resolved, That the Wife was not to be aided by that Saving: for in respect of the faid Attainder of her Husband of Treason, she had not any Right of Dower at the Time of the Death of her Husband, nor can she after the Death of her Husband bring an Action, or profecute an Action to recover her Dower, according to the Direction and Saving of the faid Act: But it was refolved, That the Wife was to be aided by another former Saving in the same Act, viz. And saving to all other Perfons (scil. who were not Parties to the Fine) fuch Actions, Right, Title, Claim and Interest in or to the said Lands, &c. as shall first grow, remain, descend, or come to them after the faid Fine ingroffed, and Proclamations made, \* by Force of any Gift in Tail, or by any other Cause or Matter had and made before the faid Fine levied, so that they take their Actions and pursue their Right and Title according to the Law, within five Years next after fuch Action, Right, Claim, Title or Interest to them accrued, descended, fallen or come, &c. And in this Case the Action and Right of Dower accrued to the Wife after the Reversal of the Attainder, by Reason of a Title of Record before the Fine, by Reason of the Seisin in Fee (had) and the Marriage (made) before the Fine levied, according to the Intention and Meaning of the faid Act.

Page [21]

Relation. See 3 Co. 29. 4 Co. 42. Plow. 31, 260 Moor 140. Cto. El. 196, 739. And as to the said Point of Relation, it was resolved, That sometimes by Construction of Law a Thing shall relate ab initio to some Intent, and to some Intent not; for Relatio est sictio Furis, to do a Thing which was and had Essence, to be adnulled ab initio, betwixt the same Parties to advance a Right, or Ut res magis valeat quam pereat: But the Law will never make such a Construction to advance a Wrong, which the Law abhorreth, or to descat collateral Acts which are lawful, and principally if they do concern Strangers: And this appeareth in this Case (scil.) when an erroneous Judgment is reversed by a Writ of Error: For true it is as it hath been said, That as unto the mean Profits, the same shall have Relation by Construction of Law, un-

til

til the Time of the first Judgment given, and that is to fayour Justice, and to advance the Right of him who hath wrong by the erroneous Judgment. But if a Stranger hath done a Trespass upon the Land in the mean Time, he who recovereth after the Reversal shall have an Action of Trespass against the Trespassors; and if the Defendant pleadeth that there is no fuch Record, the Plaintiff shall shew the special Matter, and shall maintain his Action, so as unto the Trespassors who are wrong Doers, the Law shall not make any Construction by way of Relation ab initio to excuse 'em, for then the Law by a Fiction and Construction should do wrong to him who recovereth by the first Judgment: And for the better apprehending of the Law on this Point, it is to know, That when any Man recovers any Possessions or Seisin of Land, in any Action by erroneous Judgment, and afterwards the Judgment is reversed as is said before, and upon that the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error shall have a Writ of Restitution, and that Writ recites the first Recovery, and the Reversal of it in the Writ of Error, is, that the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error shall be restored to his Posfession and Seisin, Una cum exitibus thereof from the Time of the Judgment, &c. Tibi præcipimus quod eundem A. ad plenariam seisinam tenementorum prædict cum pertinentiis line dilatione restitui facias, & per sacramentum proborum & legalium hominum de Com. suo diligenter inquiras ad quantum exitus & proficua tenementorum illorum cum pertinentiis a tempore falsi Judicii pradict' reddit. usque ad Oct. Sanct. Mich. anno, &c. quo die judicium illud per præfat. Justiciar. nostros revocat. fuit, se attingunt, juxta verum valorem eorundem, eadem exitus & proficua de terris & catallis prædict. B. in baliva tua fieri facias, & denarios inde præfato A. pro exitibus & proficuis tenementorum per eundem B. dicto medio tempore percept. sine dilatione. haberi facias: Et qualiter hoc præceptum nostrum fuerit execut. constare facias, &c. in Octab. &c. By which it appeareth, That the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error shall have Restitution against him who recovereth of all the mean Profits, without any regard by them taken; for the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error cannot have any Remedy against any Stranger, but only against him who is Party to the Writ of Error, and therefore the Words of the faid Writ \* command the Sheriff to enquire of the Issues and Profits Page [22] generally, between the Reversal and the Judgment, with all which he who recovers shall be charged, and as the Law chargeth him with all the mean Profits, so the Law gives to him Remedy notwithstanding the Reversal against all Trespassors in the Interim, for otherwise the Law should

make a Construction by Relation to discharge them who are wrong Doers, and to charge him who recovers with the Whole, who peradventure hath good Right, and who entereth by the Judgment of the Law, which peradventure is reversed for want of Form, or Negligence or Ignorance of a Clerk. And therefore as to that Purpose the Judgment shall not be reversed ab initio, by a Fistion of Law, but as the Truth was, the same stands in Force until it was reversed: And therefore the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error after the Reversal shall have an Action of Trespass for a Trespass mean, because he shall recover all the mean Profits against him who recovered, nor he that recovereth after shall be barred of his Action of Trespass for a Trespass mean, by Reason that his Recovery is reversed, because he shall answer for all the mean Profits to the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error: And therewith agreeth Brian Chief Tustice, 4 H. 7. 12 a. Note Reader, If you would understand the true Sence

Ca. 384.

and Judgment of the Law, it is needful for you to know the true Entries of Judgments, and the Entries of all Proceedings in Law, and the Manner and the Matter of Writs 3Co.25,26.&c. of Execution of fuch Judgments. See Butler and Baker's Pop. 87. Moor. Case, in the third Part of my Reports, good Matter concerning Relations. So as it was refolved in the Cafe at Bar, although that to some Intent the Reversal hath Relation, yet to bar the Wife of her Dower by Fiction of Law. by the Fine with Proclamations, and five Years past after the Death of her Husband, when in Truth she had not Cause of Action, nor any Right or Title so long as the Attainder flood in Force, should be to do wrong by a Fiction of Law, and to bar the Wife, who was a meer Stranger, and who had not any Means, to have any Relief until the Attainder was reversed.

And as unto the other Point or Objection, that the Demandant on the Petition ought to have an Office found for her, it was resolved, that it needed not in this Case, because that the Title of Dower flood with the Queen's Title, and affirmed it; otherwise if the Title of the Demandant in the Petition had disaffirmed the Queen's Title; also in this Case, the Queen was not entitled by any Office that the Wife should be driven to traverse it, &c. for then she ought to have had an Office to find her Title: But in Case of Dower, altho' that Office had been found for the Queen which doth not disaffirm the Title in Dower, in such Case the Wife shall have her Petition without Office, because that Dower is favoured in Law, the claiming but only for Term of Life, and affirming the Title of the Queen. See the Sadler's Case in the 4th Part of my Reports.

#### PART XIII. In the Chancery.

And the Case which was put on the other Side was utterly denied by the Court, for it was refolved, That if a Man seised of Lands in Fee, taketh a Wife of eight Years of Age, and alieneth his Lands, and afterwards the Wife attaineth to the Age of nine Years, and afterwards the Husband dieth, that the Wife shall be endowed: For altho' at the Time of the Alienation the Wife was not dowable, yet for as much as the Marriage, and Seifin in Fee. was before the Alienation, and the Title of Dower is not confummate until the Death of her Husband, so as now there was Marriage, Seisin of Fee, Age of nine Years during \* the Coverture, and the Death of the Husband, for that Page [23] Cause she shall be endowed: For it is not requisite that the Marriage, Seifin and Age concur together all at one Time. but it is sufficient if they happen during the Coverture: So if a Man seised of Lands in Fee take a Wife; and afterwards she elopes from her Husband, now she is barrable of her Dower, if during the Elopement the Husband alieneth, and after the Wife is reconciled, the Wife shall be endowed: So if a Man hath Issue by his Wife, and the Issue dieth, and afterwards Land descendeth to the Wife, or the Wife purchaseth Lands in Fee, and dieth without any other Issue, the Husband (for the Issue which he had before the Descent or Purchase) shall be Tenant by the Curtefy, for it is fufficient if he have Issue, and that the Wife be seised during the Coverture, altho' that it be at several Times. But if a Man taketh an Alien to Wife, and afterwards he alieneth his Lands, and afterwards she is made a Denizen, she shall not be endowed, for the was absolutely disabled by the Law, and by her Birth not capable of Dower, but her Capacity and Ability began only by her Denization; but in the other Case there was not any Incapacity or Disability in the Person, but only a temporary Bar, until such Age of Reconcilement, which being accomplished the temporary Bar ceafeth: As if a Man feifed of Lands in Fee, taketh a Wife, and afterwards the Wife is attainted of Felony, and afterwards the Husband alleneth, and afterwards the Wife is pardoned, and afterwards the Husband dieth, the Wife shall be endowed, for by her Birth she was not uncapable, but was lawfully by her Marriage and Seifin in Fee entitled to have Dower; and therefore when the Impediment is removed, she shall be endowed.

# VIII. Trin. 44 Eliz.

## In the King's Bench.

### Sprat and Heal's Case.

Tithes fubftracted, Covin. Vid. ant. 12. Poft. 37, 38, 48, &c. 5 Co. 2. Part. 67, 68. Watf. 540,555, 588, &c. 593, &c. 632, &c.

AOhn Sprat libelled in the Spititual Court against Walter Heal for Substraction of Tithes; the Defendant in the Spiritual Court pleaded, that he had divided the Tithes from the nine Parts: And then the Plaintiff made Addition to the Libel (in the Nature of a Replication) scil. That the Defendant divided the Tithes from the nine Parts, quod prædict. the Plaintiff non fatetur, sed prorsus difficetur; yet presently after this pretended Division in fraudem legis, he took and carried away the same Tithes, and converted them to his own Use; and the Plaintiff thereupon obtained Sentence in the Spiritual Court; and to recover the treble Value according to the Statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 13. And thereupon Heal made a Surmise, that he had divided his Tithes, and that the Plaintiff ought to sue in the Spiritual Court for the double Value, and at the Common Law for the treble Value: And it was objected, That when the Owner of the Corn divides them, then they are become Lay-chattels, for the Taking of which an Action lieth at the Common Law: and therefore after Severance from the nine Parts, the Parlon shall not fue for them in the Spiritual Court: But it was resolved by the whole Court, That the faid Division or Severance mentioned in the Libel, was not any Division or Severance within the Statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 13. For the same Act provides, That every of the King's Subjects shall from henceforth truly and justly without Fraud \* or Guile, divide, fet out, yield, and pay all manner of other predial Tithes in their proper Land, so as when he divides them to the Purpose to carry them away, he doth not divide them juffly and truly without Fraud or Guile, but here is Fraud and Guile, and no way a just Divi-

Page [24]

### PART XIII. Sprat and Heal's Cafe.

Division, and therefore the same is out of the Statute for the Makers of the Statute respect quo animo he divides them (scil.) with a Mind and Intention that the Parson carry them away, as in Right he ought, or with a Mind and Intention that he himself carry them away which he ought not, Quia fraus & dolus alicui prodesse, aut simplicitas alicui obesse not debet: And the same is Crimen Stellionatum, which we call fraudem rem & imposteram: And where the Words of the Statute are, divide, set out, &c. their predial Tithes, &c. And if any Person carrieth away his Corn and Hay, and his and their predial Tithes, &c. And to make an Evasion out of these Words, this Invention was devised; the Owner of the Corn by Covin fold his Corn before Severance to another, who as Servant to the Vendee reaped the Corn, and carried away, the Corn, without any Severance, pretending that neither the Vendee, because he did not carry them away, nor the Vendor because he had no Property in them, for he did not carry away his Corn, or his predial Tithes, should be within that Statute: But it was refolved, that the Vendor should be charged in that Case with the Penalty of the Statute, for he carrieth them away, and his Fraud and Covin should not belp him or avail him. See 8 E. 3. 290. A real Action brought by a Man of Religion by Collusion, although that he hath Right, yet he shall not have Execution, 9 H. S. 41. A Recovery upon a good Title by Collusion, shall not abate the Writ, 33 H. 6. 5. A Sale in open Market by Covin shall not bind the Property of a

Stranger: But it was refolved, that the Plaintiff could 2 Danv. 223. not fue in the Spiritual Court for the treble Value, but for Post. 48.

the double Value that he might.

## IX. Hill. 6 Jacobi.

## In the Common Pleas.

Neale and Rowse's Case.

Star. 21 H. 8. cap. 5. See to Co.tot. 12 Co. 78. See Sir John Beni et's Cafe. 4 Inst. 336.

A T a Nisi prius in London, before my felf this Term, the Case was this: Edward Neale informed upon the Statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 5. which Plea begun Mich. 6 Fac. Rot. 1031. against James Rowse Commissary and Official 1 Hawk ch. 68. within the Archdeaconery of Huntington, within the Dio-3 Inst. 147, 149, cese of Lincoln, and having Probat of Wills and Testaments, &c. within the same Archdeaconery; and that Nicholas Neale, the third Year of the Reign of the King that now is, made his Testament and last Will in Writing, and made the Plaintiff his Executor, and died possessed of Goods and Chattels to the Value of a Hundred and fifty Pounds: The Defendant then Commissary and Official, Ec. the Twenty third of Febr. 1605. at the Parish of St. Mary Bow, Testament. predict. probavit, infinuavit, registravit & sigillavit; ac per manus cujusdam Thomæ Nicke tunc ministri ipsius Jacobi Rowse in ea parte deputat. & authorizat. 145. 10 d. pro probatione, infinuatione & registratione Testamenti prædict. de eodem Edwardo, &c. qui tam, &c. colore Officii sui prædict. adtunc & ibidem extorsive recepit, & habuit contra formam statuti prædict. with this that the said Edward, qui tam, &c. will add, That the Writing of the faid Testament according to the Rate of a Penny for every ten Lines of the faid Testament, every Line thereof containing \* in length ten Inches, non attingebat, to the Sum of twelve Shillings four Pence, according to the Form of the Statute aforefaid, &c. The Defendant pleaded Nihil debet, and at the Nisi prius, the Evidence of two Witnesses was, That the Plaintiff caused the faid Testament which was in Paper, to be ingressed in Parchment; and the Plaintiff offered both to the faid Rowfe, the Official, to be proved; and he answered, That he would prove it, if his Fees shall be paid to him, and the Plaintiff asked him what were his Fees, and he wrote them in a Paper, which amounted to fourteen Shillings

Page [25]

## PART XIII. Neale and Rowse's Cafe.

ten Pence for the Probat, Infinuation, Registring and Seafing: And thereupon the Plaintiff laid upon the Table 205. and defired him to take as much as was due to him, and all that was in the House of the Official; but he would receive nothing there, but appointed the Plaintiff to come in Court, where he would receive his Fees, and accordingly the Plaintiff came to him in Court, and prayed to have the faid Will proved; and the Defendant required the faid Nioke his Minister, to take of him for the Probation, Infinuation, Registring and Sealing, 145. 10d. and thereupon he put the Seal of his Office to the faid Parchment ingroß fed, which the Plaintiff brought with him, and which he delivered to the Defendant. And it was objected, That this Case was out of the said Statute, for thereby as to this Purpose, it is provided, viz. And where the Goods of the Testator, &c. amount above the Value of 40 l. That then the Bishop, nor Ordinary by him or themselves, nor any of his or their Registers, Scribes, Praisers, Summoners, Apparators, or any other their Ministers, for the Probation, Infinuation and Approbation of any Testament or Testaments, &c. for the Registring, Sealing, Writing, Praifing, Making of Inventories, making Acquittances, Fines, or any Thing concerning the same Probat of Testaments, shall take or cause to be taken of any Person or Persons, but only five Shillings, and not above, whereof to the Bishop, Ordinary, &c. for him and his Ministers 2 s. 6 d. and not above, and 2 s. 6 d. to the Scribe for Registring of the same. Ec. And it was objected by the Counsel of the Defendant, that the Defendant did not take the 14s. 10d. for the Probation, Infinuation, Registring or Sealing of the Testament, for no Probat was written upon the Testament it felf, nor any Seal put to it, but the Testament was ingroffed in Parchment, and the Probat and Seal put to the Transcript ingroffed, and not to the Testament it self, and so our of the Statute; and the Statute extends only, when the Probat and Seal is put to the Testament it self, and for the Ingroffing of it after the Probat, no certain Fee is provided by the Statute; but for the Registring of it after it is proved, there is an express Fee in the Statute: But I conceived that the faid Taking the 14s. 10d. in the Cafe at Bar, was directly against the Statute. For the Act is in the Negative, and if the Executor requireth the Testament to be ingroffed in Parchment, he ought to agree with him whom he requireth to do it, as he may: But the Ordinary, Official, &c. ought not to exact any Fee for the same of the Party as a Thing due to him, for divers Causes.

### Neale and Rowse's Case. PART XIII.

1. Because the Words of the Act are expressed, for the Probation, &c. and for the Registring, Sealing, Writing, praising, making of Inventories, Fines, giving of Acquitances, &c. which Word (Writing) extends expressly to this Case.

Page [26]

\*2. The Words are, or any Thing concerning the same Probat, and when the Seal and Probat is put to the Transcript, the same without Question concerns the Probat, for the Probat is not to put any Writing but only to

that, therefore the same concerns the Probat.

3. Such a Construction should make the Act idle and vain, for if the Ordinary, Official, &c. might take as much as he pleafeth for the Ingroffing done by his Ministers as a Fee due to him, all the Purview of the Statute which is penned fo precifely concerning Persons, scil. Bishops, Ordinaries, and all Persons who have Power to prove Wills and Testaments, Registers, Scribes, Summoners, Apparators, or any other the Ministers, as for the Thing it self, scil. the Probation, Infinuation, Approbation, Registring, Sealing, Writing, Praifing, making of Inventories, Fines, giving of Acquittances, or any other Thing concerning the same, should be all in vain, by that Evasion of transcribing of it, as well against the express Letter of the Act as the Intention and Moving of it: Also the Statute saith 5 s. and not above, fo as the Manner of precise penning of it excludes all nice Evafions: And the Act ought to be expounded to suppress Extorsion, which is a great Affliction, and impoverishing of the Poor Subjects.

4. As this Case is, he annexeth the Probat and Seal to the Transcript ingrossed, which the Plaintiff brought with him and offered to the Defendant; so as the Case at Bar was without Question, and generally the Ordinary, Official, &c. cannot exact or take any Fee for any Thing which concerns the Probat of a Will or Testament, but that which the Statute limits: And afterwards the Jury found for the Plaintiff, and of such Opinion was Walmsley, Warberton, Daniel and Foster Justices, the next Term in all Things, but upon Exception in Arrest of Judgment for not pursuing of the Act, in the Information, Judgment is not

yet given, &c.

# X. Hill. Anno 6 Jac. Regis.

### In the Common Pleas.

Nota, that in this Term a Question was moved to the Aid to make Court, which was this: If Tenant in Burgage should the King's pay Aid unto the King to make his eldest Son Knight. Knight. And the Point rests upon this, If the Tenure in Burgage be Vide post. 28. a Tenure in Socage; for by the antient Common Law every Tenant in Knights Service, and every Tenant in Socage, was to give to his Lord a reasonable Aid, to make his eldest Son a Knight, and to marry his eldest Daughter, and that was incertain at the Common Law, and also incertain Vide F. N. B. when the same should be paid. And this appeareth by Glazz- 82. ac. vil, Lib. 9. cap. 8. fol. 70. who wrote in the Time of Henry the Second, Nihil autem certum statutum est de hujusmodi auxiliis dandis, vel exigendis, &c. sunt alii præterea Casus in quibus licet Dominis auxilia solvenda sunt certa forma See the Statute prescripta ab hominibus suis ut filius suus & hæres stat of 27 H. 8. cap. miles, vel si primogenitam swam filiam maritaverit, &c. 10. of Uses in the Preamble, And in the Beginning of the Chapter, it is called Rational concerning bile Auxilium, because then it was not certain, but to be Aids, to make moderated by Reason in Respect of Circumstances: And by Knight, and to the Preamble of the Statute of Westm. 1. Anno 3 E. 1. cap. marry the 35. where it is faid, Forasmuch as before that Time rea- Daughter. fonable Aid to make one's Son Knight, or to marry his Daughter, was never put in certain, \* nor when the same Page [27] ought to be paid, nor how much be taken; the faid Act put the faid two Incertainties to a Certainty, 1. That for a whole Knight's Fee there be taken but 20 s. and of 20 l. Lands holden in Socage 20s. and of more, more, and of less, less, according to the Rate, by which the Aid it self was made certain. 2. That none might levy fuch Aid, to make his Son a Knight, until his Son be of the Age of fifteen Years; nor to marry his Daughter, until she be of the Age of seven Years. And Fleta, who wrote after the said Act. calls them Rationabilia auxilia ad filium militem faciendum, vel ad filiam primogenitam maritandum: And by the Statute of 25 E. 1. where it is provided, That no Taxes shall be taken but by common Consent of the Realm, there is an Exception of the antient Aids, &c. which is to

be intended of these Aids due unto the King by the antient Common Law: But notwithstanding the said Act of Westm. 1. it was doubted, whether the King, because he is not expresly named, were bound by it; and therefore in the twentieth Year of E. 3. the King took an Aid of 40 s. of every Knight's Fee for to make the Black Prince Knight, and nothing then of Lands holden in Socage; and to take away all Question concerning the same, the same was confirmed to him in Parliament: And afterwards, Anno 25 E.z. cap. 11. it is enacted, That reasonable Aid to make the Manurium De King's eldest Knight, and to marry his eldest Daughter, fenatu Roma-no, pag. 9. of Ihall be demanded and levied after the Form of the Statute Aids instituted made thereof, and not in other Manner; that is to say, Of every Fee holden of the King without Mean 20s. and no more, and of every 201. Land holden of the King without Domini, & ad Mean in Socage 20 s. and no more. Now Littleton, lib. 2. cap. 10. fol. 36. b. Burgage-Tenure is, where an antient Borough is, of which the King is Lord; and those who have Tenements within the Borough, hold of the King their Tenements, that every Tenant for his Tenement ought to pay to the King a certain Rent: And fuch Tenure is but Tenure in Socage; and all Socage-Land is contributary to Aid, and therefore a Tenant in Burgage shall be contributary to it.

Vide Paulum Cenatu Romaby Romulus, ad redimendum Corpus filias collocandas, &c. per Clientes erga Patronos.

Burgage-Tesure, quid.

> And it is to be observed, and so it appeareth in the Register, fol. 1, & 2. That in a Writ of Right, if the Lands or Tenements are holden by Knights Service, it is faid, Quas clamat tenere de te per servitium unius feodi Militis: And if the Lands be holden in Socage, the Writ is, Quas clamat tenere de te per liberum servitium unius libræ cumini, &c. fo as Socage-Tenure in all Writs is called Liberum servitium. And by the Writ of Aid, Fitz. N. B. 82. it is commanded to the Sheriff, Quod juste, &c. facias habere A. rationabile Auxilium de Militibus, & liberis tenentibus suis in Baliva tua, &c. so as the same Writ makes a Distinction of Knights Service by the Name of Militibus, and of Socage by the Name of Liberis tenentibus. And in the Register, fol, 2. 6. the Writ of Right for a House in London (which is holden of the King in Burgage) is in these Words, Rex, Majori, vel Custodi & Vicecom. London: Præcipimus vobis quod sine dilatione teneatis G. de uno Meffuagio, &c. in London, que clamat tenere de nobis per liberum servitium, &c. which proves, That Tenure in Burgage is a Tenure in Socage: But it appeareth by the Books of Avowry 26. and 10 H. 6. fo Ancient Demesne 11. it was resolved by all the Justices in the Exchequer-Chamber, That no Tenure should pay for a reasonable Aid to marry

#### In the Common Pleas. PART XIII.

the Daughter, or to make the Son a Knight, but Tenure by Knights Service, and Tenure by Socage; but not Tenure by Grand Serjeanty, nor no other: And 13 H. 4. 34. agrees to the Case of Grand \* Serjeanty: And by the said Page [28] Books it appeareth, that Tenure by Frankalmoign, and Tenure by Divine Service, shall not pay, for they are none of them: But Tenure in Burgage is a Tenure in Socage; and therefore the faid Books prove, that such a Tenure shall pay Aid. And I conceive, that Tenure by Petit Serjeanty shall pay also Aid: For Litt. lib. 2. cap. 8. fol. 36. fays, that such a Tenure is but Socage in Effect: But Fitz. N. B. 83. A. avoucheth, 13 H. 4. 34. That Tenant by Petit Serjeanty shall not pay Aid; but the Book only extends to Grand Serjeanty: If the Houses in a City or Borough are holden of the King in Burgage, and the King grant the Seigniories to one, and the City or Borough to another to hold of him, then those Houses shall not be contributary to Aid, for they are not immediately holden of the King, as is

required by the Law.

And I conceive that he who holdeth a Rent of the King I Inft. 162. b. by Knights Service, or in Socage, shall pay Aid; for the 232, &c. Words of the Act of Westm. 1. cap. 36. are, From hence- 11 Co. 44. forth of a whole Knights Fee only be taken 20 s. of 20 l. Land holden in Socage 20 s. and the Mean is faid in Supposition of Law to hold the Land: And it is not Reason that the Tenant by Feoffment before the Statute should prejudice the Lord of his Benefit. And although it was faid, that a Tenure in Socage, is fervitium Socæ, as Littlezon faith, and the fame cannot be applied to Houses: To that it was answered, That the Land upon which the House is built, or if the House fallerh down, may be made arable, and be ploughed. And a Rent may be holden in Socage, and yet it is not subject to be ploughed, but by a Posfibility afterwards escheat to the Lord of the Land. See Huntington, Polydor Virgil, and Hollinsbed's Chronicle, 15 H. 4. Aid was levied by Hen. 2. to marry Mawd his eldest Daughter to the Emperor, viz. 3 l. of every Hide of Land, &c. And see The Grand Customary of Normandy, cap. 35. there is a Chapter of Aids, whereof the first is, to make the eldest Son of his Lord a Knight; and the Second to marry his eldest Daughter. And see a Statute made in anno 19 H. 7. which beginneth thus, Item presati Communes in Parliamento predicto existentes ex assensu dominorum Spiritualium & Temporalium in dicto Parliamento similiter existen. concesserunt præsato Regi quandam pecunia summam in loco duorum rationabilium aussiliorum sua Majestati de jure debit tam ratione crea-

2 Inft. 231, 9

tionis nobilissimi filii sui primogeniti bone memorie, Domini Arthuri nuper Principis Wallia, quam ratione Matrimonii & traductionis nobilissimi Principis Margarita filia sua primogenit. quam etiam multiplicare pro Regni sui perpetua pace & tranquillitate, &c. certis viis & modis levand cujus quidem concessionis Tenor, &c. sequitur in bac verba: For as much as the King our Sovereign Lord is rightfully intitled to have two reasonable Aids according to the Laws of this Land, the one for the making Knight the Right Honourable his first begotten Son Arthur, late Prince of Wales deceased, and the other, for the Marriage of the Right Noble Princess his first begotten Daughter Margaret, now married to the King of Scots: And also that his Highness hath born great and inestimable Charges for the Defence of the Realm, &c. confidering the Premiffes; and if the same Aids should be levied, and had by Reason of their Tenures according to the antient Laws of the Land, should be to them doubtful and uncertain, and great Unquietness, for the Search and not Knowledge of their feveral Tenures, and their Lands chargeable to the same, have made humble Petition unto his Highness, gracioufly to accept and take of them the Sum of 40000 l. \* as well in Recompence and Satisfaction of the faid two Aids. as for the faid great and inestimable Charges, &c. as is a-The King, to eschew and avoid the great Vexation. Troubles and Unquietness which to them should have ensued, if the said Aids were levied after the antient Laws: And for the good and acceptable Services of the Nobles of this Realm, and other his faithful Subjects, in their own Perfons and otherwise, done to his Grace, and thereby fustained manifold Costs and Charges, to his great Honour and Pleasure, doth pardon the said two Aids, and accepteth the Offer aforesaid: And that the poorest of his said Commons should not be contributary to the said Sum of 40000 l. hath pardoned 10000 l. Parcel thereof, and doth accept of 20000 l. in full Satisfaction, &c. And that the Cities and Boroughs, Towns and Places, being in every Shire not by themselves accountable in the Exchequer for Fifteens and Tenths, be chargeable with the Shires, &c. And all Cities and Boroughs, not contributary, &c. but accountable by themselves, &c. shall be chargeable by themselves towards the Payment of the faid 30000 l. with fuch Sums as under the Act particularly appear, &c. And there under the Act appear the several Taxations of every several County, City, Borough, &c. and that the City of London is taxed to 6181. 3 s. 5 d. the City of Norwich to 81. 6 s.

11 d. the City of Canterbury to 53 l. 13 s. 3 d. ab. Nor-

folk

Page [29]

See Mr. Ma- a dox's Firma Burgi, cap. 7.

#### In the Common Pleas. PART XIII.

folk 286 l. 6 s. 10 d. Suffolk 1214 l. 5 s. 4 d. ob. &c. The Sum of all the Sums then expressed is 31648 L. whereof allowable for Fees and Wages of Commissioners and Collectors 651 l. 165. 2 d. and fo remaineth 31006 l. 45. and 10 d. Note, that the Universities of Cambridge and Oxford, and the College of Eaton be excepted.

See Rot. 30 H. 3. ex parte reman. Dom. Thefaur. in Scaccario, in auxilio nobis concess, ad primogenitam filiam nostram maritand'. And note, that King Henry the Third Benevolence, had Aid granted to him in Parliament ad IJabellam fororem See 12 Co. fuam Imperatori maritand. but that was of Benevolence.

Rot. 42 H. 3. ibid. 6. Monstrat R. Johannes le François Baro de Scaccario, quod cum Dominus Rex non caperet nisi 20 s. de integro feodo militis de auxilio ad primogenitam filiam fuam maritand. Radol. fil. Rad. fil. Mich. injuste exegit de codem 30s. ad primogenitam filiam suam maritand. pro duabus partibus unius feodi militis, & averia sua cepit, & ea detinet. Et ideo mandatum est Vic. Com. Bedd. & Buck. guod venire faciant, &c. prædict. R. ad respondendum eidem Johanni de prædict. tranf-gressione, & prædict. averio, &c. So as it appeareth by this, that some held, that the Statute of Westm. 1. aforefaid was but a Confirmation of the Common Law, and that the King also ought not to take more: But that was doubted.

Ibid. in Regno 2 E. r. Rot. 3. de auxilio ad militiam. (which is meant of Knight of the King's Son) in the Time of Henry the Third, & Isabella Comitissa Albermarte, perdonata 116 l. 8 s. 7 d. pro codem auxilio, quia Boldwinus de Insula frater ejus cujus hares ipsa est fuit infra etatem, & in custodia ejus: & quia tenentes dicte Habellæ oncrentur per servitium militare de prædict. pecumis. Note, that that was before the Statute of Westm. 1. and by that it appeareth, That if one within Age be in Ward of the King, he shall not be contributary to Aid, but his Tenants which hold of him (and then held of the King by Reason of Ward) shall pay Aid unto the King, as it as peareth by that Record.

Ibid. 30 E. 1. Rex dilectis & fidelibus, Vic. Kanc. & Rico. de R. \* salutem. Sciatis, quod in primo die Junii Page [30] anno Regni nostri 18. Pralati, Comites, Barones, & cate-Note, that this ri Magnates, de regno nostro conceditur, pro se & tota com- was in respect munitate ejustem Regni in pleno Parliamento nostro, nobis that they were concesserunt 40 s. de singulis seodis militum in dicto Regno discharged of ad auxilium ad primogenitam filiam nostram maritand. le-tion forSocage, vandos, sicut hujusmodi auxilium alias in casu consimul. which I conlevari consucvit, cui quidem levationi faciend, pro dicta ceive was for the Difficulty to

com- find the Sotage Tenure.

#### In the Common Pleas. PART XIII.

communitatis easiamento bucusque supersedimus faciend. gratiose assignavimus vos ad pradictum auxilium, &c. Note that his eldest Daughter was married to the Earl of Bar.

Ibid. T. R. 34 E. 1. De auxilio concesso ad militiam si-

lii Regis.

Ibid. Hill. 4 H. 4. Rot. 19. De rationabili auxilio de Will. Domino Roos, for the Marriage of Blanch the King's eldest Daughter, out of the Manor of Wragby in the County of Lincoln: The like M. Rot. 5 H. 4. Rot. 33. Lincoln. and Rot. 34. Lincoln. and Rot. 35. Lincoln. and Tr. R. 5 H. 4. Rot. 2. Kanc. and Rot. 3. Kanc. and Rot. 5. Kanc.

See ibid. P. R. 21 E. 3. Rot. Cantab. de auxilio ad filium Regis primogenitum milit. faciend. per Epif-copum Eliensem: By which it appeareth, that a Bishop for his Lands which he holdeth by Knights Service, or Socage, shall pay Aid: But those who hold by Frankalmoign, or by Divine Service, shall not pay Aid, as before is faid.

See ibid. 20 E. 3. Rot. 13 and 14. de auxiliando ad primogenitum filium Regis militem faciend. and Collectors thereupon appointed. By all which before cited, it appeareth, that Tenure in Burgage is subject to the Payment of Aid. And note, that a great Part of London was Abby or Chauntry Land, and the Lands of Persons attainted: And all those which are immediately holden of the King by Knights Service, or in Socage, shall be contributary to the Payment of Aid, &c.

# XI. Hill. 6 Jacobi Regis.

### Prohibitions.

President of

JPON Wednesday, being Ashwednesday, the of February, 1606. A great Complaint was made by See 4 Inst. 242, the President of York unto the King, That the Judges of 12 Co. 48, 50, the Common Law had, in Contempt of the Command of the King the last Term, granted fixty or fifty Prohibitions at the least out of the Common Pleas to the President and Council of York after the fixth Day of February, and named three in particular, (scil.) one between Bell and Thawptes, another between Snell and Huet, and another

in an Information of a riotous Rescue preferred by English Bill by the Attorney General against Christopher Dickenfon, one of the Sheriffs of York, and divers others, in rescuing of one William Watson out of the Custody of the Deputy of one of the Pursuivants of the same Counsel who had arrested the said Watson by Force of a Commission of Rebellion awarded by the Prefident and Counfel, which Prohibition in the said Information was (as was affirmed) denied upon a Motion made in the King's Bench the last Term, and yet granted by us. And the King sent for me to answer to that Complaint: And I only, all the rest of the Justices being absent, waited upon the King in the Chamber near the Gallery; who, in the Presence of Egerton Lord Chancellor, the Earl of Salisbury Lord Treasurer, the Lord of Northampton Lord Privy Seal, the Earl of Suffolk Lord Chamberlain, the Earl of Worcester, the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Lord Wotton, and others of his Counsel, rehearfed to me the Complaint aforefaid: And I perceived well, that upon the \* faid Information he had Page [31] conceived great Displeasure against the Judges of the Common Pleas, and chiefly against me; to which I (having the Copy of the Complaint fent to me by the Lord Treafurer the Sabbath-day before) answered in this Manner. That I had, with as much Brevity as the Time would permit, made Search in the Offices of the Preignothories of the Common Pleas: And as to the faid Cases between Rell and Thamptes, and Snell and Huet, no fuch could be found: But my Intent was not to take Advantage of a Misprisal: And the Truth was, that the fixth Day of February the Court of Common Pleas had granted a Prohibition to the Prefident and Council of York, between Lock Plaintiff, and Bell and others Defendants: And that was, A Replevin in English was granted by the said President and Council. which I affirmed was utterly against Law: For at the Common Law no Replevin ought to be made, but by original Writ directed to the Sheriff. And the Statute of Marlbridge, cap. 21. and Westm. 1. cap. 17. hath authorized the Sheriff upon Plaint made to him, to make a Replevin; and all that appeareth by the faid Statutes, and by the Books of 29 E. 3. 21. 8 Eliz. Dyer 245. And the King neither by his Instructions had made the President and Counsel Sheriffs, nor could grant to them Power to make a Replevin against the Law, nor against the faid Acts of Parliament; but the same ought to be made by the Sheriff. And all that was affirmed by the Lord Chancellor. for very good Law: And I faid, that it might well be that we have granted other Prohibitions in other Cases of Eng-

lish Replevins. Another Prohibition I confess we have granted between Sir Bethel Knight, now Sheriff of the County of Tork, as Executor to one Stephenson, who had made him and another his Executor, and preferred an English Bill against Chambers, and divers others of the Nature of an Action upon Case, upon a Trover and Conversion in the Life of the Testator of Goods and Chattels, to the Value of 1000 l. and because the other Executor would not join with him, although he was named in the Bill, he had not any Remedy at the Common Law, he prayed Remedy there in Equity: And I say, that the President and Council have not any Authority to proceed in that Case, for divers Causes.

1. Because there is an express Limitation in their Commission, that they shall not hold Plea between Party and Party, &c. unless both Parties, or one of them, tanta paupertate funt gravati, that they cannot sue at the Common Law: And in that Case the Plaintiff was a Knight, and

Sheriff, and a Man of great Ability.

2. By that Suit the King was deceived of his Fine, for he ought to have had 200 l. Fine, because that the Damages amounted to 4000 l. and that was one of the Causes that the Sheriff began his Suit there, and not at the Common Law: Another Cause was, that their Decrees which they take upon them are final and uncontroulable, either by Error, or any other Remedy. And yet the President is a Nobleman, but not learned in the Law; and those which are of the Counsel there, although that they have the Countenance of Law, yet they are not learned in the Law; and nevertheless they take upon them final and uncontroulable Decrees in Matters of great Importance: For if they may deny Rolief to any at their Pleafure without Controulment, so they may do it by their final Decrees without Error, Appeal, or other Remedy: Which is not fo in the King's Courts where there are five Judges; for they can deny Judice to none who hath Right, nor give any Judgment, but the same is controulable by a Writ of Error, &c. \* And if we shall not grant Prohibitions in Cases where they hold Plea without Authority, then the Subjects shall be wrongfully oppressed without Law, and we denied to do them Justice: And their Ignorance in the Law appeareth by their Allowance of that Suit, foil. That the one Executor had no Remedy by the Common Law, because the other would not join in Suit with him at the Common Law: Whereas every one learned in the Law knoweth, that Summons and Severance lieth in any Suit brought as Executors: And this also in that particular Case was affirmed by the Lord Chancellor;

Page [32]

cellor; and he much inveighed against Actions brought there upon Trover and Conversion, and said, that they could not be found in our antient Books.

Another Prohibition I confess we have granted, between the L. Wharton, who by English Bill sued before the Counfel, Bancks, Buttermere, and others, for Fishing in his several Fishings in Darwent in the County of C. in the Nature of an Action of Trespass at the Common Law, to his Damage of 200 l. And for the Causes next before recited, and because the same was meerly determinable at the Common Law, we granted a Prohibition, and that also was allowed by the Lord Chancellor. And as to the Cafe of Information upon the riotous Rescous, I having forgotten to speak to that, the King himself asked what the Case was? To whom I answered, that the Case was, That one exhibited a Bill there in the Nature of an Action of Debt, upon a Mutuatus against Watson, who upon his Oath affirmed, that he had satisfied the Plaintiff, and that he owed him nothing, and yet because the Defendant did not deny the Debt, the Counsel decreed the same against him. and upon that Decree the Pursuivant was sent to arrest the faid Watson, who arrested him, upon which the Rescous was made: And because that the Suit was in the Nature of an Action of Debt upon a Mutuatus at the Common Law, and the Defendant at the Common Law might have waged his Law, of which the Defendant ought not to be barred by that English Bill, quia beneficium juris nemini est auferendum: The Prohibition was granted; and that was affirmed also by the Lord Chancellor: Whereupon I concluded, that if the principal Cause doth not belong unto them, all their Proceedings was coram non Judice, and then no Rescous could be done: But the Lord Chancellor faid, that though the same cannot be a Rescous, yet it was a Riot, which might be punished there: Which I denied, unless it were by Course of Law by Force of a Commission of Over and Terminer, and not by an English Bill; But to give the King full Satisfaction in that Point, the Truth is, the faid Case was debated in Court, and the Court inclined to grant a Prohibition in the faid Cafe; but the same was stayed to be better advised upon, so as no Prohibition was ever under Seal in the faid Cafe.

Also I confess, that we have granted divers Prohibitions to stay Suits there by English Bill upon penal Statutes: For the Manner of Profecution, as well for the Action, Process, &c. as for the Count, is to be pursued, and cannot be altered, and therefore without Question the Coun-

Repair of Bridges. &c. PART XIII.

Counsel in such Cases cannot hold Plea, which was alfo affirmed by the Lord Chancellor. And I faid, that it was resolved in the Reign of Queen Eliz. in Parot's Case, and now lately in the Case of the President and Council of Wales, That no Court of Equity can be erected at this Day without Act of Parliament. for the Reasons and Causes in the Report of the said Case of Parot.

Page [33]

And the King was well fatisfied with these Reasons and Causes of \* our Proceedings, who of his Grace gave me his Royal Hand, and I departed from thence in his Favour. And the Surmise of the Number, and that the Prohibition in the faid Case in the Information was denied in the King's Bench, was utterly denied; for the fame was moved when two Judges were in Court, who gave not any Opinion therein, but required Serjeant Hutton who moved it, to move the same again when the Court was full, &c.

# XII. Pasch. 7 Jac. Regis.

### Repair of Bridges, &c.

See 1 Hawk.

See I Hawk. NOTE, That this Term a Question was moved at ch. 7. sect. 1, 2, Norman Serjeants-Inn; Who by the Common Law ought Isalk. 358,359. to repair the Bridges, common Rivers, and Sewers, 12 Co. 30. and the Highways, and by what Means they shall be Farr. 54,98,&c. compelled to it; and first of the Bridges: And as to them it is to be known, That of Common Right all the Country shall be charged to the Reparation of a Bridge; and therewith agreeth 10 F. 3. 28. b. That a Bridge shall be levied by the whole Country, because it is a common Easement for the whole Country; and as to that Point, the Statute of 22 H. 8. cap. 5. was but an Affirmance of the Common Law: And this is true, when no other is bound by the Law to repair it, but he who hath the Toll of the Men or Cattle which pass over a Bridge or Causey, ought to repair the same, for he hath the Toll to that Purrose, Et qui sentit commodum sentire debet & onus;

PARTXIII. Repair of Bridges, &c.

and therewith agrees 14 E. 3. Bar 276. Also a Mati may be bounden to repair a Bridge, ratione Tenure of certain Land, but a particular Person cannot be bound by Prescription, Scil. That he and all his Ancestors have repaired the Bridge, if it be not in Respect of the Tenure of his Land, taking of Toll, or other Profit; for the Act of the Ancestor cannot charge the Heir without Profit. But an Abbot or other Corporation who hath a lawful Being may be charged, foil. That he and his Predecessors Time out of Mind, &c. have repaired the Bridge; for the Abbot and Covent may bind their Successors. Vide 21 E. 4. 28. 27 E. 3. 8. 22 Aff. 8. 5 H. 7. 3. And if an Abbot and his Predecessors Time out of Mind have repaired a Bridge of Alms, they shall be compelled to repair it; and therewith agreeth 10 Edw. 3. 28. So it is of a Highway of Common Right, all the Country ought for to repair it, because that the Country have their Ease and Passage by it, which stands with the Reason of the Case of the Bridge, but yet some may be particularly bounden to repair it as is aforefaid. He who Sewers hath the Land adjoining, ought of common Right with- Polt. 3, out Prescription to scour and cleanse the Ditches, next to the Way to his Land; and therewith agreeth the Book of 8 H. 7. 5. But he who hath Land adjoining without Prescription, is not bound to repair the Way. So of a common River, of common Right all who have Ease and Passage by it, ought to cleanse and scour it; for a common River is as a common Street, as it is said in 22 Ass. and 37 Ass. 10. But he who hath Land adjoining to the River is not bounden to cleanse the River, unless he hath the Benefit of it, scil. a Toll, or a Fishing, or other Profit. See 27 Aff. p. xc.

### \*XIII. Pasch. 7 Jacobi. Page [34]

### Sir William Read and Booth's Cafe.

Case of Forge- IN the great Case in the Star-chamber of a Forgery berry, &c. See I tween Sir William Read Plaintiff, and Roger Booth, ry, &c. See 1 Sal. 342, and Cutbert Booth, and others, Defendants; the Case was

i Hawk.ch.70. this :

fect.19,8cc 24. 4 Cd. 18. 5 Co. 2. Part 50,61. 10 Co. 103.

The faid Roger Booth 38 Eliz. was convicted in that 2 Hawk. ch. 8. Court of the Publication of a Writing under Seal, forged fect. 38. ch. 27. Court of the Publication of a Writing under Seal, torged fect. 28. ch. 43. in the Name of Sir *Thomas Gresham*, of a Rent-charge fect. 25. ch. 46. of an hundred Pounds, out of all his Lands and Tenements, to one Markham for ninety-nine Years, bearing Date the one and twentieth Year of Queen Elizabeth; the faid Reger knowing it to be forged. And afterwards the said Sir William Read exhibited the said Bill against the faid Booths and others, for Forging of another Writing under Seal bearing Date the twentieth of Eliz. in the Name of the faid Sir Thomas Gresham, purporting a Deed of Feoffment of all his Lands (except certain) to Sir Rowland Heyward and Edward Hoogon and their Heirs, to certain Uses; which was in Effect to the Use of Markham the younger and his Heirs: And for the Publication of the faid Writing, knowing the fame to be forged, was the Bill exhibited. And now upon the Hearing of the Cause in the Star-chamber this Term, these Doubts were moved upon the Statute of 5 Elize 1. If one who is convicted of Publication of a Deed of Feoffment or Rent-charge, knowing the same to be forged, again at another Day forge another Deed of Feoffment, or Rent-charge, if he be within the Case of Felony within the faid A& (which Doubt ariseth upon these Words (eftsoons) committed again any of the said Offences.) And therefore it was objected, that he ought to commit again the same Nature of Offence, scil. if he were convicted of Forgery he ought to forge again, and not only publish, knowing, &c. And if first he were convicted of Publishing, knowing, &c. he ought to offend again in Publication, knowing, &c. and not in Forgery, for (eftfoons) which is (iterum) implies that it ought to be of the same Nature of Offence. The second Doubt

PART XIII. Sir William Read and Booth's Cafe: was, if a Man committed two Forgeries, the one in 27 of Eliz. and the other in 38, and he is first convicted of the last, if he may be now impeached for the first. The third Doubt was, when Roger Booth was convicted in 38 Eliz. and afterwards is charged with a new Forgery in 37 Eliz. if the Witnesses proving in Truth that it was forged after the first Conviction, if the Stat-chamber hath Jurisdiction of it. The last Doubt was, when Cutbert Booth who never was convicted of Forgery before, if in Truth the Forgery was done, and so proved in 38 Eliz. if he might be convicted upon this Bill, because that the Forgery is alledged before that it was done. As to the first and second Doubts, it was resolved by the two Chief Justices and the Chief Baron, that if any one be convicted of Forgery or Publication of any Writing concerning Freehold, &c. within the first Branch; or concerning Interest or Term for Years, &c. within the second Branch, and be convicted, if afterwards he offend either against the first Branch or second, that the same is Felony: As if he forgeth a Writing concerning Interest for Years within the fecond Branch, and be convicted, and afterwards he forgeth a Charter of Feoffment within the first Branch, or e converso, \* that that is Felony, and that by express Page [35] Words of the Act; That if any Person or Persons being hereafter convicted or condemned of any of the faid Offences (which Words, any of the faid Offences, extend ro all the Offences mentioned before, either in the first Branch, or in the fecond Branch) by any the Ways or Means above limited, shall after any such Conviction or Condemnation, eftfoons commit or perpetrate any of the faid Offences, in Form aforesaid, which Words, Any of the faid Offences, &c. do extend to the Nature of all the Offences mentioned in the first and second Branches: But if one forge a Writing in 37 of Eliz. and afterwards he forge another in 38 of Eliz. yet it is not Felony, although that he forgeth many Writings one after the other, for by the express Words of the Act, it is not Felony. The Forgery, &c. which is Felony by the Act, ought to be after Conviction or Condemnation of a former Writing. As to the third Doubt, it was refolved, That the Allegation of the Time by the Plaintiff in the Bill, shall not alter the Offence, but shall give unto the Court Jurisdiction; but if it appeareth to the Court, that the Forgery or Publication was after the Sentence, then the Court shall surcease. As to the last Point, it was resolved, That the Time of the Forgery is not material, be it before or after the Offence in Truth com-E 2

mitted, if it be committed before the Exhibiting of the Bill; but if the Date of the Writing supposed to be forged had been mistaken, there the Defendant could not be condemned of a Deed of another Date, for that is not the Offence complained of in the Bill, of which the Court can give Sentence.

# XIV. Pasch. 7 Jac. Regis.

### The Case of Sewers.

Sewers. ant.33. See 5 Co. 2, part 100. 6 Co 20. 10 Co. 138,139 to 143.

THE Case was, That there was a Causey or Mill-stank of Stone in the River of Dee and City of Chester, which Causey before the Reign of King Edward the First, was erected for the necessary Maintenance of certain Mills, some of the Kings, and others of the Subiects at the End of the said Causey: And now a certain Decree was made by certain Commissioners of Sewers. for a Breach to be made of ten Poles in Length in the faid Causey, which Causey as it was admitted by both Parties was erected before the Reign of King Edward the First, and so hath continued until this Day without any Exaltation or Inhancing: And if by any Decree of the Commissioners by Force of any Statute, any Breach may be made in that Causey, was the Question. And it was referred by the Letters of the Lords of the Privy Council, to the two Chief Justices and the Chief Baron; and upon hearing of Counfel learned at divers Days, and good Confideration had in the Time of the last Vacation, of all the Statutes concerning Sewers, and upon Conference had among themselves, it was resolved as followeth.

1. Whereas it is provided by the Statute of Magna Charta, cap. 23. Quod omnes Kidelli deponantur de cætero per Thamesiam, & Medeweiam & per totam Augl. nisi per Costeram Maris. It was resolved, That that Statute extended only to Kidells, sc. open Wears for taking of Fish, but the first Statute which extended to pulling down, or abating of any Mills, Mill-stanks and Causeys, was the Statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. which Act appointed

appointed fuch only to be thrown down or abated, which were levied or erected in the Reign of King Edward the First, or after: \* But by the Statute made An. 1 H. 4. Page [36] cap. 12. upon Complaint in Parliament of the great Damages which have rifen by the outragious Inhancing of Mills, Mill-stanks, and other Impediments made and erected before the Reign of King Edward the First: The faid old Mills and Mill-stanks were appointed by Act then made to be furveyed, and fuch as were found to be much inhanced to be corrected and amended; faving always reasonable Substance of such Mills, Millstanks, Wears, &c. so in old Time made and levied: None of which Acts extended to the Case in Question; for that Causey was erected before the Reign of Edward the First, and never exalted or inhanced after the Erection of it: And the Statute of 12 H. 4. cap. 7. doth confirm all the said Acts, and by them the Generality of the Act of Magna Charta is restrained, as by the faid Acts appeareth. And by the Statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 5. None of the faid Acts as to the Cafe in Question is repealed; for first, the same Act appoints the Manner, Form, Tenor, and Effect of the Commisfion of Sewers, by which Power is given to the Commissioners to survey Walls, &c. Fences, Causeys, &c. Mills, &c. and then to correct, repair, amend, pull down or overthrow, or reform, as Cause requireth, according to their Wildoms and Discretions; and therein as well to ordain and do after the Form, Tenor and Effect of all and fingular the Statutes and Ordinances made before the first of March, in the twenty third Year of Henry the Eighth, as also to enquire by the Oaths of honest and lawful Men, &c, through whose Default the faid Hurts and Damages have happened, &c. By which it appeareth, That the Discretion of the Commissioners was limited, feil. to proceed according to the Statutes and Ordinances before made, &c. and also to reform, repair, and amend the faid Walls, &c. which by Force of that Word (faid hath Relation to the precedent Purview of the Act, &c. And further to reform, prostrate and overthrow all fuch Mills, &c. and other Impediments and Annoyances (aforefaid) as shall be found by Inquisition or by your Survey and Discretion to be excessive, i. c. hurtful; which Word (aforefaid) refers that Clause also to the precedent Purview, scil. such Impediments and Annoyances as are against the Statutes and Ordinances before made. Also it is further provided by the same Act, That all and every Statute, Act, and Ordinance  $\mathbf{E}_{3}$ 

The Case De Modo Decimandi, PART XIII heretofore made concerning the Premisses or any of them not being contrary to this present Act, nor heretofore repealed, shall from henceforth stand and be good and effectual for ever. But the said Acts of 25 E. 3. and I H. 4. are not contrary to any Clause of that Act, nor were repealed before: And always fuch Construction ought to be made, that one Part of the Act may agree with another, and all to fland together; and if they had intended a Repeal of the faid former Acts, they would not have repealed them by fuch general and doubtful Words, when they concerned the Inheritances of many Subjects: And according to this Resolution we certified the Lords of the Council, that the faid Statutes of 25 E. 3. and 1 of H. 4. remained yet in Force; and that the Authority given by the Commission of Sewers did not extend to Mills, Mill-stanks, Causeys, &c. erected before the Reign of King Ed. 1. unless that they have been inhanced and exalted above their former Height, and thereby made more prejudicial, &c. In which Case they are not to be overthrown or subverted, but to be reformed by abating the Excess and Inhancement only.

### \* XIV. Trin. 7 Jac. Regis. Page [37]

The Case De Modo Decimandi, and of Prohibitions debated before the King's Majesty.

De Modo Depost, 58. 2 Inst. 607. Gibton's Codex 1072. Wation's Clergyman 503, 509, &cc. 338, &cc. 143,&cc. 20 568.

n Ichard Bancroft Archbishop of Canterbury, accompasimandiant.12. K nied with the Bishop of London, the Bishop of Bath and Wells, the Bishop of Rochester, and divers Doctors of the Civil and Canon Law, as Dr. Dunn, Judge of the Archives; Dr. Bennet, Judge of the Prerogative, Dr. James, Dr. Martin, and divers other Doctors of the Civil and Canon Law, came attending upon them to the King to Whitehall the Thursday, Friday, and Saturday after Eafter Term in the Council-chamber; where the Chief Justice and my self, Daniel Judge of

PART XIII. and of Prohibitions, debated, &c.

of the Common Pleas, and Williams Judge of the King's Bench, by the Command of the King attended also: Where the King being affished by his Privy Council, all fitting at the Council-table, spake as a most gracious, good, and excellent Sovereign, to this Effect; As I would not suffer any Novelty or Innovations in my Courts of Justice Ecclesiastical and Temporal; so I will not have any of the Laws, which have had judicial Allownces in the Times of the Kings of England before me, to be forgotten, but to be put in Execution, And forasmuch as upon the Contentions between the Ecclesiastical and Temporal Courts great Trouble, Inconvenience, and Loss may arise to the Subjects of both Parts; namely, when the Controversy ariseth upon the Jurisdiction of my Courts of ordinary Justice; and because I am the Head of Justice immediately under God, and knowing what Hurt may grow to my Subjects of both Sides, when no private Case, but when the Jurisdictions of my Courts are drawn in Question, which in Effect concerneth all my Subjects, I thought that it stood with the Office of a King, which God hath committed to me, to hear the Controversies between the Bispops and other of his Clergy, and the Judges of the Laws of England, and to take Order, that for the Good and Quiet of his Subjects, that the one do not increach upon the other, but that every of them, hold themselves within their natural and local Jurisdiction, without Incroachment or Usurpation the one upon the other. And he faid, that the only Question then to be disputed was, If a Parson, or a Vicar of a Parish fueth one of his Parish in the Spiritual Court for Tithes in Kind, or Lay-fee, and the Defendant alledgeth a Custom or Prescription, De Modo Decimandi, if that Custom or Prescription, De Modo Decimandi, shall be tried and determined before the Judge Ecclesiastical where the Suit is begun; or a Prohibition lieth, to try the same by the Common Law. And the King directed, that we who were Judges should declare the Reasons and Causes of our Proceedings, and that he would hear the Authorities in the Law which we had to warrant our Proceedings in Granting of a Prohibition in Cases of Modo Decimandi. But the Archbishop of Canterbury kneeled before the King, and defired him, that he would hear him and others who are provided to speak in the Case for the Good of the Church of England; and the Archbishop himself inveighed much against two Things: 1. That a Modus Decimandi should be E 4

The Cafe De Modo Decimandi. PART XIII.

Page [38]

\* tried by a Jury, because that they themselves claim more or less a Modum Decimandi; so as in Effect they were Triers in their own Cause, or in the like Cases. 2. He inveighed much against the precipitate and hasty Trials by Juries, and after him Dr. Bennet, Judge of the Prerogative Court, made a large Invection against Prohibitions in Causis Ecclesiasticis: And that both Jurisdictions as well Ecclesiastical as Temporal were derived from the King; but all that which he spake out of the Book which Dr. Ridley hath lately published, I omit as impertinent: and he made five Reasons, why they should try a Modum Decimandi.

And the first and principal Reason was out of the Register, fo. 58. quia non est consonans rationi, quod cognitio accessarii in Curia Christianitatis impediatur ubi cognitio Cause principalis ad forum Ecclesiasticum noscitur pertinere. And the principal Cause is Right of Tithes, and the Plea of Modo Decimandi sounds in Satisfaction of Tithes; and therefore the Conusance of the original Cause, (scil.) the Right of Tithes appertaining to them, the Conusance of the Bar of Tithes, which he said was but the Accessary, and as it were dependant upon it, appertained also to them. And whereas it is said in the Bishop of Winchester's Case, in the second Part of my Reports, and 8 E. 4. 14. that

2 Co. 43, 44,

and as it were dependant upon it, appertained also to them. And whereas it is said in the Bishop of Winchester's Case, in the second Part of my Reports, and 8 E. 4. 14. that they would not accept of any Plea in Discharge of Tithes in the Spiritual Court, he said, that they would allow such Pleas in the Spiritual Court, and commonly had allowed them; and therefore he said, that that was the Mystery of Iniquity sounded upon a salse and seigned Foundation, and humbly desired the Reformation of that Error, for they would allow Modum Decimandi being duly proved before them.

flore.

2. There was great Inconveniency, that Laymen should be Triers of their own Customs, if a Modus Decimandi should be tried by Jurors; for they shall be upon the Matter Jurors (i. c. Judges) in their own Cause.

Polt, 58. Agres 12, 14. 3. That the Custom De modo Decimandi is of Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction and Conusance, for it is a Manner of Tithing, and all manner of Tithing belongs to Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction: and therefore he said, that the Judges, in their Answer to certain Objections made by the Archbishop of Canterbury, have confessed, that Suit may be had in Spiritual Courts pro modo Decimandi; and therefore the same is of Ecclesiastical Conusance; and by Consequence it shall be tried before the Ecclesiastical Judges: For if the Right of Tithes be of Ecclesiastical Conusance, and the Satisfaction also for them of the same Jurisdiction, the same shall be tried in the Ecclesiastical Court.

A. In

### PART XIII. and of Prohibitions, debated, &c.

4. In the Prohibitions of Modus Decimandi Averment is Averment, taken, That although the Plaintiff in the Prohibition offer- Antea 14. eth to prove Modum Decimandi, the Ecclesiastical Post. 44. Court doth refuse to allow of it, which was confessed to be a good Cause of Prohibition: But he said, they would allow the Plea De modo Decimandi in the Spiritual Court, and therefore cessante causa cessatit & effectus, and no Prohibition shall lie in the Case.

5. He faid, That he can shew many Consultations granted in the Cause De modo Decimandi, and a Consultation is of greater Force than a Prohibition; for Confultation, as the Word imports, is made by the Court with Confultation and Deliberation. And Bacon, Solicitor General, being (as it is faid) affigned with the Clergy by the King, argued before the King, and in Effect faid less than Dr. Bennet said before; but he vouched 1 R. 3. 4. the Opinion of Hussey, when the Original ought to begin in the Spritual Court, and afterwards a \* Thing cometh in Issue which is triable in our Law, Page [39] vet it shall be tried by their Law: As if a Man sueth for a Horse devised to him, and the Defendant faith, that the Devisor gave to him the faid Horse, the fame shall be tried there. And the Register 57 and 58. If a Man be condemned in Expenses in the Spiritual Court for laying violent Hands upon a Clerk, and afterwards the Defendant pays the Costs, and gets an Acquittance, and yet the Plaintiff sueth him against his Acquittance for the Costs, and he obtains a Prohibition, for that Acquittances and Deeds are to be determined in our Law, yet he shall have a Confultation, because that the Principal belongeth to them, 38 E. 3. 5. Right of Tithes between two spiritual Persons shall be determined in the Ecclesiastical Court. And 38 E. 3. 6. where the Right of Tithes comes in Debate between two spiritual Persons, the one claiming the Tithes as of common Right within his Parish, and the other claiming to be discharged by real Composition, the Ecclefiastical Court shall have Jurisdiction of it.

And the faid Judges made humble Suit to the King, That for as much as they perceived that the King in his Princely Wisdom did detest Innovations and Novelties, that he would vouchfase to suffer them with his gracious Favour, to inform him of one Innovation and Novelty which they conceived would tend to the Hindrance of the good Administration and Execution of Justice within his Realm.

### The Case De Modo Decimandi, PART XIII.

Your Majesty, for the great Zeal which you have to Justice, and for the due Administration thereof, hath constituted and made fourteen Judges, to whom you have committed not only the Administration of ordinary Justice of the Realm, but crimina læsæ Majestatis, touching your Royal Person; for the legal Proceeding: Also in Parliament we are called by Writ, to give to your Majesty and to the Lords of the Parliament our Advice and Council, when we are required: We two Chief Justices sit in the Star-Chamber, and are oftentimes called into the Chancery, Court of Wards, and other High Courts of Juflice: We in our Circuits do visit twice in the Year your Realm, and execute Justice according to your Laws: and if we who are your Publick Judges receive any Diminution of fuch Reverence and Respect in our Places, which our Predecessors had, we shall not be able to do you fuch acceptable Service as they did, without having fuch Reverence and Respect as Judges ought to have. The State of this Question is not in statu deliberativo, but in statu judiciali; it is not disputed de bono, but de vero, non de Lege fienda, sed de Lege lata; not to frame or devise new Laws, but to inform your Majesty what your Law of England is: And therefore it was never feen before, that when the Question is of the Law, that your Judges of the Law have been made Disputants with him who is inferior to them, who Day by Day plead before them at their feveral Courts at Westminster: and although we are not afraid to dispute with Mr. Bennet and Mr. Bacon, yet this Example being prime impressiomis, and your Majesty detesting Noveltics and Innovations, we leave it to your Grace and Princely Confideration. whether your Majesty will permit our answering in hoc statu judiciali, to this Charge upon your Publick Judges of the Realm? But in Obedience to your Majesty's Command, We, with your Majesty's gracious Favour, in most humble Manner will inform your Majesty touching the said Question, which we, and our Predecessors before us, have oftentimes adjudged upon judicial Proceedings in your Courts of Justice at Westminster: Which Judgments cannot be reversed or examined for any Error in Law, if \* not by a Writ of Error in a more high and supream. Court of Justice, upon legal and judicial Proceedings: And that is the ancient Law of England, as appeareth by the Statute of 4 H. 4. cap. 22.

Page [40]

And we being commanded to proceed; all that which was said by us, the Judges, was to this Effect, That the Trial De Modo Decimandi ought to be by the Common

Law

PART XIII. and of Probibitions, debated, &c.

Law by a Jury of twelve Men, it appeareth in three Manners: First, by the Common Law: Secondly, by Acts of Parliament: And lastly, by infinite Judgments and judicial Proceedings long Times past without any Impeachment or

Interruption.

But first it is to see, What is a Modus Decimandi? Modus Modus Deci-Decimandi is, when Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments mandi. have been given to the Parson and his Successors, or an an-See Watson's nual certain Sum, or other Profit, always, Time out of Clergyman, Mind, to the Parson and his Successors, in full Satisfaction 509, &c. and Discharge of all the Tithes in kind in such a Place; and fuch Manner of Tithing is now confessed by the other Party to be a good Bar of Tithes in kind.

2. That Modus Decimandi shall be tried by the Common Law, that is, that all Satisfactions given in Discharge of Tithes, shall be tried by the Common Law: And therefore put that which is the most common Case; That the Lord of the Manor of Dale prescribes to give to the Parson 40s. yearly, in full Satisfaction and Discharge of all Tithes growing and renewing within the Manor of Dale, at the Feast of Easter: The Parson sucth the Lord of the Manor of Dale for his Tithes of his Manor in-Kind, and he in Bar prescribes in manner ut supra: The Question is, if the Lord of the Manor of Dale may upon that have a Prohibition, for if the Prohibition lieth, then the Spiritual Court ought not to try it; for the End of the Prohibition is, That they do not try that which belongs to the Trial of the Common Law; the Words of the Prohibition being, that they would draw the same ad aliud examen.

First, The Law of England is divided into Common Law, Statute-Law, and Customs of England; and therefore the Customs of England are to be tried by the Trial

which the Law of England doth appoint.

Secondly, Prescriptions by the Law of the Holy Church, and by the Common Law, differ in the Times of Limitation; and therefore Prescriptions and Customs of England shall be tried by the Common Law. See 20 H. 6. fol. 17. 19 H. 3. Jurisdiction 28. The Bishop of Winchester brought a Writ of Annuity against the Archdeacon of Surry, and declared, how that he and his Ancestors were seifed by the Hands of the Defendant by Title of Prescription. and the Defendant demanded Judgment, if the Court would hold Jurisdiction being between Spiritual Perfons, &c. Stone Justice, Be affured, that upon Title of Prescription we will here hold Jurisdiction; and upon that, Wilby Chief Justice gave the Rule, Answer over: Upon which it follows, that if a Modus Decimandi, which

### The Case De Modo Decimandi, PART XIII.

is an annual Sum for Tithes by Prescription, comes in Debate between spiritual Persons, that the same shall be tried here: For the Rule of the Book is general, (scil.) upon Title of Prescription, We will hold Jurisdiction, and that is fortified with an Affeveration, Know assuredly; as if he should say, that it is so certain, that it is without Question. 32 E. 3. Jurisd. 26. There was a Vicar who had only Tithes and Oblations, and an Abbot claimed an Annuity or Pension of him by Prescription: And it was adjudged, that the same \* Prescription, although it was betwixt spiritual Persons, should be tried by the Common Law. Vide 22 H. 6. 46 and 47. A Prescription, that an Abbot Time out of Mind had found a Chaplain in his Chapel to say Divine Service, and to minister Sacraments, tried at the Common Law.

Page [41]

3. See the Record of 25 H. 3. cited in the Case of Modus Decimandi before; and see Register fol. 38. when Lands are given in Satisfaction and Discharge of Tithes.

4. See the Statute of Circumspette agatis, Decime debite, feu consuete, which proves that Tithes in Kind, and at

Modus by Custom, &c. differ.

5. 8 E. 4. 14. and F. N. B. 41. G. A Prohibition lieth for Lands given in Discharge of Tithes. 28 H. 3. 97. a. There Suit was for Tithes, and a Prohibition lieth, and so abridged by the Book, which of Necessity ought to be upon Matter De Modo Decimandi, or Discharge.

6. 7 E. 6. 79. If Tithes are fold for Money, by the Sale the Things Spiritual are made Temporal, and so in the Case De Modo Decimandi, 42 E. 3. 12. agrees.

7. 22 E. 3. 2. Because an Appropriation is mixt with the Temporalty, (scil.) the King's Letters Patent, the same ought to be shewed how, &c. otherwise of that which is meer Temporal: And so it is of real Composition, in which the Patron ought to join. Vide 11 H. 4. 85. Composition by Writing, that the one shall have the Tithes, and the other shall have Money, the Suit shall be at the Common Law.

Secondly, By Acrs of Parliament.

r. The said Act of Circumspecte agatis, which giveth Power to the Ecclesiastical Judge to sue for Tithes due first in Kind, or by Custom, i.e. Modus Decimandi: So as by Authority of that Act, although that the yearly Sum soundeth in the Temporalty, which was paid by Custom in Discharge of Tithes, yet because the same cometh in the Place of Tithes, and by Constitution, the Tithes are changed into Money, and the Parson hath not any Remedy

tut

PART XIII. and of Prohibitions, debated. &c.

for the same, which is the Modus Decimandi at the Common Law; for that Cause the Act is clear, that the same was a Doubt at the Common Law: And the Statute of Articuli Cleri, cap. 1. If corporal Penance be changed in panam pecuniariam, for that Pain Suit lieth in the Spiritual Court: For see Mich. 8 H. 3. Rot. 6. in Thefaur. A Prohibition lieth pro eo quod Rector de Chesterton robibition.

Antea 8. &c. exigit de Hugone de Logis de certa portione pro Decimis 17, &c. Molendinarum; so as it appeareth, it was a Doubt be- Post. 70. fore the faid Statute, if Suit lay in the Spiritual Court De Post 47, &c. Modo Decimandi. And by the Statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 20. it is provided and enacted, That every of the Subjects of this Realm, according to the Ecclefiastical Laws of the Church, and after the laudable Usages and Customs of the Parish, &c. shall yield and pay his Tithes, Offerings, and other Duties: And that for Substraction of any of the faid Tithes, Offerings, or other Duties, the Parfon, &c. may by due Process of the King's Ecclesiastical Laws, convent the Person offending before a competent Judge, having Authority to hear and determine the Right of Tithes, and also to compel him to yield the Duties, i. e. as well Modus Decimandi, by laudable Usage or Cufrom of the Parish, as Tithes in Kind: And with that in Effect agrees the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 7. By the Statute of 2 E. 3. c. 13. it is enacted, That every of the King's Subjects shall from henceforth, truly and justly, without Fraud or Guile, divide, &c. and pay all manner of their predial Tithes in their proper Kind, as they rife \* and hap- Page [42] pen in such Manner and Form as they have been of Right vielded and paid within 40 Years next before the Making of this Act, or of Right and Custom ought to have been paid. And after in the same Act there is this Clause and Proviso, Provided always, and be it enacted, That no Perfon shall be fued, or otherwise compelled to yield, give, or pay any manner of Tithes for any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, which by the Laws and Statutes of this Realm, or by any Privilege or Prescription, are not chargeable with the Payment of any fuch Tithes, or that be discharged by any Compositions real. And afterwards, there is another Branch in the faid Act; and be it further enacted. That if any Person do substract or withdraw any manner of Tithes, Obventions, Profits, Commodities, or other Duties before mentioned (which extends to Custom of Tithing, i. c. Modus Decimandi, mentioned before in the Act, &c.) that then the Party so substracting, Ec. may be convented and fued in the King's Ecclefiastical Court, &c. And upon the faid Branch, which is in the Negairve, That no Person shall be sued for any Tithes of any

Probibition.

The Case De Modo Decimandi, PART XIII. Lands which are not chargeable with the Payment of fuch Tithes by any Law, Statute, Privilege, Prescription, or real Composition. And always when an Act of Parliament commands or prohibits any Court, be it Temporal or Spiritual, to do any Thing temporal or spiritual, if the Statute be not obeved, a Prohibition lieth: As upon the Statute de articulis super Cartas, ca. 4. Quod communia Placita non tenentur in Scaccario: A Prohibition lieth to the Court of Exchequer, if the Barons hold a Common Plea there, as appeareth in the Register 187. b. So upon the Statute of Westm.2. Quod inquisitiones que magne sunt examinationis non capiantur in patria; a Prohibition lieth to the Justices of Nist prins. So upon the Statute of Articuli super Cartas, cap. 7. Quod Constabularius Castr. Dover, non teneat Placitum for insecum quod non tangit Custodiam Castri, Re-

450. a Prohibition was upon maintain; and fo upon every penal Law. Prohibition to the Common Charta that they do not proceed in a Writ of Præis not holden of the King. 1 & 2 Eliz. Dy. 170,171 Prohi-Statute of Barrenes, and Pettit is only prohibited by Implication. See 12 Co. 61, &c. ib.

gifter 185. So upon the same Statute, cap. 3. Quod Senescallus & Marifeallus non teneant Placita de libero tenemento. See Lib. Entr. de debito conventione, &c. a Prohibition lieth 185. And yer by none of these Statutes, is any Prohibition or Supersedeas. the Statutethar given by express Words of the Statute. So upon the Staone shall not tutes 13 R. 2. cap. 3. 15 R. 2. cap. 2. 2 H. 4. cap. 11. by which it is provided, That Admirals do not meddle with any Thing done within the Realm, but only with Things SeeF.N.B.39.B. done upon the Seas, &c. a Prohibition lieth to the Court of Admiralty. So upon the Statute of Westm. 2. cap. 43. a-Pleas upon the gainst Hospitalers and Templars, if they do against the Stat. of Magna same Statute, Regist. 39. a. So upon the Statute de probibitione regia, Ne laici ad citationem Episcopi conveniant ad recognitionem faciend. vel Sacrament. præstanda nisi in casibus marrimonialibus & Testamentariis, a Prohibition cipe in Capite, lieth. Regist. 36. b. And so upon the † Statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 3. at what Time the Libel is grantable by the Law, that it be granted and delivered to the Party without Difficulty, if the Ecclefiaftical Judge, when the Cause which debition upon the pends before him is meer Ecclefiastical, denieth the Libel, a Prohibition lieth, because that he doth is against the Statute; and yet no Prohibition by any express Words is given by the Statute. And upon the same Statute the Case was in 4 E. 2. 37, Pierce Peckam took Letters of Administration of the Goods of Rose Brown of the Bishop of London, and afterwards T.T. fued to Thomas Archbishop of Canterbury, That because the said Rose Brown had Goods within his Diocese, he prayed Letters of Administration to be committed to him, upon which the Bishop granted him Letters of Administration, and afterwards \* T.T. libelled in the Spiritual Court of the Archbishop in the Arches against

Pierce Peckham, to whom the Bishop of London had com-

mitted

Page [43]

### PART XIII. and of Prohibitions, debated, &c.

mitted Letters of Administration to repeal the same: And Pierce Peckham, according to the faid Statute, prayed a Copy of the Libel exhibited against him, and could not have it, and thereupon he fued a Prohibition, and upon that an Attachment: And there Catesby Serjeant moved the Court, that a Prohibition did not lie, for two Causes: r. That the Statute gives that the Libel shall be delivered, but doth not fay that the Plea in the Spiritual Court shall furcease by Prohibition. 2. The Statute is not intended of Matter meer spiritual, as that Case is, to try the Prerogative and the Liberty of the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Bishop of London, in committing of Administrations. And there Danby Chief Justice, If you will not deliver the Libel according to the Statute, you do Wrong, which Wrong is a temporal Matter, and punishable at the Common Law: and therefore in this Case the Party shall have a special Prohibition out of this Court, reciting the Matter, and the Statute aforesaid, commanding them to surcease, until he had the Copy of the Libel delivered unto him: Which Case is a stronger Case than the Case at the Bar, for that Statute is in the Affirmative, and the faid Act of 2 E. 6. cap. 13. is Sec 2 Inst. 648, in the Negative, scil. That no Suit shall be for any Tithes to 664. of any Land in Kind where there is Modus Decimandi, for that is the Effect of the faid Act, as to that Point. And always after the faid Act, in every Term in the whole Reigns of King E. 6. Queen Mary, and Queen Elizabeth, until this Day, Prohibitions have been granted in Causa Modi Decimandi, and Judgments given upon many of them, and all the same without Question made to the contrary. And accordingly all the Judges refolved in 7 E. 6. Dyer 79. Et contemporanca expositio est optima & fortissima in lege, & a communi observantia non est recedendum, & minime mu-

tanda sunt que certam habuerunt interpretationem. And as to the first Objection, that the Plea of Modus Decimandi is but accessary to the Right of Tithes; it was refolved, that the same was of no Force, for three Causes.

1. In this Case, admitting that there is a Modus Deci- A Modus exmandi, then by the Custom, and by the Act of 2 E. 6. tinguishes. Tithes in Kind. and the other Acts, the Tithes in Kind are extinct and dif- Antea 13, 14, charged; for one and the same Land cannot be subject to 16. two Manner of Tithes, but the Modus Decimandi is all the Tithe with which the Land is chargeable: As if a Horse or other Thing valuable be given in Satisfaction of the Duty, the Duty is extinct and gone: And it shall be intended, that the Modus Decimandi began at the first by real Composition, by which the Lands were discharged of the Tithes, and a yearly Sum in Satisfaction of them affigned

The Case De Modo Decimandi, PART XIII. figned to the Parson, &c. So as in this Case there is neither Principal nor Accessary, but an Identity of the same Thing.

2. The Statute of 2 E. 6. being a Prohibition in it self, and that in the Negative, if the Ecclesiastical Judge doth against it, a Prohibition lieth, as it appeareth clearly before.

3. Although that the Rule be general, yet it appeareth by the Register it felf, that a Modus Decimandi is out of it; for there is a Prohibition in Causa Modi Decimandi.

when Lands are given in Satisfaction of the Tithes.

Page [44]

As to the fecond Objection, it was answered and refolved, That that was from, or out of the Question; for status Quæstionis non est \* deliberativus sed judicialis, what was fit and convenient, but what the Law is: And yet it was faid, It shall be more inconvenient to have an Ecclesiaflical Judge, who is not fworn to do Justice, to give Sentence in a Case between a Man of the Clergy and a Layman, than for twelve Men fworn to give their Verdict upon Hearing of Witnesses viva voce, before an indifferent Judge, who is fworn to do Right and Justice to both Parries: But convenient or inconvenient is not the Question: Also they have in the Spiritual Court such infinite Exceptions to Witnesses, that it is at the Will of the Judge with

which Party he shall give his Sentence.

As to the third Objection, it was answered and resolved: First, That satisfactio pecuniaria of it self is Temporal: But for as much as the Parlon hath not Remedy pro Modo Decimandi at the Common Law, the Parson by Force of the Acts cited before might sue pro Modo Decimandi in the Ecclesiastical Court: But that doth not prove, That if he sueth for Tithes in Kind, which are utterly extinct, and the Land discharged of them, that upon the Plea De Modo Decimandi, a Prohibition should not lie, for that without all Question it appeareth by all that which before hath been said, that a Prohibition doth lie. See also 12 H. 7. 24. b. Where the original Cause is the Spiritual, and they proceed upon a Temporal, a Prohibition lieth. See 39 E. 3. 22 E. 4. Consultation, That Right of Tithes which is meerly Ecclefiastical, yet if the Question ariseth of the Limits of a Parish, a Prohibition lieth: And this Case of the Limits of a Parish was granted by the Lord Chancellor, and not denied by the other Side.

Antea 14, 38.

As to the fourth Objection, that an Averment is taken of the Refusal of the Plea De Modo Decimandi; it was answered and resolved, That the same is of no Force for divers Causes:

2.

### PART XIII. and of Prohibitions, debated, &c.

1. It is only to inforce the Contempt.

2. If the Spiritual Court ought to have the Trial de See the Process Modo Decimandi, then the Refusal of Acceptance of such to Gibson's Coa Plea should give Cause of Appeal, and not of Prohibition: Codex, and the Codex, p. 70%, As if an Excommunication, Divorce, Herefy, Simony, &c. 735.

Watton's Clere be pleaded there, and the Plea refused, the same gives no Cause of Prohibition: As, if they deny any Plea, meer Spi-gyman, chap, rigual Appeal and p. Prohibition lies 1 ritual Appeal, and no Prohibition lieth.

3. From the Beginning of the Law, no Issue was ever taken upon the Refusal of the Plea in Causa Modi Decimandi, nor any Consultation ever granted to them, because

they did not refuse, but allowed the Plea.

4. The Refusal is no Part of the Matter issuable or material in the Plea; for the same is no Part of the Suggeflion which only is the Substance of the Plea: And therefore the Modus Decimandi is proved by two Witnesses, according to the Statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 13. and not the Refusal, which proveth, that the Modus Decimandi is only the Matter of the Suggestion, and not the Refusal.

5. All the faid five Matters of Discharge of Tithes mentioned in the faid Branch of the Act of 2 E. 6. being contained within a Suggestion, ought to be proved by two Witnesses, and so have been always from the Time of the Making of the faid Act; and therefore the Stat. of 2 E. 6. clearly intended, that Prohibitions should be granted in

fuch Caufes.

6. Although that they would allow bona fide de Modo Decimandi, without Refusal, yet if the Parson sueth there for Tithes in Kind, when the Modus is proved, the fame being expresly prohibited by the \* Act of 2 E. 6. a Prohibi- Page [49] tion lieth, although the Modus be spiritual, as appeareth by the said Book of 4 E. 4. 37. and other the Cases aforefaid.

And afterwards, in the third Day of Debate of this Case Third Days before his gracious Majesty, Dr. Bennet and Dr. Martin Debate. had referved divers Confultations granted in Causa Modi Decimandi, thinking that those would make a great Impression in the Opinion of the King: And thereupon they faid, That Confultations were the Judgments of Courts had upon Deliberation, whereas Prohibitions were only granted upon Surmises: And they shewed four Precedents:

One, where three jointly fued a Prohibition in the Cafe of Modo Decimandi, and the Confultation faith, Pro eo quod suggestio materiaque in eadem contenta minus sufficiens

in Lege existit. Ec.

2. Another in Causa Modi Decimandi, to be paid to the Parson or Vicar. 3. Where

The Case De Modo Decimandi, PART XIII.

3. Where the Parson sued for Tithes in Kind, and the Defendant alledged Modus Decimandi to be paid to the Vicar.

The Fourth, where the Parson libelled for Tithe Wool, and the Defendant alledged a Custom, to reap Corn, and to make it into Sheaves, and to set forth the tenth Sheaf at his Charges, and likewise of Hay, to sever it from the nine Cocks at his Charge, in full Satisfaction of the Tithes of the Corn, Hay, and Wool.

To which I answered, and humbly defired the King's Majesty to observe that these have been reserved for the last, and center Point of their Proof: And by them your

Majesty shall observe these Things:

1. That the King's Courts do them Justice, when with

their Consciences and Oaths they can.

2. That all the faid Cases are clear in the Judgment of those who are learned in the Laws, that Consultation

ought by the Law to be granted.

For as unto the first Precedent, the Case upon their own Shewing appeareth to be, Three Persons joined in one Prohibition for three several Parcels of Land, each of which had a several Manner of Tithing; and for that Cause they could not join, when their Interests were several; and therefore a Consultation was granted.

As to the second Precedent, The Manner of Tithing was alledged to be paid to the Parson or Vicar, which was alto-

gether uncertain.

As to the third Precedent, The Modus never came in Debate, but whether the Tithes did belong to the Parson or Vicar? Which being betwixt two spiritual Persons, the Ecclesiastical Court shall have Jurisdiction: And therewith agreeth 38 E. 3. 6. cited before by Bacon: And also there the Prior was of the Order of the Cistertians; for if the Tithes originally belonged to the Parson, any Recompence for them shall not bar the Parson.

As to the last Precedent, the same was upon the Matter of a Custom of a Medus Decimandi for Wool: For to pay the Tithe of Corn or Hay in Kind, in Satisfaction of Corn, Hay and Wool, cannot be a Satisfaction for the Wool; for the other Two were due of common Right; and all this appeareth in the Consultations themselves, which they shew, but understand not. To which the Bishop of London said, that the Words of the Consultation were, Quod suggestio pred. materiag; in eadem contenta minus sufficiens in Lege existit, Ec. so as materia cannot be referred to Form, and therefore it ought to extend to the Modus Decimandi.

### PART XIII. and of Prohibitions, debated, &c.

\* To which I answered, That when the Matter is insufficient- Page [46] ly or uncertainly alledged, the Matter it felf faileth; for Matter ought to be alledged in a good Sentence: And altho' the Matter be in Truth sufficient, yet if it were insufficiently alledged, the Plea wanteth Matter. And the Lord Treasurer said openly to them, that he admired that they would alledge fuch Things which made more against them than any Thing which had been faid. And when the King relied upon the faid Prohibition in the Register, when Land is given in Discharge of Tithes, the Lord Chancellor said, that that was not like to this Case; for there, by the Gift of the Land in Discharge of Tithes, the Tithes were actually Gibson's Codischarged: But in the Case de Modo Decimandi, an annual Watson's Clere Sum is paid for the Tithes, and the Land remains charged gyman 552 to with the Tithes, but ought to be discharged by Plea de Mo- 588. do Decimandi: All which was utterly denied by me; for the Land was as absolutely discharged of the Tithes in casu de Modo Decimandi, when an annual Sum ought to be paid, as where Land is given: For all the Records and Precedents of Prohibition in fuch Cases are, That such a Sum had been always, &c. paid in plenam contentationem, fatisfactionem & exonerationem omnium & singularum Decimarum, &c. And although that the Sum be not paid, yet the Parlon cannot fue for Tithes in Kind, but for the Money: For, as it hath been faid before, the Custom and the faid Acts of Parliament (where there is a lawful Manner of Tithing) hath discharged the Lands from Tithes in Kind, and prohibited, that no Suit shall be for them. And altho that now (as it hath been faid) the Parsons, &c., may sue in the Spiritual Court pro Modo Decimandi, yet without Question, at the first, the annual Payment of Money was as Temporal, as annual Profits of Lands were: All which the King heard with much Patience. And the Lord Chancellor answered not to that which I had answered him in, &c.

And after that his most excellent Majesty, with all his Counsel, had for three Days together heard the Allegations on both Sides, He said, That he would maintain the Law of England, and that his Judges should have as great respect from all his Subjects as their Predecessors had had: And for the Matter, he faid, that for any Thing that had been faid on the Part of the Clergy, that he was not fatisfied: And advised us his Judges to confer amongst our selves, and that nothing be encroached upon the Ecclefiastical Jurisdiction, and that they keep themselves within their lawful Jurisdiction, without unjust Vexation and Molestation done to his Subjects, and without Delay or Hindering of Justice. this was the End of these three Days Consultations.

And

The Case de Modo Decimandi, &c. PART XIII.

And note, That Dr. Bennet in his Discourse inveighed much against the Opinion in 8 E. 4. 14. and in my Reports in Wright's Case, That the Ecclesiastical Judge would not allow a Modus Decimandi; and faid, That that was the Mystery of Iniquity, and that they would allow it. And the King asked, for what Caufe it was faid in the faid Books? To which I answered, that it appeareth in Linwood, who was Dean of the Arches, and of so profound Knowledge in the Canon and Civil Law, and who wrote in the Reign of King Henry the Sixth, a little before the faid Case in 8 E.4. in his Title de Decimis, cap. Quoniam propter, &c. fol. 129.b. Quod Decime solvantur, &c. absq; ulla diminutione: And in the Gloss it is said, Quod Consuetudo de non Decimando, aut de non bene Decimando non valet. And that being written by a great Canonist of England, was the Cause of the faid faying in 8 E. 4. that they would not allow the faid Plea de Modo Decimandi; for always the Modus \* Decimandi is less in Value than the Tithes in specie, and then the same is against their Canon; Quod decime solvantur absque diminutione, & quod consuetudo de non plene Decimando non valet. And it seemed to the King, that that Book was a good Cause for them in the Time of King Edward the Fourth to fay, as they had faid; but I faid, That I did not rely upon that, but upon the Grounds aforesaid, (scil.) The Common Law, Statute Laws, and the continual and infinite Judgments and judicial Proceedings; and that if any Canon or Conflitution be against the same, such Canon and Constitution, &c. is void by the Statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 19. which fee and note: For all Canons, Constitutions, Ec. against the Prerogative of the King, the common Laws, Statutes, or Customs of the Realm are void.

Antea 17.

Page [47]

Antea 13, 15,

17.

High Commif-Antea 9, 10. 12 Co.51 to 55. 84 to 89. Gibion's Codex 50, 54, 56, 58, 59, &cc.

Lastly, the King said; That the High Commission ought not to meddle with any Thing but that which is enormous and exorbitant, and cannot permit the ordinary Process of the Ecclefiastical Law; and which the same Law cannot punish. And that was the Cause of the Institution of the same Commission, and therefore, although every Offence, ex vi termini, is enormous, yet in the Statute it is to be intended of such an Offence, as is extra omnem normam, as Herefy, Schism, Incest, and the like great Offences: For the King faid, That it was not Reason that the High Commission should have Conusance of common Offences, but to leave them to Ordinaries, feil. because that the Party cannot have an Appeal in Case the High Commission shall determine of it. And the King thought that two High Commissions, for either Province one, should be sufficient for all England, and no more.

XV. Mich:

## XV. Mich. 39 & 40 Eliz.

### In the King's Bench.

#### Bedell and Sherman's Cafe.

MICH. 39 & 40 Eliz. which is entred Mich. 40 Eliz. Subfraction of in the Common Pleas, Rot. 699. Cantabr. the Case Tithes. Gibson's Cowas this; Robert Bedel, Gent. and Sarah his Wife, Far dex 718, &c. mers of the Rectory of Litlington in the County of 826. ant. 23. Cambridge, brought an Action of Debt against John Sherman, in the Custody of the Marshal of the Marshalfea, and demanded 550 l. and declared, that the Master and Fellows of Clare-hall in Cambridge, were feifed of the faid Rectory in Fee, in Right of the faid College, and in Fune 10. 29 Eliz. by Indenture demised to Christopher Phesant the said Rectory for 21 Years, rendering 17 1. 15 s. 5 d. and referving Rent-corn according to the Statute, &c. which Kent was the ancient Rent, who entered into the faid Rectory, and was possessed, and assigned all his Interest thereof to one Matthew Batt, who made his last Will and Testament, and made Sarah his Wife his Executrix, and died; Sarah proved the Will, and entered, and was thereof possessed as Executrix, and took to Hufband the faid Robert Bedel, by Force whereof, they in the Right of the faid Sarah entered, and were possessed thereof; and that the Defendant was then Tenant, and feifed for his Life of 300 Acres of arable Lands in Litlington aforesaid, which ought to pay Tithes to the Rector of Litlington; and in anno 38 Eliz. the Defendant grano Cominavit 200 Acres, Parcel, &c. And that the Tithes of the same did amount to 150 l. and that the Defendant did not divide nor fet forth the same from the 9 Parts, but took and carried them away, against the Form and Effeet \* of the Statute of 2 E. 6, Sc. And the Defendant Page [48] pleaded Nibil debet, and the Jury found that the Defendant did owe 55 l. and to the Refidue they found Nibil debet, &c. and in Arrest of Judgment, divers Matters were moved.

P 3

1. That Grano seminata is too general and incertain, but it ought to be expressed with what Kind of Corn the same was sowed.

Ant. 24.

2. It was moved, If the Parson ought to have the treble Value, the Forseiture being by express Words limited to none by the Act, or that the same did belong to the Queen.

3. If the same did belong to the Parson, if he ought to sue for the same in the Ecclesiastical Court, or in the

King's Temporal Court.

4. If the Husband and Wife should join in the Action, or the Husband alone should have the Action, and upon solemn Argument at the Bar and at the Bench, the Judgment was affirmed.

### XVI, Trin. 7 Jac.

### In the Court of Wards.

### John Bailie's Case.

Diem clausig extremum. 12 Co. 102. 8 Co. 168. IT was found by Writ of Diem clausit extremum, That the faid John Bailie was seised of a Messuage or Tenement, and of and in the fourth Part of one Acre of Land, late Parcel of the Demesse Lands of the Manor of Newton, in the County of Hereford, in his Demesse as of Fee, and found the other Points of the Writ; and it was holden by the two Chief Justices, and the Chief Barons.

1 Sal. 169.

1. That Meffuagium, vel Tenementum, is uncertain; for Tenementum is nomen collectivum, and may contain Land, or any Thing which is holden.

Haft. 30, 72.

2. It was holden, that it was void for the Whole, because that no Town is mentioned in the Office where the Messuage or Tenement, or the fourth Part of the Acre lieth; and from the Visne of the Manor upon a Traverse none can come, because it is not affirmed by the Office, that they are Parcel of the Manor, but nuper Parcel of the Manor, which implieth, that now they are not, and it was holden by them, that no Melius inquirendum shall issue forth, because that the whole Office is incertain and void.

3 Cd/158.

XVII. Trin.

## XVII. Trin. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Court of Wards.

### Covenant to Uses.

THE Attorney of the Court of Wards moved the two 4 Mod. 153.

Chief Tuffices and Chief Baron in this Cafe. That 2 Sal. 675. to Chief Justices and Chief Baron in this Case, That 679. a Man seised of Lands in Fee-simple, covenants for the I Vent. 137, Advancement of his Son, and of his Name, and Blood, 138, &c. and Posterity, that he will stand seised of them, to the Videpost, 50,55 Use of himself for the Term of his Life, and after to Parliament Cathe Use of his eldest Son, and to such a Woman which ses 104. he shall marry, and to the Heirs Males of the Body of the Son and afterwards the Father dieth, and after the Son taketh a Wife and dieth; if the Wife shall take an Estate for Life, and the Doubt was, because the Wife of the Son was not within the Confiderations, and the Use was limited to one who was capable, fcil. the Son, and to another who was not capable, and therefore the Son should take an Estate in Tail executed. But it was refolved by the faid two Chief Justices and Chief \* Baron, Pege [49] That the Wife should take well enough; and as to the first Reason, they resolved, That the Wife was within the Confideration, for the Confideration was for the Advancement of his Posterity; and without a Wife, the Son cannot have Posterity: Also when the Wife of the Son is fure of a Jointure, the same is for the Advancement of the Son, for thereby he shall have the better Marriage. And as to the fecond, it was resolved, that the Estate of the Son shall support the Use to the Defendant; and when the Contingent happeneth, the Estate of the Son shall be changed according to the Limitation, scil. to the Son and the Woman, and the Heirs of the Body of the Son: And fo it was refolved in the King's Bench by Popham Chief Justice, and the whole Court of the King's Bench, in the Reign of Queen Eliz. in Sheffield's Case, for both Points.

## XVIII. Trin. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Court of Wards.

#### SPARY'S Cafe.

Office. Mean 4 Co. 54 7 Co. 21.

CYOHN Spary seised in Fee in the Right of his Wife Profits, videin. J of Lands holden of the Crown by Knight's Service, fra. 3Inst. 216. 4 Inst. 196,197, had Issue by her, and 22 Decemb. anno 9 Eliz. aliened to J of Lands holden of the Crown by Knight's Service, 297, 200, 207. Edward Lord Stafford; the Wife died, the Issue of full Age, the Lands continue in the Hands of the Alience, or his Affigns; and ten Years after the Death of the Fag Co. 114,115. ther, and twelve Years after the Death of the Mother, Office is found, 7 facobi, finding all the special Matter after the Death of the Mother; the Question was, Whether the mean Profits are to be answered to the King? And it was resolved by the said two Chief Justices and the Chief Baron, that the King should not have the mean Profits, because that the Alienee was in by Title; and until Entry the Heir hath no Remedy for the mean Profits, but that the King might feife and make a Livery, because that the Entry of the Heir is lawful by the Statute of 22 H. 8.

## XIX. Trin. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Court of Wards.

Office, &c. y Init. 216. 4 Inst. 196, 197, 200. Vi-de supra, & 2 Co. 93, 94 3Co 31,34,66. 6 t o. 76. 8Co 164,165, 173. 9 Co.126, 152. 10 Co.80, \$ 1, 85c.

T was found by Force of a Mandamus at Kendal in the County of Westmorland the 21st of December, 6 Jacobi Regis, That George Earl of Cumberland, long before his Death, was seised in Tail to him and to the Heirs Males of his Body, of the Castles and Manors of Browham, Applely, &c, the Remainder to Sir Ingram Clifford, with

#### In the Court of Wards. PART XIII.

with divers Remainders over in Tail: the Remainder to the right Heirs of Henry Earl of Cumberland, Father of the faid George; and that the faid George, Earl, so seised by Fine and Recovery, coveyed them to the Use of himfelf and Margaret his Wife for their Lives, for the Jointure of the faid Margaret; and afterwards to the Heirs Males of the Body of Geo. Earl of Cumberland, and for Want of such Issue to the Use of Francis now Earl of Cumberland. and to the Heirs Males of his Body begotten; and for Want of fuch Issue, to the Use of the right Heirs of the said George; and afterwards, by another Indenture, conveyed the Fee-fimple to Francis, Earl; by Force of which, and of \* the Statute of Uses they were seised accordingly: And Page [50] afterwards, 30 Octob. anno 3 Jacobi, the said George Earl of Cumberland died without Heir Male of his Body lawfully begotten: And further found, that Margaret, Countels of Cumberland, that now is, was alive, and took the Profits of the Premisses from the Death of the said George Earl of Cumberland until the Taking of that Inquisition; and further found the other Points of the Writ.

And first it was objected, that here was no Dying seised Vide post, 72. found by Office, and therefore the Office shall be infusfi- ant. 48. cient: But as to that it was answered and resolved. That by this Office the King was not intitled by the Common Law, for then a Dying feifed, or at least a Dying the Day of his Death was necessary: But this Office is to be maintained upon the Statute of 32 and 34 H. 8. by Force Stat. 32 H. 8. c. 1. of which no Dying seised is requisite, but rather the con- See 2 Co. 93, trary, feil. if the Land be (as this Cafe is) conveyed to 94, &c. the Wife, &c. And fo it was refolved in Vincent's Cafe. anno 23 Eliz, where all the Lands holden in Capite was conveyed to the younger Son, and yet the eldest Son was in Ward, notwithstanding that nothing descended.

The fecond Objection was, It doth not appear that the Estate of the Wife continued in her until the Death of the Earl, for the Husband and Wife had aliened the same to another; and then no primer Seifin shall be, as it is

agreed in Bingham's Cafe,

As to that, it was answered and resolved. That the Office was fufficient prima facie for the King, because it is a Thing collateral, and no Point of the Writ; and if any fuch Alienation be (which shall not be intended) then the same shall come in of the other Part of the Alienee by a Monstrans de droit; and the Case at Bar is a fronger Case, because it is found, that the faid Counters See 1 Co. sec. took the Profits of the Premisses from the Death of \$3,158, 173. George the Earl, until the Finding of the Office. XX. Tria.

4 Co 54. Sec. 7 Co. 16, 500.

## XX. Trin. 7 Jac.

### In the Court of Wards.

#### Wills's Cafe.

Uses. 1 Co. 121, 127, 140. 2 Co. 57, 58. 6 Co. 64. 7 Co.13 & 34. Vide ant. 48. post. 55, 56.

JEnry Wills, being seised of the fourth Part of the Manor of Wryland in the County of Devon, holden of Oueen Elizabeth in Socage-tenure in Capite of the faid fourth Part enfeoffed Zachary Irish and others, and their Heirs, to the Use of the said Henry for the Term of his Life, and afterwards to the Use of Tho. Wills his 2d Son in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of Richard Wills his youngest Son in Tail; and for Default of fuch Issue, to the Use of the right Heirs of the faid Henry; and afterwards the faid Henry to feifed as abovefaid died thereof feifed, William Wills being his Son and Heir of full Age; Thomas the second Son entered as into his Remainder: All this Matter is found by Office, and the Question was, If the King ought to have primer Seisin in this Case, and that Livery or Ouster le main shall be sued in this Case by the Statutes of 32 and 34 H. 8. And it was resolved by the two Chief Tuffices and the Chief Baron, that not; if in this Case by the Common Law no Livery or Ouster le main shall be sued; and that was agreed by them all by the Experience and Course of the Court. See 21 Eliz. Dyer 362. If Tenant in Socage dieth seised in \*Possession, his Heir within the Age of fourteen Years, he shall not sue Livery, but shall have an Ouster le main, una cum exitibus; but otherwise it is, if the Heir be of the Age of fourteen Years, which is his full Age for Socage; and therewith agreeth 4 Eliz. Dyer 213.

Page [51]

And two Precedents were shewed, which were decreed in the same Court by the Advice of the Justices Assistants to the Court.

One in Trinity Term, 16 Eliz. Thomas Stavely the Father enfeoffed William Strelly and Thomas Law of

the

the Manor of Ryndly in the County of Nottingham. upon Condition that they re-enfeoff the Feoffor and his Wife for their Lives, the Remainder to Thomas Stavely Son and Heir apparent of the Feoffor in Fee, which Manor was holden of Queen Elizabeth in Socage in Capite: And upon Confideration of the Saving in the Statute of 32 H. 8. next after the Clause concerning Tenure in Socage in Chief, it was refolved, That no Livery or Ouster le main should be sued in such Case, and the Reason was, because that the precedent Clause giveth Liberty to him who holdeth in Socage in Chief, to make Disposition of it, either by Act executed, or by Will at his free Will and Pleasure: And before the said Act, no Livery or Oufter le main should be fued in such Case: And the Words of the Saving are, saving, &c. to the King, &c. all his Right, &c. of primer Seisin and Relief, &c. for Tenure in Socage, or of the Nature of Tenure in Socage in Chief, as heretofore hath been used and accustomed: But there was no Use or Custom before the Act, that the King should have any primer Seifin or Relief in such Case: And the Words subsequent in the faid Saving depend upon the former Words, and do not give any primer Seifin or Relief where none was before.

Another Precedent was in Pajch. 37 Eliz. in the Book of Orders, fol. 444. where the Case was, that William Allett was seised of certain Lands in Pitsey called Lundsey, holden of the Queen in Socage in Chief, and by Deed covenanted to stand seised to the Use of his Wife for Life, and afterwards to the Use of Richard his younger Son in Fee, and died, his Heir of sull Age; and all that was sound by Office, and it was resolved, ut suppra, That no Livery or Ouster le main should be sued in that Case; but the Doubt in the Case at Bar was, because that Henry the Feossor had a Reversion in Fee, which descended to the said William his eldest

Son.

### XXI. Trin. Anno 7 Jacobi Regis.

### The Case of the Admiralty.

2 Co. 93. 5 Co. 2. Part 106, 108.

Admiralty. A Bill was preferred in the Star-chamber as See 12 Co.129, A Richard Hawkins, Vice-admiral of the County of Devon; and was charged, that one William Hull and 10 Co.115,117. others were notorious Pirates upon the High Seas, and shewed in certain, what Piracy they had committed: The faid Sir Richard Hawkins knowing the same, did them receive, abet and comfort within the Body of the County, and for Bribes and Rewards suffered them to be discharged. And what Offence that was, the Court referred to the Consideration of the two Chief Justices and the Chief Baron, who heard Counsel of both Sides divers Days at Serjeants Inn.

12 Co. 129.

Page [ 52 ]

And first, it was by them resolved, that by the Common Law the Admirals ought not to meddle with any Thing done within the Realm, but only with Things done upon the Sea; and that appeareth fully by \* the Statute of 13 R. 2. cap. 5. by which it appeareth, that fuch was the Common Law in the Time of King Edward the Third, and therewith agreeth the Statute of 2 Hen. 4. cap. 11. and the Statute of 15 Hen. 2. cap. 3. That because the Admirals and their Deputies incroach to themselves divers Jurisdictions and Franchises more than they ought to have, Be it enacted, That all Contracts, Pleas and Complaints, and all other Things arifing within the Bodies of the Counties as well by Land as by Water, as also of Wreck of the Sea, the Admiral Court shall not have any Conusance, Power, or Jurisdiction, &c. Nevertheless of the Death of a Man, and of Mayhem done in great Ships, being in the main Stream of great Rivers, only below the Bridges nigh to the Sea, and not in o-

### PART XIII. The Case of the Admiralty.

ther Places of the same Rivers; and to arrest Ships in the great Flotes for the great Voyage of the King and of his Realm: And by the Statute of 2 Hen. 5. cap. 6. the Admirals of the King of England have done and used reasonably, according to the ancient Law and Custom, upon the main Sea. See the Statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 5. And all this appeareth to be by the Common Law; and with that agreeth Stamford fol. 51. And if a Man be killed or slain within the Arms of the Sea, where a Man may see from the one Part of the Land to the other, the Coroner shall enquire of it, and not the Admiral, because that the Country may well know it: And he voucheth 8 Ed. 2. Coron. 399. so saith Stamford, the same proves that by the Common Law before the Statute of 2 H. 4. cap. 11. the Admiral shall not have Jurisdiction unless upon the High Sea. See Pla. Com. 37. 6. If the Marshal holdeth Plea out of the Verge, or the Admiral within the Body of the County, the same is void. See 2 R. 3. 12. 30 H.

6. 6. by Prisoit.

2. It was refolved, That the faid Statutes are to be intended of a Power to hold Plea, and not of a Power to award Execution, scil. De furisdictione tenendi placiti; non de furisdictione exequendi: For notwithflanding the faid Statutes, the Judge of the Admiralty may do Execution within the Body of the County; and therefore in 19 H. 6. 7. the Case was, W. T. at Southwark affirmed a Plaint of Trespass in the Court of Admiralty before the Steward of the Earl of Huntington against J. B. of a Trespass done upon the High Sea, jupon which issued a Citation to cite the said J. B. to appear before the Steward aforesaid at the common Day then next ensuing, directed to P. who served the said Citation; at which Day the said J. B. made Default: And the Usage of the Court is, that if the Defendant maketh Default, he shall be amerced by the Discretion of the Steward, to the Use of the Plaintiff: The which J. B. for his Default aforefaid, was amerced to twenty Marks; whereupon Command was made to the faid P. as Minister of the Court aforesaid, to take the Goods of the said J. B. to make Agreement with the beforesaid W.T. by Force of which he for the said twenty Marks took five Cows, and an hundred Sheep, in Execution for the Money aforefaid, in the County of Leicester. And there it is holden by Newton, and the whole Court. that the Statutes restrain the Power of the Court of Admiralty The Case of the Admiralty. PART XIII.

ralty to hold Plea of a Thing done within the Body of the County, but they do not reitrain the Execution of the same Court to be served upon the Land: For it may be that the Party hath not any Thing upon the Sea, and then it is Reason to have it upon the Land: And if such a Desendant have nothing wherewithall to make Agreement, they of the Court have Power to take the Body of such a Desendant upon the Land in Execution.

Page [53]

\* In which Case these Points were observed:

1. Although that the Court of Admiralty is not a Court of Record, because they proceed there according to the Civil Law, (see Brook, Error 77. acc.) yet by Custom of the Court they may americe the Desendant for his Desault by their Discretion.

2. That they may make Execution for the same of the Goods of the Defendant in corpore Comitatus: And if he hath not Goods, then they may arrest the Body of the

Defendant within the Body of the County.

See this Point refolved 8 El. Dyer per curiam, which is omitted out of the printed Book.

But the great Question between them was, If a Man committed Piracy upon the Sea, and one knowing thereof, receiveth and comforteth the Defendant within the Body of the County; if the Admiral and other the Commissioners, by Force of the Act of 28 H. 8. cap. 16. may proceed by Indictment and Conviction against the Receiver and Abettor, in as much as the Offence of the Accessary hath

his Beginning within the Body of the County?

And it was resolved by them, that such a Receiver and Abertor by the Common Law could not be indicted or convicted, because that the Common Law cannot take Conufance of the original Offence, because that is done out of the Jurisdiction of the Common Law: And by Consequence, where the Common Law cannot punish the Principal, the same shall not punish any one as Accessary to such a Principal. And therefore Coke Chief Justice reported to them a Case which was in Suffolk in Anno 28 Fliz. where Butler and others upon the Sea, next to the Town of Laystoft in Suffilk, robbed divers of the Queen's Subjects, and spoiled them of their Goods, which Goods they brought into Norfolk; and there they were apprehended, and there brought before me, then a Justice of the Peace within the fame County, whom I examined; and in the End they confessed a cruel and barbarous Piracy, and that those Goods which then they had with them, were Part of the Goods which they had robbed from the Queen's Subjects upon the High Sea: And I was of Opinion, that in that Case it could not be Felony punishable by the Common Law, because that the original Act, (scil.) the Taking of

PART XIII. The Case of the Admiralty.

them, was not any Offence whereof the Common Law taketh Knowledge; and by Consequence, the Bringing of them into a County could not make the same Felony punishable by our Law: And it is not like, where one stealeth Goods in one County, and brings them into another, there he may be indicted of Felony in any of the Counties, because that the original Act was Felony, whereof the Common Law taketh Knowledge: And yet notwithstanding I committed them to the Gaol, until the Coming of the Tuffices of Affifes. And at the next Affifes the Opinion of Wray Ch. Justice, and Periam Justices of Assise, was that for as much as the Common Law doth not take Notice of the original Offence, the Bringing of the Goods floln upon the Sea into a County, did not make the same punishable at the Common Law: And thereupon they were committed to Sir Robert Southwell, then Vice-Admiral of the faid Counties: And this in Effect agrees with Lacy's Cafe, which see in my Reports cited in Bingham's Case in the 2 Reports 93. and in Constable's Case, C. 3. Reports 107.

See that Piracy was Felony, the Book of 40 Affif. 25. by Schard. where a Norman Masteror Capt. of a Ship, together with some Englishmen, robbed the King's Subjects upon the Seas; where he faith, that it was Felony in the Norman Captain, and Treason in the Englishmen his Companions: And the Reason of the faid Case was, because the Normans were not then under the Obedience and Allegiance of the King \* of England (for King John lost Normandy) and for that Cause Piracy was but Felony in the Norman; but in the English who were under the Obedience and Allegiance of the King of England, the same was adjudged Treason, which is to be understood of Petit Treason, which was High Treason before: And therefore in that Case, the Pirate being apprehended, the Norman Captain was hanged, and the English Men were hanged and drawn, as appear-

eth by the same Book. See Stamford 10.

And some objected, and were of Opinion, That Treasons done out of the Realm might have been here determined by the Common Law; but truly the same could not be punishable, but only by the Civil Law before the Admiral, or by Act of Parliament, as all Foreign Treasons and Felonies were by the Common Law: And therefore where it is declared by the Statute of 25 E. 3. That Adherence to the Enemies of the King within England, or elsewhere, is Treason, the same shall be tried by the Common Law: But where it is done out of the Realm, the Offender shall not be attainted but by Parliament, until the Statute of 35 H. 8. cap. 2. although that there are Opini-

Page [54]

Pettus and Godfalve's Case. PART XIII. ons in some Books to the contrary. See 5 R. 2. Quare Impedit, &c.

## XXII. Trin. 7 Jac. Regis.

#### In the Common Pleas.

#### Pettus and Godfalve's Cafe.

Fine, Proclamations amended. 4 Co. 42. See 5 Co. 2. Part 28,39,43, 44, and 45. 3 Co. 157, to 161.

In a Fine levied Trinity-Term, Anno quinto of this King, between John Pettus, Efq; Plaintiff, and Roger Godsalve and others, Deforceants of the Manor of Caftre, with the Appurtenances, &c. in the County of Norfolk, where in the third Proclamation upon the Foot of the fame Fine the faid Proclamation is faid to have been made in the fixth Year of the King that now is, which ought to have been Anno quinto of the King: And whereas upon the Foot of the same Fine, the fourth Proclamation is altogether left out; but because upon the View of the Proclamations upon Dorsis, upon Record, & Nota finis ejusdem Termini per Justiciarios, remaining with the Chirographer, and the Book of the faid Chirographer, in which the faid Proclamations were first entered, it appeareth, that the faid Proclamations were rightly and duely made, therefore it was adjudged, that the Errors or Defects aforesaid should be amended, and made to agree as well with the Proclamation upon Record of the faid Fine, and Entry of the faid Book, as with the other Proclamations in Dorsis super pedes alionum finium of the same Term: And this was done upon the Motion of Haughton, Serjeant at Law.

XXIII. Mich.

## XXIII. Mich. 7 Jac. Regis.

## In the Court of Wards.

#### Sammes's Cafe.

fohn Sammes being feifed of Grany Mead by Copy of Uses. J Court-Roll of the Manor of Tollesbam the Great, of See i Co. 101; which Sir Thomas Beckingham, &c. and held the same of 121,122,127, the King by Knights Service in capite; Sir Thomas by his 2 Co. 58, 78. Deed indented, dated the 22d of December, in the \* first 6 Co. 64. Year of King James, made between him of the one Part, 7 Co. 13 and and the said John Sammes and George Sammes Son and Page [55] gain, sell, grant, enfeoff, release, and confirm unto the side John Sammes the said Mead called Grany Mead, to Uses. Vide faid John Sammes the faid Mead called Grany Mead, to Ules. Vide have and to hold the faid Mead unto the faid John Sammes and George Sammes, and their Heirs and Affigns, to the only Use and Behoof of the said John Sammes and George Sammes, their Heirs and Affigns for ever: And by the same Indenture Sir Thomas did covenant with John and George, to make further Affurance to John and George, and their Heirs, to the Use of them and their Heirs, and Livery and Seifin was made and delivered, according to the true Intent of the faid Indentures, of the within mentioned Premisses to the Uses within mentioned.

John Sammes the Father dieth, George Sammes his Wardship. Son and Heir being within Age, the Question was, Whether George Sammes should be in Ward to the King or no? And in this Case three Points were refolved:

1. For as much as George was not named in the Premisfes, he cannot take by the Habendum; and the Livery Habendum. made according to the Intent of the Indenture, doth not give any Thing to George, because the Indenture as to him is void: But although the Feoffment be good only to John and his Heirs, yet the Use limited to the Use of John and George, and their Heirs, is good.

Ufes.

2. If the Estate had been conveyed to John and his Heirs by the Release or Confirmation, as it well may be to a Tenant by Copy of Court-Roll, the Use limited to them is good: For upon a Release which creates an Estate, a Use may be limited, or a Rent reserved without Question; but upon a Release or Confirmation, which enures by way of Mitter le droit, an Use cannot be limited, or a Rent reserved.

Joint-Tenants, &c.

But the Third was of greater Doubt, if in this Case the Father and Son were Joint-Tenants, or Tenants in Common? For it was objected, when the Father is only enfeoffed to the only Use of him and his Son, and their Heirs in the Per, that in this Case, they shall be Tenants in Common. By the Feoffment the Father is in by the Common Law in the Per, and then the Limitation of the Use to him and his Son, and to their Heirs, cannot devest the Estate, which was vested in him by the Common Law, out of him, and vest the Estate in him in the Post by Force of the Statute, according to the Limitation of the Use: And therefore, as to one Moiety, the Father shall be in by Force of the Feoffment in the Per, and the Son, as to the other Moiety, shall be in by Force of the Statute, according to the Limitation of the Use in the Post, and by Consequence they shall be Tenants in Common. But it was answered and resolved, That they were Joint-Tenants, and that the Son in the Cafe at Bar should have the said Grange by the Survivor: For if at the Common Law A. had been enfeoffed to the Use of him and B. and their Heirs, although that he was only feifed of the Land, the Use was jointly to A, and B, For a Use shall not be sufpended or extinct by a fole Seifin, or Joint Seifin of the Land: And therefore if A. and B. be enfeoffed to the Use of A. and his Heirs, and A. dieth, the entire Use shall descend to his Heir: As it appears in 13 H. 7. 6. in Stoner's Case: And by the Statute of 27 H. 8. cap. 10. of Uses, it appeareth, That when several Persons are seised to the Use of any of them, that the Estate shall be executed according to the Use.

Page [56]

And as to that which was faid, That the Estate of the Land which the Father hath in the Land, as to the Moiety of the Use which he himself.\* hath, shall not be devested out of him: To that it was answered and resolved, That that shall well be: For if a Man maketh a Feossiment in Fee to one, to the Use of him and the Heirs of his Body, in this Case, for the Benefit of the Issue, the Statute according to the Limitation of the Uses, devests the Estate vested in him by the Common Law, and executes the same

in himself by Force of the Statute; and yet the same is out of the Words of the Statute of 27 H. 8. which are, Where any Person, &c. stand or be seised, &c. to the Use of any other Person; and here he is seised to the Use of himself: And the other Clause is, Where divers and many Persons, &c. be jointly seised, &c. to the Use of any of them, &c. and in this Case A. is sole seised: But the Stat of 27 H. 8. hath been always beneficially expounded, to fatisfy the Intention of the Parties, which is the Direction of the Use according to the Rule of the Law. So if a Man, feised of Lands in Fee-simple, by Deed covenant with another, that he and his Heirs will stand seised of the same Land, to the Use of himself and the Heirs of his Body, or unto the Use of himself for Life, the Remainder over in Fee; in that Case, by the Operation of the Statute, the Estate which he hath at the Common Law is devested, and a new Estate vested in himself, according to the Limitation of the Use. And it is to be known, that an Use of Land (which is but a Pernancy of the Profits) is no new Thing, but Part of that which the Owner of the Land had: And therefore, if Tenant in Borrough English, or a Man feised of the Part of his Mother, maketh a Feoffment to another without Confideration, the younger Son in the one Cafe, and the Heir on the Part of the Mother on the other, shall have the Use, as they should have the Land it self, if no Feoffment had been made: As it is holden in 5 E. 4. 7. See 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. Dyer 163. So if a Man maketh a Feoffment unto the Use of another in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of his right Heirs, the Feoffor hath the Reverfion of the Land in him; for if the Donce dieth without Issue, the Law giveth the Use, which was Part of the Land to him: And fo it was refolved, Trinity, 31 Eliz. between Fenwick and Milford in the King's Bench. So in 28 H. 8. Dyer 11. the Lord Rosse's Case: A Man seised of one Acre by Priority, and of another Acre by Posteriority, and make a Feoffment in Fee of both to his Use: And it. was adjudged, that although both pass at one Instant, yet the Law shall make a Priority of the Uses, as if it were of the Land it felf: Which proves, that the Use is not any new Thing, for then there should be no Priority in the Cafe. Sec 13 H. 7. b. by Butler.

So in the Case at Bar, The Use limited to the Feoffee See the D. of and another, is not any new Thing, but the Pernancy of Norfolk's Cale the old Profits of the Land, which well may be limited to in 3 Chanc. the Ecoffee and another jointly: But if the Use had been Ca. only limited to the Feotfee and his Heirs, there, because there is not any Limitation to another Person, nec in pre-

fenti, nec in futuro, he shall be in by Force of the Feoff-

ment.

And it was resolved. That Joint-Tenants might be seifed to an Use, although that they come to it at several Times: As, if a Man maketh a Feoffment in Fee to the Use of himself, and to such a Woman, which he shall aftermarry, for Term of their Lives, or in Tail, or in Fee; in this Case, if after he marrieth a Wife, she shall take jointly with him, although that they take the Use at several Times, for they derive the Use out of the same Fountain and Freehold, scil. the Feoffment. See 17 El. Dyer 340. So if a Disseisin be had to the Use of two, and one of em agreeth at one Time, and the other at another Time, they shall \* be Toint-Tenants; but otherwise it is of Estates which pals by the Common Law: And therefore if a Grant be made by Deed to one Man for Term of Life, the Remainder to the Right Heirs of A. and B. in Fee, and A. hath Issue and dieth, and afterwards B. hath Issue and dieth, and then the Tenant for Life dieth; in that Case the Heirs of A. and B. are not Joint-Tenants, nor shall join in a Sci' Fac' to execute the Fine, 24 E. 3. Joinder in Action 10. because that altho' the Remainder be limited by one Fine, and by Joint Words, yet because that by the Death of A. the Remainder as to the Moiety, vested in his Heir, and by the Death of B. the other Moiety vested in his Heir at feveral Times, they cannot be Joint-Tenants: But in the Case of a Use, the Husband taketh all the Use in the mean Time; and when he marrieth, the Wife takes it by Force of the Feoffment and the Limitation of the Use jointly with him, for there is not any Fraction and several vesting by Parcels, as in the other Case, and such is the Difference. See 18 E. 3. 28. And upon the whole Matter it was resolved, That because in the principal Case the Father and Son were Joint-Tenants by the original Purchase, that the Son having the Land by Survivor, Thould not be in Ward: And

accordingly it was fo decreed.

Page [57]

## XXIV. Pasch. 39 El. Rot. 233.

### In the King's Bench.

#### Collins and Harding's Case.

HE Case between Collins and Harding was, a Man Rent apporfeised of Lands in Fee, and also of Lands by Copy of See 3 Co. 24.

Court-Roll in Fee, according to the Custom of the Manor, 4 Co. 37, 38. made one entire Demise of the Lands in Fee, and of the 5 Co. 2. Part Lands holden by Copy according to the Custom, to Har- 5, 6, 55, ding for Years, rendering one entire Rent: And afterwards 7 Co. 22, the Lessor surrendered the Copyhold Land to the Use of 3 Co. 79. Collins and his Heirs: And at another Time granted by 9 Co. 135.

Doed the Reversion of the Breehold Londo to Collins in Co. 128. Deed the Reversion of the Freehold Lands to Collins in Fee, and Harding attorned; and afterwards for the Rent behind, Collins brought an Action of Debt for the whole Rent: And it was objected, That the Refervation of the Rent was an entire Contract, and by the Act of the Leffee the same cannot be apportioned: And therefore if one demiseth three Acres, rendering 3 s. Rent, and afterwards bargaineth and felleth, by Deed indented and inrolled, the Reversion of one Acre, the whole Rent is gone, because that the Contract is entire and cannot be severed by the Act of the Leffor: Also the Leffee by that shall be Subject to two Fealties, where he was subject but to one before.

As to these Points, it was answered and resolved, That the Contract was not entire, but that the same by the Act of the Leffor, and the Affent of the Leffee, might be divided and fevered: For the Rent is incident to the Reverfion, and the Reversion is severable, and by Consequence the Rent also: For accessarium sequitur naturam sui principalis, and that cannot be severed or divided by the Assent of the Lessee, or express Attornment, or implied by Force of an Act of Parliament, to which every one is a Party as by Force of the Statute of Involments, or of Uses, &c. And as to the two Fealties, to that the Leffee shall be subject, although that the Rent shall be extinct: For Fealty is by

Necessity of Law incident to the Reversion, and to every Part of it; but the Rent shall be divided pro rata portionis: And so it was adjudged.

Page [58]

\*And it was also adjudged, That although Collins cometh to the Reversion by several Conveyances, and at several Times, yet he might bring an Action of Debt for the whole Rent. Hill. 43 Eliz. Rot. 243. West and Lasse's Case: A Man made a Lease for Years of certain Lands, and afterwards deviseth the Reversion of two Parts to one, he shall have two Parts of the Rent; and he may have an Action of Debt for the same, and have Judgment to recover. Hill. 42 Eliz. Rot. 108. in the Common Pleas, Ewer and Moys's Case: The Devisee of the Reversion of Part shall avow for Part of the Rent, and such Avowry shall be good and maintainable.

Note well these Cases and Judgments, for they are given upon great Reason and Consideration, for otherwise great Inconvenience would ensue, if by Severance of Part of the Reversion, the entire Rent should be lost: And the Opinion reported by Serjeant Bonloes, in Hill. 6. and 7 E. 6. to the contrary, nihil valet (scil.) That the Rent in such Case should be lost, because that no Contract can be apportioned, which is not Law: For, 1. A Rent reserved upon a Lease for Years is more than a Contract, for it is a Rent-service. 2. It is incident to the Reversion which is severable. 3. Upon Recovery of Part in Waste, or upon Entry in Part for a Forseiture, or upon Surrender of Part, the Rent is apportionable,

### De Modo Decimandi.

Modus Deeim. Antea 12, 37, 38, 860,

Bench, That where one obtained a Prohibition upon Prescription De Modo Decimandi, by Payment of a certain Sum of Money at a certain Day; upon which Issue was taken, and the Jury sound the Modus Decimandi by Payment of the said Sum, but that it had been paid at another Day: And the Case was well debated, and at the last it was resolved, That no Consultation should be granted; for although that the Day of Payment be Mistaken, yet it appeareth to the Court, that no Tithes in Kind were due, for which the

PART XIII. Ejectment de duabus partibus. &c. Suit was in the Spiritual Court: And the Trial of the Cuflom De Modo Decimandi belongeth to the Common Law. and a Consultation shall not be granted where the Spiritual Court hath not Jurisdiction of the Cause: Tanfield, Chief Baron, hath the Report of this Cafe.

## XXV. Mich. 7 Jacobi Regis.

Ejectment de duabus partibus, &c.

I N an Ejettione Firmæ, the Writ and Declaration were See 3Co 16,45. of two Parts of certain Lands in Hetherset and Windham 4 Co. 26, 96. in Norfolk, and doth not say in two Parts, in three Parts to 9 Co. 77, 78. in Norfolk, and doth not lay in two Parts, in three lasts to Co. 46. be divided; and yet it was good as well in the Declaration in Co. 25, 55, as in the Writ: For without Question the Writ is good, &c. de duabus partibus, generally, and so is the Register. See 4 E. 3. 162. 2 E 3. 31. 2 Assis. 1. 10 Assis. 12. 10 E. 3. 511. 11 Ass. 21. 11 E. 3. Bre. 478. 9 H. 6. 36. 17 E. 4. 46. 19 E. 3. Bre. 244. And upon all the said Books it appeareth, that by the Intendment and Construction of the Law, when any Parts are demanded without shewing in how many Parts the whole is divided, that there remains but one Part not divided: As if two Parts are demanded, there remains a third Part; and when three Parts are divided, there remains a fourth Part, &c. But when any Demand is of other Parts in other Form, there he ought to shew the same Specially: As if one demandeth three Parts of \* five Parts, Page [59] or four Parts of the fix, &c. And according to this Difference it was so resolved in Jourdon's Case in the King's Bench : And accordingly Judgment was given in this Term in the Case at Bar.

## XXVI. Mich. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Common Pleas.

#### Mutton's Case.

Slander. Postea 71. 1 Danv. 95, to 99. See Inst Leg. 291, 292. 1Lev.255,276, 2 Brownl. 276. 1 Cro. 100. 236, 306, 399,

531, 560.

N Action upon the Case was brought against Mutton. A N Action upon the Care was some and Inchanter, for calling of the Plaintiff, Sorcerer and Inchanter, who pleaded Not guilty; and it was found against him to the Damages of 6 d. And it was holden by the whole Court in the Common Pleas, that no Action lieth for the faid Words: For Sortilegium est rei futuri per sortes exploratio: Hob. 137, 155, Et Sortilegus sive Sortilegista est qui per sortes futura prænunciat. Inchantly est verbis aut rebus adjunctis aliquid 2 Cro.205, 233, Præter naturam moliri: Whereof the Poet faith.

#### Carminibus Circes socios mutavit Ulyssis.

See 45 E. 3. 17. One was taken in Southwark with the Head and Visage of a dead Man, and with a Book of Sorcery in his Mail: And he was brought into the King's Bench before Knevet Justice, but no Indicament was framed against him: For which the Clerks made him fweat, that he should never after commit any Sorcery; and he was fent to Prison? And the Head and the Book were burned at Tutbil, at the Charges of the Prisoner. And the ancient Law was, as it appeareth by Britton, that those who were attainted of Sorcery were burned: But the Law is not fuch at this Day; but he who is convicted of fuch Imposture and Deceit shall be fined and imprisoned. And it was said, that it was adjudged, That if one calleth another Witch, that an Action will not lie, for it is too general : Et dicitur Latine Venefica: But if one faith, She is a Witch, and hath bewitched fuch a one to Death, an Action upon the Case lieth, if in Truth he be dead. Conjuration is derived of these Words, Con and juro: Et proprie dicitur quando multi in alicujus perniciem jurant : And in the Statute of 5 Eliz, cap. 16. it is taken for Invocation

### PART XIII. Sir Allen Percy's Case:

of any evil and wicked Spirits, i. est conjurare verbis conceptis aliquos malos & iniquos spiritus; the same is made Felony: But Witchcraft, Inchantment, Charm or Sorcery, is not Felony, if by them any Person be not killed or dieth. So that Conjuration est verbis conceptis compellere malos & iniquos spiritus aliquod facere vel dicere, &c. But a Witch, who works any Thing by any evil Spirit, doth not make any Conjuration or Invocation by any powerful Names of the Devil, but the wicked Spirit comes to her familiarly, and therefore is called a Familiar: But if a Man be called a Conjurer, or a Witch, he shall not have any Action upon the Case, unless that he saith, That he is a Conjurer of the Devil, or of any Evil or wicked Spirit: Or, that one is a Witch, and that he hath bewitched any one to Death, as is before faid.

And note, That the first Statute which was made against Conjuration, Witchcraft, Sorcery and Inchantment, was the Act of 33 H. 8. c. 8. and by it they were made Felony in certain Cases special, but that Act was repealed by the Statute of 1 E. 6. cap. 12.

## \* XXVII. Mich. 7 Jac. Regis. Page [60]

### In the Court of Wards.

Sir Allen Percy's Cafe.

SIR John Fitz and Bridget his Wife, being Tenants for Waste in cut-Life of a Tenement called Ramshams, the Remainder ting Trees, &c. to Sir John Fitz in Tail, the Remainder to Bridget in See 2 Co. 92. Tail, the Reversion to Sir John and his Heirs: Sir John 4 Co. 63,67, and Bridget his Wife, by Indenture demised the said Te- 5 Co. 2. nement to Wm. Sprey for divers Years yet to come, ex- Part 12, cept all Trees of Timber, Oak and Ashes, and Liberty to \$11 Co. 45, 48, carry them away, rendering Rent, and afterwards Sir John died, having Issue Mary his Daughter, now the Wife of Sir Allen Percy, Kt. and afterwards the faid Wm. Sprey demised the same Tenement to Sir Allen for 7 Years: The Question was, Whether Sir Allen, having the immediate Inheritance in the Right of his Wife, expectant upon the

Estate for the Life of Bridget, and also having the Possession by the said Demise, might cut down the Timber-Trees, Oaks, and Ashes: And it was objected, that he might well do it: For it was refolved in Saunders's Cafe. in the fifth Part of my Reports, fol. 12. That if Leffee for Years, or for Life, affigns over his Term or Estate unto another, excepting the Mines, or the Trees, or the Clay, &c. that the Exception is void, because that he cannot except that which he cannot lawfully take, and which doth not belong unto him by the Law. But it was answered and resolved by the two Chief Tustices, and the Chief Baron. That in the Case at Bar, the Exception was good without Question, because that he who hath the Inheritance, joins in the Leafe with the Leffee for Life. And it was further resolved. That if Tenant for Life leaseth for Years, excepting the Timber-Trees, the fame is lawfully and wifely done: For otherwife, if the Leffee or Affignee cutteth down the Trees, the Tenant for Life should be punished in Waste, and should not have any Remedy against the Lessee for Years: And also if he demiseth the Land without Exception, he who hath the immediate Eflate of Inheritance, by the Affent of the Leffee, may cut down all the Timber-Trees, which when the Term ended. all should be wasted, and then the Tenant for Life should not have the Boots which the Law giveth him, nor the Pawnage and other Profits of the faid Trees, which he lawfully might take: But when Tenant for Life upon his Lease excepteth the Trees, if they be cut down by the Leffor, the Leffee or Assignee shall have an Action of Trefpass, Quare vi & armis, and shall recover Damages according to his Loss.

And this Case is not like the said Case of Saunders, which was affirmed to be good Law; for there the Leffee affigned over his whole Interest, and therefore could not except the Mines, Trees, and Clay, &c. which he had not but as Things annexed to the Land: And therefore he could not have them when he had parted with his whole Interest, nor he could not take them either for Reparations or otherwise: But when Tenant for Life leaseth for Years, except the Timber-Trees, the same remaineth yet annexed to his Freehold, and he may command the Leffee to take them for necessary Reparations of the Houses. And in the faid Case of Saunders, a Judgment is cited between Foster and Miles \* Plaintiffs, and Spencer and Bourd Defendants, That where Leffee for Years affigns over his Term, except the Trees, that Waste in such Case shall be brought against the Assignee, but in this Case without Question Waste.

Page [61]

PART XIII. Hulme's Case. lieth against the Tenant for Life, and so there is a Difference, &c.

## XXVIII. Mich. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Court of Wards.

#### Hulme's Case.

THE King (in the Right of his Dutchy of Lancaster) Traverse of Lord: Richard Hulm (seised of the Manor of Male Office. in the County of Lancaster, holden of the King as of his See 4 Co. 43, Dutchy by Knights Service) Mesne: And Rob. Male seised 6 Co. 8. of Lands in Male, holden of the Meine as of his faid Manor 7 Co. 44, 45.

Rich Hulm died: after whose 8 Co. 168. by Kn'ts Service) Tenant. Rich. Hulm died; after whose Death, 31 Hen. 8. it was, that he died seised of the said Menalty, and that the same descended to Edward his Son and Heir within Age, and found the Tenure aforesaid, &c. And during the Time that he was within Age, Rob. Male the Tenant died; after which, anno 35 H. 8. it was found by Office, That Robert Male died feised of the said Tenancy peravail, and that the same descended to Richard his Son and Heir within Age, and that the faid Tenancy was holden of the King, as of his faid Dutchy, by Knights Service; whereas in Truth the same was holden of Edward Hulm, then in Ward of the King, as of his Menalty: For which the King seised the Ward of the Heir of the Tenant. And afterwards, anno quarto Jacobi Regis that now is, after the Death of Richard Male, who was lineal Heir of the said Robert Male, by another Office it was found, That the said Richard died seised of the said Tenancy, and held the same of the King, as of his Dutchy, by Knights Service, his Heir within Age: Whereupon Richard Hulm, Coufin and Heir of the faid Richard Hulm, had preferred a Bill to be admitted to his Traverse of the faid Office found in 4 Jac. Regis: And the Question was, Whether the Office found in 35 H. 8. be any Estoppel to the faid Hulm, to traverse the faid last Office? Or if that the faid Hulm should be driven first to traverse the Office of 35 H. 8. And

And it was objected, That he ought first to traverse the Office of 35 H. 8. as in the first Case of 26 E. 3. 65. That if two Fines be levied of Lands in antient Demesne, the Lord of whom the Land is holden ought to have a Writ of Deceit to reverse the first Fine; and in that the second Fine shall not be a Bar: And that the first Office shall stand as long as the same remains in Force.

To which it was answered and resolved by the two Chief Tuffices and the Chief Baron, and the Court of Wards, That the Finding of an Office is not any Estoppel, for that is but an Enquest of Office, and the Party grieved shall have a Traverse to it, as it hath been confessed, and therefore without Question the same is no Estoppel; but when an Office is found falfly, that Land is holden of the King by Knights Service in capite, or of the King himself in Socage, if the Heir sueth a general Livery, now it is holden in 46 E. 3. 12. by Mowbray and Perfey, that he shall not after add, that the Land is not holden of the \* King; but that is not any Estoppel to the Heir himself who sueth the Livery, and shall not conclude his Heir: For so saith Mowbray himself expresly in 44 Assis. pl. 35. That an Estoppel by Suing of Livery shall estop only the Heir himself during his Life: And in 1 H. 4. 6. b. there the Case is put of express Confession and Suing of Livery by the Issue in Tail upon a false Office: And there it is holden, that the Jurors upon a new Diem clausit extremum, after the Death of fuch special Heir, are at large, according to their Conscience, to find that the Land is not holden, &c. for they are fworn ad veritatem dicendum: And there Finding is called veredictum, quasi dictum veritatis; which Reason Co. Litt. 226.2. also shall serve, when the Heir in Fee-simple sueth Livery upon a false Office, and the Jurors after his Death ought to find according to the Truth: So it is faid 33 H. 6. 7. by

Laicon, that if two Sifters be found Heirs, whereof the one is a Bastard, if they join in a Suit of Livery, she which ioineth with the Bastard in the Livery, shall not alledge Bastardy in the other: But there is no Book that saith, that the Estoppel shall endure longer than during his Life: And when Livery is fued by a special Heir, the Force and Effect of the Livery is executed and determined by his Death, and by that the Estoppel is expired with the Death of the Heir; but that is to be intended of a general Livery: But a special Livery shall not conclude one: But as it is expressed, the Words of a general Livery are; when the Heir is found of full Age: Rex Eschaetori, &c. Scias quod cepimus homagium J. filii & hæredis B. defuncti de omnibus terris & tenementis qua idem B. Pater suus tenuit

Page [62] Co. Lit. 77. a.

de nobis in capite, die quo obiit, & ei terras & tenement. illa reddidimus, ideo tibi præcipimus, &c. And when the Heir was in Ward, at his full Age, the Writ of Livery shall fay, Rex, &c. Quia J. filius & hæres B. defuncti qui de nobis tenuit in capite etatem suam coram te sufficienter probavit, &c. Ceperimus homagium ipsius J. de omnibus terris & tenementis, qua idem B. Pater suus tenuit de nobis in capite die quo obiit, & ei terras & tenement. illa reddidimus, & ideo tibi præcipimus, ut supra, &c. Which Writ is the Suit of the Heir, and therefore although that all the Words of the Writ are the Words of the King, as all the Writs of the King are; and although that the Livery be general, de omnibus terris & tenementis de quibus B. pater J. tenuit de nobis in capite die quo obiit, without direct Affirmation that any Manor in particular is holden in capite, and notwithstanding that the same is not at the Profecution of the King's Writ, and no Judgment upon it; yet because the general Livery is founded upon the Office, and by the Office it was found, That divers Lands or Tenements were holden of the King in capite, for this Cause the Suing of the Writ shall conclude the Heir only which fueth the Livery, and after his Death the Jurors in a new Writ of Diem clausit extremum, are at large, as before is faid. And if that Jury find falfly in a Tenure of the King also, the Lord of whom the Land is holden may traverse that Office: Or if Land be holden of the King, &c. in Socage, the Heir may traverse the last Office, for by that he is grieved only; and he shall not be driven to traverse the first Office: And when the Father sueth Livery, and dieth, the Conclusion is executed and past, as before is said. And note, that there is a special Livery, but that proceeds of the Grace of the King, and is not the Suit of the Heir, and the King may grant it either at full Age, before etate probanda, &c. or to the Heir within Age, as it appeareth in 21 E. 3. 40. And that is general, and shall not comprehend any Tenure, as the general Livery doth, and therefore it is not any \* Estoppel without Question. And at the Page [63] Common Law, a special Livery might have been granted before any Office found: But now by the Statute of 33 H. 8. cap. 22. it is provided, That no Person or Persons, having Lands or Tenements above the yearly Value of 201. shall have or fue any Livery, before Inquisition or Office found. before the Escheator or other Commission: But by an express Clause in the same Act, Livery may be made of the Lands and Tenements compriled or not compriled in fuch Office; fo that if Office be found of any Parcel, it is sufficient: And if the Land in the Office doth exceed 201 then the

the Heir may sue a general Livery after Office thereof found, as is aforesaid: But if the Land doth not exceed 5 l. by the Year, then a general Livery may be sued without Office by Warrant of the Master of the Wards, &c. See 23 Eliz. Dyer 177. That the Queen ex debito fustive is not bound at this Day, after the said Act of 33 H. 8. to grant a special Livery; but it is at her Election to grant a special Livery, or to drive the Heir to a general Livery.

It was also resolved in this Case, That the Office of 35 H. 8. was not traversable, for his own Traverse shall prove, that the King had Cause to have Wardship by Reason of Ward: And when the King cometh to the Possession of Ward: And when the King cometh to the Possession by a false Office, or other Means, upon a Pretence of Right, where in Truth he hath no Right, if it appeareth that the King hath any other Right or Interest to have the Land there, none shall traverse the Office or Title of the King, because that the Judgment in the Traverse is, Ideo consideratum est, quod manus Domini Regis a possessione amoveantur, &c. which ought not to be, when it appeareth to the Court, that the King hath Right or Interest to have the Land, and to hold the same accordingly. See 4 H. 4. fol. 33. in the Earl of Kent's Case, &c.

## XXIX. Mich. 7 Jac. Regis.

#### Parliament.

See Hale of Parliaments 159, 198, &c. Bohun's Collection 268 to 289. See Faril. 13, &c.

Note; the Privilege, Order, or Custom of Parliament, either of the Upper House, or of the House of Commons, belongs to the Determination or Decision only of the Court of Parliament: And this appeareth by two notable Precedents.

The one at the Parliament holden in the 27th Year of King Henry the Sixth, there was a Controversy moved in the Upper House between the Earls of Arundel and of Devombire, for their Seats, Places, and Preheminences of the same, to be had in the King's Presence, as well in the High Court of Parliament, as in his Councils, and elsewhere: The King, by the Advice of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, committed the same to certain Lords of Parliament, who for that they had not Leisure to examine

the

the same, it pleased the King, by the Advice of the Lords at his Parliament, anno 27 of his Reign, That the Judges of the Land should hear, see, and examine the Title, &c. and to report what they conceive herein: The Judges made Report as followeth; That this Matter, (viz. of Honour and Precedency between the two Earls, Lords of Parliament) was a Matter of Parliament, and belongs to the King's Highness, and the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament, by them to be decided and determined; yet being thereto fo commanded, they shewed what they found upon Examination, and their Opinions there-

upon.

Another Parliament in 21 H. 6. which Parliament began the 6th \* of March, and after it had continued some Time, Page [64] it was prorogued until the Fourteenth of February: And afterwards in Michaelmas-Term, anno 31 H. 6. Thomas Thorp, the Speaker of the Commons House, at the Suit See Bohun's of the Duke of Buckingham, was condemned in the Experimentary Debates 276, chequer in 1000 l. Damages for a Trespass done to him: 277. The Fourteenth of February, the Commons moved in the Upper House, That their Speaker might be set at Liberty, to exercise his Place: The Lords refer this Case to the Judges; and Fortescue and Prisot, the two Chief Justices, in the Name of all the Judges, after sad Consideration and mature Deliberation had amongst them, answered and faid, That they ought not to answer to this Question, for it hath not been used aforetime, That the Justices should in any wife determine the Privilege of this High Court of Parliament; for it is so high and mighty in its Nature, that it may make Laws; and that, that is Law, it may make no Law: And the Determination and Knowledge of that Privilege belongeth to the Lords of the Parliament, and not to the Justices: But as for Proceedings in the lower Courts in such Cases, they delivered their Opi-And in 12 E. 4. 2. in Sir John Paston's Case, it is holden, that every Court shall determine and decide the Privileges and Customs of the same Court, &c.

# XXX. Hill. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Star-Chamber.

Heyward and Sir John Whitbroke's Cafe.

Star-Chamber Jurisdiction, &c. See 12 Co. 84, 90. 4 Inft. 60.

IN the Case between Heyward and Sir John Whitbroke in the Star-Chamber, the Defendant was convicted of divers Misdemeanors, and Fine and Imprisonment imposed upon him, and Damages to the Plaintiff: And it was moved that a special Process might be made out of that Court to levy the faid Damages upon the Goods and Lands of the Defendant: And it was referred to the two Chief Juflices, whether any fuch Process might be made? Who this Term moved the Case to the Chief Baron, and to the other Judges and Barons; and it was unanimously refolved by them, That no fuch Process could or ought to be made. neither for the Damages nor for the Costs given to the Plaintiff: For the Court hath not any Power or Jurisdiction \* to do it, but only to keep the Defendant in Prison until he pay them. For, for the Fine due to the King, the Court of Star-Chamber cannot make forth any Process for levying of the same, but they estreat the same into the Exchequer, which hath Power by the Law to write forth Process to the Sheriff to levy the same. But if a Man be convicted in the Star-Chamber for Forgery upon the Statute of 5 Eliz. that in that Case, for the double Costs and Damages, an English Writ shall be made, directed to the Sheriff, &c. reciting the Conviction, and the Statute for the Levying of the said Costs and Damages of the Goods and Chattels, and Profits of the Lands of the Defendant, and to bring in the Money into the Court of Star-Chamber, and the Writ shall be sealed with the Great Seal, and the Test of the King: For the Statute of 5 Eliz. hath given Jurisdiction to the Court of Star-Chamber, and Power to give Judgment (amongst other Things) of the Costs and Damages, which being given by Force of the said Act of Parliament, by Page [65] Consequence \* the Court by the Act hath Power to grant Execution; Quia quando aliquid conceditur, ei omnia con-

cedi videntur per que devenitur ad illud. And it was re-

PART XIII. Morfe and Webb's Cafe.

folved, That the Giving of the Damages to the Plaintiff was begun of late Times: And although that one or two Precedents were shewed against this Resolution, they being. against the Law, the Judges had not any Regard to them. The like Resolution was in the Case of Langdale in that Sec. 12 Cc. 58.

## XXXI. Hill. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Common Pleas.

#### Morse and Webb's Case.

IN a Replevin brought by John Morse against Robert prescription Webb of the Taking of two Oxen the last Day of No- for Common, vember in the third Year of the Reign of the King that &c. traversed. now is, in a Place called the Downfield in Luddington in \$ Co. 65. the County of Worcester: The Defendant, as Bailiff to 9 Co. 33 to 36. William Sherington Gent. made Conusance, because that to Go. 107, &c. the Place where is an Acre of Land which is the Freehold 1 Mod. 74. of the faid William Sherington, and for Damage-feafants, 2 Lev. 2. &c. In Bar of which Avowry the Plaintiff said, That the 1 Salk. 170. faid Acre of Land is Parcel of Downfield, and that he himfelf, at the Time, and before the Taking, &c. was and yet is seised of two Yard-Lands, with the Appurtenances, in Luddington aforefaid: And that he, and all those whose Estate he hath in the said two Yards of Land, Time out of Mind, &c. have used to have Common of Pasture per totum contentum of the faid Place called the Downfield. whereof, &c. for four Beafts called Rother-Beafts, and two Beafts called Horfe-Beafts, and for fixty Sheep, at certain Times and Seasons of the Year, as to the said two Yard-Lands, with the Appurtenances appertaining: And that he put in the faid two Oxen to use his Common, &c. And the Defendant did maintain his Avowry, and traversed the Prescription, upon which the Parties were at Issue, and the Jury gave a Special Verdict, That before the Taking, one Richard Morse, Father of the said John Morse, and now Plaintiff, whose Heir he is, was seised of the said two Yard-Lands, and that the faid Richard Morse, Esc. had

### Morse and Webb's Case. PART XIII.

the Common of Pasture for the said Cattle, per totum contentum of the said Downfield, in Manner and Form as before is alledged; and so seised, the said Richard Morse, in the twentieth Year of Queen Elizabeth, demised to William Thomas and John Fisher divers Parcels of the said two Yard-Lands, to which, &c. viz. the four Buts of arable. with the Common and Intercommon to the same belonging. for the Term of Four hundred Years; by Force of which the faid William Thomas and John Fifher entred, and were possessed: And the said Richard so seised, died thereof feised: by which the faid two Yard-Lands in Possession and Reversion descended to the said John Morse the now Plaintiff: And if upon the whole Matter, the faid John Morfe now hath, and at the Time of the Taking, &c. had Common of Pasture, &c. for four Beasts called Rother-Beasts. and two Beasts called Horse-Beasts, and for fixty Sheep, 850. as to the faid two Acres of Land, with the Appurtenances belonging, in Law or not, the Jury prayed the Advice of the Court.

Page [66] 1 Salk. 170. Cro. El. 794. 570. Note, That this Plea began Trin. 5 Jacobi, Rot. 1405. And upon \* Argument at the Bar, and at the Bench, it was refolved by the whole Court, that it ought to be found against the Defendant, who had traversed the Prescription: For altho' that all the two Yard-Lands had been demised for Years, yet the Prescription made by the Plaintiss is true; for he is seised in his Demesse as of Fee of the Freehold of the two Yards of Land, to which, &c. And without Question the Inheritance and Freehold of the Common, after the Years determined, is appendant to the said two Yard-Lands; and therefore clearly the Issue is to be found against the Defendant: But if he would take Advantage of the Matter in Law, he ought (confessing the Common) to have pleaded the said Lease; but when he traverseth the Prescription, he cannot give the same in Evidence.

2 Cro. 253. Cro. El. 570. Prescription, he cannot give the same in Evidence.

2, It was resolved, That if the said Lease had been pleaded, that the Common, during the Lease for Years, is not suspended or discharged; for each of them shall have Common rateable, and in such Manner, that the Land in which, &c. shall not be surcharged: And if so small a Parcel be demised, which will not keep one Ox, nor a Sheep, then the whole Common shall remain with the Lessor, so always as the Land in which be not surcharged.

3. It was resolved, That Common appendant unto Land, is as much as to say, Common for Cattle levant and couchant upon the Land in which, &c. So that by the Severance of Part of the Land to which, &c. no Prejudice can come

to the Terretenant in which, &c.

PART XIII. Hughes and Crowther's Cafe.

4. See the Case of in the fourth Part of my 2 Saund, 324, Reports, fo. . was affirmed for good Law: And there is 2 Cro. 574. no Difference, when the Prescription is for Gattle levant and Q. 4Co. 12,31. couchant, and when for a certain Number of Cattle levant and couchant: But when the Prescription is for Common appurtenant to Land without (alledging that it is for Cattle levant and couchant) there a certain Number of the Cattle ought to be expressed, which are intended by the Law to be levant and couchant.

## XXXII. Hill. 7 Jac. Regis.

### In the Common Pleas.

#### Hughes and Crowther's Cafe.

IN a Replevin, between Robert Hugh's Plaintiff, and Ri-Leafes. chard Crowther Defendant, which began Trin. 6 Ja- 1 Co. 155. cobi, Rot. 2220. The Case was, That Charles Fox was 3 Co. 19. feifed of fix Acres of Meadow in Bedston, in the County 5 Co. 9, 29. of Salop, in Fee, and 10 Octob. 9 Eliz. leased the same to 11 Co. 3 Charles Hibbens, and Arthur Hibbens for fixty Years, if 1 Mod. 187. the aforesaid Charles Hibbens and Arthur Hibbens should fo long live, and afterward Charles died; and if the Leafe determine by his Death, was the Question; and it was adjudged. That by his Death the Lease was determined; for the Life of a Man is meer collateral unto the Estate for Years: Otherwise it is, if a Lease be made to one for the Lives of J. S. and J. N. there the Freehold doth not determine by the Death of one of them, for the Reasons and Causes given in the Case of Brudnel, in the fifth Part of my Reports, fol. 9. Which Case was affirmed to be good Law by the whole Court.

### \* XXXIII. Pasch. 8 Jac. Regis.

### In the Common Pleas.

#### Heydon and Smith's Case.

Manor Cuitoms. See Lex Manetior, 61 to 1Roll.Abr.499. 4 Co. 63. Co. 24,29. I Roll. Abr. Tir. Cultom E. 16. 1 Leon. 238.

R Ichard Heydon brought an Action of Trespass against Michael Smith and others, of Breaking of his Close called the Moor in Ugley in the County of Effex, the 25th Day of June in the fifth Year of the King, & quandam arborem suam ad valentiam 40 s. ibidem nuper crescen. succiderunt: The Defendants said, that the Close is, and at the Time of the Trespass was the Freehold of Sir John Leventhrop Knight, &c. and that the faid Oak was a Timber-Tree of the Growth of thirty Years and more, and Cro. Car. 221, justifies the Cutting down of the Tree by his Commandment: The Plaintiff replieth and faith, That the faid Close, and a House and twenty-eight Acres of Land in Ugley, are Copyhold, and Parcel of the faid Manor of Ugley, &c. of which Manor Edward Leventhrop Esquire, Father of the said Sir John Leventhrop, was seised in Fee, and granted the said House, Lands and Close to the said Richard Heydon and his Heirs by the Rod, at the Will of the Lord, according to the Custom of the faid Manor: And that within the faid Manor there is fuch a Custom, Quod quilibet tenens Customar. ejusdem Manerii sibi, & karedibus suis, ad voluntatem Domini, &c. a toto tempore supradicto usus fuit, & consuevit ad ejus libitum amputare ramss omnimodarum arborum, called Pollingers, or Hufbords, super terris & tenem. suis Customar. crescen. pro ligno combustibili, ad like libitum suum applicand. & in pradicto Messuagio comburend. and also to cut down and take at 1 Brownl, 132, their Pleasure all Manner of Trees called Pollengers or Husbords, and all other Timber-Trees, super ejusdem Custumariis suis crescen. for the Reparation of their Houses built upon the faid Lands and customary Tenements; and also for Ploughbote and Cartbote, and that all Trees called Pollengers or Husbords, and all other Trees at the Time of the Trespals aforesaid, or hitherto growing upon the aforefaid

4 Co. 30.

#### PART XIII. Heydon and Smith's Case.

faid Lands and Tenements customary of the said Richard Heydon, were not fufficient, nor did serve for the necessary Uses aforesaid: And that the said Richard Heydon, from the Time of the faid Grant made unto him, had maintained and preserved all Trees, &c. growing upon the said Lands and Tenements to him granted: And that after the Death of the faid Edward Leventhrop, the faid Manor defcended to the faid Sir John Leventhrop: And that at the Time of the Trespass the aforesaid Messuage of the said Richard Heydon was in Decay, & egebat necessariis reparationibus in Maremio ejustem. Upon which the Defendant did demur in Law.

And this Case was oftentimes argued at the Bar: And now this Term it was argued at the Bench by the Justices:

And in this Case these Points were resolved.

1. That the first Part of the Custom was absurd and repugnant, scil. Quod quilibet tenens Customarii ejusdem Manerii habens & tenens aliquas \* terras seu tenementa Custom, Page [.68] &c. usus fuit amputare ramos omnimodarum arborum, vo- Moor 41.94. cat. Pollingers, &c. pro ligno combustibili, &c. in predicto 3)2, 416,811. Messuagio comburend. (which ought to be in the Messuage & Co. 30. of the Plaintiff, for no other Meffuage is mentioned before) 2 Salk. 368. which is abfurd and repugnant, That every customary Tenant should burn his Fuel in the Plaintiff's House: But that Branch of the Custom doth not extend unto this Case: For the last Part of the Custom, which concerneth the Cutting down of the Trees, concerns the Point in Question; and so the first Part of the Custom is not material.

It was objected, That the Pleading, that the Messuage of the Plaintiff was in Decay, & egebat necessariis reparationibus in maremio ejustem, was too general: For the Plaintiff ought to have shewed in particular, in what the Messuage was in Decay: As the Book is in 10 E. 4. 3. He who justifieth for Housebore, &c. ought to shew that the

House hath Cause to be repaired, &c.

To which it was answered by Coke Chief Justice, That the faid Book proveth the Pleading in the Cafe at Bar was certain enough, scil. Quod Messuagium prad. egebat necesfariis reparationibus in maremio, without shewing the precise Certainty: And therewith agrees 7 H. 6. 38. and 34 H. 6. 17.

2. It was also answered and resolved, That in this Case without Question it needs not to alledge more Certainty, for here the Copyholder according to the Custom doth not take it, but the Lord of the Manor doth cut down the Tree, and carrieth it away where the rest was not sufficient, and so preventeth the Copyholder of his Benefit, and therefore he ncedeth H 3

#### Heydon and Smith's Case. PART XIII.

needeth not to fliew any Decay at all, but only for increasing of the Damages, for the Lord doth the Wrong when he cutteth down the Tree which should serve for Reparations

when need should be.

Efforers. 2 Bulft. 281. Godb. 173.

3. It was refolved, That of Common Right, as a Thing 2 Brownl. 229. incident to the Grant, the Copyholder may take Housebote, Hedgbote and Plowbote upon his Copyhold: Quia concesso uno conceduntur omnia sine quibus id consistere non potest: Et quando aliquis aliquid concedit, concedere videtur & id sine quo res ipsa esse non potest: And therewith agreeth 9 H. 4. Waste 59. But the same may be restrained by Custom, scil. That the Copyholder shall not take it unless by Assignment of the Lord or his Bai-

liff, &c.

4. It was resolved, That the Lord cannot take all the Timber-Trees, but he ought to leave fufficient for the Reparation of the customary Houses, and for Ploughbote, Ec. for otherwise great Depopulation will follow; scil. Ruin of the Houses, and Decay of Tillage and Husbandry. And it is to be understood, That Bote being an ancient Saxon Word, hath two Significations; the one compensation criminis, as Frithbote, which is as much as to fay, to be discharged from giving amends for the Breach of the Peace; Manbote, to be discharged of Amends for the Death of Verstegan. 249. Man: And secondly, in the later Signification, (scil.) for Reparation, as was Bridgbote, Burgbote, Castlebote, Parkbote, &c. scil. Reparation of a Bridge, of a Borough, of a Castle, of a Park, &c. And it is to be known, that Bote and Estovers are all one: Estovers are derived of this French Word, Estover, i. e. fovere; i. e. to keep warm, to cherish, to sustain, to defend: And there are four Kinds of Estovers, (scil.) ardendi, arandi, construendi, & claudendi: (scil.) Firebote, Housebote, Ploughbote, and Hedgbote,

See Co. Lit. 41. b. and 127. Spelmao in verbo. Whitlock's M.S. in verbo.

Bote, its Sig-

nification.

5. It was refolved, That the Copyholder shall have a general Action of Trespass against the Lord, Quare clausum fregit, & arborem \* suam, &c. succidit; for Custom hath fixed it to his Estate against the Lord: And the Copyholder in this Case hath as great an Interest in the Timber-Trees, as he hath in his Messuage which he holdeth by Copy: And if the Lord breaketh or destroyeth the House, without Question the Copyholder shall have an Action of Trespass against his Lord, Quare Domum fregit, and by the same Reason for the Timber-Trees which are annexed to the Land, and which he may take for the Reparation of his Copyhold Messuage, and without which the Mes-Juage cannot stand. Trin. 40 Eliz. Rot. 37. in the King's Bench,

Page [69]

#### Heydon and Smith's Cafe. PART XIII.

Bench, between Stebbing and Grosener, the Custom of the Manor of Netherball in the County of Suffolk was, that every Copyholder might lop the Pollengers upon his Copyhold pro ligno combustibili, &c. And the Lord of the Ma- Cro. El, 6.9. hold pro ugno comvujuviu, &c. And the Lord of the Marnor cut down the Pollingers, being upon the Plaintiff's Co- Godb. 173. pyhold, upon which he brought his Action upon the Case, 2 Brownl. 229. because that the Lops of the Trees in such Case did belong to the Copyholder, and they were taken by the Lord. See Taylor's Case in the fourth Part of my Reports 30 and 31. and fee 5 H. 4. 2. Guardian in Knight-Service, who hath Cultodiam terra, shall have an Action of Trespass for cutting down the Trees against the Heir who hath the Inheritance. Vide 2 H. 4. 12. A Copyholder brought an A-Aion of Trespass, Quare clausum fregit, & arbores succidit: And fee 2 E. 4. 15. A Servant who is commanded to carrv Goods to fuch a Place, shall have an Action of Trespass or Appeal: 1 H. 6. 4. 7 H. 4. 15. 19 H. 6. 34. 11 H. 4. 28. If after taking the Goods, the Owner hath his Goods again, yet he shall have a general Action of Trespass, and upon the Evidence the Damages shall be mitigated; So is the better Opinion in 11 H. 4.23. That he who hath a special Property of the Goods at a certain Time, Ihall have general Action of Trespass against him who hath the general Property, and upon the Evidence Damages shall be mitigated; but clearly, the Bailee, or he who hath a special Property, shall have a general Action of Trespass against a Stranger, and shall recover all in Damages, because that he is chargeable over. See 21 H. 7. 14. b. acc. And it is holden in 4 H. 7. 3. That Tenant at Sufferance shall have an Action of Trespass in respect of the Possession, and if the Defendant plead Not guilty, but he cannot make Title, 30 H. 6. Trespass 10. 15 H. 7. 2. the King, who hath Profits of the Land by Outlawry, Ihall have an Action of Trespass, or take Goods Damage-Fefants: 35 H. 6. 24. 30 H. 6. Tresp. 10, &c. Tenant at Will shall have an Action of Trespass: 21 H. 7. 15. and II H. 4. 23. If a Man bail Goods which are taken out of his Possession, if the Bailee recover in Trespass, the same Thall be a good Bar to the Bailee: 5 H. 4. 2. In a Writ of Waste brought against Tenant for Life, and assigned the Waste in cutting down of Trees; the Defendant pleaded in Bar, that the Plaintiff himself cut them: And Culpeper, the Serjeant of the Plaintiff, objected against it, that it should be no Plea, because the Desendant had not any Thing in the Freehold, no more than a meer Stranger; and if a Stranger had cut down the same Trees, he should be chargeable in the Waste.

Alfo

Also in this Case, we should be at a Mischief if we should not recover against him; for if at another Time he bringeth an Action of Trespass against us, he shall recover Damages against us for the Cutting, id est, for the Value of the Trees: And yet it was holden by the Court, that the same was a good Bar: And it was said by the Court that the Plaintiff was not at any Mischief in this Case: For in as much as the Defendant \* shall have Advantage now to discharge himself of Waste against the Plaintiff, upon this Matter he shall be barred for ever of his Action of Trespass, scil. to recover the Value of Trees, which was the Mischief objected by Culpeper: But without Question he shall have an Action of Trespals, Quare clausum fregit, for the Entry of the Lessor, and for the Cutting of the Trees, but he shall not recover the Value of the Trees, because he is not chargeable over, but for the special Loss which he hath, scil. for the Loss of the Pawnage, and of the Shadow of the Trees, &c. See Fitz. Trespass ultimo, in the Abridgment: And afterwards, the same Term, Judgment was given on the principal Case for the Plaintiff.

# XXXIV. Pasch. 8 Jacobi.

### In the Common Pleas.

#### Parish-Clark.

See Gibson's God. 240. 2 Salk. 536. 2 Roll. 227. Rep. Canon. 167. 565, 567.

Page [70]

THE Parishioners of St. Alphage in Canterbury by Cuftom ought to chuse the Parish-Clark, whom they chuse accordingly: The Parson of the Parish, by Colour of a new Canon made at the Convocation in the Year of the King that now is (which is not of Force to take away any Custom) drew the Clark before Doctor Newman, Official of the Archbishop of Canterbury, to deprive him, upon the Point of the Right of Election, and for other Causes; and upon that it was moved at the Bar to have a Prohibition: And upon the Hearing of Doctor Newman himself, and his Counsel, a Prohibition was granted by the whole Court, because the Party chosen is a meer

Pohibition.

meer temporal Man, and the Means of chusing of him. scil. the Custom, is also meer Temporal, so as the Official cannot deprive him; but upon Occasion the Parishieners Antea 8, 9.&c. might displace him; and this Office is like to the Office 17, 18, 41, &c of a Church-warden, who although they be chosen for two Years, yet for Cause they may displace them, as it is holden in 26 H. 8. 5. And although that the Execution of the Office concerneth Divine Service, yet the Office it felf is meer Temporal. See 3 E. 3. Annuity 30. He who is Clark of a Parish is removeable by the Parishioners. See 18 E. 3. 27. A Gift in Tail was made of the Serjeanty or Clarkship of the Church of Lincoln, and there adjudged, that the Office is Temporal, and shall not be tried in the Ecclefiaffical Court, but in the King's Court: And it is to be known, that the Deprivation of a Man of a temporal Office or Place, is a temporal Thing, upon which no Appeal lieth by the Statute of 25 H. 8. but an Affise, as in 4 Eliz. Dyer 209. The President of Magdalen-College in Oxford was deprived of the Bishop of Winchester their Visitor; he shall not have an Appeal to the Delegates, for the Deprivation is Temporal, and not Spiritual; but he may have an Affife: And therewith agreeth the Book of 8 Ass. Siracse's Case: But if a Dean of a Cathedral Church, of the Patronage of the King, be deprived before the Commissioners of the King, he may Appeal to the Delegates within the faid Act of 25 H. 8. For a Deanery is a Spiritual Promotion, and not Temporal: And before the faid Act, in such Case, the Appeal was to Rome immediately.

### \*XXXV. Mich. 5 Jac. Rot. 30. Page [11]

In the King's Bench.

Prichard and Hawkin's Case.

Mohn Prichard brought an Action upon the Case against Slander. Robert Hawkins for flanderous Words published the Sec 1 Dany. last Day of August in the third Year of the King, and 14, 107, viz. That Prichard which serveth Mistress Shelley, did 108, pi 91 140, murder 141 pl. 1, to

### Dison and Bestney's Case. PART XIII.

murder Joan Adams Child, (Quandam Isabellam Adams modo defunct. filiam cujusdam Johannis Adams, of Williamstre in the County of Gloucester, innuendo) upon which a Writ of Error was brought in the Exchequer-Chamber upon a Judgment given for Prichard in the King's Bench: And the Judgment was reversed in Easter-Term, 7 Jacobi, because it doth not appear, that Ifabel was dead at the Time of the Speaking the Words, for tune defunct. ought to have been in the Place of modo defunct.

# XXXVI. Pasch. 8 Jacobi.

In the King's Bench.

Dison and Bestney's Case.

Slander. Antea 59. See I Danv. 2. Salk. 691.

HUmphrey Dison said of Nicholas Bestney, utter Ba-rester and Counsellor of Gray's Inn, Thou a Barester? Thou art no Barester, thou art a Barretor; 113 pl. 14, 16, thou wert put from the Bar, and thou darest not 41, 43, 119. pl. Shew thy self there. Thou study Law? Thou hast as much Wit as a Daw. Upon Not guilty pleaded, the Jury found for the Plaintiff, and affeffed Damages to 231. upon which Judgment was given: And in a Writ of Error in the Exchequer-Chamber, the Judgment was affirmed.

# XXXVII. Pasch. 8 Jac. Regis.

### In the King's Bench.

### Smith and Hill's Case.

Joah Smith brought an Action of Affault and Battery a- Error.
Return alb. gainst Walter Hill in the King's Bench, which began Or. 5 Co. 2.

Pasch. 7 Facoli, Rot. 175. upon Not guilty pleaded, a Part 39, to 47.

Verdict and Judgment was for the Plaintiff, and 107 l. afpl. 13. fessed for Damages and Costs. In a Writ of Error brought in the Exchequer-Chamber, the Error was affigned in the Venire facias, which was certified by Writ of Certiorari: And upon the Writ no Return was made upon the Back of the Writ, which is called Returnum album; and for that Cause, this Easter-Term the Judgment was reversed.

## \* XXXVIII. Trin. 7 Jacobi. Page [72]

### In the Court of Wards.

### Westcot's Case.

IT was found by a Writ of Diem clausit extremum, after Diem clausit the Death of Roger Wester Than the State Diem clausit the Death of Roger Westcot, That the said Roger the extremum. Vide ant. 48, Day that he died was seised of and in the Moiety of the Manor of Trewalliard in his Demess as of Fee, and of such 12 Co. 102. his Estate died thereof seised: And that the Moiety of the hid Manor, Anno 19 E. 3. was holden of the then Prince, as of his Castle of Trematon, Parcel of his Dutchy of Cornwall, by Knights-Service, as it appeareth by a certain Exemplification of Trematon for the same Prince, made 9 Martii, 19 E. 3. And the Words of the Extent, were

Willielmus de Torr tenet duo feoda & dimid. militis appud Pick, Striklestomb, & Trewalliard, per servitium militare, & reddit inde per Annum & d. And it was refolved by the two Chief Justices, and the Chief Baron, That the Office concerning the Tenure was insufficient and void, because that the Verdict of a Jury ought to be full and direct, and not with a prout patet, for by that the whole Force of the Verdict relieth only upon the Extent, which if it be false, he who is grieved shall have no Remedy by any Traverse; for they have not found the Tenure indefinite which might be traversed, but with a prout patet, which makes the Office in that Point insufficient, and upon that a Melius inquirendum shall Issue forth: And therewith agreeth F. N. B. 255. that a Melius inquirendum shall be awarded in such a Case.

Antea 41. 8 Co. 168.

Vide ant. 48,

### THE

# TABLE.

#### A.

A Ctions of Claim to be brought within 5 Years. Page
Acts of Parliament are Parcel of the Law, so to be judged by the Judges of the Law.
Acts: None may take upon him any Act, &c. but who
hath Knowledge in the same.
Admiral, his Power, how far it extends.  Aid to the King, who to pay it.  51, 52 26, 27, 28
For what. 29, 30
Made certain, and when to be paid.
Apples, not contained within the Words of Stat. 5 E. 6.
14. against Ingrossers.  Avowry where it shall be good and maintainable.  18, 19
<b>B.</b> 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Benevolence. 29
Bote, a Saxon Word, the Signification whereof various.
Bote and Efforers fignify all one Thing. ibid.
Brewer is within the Act of 5 El. 4. for that none may keep a common Brewhouse, unless formerly Apprentice.
II, 12.
Bridges, Rivers, Sewers, &c. who ought to repair them, and how compellable to it.
Burgage-Tenure what it is.
0.0

#### C.

Canon Eccles. against the King's Prerogative, the Common Law, &c. ipso facto void.

Canterbury, Archbishop thereof cannot cite one out of his own Diocese, and the Reason thereof.

5, 6, 7, 8

Commis-

	_				
	The T	ABL	E.	PART	XIII.
Commission, whom exter		nmissione	rs their P		
Common of P	asture wh	o shall ha	ve it, and	who fl	
debarred, an	led shall I	oe rateabl	e, fo that	the L	66 and in
which, &c. Confultations g	inall not	be lurch	arged.	· A	<i>ibid.</i> 45
Copyhold, wi	here a C	opyholder	may den	y to p	ay his
Copyholders r Hedge-bote	nay of and Plo	common cough-bote	Right tak , upon	ce House his Cop	-bote.
Shall have a Timber-ti		gainst his	Lord for	Cutting	
Customs, wha		s shall be	good, an		; and
Customs, when diction in M	odo Decin	<i>andi</i> , and	l where no	ousted of t.	18
Customs and Law.	Prescriptio	ns to be	try'd by	the Co	mmon 40
		D.	e ja		78
Damages treble Decimandi Mo	, where t	o be fued it is, and	for. by whom		
Plea of Mod	us Decima	endi where	e good an	37, 38, 3	not.
Dower, how a what.	Wife may	be barred		ower, an	
Where she sh	hall be en	idowed, ai	nd when.	20, 2	2, 23
		Ε,		antig	
Error, Writ of never been.	Error fo a	nnihilates	a Record	as if it	
What shall b				2	20 I, 22
Error, where a Error, what is	mended, a fufficient t	ind where	not. Tudamer	or co	54 nfirm
it.				ii, Oi co	7 E
Estoppel what, Executor, Sum	and the F mons an	orce there d Severa	o <sup>t</sup> . nce lieth	in any	52 Suit
brought as I Extortion. See	Executors.		7.3	<b>**</b>	32
	- 650 240	<b>F.</b>			
Fees, what Fee Extortion the	es may b	e taken fo	or Proving	3 a Will,	, and
		L		#47 #	72.00

PART XIII. The TABLE.	
Fine reasonable in Copyhold must have a set Time	for
Payment.  It must be reasonable, and not excessive. 2, 3	2
By whom to be adjudged.	, 4
What is a reasonable Fine, and what not.	bid
Forestallers. See Ingrossers.	
Forgery what, and how punishable.  H.	35
Heir, Entry of the Heir where lawful.  I.	49
Ingrossers, &c.	, I 8
Jointenants and Tenants in Common, the Difference tween them.	
tween them.  55, 56,  Jointenants may be feized to answer though they come unusual Times to it.	) / at
Judge Ecclefiastical, his Power to examine upon Oath.	10
K. King, Land given to the King discharged of Tithes.	I 5
Where the King shall have the mean Profits of La	nd.
and where not.	49
L.	
Land, where it shall descend and where not.	50
Lands, how they may be discharged of Tithes.  Law will do no Wrong.	I 5
	40
Lease for Years to two, if they so long live, if one d	
the Lease is determined.	66
Livery, where no Livery or Oufter le main shall need be sued.	
The Manner of Suing Livery, and the Form of t	
Writ.	62
M.	.1.1
Manor, how a Lord of a Manor may wrong his Copyhornant.	83
Melius inquirendum, where it lieth and for what.	72
Messuagium & Tenementum their Difference.	48
Ο.	
Office, where necessary to be found, where not, and wh	en
it must be found.	53
Where it shall be insufficient. 48, 50,	72
It shall not be an Estoppel, and the Reason thereof.	0 I
<b>P.</b>	
Parishes and Towns, their Bounds triable only by Co	m-
mon Law, the Reason why.	Ið mr
Parliament, Privileges, Orders, and Customs of Parliament only to be decided in Parliament.	62
Dirat	- J

			\$	1.45	
The	$\mathbf{T}$	A	BL	E.	PART XIII.
hen,	and b	y wk	om p	unish	able. 53, 54

The TABLE. PA	RT XIII.
Pirates, how, when, and by whom punishable. Process, Star-chamber cannot make Process again	53, 54 nst either
Tands or Goods.	64
Prohibition when, and where it lieth, and again	ift whom.
8, 9, 10, 41, R.	
Rent, when it may be divided, and upon what	57
Reparations in Houses, if necessary to be shew tain, & contra.	red in cer-
Replevin, by whom grantable, and for what.	3.1
Rex est persona mixta.	17
Seifin, where no primer Seifin shall be.	50
Severance of Part of a Reversion looseth not Rent.	58
Sewers, Commissioners thereof, their Power, ho	
to what it extends.	35, 36
Socage-Tenure what.	27 nent 50
Sorcerers and Inchanters, who, and their Punishn Statutes, to be repealed by none but by Statutes	nent. 59
Timber trees who may cut them and who may no	t. 60
Tithes, how Satisfaction may be given in Dil	charge of
Tithes.  They cannot be altogether taken away.	14, 40
Where and how they may be altered int	o another 15, 16, 41
Thing.  How many Ways one may be discharged of T	ithes, and
of what payable.	16, 42, 43
If divided from the nine Parts not to be fu	ed for in
Court Ecclefiastical, if it be without Fraud.	23
Where the Right of Tithes may be tried.	39, 58
Traverse of Offices.	61
Treason, how, and by whom punishable. Trespass, Action therein, where it lies, against w	hom, and
for what.	69.
Trials. Vide Parishes. U.	
Use, where a Use may be limited, and where no	t. 55
Who shall have the Use.	56
Wards, who shall be a Ward to the King.	55, 57
Waste, what adjudged Waste, and where it lieth.	61
Waste, who shall be chargeable in a Writ of Waste	
Wife, where a Wife shall have an Estate for Life	. 49
York, the President and Council of York their P	
far it extendeth.	31
F I N I S.	
·	